

The influence of fluctuations on thermodynamics near chiral phase transition

Krzysztof REDLICH^{1,2}, Bengt FRIMAN³, and Vladimir SKOKOV³

¹ *Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław, PL-50204 Wrocław, Poland*

² *Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

³ *GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany*

We discuss the influence of fluctuations on thermodynamics near the chiral phase transition within Polyakov loop extended quark–meson model based on the functional renormalization group (FRG) method. We include the gluon fields in the FRG flow equation self-consistently on the mean-field level. We focus on the properties of the phase diagram and net-baryon number fluctuations.

§1. Introduction

Understanding the phase structure and critical properties of strongly interacting matter is one of the central problems addressed in studies of QCD thermodynamics. In the context of heavy ion experiments of particular importance is to find measurable observables that can be used as probes of the deconfinement and chiral phase transitions expected in QCD.¹⁾ Effective chiral models were shown to be very useful to study critical phenomena in the strongly interacting system and to quantify the phase transition due to deconfinement and chiral symmetry breaking.²⁾

Particularly interesting are models like the Polyakov loop extended Nambu–Jona–Lasinio (PNJL)³⁾ or quark–meson (PQM)⁴⁾ models which can account for both deconfinement and chiral symmetry breaking. Both models can reproduce essential properties of QCD thermodynamics obtained in the Lattice Gauge Theory already within the mean-field (MF) approximation. However, to correctly account for critical behavior and scaling properties near the chiral phase transitions one needs to go beyond the mean-field approximation and include fluctuations and non-perturbative dynamics. This can be achieved by using methods based on the functional renormalization group (FRG).^{5)–9)}

In this paper we summarized the influence of non-perturbative effects in the presence of a gluonic background on the thermodynamics the near chiral phase transition based on the PQM model. We compare the phase diagram and properties of net-quark number fluctuations obtained within the FRG and MF calculations. Such a comparison quantifies explicitly the influence of mesonic fluctuations near the chiral phase transition at finite temperature and density.^{7),8)}

§2. The FRG flow equation in a background gluon field

The role of non-perturbative effects in the thermodynamic description of the PQM model can be studied within the functional renormalization group (FRG)




Fig. 1. The phase diagrams for the PQM model in the mean-field approximation (left panel) and in the functional renormalization group approach (right panel). The arrows indicate lines corresponding to different values of μ/T . The shaded lines define a critical region (see text).

method. The FRG is based on an infrared regularization with the momentum scale parameter of the full propagator which turns the corresponding effective action into the scale k -dependent functional Γ_k .⁵⁾ In general, in the PQM model, the formulation of the FRG flow equation requires an implementation of the Polyakov loop as a dynamical field. However, in the current formulation we treat the Polyakov loop as a background field which is introduced self-consistently on the mean-field level.⁷⁾ Following our previous work^{7),8)} we formulate the flow equation for the scale-dependent grand canonical potential as

$$\partial_k \Omega_k(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu) = \frac{k^4}{12\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{3}{E_\pi} \left[1 + 2n_B(E_\pi; T) \right] + \frac{1}{E_\sigma} \left[1 + 2n_B(E_\sigma; T) \right] - \frac{4N_c N_f}{E_q} \left[1 - N(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu) - \bar{N}(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu) \right] \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here $n_B(E_{\pi,\sigma}; T)$ is the bosonic distribution function

$$n_B(E_{\pi,\sigma}; T) = \frac{1}{\exp(E_{\pi,\sigma}/T) - 1}$$

with the pion, $E_\pi = \sqrt{k^2 + \bar{\Omega}'_k}$, and sigma, $E_\sigma = \sqrt{k^2 + \bar{\Omega}'_k + 2\rho \bar{\Omega}''_k}$ energies, where the primes denote derivatives with respect to $\rho = (\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2)/2$ and $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega + c\sigma$ with $c = m_\pi^2 f_\pi$ being the external symmetry breaking term. The functions $N(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu)$ and $\bar{N}(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu)$ which are defined by

$$N(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu) = \frac{1 + 2\ell^* \exp[\beta(E_q - \mu)] + \ell \exp[2\beta(E_q - \mu)]}{1 + 3\ell \exp[2\beta(E_q - \mu)] + 3\ell^* \exp[\beta(E_q - \mu)] + \exp[3\beta(E_q - \mu)]},$$

$$\bar{N}(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu) = N(\ell^*, \ell; T, -\mu), \quad (2.2)$$

are fermionic distributions which are modified because of coupling to gluons. The $E_q = \sqrt{k^2 + 2g^2\rho}$ is the quark energy. The minimum of the thermodynamic potential

is determined by the stationarity condition

$$\left. \frac{d\Omega_k}{d\sigma} \right|_{\sigma=\sigma_k} = \left. \frac{d\bar{\Omega}_k}{d\sigma} \right|_{\sigma=\sigma_k} - c = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

The flow equation (2.1) is solved numerically with the initial cutoff $\Lambda = 1.2$ GeV (see details in Ref.^{7,8}). The initial conditions for the flow are chosen to reproduce the physical pion mass $m_\pi = 138$ MeV, the pion decay constant $f_\pi = 93$ MeV, the sigma mass $m_\sigma = 600$ MeV and the constituent quark mass $m_q = 300$ MeV at the scale $k = 0$ and for zero temperature and chemical potential $T = \mu = 0$.

By solving equation (2.1) one obtains the thermodynamic potential for quarks and mesons, $\Omega_{k \rightarrow 0}(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu)$, as a function of Polyakov loop variables, ℓ and ℓ^* . The full thermodynamic potential $\Omega(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu)$ in the PQM model which includes quarks, mesons and gluons degrees of freedom is obtained by adding to $\Omega_{k \rightarrow 0}(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu)$ the effective gluon potential, $\mathcal{U}(\ell, \ell^*)/T^4 = -b_2(T)\ell^*\ell - b_3(\ell^3 + \ell^{*3}) + b_4(\ell^*\ell)^2$. Thus,

$$\Omega(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu) = \Omega_{k \rightarrow 0}(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu) + \mathcal{U}(\ell, \ell^*), \quad (2.4)$$

with parameters b_i chosen to reproduce the equation of state of the pure $SU_c(3)$ lattice gauge theory.³⁾

At a given temperature and chemical potential, the Polyakov loop variables, ℓ and ℓ^* , are determined by the stationarity conditions:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell} \Omega(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell^*} \Omega(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

The thermodynamic potential (2.4) does not contain contributions of statistical modes with momenta larger than the cutoff Λ . In order to obtain the correct high-temperature behavior of thermodynamics we need to supplement the FRG potential with the contribution of high-momentum states. For $k > \Lambda$ we use the flow equation for quarks interacting with the Polyakov loops,⁷⁾

$$\partial_k \Omega_k^A(T, \mu) = -\frac{N_c N_f k^3}{3\pi^2} \left[1 - N(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu) - \bar{N}(\ell, \ell^*; T, \mu) \right] \quad (2.6)$$

where the dynamical quark mass is neglected.

To obtain the complete thermodynamic potential of the PQM model we integrate Eq. (2.6) from $k = \infty$ to $k = \Lambda$ where we switch to the PQM flow equation (2.1).

§3. Thermodynamics of the PQM model in the presence of quantum fluctuations and gluonic background

In order to illustrate the importance of mesonic fluctuations and gluonic background on thermodynamics in the PQM model we will compare the FRG results with those obtained under a mean-field approximation. In the latter, both quantum and thermal fluctuations are neglected and the mesonic fields are replaced by their classical expectation values. The formulation of the thermodynamic potential in the MF approximation can be found in Refs.^{4,6)}




Fig. 2. The coefficient c_2 as a function of temperature for different values of μ/T for the PQM model in the mean-field approximation (left panel) and in the FRG approach (right panel).




Fig. 3. The coefficient c_4 as a function of temperature for different values of μ/T for the PQM model in the mean-field approximation (left panel) and in the FRG approach (right panel).

Fig. 1 shows the phase diagrams of the PQM model obtained in the FRG approach and in the mean-field approximation. For the physical pion mass and moderate values of the chemical potential the PQM model exhibits a smooth cross-over chiral transition. In Fig. 1 we define the transition region as a band where the temperature derivative of the order parameter exhibits 5%-deviations from its maximal value. At larger μ the cross-over line terminates at the CEP where the transition is second-order and belongs to the universality class of the three dimensional $Z(2)$ -spin system.

Comparing the resulting phase diagrams of the PQM model obtained within MF and FRG approach we find a clear shift of the position of the chiral phase boundary to higher temperatures due to mesonic fluctuations. Changes in the phase diagram were previously reported in the quark-meson model within the FRG approach.⁴⁾ However, here due to gluonic background, which is explicitly included in our FRG calculations, we find a significant shift of the CEP to higher temperature.⁸⁾

The fluctuations of conserved charges were shown to be an excellent probe of the chiral and deconfinement transition expected in strongly interacting medium.^{1),10)} A particular role was attributed to net-baryon number fluctuations and their higher




Fig. 4. The kurtosis $R_{4,2}$ as a function of temperature for different μ/T calculated in the PQM model under the mean-field approximation (left panel) and in the FRG approach (right panel).

moments which are characterized by the generalized susceptibilities,

$$c_n(T) = \frac{\partial^n [p(T, \mu)/T^4]}{\partial (\mu/T)^n}. \quad (3.1)$$

The temperature and chemical potential dependence of the net-quark number susceptibility c_2 in the PQM model is shown in Fig. 2 for the MF and the FRG calculations. At vanishing μ the coefficient c_2 increases monotonously with temperature, similar to the thermodynamic pressure. However, at finite chemical potential, the susceptibility c_2 develops a peak structure. The amplitude of this peak increases with chemical potential towards the CEP where c_2 diverges. In the high temperature phase the c_2 converges to the Stefan Boltzmann value, $c_2^{SB} = 2[1 + 2(3\mu/\pi T)^2]$.

As it is seen in Fig. 2 the peak structure in c_2 is more pronounced in the mean-field at $\mu/T = 1$ than in the FRG at $\mu/T = 1.5$. This is in spite of the fact that the location of the CEP in the FRG is closer to the $\mu/T = 1.5$ than the corresponding one for the mean-field approximation at $\mu/T = 1$ (see Fig. 1). This shows that the criticality of c_2 as a function of a distance to the CEP appears earlier in the mean-field than in the FRG calculations.

The generalized susceptibility c_2 is sensitive to changes in the chemical potential and is clearly influenced by meson fluctuations and the gluon background. The c_2 is strictly positive for all values of μ and T . At finite μ the positivity is not preserved for c_n -moments with $n > 2$.

Fig. 3 shows the fourth order cumulant moment of the net-quark number for different values of μ/T . The fourth order cumulant is strictly positive for vanishing chemical potential. However, for higher values of μ/T , c_4 becomes negative in the vicinity to the cross-over transition. The chemical potential independent Stefan-Boltzmann limit $c_4^{SB} = 2N_c N_f / \pi^2$ is reproduced at temperatures $T \gg T_c$. Comparing the MF with the FRG results for c_4 it is clear that meson fluctuations are essentially modifying properties of quark susceptibilities. In the transition region c_4 is suppressed in the FRG relative to the MF results. The meson fluctuations quantified by the FRG method provide smoothing of net-quark susceptibilities near

the crossover transition which is substantially broader in the FRG than in the MF approach.

Discussing fluctuations of conserved charges, a particular role is attributed to the so called kurtosis of net-quark number fluctuations which is given by the ratio, $R_{4,2} = c_4/c_2$.¹⁰⁾ This key observable is not only sensitive to the chiral but also to the confinement-deconfinement transition. In the asymptotic regime of high and low temperature and at vanishing chemical potential the kurtosis reflects the quark content of the baryon-number carrying effective degrees of freedom.¹⁰⁾ Therefore, at low T in the confined phase, $R_{4,2} \simeq N_q^2 = 9$ while in an ideal gas of quarks $R_{4,2} \simeq 1$. This property was shown to be independent from the particular form of the mass spectrum and the number of degrees of freedom in a system.

Fig. 4 shows the c_4/c_2 ratio calculated as a function of temperature along different paths in the (T, μ) -plane quantified by fixed μ/T . In the PQM model the kurtosis shows an expected drop from $R_{4,2} \simeq 9$ to $R_{4,2} \simeq 1$ in the transition region. At vanishing μ it exhibits a peak at the transition temperature. The height of this peak depends not only on the pion mass^{7),8)} but is also influenced by the value of the chemical potential. The mesonic fluctuations weaken the peak structure both at finite and at vanishing quark density. For finite μ the kurtosis becomes negative following the same trends as seen in the fourth order cumulant.

Summary

We have discussed the influence of quantum fluctuations near the chiral phase transition within the Polyakov loop extended quark-meson (PQM) model based on the functional renormalization group method (FRG). We have shown that non-perturbative dynamics introduced in the FRG approach essentially modifies predictions of the PQM model derived in the mean-field approximation. In particular, we have demonstrated quantitative changes of the phase diagram and modification of net-quark number fluctuations and their higher moments. We have indicated the role and importance of the ratio of the fourth to second order cumulant moments to identify deconfinement and chiral phase transition in a strongly interacting medium.

References

- 1) M. M. Aggarwal *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], arXiv:1007.2613 [nucl-ex]. F. Karsch and K. Redlich, arXiv:1007.2581 [hep-ph].
- 2) C. Sasaki, Nucl. Phys. A **830** (2009) 649C and references therein.
- 3) K. Fukushima, Phys. Lett. B **591**, 277 (2004). C. Ratti, M. A. Thaler and W. Weise, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 014019 (2006). C. Sasaki, B. Friman and K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 034024 (2008). Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 232301 (2007). Phys. Rev. D **75**, 074013 (2007).
- 4) B. J. Schaefer, J. M. Pawłowski and J. Wambach, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 074023 (2007). V. Skokov, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 034029.
- 5) For a review see e.g., J. Berges, N. Tetradis and C. Wetterich, Phys. Rept. **363**, 223 (2002)
- 6) B. Stokic, B. Friman and K. Redlich, Eur. Phys. J. C **67** (2010) 425. E. Nakano, B. J. Schaefer, B. Stokic, B. Friman and K. Redlich, Phys. Lett. B **682** (2010) 401.
- 7) V. Skokov, B. Stokic, B. Friman and K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. C **82** (2010) 015206
- 8) V. Skokov, B. Friman and K. Redlich, arXiv:1008.4570 [hep-ph].
- 9) T. K. Herbst, J. M. Pawłowski and B. J. Schaefer, arXiv:1008.0081 [hep-ph].
- 10) S. Ejiri, F. Karsch and K. Redlich, Phys. Lett. B **633**, 275 (2006).