Measurement of underlying event characteristics using charged particles in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 900$ GeV and 7 TeV with the ATLAS detector

G. Aad et al.^{*}

(The ATLAS Collaboration)

(Dated: December 3, 2010)

Abstract

Measurements of charged particle distributions, sensitive to the underlying event, have been performed with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. The measurements are based on data collected using a minimum-bias trigger to select proton–proton collisions at center-of-mass energies of 900 GeV and 7 TeV. The "underlying event" is defined as those aspects of a hadronic interaction attributed not to the hard scattering process, but rather to the accompanying interactions of the rest of the proton. Three regions are defined in azimuthal angle with respect to the the highest- p_T charged particle in the event, such that the region transverse to the dominant momentum-flow is most sensitive to the underlying event. In each of these regions, distributions of the charged particle multiplicity, p_T density, and average p_T are measured. The data show a higher underlying event activity than that predicted by Monte Carlo models tuned to pre-LHC data.

[∗] Full author list given at the end of the article in Appendix ??.

I. INTRODUCTION

To perform precise Standard Model measurements or search for new physics phenomena at hadron colliders, it is essential to have a good understanding not only of the short-distance "hard" scattering process, but also of the accompanying interactions of the rest of the proton – collectively termed the "underlying event" (UE). It is impossible to uniquely separate the UE from the hard scattering process on an event-by-event basis. However, observables can be measured which are sensitive to its properties.

The UE may involve contributions from both hard and soft physics, where "soft" refers to interactions with low transverse momentum transfer between the scattering particles. Soft interactions cannot reliably be calculated with perturbative QCD methods, and are generally described in the context of different phenomenological models, usually implemented in Monte Carlo (MC) event generators. These models contain many parameters whose values are not a priori known. Therefore, to obtain insight into the nature of soft QCD processes and to optimize the description of UE contributions for studies of hard-process physics such as hadronic jet observables, the model parameters must be fitted to experimental data.

Measurements of primary charged particle multiplicities have been performed in "minimum bias" (MB) events at the LHC [\[1–](#page-33-0)[5\]](#page-33-1). Such inclusive studies provide important constraints on soft hadron-interaction models. However, observables constructed for the study of the UE measure the structure of hadronic events in a different way, focusing on the correlation of soft-process features to one another and to those of the hardest processes in the event. UE observables have been measured in $p\bar{p}$ collisions in dijet and Drell-Yan events at CDF in Run I [\[6\]](#page-33-2) and Run II [\[7\]](#page-33-3) at center-of-mass energies of $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV and 1.96 TeV respectively, and in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 900$ GeV in a detector-specific study by CMS [\[8\]](#page-33-4).

This paper reports the measurement of UE observables, performed with the ATLAS detector [\[9\]](#page-34-0) at the LHC using proton–proton collisions at center-of-mass energies of 900 GeV and 7 TeV. The UE observables are constructed from primary charged particles in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$, whose transverse momentum component [\[10\]](#page-34-1) is separately required to be $p_T > 100$ MeV or $p_T > 500$ MeV. Primary charged particles are defined as those with a mean proper lifetime $\tau \gtrsim 0.3 \times 10^{-10}$ s, either directly produced in pp interactions or in the decay of particles with a shorter lifetime. At the detector level, charged particles are observed as tracks in the inner tracking system. The direction of the track with the

FIG. 1. Definition of regions in the azimuthal angle with respect to the leading track.

largest p_T in the event – referred to as the "leading" track – is used to define regions of the η – ϕ plane which have different sensitivities to the UE. The axis given by the leading track is well-defined for all events, and is highly correlated with the axis of the hard scattering in high- p_T events. A single track is used as opposed to a jet or the decay products of a massive gauge boson, as it allows significant results to be derived with limited luminosity and avoids the systematic measurement complexities of alignment with more complex objects.

As illustrated in Figure [1,](#page-2-0) the azimuthal angular difference between charged tracks and the leading track, $|\Delta\phi| = |\phi - \phi_{\text{leading track}}|$, is used to define the following three azimuthal regions [\[6\]](#page-33-2):

- $|\Delta \phi|$ < 60°, the "toward region";
- $60^{\circ} < |\Delta \phi| < 120^{\circ}$, the "transverse region"; and
- $|\Delta \phi| > 120^{\circ}$, the "away region".

The transverse regions are most sensitive to the underlying event, since they are generally perpendicular to the axis of hardest scattering and hence have the lowest level of activity from this source. However, the hard scatter can of course also emit particles perpendicular to the event axis: the regional division is not, and cannot be, an exact filter. The observables examined in this analysis are described in Table [I.](#page-4-0) The detector level corresponds to the tracks passing the selection criteria, and the particle level corresponds to true charged particles in the event. The particle level can be compared directly with the QCD Monte Carlo models at the generator level.

This paper is organized as follows: The ATLAS detector is described in Section [II.](#page-3-0) In Section [III,](#page-5-0) the QCD MC models used in this analysis are discussed. Sections [IV](#page-7-0)[–VII](#page-13-0) respectively describe the event selection, background contributions, correction of the data back to particle level, and estimation of the systematic uncertainties. The results are discussed in Section [VIII](#page-16-0) and finally the conclusions are presented in Section [IX.](#page-31-0)

II. THE ATLAS DETECTOR

The ATLAS detector [\[9\]](#page-34-0) covers almost the whole solid angle around the collision point with layers of tracking detectors, calorimeters and muon chambers. It has been designed to study a wide range of physics topics at LHC energies. For the measurements presented in this paper, the trigger system and the tracking devices were of particular importance.

The ATLAS inner detector has full coverage in ϕ and covers the pseudorapidity range $|\eta|$ < 2.5. It consists of a silicon pixel detector (pixel), a silicon strip detector (SCT) and a transition radiation tracker (TRT). These detectors cover a radial distance from the interaction point of 50.5–150 mm, 299–560 mm and 563–1066 mm, respectively, and are immersed in a 2 Tesla axial magnetic field. The inner detector barrel (end-cap) parts consist of 3 (2 \times 3) pixel layers, 4 (2 \times 9) layers of double-sided silicon strip modules, and 73 (2 \times 160) layers of TRT straws. These detectors have position resolutions of typically 10, 17 and 130 µm for the $r-\phi$ coordinate and (for the pixel and SCT) 115 and 580 µm for the $r-z$ coordinate. A track traversing the barrel would typically have 11 silicon hits (3 pixel clusters, and 8 strip clusters), and more than 30 straw tube hits.

The ATLAS detector has a three-level trigger system: level 1 (L1), level 2 (L2) and the event filter (EF). For this measurement, the trigger relies on the beam pickup timing devices (BPTX) and the minimum bias trigger scintillators (MBTS). The BPTX are composed of electrostatic beam pick-ups attached to the beam pipe at a distance $z = \pm 175$ m from the center of the ATLAS detector. The MBTS are mounted at each end of the detector in front

TABLE I. Definition of the measured observables at particle and detector level. The particles and tracks are required to have $p_T > 0.1$ GeV or 0.5 GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. Tracks are selected if they pass the criteria described in Section [IV.](#page-7-0) The mean charged particle momentum $\langle p_T \rangle$ is constructed on an event-by-event basis and then averaged over the events.

Observable	Particle level	Detector level
$p_{\rm T}^{\rm lead}$	Transverse momentum of the stable charged particle with maximum p_T in the	Transverse momentum of the selected track with maximum p_T in the event
$ \eta ^{\rm lead}$	event $ \eta $ of the maximum p_T stable charged particle in the event	$ \eta $ of the maximum p_T selected track in the event
$\langle d^2N_{\rm ch}/d\eta d\phi \rangle$	Mean number of stable charged particles per unit η - ϕ	Mean number of selected tracks per unit η - ϕ
$\langle d^2 \sum p_T / d\eta d\phi \rangle$	Mean scalar p_T sum of unit η - ϕ	Mean scalar p_T sum of stable charged particles per selected tracks per unit η - ϕ
Standard deviation of $d^2N_{ch}/d\eta d\phi$	Standard deviation of number of stable charged particles per unit η - ϕ	Standard deviation of number of selected tracks per unit η - ϕ
Standard deviation of $d^2\Sigma p_T/d\eta d\phi$	p_T sum of stable charged particles per unit η - ϕ	Standard deviation of scalar Standard deviation of scalar p_T sum of selected tracks per unit η - ϕ
$\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle$	Average p_T of stable charged particles (at least 1 tracks (at least 1 selected charged particle is required) track is required)	Average p_T of selected
Angular distribution of number density	Number density of stable charged particles in relative to the leading charged particle	Number density of tracks in intervals of $\Delta \phi $, measured intervals of $\Delta \phi $, measured relative to the leading track
Angular distribution of $p_{\rm T}$ density	p_T density of stable charged p_T density of tracks in the the leading charged particle	particles in the intervals of intervals of $\Delta \phi $, measured $\Delta \phi $, measured relative to relative to the leading track

of the liquid-argon endcap-calorimeter cryostats at $z = \pm 3.56$ m and are segmented into eight sectors in azimuth and two rings in pseudorapidity $(2.09 < |\eta| < 2.82$ and $2.82 < |\eta| < 3.84$). Data were taken for this analysis using the single-arm MBTS trigger, formed from BPTX and MBTS trigger signals. The MBTS trigger was configured to require one hit above threshold from either side of the detector. The MBTS trigger efficiency was studied with a separate pre-scaled L1 BPTX trigger, filtered to obtain inelastic interactions by inner detector requirements at L2 and EF.

III. QCD MONTE CARLO MODELS

In scattering processes modeled by lowest-order perturbative QCD two-to-two parton scatters, at sufficiently low p_T the partonic jet cross-section exceeds that of the total hadronic cross-section. This problem is resolved by allowing the possibility of multiple parton interactions (MPI) in a given hadron-hadron interaction. In this picture, the ratio of the partonic jet cross-section to the total cross-section is interpreted as the mean number of parton interactions in such events. This idea is implemented in several Monte Carlo event generators, and is usually complemented by phenomenological models which continue to be developed. These include (non-exhaustively) further low p_T screening of the partonic differential cross-section, use of phenomenological transverse hadronic-matter distributions, reconfiguration of color string or cluster topologies, saturation of parton densities at low-x, and connection to elastic scattering and cut-pomeron models via the optical theorem. Such models typically contain several parameters, which may be tuned to data at different centerof-mass energies and in various hadronic processes. MC tuning has been actively pursued in recent years, and standard tunes are being iterated in response to early LHC data, including those presented in ref. [\[5\]](#page-33-1).

Samples of 10–20 million MC events were produced for single-diffractive, double-diffractive and non-diffractive processes using the PYTHIA 6.4.21 generator [\[11\]](#page-34-2) for collision energies of 900 GeV and 7 TeV. The MC09 [\[12\]](#page-34-3) set of Tevatron-optimized parameters was used: this employs the MRST LO* [\[13\]](#page-34-4) parton density functions (PDFs) [\[14\]](#page-34-5) and the PYTHIA p_T -ordered parton shower, and was tuned to describe underlying event and minimum bias data at 630 GeV and 1.8 TeV [\[15\]](#page-34-6) at CDF in $p\bar{p}$ collisions. ATLAS MC09 is the reference PYTHIA tune throughout this paper, and samples generated with this tune were used to calculate detector acceptances and efficiencies to correct the data for detector effects. All events were processed through the ATLAS detector simulation framework [\[16\]](#page-34-7), which is based on Geant4 [\[17\]](#page-34-8). They were then reconstructed and analyzed identically to the data. Particular attention was devoted to the description in the simulation of the size and position of the collision beam-spot and of the detailed detector conditions during the data-taking runs.

For the purpose of comparing the present measurement to different phenomenological models, several additional MC samples were generated. For PYTHIA, these were the Perugia0 [\[18\]](#page-34-9) tune, in which the soft-QCD part of the event is tuned using only minimum bias data from the Tevatron and S $p\bar{p}S$ colliders, and the DW [\[19\]](#page-34-10) PYTHIA tune, which uses a virtuality-ordered parton shower and an eikonal multiple scattering model including impact-parameter correlations. This tune was constructed to describe CDF Run II underlying event, dijet and Drell-Yan data. PHOJET [\[20\]](#page-34-11) and HERWIG [\[21\]](#page-34-12) were used as alternative models. PHOJET describes low- p_T physics using the two component Dual Parton Model [\[22,](#page-35-0) [23\]](#page-35-1), which includes soft hadronic processes described by pomeron exchange and semi-hard processes described by perturbative parton scattering; it relies on PYTHIA for the fragmentation of partons. The PHOJET versions used for this study were shown to agree with previous measurements [\[15,](#page-34-6) [24–](#page-35-2)[26\]](#page-35-3). The PHOJET samples were also passed through full detector simulation for systematic studies of acceptance and smearing corrections (unfolding). HERWIG uses angular-ordered parton showers and a cluster hadronization model. The UE is simulated using the JIMMY package [\[27\]](#page-35-4) which, like PYTHIA, implements an eikonal multiple scattering model including impact-parameter correlations. It does not contain any model of soft scatters. HERWIG+JIMMY was run with the ATLAS MC09 parameters [\[12\]](#page-34-3): these set a minimum partonic interaction p_T of 3.0 GeV at 900 GeV and 5.2 GeV at 7 TeV, and hence agreement with data is not expected when the maximum track p_T is below this cut-off scale.

For PYTHIA and PHOJET, non-diffractive, single-diffractive and double-diffractive events were generated separately, and were mixed according to the generator cross-sections to fully describe the inelastic scattering. HERWIG does not contain any diffractive processes.

IV. EVENT AND TRACK SELECTION

All data used in this paper were taken during the LHC running periods with stable beams and defined beam-spot values, between 6th–15th December 2009 for the analysis at √ $\overline{s} = 900$ GeV, and from 30th March to 27th April 2010 for the 7 TeV analysis. The only operational requirement was that the MBTS trigger and all inner detector subsystems were at nominal conditions. During the December data taking period, more than 96% of the pixel detector, more than 99% of the SCT and more than 98% of the TRT was operational. These efficiencies were higher in 2010.

To reduce the contribution from backgrounds and secondaries, as well as to minimize the systematic uncertainties, the following criteria were imposed:

- the presence of a reconstructed primary vertex using at least two tracks, each with:
	- $p_{\rm T} > 100$ MeV;
	- offline reconstruction within the inner detector, $|\eta| < 2.5$;
	- a transverse distance of closest approach with respect to the beam-spot (BS) position, $|d_0^{\text{BS}}|$, of less than 4 mm;
	- uncertainties on the transverse and longitudinal distances of closest approach of $\sigma(d_0^{BS}) \leq 5 \text{ mm} \text{ and } \sigma(z_0^{BS}) < 10 \text{ mm};$
	- at least one pixel hit, at least four SCT hits and at least six silicon hits in total.

Beam-spot information was used both in the track pre-selection and to constrain the fit during iterative vertex reconstruction, and vertices incompatible with the beam-spot were removed. The vertices were ordered by the $\sum p_T^2$ over the tracks assigned to the vertex, which is strongly correlated with the total number of associated tracks, with the highest- $\sum p_{\rm T}^2$ vertex defined as the primary interaction vertex of the event.

Events that had a second primary vertex with more than three tracks in the same bunch crossing were rejected. If the second vertex had three or fewer tracks, all tracks from the event that passed the selection were kept. After this cut, the fraction of events with more than one interaction in the same bunch crossing (referred to as pile-up) was found to be about 0.1%; the residual effect was thus neglected. At $\sqrt{s} = 900 \text{ GeV}$, since the data were taken at the low luminosity period, the rate of pileup was even lower and was also neglected.

- at least one track with:
	- $p_{\rm T} > 1$ GeV,
	- a minimum of one pixel and six SCT hits[\[28\]](#page-35-5);
	- a hit in the innermost pixel layer (the b-layer), if the corresponding pixel module was active;
	- transverse and weighted-longitudinal impact parameters with respect to the eventby-event primary vertex were required to be $|d_0|$ < 1.5 mm and $|z_0| \cdot \sin \theta$ < 1.5 mm [\[29\]](#page-35-6);
	- for tracks with $p_T > 10$ GeV, a χ^2 probability of track fit > 0.01 was required in order to remove mismeasured tracks[\[30\]](#page-35-7).

Only events with leading track $p_T > 1$ GeV were considered, in order to reject events where the leading track selection can potentially introduce large systematic effects. This also has the effect of further reducing the contribution from diffractive scattering processes.

Two separate analyses were performed, in which all the other tracks were required to have either $p_T > 100$ MeV or $p_T > 500$ MeV. For $p_T > 500$ MeV tracks, the silicon and impact parameter requirements were the same as given earlier for tracks with $p_T > 1$ GeV. For tracks with the lower p_T threshold, all other selection criteria were the same except that only two, four or six SCT hits were required for tracks with $p_T \ge 100, 200, 300$ MeV, respectively. Tracks with $p_T > 500$ MeV are less prone than lower- p_T tracks to inefficiencies and systematic uncertainties resulting from interactions with the material inside the tracking volume. Whenever possible, the tracks were extrapolated to include hits in the TRT. Typically, 88% of tracks inside the TRT acceptance $(|\eta| < 2.0)$ included a TRT extension, which significantly improves the momentum resolution.

After these selections, for the 500 MeV (100 MeV) analysis, 189,164 and 6,927,129 events remained at 900 GeV and 7 TeV respectively, containing 1,478,900 (4,527,710) and 89,868,306 (209,118,594) selected tracks and corresponding to integrated luminosities of 7 pb^{-1} and 168 µb −1 , respectively. For the MC models considered here, the contribution of diffractive events to the underlying event observables was less than 1%.

V. BACKGROUND CONTRIBUTIONS

A. Backgrounds

The amount of beam and non-beam (cosmic rays and detector noise) background remaining after the full event selection was estimated using the number of pixel hits which were not associated to a reconstructed track. This multiplicity included unassigned hits from $\text{low-}p$ looping tracks, but was dominated at higher multiplicities by hits from charged particles produced in beam background interactions. The vertex requirement removed most of the beam background events and the residual contribution from beam background events after this requirement was below 0.1%. As the level of background was found to be very low, no explicit background subtraction was performed.

B. Fraction of secondary tracks

The primary charged-particle multiplicities were measured from selected tracks after correcting for the fractions of secondary and poorly reconstructed tracks in the sample. The potential background from fake tracks was found via MC studies to be less than 0.01%.

Non-primary tracks predominantly arise from hadronic interactions, photon conversions to positron-electron pairs, and decays of long-lived particles. For p_T above 500 MeV the contribution from photon conversions is small, and side-band regions of the transverse and longitudinal impact parameters from data were used to find a scaling factor of 1.3 for the track yield in MC to get a better agreement with the data. This is not the case at lower $p_{\rm T}$. A separate fit to the tails of the d_0 distribution for primaries, non-primaries from electrons and other non-primaries, was carried out in eight bins of 50 MeV in the range $100 < p_T < 500$ MeV. The scaled MC was then used to estimate the fraction of secondaries as a function of both p_T and η in the selected track sample, which is found to be at most 2% for events in both 900 GeV and 7 TeV collisions [\[4,](#page-33-5) [5\]](#page-33-1). The systematic uncertainty on the secondaries is included in the uncertainties due to tracking.

VI. CORRECTION TO PARTICLE LEVEL

The data were corrected back to charged primary particle spectra satisfying the event-level requirement of at least one primary charged particle within $p_T > 1$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. A two step correction process was used, where first the event and track efficiency corrections were applied, then an additional bin-by-bin unfolding was performed to account for possible bin migrations and any remaining detector effects.

A. Event-level correction

Trigger and vertexing efficiencies were measured [\[5\]](#page-33-1) as a function of the number of tracks, $N_{\rm sel}^{\rm BS}$, passing all the track selection requirements except for the primary vertex constraint. In this case the transverse impact parameter with respect to the beam-spot [\[31\]](#page-35-8) was required to be less than 1.8 mm. The event level corrections consisted of the following:

- The efficiency of the MBTS scintillator trigger, $\epsilon_{\text{trig}}(N_{\text{sel}}^{\text{BS}})$ was determined from data using an orthogonal trigger. It consisted of a random trigger, requiring only that the event coincided with colliding bunches and had at least 4 pixel clusters and at least 4 SCT space points at L2. The trigger was found to be ∼ 97% efficient for low-multiplicity events, and almost fully efficient otherwise. It showed no dependence on the p_T and pseudorapidity distributions of the selected tracks.
- The vertex reconstruction efficiency, $\epsilon_{\text{vtx}}(N_{\text{sel}}^{\text{BS}}, \langle \eta \rangle)$ was also measured in data, by taking the ratio of the number of triggered events with a reconstructed vertex to the total number of triggered events. For events containing fewer than three selected tracks, the efficiency was found to depend on the projected separation along the beam axis of the two extrapolated tracks, Δz_0^{BS} . This efficiency amounted to approximately 90% for the lowest bin of $N_{\rm sel}^{\rm BS}$, rapidly rising to 100%.
- A correction factor, $\epsilon_{\text{Id trk}}(\epsilon_{\text{trk}})$ accounts for the probability that due to the tracking inefficiency none of the candidate leading tracks with $p_T > 1$ GeV are reconstructed in an event, resulting in the event failing the selection criteria. A partial correction for this was provided by determining the probability that all possible reconstructed leading tracks would be missed for each event using the known tracking efficiencies,

and then dividing the event weight by this probability. This process will in general yield an excessive correction, since the correct weight should be determined using the number and distributions of true charged particles with $p_T > 1$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ rather than the distributions of reconstructed tracks. This leads to an over-estimation of the probability for the event to be omitted. Nevertheless, this correction represents a good estimate of the efficiency, given the efficiency estimate of tracks in each event. The efficiency was found to be $> 98\%$ in low- p_T bins and almost 100% in high- p_T bins. The uncertainty for this correction is included as part of the tracking efficiency systematic uncertainty. The correction was made with the expectation that the final unfolding in the form of bin-by-bin corrections will provide the small additional correction that is needed.

The total correction applied to account for events lost due to the trigger, vertex, and tracking requirements (in bins of number of tracks with $p_T > 0.5$ GeV) is given by

$$
w_{\rm ev} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\rm trig}(N_{\rm sel}^{\rm BS})} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\rm vtx}(N_{\rm sel}^{\rm BS}, \langle \eta \rangle)} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\rm ld\,trk}(\epsilon_{\rm trk})},\tag{1}
$$

where $\epsilon_{\rm trig}(N_{\rm sel}^{\rm BS}), \epsilon_{\rm vtx}(N_{\rm sel}^{\rm BS}, \langle \eta \rangle)$ and $\epsilon_{\rm Id, trk}(\epsilon_{\rm trk})$ are the trigger, vertex reconstruction and leading track reconstruction efficiencies discussed earlier.

B. Track-level correction

The track-reconstruction efficiency in each bin of the p_T – η kinematic plane, was determined from simulation and defined as

$$
\epsilon_{\text{bin}}(p_{\text{T}}, \eta) = \frac{N_{\text{rec}}^{\text{matched}}(p_{\text{T}}, \eta)}{N_{\text{gen}}(p_{\text{T}}, \eta)},
$$
\n(2)

where $N_{\text{rec}}^{\text{matched}}(p_{\text{T}}, \eta)$ is the number of reconstructed tracks in a given bin matched to a generated charged particle, and $N_{\text{gen}}(p_{\text{T}}, \eta)$ is the number of generated particles in that bin. The matching between a generated particle and a reconstructed track was done using a cone-matching algorithm in the η – ϕ plane and associating the particle to the track with the smallest $R = \sqrt{(\Delta \phi)^2 + (\Delta \eta)^2}$ within a cone of radius $\Delta R < 0.15$. To reduce fake matching, a common pixel hit between the reconstructed, simulated track and the generated particle

track in the Geant4 simulation was also required. The efficiencies were slightly different between the datasets at the two different center-of-mass energies because of small differences in the configuration of the pixel and SCT detectors between the 2009 and 2010 data-taking periods.

A weight,

$$
w_{\text{trk}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{bin}}(p_{\text{T}}, \eta)} \cdot (1 - f_{\text{sec}}(p_{\text{T}})) \cdot (1 - f_{\text{fake}}),\tag{3}
$$

was applied on a track-by-track basis to all track-level histograms. Here $\epsilon_{\text{bin}}(p_{\text{T}}, \eta)$ is the track-reconstruction efficiency described earlier, f_{sec} is the fraction of secondaries, and f_{fake} is the fraction of fakes.

C. Final unfolding step

The efficiency corrections described so far do not account for bin-by-bin migrations, nor for the possibility of not reconstructing the leading particle in the event as the leading track (reorientation of an event). To account for these effects, an additional bin-by-bin unfolding was applied to all distributions after applying the event- and track-level efficiency corrections described above.

In this correction step, the unfolding factors were evaluated separately in each bin for each observable listed in Table [I,](#page-4-0)

$$
U_{\text{bin}} = \frac{V_{\text{bin}}^{\text{Gen}}}{V_{\text{bin}}^{\text{Reco, eff corr}}},\tag{4}
$$

where $V_{\text{bin}}^{\text{Gen}}$ and $V_{\text{bin}}^{\text{Reco, eff corr}}$ respectively represent the generator level MC value of the observable and the reconstructed MC value after applying the event- and track-level efficiency corrections at each bin. The corrected value for an observable is found by multiplying the measured value by the corresponding unfolding factor. This unfolding factor is within 5% (10%) of unity in the lowest- p_T bins for the $p_T > 100$ MeV (500 MeV) analyses respectively, due to the migration and reorientation effects, and very close to unity for higher- p_T bins.

VII. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

A study of the systematic uncertainties was performed, and these were propagated to the final distributions and added in quadrature to obtain a total systematic uncertainty.

Systematic uncertainties from tracking efficiency were studied [\[4,](#page-33-5) [5\]](#page-33-1), and the largest were found to be due to the following:

- The material in the inner detector: the effect of material budget uncertainties in the inner detector was determined to affect the efficiency by a relative difference of 2% in the barrel region, rising to over 7% for 2.3 $<$ | η | $<$ 2.5, for tracks with $p_T > 500$ MeV.
- Consequence of χ^2 probability cut: the maximum difference between the fraction of events in data and MC which passed this cut was found to be 10%. This value was taken as a conservative estimate of the systematic uncertainty, applied to tracks with $p_{\rm T} > 10$ GeV only.

The systematic uncertainty from pile-up removal was estimated to be negligible.

The most common UE observable is a "profile" plot of the mean value of a charged particle p_T or multiplicity observable as a function of the p_T of the leading object in the event. Due to the steeply-falling p_T spectrum in minimum bias events, the number of events in the low- p_T bins of these profiles is much higher than in the higher- p_T bins, and so migration of the leading track from the lower- p_T bins to higher ones is possible: this was accounted for in the MC-based unfolding procedure. However, an additional systematic uncertainty was included because more p_T^{lead} migrations are expected in data than in the MC detector modelling. This extra systematic contributes only to the region of the profiles with $p_T^{\text{lead}} > 10 \text{ GeV}$, since a small fraction of highly mismeasured leading tracks from the lowest p_T^{lead} bin can still have a significant effect upon the less-populated high- p_T^{lead} bins. Since the greatest difference from the p_T^{lead} -profile values in $p_T^{\text{lead}} > 10 \text{ GeV}$ is seen in the first p_T^{lead} bin, a conservative systematic estimate was obtained by assuming all migrations to come from the first bin.

The remaining contributions to the overall systematic uncertainty result from the specific unfolding method used in this analysis. The bin-by-bin unfolding corrections are in general influenced by the number of charged particles and their p_T distributions, so there is some dependence on the event generator model. This introduces a second extra source of systematic uncertainty. In order to estimate this uncertainty it is necessary to compare different plausible

FIG. 2. Difference in ϕ between the leading and the sub-leading track in PYTHIA, PHOJET and in uncorrected data. The left plot is for 900 GeV and the right is for 7 TeV. The MC curves are shown after the full detector simulation.

event generation models, which deviate significantly from each other. Between the various models and tunes already described, the maximal variation is seen between PYTHIA and PHOJET, and this difference is taken as a measure of the uncertainty due to modeldependence. Where the PHOJET sample has sufficient statistics, it is seen that beyond the statistical fluctuations the relative difference between the required correction factors from PHOJET and PYTHIA are at most 4% in the lowest- p_T bins, and 2% everywhere else.

Since this uncertainty is independent of any efficiency systematics, it has been summed in quadrature with the efficiency systematic uncertainty and the statistical uncertainty. In addition to the model-dependent uncertainty in the bin-by-bin unfolding, there is also a statistical uncertainty due to the finite size of the Monte Carlo sample. The statistical fluctuation of the PYTHIA unfolding factor is found to be negligible for low- p_T bins, but rises to be a significant contribution in higher p_T bins.

The $|\Delta\phi|$ between the leading track and the track with the second-highest p_T (the subleading track) is shown in Figure [2.](#page-14-0) It is seen to be most likely that the sub-leading charged particle lies in either the true toward or the true away region, in which case there is relatively little effect on the observables – the transverse region is particularly unaffected by a $\sim 180^{\circ}$ reorientation. However, if the reconstructed leading track lies in what should have been the transverse region, the effect will be to reduce the densities in the toward and away regions, and to increase the densities in the transverse region. The bin-by-bin unfolding derived from the MC corrects for this effect, provided that it occurs with the frequency of reorientation predicted by the MC simulation. Figure [2](#page-14-0) is used to estimate the relative frequency with

which an event is reoriented such that the true towards and away regions lie in the transverse region identified by the reconstruction. Comparing the $|\Delta\phi|$ distribution in uncorrected data to the same distributions (uncorrected and reconstructed) predicted by PYTHIA and PHOJET, it is seen that both generator models predict fewer event reorientations of this type. The final correction to the data uses bin-by-bin unfolding factors that are derived from the PYTHIA sample, so the relative magnitude of the systematic uncertainty associated with this effect can again be estimated by the difference of the PYTHIA and PHOJET probabilities. This difference is comparable with the difference between the data and PYTHIA predictions. The uncertainty is applied in both directions, reasonably assuming a symmetric effect, so the the difference in PYTHIA and PHOJET corrections provides the systematic uncertainty in the unfolding factor even though the PHOJET deviation from PYTHIA is in the opposite direction from the data.

Table [II](#page-15-0) summarizes the various contributions to the systematic uncertainties.

TABLE II. Summary of systematic uncertainties, shown in the lowest-, intermediate- and highest- p_T bins. For the analysis with 7 TeV (900 GeV) center-of-mass energy data, the lowest- p_T bin refers to $p_{\rm T}^{\rm lead} = 1.0 - 1.5$ GeV, the intermediate $p_{\rm T}$ bin refers to $p_{\rm T}^{\rm lead} = 9 - 10$ GeV (4 – 5 GeV), and the highest p_T bin refers to $p_T^{\text{lead}} = 18 - 20 \text{ GeV} (9 - 10 \text{ GeV})$. The uncertainties shown are from the transverse region charged $\sum p_T$ distribution, and all the other profiles are estimated to have comparable or less systematic uncertainty. Each uncertainty is given relative to the profile value at that stage in the correction sequence and they are an average over all of the phase-space values. In the cases where the uncertainties are different for 900 GeV and 7 TeV analysis, the 900 GeV value is shown in parentheses.

VIII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Overview

In this section, corrected distributions of underlying event observables are compared to model predictions tuned to a wide range of measurements. As described, the data have received minimally model-dependent corrections to facilitate model comparisons. The transverse, toward and away regions each have an area of $\Delta \phi \Delta \eta = 10 \pi/3$ in η – ϕ space, so the density of particles $\langle d^2N_{ch}/d\eta d\phi \rangle$ and transverse momentum sum $\langle d^2\Sigma p_T/d\eta d\phi \rangle$ are constructed by dividing the mean values by the corresponding area. The leading charged particle is included in the toward region distributions, unless otherwise stated.

The data, corrected back to particle level in the transverse, toward and away regions are compared with predictions by PYTHIA with the ATLAS MC09, DW, and Perugia0 tunes, by HERWIG+JIMMY with the ATLAS MC09 tune, and by PHOJET. The ratios of the MC predictions to the data are shown at the bottom of these plots. The error bars show the statistical uncertainty while the shaded area shows the combined statistical and systematic uncertainties. For the higher values of leading charged particle p_T , the data statistics are limited, so the distributions are shown only in the p_T range where sufficient statistics are available.

B. Charged particle multiplicity

The charged particle multiplicity density, in the kinematic range $p_T > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ is shown in Figure [3](#page-17-0) as a function of p_T^{lead} at $\sqrt{s} = 900 \text{ GeV}$ and 7 TeV.

For the 7 TeV (900 GeV) data, the average number of charged particles in the transverse region doubles in going from $p_T^{\text{lead}} = 2 \text{ GeV}(1.5 \text{ GeV})$ to 5 GeV (3 GeV), and then forms an approximately constant "plateau" for $p_T^{\text{lead}} > 5 \text{ GeV}(3 \text{ GeV})$. If we assume the UE to be uniform in azimuthal angle ϕ and pseudorapidity η , then for $p_T^{\text{lead}} > 5 \text{ GeV}(3 \text{ GeV})$, the charged particle density of 0.8 (0.4) translates to about 5 (2.5) particles per unit η (extrapolating to the full ϕ space) on average per event, compared to the corresponding number of 2.423 ± 0.001 (stat.) ± 0.042 (syst.) $(1.343 \pm 0.004$ (stat.) ± 0.042 (syst.)) obtained in the ATLAS minimum bias measurement [\[5\]](#page-33-1) with $p_T > 500$ MeV.

It can be concluded that the charged particle density in the underlying event, for events

FIG. 3. ATLAS data at 900 GeV (left) and at 7 TeV (right) corrected back to particle level, showing the density of the charged particles $\langle d^2N_{ch}/d\eta d\phi \rangle$ with $p_T > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, as a function of $p_{\rm T}^{\rm lead}$. The data is compared with PYTHIA ATLAS MC09, DW and Perugia0 tunes, HERWIG + JIMMY ATLAS MC09 tune, and PHOJET predictions. The top, middle and the bottom rows, respectively, show the transverse, toward and away regions defined by the leading charged particle. The error bars show the statistical uncertainty while the shaded area shows the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty.

with a leading charged particle in the plateau region (above approximately 3 or 5 GeV for the 900 GeV or 7 TeV data respectively), is about a factor of two larger than the number of charged particles per unit rapidity seen in the inclusive minimum bias spectrum. This is presumably due to the selection effect for more momentum exchange in these events, and the expected absence of diffractive contributions to the events which populate the plateau region. Given that there is one hard scattering it is more probable to have MPI, and hence, the underlying event has more activity than minimum bias.

All the pre-LHC MC tunes considered show at least 10–15% lower activity than the data in the transverse region plateau. The PYTHIA DW tune is the closest model to data for the transverse region, and in fact agrees well with the data in the toward and away regions. The most significant difference between data and MC is seen for the PHOJET generator, particularly at 7 TeV. The strong deviation of HERWIG+JIMMY from the data at low- p_T^{lead} is expected, as the JIMMY model requires at least one hard scattering and therefore is not expected to be applicable in this region.

The underlying event activity is seen to increase by a factor of approximately two between the 900 GeV and 7 TeV data. This is roughly consistent with the rate of increase predicted by MC models tuned to Tevatron data. The toward and away regions are dominated by jet-like activity, yielding gradually rising number densities. In contrast, the number density in the transverse region appears to be independent of the energy scale defined by p_T^{lead} once it reaches the plateau. The 900 GeV and 7 TeV data show the same trend.

C. Charged particle scalar p_T sum

In Figure [4](#page-19-0) the charged particle scalar $\sum p_T$ density, in the kinematic range $p_T > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, is shown as a function of p_T^{lead} at $\sqrt{s} = 900 \text{ GeV}$ and 7 TeV.

The summed charged particle p_T in the plateau characterises the mean contribution of the underlying event to jet energies. The higher number density implies a higher p_T density as well. All the MC tunes considered show 10–15% lower $\sum p_{\rm T}$ than the data in the plateau part of the transverse region. The PYTHIA DW tune is again seen to be the closest to data in the transverse region, but it slightly overshoots the data in the toward and away regions. PHOJET is again the model furthest from the data, particularly at 7 TeV, and the strong deviation of HERWIG+JIMMY from the data at low- p_T^{lead} is again expected due to

FIG. 4. ATLAS data at 900 GeV (left) and at 7 TeV (right) corrected back to particle level, showing the scalar $\sum p_{\rm T}$ density of the charged particles $\langle d^2 \sum p_{\rm T}/d\eta d\phi \rangle$ with $p_{\rm T} > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, as a function of $p_{\rm T}^{\rm lead}$. The data is compared with PYTHIA ATLAS MC09, DW and Perugia0 tunes, HERWIG + JIMMY ATLAS MC09 tune, and PHOJET predictions. The top, middle and the bottom rows, respectively, show the transverse, toward and away regions defined by the leading charged particle. The error bars show the statistical uncertainty while the shaded area shows the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty.

the range of validity of the model. The value of $\sum p_{\rm T}$ is seen to increase by slightly more than a factor of two between 900 GeV and 7 TeV data, which is roughly consistent with the increase predicted by the MC models.

In the toward and away regions jet-like rising profiles are observed, in contrast to the plateau-like feature in the transverse region. The toward region includes the leading charged particle, and has a higher $\sum p_{\rm T}$ than the away region as there is higher probability of high- $p_{\rm T}$ particles being produced in association with the leading- p_T charged particle. In the toward region the highest fraction of energy has been allocated to a single charged particle. This implicitly reduces the number of additional charged particles in that region, since there is less remaining energy to be partitioned. As a result the multiplicity of charged particles is slightly lower in the toward region by comparison to the away region for high- p_T^{lead} . The increase of the p_T densities in the toward and away regions indicates the extent of the variation in the charged fraction of the total energy in each region.

Multiplying the $\sum p_{\rm T}$ density by the area associated with the toward region, the $\sum p_{\rm T}$ is nearly twice what it would be if the leading charged particle were the only charged particle in the region. For the away region, the initial linear rise corresponds to the region whose total p_T nearly balances that of the leading charged particle alone. The 900 GeV and 7 TeV data show the same trend.

D. Standard deviation of charged particle multiplicity and scalar $\sum p_{\text{T}}$

In Figure [5,](#page-21-0) the standard deviation of the charged particle multiplicity and charged particle scalar $\sum p_{\rm T}$ densities, in the kinematic range $p_{\rm T} > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, are shown against the leading charged particle p_T at $\sqrt{s} = 900$ GeV and 7 TeV (for the transverse region only).

The mean and standard deviation of the p_T density in the transverse region characterize a range of additional energy that jets might acquire if the underlying event were uniformly distributed. As the error formula is neither trivial nor particularly standard, we reproduce it here: for each bin, the sample variance of the variance of the observable $x \in \{N_{\text{ch}}, \sum p_{\text{T}}\}$ is $var(var(x)) = m_4(x) - 4 m_3(x) m_1(x) - m_2(x)^2 + 8 m_2(x) m_1(x)^2 - 4 m_1(x)^4$, where $m_N(x) =$ $\langle x^N \rangle$ is the order N moment of the distribution. This is then translated into the standard error on the standard deviation of x via error propagation with a single derivative, giving symmetric errors of size $\sqrt{\text{var}(x)/(n-2)}/2\sqrt{\text{var}(x)}$, where n is the number of entries

FIG. 5. ATLAS data at 900 GeV (left) and at 7 TeV (right) corrected back to the particle level, showing the standard deviation of the density of the charged particles $\langle d^2N_{ch}/d\eta d\phi \rangle$ (top row) and the standard deviation of the scalar $\sum p_T$ density of charged particles $\langle d^2 \sum p_T / d\eta d\phi \rangle$ (bottom row) with $p_T > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, as a function of p_T^{lead} , for the transverse region defined by the leading charged particle and compared with PYTHIA ATLAS MC09, DW and Perugia0 tunes, HERWIG+JIMMY ATLAS MC09 tune, and PHOJET predictions. The error bars show the statistical uncertainty while the shaded area shows the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty.

in the bin. The 900 GeV and 7 TeV data show the same trend.

The confirmation that the magnitude of the standard deviations of the distributions are comparable to the magnitudes of the mean values indicates that a subtraction of the underlying event from jets should be done on an event by event basis, rather than by the subtraction of an invariant average value. These distributions also provide an additional constraint on generator models and tunes: the discrepancy between models is much stronger at 7 TeV than at 900 GeV, with HERWIG+JIMMY giving the best description and PHOJET in particular severely undershooting the data at 7 TeV.

E. Charged particle mean p_T

In Figure [6](#page-23-0) the average charged particle $\sum p_{\rm T}$, in the kinematic range $p_{\rm T} > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta|$ < 2.5, is shown as a function of p_T^{lead} at $\sqrt{s} = 900 \text{ GeV}$ and 7 TeV. These plots were constructed on an event-by-event basis by dividing the total charged particle p_T in each region by the number of charged particles in that region, requiring at least one charged charged particle in the considered region.

All the MC tunes, except PYTHIA tune DW, show somewhat lower mean p_T than the data in the plateau part of the transverse region and overestimates the data in the toward and away regions. The underlying event $\langle p_T \rangle$ is seen to increase by about 20% going from $\sqrt{s} = 900 \text{ GeV}$ to 7 TeV, again described by the MC models. There is relatively little discrimination between MC models for this observable, all predictions are within ∼ 10% of the data values. The toward and away regions are dominated by the jet-like rising profiles, in contrast to the plateau in the transverse region. The toward region has a higher mean p_T than the away region since there is higher probability of higher p_T particles being produced in association with the leading charged particle. The 900 GeV and 7 TeV data show the same trend.

F. Charged particle mean p_T and multiplicity correlations

The correlation between the mean p_T of charged particles and the charged particle multiplicity in each region is sensitive to the amount of hard (perturbative QCD) versus soft (non-perturbative QCD) processes contributing to the UE. This has previously been measured for inclusive minimum bias events by CDF [\[24\]](#page-35-2) and ATLAS [\[5\]](#page-33-1). We present this quantity in Figure [7](#page-24-0) for each of the azimuthal regions in the kinematic range $p_T > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$.

The profiles in the transverse and away regions are very similar, showing a monotonic increase of $\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle$ with $N_{\rm ch}$. The profile of the toward region is different, as it is essentially determined by the requirement of a track with $p_T > 1$ GeV. For $N_{ch} = 1$, it contains only the leading charged particle and as N_{ch} is increased by inclusion of soft charged particles the average is reduced. However, for $N_{ch} > 5$ jet-like structure begins to form, and a weak rise of the mean p_T is observed. The 900 GeV and 7 TeV data show the same trend. Comparing the 900 GeV and 7 TeV data, it is seen that the mean charged particle p_T vs. N_{ch} profiles

FIG. 6. ATLAS data at 900 GeV (left) and at 7 TeV (right) corrected back to particle level, showing the mean p_T of the charged particles with $p_T > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, as a function of p_T^{lead} . The data is compared with PYTHIA ATLAS MC09, DW and Perugia0 tunes, HERWIG + JIMMY ATLAS MC09 tune, and PHOJET predictions. The top, middle and the bottom rows, respectively, show the transverse, toward and away regions defined by the leading charged particle. The error bars show the statistical uncertainty while the shaded area shows the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty.

FIG. 7. ATLAS data at 900 GeV (left) and at 7 TeV (right) corrected back to particle level, showing the mean p_T of the charged particles against the charged multiplicity, for charged particles with $p_T > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The data is compared with PYTHIA ATLAS MC09, DW and Perugia0 tunes, HERWIG + JIMMY ATLAS MC09 tune, and PHOJET predictions. The top, middle and the bottom rows, respectively, show the transverse, toward and away regions defined by the leading charged particle. The error bars show the statistical uncertainty while the shaded area shows the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty.

are largely independent of the energy scale of the collisions.

The MC models again show most differentiation for the 7 TeV data, and it is interesting to see that the HERWIG+JIMMY model describes the data well at this center-of-mass energy – better than either the DW or ATLAS MC09 PYTHIA tunes (which both substantially overshoot at 7 TeV) and comparably to the Perugia0 PYTHIA tune. PHOJET gives the best description at 7 TeV. However, both HERWIG+JIMMY and PHOJET undershoot the transverse region data at 900 GeV, so no robust conclusion can be drawn about the relative qualities of the models.

G. Angular distributions

The angular distributions with respect to the leading charged particle of the charged particle number and $\sum p_{\rm T}$ densities at $\sqrt{s} = 900$ GeV and 7 TeV, with charged particle $p_T > 0.5$ GeV, are plotted in Figures [8](#page-26-0) and [9.](#page-27-0) The leading charged particle taken to be at $\Delta \phi = 0$ has been excluded from the distributions. The data are shown for four different lower cut values in leading charged particle p_T . These distributions are constructed by reflecting $|\Delta\phi|$ about zero, i.e. the region $-\pi \leq \Delta\phi < 0$ is an exact mirror image of the measured $|\Delta\phi|$ region shown in $0 \leq \Delta \phi \leq \pi$.

These distributions show a significant difference in shape between data and MC predictions. With the increase of the leading charged particle p_T , the development of jet-like structure can be observed, as well as the corresponding sharper rise in transverse regions compared to the MC. The saturation at higher p_T indicates the plateau region seen in Figures [3](#page-17-0) and [4.](#page-19-0) PYTHIA tunes essentially predict a stronger correlation than is seen in the data, and this discrepancy in the toward region associated particle density was also observed at CDF [\[32\]](#page-35-9).

H. Charged particle multiplicity and scalar $\sum p_{\rm T}$ for lower $p_{\rm T}$ cut

In Figures [10](#page-28-0) and [11,](#page-29-0) the charged particle multiplicity density and charged particle scalar $\sum p_{\rm T}$ density are shown against the leading charged particle $p_{\rm T}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 900$ GeV and 7 TeV. This time a lower p_T cut-off of 0.1 GeV is applied for the charged particles in $|\eta| < 2.5$.

Compared to the previous plots with $p_T > 500$ MeV, almost a twofold increase in multiplicity is observed, but the scalar $\sum p_{\rm T}$ stays very similar. Again, the pre-LHC MC tunes

FIG. 8. ATLAS data at 900 GeV (top) and at 7 TeV (bottom) corrected back to the particle level, showing the ϕ distribution of charged particle densities $d^2N_{ch}/d\eta d\Delta\phi$ with respect to the leading charged particle (at $\Delta \phi = 0$), for $p_T > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The leading charged particle is excluded. The data are compared to MC predictions by the PYTHIA ATLAS MC09, DW and Perugia0 tunes, the HERWIG +JIMMY ATLAS MC09 tune, and PHOJET. The distributions obtained by restricting the minimum leading charged particle p_T to different values are shown separately. The plots have been symmetrized by reflecting them about $\Delta \phi = 0$. The error bars show the statistical uncertainty while the shaded areas show the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty corresponding to each p_T lower cut value.

FIG. 9. ATLAS data at 900 GeV (top) and at 7 TeV (bottom) corrected back to the particle level, showing the ϕ distribution of charged particle p_T densities $d^2 p_T/d\eta d\Delta\phi$ with respect to the leading charged particle (at $\Delta \phi = 0$), for $p_T > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The leading charged particle is excluded. The data are compared to MC predictions by the PYTHIA ATLAS MC09, DW and Perugia0 tunes, the HERWIG +JIMMY ATLAS MC09 tune, and PHOJET. The distributions obtained by restricting the minimum leading charged particle p_T to different values are shown separately. The plots have been symmetrized by reflecting them about $\Delta \phi = 0$. The error bars show the statistical uncertainty while the shaded areas show the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty corresponding to each p_T lower cut value.

FIG. 10. ATLAS data at 900 GeV (left) and at 7 TeV (right) corrected back to particle level, showing the density of the charged particles $\langle d^2N_{ch}/d\eta d\phi \rangle$ with $p_T > 0.1$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, as a function of p_T^{lead} . The data is compared with PYTHIA ATLAS MC09, DW and Perugia0 tunes, HERWIG + JIMMY ATLAS MC09 tune, and PHOJET predictions. The top, middle and the bottom rows, respectively, show the transverse, toward and away regions defined by the leading charged particle. The error bars show the statistical uncertainty while the shaded area shows the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty.

FIG. 11. ATLAS data at 900 GeV (left) and at 7 TeV (right) corrected back to particle level, showing the scalar $\sum p_T$ density of the charged particles $\langle d^2 \sum p_T/d\eta d\phi \rangle$ with $p_T > 0.1$ GeV and $|\eta|$ < 2.5, as a function of p_T^{lead} . The data is compared with PYTHIA ATLAS MC09, DW and Perugia0 tunes, HERWIG + JIMMY ATLAS MC09 tune and PHOJET predictions. The top, middle and the bottom rows, respectively, show the transverse, toward and away regions defined by the leading charged particle. The error bars show the statistical uncertainty while the shaded area shows the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty.

FIG. 12. ATLAS data at 7 TeV corrected back to the particle level, showing the density of the charged particles $\langle d^2N_{ch}/d\eta d\phi \rangle$ (left) and the scalar $\sum p_T$ density of charged particles $\langle d^2 \sum p_T/d\eta d\phi \rangle$ (right) with $p_T > 0.1$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, as a function of the leading charged particle $|\eta|$, for the transverse region plateau ($p_T^{lead} > 5$ GeV), defined by the leading charged particle and compared with PYTHIA ATLAS MC09, DW and Perugia0 tunes, and HERWIG+JIMMY ATLAS MC09 tune, and PHOJET predictions. The error bars show the statistical uncertainty while the shaded area shows the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty.

show lower activity than the data in the plateau part of the transverse region, except for HERWIG+JIMMY which predicts the charged particle multiplicity density better than other models, but does not do better for the $\sum p_T$ density. As this distinction of MC models is not seen for the $p_T > 500$ MeV N_{ch} profile in Section [VIII B,](#page-16-1) it can be seen that HER-WIG+JIMMY produces more particles between 100 MeV and 500 MeV than the other MC models. A similar effect may be observed in the $\langle p_T \rangle$ vs. N_{ch} observable of Section [VIII F.](#page-22-0)

I. Charged particle multiplicity and scalar $\sum p_{\rm T}$ vs. $|\eta|$ of the leading charged particle

Figure [12](#page-30-0) shows the charged particle multiplicity density and $\sum p_{\rm T}$ density in the kinematic range $p_T > 0.1$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, for $p_T^{lead} > 5$ GeV, against the leading charged particle pseudorapidity for \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV. As this observable is composed only from events on the low-statistics transverse region plateau, the available statistics were not sufficient at √ $\overline{s} = 900$ GeV for a robust analysis. However, the same behavior is seen as for 7 TeV.

It has been proposed that the dependence of the event characteristics on the (pseudo)rapidity

can be a useful test of the centrality of the events [\[33\]](#page-35-10). In Figure [12,](#page-30-0) the multiplicity and $\sum p_{\rm T}$ are seen to be independent of η for the transverse region plateau, suggesting that the average impact parameters in pp collisions do not depend strongly on η of the leading particle for a given p_T .

IX. CONCLUSIONS

Measurements of underlying event structure with the ATLAS detector have been presented, using the data delivered by the LHC during 2009 and 2010 at center-of-mass energies of 900 GeV and 7 TeV. This is the first underlying event analysis at 7 TeV, and it is one of the first underlying event analysis to use the leading charged particle method at 900 GeV.

The data have been corrected with minimal model-dependence and are provided as inclusive distributions at the particle level. The selected phase-space and the precision of this analysis highlight significant differences between Monte Carlo models and the measured distributions. The same trend was observed for the ATLAS inclusive charged particle multiplicity measurement [\[4,](#page-33-5) [5\]](#page-33-1). PHOJET, HERWIG+JIMMY and all pre-LHC MC tunes of PYTHIA predict less activity in the transverse region (i.e in the underlying event) than is actually observed, for both center-of-mass energies and for charged particle minimum p_T requirements of both 100 MeV and 500 MeV. The charged particle multiplicity in the plateau of the transverse region distribution was found to be about two times higher than that of minimum bias particle density in the overall event.

One of the goals of this analysis is to provide data that can be used to test and improve Monte Carlo models in preparation for other physics studies at the LHC. The underlying event observables presented here are particularly important for constraining the energy evolution of multiple partonic interaction models, since the plateau heights of the UE profiles are highly correlated to multiple parton interaction activity. As MC models of soft physics are least predictive when modeling diffractive processes, it is particularly useful that the UE profiles are largely insensitive to contributions from soft diffraction models: the PYTHIA soft diffraction model indicates that these are constrained to the lowest bins in p_T^{lead} . However, the sensitivity to more complete diffraction models with a hard component, such as implemented in PYTHIA 8 [\[34\]](#page-35-11) or PHOJET, has not yet been fully ascertained.

The data at 7 TeV are particularly important for MC tuning, since measurements are

needed with at least two energies to constrain the energy evolution of MPI activity. While measurements from CDF exist at 630 GeV, 1800 GeV and 1960 GeV, in addition to these ATLAS measurements at 900 GeV and 7 TeV, there is a tension between the CDF and ATLAS measurements: the ATLAS analyses indicate higher levels of activity, as evidenced by the failure of MC tunes to CDF data to match the ATLAS data[\[35\]](#page-35-12). Hence, ATLAS UE measurement at two energies provides the best tuning data for MC predictions of ATLAS UE at higher energies. While the PYTHIA DW tune fits the ATLAS UE profile data closer than any other current tune, it fails to describe other data, which is highlighted in the shape of Figure [7.](#page-24-0) The increase of initial state radiation activity (and different shower models) in tune DW may be responsible for this behavior. There is therefore no current standard MC tune which adequately describes all the early ATLAS data. However, using diffraction-limited minimum bias distributions and the plateau regions of the underlying event distributions presented here, ATLAS has developed a new PYTHIA tune AMBT1 (ATLAS Minimum Bias Tune 1) and a new HERWIG+JIMMY tune AUET1 (ATLAS Underlying Event Tune 1) which model the p_T and charged multiplicity spectra significantly better than the pre-LHC tunes of those generators [\[5,](#page-33-1) [36\]](#page-35-13).

X. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank CERN for the efficient commissioning and operation of the LHC during this initial high-energy data-taking period as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MEYS (MSMT), MPO and CCRC, Czech Republic; DNRF, DNSRC and Lundbeck Foundation, Denmark; ARTEMIS, European Union; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DSM/IRFU, France; GNAS, Georgia; BMBF, DFG, HGF, MPG and AvH Foundation, Germany; GSRT, Greece; ISF, MINERVA, GIF, DIP and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; FOM and NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW, Poland; GRICES and FCT, Portugal; MERYS (MECTS), Romania; MES of Russia and ROSATOM, Russian Federation; JINR; MSTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MVZT, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MICINN, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SER, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; NSC, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN and the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA) and in the Tier-2 facilities worldwide.

- [1] K. Aamodt et al. (The ALICE Collaboration), "Charged-particle multiplicity measurement in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with ALICE at LHC," [Eur. Phys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1350-2) C68, 345–354 [\(2010\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1350-2) [arXiv:1004.3514 \[hep-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.3514)
- [2] K. Aamodt et al. (The ALICE Collaboration), "Charged-particle multiplicity measurement in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 2.36 TeV with ALICE at LHC," [Eur. Phys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1339-x) C68, [89–108 \(2010\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1339-x) [arXiv:1004.3034 \[hep-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.3034)
- [3] V. Khachatryan et al. (The CMS Collaboration), "Transverse momentum and pseudorapidity distributions of charged hadrons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 2.36 TeV," [JHEP](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2010)041) 02, 041 [\(2010\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2010)041) [arXiv:1002.0621 \[hep-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1002.0621)
- [4] G. Aad et al. (The ATLAS Collaboration), "Charged-particle multiplicities in pp interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 900$ GeV measured with the ATLAS detector at the LHC," [Physics Letters B](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.physletb.2010.03.064) 688, [21–32 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.physletb.2010.03.064)
- [5] G. Aad et al. (The ATLAS Collaboration), ["Charged particle multiplicities in](http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1281296) pp interactions for track $p_T > 100$ MeV at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV [measured with the ATLAS detector at the](http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1281296) [LHC,"](http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1281296) (2010), ATLAS-CONF-2010-046 (paper under preparation).
- [6] T. Aaltonen et al. (The CDF Collaboration), "Underlying event in hard interactions at the Fermilab Tevatron $p\bar{p}$ collider," Phys. Rev. D 70[, 072002 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.072002)
- [7] T. Aaltonen et al. (The CDF Collaboration), "Studying the underlying event in Drell-Yan and high transverse momentum jet production at the Tevatron," Phys. Rev. D 82[, 034001 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.034001)
- [8] V. Khachatryan et al. (The CMS Collaboration), "Measurement of the Underlying Event

Activity in Proton–Proton Collisions at 0.9 TeV," (2010), [arXiv:1006.2083 \[hep-ex\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.2083)

- [9] G. Aad et al. (The ATLAS Collaboration), "The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider," JINST 3[, S08003 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08003)
- [10] The ATLAS reference system is a Cartesian right-handed coordinate system, with the nominal collision point at the origin. The anti-clockwise beam direction defines the positive z -axis, while the positive x-axis is defined as pointing from the collision point to the center of the LHC ring and the positive y-axis points upwards. The azimuthal angle ϕ is measured around the beam axis, and the polar angle θ is the angle measured with respect to the *z*-axis. The pseudorapidity is given by $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$. Transverse momentum is defined relative to the beam axis.
- [11] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, "PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual," JHEP 05, 026 (2006), [hep-ph/0603175.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0603175)
- [12] G. Aad et al. (The ATLAS Collaboration), ["ATLAS Monte Carlo tunes for MC09,"](http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1247375) (2010), ATL-PHYS-PUB-2010-002.
- [13] A. Sherstnev and R. S. Thorne, "Parton distributions for LO generators," [Eur. Phys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1140/epjc/s10052-008-0610-x) C55, [553–575 \(2008\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1140/epjc/s10052-008-0610-x) [arXiv:0711.2473 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.2473)
- [14] The gluon density distribution is enhanced at low-x in the modified LO^* PDF with respect to the LO CTEQ5L/6L or MSTW2008LO PDFs.
- [15] F. Abe et al. (The CDF Collaboration), "Transverse momentum distributions of charged particles produced in $\bar{p}p$ interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 630$ GeV and 1800 GeV," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.1819) 61, [1819 \(1988\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.1819)
- [16] G. Aad et al. (The ATLAS Collaboration), "The ATLAS simulation infrastructure," (2010), [arXiv:1005.4568 \[physics.ins-det\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1005.4568)
- [17] S. Agostinelli et al. (The Geant4 collaboration), "Geant4: a simulation toolkit," [Nucl. Instr.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8) Meth. A506[, 250–303 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8)
- [18] P. Skands, "The Perugia tunes," (2009), [arXiv:0905.3418v1 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:0905.3418v1)
- [19] R. Field, "Min-bias and the underlying event at the Tevatron and the LHC," A talk presented at the Fermilab MC Tuning Workshop, Fermilab (2002).
- [20] R. Engel, "Photoproduction within the two component dual parton model: Amplitudes and cross-sections," Z. Phys. C66[, 203–214 \(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01496594)
- [21] G. Corcella et al., "HERWIG 6.5 release note," (2002), [arXiv:hep-ph/0210213.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0210213)
- [22] A. Capella *et al.*, "Jets in small- p_T hadronic collisions, universality of quark fragmentation, and rising rapidity plateaus," [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0370-2693(79)90718-4) B81, 68 (1979).
- [23] A. Capella, U. Sukhatme, C-I Tan, and J. Tran Thanh Van, "Dual parton model," [Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0370-1573(94)90064-7) 236[, 225–329 \(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0370-1573(94)90064-7)
- [24] T. Aaltonen et al. (The CDF Collaboration), "Measurement of particle production and inclusive differential cross-sections in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV," Phys. Rev. D 79[, 112005 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.112005)
- [25] C. Albajar et al. (The UA1 Collaboration), "A study of the general characteristics of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.2$ TeV to 0.9 TeV," Nucl. Phys. **B335**[, 261 \(1990\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0550-3213(90)90493-W)
- [26] F. Abe et al. (The CDF Collaboration), "Pseudorapidity distributions of charged particles produced in $\bar{p}p$ interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 630$ GeV and 1800 GeV," Phys. Rev. **D41**[, 2330 \(1990\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevD.41.2330)
- [27] J. M. Butterworth, J. R. Forshaw, and M. H. Seymour, "Multiparton interactions in photoproduction at HERA," Z. Phys. C72[, 637–646 \(1996\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s002880050286) [arXiv:hep-ph/9601371.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9601371)
- [28] This is a more stringent requirement than the requirement of seven silicon hits at the track reconstruction step.
- [29] The factor of $\sin \theta$ compensates for the $\sin \theta$ in the denominator of the uncertainty of z_0 derived from the measured distance of closest approach.
- [30] A long non-Gaussian tail in the track momentum resolution, combined with the steeply falling p_T spectrum, leads to an observed migration of very-low-momentum particles to very high reconstructed p_T , which are referred to as mismeasured tracks.
- [31] G. Aad et al. (The ATLAS Collaboration), ["Beam parameters and machine performance to be](http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1281642) [reached in 2010," A](http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1281642) talk presented at Evian 2010 Workshop on LHC Commissioning (2010).
- [32] R. Field, "Early QCD measurements at the LHC," A talk presented at LHC@BNL: Joint Theory/Experiment Workshop on Early Physics at the LHC, BNL (2010).
- [33] L. Frankfurt, M. Strikman, and C. Weiss, "Transverse nucleon structure and diagnostics of hard parton-parton processes at LHC," (2010), [arXiv:1009.2559 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.2559)
- [34] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, "A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1," [Comput.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036) Phys. Commun. 178[, 852–867 \(2008\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036) [arXiv:0710.3820 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.3820)
- [35] The CDF measurements are within $|\eta| < 1$, but ATLAS measurements restricted to that η range show the same discrepancy as seen for the $|\eta| < 2.5$ results presented here.
- [36] G. Aad et al. (The ATLAS Collaboration), ["First tuning of HERWIG/JIMMY to ATLAS](http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1303025) [data,"](http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1303025) (2010), ATL-PHYS-PUB-2010-014.

The ATLAS Collaboration

G. Aad⁴⁸, B. Abbott¹¹¹, J. Abdallah¹¹, A.A. Abdelalim⁴⁹, A. Abdesselam¹¹⁸, O. Abdinov¹⁰, B. Abi¹¹², M. Abolins⁸⁸, H. Abramowicz¹⁵³, H. Abreu¹¹⁵, E. Acerbi^{89a,89b}, B.S. Acharya^{164a,164b}, M. Ackers²⁰, D.L. Adams²⁴, T.N. Addy⁵⁶, J. Adelman¹⁷⁵, M. Aderholz⁹⁹, S. Adomeit⁹⁸, P. Adragna⁷⁵, T. Adye¹²⁹, S. Aefsky²², J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra^{124b,a}, M. Aharrouche⁸¹, S.P. Ahlen²¹, F. Ahles⁴⁸, A. Ahmad¹⁴⁸, H. Ahmed², M. Ahsan⁴⁰, G. Aielli^{133a,133b}, T. Akdogan^{18a}, T.P.A. Åkesson⁷⁹, G. Akimoto¹⁵⁵, A.V. Akimov⁹⁴, M.S. Alam¹, M.A. Alam⁷⁶, S. Albrand⁵⁵, M. Aleksa²⁹, I.N. Aleksandrov⁶⁵, M. Aleppo^{89a,89b}, F. Alessandria^{89a}, C. Alexa^{25a}, G. Alexander¹⁵³, G. Alexandre⁴⁹, T. Alexopoulos⁹, M. Alhroob²⁰, M. Aliev¹⁵, G. Alimonti^{89a}, J. Alison¹²⁰, M. Aliyev¹⁰, P.P. Allport⁷³, S.E. Allwood-Spiers⁵³, J. Almond⁸², A. Aloisio^{102a,102b}, R. Alon¹⁷¹, A. Alonso⁷⁹, J. Alonso¹⁴, M.G. Alviggi^{102a,102b}, K. Amako⁶⁶, P. Amaral²⁹, C. Amelung²², V.V. Ammosov¹²⁸, A. Amorim^{124a,b}, G. Amorós¹⁶⁷, N. Amram¹⁵³, C. Anastopoulos¹³⁹, T. Andeen³⁴, C.F. Anders²⁰, K.J. Anderson³⁰, A. Andreazza^{89a,89b}, V. Andrei^{58a}, M-L. Andrieux⁵⁵, X.S. Anduaga⁷⁰, A. Angerami³⁴, F. Anghinolfi²⁹, N. Anjos^{124a}, A. Annovi⁴⁷, A. Antonaki⁸, M. Antonelli⁴⁷, S. Antonelli^{19a,19b}, J. Antos^{144b}, B. Antunovic⁴¹, F. Anulli^{132a}, S. Aoun⁸³, R. Apolle¹¹⁸, G. Arabidze⁸⁸, I. Aracena¹⁴³, Y. Arai⁶⁶, A.T.H. Arce⁴⁴, J.P. Archambault²⁸, S. Arfaoui^{29,c}, J-F. Arguin¹⁴, T. Argyropoulos⁹, E. Arik^{18a,*}, M. Arik^{18a}, A.J. Armbruster⁸⁷, K.E. Arms¹⁰⁹, S.R. Armstrong²⁴, O. Arnaez⁴, C. Arnault¹¹⁵, A. Artamonov⁹⁵, D. Arutinov²⁰, S. Asai¹⁵⁵, J. Silva^{124a,d}, R. Asfandiyarov¹⁷², S. Ask²⁷, B. Åsman^{146a,146b}, L. Asquith⁵, K. Assamagan²⁴, A. Astbury¹⁶⁹, A. Astvatsatourov⁵², G. Atoian¹⁷⁵, B. Aubert⁴, B. Auerbach¹⁷⁵, E. Auge¹¹⁵, K. Augsten¹²⁷, M. Aurousseau⁴, N. Austin⁷³, R. Avramidou⁹, D. Axen¹⁶⁸, C. Ay^{54} , G. Azuelos^{93,e}, Y. Azuma¹⁵⁵, M.A. Baak²⁹, G. Baccaglioni^{89a}, C. Bacci^{134a,134b}, A.M. Bach¹⁴, H. Bachacou¹³⁶, K. Bachas²⁹, G. Bachy²⁹, M. Backes⁴⁹, E. Badescu^{25a}, P. Bagnaia^{132a,132b}, Y. Bai^{32a}, D.C. Bailey ¹⁵⁸, T. Bain¹⁵⁸, J.T. Baines¹²⁹, O.K. Baker¹⁷⁵, S Baker⁷⁷, F. Baltasar Dos Santos Pedrosa²⁹, E. Banas³⁸, P. Banerjee⁹³, Sw. Banerjee¹⁶⁹, D. Banfi^{89a,89b}, A. Bangert¹³⁷, V. Bansal¹⁶⁹, H.S. Bansil¹⁷, L. Barak¹⁷¹, S.P. Baranov⁹⁴, A. Barashkou⁶⁵, A. Barbaro Galtieri¹⁴, T. Barber²⁷, E.L. Barberio⁸⁶, D. Barberis^{50a,50b}, M. Barbero²⁰, D.Y. Bardin⁶⁵, T. Barillari⁹⁹, M. Barisonzi¹⁷⁴, T. Barklow¹⁴³, N. Barlow²⁷, B.M. Barnett¹²⁹, R.M. Barnett¹⁴, A. Baroncelli^{134a}, A.J. Barr¹¹⁸, F. Barreiro⁸⁰, J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa⁵⁷, P. Barrillon¹¹⁵, R. Bartoldus¹⁴³, A.E. Barton⁷¹, D. Bartsch²⁰, R.L. Bates⁵³, L. Batkova^{144a}, J.R. Batley²⁷, A. Battaglia¹⁶, M. Battistin²⁹, G. Battistoni^{89a},

F. Bauer¹³⁶, H.S. Bawa¹⁴³, B. Beare¹⁵⁸, T. Beau⁷⁸, P.H. Beauchemin¹¹⁸, R. Beccherle^{50a}, P. Bechtle⁴¹, H.P. Beck¹⁶, M. Beckingham⁴⁸, K.H. Becks¹⁷⁴, A.J. Beddall^{18c}, A. Beddall^{18c}, V.A. Bednyakov⁶⁵, C. Bee⁸³, M. Begel²⁴, S. Behar Harpaz¹⁵², P.K. Behera⁶³, M. Beimforde⁹⁹, C. Belanger-Champagne¹⁶⁶, B. Belhorma⁵⁵, P.J. Bell⁴⁹, W.H. Bell⁴⁹, G. Bella¹⁵³, L. Bellagamba^{19a}, F. Bellina²⁹, G. Bellomo^{89a,89b}, M. Bellomo^{119a}, A. Belloni⁵⁷, K. Belotskiy⁹⁶, O. Beltramello²⁹, S. Ben Ami¹⁵², O. Benary¹⁵³, D. Benchekroun^{135a}, C. Benchouk⁸³, M. Bendel⁸¹, B.H. Benedict¹⁶³, N. Benekos¹⁶⁵, Y. Benhammou¹⁵³, D.P. Benjamin⁴⁴, M. Benoit¹¹⁵, J.R. Bensinger²², K. Benslama¹³⁰, S. Bentvelsen¹⁰⁵, D. Berge²⁹, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann⁴¹, N. Berger⁴, F. Berghaus¹⁶⁹, E. Berglund⁴⁹, J. Beringer¹⁴, K. Bernardet⁸³, P. Bernat¹¹⁵, R. Bernhard⁴⁸, C. Bernius²⁴, T. Berry⁷⁶, A. Bertin^{19a,19b}, F. Bertinelli²⁹, F. Bertolucci^{122a,122b}, M.I. Besana^{89a,89b}, N. Besson¹³⁶, S. Bethke⁹⁹, W. Bhimji⁴⁵, R.M. Bianchi⁴⁸, M. Bianco^{72a,72b}, O. Biebel⁹⁸, J. Biesiada¹⁴, M. Biglietti^{132a,132b}, H. Bilokon⁴⁷, M. Bindi^{19a,19b}, A. Bingul^{18c}, C. Bini^{132a,132b}, C. Biscarat¹⁷⁷, R. Bischof⁶², U. Bitenc⁴⁸, K.M. Black²¹, R.E. Blair⁵, J-B Blanchard¹¹⁵, G. Blanchot²⁹, C. Blocker²², J. Blocki³⁸, A. Blondel⁴⁹, W. Blum⁸¹, U. Blumenschein⁵⁴, C. Boaretto^{132a,132b}, G.J. Bobbink¹⁰⁵, V.B. Bobrovnikov¹⁰⁷, A. Bocci⁴⁴, R. Bock²⁹, C.R. Boddy¹¹⁸, M. Boehler⁴¹, J. Boek¹⁷⁴, N. Boelaert³⁵, S. Böser⁷⁷, J.A. Bogaerts²⁹, A. Bogdanchikov¹⁰⁷, A. Bogouch^{90,*}, C. Bohm^{146a}, V. Boisvert⁷⁶, T. Bold^{163,f}, V. Boldea^{25a}, M. Boonekamp¹³⁶, G. Boorman⁷⁶, C.N. Booth¹³⁹, P. Booth¹³⁹, J.R.A. Booth¹⁷, S. Bordoni⁷⁸, C. Borer¹⁶, A. Borisov¹²⁸, G. Borissov⁷¹, I. Borjanovic^{12a}, S. Borroni^{132a,132b}, K. Bos¹⁰⁵, D. Boscherini^{19a}, M. Bosman¹¹, H. Boterenbrood¹⁰⁵, D. Botterill¹²⁹, J. Bouchami⁹³, J. Boudreau¹²³, E.V. Bouhova-Thacker⁷¹, C. Boulahouache¹²³, C. Bourdarios¹¹⁵, N. Bousson⁸³, A. Boveia³⁰, J. Boyd²⁹, I.R. Boyko⁶⁵, N.I. Bozhko¹²⁸, I. Bozovic-Jelisavcic^{12b}, S. Braccini⁴⁷, J. Bracinik¹⁷, A. Braem²⁹, E. Brambilla^{72a,72b}, P. Branchini^{134a}, G.W. Brandenburg⁵⁷, A. Brandt⁷, G. Brandt⁴¹, O. Brandt⁵⁴, U. Bratzler¹⁵⁶, B. Brau⁸⁴, J.E. Brau¹¹⁴, H.M. Braun¹⁷⁴, B. Brelier¹⁵⁸, J. Bremer²⁹, R. Brenner¹⁶⁶, S. Bressler¹⁵², D. Breton¹¹⁵, N.D. Brett¹¹⁸, P.G. Bright-Thomas¹⁷, D. Britton⁵³, F.M. Brochu²⁷, I. Brock²⁰, R. Brock⁸⁸, T.J. Brodbeck⁷¹, E. Brodet¹⁵³, F. Broggi^{89a}, C. Bromberg⁸⁸, G. Brooijmans³⁴, W.K. Brooks^{31b}, G. Brown⁸², E. Brubaker³⁰, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom³⁸, D. Bruncko^{144b}, R. Bruneliere⁴⁸, S. Brunet⁶¹, A. Bruni^{19a}, G. Bruni^{19a}, M. Bruschi^{19a}, T. Buanes¹³, F. Bucci⁴⁹, J. Buchanan¹¹⁸, N.J. Buchanan², P. Buchholz¹⁴¹, R.M. Buckingham¹¹⁸, A.G. Buckley⁴⁵, S.I. Buda^{25a}, I.A. Budagov⁶⁵, B. Budick¹⁰⁸, V. Büscher⁸¹, L. Bugge¹¹⁷, D. Buira-Clark¹¹⁸, E.J. Buis¹⁰⁵, O. Bulekov⁹⁶, M. Bunse⁴², T. Buran¹¹⁷, H. Burckhart²⁹, S. Burdin⁷³, T. Burgess¹³, S. Burke¹²⁹, E. Busato³³, P. Bussey⁵³, C.P. Buszello¹⁶⁶,

F. Butin²⁹, B. Butler¹⁴³, J.M. Butler²¹, C.M. Buttar⁵³, J.M. Butterworth⁷⁷, W. Buttinger²⁷, T. Byatt⁷⁷, S. Cabrera Urbán¹⁶⁷, M. Caccia^{89a,89b,g}, D. Caforio^{19a,19b}, O. Cakir^{3a}, P. Calafiura¹⁴, G. Calderini⁷⁸, P. Calfayan⁹⁸, R. Calkins¹⁰⁶, L.P. Caloba^{23a}, R. Caloi^{132a,132b}, D. Calvet³³, S. Calvet³³, A. Camard⁷⁸, P. Camarri^{133a,133b}, M. Cambiaghi^{119a,119b}, D. Cameron¹¹⁷, J. Cammin²⁰, S. Campana²⁹, M. Campanelli⁷⁷, V. Canale^{102a,102b}, F. Canelli³⁰, A. Canepa^{159a}, J. Cantero⁸⁰, L. Capasso^{102a,102b}, M.D.M. Capeans Garrido²⁹, I. Caprini^{25a}, M. Caprini^{25a}, M. Caprio^{102a,102b}, D. Capriotti⁹⁹, M. Capua^{36a,36b}, R. Caputo¹⁴⁸, C. Caramarcu^{25a}, R. Cardarelli^{133a}, T. Carli²⁹, G. Carlino^{102a}, L. Carminati^{89a,89b}, B. Caron^{159a}, S. Caron⁴⁸, C. Carpentieri⁴⁸, G.D. Carrillo Montoya¹⁷², S. Carron Montero¹⁵⁸, A.A. Carter⁷⁵, J.R. Carter²⁷, J. Carvalho^{124a,h}, D. Casadei¹⁰⁸, M.P. Casado¹¹, M. Cascella^{122a,122b}, C. Caso^{50a,50b,*}, A.M. Castaneda Hernandez¹⁷², E. Castaneda-Miranda¹⁷², V. Castillo Gimenez¹⁶⁷, N.F. Castro^{124b,a}, G. Cataldi^{72a}, F. Cataneo²⁹, A. Catinaccio²⁹, J.R. Catmore⁷¹, A. Cattai²⁹, G. Cattani^{133a,133b}, S. Caughron³⁴, A. Cavallari^{132a,132b}, P. Cavalleri⁷⁸, D. Cavalli^{89a}, M. Cavalli-Sforza¹¹, V. Cavasinni^{122a,122b}, A. Cazzato^{72a,72b}, F. Ceradini^{134a,134b}, C. Cerna⁸³, A.S. Cerqueira^{23a}, A. Cerri²⁹, L. Cerrito⁷⁵, F. Cerutti⁴⁷, M. Cervetto^{50a,50b}, S.A. Cetin^{18b}, F. Cevenini^{102a,102b}, A. Chafaq^{135a}, D. Chakraborty¹⁰⁶, K. Chan², B Chapleau⁸⁵, J.D. Chapman²⁷, J.W. Chapman⁸⁷, E. Chareyre⁷⁸, D.G. Charlton¹⁷, V. Chavda⁸², S. Cheatham⁷¹, S. Chekanov⁵, S.V. Chekulaev^{159a}, G.A. Chelkov⁶⁵, H. Chen²⁴, L. Chen², S. Chen^{32c}, T. Chen^{32c}, X. Chen¹⁷², S. Cheng^{32a}, A. Cheplakov⁶⁵, V.F. Chepurnov⁶⁵, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli^{135d}, V. Tcherniatine²⁴, E. Cheu⁶, S.L. Cheung¹⁵⁸, L. Chevalier¹³⁶, F. Chevallier¹³⁶, G. Chiefari^{102a,102b}, L. Chikovani⁵¹, J.T. Childers^{58a}, A. Chilingarov⁷¹, G. Chiodini^{72a}, M.V. Chizhov⁶⁵, G. Choudalakis³⁰, S. Chouridou¹³⁷, I.A. Christidi⁷⁷, A. Christov⁴⁸, D. Chromek-Burckhart²⁹, M.L. Chu¹⁵¹, J. Chudoba¹²⁵, G. Ciapetti^{132a,132b}, A.K. Ciftci^{3a}, R. Ciftci^{3a}, D. Cinca³³, V. Cindro⁷⁴, M.D. Ciobotaru¹⁶³, C. Ciocca^{19a,19b}, A. Ciocio¹⁴, M. Cirilli^{87,*i*}, A. Clark⁴⁹, P.J. Clark⁴⁵, W. Cleland¹²³, J.C. Clemens⁸³, B. Clement⁵⁵, C. Clement^{146a,146b}, R.W. Clifft¹²⁹, Y. Coadou⁸³, M. Cobal^{164a,164c}, A. Coccaro^{50a,50b}, J. Cochran⁶⁴, P. Coe¹¹⁸, J.G. Cogan¹⁴³, J. Coggeshall¹⁶⁵, E. Cogneras¹⁷⁷, C.D. Cojocaru²⁸, J. Colas⁴, A.P. Colijn¹⁰⁵, C. Collard¹¹⁵, N.J. Collins¹⁷, C. Collins-Tooth⁵³, J. Collot⁵⁵, G. Colon⁸⁴, R. Coluccia^{72a,72b}, G. Comune⁸⁸, P. Conde Muiño^{124a}, E. Coniavitis¹¹⁸, M.C. Conidi¹¹, M. Consonni¹⁰⁴, S. Constantinescu^{25a}, C. Conta^{119a,119b}, F. Conventi^{102a,j}, J. Cook²⁹, M. Cooke¹⁴, B.D. Cooper⁷⁵, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar¹¹⁸, N.J. Cooper-Smith⁷⁶, K. Copic³⁴, T. Cornelissen^{50a,50b}, M. Corradi^{19a}, S. Correard⁸³, F. Corriveau^{85,k}, A. Cortes-Gonzalez¹⁶⁵, G. Cortiana⁹⁹, G. Costa^{89a}, M.J. Costa¹⁶⁷, D. Costanzo¹³⁹, T. Costin³⁰, D. Côté²⁹, R. Coura Torres^{23a},

L. Courneyea¹⁶⁹, G. Cowan⁷⁶, C. Cowden²⁷, B.E. Cox⁸², K. Cranmer¹⁰⁸, M. Cristinziani²⁰, G. Crosetti^{36a,36b}, R. Crupi^{72a,72b}, S. Crépé-Renaudin⁵⁵, C. Cuenca Almenar¹⁷⁵, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann¹³⁹, S. Cuneo^{50a,50b}, M. Curatolo⁴⁷, C.J. Curtis¹⁷, P. Cwetanski⁶¹, H. Czirr¹⁴¹, Z. Czyczula¹⁷⁵, S. D'Auria⁵³, M. D'Onofrio⁷³, A. D'Orazio^{132a,132b}, A. Da Rocha Gesualdi Mello^{23a}, P.V.M. Da Silva^{23a}, C Da Via⁸², W. Dabrowski³⁷, A. Dahlhoff⁴⁸, T. Dai⁸⁷, C. Dallapiccola⁸⁴, S.J. Dallison^{129,∗}, M. Dam³⁵, M. Dameri^{50a,50b}, D.S. Damiani¹³⁷, H.O. Danielsson²⁹, R. Dankers¹⁰⁵, D. Dannheim⁹⁹, V. Dao⁴⁹, G. Darbo^{50a}, G.L. Darlea^{25b}, C. Daum¹⁰⁵, J.P. Dauvergne ²⁹, W. Davey⁸⁶, T. Davidek¹²⁶, N. Davidson⁸⁶, R. Davidson⁷¹, M. Davies⁹³, A.R. Davison⁷⁷, E. Dawe¹⁴², I. Dawson¹³⁹, J.W. Dawson^{5,*}, R.K. Daya³⁹, K. De⁷, R. de Asmundis^{102a}, S. De Castro^{19a, 19b}, S. De Cecco⁷⁸, J. de Graat⁹⁸, N. De Groot¹⁰⁴, P. de Jong¹⁰⁵, E. De La Cruz-Burelo⁸⁷, C. De La Taille¹¹⁵, B. De Lotto^{164a,164c}, L. De Mora⁷¹, L. De Nooij¹⁰⁵, M. De Oliveira Branco²⁹, D. De Pedis^{132a}, P. de Saintignon⁵⁵, A. De Salvo^{132a}, U. De Sanctis^{164a,164c}, A. De Santo¹⁴⁹, J.B. De Vivie De Regie¹¹⁵, S. Dean⁷⁷, G. Dedes⁹⁹, D.V. Dedovich⁶⁵, J. Degenhardt¹²⁰, M. Dehchar¹¹⁸, M. Deile⁹⁸, C. Del Papa^{164a,164c}, J. Del Peso⁸⁰, T. Del Prete^{122a,122b}, A. Dell'Acqua²⁹, L. Dell'Asta^{89a,89b}, M. Della Pietra^{102a,*l*}, D. della Volpe^{102a,102b}, M. Delmastro²⁹, P. Delpierre⁸³, N. Delruelle²⁹, P.A. Delsart⁵⁵, C. Deluca¹⁴⁸, S. Demers¹⁷⁵, M. Demichev⁶⁵, B. Demirkoz¹¹, J. Deng¹⁶³, S.P. Denisov¹²⁸, C. Dennis¹¹⁸, D. Derendarz³⁸, J.E. Derkaoui^{135c}, F. Derue⁷⁸, P. Dervan⁷³, K. Desch²⁰, P.O. Deviveiros¹⁵⁸, A. Dewhurst¹²⁹, B. DeWilde¹⁴⁸, S. Dhaliwal¹⁵⁸, R. Dhullipudi^{24,m}, A. Di Ciaccio^{133a,133b}, L. Di Ciaccio⁴, A. Di Girolamo²⁹, B. Di Girolamo²⁹, S. Di Luise^{134a,134b}, A. Di Mattia⁸⁸, R. Di Nardo^{133a,133b}, A. Di Simone^{133a,133b}, R. Di Sipio^{19a,19b}, M.A. Diaz^{31a}, M.M. Diaz Gomez⁴⁹, F. Diblen^{18c}, E.B. Diehl⁸⁷, H. Dietl⁹⁹, J. Dietrich⁴⁸, T.A. Dietzsch^{58a}, S. Diglio¹¹⁵, K. Dindar Yagci³⁹, J. Dingfelder²⁰, C. Dionisi^{132a,132b}, P. Dita^{25a}, S. Dita^{25a}, F. Dittus²⁹, F. Djama⁸³, R. Djilkibaev¹⁰⁸, T. Djobava⁵¹, M.A.B. do Vale^{23a}, A. Do Valle Wemans^{124a}, T.K.O. Doan⁴, M. Dobbs⁸⁵, R. Dobinson^{29,*}, D. Dobos⁴², E. Dobson²⁹, M. Dobson¹⁶³, J. Dodd³⁴, O.B. Dogan^{18a,*}, C. Doglioni¹¹⁸, T. Doherty⁵³, Y. Doi⁶⁶, J. Dolejsi¹²⁶, I. Dolenc⁷⁴, Z. Dolezal¹²⁶, B.A. Dolgoshein⁹⁶, T. Dohmae¹⁵⁵, M. Donadelli^{23b}, M. Donega¹²⁰, J. Donini⁵⁵, J. Dopke¹⁷⁴, A. Doria^{102a}, A. Dos Anjos¹⁷², M. Dosil¹¹, A. Dotti^{122a,122b}, M.T. Dova⁷⁰, J.D. Dowell¹⁷, A.D. Doxiadis¹⁰⁵, A.T. Doyle⁵³, Z. Drasal¹²⁶, J. Drees¹⁷⁴, N. Dressnandt¹²⁰, H. Drevermann²⁹, C. Driouichi³⁵, M. Dris⁹, J.G. Drohan⁷⁷, J. Dubbert⁹⁹, T. Dubbs¹³⁷, S. Dube¹⁴, E. Duchovni¹⁷¹, G. Duckeck⁹⁸, A. Dudarev²⁹, F. Dudziak¹¹⁵, M. Dührssen ²⁹, I.P. Duerdoth⁸², L. Duflot¹¹⁵, M-A. Dufour⁸⁵, M. Dunford²⁹, H. Duran Yildiz^{3b}, R. Duxfield¹³⁹, M. Dwuznik³⁷, F. Dydak ²⁹, D. Dzahini⁵⁵, M. Düren⁵²,

J. Ebke⁹⁸, S. Eckert⁴⁸, S. Eckweiler⁸¹, K. Edmonds⁸¹, C.A. Edwards⁷⁶, I. Efthymiopoulos⁴⁹, W. Ehrenfeld⁴¹, T. Ehrich⁹⁹, T. Eifert²⁹, G. Eigen¹³, K. Einsweiler¹⁴, E. Eisenhandler⁷⁵, T. Ekelof¹⁶⁶, M. El Kacimi⁴, M. Ellert¹⁶⁶, S. Elles⁴, F. Ellinghaus⁸¹, K. Ellis⁷⁵, N. Ellis²⁹, J. Elmsheuser⁹⁸, M. Elsing²⁹, R. Ely¹⁴, D. Emeliyanov¹²⁹, R. Engelmann¹⁴⁸, A. Engl⁹⁸, B. Epp⁶², A. Eppig⁸⁷, J. Erdmann⁵⁴, A. Ereditato¹⁶, D. Eriksson^{146a}, J. Ernst¹, M. Ernst²⁴, J. Ernwein¹³⁶, D. Errede¹⁶⁵, S. Errede¹⁶⁵, E. Ertel⁸¹, M. Escalier¹¹⁵, C. Escobar¹⁶⁷, X. Espinal Curull¹¹, B. Esposito⁴⁷, F. Etienne⁸³, A.I. Etienvre¹³⁶, E. Etzion¹⁵³, D. Evangelakou⁵⁴, H. Evans⁶¹, L. Fabbri^{19a,19b}, C. Fabre²⁹, K. Facius³⁵, R.M. Fakhrutdinov¹²⁸, S. Falciano^{132a}, A.C. Falou¹¹⁵, Y. Fang¹⁷², M. Fanti^{89a,89b}, A. Farbin⁷, A. Farilla^{134a}, J. Farley¹⁴⁸, T. Farooque¹⁵⁸, S.M. Farrington¹¹⁸, P. Farthouat²⁹, D. Fasching¹⁷², P. Fassnacht²⁹, D. Fassouliotis⁸, B. Fatholahzadeh¹⁵⁸, L. Fayard¹¹⁵, S. Fazio^{36a,36b}, R. Febbraro³³, P. Federic^{144a}, O.L. Fedin¹²¹, I. Fedorko²⁹, W. Fedorko²⁹, M. Fehling-Kaschek⁴⁸, L. Feligioni⁸³, C.U. Felzmann⁸⁶, C. Feng^{32d}, E.J. Feng³⁰, A.B. Fenyuk¹²⁸, J. Ferencei^{144b}, D. Ferguson¹⁷², J. Ferland⁹³, B. Fernandes^{124a,n}, W. Fernando¹⁰⁹, S. Ferrag⁵³, J. Ferrando¹¹⁸, V. Ferrara⁴¹, A. Ferrari¹⁶⁶, P. Ferrari¹⁰⁵, R. Ferrari^{119a}, A. Ferrer¹⁶⁷, M.L. Ferrer⁴⁷, D. Ferrere⁴⁹, C. Ferretti⁸⁷, A. Ferretto Parodi^{50a,50b}, F. Ferro^{50a,50b}, M. Fiascaris³⁰, F. Fiedler⁸¹, A. Filipčič⁷⁴, A. Filippas⁹, F. Filthaut¹⁰⁴, M. Fincke-Keeler¹⁶⁹, M.C.N. Fiolhais^{124a,h}, L. Fiorini¹¹, A. Firan³⁹, G. Fischer⁴¹, P. Fischer 20 , M.J. Fisher¹⁰⁹, S.M. Fisher¹²⁹, J. Flammer²⁹, M. Flechl⁴⁸, I. Fleck¹⁴¹, J. Fleckner⁸¹, P. Fleischmann¹⁷³, S. Fleischmann²⁰, T. Flick¹⁷⁴, L.R. Flores Castillo¹⁷², M.J. Flowerdew⁹⁹, F. Föhlisch^{58a}, M. Fokitis⁹, T. Fonseca Martin¹⁶, J. Fopma¹¹⁸, D.A. Forbush¹³⁸, A. Formica¹³⁶, A. Forti⁸², D. Fortin^{159a}, J.M. Foster⁸², D. Fournier¹¹⁵, A. Foussat²⁹, A.J. Fowler⁴⁴, K. Fowler¹³⁷, H. Fox⁷¹, P. Francavilla^{122a,122b}, S. Franchino^{119a,119b}, D. Francis²⁹, T. Frank¹⁷¹, M. Franklin⁵⁷, S. Franz²⁹, M. Fraternali^{119a,119b}, S. Fratina¹²⁰, S.T. French²⁷, R. Froeschl²⁹, D. Froidevaux²⁹, J.A. Frost²⁷, C. Fukunaga¹⁵⁶, E. Fullana Torregrosa²⁹, J. Fuster¹⁶⁷, C. Gabaldon²⁹, O. Gabizon¹⁷¹, T. Gadfort²⁴, S. Gadomski⁴⁹, G. Gagliardi^{50a,50b}, P. Gagnon⁶¹, C. Galea⁹⁸, E.J. Gallas¹¹⁸, M.V. Gallas²⁹, V. Gallo¹⁶, B.J. Gallop¹²⁹, P. Gallus¹²⁵, E. Galyaev⁴⁰, K.K. Gan¹⁰⁹, Y.S. Gao^{143,o}, V.A. Gapienko¹²⁸, A. Gaponenko¹⁴, F. Garberson¹⁷⁵, M. Garcia-Sciveres¹⁴, C. García¹⁶⁷, J.E. García Navarro⁴⁹, R.W. Gardner³⁰, N. Garelli²⁹, H. Garitaonandia¹⁰⁵, V. Garonne²⁹, J. Garvey¹⁷, C. Gatti⁴⁷, G. Gaudio^{119a}, O. Gaumer⁴⁹, B. Gaur¹⁴¹, L. Gauthier¹³⁶, I.L. Gavrilenko⁹⁴, C. Gay¹⁶⁸, G. Gaycken²⁰, J-C. Gayde²⁹, E.N. Gazis⁹, P. Ge^{32d}, C.N.P. Gee¹²⁹, Ch. Geich-Gimbel²⁰, K. Gellerstedt^{146a,146b}, C. Gemme^{50a}, M.H. Genest⁹⁸, S. Gentile^{132a,132b}, F. Georgatos⁹, S. George⁷⁶, P. Gerlach¹⁷⁴, A. Gershon¹⁵³, C. Geweniger^{58a}, H. Ghazlane^{135d},

P. Ghez⁴, N. Ghodbane³³, B. Giacobbe^{19a}, S. Giagu^{132a,132b}, V. Giakoumopoulou⁸, V. Giangiobbe^{122a,122b}, F. Gianotti²⁹, B. Gibbard²⁴, A. Gibson¹⁵⁸, S.M. Gibson²⁹, G.F. Gieraltowski⁵, L.M. Gilbert¹¹⁸, M. Gilchriese¹⁴, O. Gildemeister²⁹, V. Gilewsky⁹¹, D. Gillberg²⁸, A.R. Gillman¹²⁹, D.M. Gingrich^{2,p}, J. Ginzburg¹⁵³, N. Giokaris⁸, R. Giordano^{102a,102b}, F.M. Giorgi¹⁵, P. Giovannini⁹⁹, P.F. Giraud¹³⁶, D. Giugni^{89a}, P. Giusti^{19a}, B.K. Gjelsten¹¹⁷, L.K. Gladilin⁹⁷, C. Glasman⁸⁰, J Glatzer⁴⁸, A. Glazov⁴¹, K.W. Glitza¹⁷⁴, G.L. Glonti⁶⁵, J. Godfrey¹⁴², J. Godlewski²⁹, M. Goebel⁴¹, T. Göpfert⁴³, C. Goeringer⁸¹, C. Gössling⁴², T. Göttfert⁹⁹, S. Goldfarb⁸⁷, D. Goldin³⁹, T. Golling¹⁷⁵, N.P. Gollub²⁹, S.N. Golovnia¹²⁸, A. Gomes^{124a,q}, L.S. Gomez Fajardo⁴¹, R. Gonçalo⁷⁶, L. Gonella²⁰, C. Gong^{32b}, A. Gonidec²⁹, S. Gonzalez¹⁷², S. González de la Hoz¹⁶⁷, M.L. Gonzalez Silva²⁶, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla⁴⁹, J.J. Goodson¹⁴⁸, L. Goossens²⁹, P.A. Gorbounov⁹⁵, H.A. Gordon²⁴, I. Gorelov¹⁰³, G. Gorfine¹⁷⁴, B. Gorini²⁹, E. Gorini^{72a,72b}, A. Gorišek⁷⁴, E. Gornicki³⁸, S.A. Gorokhov¹²⁸, B.T. Gorski²⁹, V.N. Goryachev¹²⁸, B. Gosdzik⁴¹, M. Gosselink¹⁰⁵, M.I. Gostkin⁶⁵, M. Gouanère⁴, I. Gough Eschrich¹⁶³, M. Gouighri^{135a}, D. Goujdami^{135a}, M.P. Goulette⁴⁹, A.G. Goussiou¹³⁸, C. Goy⁴, I. Grabowska-Bold^{163,r}, V. Grabski¹⁷⁶, P. Grafström²⁹, C. Grah¹⁷⁴, K-J. Grahn¹⁴⁷, F. Grancagnolo^{72a}, S. Grancagnolo¹⁵, V. Grassi¹⁴⁸, V. Gratchev¹²¹, N. Grau³⁴, H.M. Gray^{34,s}, J.A. Gray¹⁴⁸, E. Graziani^{134a}, O.G. Grebenyuk¹²¹, D. Greenfield¹²⁹, T. Greenshaw⁷³, Z.D. Greenwood^{24,t}, I.M. Gregor⁴¹, P. Grenier¹⁴³, E. Griesmayer⁴⁶, J. Griffiths¹³⁸, N. Grigalashvili⁶⁵, A.A. Grillo¹³⁷, K. Grimm¹⁴⁸, S. Grinstein¹¹, Y.V. Grishkevich⁹⁷, J.-F. Grivaz¹¹⁵, J. Grognuz²⁹, M. Groh⁹⁹, E. Gross¹⁷¹, J. Grosse-Knetter⁵⁴, J. Groth-Jensen⁷⁹, M. Gruwe²⁹, K. Grybel¹⁴¹, V.J. Guarino⁵, C. Guicheney³³, A. Guida^{72a,72b}, T. Guillemin⁴, S. Guindon⁵⁴, H. Guler^{85,*u*}, J. Gunther¹²⁵, B. Guo¹⁵⁸, J. Guo³⁴, A. Gupta³⁰, Y. Gusakov⁶⁵, V.N. Gushchin¹²⁸, A. Gutierrez⁹³, P. Gutierrez¹¹¹, N. Guttman¹⁵³, O. Gutzwiller¹⁷², C. Guyot¹³⁶, C. Gwenlan¹¹⁸, C.B. Gwilliam⁷³, A. Haas¹⁴³, S. Haas²⁹, C. Haber¹⁴, R. Hackenburg²⁴, H.K. Hadavand³⁹, D.R. Hadley¹⁷, P. Haefner⁹⁹, R. Härtel⁹⁹, F. Hahn²⁹, S. Haider²⁹, Z. Hajduk³⁸, H. Hakobyan¹⁷⁶, J. Haller^{54,}v, K. Hamacher¹⁷⁴, A. Hamilton⁴⁹, S. Hamilton¹⁶¹, H. Han^{32a}, L. Han^{32b}, K. Hanagaki¹¹⁶, M. Hance¹²⁰, C. Handel⁸¹, P. Hanke^{58a}, C.J. Hansen¹⁶⁶, J.R. Hansen³⁵, J.B. Hansen³⁵, J.D. Hansen³⁵, P.H. Hansen³⁵, P. Hansson¹⁴³, K. Hara¹⁶⁰, G.A. Hare¹³⁷, T. Harenberg¹⁷⁴, D. Harper⁸⁷, R. Harper¹³⁹, R.D. Harrington²¹, O.M. Harris¹³⁸, K Harrison¹⁷, J.C. Hart¹²⁹, J. Hartert⁴⁸, F. Hartjes¹⁰⁵, T. Haruyama⁶⁶, A. Harvey⁵⁶, S. Hasegawa¹⁰¹, Y. Hasegawa¹⁴⁰, S. Hassani¹³⁶, M. Hatch²⁹, D. Hauff⁹⁹, S. Haug¹⁶, M. Hauschild²⁹, R. Hauser⁸⁸, M. Havranek¹²⁵, B.M. Hawes¹¹⁸, C.M. Hawkes¹⁷, R.J. Hawkings²⁹, D. Hawkins¹⁶³, T. Hayakawa⁶⁷, H.S. Hayward⁷³, S.J. Haywood¹²⁹,

E. Hazen²¹, M. He^{32d}, S.J. Head¹⁷, V. Hedberg⁷⁹, L. Heelan²⁸, S. Heim⁸⁸, B. Heinemann¹⁴, S. Heisterkamp³⁵, L. Helary⁴, M. Heldmann⁴⁸, M. Heller¹¹⁵, S. Hellman^{146a,146b}, C. Helsens¹¹, R.C.W. Henderson⁷¹, P.J. Hendriks¹⁰⁵, M. Henke^{58a}, A. Henrichs⁵⁴, A.M. Henriques Correia²⁹, S. Henrot-Versille¹¹⁵, F. Henry-Couannier⁸³, C. Hensel⁵⁴, T. Hen β ¹⁷⁴, Y. Hernández Jiménez¹⁶⁷, A.D. Hershenhorn¹⁵², G. Herten⁴⁸, R. Hertenberger⁹⁸, L. Hervas²⁹, N.P. Hessey¹⁰⁵, A. Hidvegi^{146a}, E. Higón-Rodriguez¹⁶⁷, D. Hill^{5,*}, J.C. Hill²⁷, N. Hill⁵, K.H. Hiller⁴¹, S. Hillert²⁰, S.J. Hillier¹⁷, I. Hinchliffe¹⁴, D. Hindson¹¹⁸, E. Hines¹²⁰, M. Hirose¹¹⁶, F. Hirsch⁴², D. Hirschbuehl¹⁷⁴, J. Hobbs¹⁴⁸, N. Hod¹⁵³, M.C. Hodgkinson¹³⁹, P. Hodgson¹³⁹, A. Hoecker²⁹, M.R. Hoeferkamp¹⁰³, J. Hoffman³⁹, D. Hoffmann⁸³, M. Hohlfeld⁸¹, M. Holder¹⁴¹, T.I. Hollins¹⁷, A. Holmes¹¹⁸, S.O. Holmgren^{146a}, T. Holy¹²⁷, J.L. Holzbauer⁸⁸, R.J. Homer¹⁷, Y. Homma⁶⁷, T. Horazdovsky¹²⁷, C. Horn¹⁴³, S. Horner⁴⁸, K. Horton¹¹⁸, J-Y. Hostachy⁵⁵, T. Hott⁹⁹, S. Hou¹⁵¹, M.A. Houlden⁷³, A. Hoummada^{135a}, J. Howarth⁸², D.F. Howell¹¹⁸, I. Hristova ⁴¹, J. Hrivnac¹¹⁵, I. Hruska¹²⁵, T. Hryn'ova⁴, P.J. Hsu¹⁷⁵, S.-C. Hsu¹⁴, G.S. Huang¹¹¹, Z. Hubacek¹²⁷, F. Hubaut⁸³, F. Huegging²⁰, T.B. Huffman¹¹⁸, E.W. Hughes³⁴, G. Hughes⁷¹, R.E. Hughes-Jones⁸², M. Huhtinen²⁹, P. Hurst⁵⁷, M. Hurwitz¹⁴, U. Husemann⁴¹, N. Huseynov¹⁰, J. Huston⁸⁸, J. Huth⁵⁷, G. Iacobucci^{102a}, G. Iakovidis⁹, M. Ibbotson⁸², I. Ibragimov¹⁴¹, R. Ichimiya⁶⁷, L. Iconomidou-Fayard¹¹⁵, J. Idarraga¹¹⁵, M. Idzik³⁷, P. Iengo⁴, O. Igonkina¹⁰⁵, Y. Ikegami⁶⁶, M. Ikeno⁶⁶, Y. Ilchenko³⁹, D. Iliadis¹⁵⁴, D. Imbault⁷⁸, M. Imhaeuser¹⁷⁴, M. Imori¹⁵⁵, T. Ince²⁰, J. Inigo-Golfin²⁹, P. Ioannou⁸, M. Iodice^{134a}, G. Ionescu⁴, A. Irles Quiles¹⁶⁷, K. Ishii⁶⁶, A. Ishikawa⁶⁷, M. Ishino⁶⁶, R. Ishmukhametov³⁹, T. Isobe¹⁵⁵, C. Issever¹¹⁸, S. Istin^{18a}, Y. Itoh¹⁰¹, A.V. Ivashin¹²⁸, W. Iwanski³⁸, H. Iwasaki⁶⁶, J.M. Izen⁴⁰, V. Izzo^{102a}, B. Jackson¹²⁰, J.N. Jackson⁷³, P. Jackson¹⁴³, M.R. Jaekel²⁹, V. Jain⁶¹, K. Jakobs⁴⁸, S. Jakobsen³⁵, J. Jakubek¹²⁷, D.K. Jana¹¹¹, E. Jankowski¹⁵⁸, E. Jansen⁷⁷, A. Jantsch⁹⁹, M. Janus²⁰, G. Jarlskog⁷⁹, L. Jeanty⁵⁷, K. Jelen³⁷, I. Jen-La Plante³⁰, P. Jenni²⁹, A. Jeremie⁴, P. Jež³⁵, S. Jézéquel⁴, H. Ji¹⁷², W. Ji⁷⁹, Y. Jiang^{32b}, M. Jimenez Belenguer²⁹, G. Jin^{32b}, S. Jin^{32a}, O. Jinnouchi¹⁵⁷, M.D. Joergensen³⁵, D. Joffe³⁹, L.G. Johansen¹³, M. Johansen^{146a,146b}, K.E. Johansson^{146a}, P. Johansson¹³⁹, S. Johnert⁴¹, K.A. Johns⁶, K. Jon-And^{146a,146b}, G. Jones⁸², M. Jones¹¹⁸, R.W.L. Jones⁷¹, T.W. Jones⁷⁷, T.J. Jones⁷³, O. Jonsson²⁹, K.K. Joo^{158,}w, C. Joram²⁹, P.M. Jorge^{124a,b}, S. Jorgensen¹¹, J. Joseph¹⁴, X. Ju¹³⁰, V. Juranek¹²⁵, P. Jussel⁶², V.V. Kabachenko¹²⁸, S. Kabana¹⁶, M. Kaci¹⁶⁷, A. Kaczmarska³⁸, P. Kadlecik³⁵, M. Kado¹¹⁵, H. Kagan¹⁰⁹, M. Kagan⁵⁷, S. Kaiser⁹⁹, E. Kajomovitz¹⁵², S. Kalinin¹⁷⁴, L.V. Kalinovskaya⁶⁵, S. Kama³⁹, N. Kanaya¹⁵⁵, M. Kaneda¹⁵⁵, T. Kanno¹⁵⁷, V.A. Kantserov⁹⁶,

J. Kanzaki⁶⁶, B. Kaplan¹⁷⁵, A. Kapliy³⁰, J. Kaplon²⁹, D. Kar⁴³, M. Karagoz¹¹⁸, M. Karnevskiy⁴¹, K. Karr⁵, V. Kartvelishvili⁷¹, A.N. Karyukhin¹²⁸, L. Kashif⁵⁷, A. Kasmi³⁹, R.D. Kass¹⁰⁹, A. Kastanas¹³, M. Kataoka⁴, Y. Kataoka¹⁵⁵, E. Katsoufis⁹, J. Katzy⁴¹, V. Kaushik⁶, K. Kawagoe⁶⁷, T. Kawamoto¹⁵⁵, G. Kawamura⁸¹, M.S. Kayl¹⁰⁵, V.A. Kazanin¹⁰⁷, M.Y. Kazarinov⁶⁵, S.I. Kazi⁸⁶, J.R. Keates⁸², R. Keeler¹⁶⁹, R. Kehoe³⁹, M. Keil⁵⁴, G.D. Kekelidze⁶⁵, M. Kelly⁸², J. Kennedy⁹⁸, C.J. Kenney¹⁴³, M. Kenyon⁵³, O. Kepka¹²⁵, N. Kerschen²⁹, B.P. Kerševan⁷⁴, S. Kersten¹⁷⁴, K. Kessoku¹⁵⁵, C. Ketterer⁴⁸, M. Khakzad²⁸, F. Khalil-zada¹⁰, H. Khandanyan¹⁶⁵, A. Khanov¹¹², D. Kharchenko⁶⁵, A. Khodinov¹⁴⁸, A.G. Kholodenko¹²⁸, A. Khomich^{58a}, T.J. Khoo²⁷, G. Khoriauli²⁰, N. Khovanskiy⁶⁵, V. Khovanskiy⁹⁵, E. Khramov⁶⁵, J. Khubua⁵¹, G. Kilvington⁷⁶, H. Kim⁷, M.S. Kim², P.C. Kim¹⁴³, S.H. Kim¹⁶⁰, N. Kimura¹⁷⁰, O. Kind¹⁵, B.T. King⁷³, M. King⁶⁷, R.S.B. King¹¹⁸, J. Kirk¹²⁹, G.P. Kirsch¹¹⁸, L.E. Kirsch²², A.E. Kiryunin⁹⁹, D. Kisielewska³⁷, T. Kittelmann¹²³, A.M. Kiver¹²⁸, H. Kiyamura⁶⁷, E. Kladiva^{144b}, J. Klaiber-Lodewigs⁴², M. Klein⁷³, U. Klein⁷³, K. Kleinknecht⁸¹, M. Klemetti⁸⁵, A. Klier¹⁷¹, A. Klimentov²⁴, R. Klingenberg⁴², E.B. Klinkby³⁵, T. Klioutchnikova²⁹, P.F. Klok¹⁰⁴, S. Klous¹⁰⁵, E.-E. Kluge^{58a}, T. Kluge⁷³, P. Kluit¹⁰⁵, S. Kluth⁹⁹, E. Kneringer⁶², J. Knobloch²⁹, A. Knue⁵⁴, B.R. Ko⁴⁴, T. Kobayashi¹⁵⁵, M. Kobel⁴³, B. Koblitz²⁹, M. Kocian¹⁴³, A. Kocnar¹¹³, P. Kodys¹²⁶, K. Köneke²⁹, A.C. König¹⁰⁴, S. Koenig⁸¹, S. König⁴⁸, L. Köpke⁸¹, F. Koetsveld¹⁰⁴, P. Koevesarki²⁰, T. Koffas²⁹, E. Koffeman¹⁰⁵, F. Kohn⁵⁴, Z. Kohout¹²⁷, T. Kohriki⁶⁶, T. Koi¹⁴³, T. Kokott²⁰, G.M. Kolachev¹⁰⁷, H. Kolanoski¹⁵, V. Kolesnikov⁶⁵, I. Koletsou^{89a,89b}, J. Koll⁸⁸, D. Kollar²⁹, M. Kollefrath⁴⁸, S.D. Kolya⁸², A.A. Komar⁹⁴, J.R. Komaragiri¹⁴², T. Kondo⁶⁶, T. Kono^{41,x}, A.I. Kononov⁴⁸, R. Konoplich^{108,y}, N. Konstantinidis⁷⁷, A. Kootz¹⁷⁴, S. Koperny³⁷, S.V. Kopikov¹²⁸, K. Korcyl³⁸, K. Kordas¹⁵⁴, V. Koreshev¹²⁸, A. Korn¹⁴, A. Korol¹⁰⁷, I. Korolkov¹¹, E.V. Korolkova¹³⁹, V.A. Korotkov¹²⁸, O. Kortner⁹⁹, S. Kortner⁹⁹, V.V. Kostyukhin²⁰, M.J. Kotamäki²⁹, S. Kotov⁹⁹, V.M. Kotov⁶⁵, C. Kourkoumelis⁸, A. Koutsman¹⁰⁵, R. Kowalewski¹⁶⁹, T.Z. Kowalski³⁷, W. Kozanecki¹³⁶, A.S. Kozhin¹²⁸, V. Kral¹²⁷, V.A. Kramarenko⁹⁷, G. Kramberger⁷⁴, O. Krasel⁴², M.W. Krasny⁷⁸, A. Krasznahorkay¹⁰⁸, J. Kraus⁸⁸, A. Kreisel¹⁵³, F. Krejci¹²⁷, J. Kretzschmar⁷³, N. Krieger⁵⁴, P. Krieger¹⁵⁸, G. Krobath⁹⁸, K. Kroeninger⁵⁴, H. Kroha⁹⁹, J. Kroll¹²⁰, J. Kroseberg²⁰, J. Krstic^{12a}, U. Kruchonak⁶⁵, H. Krüger²⁰, Z.V. Krumshteyn⁶⁵, A. Kruth²⁰, T. Kubota¹⁵⁵, S. Kuehn⁴⁸, A. Kugel^{58c}, T. Kuhl¹⁷⁴, D. Kuhn⁶², V. Kukhtin⁶⁵, Y. Kulchitsky⁹⁰, S. Kuleshov^{31b}, C. Kummer⁹⁸, M. Kuna⁸³, N. Kundu¹¹⁸, J. Kunkle¹²⁰, A. Kupco¹²⁵, H. Kurashige⁶⁷, M. Kurata¹⁶⁰, Y.A. Kurochkin⁹⁰, V. Kus¹²⁵, W. Kuykendall¹³⁸, M. Kuze¹⁵⁷, P. Kuzhir⁹¹,

O. Kvasnicka¹²⁵, R. Kwee¹⁵, A. La Rosa²⁹, L. La Rotonda^{36a,36b}, L. Labarga⁸⁰, J. Labbe⁴, C. Lacasta¹⁶⁷, F. Lacava^{132a,132b}, H. Lacker¹⁵, D. Lacour⁷⁸, V.R. Lacuesta¹⁶⁷, E. Ladygin⁶⁵, R. Lafaye⁴, B. Laforge⁷⁸, T. Lagouri⁸⁰, S. Lai⁴⁸, E. Laisne⁵⁵, M. Lamanna²⁹, M. Lambacher⁹⁸, C.L. Lampen⁶, W. Lampl⁶, E. Lancon¹³⁶, U. Landgraf⁴⁸, M.P.J. Landon⁷⁵, H. Landsman¹⁵², J.L. Lane⁸², C. Lange⁴¹, A.J. Lankford¹⁶³, F. Lanni²⁴, K. Lantzsch²⁹, V.V. Lapin^{128,*}, S. Laplace⁴, C. Lapoire²⁰, J.F. Laporte¹³⁶, T. Lari^{89a}, A.V. Larionov¹²⁸, A. Larner¹¹⁸, C. Lasseur²⁹, M. Lassnig²⁹, W. Lau¹¹⁸, P. Laurelli⁴⁷, A. Lavorato¹¹⁸, W. Lavrijsen¹⁴, P. Laycock⁷³, A.B. Lazarev⁶⁵, A. Lazzaro^{89a,89b}, O. Le Dortz⁷⁸, E. Le Guirriec⁸³, C. Le Maner¹⁵⁸, E. Le Menedeu¹³⁶, M. Leahu²⁹, A. Lebedev⁶⁴, C. Lebel⁹³, M. Lechowski¹¹⁵, T. LeCompte⁵, F. Ledroit-Guillon⁵⁵, H. Lee¹⁰⁵, J.S.H. Lee¹⁵⁰, S.C. Lee¹⁵¹, L. Lee JR¹⁷⁵, M. Lefebvre¹⁶⁹, M. Legendre¹³⁶, A. Leger⁴⁹, B.C. LeGeyt¹²⁰, F. Legger⁹⁸, C. Leggett¹⁴, M. Lehmacher²⁰, G. Lehmann Miotto²⁹, M. Lehto¹³⁹, X. Lei⁶, M.A.L. Leite^{23b}, R. Leitner¹²⁶, D. Lellouch¹⁷¹, J. Lellouch⁷⁸, M. Leltchouk³⁴, V. Lendermann^{58a}, K.J.C. Leney^{145b}, T. Lenz¹⁷⁴, G. Lenzen¹⁷⁴, B. Lenzi¹³⁶, K. Leonhardt⁴³, J. Lepidis¹⁷⁴, C. Leroy⁹³, J-R. Lessard¹⁶⁹, J. Lesser^{146a}, C.G. Lester²⁷, A. Leung Fook Cheong¹⁷², J. Levêque⁸³, D. Levin⁸⁷, L.J. Levinson¹⁷¹, M.S. Levitski¹²⁸, M. Lewandowska²¹, M. Leyton¹⁵, B. Li⁸³, H. Li¹⁷², S. Li^{32b}, X. Li⁸⁷, Z. Liang³⁹, Z. Liang^{118,z}, B. Liberti^{133a}, P. Lichard²⁹, M. Lichtnecker⁹⁸, K. Lie¹⁶⁵, W. Liebig¹³, R. Lifshitz¹⁵², J.N. Lilley¹⁷, H. Lim⁵, A. Limosani⁸⁶, M. Limper⁶³, S.C. Lin^{151,aa}, F. Linde¹⁰⁵, J.T. Linnemann⁸⁸, E. Lipeles¹²⁰, L. Lipinsky¹²⁵, A. Lipniacka¹³, T.M. Liss¹⁶⁵, A. Lister⁴⁹, A.M. Litke¹³⁷, C. Liu²⁸, D. Liu^{151,ab}, H. Liu⁸⁷, J.B. Liu⁸⁷, M. Liu^{32b}, S. Liu², Y. Liu^{32b}, M. Livan^{119a,119b}, S.S.A. Livermore¹¹⁸, A. Lleres⁵⁵, S.L. Lloyd⁷⁵, E. Lobodzinska⁴¹, P. Loch⁶, W.S. Lockman¹³⁷, S. Lockwitz¹⁷⁵, T. Loddenkoetter²⁰, F.K. Loebinger⁸², A. Loginov¹⁷⁵, C.W. Loh¹⁶⁸, T. Lohse¹⁵, K. Lohwasser⁴⁸, M. Lokajicek¹²⁵, J. Loken¹¹⁸, R.E. Long⁷¹, L. Lopes^{124a,b}, D. Lopez Mateos^{34,ac}, M. Losada¹⁶², P. Loscutoff¹⁴, M.J. Losty^{159a}, X. Lou⁴⁰, A. Lounis¹¹⁵, K.F. Loureiro¹⁶², L. Lovas^{144a}, J. Love²¹, P.A. Love⁷¹, A.J. Lowe¹⁴³, F. Lu^{32a}, J. Lu², L. Lu³⁹, H.J. Lubatti¹³⁸, C. Luci^{132a,132b}, A. Lucotte⁵⁵, A. Ludwig⁴³, D. Ludwig⁴¹, I. Ludwig⁴⁸, J. Ludwig⁴⁸, F. Luehring⁶¹, G. Luijckx¹⁰⁵, D. Lumb⁴⁸, L. Luminari^{132a}, E. Lund¹¹⁷, B. Lund-Jensen¹⁴⁷, B. Lundberg⁷⁹, J. Lundberg²⁹, J. Lundquist³⁵, M. Lungwitz⁸¹, A. Lupi^{122a,122b}, G. Lutz⁹⁹, D. Lynn²⁴, J. Lynn¹¹⁸, J. Lys¹⁴, E. Lytken⁷⁹, H. Ma²⁴, L.L. Ma¹⁷², M. Maaßen⁴⁸, J.A. Macana Goia⁹³, G. Maccarrone⁴⁷, A. Macchiolo⁹⁹, B. Maček⁷⁴, J. Machado Miguens^{124a,b}, D. Macina⁴⁹, R. Mackeprang³⁵, R.J. Madaras¹⁴, W.F. Mader⁴³, R. Maenner^{58c}, T. Maeno²⁴, P. Mättig¹⁷⁴, S. Mättig⁴¹, P.J. Magalhaes Martins^{124a,h},

L. Magnoni²⁹, E. Magradze⁵¹, C.A. Magrath¹⁰⁴, Y. Mahalalel¹⁵³, K. Mahboubi⁴⁸, G. Mahout¹⁷, C. Maiani^{132a,132b}, C. Maidantchik^{23a}, A. Maio^{124a,q}, S. Majewski²⁴, Y. Makida⁶⁶, M. Makouski¹²⁸, N. Makovec¹¹⁵, P. Mal⁶, Pa. Malecki³⁸, P. Malecki³⁸, V.P. Maleev¹²¹, F. Malek⁵⁵, U. Mallik⁶³, D. Malon⁵, S. Maltezos⁹, V. Malyshev¹⁰⁷, S. Malyukov⁶⁵, R. Mameghani⁹⁸, J. Mamuzic^{12b}, A. Manabe⁶⁶, L. Mandelli^{89a}, I. Mandić⁷⁴, R. Mandrysch¹⁵, J. Maneira^{124a}, P.S. Mangeard⁸⁸, M. Mangin-Brinet⁴⁹, I.D. Manjavidze⁶⁵, A. Mann⁵⁴, W.A. Mann¹⁶¹, P.M. Manning¹³⁷, A. Manousakis-Katsikakis⁸, B. Mansoulie¹³⁶, A. Manz⁹⁹, A. Mapelli²⁹, L. Mapelli²⁹, L. March ⁸⁰, J.F. Marchand²⁹, F. Marchese^{133a,133b}, M. Marchesotti²⁹, G. Marchiori⁷⁸, M. Marcisovsky¹²⁵, A. Marin^{21,∗}, C.P. Marino⁶¹, F. Marroquim^{23a}, R. Marshall⁸², Z. Marshall^{34,ac}, F.K. Martens¹⁵⁸, S. Marti-Garcia¹⁶⁷, A.J. Martin¹⁷⁵, B. Martin²⁹, B. Martin⁸⁸, F.F. Martin¹²⁰, J.P. Martin⁹³, Ph. Martin⁵⁵, T.A. Martin¹⁷, B. Martin dit Latour⁴⁹, M. Martinez¹¹, V. Martinez Outschoorn⁵⁷, A.C. Martyniuk⁸², M. Marx⁸², F. Marzano^{132a}, A. Marzin¹¹¹, L. Masetti⁸¹, T. Mashimo¹⁵⁵, R. Mashinistov⁹⁴, J. Masik⁸², A.L. Maslennikov¹⁰⁷, M. Maß⁴², I. Massa^{19a,19b}, G. Massaro¹⁰⁵, N. Massol⁴, A. Mastroberardino^{36a, 36b}, T. Masubuchi¹⁵⁵, M. Mathes²⁰, P. Matricon¹¹⁵, H. Matsumoto¹⁵⁵, H. Matsunaga¹⁵⁵, T. Matsushita⁶⁷, C. Mattravers^{118,ad}, J.M. Maugain²⁹, S.J. Maxfield⁷³, E.N. May⁵, A. Mayne¹³⁹, R. Mazini¹⁵¹, M. Mazur²⁰, M. Mazzanti^{89a}, E. Mazzoni^{122a,122b}, S.P. Mc Kee⁸⁷, A. McCarn¹⁶⁵, R.L. McCarthy¹⁴⁸, T.G. McCarthy²⁸, N.A. McCubbin¹²⁹, K.W. McFarlane⁵⁶, J.A. Mcfayden¹³⁹, S. McGarvie⁷⁶, H. McGlone⁵³, G. Mchedlidze⁵¹, R.A. McLaren²⁹, T. Mclaughlan¹⁷, S.J. McMahon¹²⁹, T.R. McMahon⁷⁶, T.J. McMahon¹⁷, R.A. McPherson^{169,k}, A. Meade⁸⁴, J. Mechnich¹⁰⁵, M. Mechtel¹⁷⁴, M. Medinnis⁴¹, R. Meera-Lebbai¹¹¹, T. Meguro¹¹⁶, R. Mehdiyev⁹³, S. Mehlhase⁴¹, A. Mehta⁷³, K. Meier^{58a}, J. Meinhardt⁴⁸, B. Meirose⁷⁹, C. Melachrinos³⁰, B.R. Mellado Garcia¹⁷², L. Mendoza Navas¹⁶², Z. Meng^{151,ae}, A. Mengarelli^{19a,19b}, S. Menke⁹⁹, C. Menot²⁹, E. Meoni¹¹, D. Merkl⁹⁸, P. Mermod¹¹⁸, L. Merola^{102a,102b}, C. Meroni^{89a}, F.S. Merritt³⁰, A. Messina²⁹, J. Metcalfe¹⁰³, A.S. Mete⁶⁴, S. Meuser²⁰, C. Meyer⁸¹, J-P. Meyer¹³⁶, J. Meyer¹⁷³, J. Meyer⁵⁴, T.C. Meyer²⁹, W.T. Meyer⁶⁴, J. Miao^{32d}, S. Michal²⁹, L. Micu^{25a}, R.P. Middleton¹²⁹, P. Miele²⁹, S. Migas⁷³, A. Migliaccio^{102a,102b}, L. Mijović⁴¹, G. Mikenberg¹⁷¹, M. Mikestikova¹²⁵, B. Mikulec⁴⁹, M. Mikuž⁷⁴, D.W. Miller¹⁴³, R.J. Miller⁸⁸, W.J. Mills¹⁶⁸, C. Mills⁵⁷, A. Milov¹⁷¹, D.A. Milstead^{146a,146b}, D. Milstein¹⁷¹, A.A. Minaenko¹²⁸, M. Miñano¹⁶⁷, I.A. Minashvili⁶⁵, A.I. Mincer¹⁰⁸, B. Mindur³⁷, M. Mineev⁶⁵, Y. Ming¹³⁰, L.M. Mir¹¹, G. Mirabelli^{132a}, L. Miralles Verge¹¹, S. Miscetti⁴⁷, A. Misiejuk⁷⁶, A. Mitra¹¹⁸, J. Mitrevski¹³⁷, G.Y. Mitrofanov¹²⁸, V.A. Mitsou¹⁶⁷, S. Mitsui⁶⁶, P.S. Miyagawa⁸², K. Miyazaki⁶⁷,

J.U. Mjörnmark⁷⁹, T. Moa^{146a,146b}, P. Mockett¹³⁸, S. Moed⁵⁷, V. Moeller²⁷, K. Mönig⁴¹, N. Möser²⁰, S. Mohapatra¹⁴⁸, B. Mohn¹³, W. Mohr⁴⁸, S. Mohrdieck-Möck⁹⁹, A.M. Moisseev^{128,*}, R. Moles-Valls¹⁶⁷, J. Molina-Perez²⁹, L. Moneta⁴⁹, J. Monk⁷⁷, E. Monnier⁸³, S. Montesano^{89a,89b}, F. Monticelli⁷⁰, S. Monzani^{19a,19b}, R.W. Moore², G.F. Moorhead⁸⁶, C. Mora Herrera⁴⁹, A. Moraes⁵³, A. Morais^{124a,b}, N. Morange¹³⁶, J. Morel⁵⁴, G. Morello^{36a,36b}, D. Moreno⁸¹, M. Moreno Llácer¹⁶⁷, P. Morettini^{50a}, M. Morii⁵⁷, J. Morin⁷⁵, Y. Morita⁶⁶, A.K. Morley²⁹, G. Mornacchi²⁹, M-C. Morone⁴⁹, J.D. Morris⁷⁵, H.G. Moser⁹⁹, M. Mosidze⁵¹, J. Moss¹⁰⁹, R. Mount¹⁴³, E. Mountricha⁹, S.V. Mouraviev⁹⁴, T.H. Moye¹⁷, E.J.W. Moyse⁸⁴, M. Mudrinic^{12b}, F. Mueller^{58a}, J. Mueller¹²³, K. Mueller²⁰, T.A. Müller⁹⁸, D. Muenstermann⁴², A. Muijs¹⁰⁵, A. Muir¹⁶⁸, Y. Munwes¹⁵³, K. Murakami⁶⁶, W.J. Murray¹²⁹, I. Mussche¹⁰⁵, E. Musto^{102a,102b}, A.G. Myagkov¹²⁸, M. Myska¹²⁵, J. Nadal¹¹, K. Nagai¹⁶⁰, K. Nagano⁶⁶, Y. Nagasaka⁶⁰, A.M. Nairz²⁹, K. Nakamura¹⁵⁵, I. Nakano¹¹⁰, G. Nanava²⁰, A. Napier¹⁶¹, M. Nash^{77,af}, I. Nasteva⁸², N.R. Nation²¹, T. Nattermann²⁰, T. Naumann⁴¹, F. Nauyock⁸², G. Navarro¹⁶², H.A. Neal⁸⁷, E. Nebot⁸⁰, P. Nechaeva⁹⁴, A. Negri^{119a,119b}, G. Negri²⁹, S. Nektarijevic⁴⁹, A. Nelson⁶⁴, S. Nelson¹⁴³, T.K. Nelson¹⁴³, S. Nemecek¹²⁵, P. Nemethy¹⁰⁸, A.A. Nepomuceno^{23a}, M. Nessi²⁹, S.Y. Nesterov¹²¹, M.S. Neubauer¹⁶⁵, L. Neukermans⁴, A. Neusiedl⁸¹, R.M. Neves¹⁰⁸, P. Nevski²⁴, P.R. Newman¹⁷, C. Nicholson⁵³, R.B. Nickerson¹¹⁸, R. Nicolaidou¹³⁶, L. Nicolas¹³⁹, B. Nicquevert²⁹, F. Niedercorn¹¹⁵, J. Nielsen¹³⁷, T. Niinikoski²⁹, A. Nikiforov¹⁵, V. Nikolaenko¹²⁸, K. Nikolaev⁶⁵, I. Nikolic-Audit⁷⁸, K. Nikolopoulos²⁴, H. Nilsen⁴⁸, P. Nilsson⁷, Y. Ninomiya 155 , A. Nisati^{132a}, T. Nishiyama⁶⁷, R. Nisius⁹⁹, L. Nodulman⁵, M. Nomachi¹¹⁶, I. Nomidis¹⁵⁴, H. Nomoto¹⁵⁵, M. Nordberg²⁹, B. Nordkvist^{146a,146b}, O. Norniella Francisco¹¹, P.R. Norton¹²⁹, J. Novakova¹²⁶, M. Nozaki⁶⁶, M. Nožička⁴¹, I.M. Nugent^{159a}, A.-E. Nuncio-Quiroz²⁰, G. Nunes Hanninger²⁰, T. Nunnemann⁹⁸, E. Nurse⁷⁷, T. Nyman²⁹, S.W. O'Neale^{17,*}, D.C. O'Neil¹⁴², V. O'Shea⁵³, F.G. Oakham^{28,ag}, H. Oberlack⁹⁹, J. Ocariz⁷⁸, A. Ochi⁶⁷, S. Oda¹⁵⁵, S. Odaka⁶⁶, J. Odier⁸³, G.A. Odino^{50a,50b}, H. Ogren⁶¹, A. Oh⁸², S.H. Oh⁴⁴, C.C. Ohm^{146a,146b}, T. Ohshima¹⁰¹, H. Ohshita¹⁴⁰, T.K. Ohska⁶⁶, T. Ohsugi⁵⁹, S. Okada⁶⁷, H. Okawa¹⁶³, Y. Okumura¹⁰¹, T. Okuyama¹⁵⁵, M. Olcese^{50a}, A.G. Olchevski⁶⁵, M. Oliveira^{124a,h}, D. Oliveira Damazio²⁴, C. Oliver⁸⁰, E. Oliver Garcia¹⁶⁷, D. Olivito¹²⁰, A. Olszewski³⁸, J. Olszowska³⁸, C. Omachi^{67,ah}, A. Onofre^{124a,ai}, P.U.E. Onyisi³⁰, C.J. Oram^{159a}, G. Ordonez¹⁰⁴, M.J. Oreglia³⁰, F. Orellana⁴⁹, Y. Oren¹⁵³, D. Orestano^{134a,134b}, I. Orlov¹⁰⁷, C. Oropeza Barrera⁵³, R.S. Orr¹⁵⁸, E.O. Ortega¹³⁰, B. Osculati^{50a,50b}, R. Ospanov¹²⁰, C. Osuna¹¹, G. Otero y Garzon²⁶, J.P Ottersbach¹⁰⁵, B. Ottewell¹¹⁸, M. Ouchrif^{135c}, F. Ould-Saada¹¹⁷, A. Ouraou¹³⁶, Q. Ouyang^{32a}, M. Owen⁸², S. Owen¹³⁹, A Oyarzun^{31b}, O.K. Øye¹³, V.E. Ozcan⁷⁷, K. Ozone⁶⁶, N. Ozturk⁷, A. Pacheco Pages¹¹, C. Padilla Aranda¹¹, E. Paganis¹³⁹, F. Paige²⁴, K. Pajchel¹¹⁷, S. Palestini²⁹, D. Pallin³³, A. Palma^{124a,b}, J.D. Palmer¹⁷, M.J. Palmer²⁷, Y.B. Pan¹⁷², E. Panagiotopoulou⁹, B. Panes^{31a}, N. Panikashvili⁸⁷, S. Panitkin²⁴, D. Pantea^{25a}, M. Panuskova¹²⁵, V. Paolone¹²³, A. Paoloni^{133a,133b}, Th.D. Papadopoulou⁹, A. Paramonov⁵, S.J. Park⁵⁴, W. Park^{24,aj}, M.A. Parker²⁷, F. Parodi^{50a,50b}, J.A. Parsons³⁴, U. Parzefall⁴⁸, E. Pasqualucci^{132a}, A. Passeri^{134a}, F. Pastore^{134a,134b}, Fr. Pastore²⁹, G. Pásztor ^{49,ak}, S. Pataraia¹⁷², N. Patel¹⁵⁰, J.R. Pater⁸², S. Patricelli^{102a,102b}, T. Pauly²⁹, M. Pecsy^{144a}, M.I. Pedraza Morales¹⁷², S.J.M. Peeters¹⁰⁵, S.V. Peleganchuk¹⁰⁷, H. Peng¹⁷², R. Pengo²⁹, A. Penson³⁴, J. Penwell⁶¹, M. Perantoni^{23a}, K. Perez^{34,ac}, T. Perez Cavalcanti⁴¹, E. Perez Codina¹¹, M.T. Pérez García-Estañ¹⁶⁷, V. Perez Reale³⁴, I. Peric²⁰, L. Perini^{89a,89b}, H. Pernegger²⁹, R. Perrino^{72a}, P. Perrodo⁴, S. Persembe^{3a}, P. Perus¹¹⁵, V.D. Peshekhonov⁶⁵, E. Petereit⁵, O. Peters¹⁰⁵, B.A. Petersen²⁹, J. Petersen²⁹, T.C. Petersen³⁵, E. Petit⁸³, A. Petridis¹⁵⁴, C. Petridou¹⁵⁴, E. Petrolo^{132a}, F. Petrucci^{134a,134b}, D Petschull⁴¹, M. Petteni¹⁴², R. Pezoa^{31b}, A. Phan⁸⁶, A.W. Phillips²⁷, P.W. Phillips¹²⁹, G. Piacquadio²⁹, E. Piccaro⁷⁵, M. Piccinini^{19a,19b}, A. Pickford⁵³, R. Piegaia²⁶, J.E. Pilcher³⁰, A.D. Pilkington⁸², J. Pina^{124a,q}, M. Pinamonti^{164a,164c}, J.L. Pinfold², J. Ping^{32c}, B. Pinto^{124a,b}, O. Pirotte²⁹, C. Pizio^{89a,89b}, R. Placakyte⁴¹, M. Plamondon¹⁶⁹, W.G. Plano⁸², M.-A. Pleier²⁴, A.V. Pleskach¹²⁸, A. Poblaguev²⁴, S. Poddar^{58a}, F. Podlyski³³, L. Poggioli¹¹⁵, T. Poghosyan²⁰, M. Pohl⁴⁹, F. Polci⁵⁵, G. Polesello^{119a}, A. Policicchio¹³⁸, A. Polini^{19a}, J. Poll⁷⁵, V. Polychronakos²⁴, D.M. Pomarede¹³⁶, D. Pomeroy²², K. Pommès²⁹, L. Pontecorvo^{132a}, B.G. Pope⁸⁸, G.A. Popeneciu^{25a}, D.S. Popovic^{12a}, A. Poppleton²⁹, X. Portell Bueso⁴⁸, R. Porter¹⁶³, C. Posch²¹, G.E. Pospelov⁹⁹, S. Pospisil¹²⁷, I.N. Potrap⁹⁹, C.J. Potter¹⁴⁹, C.T. Potter⁸⁵, G. Poulard²⁹, J. Poveda¹⁷², R. Prabhu⁷⁷, P. Pralavorio⁸³, S. Prasad⁵⁷, R. Pravahan⁷, S. Prell⁶⁴, K. Pretzl¹⁶, L. Pribyl²⁹, D. Price⁶¹, L.E. Price⁵, M.J. Price²⁹, P.M. Prichard⁷³, D. Prieur¹²³, M. Primavera^{72a}, K. Prokofiev²⁹, F. Prokoshin^{31b}, S. Protopopescu²⁴, J. Proudfoot⁵, X. Prudent⁴³, H. Przysiezniak⁴, S. Psoroulas²⁰, E. Ptacek¹¹⁴, J. Purdham⁸⁷, M. Purohit^{24,al}, P. Puzo¹¹⁵, Y. Pylypchenko¹¹⁷, J. Qian⁸⁷, Z. Qian⁸³, Z. Qin⁴¹, A. Quadt⁵⁴, D.R. Quarrie¹⁴, W.B. Quayle¹⁷², F. Quinonez^{31a}, M. Raas¹⁰⁴, V. Radescu^{58b}, B. Radics²⁰, T. Rador^{18a}, F. Ragusa^{89a,89b}, G. Rahal¹⁷⁷, A.M. Rahimi¹⁰⁹, S. Rajagopalan²⁴, S. Rajek⁴², M. Rammensee⁴⁸, M. Rammes¹⁴¹, M. Ramstedt^{146a,146b}, K. Randrianarivony²⁸, P.N. Ratoff⁷¹, F. Rauscher⁹⁸, E. Rauter⁹⁹, M. Raymond²⁹, A.L. Read¹¹⁷, D.M. Rebuzzi^{119a,119b}, A. Redelbach¹⁷³, G. Redlinger²⁴, R. Reece¹²⁰, K. Reeves⁴⁰, A. Reichold¹⁰⁵, E. Reinherz-Aronis¹⁵³, A Reinsch¹¹⁴,

I. Reisinger⁴², D. Reljic^{12a}, C. Rembser²⁹, Z.L. Ren¹⁵¹, P. Renkel³⁹, B. Rensch³⁵, M. Rescigno^{132a}, S. Resconi^{89a}, B. Resende¹³⁶, P. Reznicek¹²⁶, R. Rezvani¹⁵⁸, A. Richards⁷⁷, R. Richter⁹⁹, E. Richter-Was^{38,am}, M. Ridel⁷⁸, S. Rieke⁸¹, M. Rijpstra¹⁰⁵, M. Rijssenbeek¹⁴⁸, A. Rimoldi^{119a,119b}, L. Rinaldi^{19a}, R.R. Rios³⁹, I. Riu¹¹, G. Rivoltella^{89a,89b}, F. Rizatdinova¹¹², E. Rizvi⁷⁵, D.A. Roa Romero¹⁶², S.H. Robertson^{85,k}, A. Robichaud-Veronneau⁴⁹, D. Robinson²⁷, JEM Robinson⁷⁷, M. Robinson¹¹⁴, A. Robson⁵³, J.G. Rocha de Lima¹⁰⁶, C. Roda^{122a,122b}, D. Roda Dos Santos²⁹, S. Rodier⁸⁰, D. Rodriguez¹⁶², Y. Rodriguez Garcia¹⁵, A. Roe⁵⁴, S. Roe²⁹, O. Røhne¹¹⁷, V. Rojo¹, S. Rolli¹⁶¹, A. Romaniouk⁹⁶, V.M. Romanov⁶⁵, G. Romeo²⁶, D. Romero Maltrana^{31a}, L. Roos⁷⁸, E. Ros¹⁶⁷, S. Rosati¹³⁸, M Rose⁷⁶, G.A. Rosenbaum¹⁵⁸, E.I. Rosenberg⁶⁴, P.L. Rosendahl¹³, L. Rosselet⁴⁹, V. Rossetti¹¹, E. Rossi^{102a,102b}, L.P. Rossi^{50a}, L. Rossi^{89a,89b}, M. Rotaru^{25a}, I. Roth¹⁷¹, J. Rothberg¹³⁸, I. Rottländer²⁰, D. Rousseau¹¹⁵, C.R. Royon¹³⁶, A. Rozanov⁸³, Y. Rozen¹⁵², X. Ruan¹¹⁵, I. Rubinskiy⁴¹, B. Ruckert⁹⁸, N. Ruckstuhl¹⁰⁵, V.I. Rud⁹⁷, G. Rudolph⁶², F. Rühr⁶, F. Ruggieri^{134a}, A. Ruiz-Martinez⁶⁴, E. Rulikowska-Zarebska³⁷, V. Rumiantsev^{91,∗}, L. Rumyantsev⁶⁵, K. Runge⁴⁸, O. Runolfsson²⁰, Z. Rurikova⁴⁸, N.A. Rusakovich⁶⁵, D.R. Rust⁶¹, J.P. Rutherfoord⁶, C. Ruwiedel¹⁴, P. Ruzicka¹²⁵, Y.F. Ryabov¹²¹, V. Ryadovikov¹²⁸, P. Ryan⁸⁸, G. Rybkin¹¹⁵, N.C. Ryder¹¹⁸, S. Rzaeva¹⁰, A.F. Saavedra¹⁵⁰, I. Sadeh¹⁵³, H.F-W. Sadrozinski¹³⁷, R. Sadykov⁶⁵, F. Safai Tehrani^{132a,132b}, H. Sakamoto¹⁵⁵, G. Salamanna¹⁰⁵, A. Salamon^{133a}, M. Saleem¹¹¹, D. Salihagic⁹⁹, A. Salnikov¹⁴³, J. Salt¹⁶⁷, B.M. Salvachua Ferrando⁵, D. Salvatore^{36a,36b}, F. Salvatore¹⁴⁹, A. Salvucci⁴⁷, A. Salzburger²⁹, D. Sampsonidis¹⁵⁴, B.H. Samset¹¹⁷, H. Sandaker¹³, H.G. Sander⁸¹, M.P. Sanders⁹⁸, M. Sandhoff¹⁷⁴, P. Sandhu¹⁵⁸, T. Sandoval²⁷, R. Sandstroem¹⁰⁵, S. Sandvoss¹⁷⁴, D.P.C. Sankey¹²⁹, A. Sansoni⁴⁷, C. Santamarina Rios⁸⁵, C. Santoni³³, R. Santonico^{133a,133b}, H. Santos^{124a}, J.G. Saraiva^{124a,q}, T. Sarangi¹⁷², E. Sarkisyan-Grinbaum⁷, F. Sarri^{122a,122b}, G. Sartisohn¹⁷⁴, O. Sasaki⁶⁶, T. Sasaki⁶⁶, N. Sasao⁶⁸, I. Satsounkevitch⁹⁰, G. Sauvage⁴, P. Savard^{158,ag}, V. Savinov¹²³, P. Savva ⁹, L. Sawyer^{24,an}, D.H. Saxon⁵³, L.P. Says³³, C. Sbarra^{19a,19b}, A. Sbrizzi^{19a,19b}, O. Scallon⁹³, D.A. Scannicchio¹⁶³, J. Schaarschmidt⁴³, P. Schacht⁹⁹, U. Schäfer⁸¹, S. Schaetzel^{58b}, A.C. Schaffer¹¹⁵, D. Schaile⁹⁸, R.D. Schamberger¹⁴⁸, A.G. Schamov¹⁰⁷, V. Scharf^{58a}, V.A. Schegelsky¹²¹, D. Scheirich⁸⁷, M.I. Scherzer¹⁴, C. Schiavi^{50a,50b}, J. Schieck⁹⁸, M. Schioppa^{36a,36b}, S. Schlenker²⁹, J.L. Schlereth⁵, E. Schmidt⁴⁸, M.P. Schmidt^{175,*}, K. Schmieden²⁰, C. Schmitt⁸¹, M. Schmitz²⁰, A. Schöning^{58b}, M. Schott²⁹, D. Schouten¹⁴², J. Schovancova¹²⁵, M. Schram⁸⁵, A. Schreiner⁶³, C. Schroeder⁸¹, N. Schroer^{58c}, M. Schroers¹⁷⁴, S. Schuh²⁹, G. Schuler²⁹, J. Schultes¹⁷⁴, H.-C. Schultz-Coulon^{58a}, J.W. Schumacher⁴³,

M. Schumacher⁴⁸, B.A. Schumm¹³⁷, Ph. Schune¹³⁶, C. Schwanenberger⁸², A. Schwartzman¹⁴³, D. Schweiger²⁹, Ph. Schwemling⁷⁸, R. Schwienhorst⁸⁸, R. Schwierz⁴³, J. Schwindling¹³⁶, W.G. Scott¹²⁹, J. Searcy¹¹⁴, E. Sedykh¹²¹, E. Segura¹¹, S.C. Seidel¹⁰³, A. Seiden¹³⁷, F. Seifert⁴³, J.M. Seixas^{23a}, G. Sekhniaidze^{102a}, D.M. Seliverstov¹²¹, B. Sellden^{146a}, G. Sellers⁷³, M. Seman^{144b}, N. Semprini-Cesari^{19a,19b}, C. Serfon⁹⁸, L. Serin¹¹⁵, R. Seuster⁹⁹, H. Severini¹¹¹, M.E. Sevior⁸⁶, A. Sfyrla²⁹, E. Shabalina⁵⁴, M. Shamim¹¹⁴, L.Y. Shan^{32a}, J.T. Shank²¹, Q.T. Shao⁸⁶, M. Shapiro¹⁴, P.B. Shatalov⁹⁵, L. Shaver⁶, C. Shaw⁵³, K. Shaw^{164a,164c}, D. Sherman¹⁷⁵, P. Sherwood⁷⁷, A. Shibata¹⁰⁸, S. Shimizu²⁹, M. Shimojima¹⁰⁰, T. Shin⁵⁶, A. Shmeleva⁹⁴, M.J. Shochet³⁰, D. Short¹¹⁸, M.A. Shupe⁶, P. Sicho¹²⁵, A. Sidoti¹⁵, A. Siebel¹⁷⁴, F Siegert⁴⁸, J. Siegrist¹⁴, Dj. Sijacki^{12a}, O. Silbert¹⁷¹, Y. Silver¹⁵³, D. Silverstein¹⁴³, S.B. Silverstein^{146a}, V. Simak¹²⁷, Lj. Simic^{12a}, S. Simion¹¹⁵, B. Simmons⁷⁷, M. Simonyan³⁵, P. Sinervo¹⁵⁸, N.B. Sinev¹¹⁴, V. Sipica¹⁴¹, G. Siragusa⁸¹, A.N. Sisakyan⁶⁵, S.Yu. Sivoklokov⁹⁷, J. Sjölin^{146a,146b}, T.B. Sjursen¹³, L.A. Skinnari¹⁴, K. Skovpen¹⁰⁷, P. Skubic¹¹¹, N. Skvorodnev²², M. Slater¹⁷, T. Slavicek¹²⁷, K. Sliwa¹⁶¹, T.J. Sloan⁷¹, J. Sloper²⁹, V. Smakhtin¹⁷¹, S.Yu. Smirnov⁹⁶, L.N. Smirnova⁹⁷, O. Smirnova⁷⁹, B.C. Smith⁵⁷, D. Smith¹⁴³, K.M. Smith⁵³, M. Smizanska⁷¹, K. Smolek¹²⁷, A.A. Snesarev⁹⁴, S.W. Snow⁸², J. Snow¹¹¹, J. Snuverink¹⁰⁵, S. Snyder²⁴, M. Soares^{124a}, R. Sobie^{169,k}, J. Sodomka¹²⁷, A. Soffer¹⁵³, C.A. Solans¹⁶⁷, M. Solar¹²⁷, J. Solc¹²⁷, U. Soldevila¹⁶⁷, E. Solfaroli Camillocci^{132a,132b}, A.A. Solodkov¹²⁸, O.V. Solovyanov¹²⁸, J. Sondericker²⁴, N. Soni², V. Sopko¹²⁷, B. Sopko¹²⁷, M. Sorbi^{89a,89b}, M. Sosebee⁷, A. Soukharev¹⁰⁷, S. Spagnolo^{72a,72b}, F. Spanò³⁴, R. Spighi^{19a}, G. Spigo²⁹, F. Spila^{132a,132b}, E. Spiriti^{134a}, R. Spiwoks²⁹, M. Spousta¹²⁶, T. Spreitzer¹⁵⁸, B. Spurlock⁷, R.D. St. Denis⁵³, T. Stahl¹⁴¹, J. Stahlman¹²⁰, R. Stamen^{58a}, E. Stanecka²⁹, R.W. Stanek⁵, C. Stanescu^{134a}, S. Stapnes¹¹⁷, E.A. Starchenko¹²⁸, J. Stark⁵⁵, P. Staroba¹²⁵, P. Starovoitov⁹¹, A. Staude⁹⁸, P. Stavina^{144a}, G. Stavropoulos¹⁴, G. Steele⁵³, E. Stefanidis⁷⁷, P. Steinbach⁴³, P. Steinberg²⁴, I. Stekl¹²⁷, B. Stelzer¹⁴², H.J. Stelzer⁴¹, O. Stelzer-Chilton^{159a}, H. Stenzel⁵², K. Stevenson⁷⁵, G.A. Stewart⁵³, T. Stockmanns²⁰, M.C. Stockton²⁹, M. Stodulski³⁸, K. Stoerig⁴⁸, G. Stoicea^{25a}, S. Stonjek⁹⁹, P. Strachota¹²⁶, A.R. Stradling⁷, A. Straessner⁴³, J. Strandberg⁸⁷, S. Strandberg^{146a,146b}, A. Strandlie¹¹⁷, M. Strang¹⁰⁹, E. Strauss¹⁴³, M. Strauss¹¹¹, P. Strizenec^{144b}, R. Ströhmer¹⁷³, D.M. Strom¹¹⁴, J.A. Strong^{76,*}, R. Stroynowski³⁹, J. Strube¹²⁹, B. Stugu¹³, I. Stumer^{24,*}, J. Stupak¹⁴⁸, P. Sturm¹⁷⁴, D.A. Soh^{151,ao}, D. Su¹⁴³, S. Subramania², Y. Sugaya¹¹⁶, T. Sugimoto¹⁰¹, C. Suhr¹⁰⁶, K. Suita⁶⁷, M. Suk¹²⁶, V.V. Sulin⁹⁴, S. Sultansoy^{3d}, T. Sumida²⁹, X. Sun⁵⁵, J.E. Sundermann⁴⁸, K. Suruliz^{164a,164b}, S. Sushkov¹¹, G. Susinno^{36a,36b}, M.R. Sutton¹³⁹,

Y. Suzuki⁶⁶, Yu.M. Sviridov¹²⁸, S. Swedish¹⁶⁸, I. Sykora^{144a}, T. Sykora¹²⁶, B. Szeless²⁹, J. Sánchez¹⁶⁷, D. Ta¹⁰⁵, K. Tackmann²⁹, A. Taffard¹⁶³, R. Tafirout^{159a}, A. Taga¹¹⁷, N. Taiblum¹⁵³, Y. Takahashi¹⁰¹, H. Takai²⁴, R. Takashima⁶⁹, H. Takeda⁶⁷, T. Takeshita¹⁴⁰, M. Talby⁸³, A. Talyshev¹⁰⁷, M.C. Tamsett²⁴, J. Tanaka¹⁵⁵, R. Tanaka¹¹⁵, S. Tanaka¹³¹, S. Tanaka⁶⁶, Y. Tanaka¹⁰⁰, K. Tani⁶⁷, N. Tannoury⁸³, G.P. Tappern²⁹, S. Tapprogge⁸¹, D. Tardif¹⁵⁸, S. Tarem¹⁵², F. Tarrade²⁴, G.F. Tartarelli^{89a}, P. Tas¹²⁶, M. Tasevsky¹²⁵, E. Tassi^{36a,36b}, M. Tatarkhanov¹⁴, C. Taylor⁷⁷, F.E. Taylor⁹², G. Taylor¹³⁷, G.N. Taylor⁸⁶, W. Taylor^{159b}, M. Teixeira Dias Castanheira⁷⁵, P. Teixeira-Dias⁷⁶, K.K. Temming⁴⁸, H. Ten Kate²⁹, P.K. Teng¹⁵¹, Y.D. Tennenbaum-Katan¹⁵², S. Terada⁶⁶, K. Terashi¹⁵⁵, J. Terron⁸⁰, M. Terwort^{41,}^v, M. Testa⁴⁷, R.J. Teuscher^{158,k}, C.M. Tevlin⁸², J. Thadome¹⁷⁴, J. Therhaag²⁰, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer⁷⁸, M. Thioye¹⁷⁵, S. Thoma⁴⁸, J.P. Thomas¹⁷, E.N. Thompson⁸⁴, P.D. Thompson¹⁷, P.D. Thompson¹⁵⁸, A.S. Thompson⁵³, E. Thomson¹²⁰, M. Thomson²⁷, R.P. Thun⁸⁷, T. Tic¹²⁵, V.O. Tikhomirov⁹⁴, Y.A. Tikhonov¹⁰⁷, C.J.W.P. Timmermans¹⁰⁴, P. Tipton¹⁷⁵, F.J. Tique Aires Viegas²⁹, S. Tisserant⁸³, J. Tobias⁴⁸, B. Toczek³⁷, T. Todorov⁴, S. Todorova-Nova¹⁶¹, B. Toggerson¹⁶³, J. Tojo⁶⁶, S. Tokár^{144a}, K. Tokunaga⁶⁷, K. Tokushuku⁶⁶, K. Tollefson⁸⁸, M. Tomoto¹⁰¹, L. Tompkins¹⁴, K. Toms¹⁰³, A. Tonazzo^{134a,134b}, G. Tong^{32a}, A. Tonoyan¹³, C. Topfel¹⁶, N.D. Topilin⁶⁵, I. Torchiani²⁹, E. Torrence¹¹⁴, E. Torró Pastor¹⁶⁷, J. Toth^{83,ak}, F. Touchard⁸³, D.R. Tovey¹³⁹, D. Traynor⁷⁵, T. Trefzger¹⁷³, J. Treis²⁰, L. Tremblet²⁹, A. Tricoli²⁹, I.M. Trigger^{159a}, S. Trincaz-Duvoid⁷⁸, T.N. Trinh⁷⁸, M.F. Tripiana⁷⁰, N. Triplett⁶⁴, W. Trischuk¹⁵⁸, A. Trivedi^{24,ap}, B. Trocmé⁵⁵, C. Troncon^{89a}, M. Trottier-McDonald¹⁴², A. Trzupek³⁸, C. Tsarouchas⁹, J.C-L. Tseng¹¹⁸, M. Tsiakiris¹⁰⁵, P.V. Tsiareshka⁹⁰, D. Tsionou¹³⁹, G. Tsipolitis⁹, V. Tsiskaridze⁴⁸, E.G. Tskhadadze⁵¹, I.I. Tsukerman⁹⁵, V. Tsulaia¹²³, J.-W. Tsung²⁰, S. Tsuno⁶⁶, D. Tsybychev¹⁴⁸, A. Tua¹³⁹, J.M. Tuggle³⁰, M. Turala³⁸, D. Turecek¹²⁷, I. Turk Cakir^{3e}, E. Turlay¹⁰⁵, P.M. Tuts³⁴, M. Tylmad^{146a,146b}, M. Tyndel¹²⁹, D. Typaldos¹⁷, H. Tyrvainen²⁹, G. Tzanakos⁸, K. Uchida²⁰, I. Ueda¹⁵⁵, R. Ueno²⁸, M. Ugland¹³, M. Uhlenbrock²⁰, M. Uhrmacher⁵⁴, F. Ukegawa¹⁶⁰, G. Unal²⁹, D.G. Underwood⁵, A. Undrus²⁴, G. Unel¹⁶³, Y. Unno⁶⁶, D. Urbaniec³⁴, E. Urkovsky¹⁵³, P. Urquijo^{49,aq}, P. Urrejola^{31a}, G. Usai⁷, M. Uslenghi^{119a,119b}, L. Vacavant⁸³, V. Vacek¹²⁷, B. Vachon⁸⁵, S. Vahsen¹⁴, C. Valderanis⁹⁹, J. Valenta¹²⁵, P. Valente^{132a}, S. Valentinetti^{19a,19b}, S. Valkar¹²⁶, E. Valladolid Gallego¹⁶⁷, S. Vallecorsa¹⁵², J.A. Valls Ferrer¹⁶⁷, H. van der Graaf¹⁰⁵, E. van der Kraaij¹⁰⁵, E. van der Poel¹⁰⁵, D. van der Ster²⁹, B. Van Eijk¹⁰⁵, N. van Eldik⁸⁴, P. van Gemmeren⁵, Z. van Kesteren¹⁰⁵, I. van Vulpen¹⁰⁵, W. Vandelli²⁹, G. Vandoni²⁹, A. Vaniachine⁵, P. Vankov⁴¹, F. Vannucci⁷⁸,

F. Varela Rodriguez²⁹, R. Vari^{132a}, E.W. Varnes⁶, D. Varouchas¹⁴, A. Vartapetian⁷, K.E. Varvell¹⁵⁰, V.I. Vassilakopoulos⁵⁶, F. Vazeille³³, G. Vegni^{89a,89b}, J.J. Veillet¹¹⁵, C. Vellidis⁸, F. Veloso^{124a}, R. Veness²⁹, S. Veneziano^{132a}, A. Ventura^{72a,72b}, D. Ventura¹³⁸, S. Ventura ⁴⁷, M. Venturi⁴⁸, N. Venturi¹⁶, V. Vercesi^{119a}, M. Verducci¹³⁸, W. Verkerke¹⁰⁵, J.C. Vermeulen¹⁰⁵, L. Vertogardov¹¹⁸, M.C. Vetterli^{142,ag}, I. Vichou¹⁶⁵, T. Vickey^{145b,ar}, G.H.A. Viehhauser¹¹⁸, S. Viel¹⁶⁸, M. Villa^{19a,19b}, M. Villaplana Perez¹⁶⁷, E. Vilucchi⁴⁷, M.G. Vincter²⁸, E. Vinek²⁹, V.B. Vinogradov⁶⁵, M. Virchaux^{136,*}, S. Viret³³, J. Virzi¹⁴, A. Vitale^{19a,19b}, O. Vitells¹⁷¹, I. Vivarelli⁴⁸, F. Vives Vaque¹¹, S. Vlachos⁹, M. Vlasak¹²⁷, N. Vlasov²⁰, A. Vogel²⁰, P. Vokac¹²⁷, M. Volpi¹¹, G. Volpini^{89a}, H. von der Schmitt⁹⁹, J. von Loeben⁹⁹, H. von Radziewski⁴⁸, E. von Toerne²⁰, V. Vorobel¹²⁶, A.P. Vorobiev¹²⁸, V. Vorwerk¹¹, M. Vos¹⁶⁷, R. Voss²⁹, T.T. Voss¹⁷⁴, J.H. Vossebeld⁷³, A.S. Vovenko¹²⁸, N. Vranjes^{12a}, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic^{12a}, V. Vrba¹²⁵, M. Vreeswijk¹⁰⁵, T. Vu Anh⁸¹, R. Vuillermet²⁹, I. Vukotic¹¹⁵, W. Wagner¹⁷⁴, P. Wagner¹²⁰, H. Wahlen¹⁷⁴, S. Wahrmund⁴³, J. Wakabayashi¹⁰¹, J. Walbersloh⁴², S. Walch⁸⁷, J. Walder⁷¹, R. Walker⁹⁸, W. Walkowiak¹⁴¹, R. Wall¹⁷⁵, P. Waller⁷³, C. Wang⁴⁴, H. Wang¹⁷², J. Wang^{32d}, J.C. Wang¹³⁸, S.M. Wang¹⁵¹, A. Warburton⁸⁵, C.P. Ward²⁷, M. Warsinsky⁴⁸, R. Wastie¹¹⁸, P.M. Watkins¹⁷, A.T. Watson¹⁷, M.F. Watson¹⁷, G. Watts¹³⁸, S. Watts⁸², A.T. Waugh¹⁵⁰, B.M. Waugh⁷⁷, J. Weber⁴², M. Weber¹²⁹, M.S. Weber¹⁶, P. Weber⁵⁴, A.R. Weidberg¹¹⁸, J. Weingarten⁵⁴, C. Weiser⁴⁸, H. Wellenstein²², P.S. Wells²⁹, M. Wen⁴⁷, T. Wenaus²⁴, S. Wendler¹²³, Z. Weng^{151,as}, T. Wengler²⁹, S. Wenig²⁹, N. Wermes²⁰, M. Werner⁴⁸, P. Werner²⁹, M. Werth¹⁶³, M. Wessels^{58a}, K. Whalen²⁸, S.J. Wheeler-Ellis¹⁶³, S.P. Whitaker²¹, A. White⁷, M.J. White⁸⁶, S.R. Whitehead¹¹⁸, D. Whiteson¹⁶³, D. Whittington⁶¹, F. Wicek¹¹⁵, D. Wicke¹⁷⁴, F.J. Wickens¹²⁹, W. Wiedenmann¹⁷², M. Wielers¹²⁹, P. Wienemann²⁰, C. Wiglesworth⁷³, L.A.M. Wiik⁴⁸, A. Wildauer¹⁶⁷, M.A. Wildt^{41,*v*}, I. Wilhelm¹²⁶, H.G. Wilkens²⁹, J.Z. Will⁹⁸, E. Williams³⁴, H.H. Williams¹²⁰, W. Willis³⁴, S. Willocq⁸⁴, J.A. Wilson¹⁷, M.G. Wilson¹⁴³, A. Wilson⁸⁷, I. Wingerter-Seez⁴, S. Winkelmann⁴⁸, F. Winklmeier²⁹, M. Wittgen¹⁴³, M.W. Wolter³⁸, H. Wolters^{124a,h}, G. Wooden¹¹⁸, B.K. Wosiek³⁸, J. Wotschack²⁹, M.J. Woudstra⁸⁴, K. Wraight⁵³, C. Wright⁵³, B. Wrona⁷³, S.L. Wu¹⁷², X. Wu⁴⁹, E. Wulf³⁴, R. Wunstorf⁴², B.M. Wynne⁴⁵, L. Xaplanteris⁹, S. Xella³⁵, S. Xie⁴⁸, Y. Xie^{32a}, C. Xu^{32b}, D. Xu¹³⁹, G. Xu^{32a}, B. Yabsley¹⁵⁰, M. Yamada⁶⁶, A. Yamamoto⁶⁶, K. Yamamoto⁶⁴, S. Yamamoto¹⁵⁵, T. Yamamura¹⁵⁵, J. Yamaoka⁴⁴, T. Yamazaki¹⁵⁵, Y. Yamazaki⁶⁷, Z. Yan²¹, H. Yang⁸⁷, S. Yang¹¹⁸, U.K. Yang⁸², Y. Yang⁶¹, Y. Yang^{32a}, Z. Yang^{146a,146b}, S. Yanush⁹¹, W-M. Yao¹⁴, Y. Yao¹⁴, Y. Yasu⁶⁶, J. Ye³⁹, S. Ye²⁴, M. Yilmaz^{3c}, R. Yoosoofmiya¹²³, K. Yorita¹⁷⁰,

R. Yoshida⁵, C. Young¹⁴³, S.P. Youssef²¹, D. Yu²⁴, J. Yu⁷, J. Yu^{32c,at}, L. Yuan^{32a,au}, A. Yurkewicz¹⁴⁸, V.G. Zaets ¹²⁸, R. Zaidan⁶³, A.M. Zaitsev¹²⁸, Z. Zajacova²⁹, Yo.K. Zalite ¹²¹, L. Zanello^{132a,132b}, P. Zarzhitsky³⁹, A. Zaytsev¹⁰⁷, M. Zdrazil¹⁴, C. Zeitnitz¹⁷⁴, M. Zeller¹⁷⁵, P.F. Zema²⁹, A. Zemla³⁸, C. Zendler²⁰, A.V. Zenin¹²⁸, O. Zenin¹²⁸, T. Ženiš^{144a}, Z. Zenonos^{122a,122b}, S. Zenz¹⁴, D. Zerwas¹¹⁵, G. Zevi della Porta⁵⁷, Z. Zhan^{32d}, H. Zhang⁸⁸, J. Zhang⁵, X. Zhang^{32d}, Z. Zhang¹¹⁵, L. Zhao¹⁰⁸, T. Zhao¹³⁸, Z. Zhao^{32b}, A. Zhemchugov⁶⁵, S. Zheng^{32a}, J. Zhong^{151,av}, B. Zhou⁸⁷, N. Zhou¹⁶³, Y. Zhou¹⁵¹, C.G. Zhu^{32d}, H. Zhu⁴¹, Y. Zhu¹⁷², X. Zhuang⁹⁸, V. Zhuravlov⁹⁹, D. Zieminska⁶¹, B. Zilka^{144a}, R. Zimmermann²⁰, S. Zimmermann²⁰, S. Zimmermann⁴⁸, M. Ziolkowski¹⁴¹, R. Zitoun⁴, L. Živković³⁴, V.V. Zmouchko^{128,*}, G. Zobernig¹⁷², A. Zoccoli^{19a,19b}, Y. Zolnierowski⁴, A. Zsenei²⁹, M. zur Nedden¹⁵, V. Zutshi¹⁰⁶, L. Zwalinski²⁹.

¹ University at Albany, 1400 Washington Ave, Albany, NY 12222, United States of America

² University of Alberta, Department of Physics, Centre for Particle Physics, Edmonton, AB T6G 2G7, Canada

³ Ankara University^(a), Faculty of Sciences, Department of Physics, TR 061000 Tandogan, Ankara; Dumlupinar University^{(b)}, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Physics, Kutahya; Gazi University^(c), Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Physics, 06500, Teknikokullar, Ankara; TOBB University of Economics and Technology^(d), Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Division of Physics, 06560, Sogutozu, Ankara; Turkish Atomic Energy Authority^(e), 06530, Lodumlu, Ankara, Turkey

⁴ LAPP, Université de Savoie, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy-le-Vieux, France

⁵ Argonne National Laboratory, High Energy Physics Division, 9700 S. Cass Avenue, Argonne IL 60439, United States of America

⁶ University of Arizona, Department of Physics, Tucson, AZ 85721, United States of America ⁷ The University of Texas at Arlington, Department of Physics, Box 19059, Arlington, TX 76019, United States of America

⁸ University of Athens, Nuclear & Particle Physics, Department of Physics, Panepistimiopouli, Zografou, GR 15771 Athens, Greece

⁹ National Technical University of Athens, Physics Department, 9-Iroon Polytechniou, GR 15780 Zografou, Greece

¹⁰ Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, H. Javid Avenue 33, AZ 143 Baku, Azerbaijan

 11 Institut de Física d'Altes Energies, IFAE, Edifici Cn, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, ES - 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain

¹² University of Belgrade^(a), Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 57, 11001 Belgrade; Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences(b)M. Petrovica Alasa 12-14, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia, Serbia

¹³ University of Bergen, Department for Physics and Technology, Allegaten 55, NO - 5007 Bergen, Norway

¹⁴ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Physics Division, MS50B-6227, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, United States of America

¹⁵ Humboldt University, Institute of Physics, Berlin, Newtonstr. 15, D-12489 Berlin, Germany ¹⁶ University of Bern, Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Laboratory for High Energy Physics, Sidlerstrasse 5, CH - 3012 Bern, Switzerland

¹⁷ University of Birmingham, School of Physics and Astronomy, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom

¹⁸ Bogazici University^(a), Faculty of Sciences, Department of Physics, TR - 80815 Bebek-Istanbul; Dogus University^(b), Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Physics, 34722, Kadikoy, Istanbul; ^(c)Gaziantep University, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Physics Engineering, 27310, Sehitkamil, Gaziantep, Turkey; Istanbul Technical University^(d), Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Physics, 34469, Maslak, Istanbul, Turkey

¹⁹ INFN Sezione di Bologna^(a); Università di Bologna, Dipartimento di Fisica^(b), viale C. Berti Pichat, 6/2, IT - 40127 Bologna, Italy

²⁰ University of Bonn, Physikalisches Institut, Nussallee 12, D - 53115 Bonn, Germany

²¹ Boston University, Department of Physics, 590 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, United States of America

²² Brandeis University, Department of Physics, MS057, 415 South Street, Waltham, MA 02454, United States of America

²³ Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro, COPPE/EE/IF^(a), Caixa Postal 68528, Ilha do Fundao, BR - 21945-970 Rio de Janeiro; (b)Universidade de Sao Paulo, Instituto de Fisica, R.do Matao Trav. R.187, Sao Paulo - SP, 05508 - 900, Brazil

²⁴ Brookhaven National Laboratory, Physics Department, Bldg. 510A, Upton, NY 11973, United States of America

²⁵ National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering^(a)Bucharest-Magurele, Str. Atomistilor 407, P.O. Box MG-6, R-077125, Romania; University Politehnica Bucharest^(b), Rectorat - AN 001, 313 Splaiul Independentei, sector 6, 060042 Bucuresti; West University^(c) in Timisoara, Bd. Vasile Parvan 4, Timisoara, Romania

²⁶ Universidad de Buenos Aires, FCEyN, Dto. Fisica, Pab I - C. Universitaria, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

²⁷ University of Cambridge, Cavendish Laboratory, J J Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom

²⁸ Carleton University, Department of Physics, 1125 Colonel By Drive, Ottawa ON K1S 5B6, Canada

²⁹ CERN, CH - 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

³⁰ University of Chicago, Enrico Fermi Institute, 5640 S. Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637, United States of America

³¹ Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Facultad de Fisica, Departamento de Fisica^(a), Avda. Vicuna Mackenna 4860, San Joaquin, Santiago; Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Departamento de Física^(b), Avda. Espãna 1680, Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile

 32 Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences^(a), P.O. Box 918, 19 Yuquan Road, Shijing Shan District, CN - Beijing 100049; University of Science & Technology of China (USTC), Department of Modern Physics^(b), Hefei, CN - Anhui 230026; Nanjing University, Department of Physics^(c), Nanjing, CN - Jiangsu 210093; Shandong University, High Energy Physics $Group^{(d)}$, Jinan, CN - Shandong 250100, China

³³ Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, FR - 63177 Aubiere Cedex, France

³⁴ Columbia University, Nevis Laboratory, 136 So. Broadway, Irvington, NY 10533, United States of America

³⁵ University of Copenhagen, Niels Bohr Institute, Blegdamsvej 17, DK - 2100 Kobenhavn 0, Denmark

³⁶ INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza^(a); Università della Calabria, Dipartimento di Fisica^(b), IT-87036 Arcavacata di Rende, Italy

³⁷ Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science of the AGH-University of Science and Technology, (FPACS, AGH-UST), al. Mickiewicza 30, PL-30059 Cracow, Poland

³⁸ The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul.

Radzikowskiego 152, PL - 31342 Krakow, Poland

³⁹ Southern Methodist University, Physics Department, 106 Fondren Science Building, Dallas, TX 75275-0175, United States of America

⁴⁰ University of Texas at Dallas, 800 West Campbell Road, Richardson, TX 75080-3021, United States of America

⁴¹ DESY, Notkestr. 85, D-22603 Hamburg and Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany ⁴² TU Dortmund, Experimentelle Physik IV, DE - 44221 Dortmund, Germany

⁴³ Technical University Dresden, Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Zellescher Weg 19, D-01069 Dresden, Germany

⁴⁴ Duke University, Department of Physics, Durham, NC 27708, United States of America

⁴⁵ University of Edinburgh, School of Physics & Astronomy, James Clerk Maxwell Building,

The Kings Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom

⁴⁶ Fachhochschule Wiener Neustadt; Johannes Gutenbergstrasse 3 AT - 2700 Wiener Neustadt, Austria

⁴⁷ INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, via Enrico Fermi 40, IT-00044 Frascati, Italy

⁴⁸ Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Hermann-Herder Str.

3, D - 79104 Freiburg i.Br., Germany

⁴⁹ Université de Genève, Section de Physique, 24 rue Ernest Ansermet, CH - 1211 Geneve 4, Switzerland

⁵⁰ INFN Sezione di Genova^(a); Università di Genova, Dipartimento di Fisica^(b), via Dodecaneso 33, IT - 16146 Genova, Italy

⁵¹ Institute of Physics of the Georgian Academy of Sciences, 6 Tamarashvili St., GE - 380077 Tbilisi; Tbilisi State University, HEP Institute, University St. 9, GE - 380086 Tbilisi, Georgia 52 Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, II Physikalisches Institut, Heinrich-Buff Ring 16, D-35392 Giessen, Germany

⁵³ University of Glasgow, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom

⁵⁴ Georg-August-Universität, II. Physikalisches Institut, Friedrich-Hund Platz 1, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany

⁵⁵ Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, 53 avenue des Martyrs, FR - 38026 Grenoble Cedex, France, France

⁵⁶ Hampton University, Department of Physics, Hampton, VA 23668, United States of

America

⁵⁷ Harvard University, Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, 18 Hammond Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, United States of America

⁵⁸ Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg: Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik^(a), Im Neuenheimer Feld 227, D-69120 Heidelberg; Physikalisches Institut^(b), Philosophenweg 12, D-69120 Heidelberg; ZITI Ruprecht-Karls-University Heidelberg^(c), Lehrstuhl für Informatik V, B6, 23-29, DE - 68131 Mannheim, Germany

⁵⁹ Hiroshima University, Faculty of Science, 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, Higashihiroshima-shi, JP - Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan

⁶⁰ Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Faculty of Applied Information Science, 2-1-1 Miyake Saeki-ku, Hiroshima-shi, JP - Hiroshima 731-5193, Japan

⁶¹ Indiana University, Department of Physics, Swain Hall West 117, Bloomington, IN 47405- 7105, United States of America

 Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Technikerstrasse 25, A - 6020 Innsbruck, Austria University of Iowa, 203 Van Allen Hall, Iowa City, IA 52242-1479, United States of America Iowa State University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ames High Energy Physics Group, Ames, IA 50011-3160, United States of America

⁶⁵ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, JINR Dubna, RU-141980 Moscow Region, Russia, Russia

⁶⁶ KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, 1-1 Oho, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki-ken 305-0801, Japan

⁶⁷ Kobe University, Graduate School of Science, 1-1 Rokkodai-cho, Nada-ku, JP Kobe 657- 8501, Japan

⁶⁸ Kyoto University, Faculty of Science, Oiwake-cho, Kitashirakawa, Sakyou-ku, Kyoto-shi, JP - Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

⁶⁹ Kyoto University of Education, 1 Fukakusa, Fujimori, fushimi-ku, Kyoto-shi, JP - Kyoto 612-8522, Japan

 70 Universidad Nacional de La Plata, FCE, Departamento de Física, IFLP (CONICET-UNLP), C.C. 67, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

⁷¹ Lancaster University, Physics Department, Lancaster LA1 4YB, United Kingdom

⁷² INFN Sezione di Lecce^(a); Università del Salento, Dipartimento di Fisica^(b)Via Arnesano IT - 73100 Lecce, Italy

⁷³ University of Liverpool, Oliver Lodge Laboratory, P.O. Box 147, Oxford Street, Liverpool L69 3BX, United Kingdom

⁷⁴ Jožef Stefan Institute and University of Ljubljana, Department of Physics, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

⁷⁵ Queen Mary University of London, Department of Physics, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom

⁷⁶ Royal Holloway, University of London, Department of Physics, Egham Hill, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, United Kingdom

⁷⁷ University College London, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

 78 Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris 6), Université Denis Diderot (Paris-7), CNRS/IN2P3, Tour 33, 4 place Jussieu, FR -75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

⁷⁹ Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Box 118, SE - 221 00 Lund, Sweden

⁸⁰ Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Facultad de Ciencias, Departamento de Fisica Teorica, ES - 28049 Madrid, Spain

 81 Universität Mainz, Institut für Physik, Staudinger Weg 7, DE - 55099 Mainz, Germany

⁸² University of Manchester, School of Physics and Astronomy, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

⁸³ CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France

⁸⁴ University of Massachusetts, Department of Physics, 710 North Pleasant Street, Amherst, MA 01003, United States of America

⁸⁵ McGill University, High Energy Physics Group, 3600 University Street, Montreal, Quebec H3A 2T8, Canada

⁸⁶ University of Melbourne, School of Physics, AU - Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

⁸⁷ The University of Michigan, Department of Physics, 2477 Randall Laboratory, 500 East University, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1120, United States of America

⁸⁸ Michigan State University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, High Energy Physics Group, East Lansing, MI 48824-2320, United States of America

⁸⁹ INFN Sezione di Milano^(a); Università di Milano, Dipartimento di Fisica^(b), via Celoria 16, IT - 20133 Milano, Italy

⁹⁰ B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Independence

Avenue 68, Minsk 220072, Republic of Belarus

⁹¹ National Scientific & Educational Centre for Particle & High Energy Physics, NC PHEP BSU, M. Bogdanovich St. 153, Minsk 220040, Republic of Belarus

 92 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Physics, Room 24-516, Cambridge, MA 02139, United States of America

⁹³ University of Montreal, Group of Particle Physics, C.P. 6128, Succursale Centre-Ville, Montreal, Quebec, H3C 3J7 , Canada

⁹⁴ P.N. Lebedev Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences, Leninsky pr. 53, RU - 117 924 Moscow, Russia

 95 Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), B. Cheremushkinskaya ul. 25, RU 117 218 Moscow, Russia

⁹⁶ Moscow Engineering & Physics Institute (MEPhI), Kashirskoe Shosse 31, RU - 115409 Moscow, Russia

⁹⁷ Lomonosov Moscow State University Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics (MSU SINP),

1(2), Leninskie gory, GSP-1, Moscow 119991 Russian Federation, Russia

 98 Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Fakultät für Physik, Am Coulombwall 1, DE -85748 Garching, Germany

 99 Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 München, Germany

¹⁰⁰ Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, 536 Aba-machi, JP Nagasaki 851-0193, Japan

¹⁰¹ Nagoya University, Graduate School of Science, Furo-Cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan

¹⁰² INFN Sezione di Napoli^(a); Università di Napoli, Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche^(b), Complesso Universitario di Monte Sant'Angelo, via Cinthia, IT - 80126 Napoli, Italy

¹⁰³ University of New Mexico, Department of Physics and Astronomy, MSC07 4220, Albuquerque, NM 87131 USA, United States of America

¹⁰⁴ Radboud University Nijmegen/NIKHEF, Department of Experimental High Energy Physics, Heyendaalseweg 135, NL-6525 AJ, Nijmegen, Netherlands

¹⁰⁵ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, and University of Amsterdam, Science Park 105, 1098 XG Amsterdam, Netherlands

¹⁰⁶ Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, LaTourette Hall Normal Road, DeKalb, IL 60115, United States of America

- ¹⁰⁷ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (BINP), RU Novosibirsk 630 090, Russia
- ¹⁰⁸ New York University, Department of Physics, 4 Washington Place, New York NY 10003, USA, United States of America
- ¹⁰⁹ Ohio State University, 191 West Woodruff Ave, Columbus, OH 43210-1117, United States of America
- ¹¹⁰ Okayama University, Faculty of Science, Tsushimanaka 3-1-1, Okayama 700-8530, Japan ¹¹¹ University of Oklahoma, Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, 440 West Brooks, Room 100, Norman, OK 73019-0225, United States of America
- ¹¹² Oklahoma State University, Department of Physics, 145 Physical Sciences Building, Stillwater, OK 74078-3072, United States of America
- ¹¹³ Palacký University, 17.listopadu 50a, 772 07 Olomouc, Czech Republic
- ¹¹⁴ University of Oregon, Center for High Energy Physics, Eugene, OR 97403-1274, United States of America
- ¹¹⁵ LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, IN2P3/CNRS, Orsay, France
- ¹¹⁶ Osaka University, Graduate School of Science, Machikaneyama-machi 1-1, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan
- ¹¹⁷ University of Oslo, Department of Physics, P.O. Box 1048, Blindern, NO 0316 Oslo 3, Norway
- ¹¹⁸ Oxford University, Department of Physics, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, United Kingdom
- ¹¹⁹ INFN Sezione di Pavia^(a); Università di Pavia, Dipartimento di Fisica Nucleare e Teorica^(b), Via Bassi 6, IT-27100 Pavia, Italy
- ¹²⁰ University of Pennsylvania, Department of Physics, High Energy Physics Group, 209 S. 33rd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, United States of America
- ¹²¹ Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, RU 188 300 Gatchina, Russia
- ¹²² INFN Sezione di Pisa^(a); Università di Pisa, Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi^(b), Largo B. Pontecorvo 3, IT - 56127 Pisa, Italy
- ¹²³ University of Pittsburgh, Department of Physics and Astronomy, 3941 O'Hara Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, United States of America
- ¹²⁴ Laboratorio de Instrumentacao e Fisica Experimental de Particulas LIP^(a), Avenida Elias Garcia 14-1, PT - 1000-149 Lisboa, Portugal; Universidad de Granada, Departamento de Fisica Teorica y del Cosmos and CAFPE^(b), E-18071 Granada, Spain

¹²⁵ Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Na Slovance 2, CZ - 18221 Praha 8, Czech Republic

¹²⁶ Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Physics, V Holesovickach 2, CZ - 18000 Praha 8, Czech Republic

¹²⁷ Czech Technical University in Prague, Zikova 4, CZ - 166 35 Praha 6, Czech Republic

¹²⁸ State Research Center Institute for High Energy Physics, Moscow Region, 142281, Protvino, Pobeda street, 1, Russia

¹²⁹ Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Science and Technology Facilities Council, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot OX11 0QX, United Kingdom

¹³⁰ University of Regina, Physics Department, Canada

¹³¹ Ritsumeikan University, Noji Higashi 1 chome 1-1, JP - Kusatsu, Shiga 525-8577, Japan ¹³² INFN Sezione di Roma I^(a); Università La Sapienza, Dipartimento di Fisica^(b), Piazzale A. Moro 2, IT- 00185 Roma, Italy

¹³³ INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata^(*a*); Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Dipartimento di $Fisica^{(b)}$, via della Ricerca Scientifica, IT-00133 Roma, Italy

¹³⁴ INFN Sezione di Roma Tre^(a); Università Roma Tre, Dipartimento di Fisica^(b), via della Vasca Navale 84, IT-00146 Roma, Italy

 135 Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies (RUPHE): Université Hassan II, Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock^(a), B.P. 5366, MA - Casablanca; Centre National de l'Energie des Sciences Techniques Nucleaires (CNESTEN)^(b), B.P. 1382 R.P. 10001 Rabat 10001; Université Mohamed Premier^(c), LPTPM, Faculté des Sciences, B.P.717. Bd. Mohamed VI, 60000, Oujda ; Université Mohammed V, Faculté des Sciences^(d)4 Avenue Ibn Battouta, BP 1014 RP, 10000 Rabat, Morocco

¹³⁶ CEA, DSM/IRFU, Centre d'Etudes de Saclay, FR - 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

¹³⁷ University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics (SCIPP), Santa Cruz, CA 95064, United States of America

¹³⁸ University of Washington, Seattle, Department of Physics, Box 351560, Seattle, WA 98195-1560, United States of America

¹³⁹ University of Sheffield, Department of Physics & Astronomy, Hounsfield Road, Sheffield S3 7RH, United Kingdom

¹⁴⁰ Shinshu University, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, 3-1-1 Asahi, Matsumotoshi, JP - Nagano 390-8621, Japan

 141 Universität Siegen, Fachbereich Physik, D 57068 Siegen, Germany

¹⁴² Simon Fraser University, Department of Physics, 8888 University Drive, CA - Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6, Canada

¹⁴³ SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford, California 94309, United States of America

¹⁴⁴ Comenius University, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics & Informatics^(a), Mlynska dolina F2, SK - 84248 Bratislava; Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dept. of Subnuclear Physics^(b), Watsonova 47, SK - 04353 Kosice, Slovak Republic 145 (a)University of Johannesburg, Department of Physics, PO Box 524, Auckland Park, Johannesburg 2006; ^(b)School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Wits 2050, Johannesburg, South Africa, South Africa

¹⁴⁶ Stockholm University: Department of Physics^(a); The Oskar Klein Centre^(b), AlbaNova, SE - 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

¹⁴⁷ Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Physics Department, SE - 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

¹⁴⁸ Stony Brook University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Nicolls Road, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3800, United States of America

¹⁴⁹ University of Sussex, Department of Physics and Astronomy Pevensey 2 Building, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QH, United Kingdom

¹⁵⁰ University of Sydney, School of Physics, AU - Sydney NSW 2006, Australia

¹⁵¹ Insitute of Physics, Academia Sinica, TW - Taipei 11529, Taiwan

¹⁵² Technion, Israel Inst. of Technology, Department of Physics, Technion City, IL - Haifa 32000, Israel

¹⁵³ Tel Aviv University, Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Ramat Aviv, IL - Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

¹⁵⁴ Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Division of Nuclear & Particle Physics, University Campus, GR - 54124, Thessaloniki, Greece

¹⁵⁵ The University of Tokyo, International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, JP - Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

¹⁵⁶ Tokyo Metropolitan University, Graduate School of Science and Technology, 1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

¹⁵⁷ Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1-H-34 O-Okayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

¹⁵⁸ University of Toronto, Department of Physics, 60 Saint George Street, Toronto M5S 1A7, Ontario, Canada

¹⁵⁹ TRIUMF^(a), 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, B.C. V6T 2A3; ^(b)York University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, 4700 Keele St., Toronto, Ontario, M3J 1P3, Canada

¹⁶⁰ University of Tsukuba, Institute of Pure and Applied Sciences, 1-1-1 Tennoudai, Tsukubashi, JP - Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

¹⁶¹ Tufts University, Science & Technology Center, 4 Colby Street, Medford, MA 02155, United States of America

¹⁶² Universidad Antonio Narino, Centro de Investigaciones, Cra 3 Este No.47A-15, Bogota, Colombia

¹⁶³ University of California, Irvine, Department of Physics & Astronomy, CA 92697-4575, United States of America

¹⁶⁴ INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine^(a); ICTP^(b), Strada Costiera 11, IT-34014, Trieste; Università di Udine, Dipartimento di Fisica^(c), via delle Scienze 208, IT - 33100 Udine, Italy ¹⁶⁵ University of Illinois, Department of Physics, 1110 West Green Street, Urbana, Illinois 61801, United States of America

¹⁶⁶ University of Uppsala, Department of Physics and Astronomy, P.O. Box 516, SE -751 20 Uppsala, Sweden

 167 Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC) Centro Mixto UVEG-CSIC, Apdo. 22085 ES-46071 Valencia, Dept. Física At. Mol. y Nuclear; Dept. Ing. Electrónica; Univ. of Valencia, and Inst. de Microelectrónica de Barcelona (IMB-CNM-CSIC) 08193 Bellaterra, Spain

¹⁶⁸ University of British Columbia, Department of Physics, 6224 Agricultural Road, CA - Vancouver, B.C. V6T 1Z1, Canada

¹⁶⁹ University of Victoria, Department of Physics and Astronomy, P.O. Box 3055, Victoria B.C., V8W 3P6, Canada

¹⁷⁰ Waseda University, WISE, 3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 169-8555, Japan

¹⁷¹ The Weizmann Institute of Science, Department of Particle Physics, P.O. Box 26, IL - 76100 Rehovot, Israel

¹⁷² University of Wisconsin, Department of Physics, 1150 University Avenue, WI 53706 Madison, Wisconsin, United States of America

¹⁷³ Julius-Maximilians-University of Würzburg, Physikalisches Institute, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

¹⁷⁴ Bergische Universität, Fachbereich C, Physik, Postfach 100127, Gauss-Strasse 20, D-42097 Wuppertal, Germany

¹⁷⁵ Yale University, Department of Physics, PO Box 208121, New Haven CT, 06520-8121, United States of America

¹⁷⁶ Yerevan Physics Institute, Alikhanian Brothers Street 2, AM - 375036 Yerevan, Armenia ¹⁷⁷ Centre de Calcul CNRS/IN2P3, Domaine scientifique de la Doua, 27 bd du 11 Novembre

1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

 a Also at LIP, Portugal

 b Also at Faculdade de Ciencias, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal</sup>

^c Also at CPPM, Marseille, France.

 d Also at Centro de Fisica Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal

^e Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver, Canada

^f Also at FPACS, AGH-UST, Cracow, Poland

 \real^g Now at Universita' dell'Insubria, Dipartimento di Fisica e Matematica

 h Also at Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Portugal

 i Now at CERN

 j Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy

 k Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Canada

^l Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, via A. Acton 38, IT - 80133 Napoli, Italy

 m Louisiana Tech University, 305 Wisteria Street, P.O. Box 3178, Ruston, LA 71272, United</sup> States of America

- n Also at Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal
- ^o At California State University, Fresno, USA

^q Also at Faculdade de Ciencias, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal and at Centro de Fisica Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal

- ^r Also at FPACS, AGH-UST, Cracow, Poland
- ^s Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- ^t Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, USA

^u Also at University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada

- α ^v Also at Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- ^w Now at Chonnam National University, Chonnam, Korea 500-757

^p Also at TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, B.C. V6T 2A3, Canada

 x Also at Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

- ^y also at Manhattan College, NY, USA
- ^z Also at School of Physics and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, China

aa Also at Taiwan Tier-1, ASGC, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

- ab Also at School of Physics, Shandong University, Jinan, China
- ac Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- ad Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, UK
- ae Also at school of physics, Shandong University, Jinan
- a^f Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, UK
- ag Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver, Canada
- ah Now at KEK
- a_i ^{ai} Also at Departamento de Fisica, Universidade de Minho, Portugal
- aj University of South Carolina, Columbia, USA
- ak Also at KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- al University of South Carolina, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, 700 S. Main St, Columbia,
- SC 29208, United States of America
- am Also at Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland
- an Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, USA
- ao Also at School of Physics and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Taiwan
- ap University of South Carolina, Columbia, USA
- aq Transfer to LHCb 31.01.2010
- a^{ar} Also at Oxford University, Department of Physics, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road,
- Oxford OX1 3RH, United Kingdom
- as Also at school of physics and engineering, Sun Yat-sen University
- at Also at CEA
- au Also at LPNHE, Paris, France
- av Also at Nanjing University, China
- [∗] Deceased