Particle production in p p collisions at $P-$ = 17 G eV within the statistical model

I. Kraus, J. Cleym ans, $1/2$ H. O eschler, and K. Redlich^{3,4,5}

 1 Institut fur K emphysik, D am stadt U niversity of Technology, D -64289 D am stadt, G em any

 10^2 UCT-CERN Research Centre and Department of Physics,

University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South A frica

³ Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of W roclaw, P1-45204 W roclaw, Poland

 $4G$ SI H em holtzzentrum fur Schwerionenforschung, D-64291 D arm stadt, G erm any

 5 ExtreM e M atter Institue EM M I, G SI, D -64291 D am stadt, G em any

(D ated: July 2, 2013)

A them alm odel analysis of particle production of p p collisions at $\frac{p}{s}$ = 17 G eV using the latest available data is presented. The sensitivity of model parameters on data selections and model assum ptions is studied. The system -size dependence of therm alparam eters and recent di erences in the statisticalm odel analysis of p p collisions at the super proton synchrotron (SPS) are discussed. It is shown that the tem perature and strangeness undersaturation factor depend strongly on kaon yields which at present are still not well known experimentally. It is conclude, that within the presently available data at the SPS it is rather unlikely that the temperature in p p collisions exceeds signi cantly that expected in central collisions of heavy ions at the same energy.

PACS num bers: 12.40 Ee, 25.75 D w

K eyw ords: Statisticalm odel, Strangeness undersaturation, Particle production

I. INTRODUCTION

The statisticalm odel has been used to describe particle production in high-energy collisions for m ore than half a century [1]. In this period it has evolved into a very useful and successfulm odel describing a large variety of data, in particular, hadron yields in central heavy-ion collisions [2,3] have been described in a very system atic and appealing way unm atched by any other model. It has also provided a very useful fram ework for the centrality $[4]$ and system -size dependence $[5, 6]$ of particle production. The applicability of the model in small syse annihilation [8] has been $tan s$ like p p [7] and $e⁺$ the sub ject of several recent publications $[9, 10, 11]$.

The statistical-model analysis of elementary particle interactions can be summarized by the statement that the them al param eters show alm ost no energy dependence in the range of $\frac{p}{s} = 14$ { 900 G eV with the tem perature being about 165 M eV and the strangeness undersaturation factor $_{\rm S}$ being in the range between 0.5 and 0.7.

In the context of the system -size dependence of particle production, the p p collisions at \overline{s} = 17 G eV have been analyzed in detail recently. Based on similar data sets, the extracted param eters in di erent publications deviated signi cantly from each other: in a previous analysis (Ref. $[5, 11]$) we derived $T = 164$ 9 M eV and $s = 0.67$ 0.07, with ²=n = 1.7/3, while the authors in Ref. [6] obtained T = 178 6 M eV, $_S = 0.45$ 0.02 with $2\pi = 11/7$. These ndings motivated dierent conclusions: In Ref. [5] no system size dependence of the them alparam eters was found, except for $\,$ s which tends to increase when m ore nucleons participe in the collisions but this rise is weaker than the errors on the strangeness suppression parameter. In Ref. [5] it was therefore concluded that the hadron gas produced in central collisions

at $P = 17$ G eV reaches its lim it ing tem perature. B ased on Ref. [6] on the other hand, it was argued in Ref. $[12]$ that, decreasing $\,$ s and, in particular, increasing tem perature towards sm aller system sallow for probing QCD m atter beyond the freeze-out curve established in Pb-Pb and Au-Au collisions [13, 14].

The goal of this paper is to understand the origin of these rather di erent them alm odel results obtained in the analysis of p p data. We use an up-to-date com plete set of data and discuss the sensitivity of the them all m odelparam eters on their values. We present system atic studies of data used as inputs and the methods applied in their them alm odel analysis.

The paper is organised as follows: In Section II we discuss the experim entaldata on which di erent analysis are based. In Section III we sum m arize them ain features of the statistical model and present the analysis of the SPS data obtained in p p collisions. In the nal section we present our conclusions and sum m arize our results.

II. DATA

The data used throughout this paper for hadron yields in p p collisions at \overline{s} = 17.3 GeV are summarized in Table I. Data in column Set A were exploit in our previous analysis [11] and the corresponding references are given in the table. If the num erical values deviate in the analysis of Ref. [6], they are listed in column Set B. The relative di erences between the particle yields from sets A and B are also indicated in Table I. The commonly used data in the statisticalm odel description of particles production in p p collisions at the SPS are displayed below the horizontal line in Table I.

In Table II the experimental data are grouped in sets which are used in Section III to perform the Statistical

FIG . 1: C harged kaon yields (left panels), negatively charged hadron h and pions (upper right) and $K =$ ratios (lower right panel) in p p collisions as a function of labo r_{r} ratory m om entum. The charged kaons, K $^+$ (diam onds) and (crosses) yields are from Ref. [\[22\]](#page-5-14). The lines are ts to data. The SPS yields from Ref. [\[16](#page-5-15)] (circle) and from Ref. [\[18](#page-5-16)] (triangle) are also show n. T he negatively charged hadrons are from R ef.[\[23\]](#page-5-17).

TA B LE I: Particle yields (4 integrated) in m inim um bias p p collisions at $s = 17.3$ G eV . N um erical values of Set A are from R ef. [\[11](#page-5-10)]. For Set B and com m on values (data below the horizontal line) the references are given in the last column.

Particle		Set A	Set B		yield	err	R ef
$^{+}$	3.02	0.15	3.15	0.16	4.4%	10.5%	[15]
	2.36	0.11	2.45	0.12	3.8%	5.9%	[15]
K ⁺	0.258	0.055					[16]
K	0.160	0.050					[16]
K ⁺			0.210	0.021	19%	62%	[18]
K			0.130	0.013	19%	74%	[18]
	0.116	0.011	0.115	0.012	0.9 ₈	9.1%	[17]
	0.0137	0.0007	0.0148	0.0019	8.0%	171%	[17]
K_S^0		0.18	0.04				[18]
p		0.0400	0.0068				[18]
		0.012	0.003				[19]
		0.0120	0.0015				[20]
		0.0031	0.0003				[21]
$^{+}$		0.00092	0,00009				[21]
		0.00026	0.00013				[21]
$\ddot{}$		0.00016	0,00009				[21]

M odelanalysis.In the follow ing we m otivate the particular choice of data in these sets and discuss how they can in uence the model predictions on therm alconditions in p p collisions.

The data set A_1 is most restricted. Firstly, the production yields of and are not included because their nu-m ericalvalues are only prelim inary (R ef. [\[21](#page-5-22)]). Secondly,

TA BLE II: D i erent sets of particle yields used in the therm al m odel ts. The type A sets contain num erical values from the left (and com m on) columns of Table [I.](#page-1-0) The type B sets contain data from Set B and com m on colum ns ofTable [I.](#page-1-0)

Set Particles C om m ent						
A ₁	K	Kšp			Set of Ref. [11]	
A 2	K	K_{S}^{0} p		$\ddot{}$		
A3	K	K p Š				
A ₄	K	K p S.			from $Ref. [18]$ Κ	
B ₁	K	K_{S}° p			contribution	
B ₂	K	$_{\rm s}^{\rm o}$ K p			FitA ofRef.[6]	
B.3	K	Κ p S			FitB ofRef.[6]	
B ₄		p			Set B1 without	

the resonance is also not included so as to restrict the analysis to stable hadrons. Finally, the m eson is om itted in SetA1 since this particle is dicult to address in the statisticalm odeldue to its hidden strangeness as discussed in R ef.[\[5\]](#page-5-4).

The lowery ields of charged kaons in Set B of Table I are taken from results published in conference proceedings [\[18\]](#page-5-16). Such kaon yields are in disagreem ent w ith trends from data m easured at lower and higher energies as seen in Fig[.1.](#page-1-2)

The left panels of Fig. 1 show the charged kaon multiplicities from p p interactions at low er and higher beam m om enta [\[22\]](#page-5-14) together w ith data from Table [I.](#page-1-0) The lines in this qure are simple param etrizations interpolating to SPS energies. The K yield from [\[16](#page-5-15)] is seen to be 7% below the expected value from the above param etrization, how ever agrees w ithin errors. The K abundance from [\[18\]](#page-5-16)is by 24% lower and its error is only 10% . A s we discuss below , such a low value for the m ultiplicity of charged kaons in uences the statisticalm odel t in an essentialway.

The upper right panel of F ig. 1 show s the negatively charged hadrons from p p interactions at several beam m om enta from R ef. [\[23\]](#page-5-17). A s indicated in R ef.[\[23](#page-5-17)] the K , p and supplem ent the yield. In this case, the ratio /h am ounts to 91% . Including m ore sources of feed-dow n, the /h ratio stays at the sam e level as long as and K 0_S can be separated. Consequently, to calculate the negatively charged pions from h yields one can use the above 91% scaling factor. Figure [1](#page-1-2) (top right panel) show s the t to h yields as a function of beam m om enta and then by rescaling the expected result for the p_{lab} dependence of the negatively charged pions. The yields of at SPS from Table [I](#page-1-0) agree quite well w ith that expected from an interpolation line show n in Fig. 1. They are only slightly higher, by 1% for yields taken from [\[15\]](#page-5-18) and by 5% for yields used in Ref. [\[6\]](#page-5-5).

The lower right panel in Fig. 1 show s the K $/$ ratio at SPS com pared to the interpolated data from other beam m om enta. The m ean value of the K / used in [\[11\]](#page-5-10) is 8% below the interpolated line but agrees within errors, w hile the corresponding value used in $[6]$ is 28%

sm aller and exhibits an error of only 11%. Clearly, the above di erences in the K / ratios in uence the thermalmodel ts.

In general, a sm aller kaon yield implies a stronger suppression of the strange-particle phase space resulting in a sm aller value for the strangeness undersaturation factor s . If other strange particles are included, then the strong suppression caused by $\,$ _S has to be compensated by a higher tem perature. Thism ight be one of the origins for the dierent them al t parameters obtained in Refs. $[11]$ and $[6]$. In order to quantify this we have selected a data set A 4 which is equivalent to the Set A 1 but with the kaon yields of Ref. [16] being replaced by the values from Ref. [18].

The Set B1 is (besides the) equivalent to A1 but with num ericalvalues for particle yields from column B in Table I. The Set B 4 is used to dem onstrate the in uence of the resonance on them al t parameters. The Sets A 3, B 3 and A 2, B 2 are chosen to study the in uence of the meson and the multistrange hyperons on them al t param eters.

TTT. STATISTICAL MODEL ANALY SIS

The usual form of the statisticalm odel form ulated in the grand-canonicalensem ble cannot be used when either the tem perature or the volum e or both are sm all. A s as a rule of thum b one needs $VT^3 > 1$ for a grand-canonical description to hold [24, 25]. Furtherm ore, even if this condition is m atched but the abundance of a subset of particles carrying a conserved charge is sm all, the canonical suppression still appears even though the grandcanonical description is valid for the bulk of the produced hadrons. There exists a vast literature on the sub ject of canonical suppression and we refer to several articles (see eg. [3, 26, 27]).

The e ect of canonical suppression in p p collisions at ultra-relativistic energies is relevant for hadrons carrying strangeness. The larger the strangeness content of the particle, the stronger is the suppression of the hadron yield. This has been discussed in great detail in [28].

In line with the previous statistical model studies of heavy-ion scattering at lower energies, the collisions of sm all ions at SPS revealed [5] that the experimental data show stronger suppression of strange-particle yields than what was expected in the canonical model [5, 29, 30]. Consequently, an additional suppression e ect had to be included in order to quantify the observed yields. Here we introduce the \circ -equilibrium factor $\frac{1}{s}$ 1 which reduces densities n_s of hadrons carrying strangeness s by n_s ! $n_s \stackrel{\text{is}^{\text{}}}{\circ} [25]$.

We investigate whether or not all quantum numbers have to be conserved exactly in p p collisions within a canonical approach by comparing data with two model settings:

Canonical (C) Model: all conserved charges, i.e.

TABLE III: A list of param eters needed to quantify particle yields in the strangeness canonical (SC) and canonical (C) statistical model (see text). The symbols S, Q and B are the strangeness, electric charge and baryon number respectively with $\,$ i for i = $(S; Q; B)$ being chemical potentials related with conservation of these quantum numbers. s is the strangeness undersaturation factor, T the tem perature and R the radius describing the spherical volum e of the collision zone.

	SC model	C m odel		
F it param eter	R	SOR		
Constrained param.	$_0$: B/2Q = 0.5			
Fixed param.		$B = 2$		
$F \pm /$ Scan param.	\mathbf{S}	т \mathbb{S}		
No. of param eter		6		
	F it. scan	F it. scan		
No. of free param.	4	5 3		
No. of xed param.				

strangeness, electric charge and baryon num ber are conserved exactly within a canonical ensemble.

Strangeness Canonical (SC) Model: only strangeness is conserved exactly whereas the baryon number and electric charge are conserved on the average and their densities are controlled by the corresponding chem ical potentials.

The param eters of these m odels are listed in Table III. In the follow ing we com pare predictions of the above statisticalm odels with p p data sum m arized in dierent sets discussed above.

A. Comparative study of p p data at SPS

We start from the analysis of data set A1 and modify it stepw ise to nd out in which way one matches the conclusion of larger tem perature in p p than in central A A collisions at SPS as indicated in Ref. [6]. A ll num ericalvalues of m odelparam eters are listed in Table IV. A detailed discussion on their choice and correlations is presented in the Appendix based on the 2 =n system at $ics.$

The tto data set A1 in the SC model complies with our previous analysis from Ref. [11], see also Fig. 2 (top). The SC model t to these data does not change when including hyperons resulting in the same values of them al param eters and their errors as sum m arized in Table IV.

The most striking e ect on them alparam eters is expected when replacing the kaon yields in Set A 1 (Fig. 3, top) by those from $Ref. [18]$, Set $A4$, Fig. 2 (bottom). Indeed, sm aller kaon yields cause an increase of temperature and a decrease of s . These changes com e along with a reduced volume and in case of the SC twith increase of the baryon chem ical potential. The kaons from Ref. [18] dom inate the t because their errors are 10%

TABLE IV: Them al param eters extracted within the strangeness canonical (SC) and canonical (C) model (see text) from ts to 4 -integrated data in p p collisions at \overline{s} = 17.3 GeV. In the SC model analysis for data set B1 a t does not converge, the m min um of the max scan is displayed. The ts to data sets A 3 and B 3 do not exhibit a m in im um in the parameter range considered, thus only the tentative param eters of a possible m in im um are indicated.

SC model results									
Set	T(M eV)		\mathbf{S}		(fm) R		$_{\rm B}$ (M eV)		$2\overline{=}n$
A ₁	163	5	0.68	0.05	1.50	0.11	208	14	1.7/4
A ₂	168	1	0.66	0.02	1.37	0.03	221	8	8.6/9
A ₃	>190		0.5		< 1.1		> 250		$\left\{ \right.$
A ₄	177	5	0.59	0.03	1.23	0.10	233	16	5.1/4
B ₁	176			0.56	1.24	0.01	240	12	7.7/7
B ₂	179	5	0.61	0.02	1.19	0.09	242	18	16/9
B3	190 \geq			0.5	< 1.1		> 250		ſ
C m odel results									
Set	$T(M \text{ eV})$		\mathbf{S}		\mathbb{R} (fm)				z_{nn}
A ₁	175	5	0.57	0.04	1.33	0.09			0.5/3
A ₂	174	4	0.59	0.02	1.34	0.08			6.6/8
A ₃	189	5	0.46	0.02	1.12	0.09			23/5
A 4	181	4	0.52	0.03	1.22	0.07			3.5/3
B ₁	177	5	0.51	0.03	1.30	0.09			6.8/4
B ₂	180	4	0.56	0.02	1.23	0.08			18/8
B3	178	5	0.45	0.02	1.30	0.10			19/5
Β4	177	5	0.50	0.03	1.31	0.09			4.8/3

while the uncertainties of the K^+ and K^- yields, taken from Ref. [16], are 21% and 31%, respectively. Consequently, the sm aller errors dom inate the statisticalm odel t.

In the next step we add , and hyperons resulting in Set A 2, see Fig. 3, m iddle panel. The measured hyperon multiplicities coincide with them odel results obtained before, thus within errors the statistical model param eters rem ain unchanged. We focus on Set A 3 and add the meson, Fig. 3 bottom. In this case the temperature is indeed much higher. In the SC model the them al param eters obtained from the Sets A 3 and B 3 appear to be meaningless and unphysical due to the m eson contribution.

Additional to di erent kaon data in Sets A and B there are also slightly di erent values for pions and yields, see Table I. Compared to results obtained from data Sets A4, in the t of Set B1 the higher pion yield reduces the strange to non-strange particle ratios resulting in slightly sm aller value of $\,$ s . The tobtained with the Set B4 yields sim ilar results as that obtained from Set B1 indicating that the resonance does not a ect the m odel param eters.

In the canonical (C) m odelanalysis the Sets A 1 and A 2 tend towards a slightly higher temperature and smaller s than that obtained in the SC analysis. The situation is dierent for Sets A 3 and B 3 that include the meson. Here in the SC model the temperature is very high and s ' 0.5. In the C m odel the tem perature decreases and

FIG. 2: The 2 scan in the (T { s }-plane. Starting from its m in in um, ² increases by 2 for each contour line. Upper gure: t to data set A 1 in them odelwhere only strangeness is conserved exactly (SC). Lower qure: t to data set A 4 in the canonical (C) model. The m inim a are indicated by the crosses.

s drops below 0.5. We can conclude that in the case where the meson is included in the t, one needs to apply the C analysis to get low er tem peratures, how ever w ith very sm all values of $\frac{1}{s}$ and a large $\frac{2}{s}$ =n. For Set B 3 the num erical results for T and $\frac{1}{2}$ sum m arized in Table IV coincide with that obtained in Ref [6], how ever with a larger 2 =n [31].

IV. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The statistical-model analysis of hadron yields for p poollisions at \overline{s} = 17 G eV from Refs. [5] and [6], yield di erent results and lead to di erent conclusions on the system -size dependence of them alparam eters [5,12]. In this paper we have reanalyzed the p pdata and studied the sensitivity of the therm all t to data selection and on model assumptions. We have shown that dierent

FIG. 3: As in Fig. [2](#page-3-1) but for data Set A 1 (top), Set A 2 (m iddle), Set A 3 (bottom). C anonical ensemble.

conclusions from R efs.[\[5](#page-5-4)]and [\[6\]](#page-5-5)are m ostly due to differences in data selections.

Slightly dierent num erical values for charged pions and hyperons used in Refs. [\[5](#page-5-4)] and [\[6\]](#page-5-5) as well as the contribution of the resonance altered therm alparam eters only w ithin errors. How ever, the used charged kaon yields in both approaches dier substantially. W e have argued that data of kaon yields in Ref. [\[6](#page-5-5)] deviate from

trends seen in data at dierent energies resulting in a higher tem perature.

W e have shown that higher kaon yields expected from the system atics in the energy dependence in $p \propto p$ collisions are in line w ith data on m ulti-strange baryons. Unlike the hyperons, when adding the meson the therm al m odel t leaves a reasonable range of param eters resulting in a very high tem perature exceeding 190 M eV and large 2π . We have quantied the modications of these results when including an exact conservation of all quantum num bers in the canonical statisticalm odel. W e have show n that in the absence of meson the therm al ts are rather weakly in uenced by canonicale ects due to an exact conservation of the baryon num ber and an electric charge leading in som e cases to a system atic increase of the freezeout tem perature. Fits including the m eson are sensitive to an exact conservation of all quantum num bers resulting in low er tem peratures. How ever, the therm alm odelanalysis of data sets w ith hidden strangeness has the largest 2π indicating that this particle cannot be addressed properly in this m odel.

From our analysis, we conclude that within the presently available data on p p collisions at SPS energy and uncertainties on therm alparam eters obtained from t s w ithin the statisticalm odel, it is rather unlikely that the tem perature in p p collisions exceeds signi cantly that expected in central collisions of heavy ions at the sam e energy.

A cknow ledgm ents

K R. acknow ledges stimulating discussions with P. Braun-M unzinger and support of D FG, the Polish M inistry of Science M EN, and the A lexander von H um boldt Foundation. The nancial support of the BM BF, the DFG-NRF and the South A frica - Poland scienti c collaborations are also gratefully acknow ledged.

A P P E N D IX $A : THE \nvert ^2$ C O N T O U R S O F T H E R M A L F IT S

In this appendix we quantify the choice of therm al param eters within the statistical model through contours in the param eter space. Since the tem perature T and S are of particular interest here the quality of the ts are shown in Figs. 2 and 3 in the (T_S) -plane. In these gures for $x \in \mathbb{C}$ (T; $\frac{1}{s}$)-pair the rem aining model param eters were tted and the corresponding 2 was cal- culated.

Figure [2](#page-3-1) (top) show s the analysis of the data Set A 1 w ithin the strangeness canonical (SC) m odel. The analysis in them odelw ith canonicaltreatm ent of all conserved charges (C) is show n in Fig.[3](#page-4-0) for alldata sets besides the Set A 4 w hich is presented in Fig. 2 (bottom).

In the C m odel description of data Set A 1 there is a large region of a very low 2 which m an ifests the expected

anti-correlation of T and $_S$. Reasonable ts are possible over a large range of param eters. For the Set A2 the m in in um is located at the same tem perature and slightly higher_s. The contributions of and baryons disfavor sm all values of s .

The , as seen in Fig. 3, directs ts towards very high tem peratures and very strong strangeness suppression. A lso the pattern of $(T { s})$ anti-correlations show s decreasing $^{-2}$ with increasing temperature at xed s.

- [1] E. Fem i, Progress Theor. Phys. 5 , 570 (1950); W. Heisenberg, Naturwissenschaften 39, 69 (1952); R.Hagedom, Nuovo C in ento 35, 395 (1965).
- [2] P.B raun-M unzinger, I.H eppe and J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B 465, 15 (1999); F.Becattini, J.C leym ans, A.K eranen, E. Suhonen and K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. C 64, 024901 (2001); P. Braun-Munzinger, D. Magestro, K. Redlich and J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B 518, 41 (2001); N. Xu and M. Kaneta, Nucl. Phys. A 698, 306 (2002); F. Becattini, J. Phys. G 28, 1553 (2002); A. Andronic, P. Braun-M unzinger and J. Stachel, Nucl. Phys. A 772, 167 (2006).
- [3] P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich and J. Stachel, in Quark-G luon P lasm a 3, Eds. R.C. Hwa and X N.W ang, (W orld Scienti c Publishing, 2004).
- [4] J. C leym ans, B. K am pfer, M. K aneta, S. W heaton and Nu Xu, Phys. Rev. C 71, 054901 (2005); J. Cleymans, B. K am pfer and S. W heaton, Phys. Rev. C 65, 027901 (2002) .
- [5] I. Kraus, J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich and S.W heaton, Phys.Rev.C 76, 064903 (2007).
- [6] F.Becattini, J.M anninen and M.G azdzicki, Phys.Rev. C 73,044905 (2006).
- [7] F.Becattiniand U.W.Heinz, Z.Phys.C 76, 269 (1997).
- [8] F. Becattini, Z. Phys. C 69, 485 (1996); J. Phys. G 23, 1933 (1997);
- [9] A.Andronic, F.Beutler, P.Braun-Munzinger, K.Redlich and J. Stachel, arX iv:0804.4132 [hep-ph];
- [10] F. Becattini, P. Castorina, J. Manninen and H. Satz, arX iv:0805.0964 [hep-ph].
- [11] I.K raus, J.C leym ans, H.O eschler and K.R edlich, Phys. Rev.C 79 014901 (2009).
- [12] M. Gazdzicki, arX iv:0801.4919 [nuclex].
- [13] J.C leym ans and K.Redlich, Phys.Rev.Lett. 81, 5284 $(1998).$
- [14] J. Cleym ans and K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. C 60, 054908 (1999); J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich and S. W heaton, Phys. Rev. C 73, 034905 (2006).
- [15] C.A lt et al. (NA49 Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J.C 45, 343 (2006).
- [16] A.M.Rossietal, Nucl.Phys.B 84, 269 (1975).
- [17] T. Anticic et al. (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 93,022302 (2004).
- [18] J. Baechler et al. (NA49 Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A 661, 45 (1999).
- [19] S.V.A fanasiev et al. (NA49 Collaboration), J. Phys. G 27 367 (2001).
- [20] S.V.A fanasiev et al. (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 491,59 (2000).
- [21] These numbers were taken from a compilation of NA49 data available on their web page http://na49info.web.cem.ch/na49info/na49/. T hev are prelim inary, unpublished data taken from a PhD thesis. For a detailed discussion see section II.
- [22] M. Gazdzicki and D. Roehrich, Z. Phys. C 71 55 (1996).
- [23] M. Gazdzickiand D. Roehrich, Z. Phys. C 65 215 (1995).
- [24] R.Hagedom and K.Redlich, Z.Phys. C 27, 541 (1985).
- [25] J.Rafelskiand M.Danos, Phys.Lett.B 97, 279 (1980); B.Muller and J.Rafelski, Phys.Lett.B 116, 274 (1982).
- [26] J. C leym ans, K. Redlich, H. O eschler and A. Tounsi, A cta Physics Polonica B 33, 1609 (2002).
- [27] J.C leym ans, H.O eschler and K.Redlich, Phys.Rev.C 59, 1663 (1999); Phys. Lett. B 485, 27 (2000). P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Cleymans, H. O eschler and K. Redlich, Nucl. Phys. A 697, 902 (2002). J. C leym ans, A. Forster, H.Oeschler, K.Redlich and F.Uhlig, Phys.Lett.B 603, 146 (2004).
- [28] S.H am ieh, K.R edlich and A.Tounsi, Phys.Lett.B 486, $61(2000)$.
- [29] I. K raus, H. O eschler and K. Redlich, Eur. Phys. J. C 49,109 (2007).
- [30] I. Kraus, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich, PoS (HEP 2005)140 (web only, http://pos.sissa.it/), arX iv.hep-ph/0604242.
- [31] We compare our results to tB from Ref [6]