

Planar Pixel Sensors

3D Pixel Sensors

Silicon sensor technologies for ATLAS IBL upgrade TIPP 2011 – Chicago

Philippe Grenier (SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory)

For the ATLAS IBL Collaboration



Outline

- ATLAS Upgrades
- Planar Pixel Sensors
- > 3D Pixel Sensors
- Lab and Testbeam measurements
- Selection criteria
- Conclusion



Motivation for ATLAS Upgrades



- > Performance of current innermost Pixel Detector layer will degrade before main tracker upgrade.
- > To maintain physics performance (b-tagging) and insure against radiation effects:
- Insertion of new pixel inside current pixel detector: Insertable B Layer IBL.
- > IBL design: 250 Mrad TID and $5.10^{15} n_{eq}$ /cm² NIEL.
- Installation originally planned for 2015-2016... advanced (in January 2011) to 2013!



IBL mounted on new beam pipe Length: ~64cm Envelope: Rin = 31mm, Rout=40mm 14 staves, 32 pixel sensors / stave. Front-end chip:

- FE-I4, ATLAS upgrades.
- 50µm x 250µm
- 80(col) x 336 (rows) = 26880 cells.
- 2cm x 2cm!

Two competing sensor technologies: Planar and 3D pixel sensors. Diamond technology dropped: production time not compatible with IBL in 2013.

IBL Sensors specifications and module prototyping

Sensor specifications for IBL:

- maximum bias voltage: 1000 V.
- sensor thickness: 225 ± 25 mm
- coolant temperature: -30 C
- sensor temperature: -15 C \geq
- sensor max. power dissipation: 200 mW/cm² at -15 C
- edge width: 450 mm
- tracking efficiency > 98%. \succ

Philippe Grenier





Planar 2-chip sensor tile

TIPP 2011 – Pixel Sensors for ATLAS IBL

IBL sensor fast track qualification and production

Task	PLANAR	3D				
Ready for installation	July 4, 2013	Aug 1, 2013				
Finish loading	Feb 15, 2013	Mar 15, 2013				
Start stave loading	Sept 19, 2012	Oct 15, 2012				
Sensor production completed	June 11, 2012	Aug 27, 2012				
	6 batches x 25 wafers	10 batches x 22 wafers				
Sensor production has to start asap:						
IBL Fast Track Qualification for sensor choice: review July 4-5, choice soon after.						
 Heavy program of sensor irradiations and beam tests in 2011: 4 protons irradiation campaigns at Karlsruhe (26 MeV protons). 3 neutrons irradiation campaigns at Ljubljana (reactor neutrons). 2 beam tests (Feb. and April) at DESY (4 GeV positrons). 						
 1 beam test (June) at CERN (180 GeV pions): Irradiated PPS/3D under IBL Operating conditions (temp, field). 						
Pre-production to check yield (see next), to be completed by mid-June.						
Other critical items:	FE-I4 submission, bump bonding, stave, flex					



IBL sensor pre-production floor-plan



- ➤ 4 IBL tiles, 4 single-chip modules.
- (IBL-type design).
- Test structures

SLAC

At CiS, Germany.

Philippe Grenier

- > 8 IBL single-chip modules.
- (IBL-type design).
- Test structures
- At CNM (Spain) and FBK (Italy).

ATLAS 3D Collaboration



ATLAS 3D Silicon Sensors R&D Collaboration



B. Stugu, H. Sandaker, K. Helle, (Bergen University), M. Barbero, F. Hügging, M. Karagounis, V. Kostyukhin, H. Krüger, J-W Tsung, N. Wermes (Bonn University), M. Capua; S. Fazio, A. Mastroberardino; G. Susinno (Calabria University), C. Gallrapp, B. Di Girolamo; D. Dobos, A. La Rosa, H. Pernegger, S. Roe (CERN), T. Slavicek, S. Pospisil (Czech Technical University), K. Jakobs, M. Köhler, U. Parzefall (Freiburg University), N. Darbo, G. Gariano, C. Gemme, A. Rovani, E. Ruscino (University and INFN of Genova), C. Butter, R. Bates, V. Oshea (Glasgow University), S. Parker (The University of Hawaii), M. Cavalli-Sforza, S. Grinstein, I. Korokolov, C. Padilla (IFAE Barcelona), K. Einsweiler, M. Garcia-Sciveres (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory), M. Borri, C. Da Vià, J. Freestone, S. Kolya, C. Li, C. Nellist, J. Pater, R. Thompson, S.J. Watts (The University of Manchester), M. Hoeferkamp, S. Seidel (The University of New Mexico), E. Bolle, H. Gjersdal, K-N Sjoebaek, S. Stapnes, O. Rohne, (Oslo University) D. Su, C. Young, P. Hansson, P. Grenier, J. Hasi, C. Kenney, M. Kocian, P. Jackson, D. Silverstein (SLAC), H. Davetak, B. DeWilde, D. Tsybychev (Stony Brook University). G-F Dalla Betta, P. Gabos, M. Povoli (University and INFN of Trento), M. Cobal, M-P Giordani, Luca Selmi, Andrea Cristofoli, David Esseni, Andrea Micelli, Pierpaolo Palestri (University of Udine)

Processing Facilities: C. Fleta, M. Lozano G. Pellegrini, (CNM Barcelona, Spain); (M. Boscardin, A. Bagolini, G. Giacomini, C. Piemonte, S. Ronchin, E. Vianello, N. Zorzi (FBK-Trento, Italy), T-E. Hansen, T. Hansen, A. Kok, N. Lietaer (SINTEF Norway), J. Hasi, C. Kenney (Stanford). J. Kalliopuska, A. Oja (VTT, Finland)^{*}

18 institutions and 5 processing facilities

3D Principle and Designs

- Proposed by S. Parker, J. Segal and C. Kenney in 1997: NIM A 395 (1997) 328.
- Electrodes penetrate through silicon bulk: short collection distance.



Different Electrodes/cell: 2E, 3E, 4E



Partial 3D: CNM, FBK: IBL type.



Planar Pixel Sensor (PPS) Collaboration

R&D within the planar pixel proposal:

- slim edge sensors to reduce inactive area
- radiation damage in planar sensors
- bulk materials
- simulation of sensor design and detector layout
- Iow threshold operation of FE readout
- Iow cost, large scale pixel production

Participating institutes:

- CERN
- > AS, Prague
- LAL Orsay
- LPNHE Paris
- Bonn University

- HU Berlin
- > DESY
- TU Dortmund
- Goettingen University
- MPP and HLL Munich
- Udine University and INFN

Industrial partners: CiS, HLL Munich, HPK, Micron.

- > KEK
- IFAE-CNM Barcelona
- Liverpool University
- UC Berkeley and LBNL
- UNM Albuquerque
- UC Santa Cruz

Planar Pixel Sensors: technology and designs

PPS Advantages	Challenges
Mature technology: Standard processing Many qualified vendors High yield Relatively low cost Experience with sensor design and optimization	 Low charge collection after irradiation: Increase high voltage Need small-signal readout electronics
	 Increasing leakage current with fluence: Need efficiency cooling Annealing reduces leakage current
Radiation hardness models	 Sensor edge usually conductive: Need guard rings Significant inactive area

Three designs have been envisaged for IBL:

- Conservative design (ATLAS-like), n-in-n (CiS)
- Slim edge design (~100 µm inactive edge), n-in-n: CiS ← chosen for IBL.
- Thin sensors (~150 µm thickness), n-in-p: HLL Munich

Additional R&D for future upgrades:

- Thin (~150 μm) n-in-p sensors: HPK
- Thin (~200 µm) n-in-p sensors: Micron

Planar Pixel Sensors: Designs

Conservative Design

- goal is to resemble current ATLAS design as far as possible.
- O 13 (out of 16) guard rings, to stay within 450 mm
 → proven to be sufficient.

Slim Edge Design

- minimize inactive edge by shifting guard rings underneath active pixel region
 - . \rightarrow 200 100 mm inactive edge achievable
- simulation shows uniform depletion of edge pixels
- o first IV curves show standard behavior.



First FE-I4 TestBeam: DESY, February 2011



SLAC Philippe Grenier

TIPP 2011 – Pixel Sensors for ATLAS IBL

DESY February testbeam results:



Philippe Grenier

PPS, Slim Edge Design sensor (250 µm thick)

Un-irradiated device.

Charge collection measured in units on 25ns of Time Over Threshold (TOT).

Calibration: 10TOT at 30ke-: larger than expected, under investigation.

Tracking efficiency, over all sensor.

Require a hit in other device (FE-I3 reference) to avoid fake tracks.

A few noisy/dead pixels.

Over tracking efficiency: 99.95 %, excellent!

DESY April: irradiated sensors with neutrons

2 PPS Slim Edge (250 μm thick) irradiated at Ljubljana (4.10¹⁵ n_{eq}/cm²)
 1 PPS Conservative (250 μm thick) irradiated at Ljubljana (4.10¹⁵ n_{eq}/cm²)



PPS, Conservative Design sensor (250 µm thick)

Irradiated to $4.10^{15} n_{eq}/cm^2$.

Bias voltage: -1000 V.

Cold box temperature: -50C (dry ice).

Charge Collection Efficiency from TOT: hard to estimate due to TOT calibration issue, but >50%.

Very few dead or noisy pixels!

Overall tracking efficiency: 98.4%.

Sensor is working well!

Lab test and characterization of neutron irradiated sensors



DESY April: irradiated devices: protons

Place holder for Testbeam results from DESY/April of proton-irradiated PPS sensor. Analysis is being done.



DESY April: FBK testbeam results



Philippe Grenier



FBK un-irradiated, from early batch:

Normal beam incidence. Works very well.

TOT and cluster size distributions as expected.

Overall tracking efficiency: 98%: loss of efficiency for tracks going through electrodes (electrodes not filled). Recover full efficiency tilted tracks.

FBK: sensor selection criteria

Temporary metal:

Single pixel: _____ 2 holes/electrodes

- > Allows to perform electrical tests prior to bump bonding.
- > The temporary metal shorts the 336 pixels of each 80 columns.
- Check the I-V of each 80 strips.

Selection criteria definition





Plot of current in all 80 "strips". Each has 336 pixel (need just one bad pixel)

lumns.

Good sensor



All pixels/columns working fine $I_{pixel} = 5 \text{ pA}$

V_{bd} > 25 V and I_{op} < 2 μ A



CNM: sensor selection criteria

I-V curve on guard fence

- Not total current of full sensor but gives good indication of the \geq presence of defects.
- Test of full wafers without under-bump-metallization.
- $V_{bd} > 25V$ \succ
- Guard fence IV so far is a good criteria for sensor selection



I-V of individual sensors (8) on a wafer

er



Place holder for a plot.

4	bad	sensors	on	this	wat	e





Conclusions

- IBL installation in 2013: very tight schedule...
- ➤ Two competing technologies for pixel sensors Planar and 3D.
- Heavy qualification process: pre-production, irradiation, lab and beam tests. Challenging, given that first IBL-type sensors available since February....
- Main test in June: beam test at CERN with IBL-type sensor.
- Sensor choice in July....