Low-x Gluon Distribution from Discrete BFKL Pomerons

D.A. Ross¹, J. Ellis², H. Kowalski³, L. Lipatov⁴, G. Watt⁵

¹School of Physics & Astronomy, Southampton SO17 1BJ, U.K.

²CERN, 1211 Genève 23, Switzerland

³DESY, Notketraße 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

⁴ St. Petersburg Institute of Nuclear Physics, Gatchina, Russia

⁵ Institute for particle Physics Phenomenology, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

Using a modification of the BFKL equation which generates discrete Regge pole solutions, we obtain a good fit to the low-x deep-inelastic data from HERA as well as an integrated gluon distribution which is everywhere positive.

In a recent paper [1], we obtained a good fit to the HERA deep-inelastic data at low-x using a discretized version of the BFKL pomeron [2], which is in line with the Regge picture of diffractive events (and hence deep-inelastic events at low-x) whereby the amplitude is dominated by an isolated Regge pole (the "pomeron").

The purely perturbative BFKL equation predicts a cut rather than a pole. However, in 1986, Lipatov [3] suggested the following modifications to the BFKL equation:

- 1. Accounting for the running of the coupling as a function of the transverse momentum, \mathbf{k} , of the exchanged gluons, which spans a large range as one moves away from the top or bottom of the "ladder".
- 2. Assuming that the non-perturbative (infrared) sector of QCD imposes a fixed phase, η , on the oscillatory eigenfunctions of the BFKL kernel at some low value, k_0 , of gluon transverse momentum.

This leads to a discrete set of eigenfunctions, $f_i(\mathbf{k})$ with discrete eigenvalues, ω_i , which can be interpreted as isolated Regge poles., i.e. the scattering of a gluon with transverse momentum \mathbf{k} off some target with CM energy \sqrt{s} , has an amplitude which can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k},s) = \sum_{i} a_{i} f_{i}(\mathbf{k}) s^{\omega}$$

The eigenfunctions have an oscillating behaviour with a decreasing frequency up to a value of transverse momentum \mathbf{k}_{crit} , above which they decay exponentially with $\ln(k)$

A very good fit was obtained using only the first four such eigenfunctions. The only unknown quantity is the proton impact factor $\Phi_p(\mathbf{k})$, which encodes the coupling of the proton to the gluon-scattering amplitude. Since the eigenfunctions form a complete orthonormal set, this impact factor can be expanded in the form

$$\Phi_p(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_i b_i f_i(\mathbf{k}),$$

where the first four coefficients, b_i were fit to data.

Unfortunately, when we tried to reproduce the full impact factor from this fit, we obtained an un-integrated gluon density $\tilde{g}(x, k^2)$, which becomes negative over a sufficient range that the (integrated) gluon density

$$g(x,Q^2) \equiv \int^{Q^2} \tilde{g}(x,k^2) dk^2,$$

is also negative.

We therefore sought a solution in which the impact factor has a "sensible" form such as

$$\Phi_p(k) = Ak^2 e^{-bk^2}$$
, or $\frac{A}{(k^2 + \mu^2)^{\alpha}}$.

This suggested that taking only the first four eigenfunctions was insufficient. Indeed, if we take n_0 eigenfunctions, where the first eigenvalue is ω_1 and the last is ω_{n_0} then we expect an error of order $x^{(\omega_1-\omega_{n_0})}$. This is 30% for $x \sim 10^{-2}$ and 17% for $x \sim 10^{-3}$.



Figure 1: The effect on the un-integrated gluon density from increasing the number of eigenfunctions included.

We are now able to construct many more eigenfunctions, but we find that, although the unintegrated gluon density becomes positive at relatively large \mathbf{k} when 30 eigenfunctions are used, the range of negative values still generates a physically unacceptable negative gluon density and that a further increase in the number of eigenfunctions taken only marginally improves this situation, as can be seen in Fig. 1.

We now understand why this is the case. A detailed explanation will appear in a forthcoming publication [4]. Within the context of a fixed phase for the oscillations at low transverse momentum, an adjacent eigenfunction has a larger k_{crit} by approximately one half wavelength,



Figure 2: Sketch of eigenfunctions numbers 5 and 6.

whereas the frequency of the oscillations in the relevant range of k is almost identical. This is demonstrated in Fig. 2.

In Fig. 3 we plot the initial frequencies (i.e. the frequencies for $k \ll k_{crit}$) for the first 30 eigenfunctions and note that they accumulate at a value $\nu_{max} \sim 0.7$. This means that we can only expect to expand an impact factor as a sum of these eigenfunctions provided the impact factor has non-negligible support up to a value of transverse momentum k_{max} where

$$\nu_{max} \ln \left(\frac{k_{max}}{k_0} \right) \gg \pi.$$

The minimum value of k_0 that can be taken without encountering serious perturbative instabilities is $k_0 \sim 0.3 \,\text{GeV}$, which leads to a k_{max} far larger than the expected value for a proton impact factor which should be $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{QCD})$.

Put another way, this means that an impact factor with support for $k \leq \Lambda_{QCD}$ is not compatible with a fixed phase at $k_0 \sim 0.3$ GeV. This in turn implies that the second assumption of [3] needs to be revisited.

At leading order, we can write the BFKL equation with running coupling in the form

$$\int \mathcal{K}_0(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') f_i(\mathbf{k}') d^2 \mathbf{k}' = \frac{\omega_i}{\overline{\alpha}_s(k^2)} f_i(\mathbf{k}).$$

In the infrared limit, as $\overline{\alpha}_s$ increases, the RHS goes to zero and it was therefore argued in Ref. [3] that the infrared limit of the eigenfunctions, $f_i(\mathbf{k})$, would be independent of ω and hence possess a universal phase.

In practice, however, with an infrared cutoff $k_0 \sim 0.3 \,\text{GeV}$, the ratio $\omega_i/\overline{\alpha}_s(k^2)$ is not negligibly small and so we might expect the infrared phase, η , to have a dependence on ω .

Within perturbation theory (recalling that the eigenvalues ω can be expanded in powers of $\overline{\alpha}_s$ starting at first order), such a dependence is expected to be analytic, so that one would



Figure 3: The oscillation frequencies for gluon transverse momentum $k \ll k_{crit}$ for the first 30 eigenfunctions.

expect an improved fit (with small ω) with a phase $\eta(\omega)$ of the form

$$\eta(\omega) = \eta_0 + \eta' \omega$$

Unfortunately, we were neither able to obtain a satisfactory fit using this ansatz for η , nor to rectify the problem of a negative gluon density. The best fit has a χ^2 /DoF of 3.0.

However, since we are probing the non-perturbative behaviour of QCD, we are entitled to drop the requirement that η should be an analytic function of ω and try, for example, an ω dependence of the form

$$\eta(\omega) = \eta_0 + \eta' \sqrt{\omega}$$
 .

We found that this can generate a gluon distribution which is positive everywhere, as shown in Fig. 4, and produce a fit to HERA data with a χ^2 /DoF of 1.1.

In Fig. 5 we show the best fits for the linear (dotted line) and non-linear (solid line) fits to the Zeus low-x data, using an impact factor of the form

$$\Phi_p(\mathbf{k}) = Ak^2 e^{-bk}$$

The three free parameters used (apart from the overall normalization - which serves as a fourth parameter) are

	Linear	Non-linear
$b [\text{GeV}^{-2}]$	2.0	2.0
η_0	-0.74π	-0.74π
η'	2.8π	1.4π



Figure 4: The left-hand graph shows the un-integrated gluon density with a fixed infrared phase and with a non-linear ω -dependent phase. The right-hand graph shows the un-integrated (solid line) with the non-linear ω -dependent infrared phase, and the corresponding (integrated) gluon density (dotted line).



Figure 5: Fit to Zeus [5] low-x data with linear ω -dependence (dotted line) and non-linear ω -dependence (solid line)

We therefore have a low-x gluon density which is everywhere positive, fits the HERA data, and is consistent with the modified BFKL equation provided one allows a non-analytic dependence of the infrared phase, η , on the eigenvalue, ω , thereby reflecting the non-perturbative nature of the infrared effects.

This gluon density can now be tested by applying it to the prediction of cross-sections (such as jet production) at LHC which are dominated by the low-x gluon distribution.

References

- [1] J. Ellis, H. Kowalski, and D.A. Ross Phys. Lett. B668 51 (2008).
- Y.Y. Balitski and L.N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 28 82 (1978);
 V.S. Fadin, E.A. Kuraev, and L.N. Lipatov, Sov. Phys. JETP 44 443 (1976)
- [3] L.N. Lipatov, Sov. Phys. JETP **63** 904 (1986)
- [4] J. Ellis, H. Kowalski, L.N. Lipatov, D.A. Ross and G. Watt, in preparation.
- J. Breitweg et. al (Zeus collaboration), Phys. Lett. B487 53 (2000);
 S. Chekanov et. al. (Zeus collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C21 443 (2001)