Particle production in p p collisions at p = 17 G eV within the statistical m odel

I. K raus, 1 J. C leym ans, 1,2 H. O eschler, 1 and K. R edlich^{3,4,5}

¹Institut fur K emphysik, D am stadt U niversity of Technology, D -64289 D am stadt, G em any

²UCT-CERN Research Centre and Department of Physics,

University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa

³Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of W rockw, Pl-45204 W rockw, Poland

⁴GSIHem holtzzentrum fur Schwerionenforschung, D-64291Dam stadt, Gem any

⁵ExtreM e M atter Institue EM M I, G SI, D -64291 D arm stadt, G erm any

(Dated: July 2, 2013)

A them alm odel analysis of particle production of p p collisions at p = 17 G eV using the latest available data is presented. The sensitivity of model parameters on data selections and model assumptions is studied. The system -size dependence of them alparameters and recent di erences in the statistical model analysis of p p collisions at the super proton synchrotron (SPS) are discussed. It is shown that the temperature and strangeness undersaturation factor depend strongly on kaon yields which at present are still not well known experimentally. It is conclude, that within the presently available data at the SPS it is rather unlikely that the temperature in p p collisions are sceeds signi cantly that expected in central collisions of heavy ions at the same energy.

PACS num bers: 12.40 Ee, 25.75 D w

K eyw ords: Statistical m odel, Strangeness undersaturation, Particle production

I. IN TRODUCTION

The statistical model has been used to describe particle production in high-energy collisions for more than half a century [1]. In this period it has evolved into a very useful and successful model describing a large variety of data, in particular, hadron yields in central heavy-ion collisions [2, 3] have been described in a very system atic and appealing way unmatched by any other model. It has also provided a very useful fram ework for the centrality [4] and system -size dependence [5, 6] of particle production. The applicability of the model in sm all system s like p p [7] and e^+ e annihilation [8] has been the subject of several recent publications [9, 10, 11].

The statistical-model analysis of elementary particle interactions can be summarized by the statement that the thermal parameters show almost no energy dependence in the range of $^{\rm D}$ s = 14 { 900 GeV with the tem – perature being about 165 MeV and the strangeness undersaturation factor $_{\rm S}$ being in the range between 0.5 and 0.7.

In the context of the system -size dependence of particle production, the p collisions at $5 = 17 \,\text{GeV}$ have been analyzed in detail recently. Based on similar data sets, the extracted param eters in di erent publications deviated signi cantly from each other: in a previous analysis (Ref. [5, 11]) we derived T = 164 9 M eV and s = 0.67 0.07, with $^{2}=n = 1.7/3$, while the authors in Ref. [6] obtained T = $178 \quad 6 \text{ MeV}$, s = 0.45 0.02 with 2 =n = 11/7. These ndings motivated di erent conclusions: In R ef. [5] no system size dependence of the therm alparam eters was found, except for s which tends to increase when more nucleons participe in the collisions but this rise is weaker than the errors on the strangeness suppression param eter. In R ef. [5] it was therefore concluded that the hadron gas produced in central collisions at $p_{\bar{s}} = 17 \,\text{GeV}$ reaches its limiting temperature. Based on Ref. [6] on the other hand, it was argued in Ref. [12] that, decreasing $_{s}$ and, in particular, increasing tem – perature towards smaller system s allow for probing QCD matter beyond the freeze-out curve established in Pb-Pb and Au-Au collisions [13, 14].

The goal of this paper is to understand the origin of these rather di erent therm alm odel results obtained in the analysis of p p data. We use an up-to-date com – plete set of data and discuss the sensitivity of the therm al m odel parameters on their values. We present system atic studies of data used as inputs and the methods applied in their therm alm odel analysis.

The paper is organised as follows: In Section II we discuss the experim entaldata on which di erent analysis are based. In Section III we sum marize the main features of the statistical model and present the analysis of the SPS data obtained in p p collisions. In the nalsection we present our conclusions and sum marize our results.

II. DATA

The data used throughout this paper for hadron yields in p p collisions at 5 = 17.3 GeV are summarized in Table I. Data in column Set A were exploit in our previous analysis [11] and the corresponding references are given in the table. If the numerical values deviate in the analysis of Ref. [6], they are listed in column Set B. The relative di erences between the particle yields from sets A and B are also indicated in Table I. The commonly used data in the statistical model description of particles production in p p collisions at the SPS are displayed below the horizontal line in Table I.

In Table II the experim ental data are grouped in sets which are used in Section III to perform the Statistical



FIG.1: Charged kaon yields (left panels), negatively charged hadron h and pions (upper right) and K = ratios (low er right panel) in p p collisions as a function of laboratory momentum. The charged kaons, K⁺ (diam onds) and K (crosses) yields are from Ref. [22]. The lines are ts to data. The SPS yields from Ref. [16] (circle) and from Ref. [18] (triangle) are also show n. The negatively charged hadrons are from R ef. [23].

TABLE I: Particle yields (4 integrated) in m inim um bias p p collisions at $rs = 17.3 \text{ GeV} \cdot \text{N}$ um erical values of Set A are from R ef. [11]. For Set B and common values (data below the horizontal line) the references are given in the last column.

Particle	S	et A	Set	В	yield	err	R ef
+	3.02	0.15	3.15	0.16	4.4%	10.5%	[15]
	2.36	0.11	2.45	0.12	3.8%	5.9%	[15]
K ⁺	0.258	0.055					[16]
K	0.160	0.050					[16]
K ⁺			0.210	0.021	19%	62%	[18]
K			0.130	0.013	19%	74%	[18]
	0.116	0.011	0.115	0.012	0.9%	9.1%	[17]
	0.0137	0.0007	0.0148	0.0019	8.0%	171%	[17]
K s		0.18	0.04				[18]
р		0.0400	0.0068				[18]
		0.012	0.003				[19]
		0.0120	0.0015				[20]
		0.0031	0.0003				[21]
+		0.00092	0.00009				[21]
		0.00026	0.00013				[21]
+		0.00016	0.00009				[21]

M odel analysis. In the following we motivate the particular choice of data in these sets and discuss how they can in uence the model predictions on thermal conditions in p collisions.

The data set A 1 ism ost restricted. Firstly, the production yields of and are not included because their numerical values are only preliminary (R ef. [21]). Secondly,

TABLE II:D i erent sets of particle yields used in the therm al model ts. The type A sets contain num erical values from the left (and common) columns of Table I. The type B sets contain data from Set B and common columns of Table I.

Set Particles					Comment		
A1 A2	K	K ⁰ p	+	+	Set of R ef. [11]		
A 3	K	K ^o p					
A 4	K	К _s р			K from Ref.[18]		
В1	K	К _s р			contribution		
В2	K	К ⁰ р	+	+	FitA ofRef.[6]		
В3	K	К ⁰ р			FitB ofRef.[6]		
В4	K	К _s р			Set B1 without		

the resonance is also not included so as to restrict the analysis to stable hadrons. Finally, the meson is om itted in Set A 1 since this particle is di cult to address in the statistical model due to its hidden strangeness as discussed in R ef. [5].

The low eryields of charged kaons in SetB of Table I are taken from results published in conference proceedings [18]. Such kaon yields are in disagreement with trends from data measured at low er and higher energies as seen in Fig. 1.

The left panels of Fig. 1 show the charged kaon multiplicities from p p interactions at low er and higher beam m om enta [22] together with data from Table I. The lines in this gure are simple param etrizations interpolating to SPS energies. The K yield from [16] is seen to be 7% below the expected value from the above param etrization, how ever agrees within errors. The K abundance from [18] is by 24% low er and its error is only 10%. As we discuss below, such a low value for the multiplicity of charged kaons in uences the statisticalm odel t in an essential way.

The upper right panel of Fig. 1 shows the negatively charged hadrons from p p interactions at several beam momenta from Ref. [23]. As indicated in Ref. [23] the K ,pand yield. In this case, the supplem ent the /h amounts to 91% . Including more sources ratio /h ratio stays at the sam e level of feed-down, the as long as and K $_{\rm S}^0$ can be separated. Consequently, to calculate the negatively charged pions from h yields one can use the above 91% scaling factor. Figure 1 (top right panel) shows the t to h yields as a function of beam momenta and then by rescaling the expected result for the plab dependence of the negatively charged pions. The yields of at SPS from Table I agree quite well with that expected from an interpolation line shown in Fig. 1. They are only slightly higher, by 1% for yields taken from [15] and by 5% for yields used in Ref. [6].

The lower right panel in Fig. 1 shows the K / ratio at SPS compared to the interpolated data from other beam momenta. The mean value of the K / used in [11] is 8% below the interpolated line but agrees within errors, while the corresponding value used in [6] is 28%

sm aller and exhibits an error of only 11% . C learly, the above di erences in the K $\ /$ $\$ ratios in uence the thermalm odel ts.

In general, a sm aller kaon yield in plies a stronger suppression of the strange-particle phase space resulting in a sm aller value for the strangeness undersaturation factor $_{\rm S}$. If other strange particles are included, then the strong suppression caused by $_{\rm S}$ has to be compensated by a higher tem perature. Thism ight be one of the origins for the di erent therm all the parameters obtained in R efs. [11] and [6]. In order to quantify this we have selected a data set A 4 which is equivalent to the Set A 1 but with the kaon yields of R ef. [16] being replaced by the values from R ef. [18].

The Set B1 is (besides the) equivalent to A1 but with numerical values for particle yields from colum n B in Table I. The Set B4 is used to demonstrate the in uence of the resonance on therm all t parameters. The Sets A3, B3 and A2, B2 are chosen to study the in uence of the meson and the multistrange hyperons on therm all t parameters.

III. STATISTICALMODELANALYSIS

The usual form of the statistical model form ulated in the grand-canonical ensemble cannot be used when either the tem perature or the volum e or both are small. As as a rule of thum b one needs V T³ > 1 for a grand-canonical description to hold [24, 25]. Furtherm ore, even if this condition is matched but the abundance of a subset of particles carrying a conserved charge is small, the canonical suppression still appears even though the grandcanonical description is valid for the bulk of the produced hadrons. There exists a vast literature on the subject of canonical suppression and we refer to several articles (see e.g. [3, 26, 27]).

The elect of canonical suppression in p p collisions at ultra-relativistic energies is relevant for hadrons carrying strangeness. The larger the strangeness content of the particle, the stronger is the suppression of the hadron yield. This has been discussed in great detail in [28].

In line with the previous statistical model studies of heavy-ion scattering at lower energies, the collisions of small ions at SPS revealed [5] that the experimental data show stronger suppression of strange-particle yields than what was expected in the canonical model [5, 29, 30]. Consequently, an additional suppression e ect had to be included in order to quantify the observed yields. Here we introduce the o-equilibrium factor $_{\rm S}$ 1 which reduces densities $n_{\rm S}$ of hadrons carrying strangeness s by $n_{\rm S}$! $n_{\rm s} = \frac{j_{\rm S}j}{2}$ [25].

We investigate whether or not all quantum numbers have to be conserved exactly in p p collisions within a canonical approach by comparing data with two model settings:

Canonical (C) M odel: all conserved charges, i.e.

TABLE III: A list of param eters needed to quantify particle yields in the strangeness canonical (SC) and canonical (C) statistical model (see text). The symbols S, Q and B are the strangeness, electric charge and baryon number respectively with $_{\rm i}$ for i = (S;Q;B) being chemical potentials related with conservation of these quantum numbers. $_{\rm S}$ is the strangeness undersaturation factor, T the tem perature and R the radius describing the spherical volume of the collision zone.

	SC	m odel	C m odel			
F it param eter	В	R	SQ	SQ R		
Constrained param .	Q	:B/2Q = 0.5	{	{		
Fixed param .	{		В =	B = 2		
Fit / Scan param .	Т	S	Τs	Τs		
No. of param eter	5		6			
	Fit	. ² scan	Fit	² scan		
No. of free param .	4	2	5	3		
No. of xed param .	1	3	1	3		

strangeness, electric charge and baryon num ber are conserved exactly within a canonical ensemble.

Strangeness Canonical (SC) Model: only strangeness is conserved exactly whereas the baryon number and electric charge are conserved on the average and their densities are controlled by the corresponding chemical potentials.

The parameters of these models are listed in Table III. In the following we compare predictions of the above statistical models with p = p data summarized in dimensional sets discussed above.

A. Comparative study of p p data at SPS

We start from the analysis of data set A1 and modify it stepw ise to nd out in which way one matches the conclusion of larger temperature in p p than in central A A collisions at SPS as indicated in Ref. [6]. All numerical values of model parameters are listed in Table IV. A detailed discussion on their choice and correlations is presented in the Appendix based on the ²=n system atics.

The t to data set A1 in the SC model complies with our previous analysis from R ef. [11], see also Fig.2 (top). The SC model t to these data does not change when including hyperons resulting in the same values of therm al parameters and their errors as summarized in Table IV.

The most striking e ect on them alparam eters is expected when replacing the kaon yields in Set A1 (Fig. 3, top) by those from R ef. [18], Set A4, Fig. 2 (bottom). Indeed, sm aller kaon yields cause an increase of tem perature and a decrease of $_{\rm S}$. These changes come along with a reduced volum e and in case of the SC t with increase of the baryon chem ical potential. The kaons from R ef. [18] dom inate the t because their errors are 10%

TABLE IV: Thermal parameters extracted within the strangeness canonical (SC) and canonical (C) model (see text) from ts to 4 --integrated data in p p collisions at $P \overline{s} = 17.3$ GeV. In the SC model analysis for data set B1 a t does not converge, the minimum of the 2 scan is displayed. The ts to data sets A3 and B3 do not exhibit a 2 minimum in the parameter range considered, thus only the tentative parameters of a possible minimum are indicated.

SC m odel results									
Set	T(MeV) s		R (fm)		в (MeV)		² =n		
A 1	163	5	0.68	0.05	1.50	0.11	208	14	1.7/4
A 2	168	1	0.66	0.02	1.37	0.03	221	8	8.6/9
АЗ	A3 > 190		0.5		< 1.1		> 250		{
A 4	177	5	0.59	0.03	1.23	0.10	233	16	5.1/4
В1	1 176		0.	.56	1.24	0.01	240	12	7.7/7
В2	179	5	0.61	0.02	1.19	0.09	242	18	16/9
В3	3 > 190			0.5	<	1.1	> 2	50	{
C m odel results									
Set	T (M eV)		S		R (fm)				² =n
A 1	175	5	0.57	0.04	1.33	0.09	{		0.5/3
A 2	174	4	0.59	0.02	1.34	0.08	{		6.6/8
АЗ	189	5	0.46	0.02	1.12	0.09	{		23/5
A 4	181	4	0.52	0.03	1.22	0.07	{		3.5/3
В1	177	5	0.51	0.03	1.30	0.09	{		6.8/4
В2	180	4	0.56	0.02	1.23	80.0	{		18/8
В3	178	5	0.45	0.02	1.30	0.10	{		19/5
В4	177	5	0.50	0.03	1.31	0.09	{		4.8/3

while the uncertainties of the K $^+$ and K yields, taken from Ref. [16], are 21% and 31%, respectively. Consequently, the smaller errors dom in the statistical model t.

In the next step we add , and hyperons resulting in Set A2, see Fig. 3, middle panel. The measured hyperon multiplicities coincide with them odel results obtained before, thus within errors the statistical model parameters remain unchanged. We focus on Set A3 and add the meson, Fig. 3 bottom. In this case the tem – perature is indeed much higher. In the SC model the therm al parameters obtained from the Sets A3 and B3 appear to be meaningless and unphysical due to the meson contribution.

A dditional to di erent kaon data in Sets A and B there are also slightly di erent values for pions and yields, see Table I. Compared to results obtained from data Sets A 4, in the t of Set B 1 the higher pion yield reduces the strange to non-strange particle ratios resulting in slightly sm aller value of $_{\rm S}$. The t obtained with the Set B 4 yields sim ilar results as that obtained from Set B 1 indicating that the resonance does not a ect the m odel param eters.

In the canonical (C) m odelanalysis the Sets A 1 and A 2 tend towards a slightly higher temperature and smaller $_{\rm S}$ than that obtained in the SC analysis. The situation is di erent for Sets A 3 and B 3 that include the meson. Here in the SC model the temperature is very high and $_{\rm S}$ ' 0:5. In the C model the temperature decreases and



FIG.2: The 2 scan in the (T { $_{\rm S}})-plane. Starting from its m inimum , <math display="inline">^2$ increases by 2 for each contour line. Upper gure: tto data set A1 in the model where only strangeness is conserved exactly (SC). Lower gure: tto data set A4 in the canonical (C) model. The m inim a are indicated by the crosses.

 $_{\rm S}$ drops below 0.5. We can conclude that in the case where the meson is included in the t, one needs to apply the C analysis to get low er tem peratures, how ever with very smallvalues of $_{\rm S}$ and a large 2 =n.For Set B 3 the num erical results for T and $_{\rm S}$ sum marized in Table IV coincide with that obtained in R ef [6], how ever with a larger 2 =n [31].

IV. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The statistical-model analysis of hadron yields for p p collisions at $\overline{s} = 17 \text{ GeV}$ from Refs. [5] and [6], yield di erent results and lead to di erent conclusions on the system -size dependence of therm alparam eters [5,12]. In this paper we have reanalyzed the p p data and studied the sensitivity of the therm al t to data selection and on m odel assumptions. We have shown that di erent



FIG. 3: As in Fig. 2 but for data Set A1 (top), Set A2 (m iddle), Set A3 (bottom). Canonical ensemble.

conclusions from R efs. [5] and [6] are mostly due to differences in data selections.

Slightly di erent num erical values for charged pions and hyperons used in Refs. [5] and [6] as well as the contribution of the resonance altered therm alparam – eters only within errors. How ever, the used charged kaon yields in both approaches di er substantially. We have argued that data of kaon yields in Ref. [6] deviate from trends seen in data at di erent energies resulting in a higher tem perature.

W e have shown that higher kaon yields expected from the system atics in the energy dependence in p collisions are in line with data on multi-strange baryons. Unlike the hyperons, when adding the meson the thermalmodel t leaves a reasonable range of parameters resulting in a very high tem perature exceeding 190 M eV and large 2 =n. We have quantied the modications of these results when including an exact conservation of all quantum num bers in the canonical statistical model. We have shown that in the absence of meson the thermal ts are rather weakly in uenced by canonicale ects due to an exact conservation of the baryon num ber and an electric charge leading in some cases to a system atic increase of the freezeout tem perature. Fits including the meson are sensitive to an exact conservation of all quantum num bers resulting in low er tem peratures. How ever, the therm alm odel analysis of data sets with hidden strangeness has the largest ²=n indicating that this particle cannot be addressed properly in this model.

From our analysis, we conclude that within the presently available data on p p collisions at SPS energy and uncertainties on therm al parameters obtained from ts within the statisticalm odel, it is rather unlikely that the tem perature in p p collisions exceeds signi - cantly that expected in central collisions of heavy ions at the same energy.

A cknow ledgm ents

K R. acknow ledges stimulating discussions with P. Braun-M unzinger and support of DFG, the Polish M inistry of Science MEN, and the A lexander von Hum boldt Foundation. The nancial support of the BMBF, the DFG-NRF and the South A frica - Poland scientic collaborations are also gratefully acknow ledged.

APPENDIX A:THE ² CONTOURS OF THERMAL FITS

In this appendix we quantify the choice of thermal parameters within the statistical model through 2 -contours in the parameter space. Since the temperature T and $_{\rm S}$ are of particular interest here the quality of the ts are shown in Figs. 2 and 3 in the (T $_{\rm S}$)-plane. In these gures for xed (T; $_{\rm S}$)-pair the remaining model parameters were tted and the corresponding 2 was calculated.

Figure 2 (top) shows the analysis of the data Set A 1 within the strangeness canonical (SC) model. The analysis in the model with canonical treatment of all conserved charges (C) is shown in Fig. 3 for all data sets besides the Set A 4 which is presented in Fig. 2 (bottom).

In the C model description of data Set A1 there is a large region of a very low 2 which manifests the expected

anti-correlation of T and $_{\rm S}$. Reasonable ts are possible over a large range of parameters. For the Set A 2 the m inimum is located at the same tem perature and slightly higher $_{\rm S}$. The contributions of and baryons disfavor sm all values of $_{\rm S}$.

The , as seen in Fig. 3, directs ts towards very high tem peratures and very strong strangeness suppression. Also the pattern of (T { $_{\rm S}$) anti-correlations show s decreasing 2 with increasing tem perature at xed $_{\rm S}$.

- [1] E. Fem i, Progress Theor. Phys. 5, 570 (1950);
 W. Heisenberg, Naturwissenschaften 39, 69 (1952);
 R.Hagedom, Nuovo Cimento 35, 395 (1965).
- [2] P.Braun-M unzinger, I.H eppe and J.Stachel, Phys.Lett. B 465,15 (1999); F.Becattini, J.C leym ans, A.K eranen, E.Suhonen and K.Redlich, Phys.Rev.C 64, 024901 (2001); P.Braun-M unzinger, D.M agestro, K.Redlich and J.Stachel, Phys.Lett.B 518,41 (2001); N.Xu and M.Kaneta, Nucl. Phys.A 698, 306 (2002); F.Becattini, J.Phys.G 28,1553 (2002); A.Andronic, P.Braun-M unzinger and J.Stachel, Nucl.Phys.A 772,167 (2006).
- [3] P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich and J. Stachel, in Quark-G luon Plasm a 3, Eds. R C. Hwa and X N. W ang, (W orld Scienti c Publishing, 2004).
- [4] J. C leym ans, B. K am pfer, M. K aneta, S. W heaton and Nu Xu, Phys. Rev. C 71, 054901 (2005); J. C leym ans, B. K am pfer and S. W heaton, Phys. Rev. C 65, 027901 (2002).
- [5] I. Kraus, J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich and S.W heaton, Phys. Rev. C 76, 064903 (2007).
- [6] F.Becattini, J.M anninen and M.G azdzicki, Phys. Rev. C 73,044905 (2006).
- [7] F.Becattiniand U.W .Heinz, Z.Phys.C 76, 269 (1997).
- [8] F.Becattini, Z.Phys.C 69, 485 (1996); J.Phys.G 23, 1933 (1997);
- [9] A.Andronic, F.Beutler, P.Braun-Munzinger, K.Redlich and J.Stachel, arX iv:0804.4132 [hep-ph];
- [10] F. Becattini, P. Castorina, J. Manninen and H. Satz, arXiv:0805.0964 [hep-ph].
- [11] I.K raus, J.C leym ans, H.O eschler and K.R ed lich, Phys. Rev C 79 014901 (2009).
- [12] M .G azdzicki, arX iv:0801.4919 [nucl-ex].
- [13] J. C leym ans and K. R edlich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5284 (1998).
- [14] J. C leym ans and K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. C 60, 054908 (1999); J. C leym ans, H. O eschler, K. Redlich and S. W heaton, Phys. Rev. C 73, 034905 (2006).

- [15] C.Altetal. (NA 49 Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J.C 45, 343 (2006).
- [16] A.M. Rossiet al., Nucl. Phys. B 84, 269 (1975).
- [17] T. Anticic et al. (NA 49 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 022302 (2004).
- [18] J. Baechler et al. (NA 49 Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A 661,45 (1999).
- [19] S.V.A fanasiev et al. (NA 49 Collaboration), J. Phys.G 27 367 (2001).
- [20] S.V. A fanasiev et al. (NA 49 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 491, 59 (2000).
- [21] These numbers were taken from a compilation of NA49 data available on their web page http://na49info.web.cem.ch/na49info/na49/. They are prelim inary, unpublished data taken from a PhD thesis. For a detailed discussion see section II.
- [22] M.Gazdzicki and D.Roehrich, Z.Phys.C 71 55 (1996).
- [23] M .G azdzickiand D .R oehrich, Z.Phys.C 65 215 (1995).
- [24] R.Hagedorn and K.Redlich, Z.Phys. C 27, 541 (1985).
- [25] J.Rafelskiand M.Danos, Phys.Lett. B 97, 279 (1980);
 B.Muller and J.Rafelski, Phys.Lett. B 116, 274 (1982).
- [26] J. Cleymans, K. Redlich, H. Oeschler and A. Tounsi, Acta Physics Polonica B 33, 1609 (2002).
- [27] J. C leym ans, H. O eschler and K. R edlich, Phys. R ev. C 59, 1663 (1999); Phys. Lett. B 485, 27 (2000). P. Braun-M unzinger, J. C leym ans, H. O eschler and K. R edlich, N ucl. Phys. A 697, 902 (2002). J. C leym ans, A. Forster, H. O eschler, K. R edlich and F. Uhlig, Phys. Lett. B 603, 146 (2004).
- [28] S.Ham ieh, K.R edlich and A.Tounsi, Phys.Lett.B 486, 61 (2000).
- [29] I. Kraus, H. O eschler and K. Redlich, Eur. Phys. J. C 49, 109 (2007).
- [30] I. Kraus, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich, Pos(HEP2005)140 (web only, http://pos.sissa.it/), arX iv hep-ph/0604242.
- [31] W e com pare our results to tB from R ef [6]