Spectroscopy of N eutron-R ich ^{168;170}D y:

Yrast Band Evolution C lose to the N_pN_n Valence M axim um

P.A. Soderstrom and J. Nyberg Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, SE-75121 Uppsala, Sweden

P.H.Regan, S.F.Ashley, W.N.Catford, W.Gelletly, G.A.Jones, Z.Liu, S.Pietri, Zs.Podolyak, S.J.Steer, N.J.Thompson, and S.J.W illiams Department of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford, GU2 7XH, UK

A. Algora

IFIC, CSIC-Univ. Valencia, Apartado O cial 22085, 46071 Valencia, Spain

G. de Angelis, L. Corradi, E. Fioretto, A. Gottardo, E. Grodner, C. Y. He, D. Napoli, F. Recchia, E. Sahin, R. Silvestri, A. M. Stefanini, and J. J. Valiente-Dobon Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, I-35020 Legnaro, Italy

S.Aydin, D.Bazzacco, E.Farnea, S.Lunardi, P.Mason, R.Menegazzo, D.Mengoni, G.Montagnoli, F.Scarlassara, and C.A.Ur Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Universita and INFN, Sezione di Padova, I-35122 Padova, Italy

> R.J.Casperson and V.Wemer Wright Nuclear Structure Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA

> J. Cederkall PH Department, CERN 1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland

R.Chapman, K.Keyes, M.Labiche, X.Liang, J.F.Smith, and K.M.Spohr School of Engineering and Science,

University of the W est of Scotland, Paisley PA1 2BE, Scotland, UK

C. Fahlander

Department of Physics, Lund University, SE-22100 Lund, Sweden

S.J. Freem an

Schuster Laboratory, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

A.Gadea

IF IC, CSIC-Univ. Valencia, Apartado O cial 22085, 46071 Valencia, Spain and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Laboratori Nazionali di Leonaro, I-35020 Leonaro, Italy

N. Marginean

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, I-35020 Legnaro, Italy and National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, RO-77125, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania

J. Ollier

STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, W arrington, WA4 4AD, UK

G. Pollarolo

D ipartim ento di Fisica Teorica, Universit di Torino, and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, I-10125 Torino, Italy

S. Szilner Ruder Boskovic Institute, HR 10 001, Zagreb, Croatia

G.M. Tveten PH Department, CERN 1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland and Department of Physics, University of Osb, Osb, Norway

 $F \mathrel{.} R \mathrel{.} X \mathrel{u}$ and $J \mathrel{.} Y \mathrel{.} Z \mathrel{hu}$

School of Physics and State K ey Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China

2

(Dated: August 9, 2013)

Abstract

The yrast sequence of the neutron-rich dysprosium isotope 168 D y has been studied using multinucleon transfer reactions following toollisions between a 460-M eV 82 Se beam and a 170 Er target. The reaction products were identified using the PR ISM A magnetic spectrom eter and the rays detected using the CLARA HPG e-detector array. The 2⁺ and 4⁺ members of the previously measured ground state rotational band of 168 D y have been con med and the yrast band extended up to 10⁺. A tentative candidate for the 4⁺ ! 2⁺ transition in 170 D y was also identified. The data on these nuclei and on the lighter even-even dysprosium isotopes are interpreted in terms of Total R outhian Surface calculations and the evolution of collectivity in the vicinity of the proton-neutron valence product maximum is discussed. O ur m icroscopic understanding of nuclei rests to a large extent upon the well-known shell model with the magic neutron and proton numbers occurring near to stability at N;Z = 2, 8, 20, 28, 50, 82 and 126. The features associated with this model appear most clearly for nuclei in the vicinity of closed shells. Another in portant approach to the nuclear many-body problem is the macroscopic understanding which is based on collective properties of nuclei. These properties are most prominent in the regions around the doubly mid-shell nuclei, with large numbers of both valence protons and neutrons which maxim izes the number of possible neutron and proton interactions. The importance of the number of proton-neutron interactions, which is equal to the product of valence nucleons N_pN_n, for quadrupole collectivity is well known [1]. It has been shown that both the energy, E (2⁺), and the reduced transition probability, B (E2), of the rst 2⁺ state, as well as the energy ratio E (4⁺)=E (2⁺) have a sm ooth dependence on this quantity [2, 3, 4, 5].

Neglecting any potential sub-shell closures, the nucleus with the largest num ber of valence particles with A < 208 is ${}^{170}_{66}$ Dy₁₀₄. Accordingly it should be one of the most collective of all nuclei, in its ground state [6]. However, at present nothing is known experimentally about 170 Dy, which makes $^{168}_{66}$ Dy₁₀₂ the nucleus with the largest N_pN_n value below 208 Pb with excited states reported in the current literature [7]. It is also the most neutron-rich, even-N dysprosium isotope that has been studied to date. The isotope ¹⁶⁹Dy has been idential but no excited states have been observed [8]. Looking how $E(2^+)$ changes in Fig. 1, the dysprosium isotopes appear to become more collective, i.e. have lower $E(2^+)$ values, with increasing neutron numbers from 160 Dy up to 164 Dy [9, 10, 11]. At 166 Dy, however, E (2⁺) increases again [12, 13], suggesting that the maximum collectivity in dysprosium isotopes occurs at N = 98 instead of at N = 104. A maximum in the collectivity at N = 104 might be expected since the neighbouring even-Z elements above dysprosium (i.e. Er, Y b and Hf) have a minimum of their 2^+ state energy at midshell (N = 104) [7]. The only spectroscopic measurement published on ¹⁶⁸Dy to date is from a -decay experiment [7] and prelim inary results of the present experim ent published in [14]. These results show a decrease of E (2^+) and E (4^+) for ¹⁶⁸Dy compared to ¹⁶⁶Dy. The data show an irregular low ering of -ray energies and level energies at both N = 98 $\binom{164}{66} Dy_{98}$ and N = 102 $\binom{168}{66} Dy_{102}$ com pared to their nearest neighbors. Furtherm ore, it has been suggested that ¹⁷⁰Dy could be the single best case in the entire Segre chart for the empirical realization of the SU (3) dynam ical symmetry [15] and therefore spectroscopic information on this nucleus and its near

neighbours is valuable in testing the e ectiveness of the interacting boson approximation for such nuclei.



FIG.1: G round state rotational bands for dysprosium isotopes with N = 94 102 from [7, 9, 10, 11, 13] and the current work for 6⁺ {10⁺ in ¹⁶⁸Dy.

Due to the neutron-rich nature of 168 Dy it is not possible to study this nucleus and its neighbours using traditional methods of high-spin spectroscopy that employ fusionevaporation reactions. To populate states in nuclei with A > 164 in the dysprosium isotopic chain, isotope separation on-line followed by -decay measurements [7], in-beam fragmentation [16] and deep inelastic multi-nucleon transfer reactions together with a binary partner gating technique have been used so far. However, the nucleus 170 Dy is very hard to study even with these techniques. The last technique is the one used in the current work. For a recent review on deep inelastic multi-nucleon transfer reactions, see ref. [17].

The nuclei studied in this article were populated using multi-nucleon transfer reactions of a 82 Se beam and a 500- g/cm² thick self-supporting 170 Er target. The prim ary 82 Se beam was delivered by the Tandem XTU-ALPI accelerator com plex at LNL [18] and had an energy of 460 M eV with a typical intensity of 2 pnA. Beam -like fragm ents were identied event-by-event using the PR ISM A magnetic spectrom eter [19]. PR ISM A was placed at the grazing angle of 52. The energies of rays from both the beam -like and target-like fragm ents were measured using the CLARA -ray detector array [20].

The PR ISM A magnetic spectrom eter consists of a 50 cm length and 30 cm diam eter quadrupole magnet and a dipole magnet with 1.2-m radius of curvature; it covers a solid angle of 80 m sr. The atom ic number (Z) resolution in this experiment was Z = Z 65 and the mass resolution was A = A 200 for elastic scattering of ⁸²Se. At the entrance of PR ISM A, 25 cm from the target, a position-sensitive micro-channel plate (MCP) that

m easures the (x;y) position and the time of the ion entering PR ISM A [21] was placed. After the m agnets, a 1-m wide multiwire parallel-plate avalanche counter (M W PPAC) segmented in ten elements that m easured the (x;y) position and gave a time reference for the ion at the end of the spectrom eter was mounted. This was followed by an ionization chamber segmented into four sections along the optical axis of PR ISM A and ten sections transverse to it which m easure the energy and energy-loss characteristics of the transmitted heavy ion [22]. From the energy measurements in the ionization chambers the atom ic number Z of the ion could be determined using E E techniques. By reconstructing the trajectory in PR ISM A from the position measurements in the M CP and the M W PPAC and the time eofight (TOF), the mass of the ion was determined [23]. In Fig. 2 the atom ic number (Z) and mass (A) distribution of the beam-like fragments is shown for Z = 34 36 (i.e. Se, Br and K r ions). The velocity vector of the beam-like fragments were obtained from the (x;y) position in the M CP and the TOF between the M CP and the M W PPAC. Table I gives the relative experimental yields for Z = 36 ions.



FIG. 2: O ne-dimensional projections of the mass distributions of the beam -like fragments as identied by PRISMA.

In its full com plem ent, CLARA consists of 25 C om pton-suppressed CLOVER detectors (in this experim ent 23 CLOVER detectors were mounted) distributed in a hem isphere opposite to the entrance of PR ISM A, covering the angles 104 {256 with respect to the entrance direction of the ions in the spectrom eter. Each CLOVER detector is in turn com posed of four germ anium crystals surrounded by a BGO C om pton-suppression shield. The triggers

TABLE I:Y ields for the beam -like fragm ents (BLF) relative to 84 Kr and the corresponding targetlike fragm ents (TLF) as obtained from PRISMA in coincidence with CLARA, Y_{rel}. Note that the experim ental yields are without any acceptance or transm ission corrections for the ions through the PRISMA spectrom eter and that the TLF yields is an upper limit since neutron evaporation is not taken into account.

BLF	${\tt T}{\tt LF}_{\tt max}$	T ransfer	Y _{rel}	
⁸¹ Kr	¹⁷¹ D y	+ 2p–3n	0 : 038	0 : 007
⁸² Kr	¹⁷⁰ D y	+ 2p–2n	0:159	0:009
⁸³ Kr	¹⁶⁹ D y	+ 2p–1n	0 : 457	0:016
⁸⁴ Kr	¹⁶⁸ D y	+ 2p	1:000	0 : 029
⁸⁵ Kr	¹⁶⁷ D y	+ 2p+ 1n	1:230	0 : 035
⁸⁶ Kr	¹⁶⁶ D y	+ 2p+ 2n	1:084	0 : 035
⁸⁷ Kr	¹⁶⁵ D y	+ 2p+ 3n	0 : 652	0 : 028
⁸⁸ Kr	¹⁶⁴ D y	+ 2p+ 4n	0:306	0 : 022
⁸⁹ Kr	¹⁶³ D y	+ 2p+ 5n	0 : 154	0:016
⁹⁰ Kr	¹⁶² D y	+ 2p+ 6n	0 : 069	0:010

used in the experiment were coincidences between the MCP and CLARA or the MW PPAC and CLARA. For an event to be considered valid the ion had to be detected in the MCP, the MW PPAC and the ionization chamber, but not in any of the side ionization chambers. There also had to be at least one coincident ray detected in CLARA.

D oppler correction was performed event-by-event using the velocity vectors measured by PR ISM A. This gave an energy resolution of 4.4 keV (0.7 %) at 655 keV for the beam -like fragments and 5.8 keV (1.1 %) at 542 keV for the target-like fragments. The velocity vector of the target-like fragment was obtained using simple two-body kinematics between the beam -like fragment and the unobserved binary reaction partner (the target-like fragment before neutron evaporation). Since the PR ISM A M CP has an angular resolution of < 1 the D oppler broadening of the beam -like fragments is mainly due to the nite angular size of the CLARA crystals.

U sing the measured Z of the beam -like fragments, the atom ic number of the target-like fragments was adopted under the assumption that there was no evaporation of charged par-

ticles. Using the same procedure an upper limit on the mass of the target-like fragment was obtained as the assumption of no evaporated particles is violated, particular by neutron em ission. Since only an upper limit of the mass was obtained from the PR ISM A inform ation, the -ray spectra not only contained lines from the maximum -mass dysprosium binary partner, but also from lighter dysprosium isotopes associated with neutron evaporation channels. The target-like fragments could thus not be uniquely idential ed event-by-event. It was, how ever, possible to suppress the contribution from the lighter dysprosium isotopes by using the TOF information, corresponding to the total kinetic energy loss [24]. Since an energy greater than the separation energy of a neutron needs to be transferred from the beam -like fragm ent in order for the neutron to evaporate, beam -like fragm ents with a partner that evaporates neutrons will, on average, have a lower velocity than beam -like fragm ents originating from the pure binary transfer reaction channels. R equiring a high velocity of the beam -like fragment by setting conditions on the TOF information from PRISMA, the peaks corresponding to fragments that have undergone neutron evaporation could be heavily suppressed, see Fig. 3. The two previously reported -ray transitions in ¹⁶⁸Dy at 75 keV and 173 keV are clearly apparent in this spectrum. Three previously unreported transitions at 268 keV, 357 keV and 442 keV are also identi ed in the spectrum. The efciency and internal-conversion corrected relative intensities of the rays shown in Fig. 3 are 1:7 0:5, 1:00 0:12, 0:62 0:09, 0:30 0:07 and 0:25 0:08, respectively, assuming that the transitions are of E2 character. To verify that these transitions originate from the same decay sequence, the -coincidence method was applied to the data. The results from -coincidence analysis (using an A and Z selection) are shown in Fig.4, which shows the that the 173, 268, 357 and 442 keV transitions form a mutually coincident -ray cascade, assumed to be the ground-state band excitations in 168 Dy. The 2⁺ ! 0⁺ transition is heavily converted and very close in energy to the corresponding transitions in the other even-N dysprosium isotopes and thus not included in the -coincidence analysis. The three new rays are assigned on the basis of system atics to be the transitions associated with the yrast 6^+ ! 4^+ , 8^+ ! 6^+ and 10^+ ! 8^+ decays in 168 Dy. The level scheme deduced for 168 Dy from the current work is shown in Fig. 1.

Since no reported -ray lines exist in 170 Dy which can be used for -coincidences, the -ray energy of 777 keV, associated with the 2⁺ ! 0⁺ transition in 82 K r [25], which is the binary reaction partner of 170 Dy, was used. By using gates on the beam -like fragments,

8



FIG. 3: Spectrum of -ray energies from target-like fragments gated on the beam -like fragments 84 Kr (top) and on beam -like fragments 84 Kr plus a short time-of- ight (bottom). The transitions identied as the rotational band in 168 Dy are marked with solid lines.

rays from neutron evaporation channels from the respective target-like fragments can be TOF suppressed in the -coincident spectrum. In Fig.5, both the singles spectrum and the -coincidence spectrum (using an A, Z and TOF selection) are shown. Due to the nite Z resolution there is a large leakage from ¹⁷⁰Er in the singles spectrum. Both in the singles spectrum and the -coincident spectrum a peak appears at 163 keV. This peak is tentatively identied from the dysprosium energy systematics as a candidate for the transition associated with the yrast 4⁺ ! 2⁺ decay in ¹⁷⁰Dy. The corresponding 2⁺ ! 0⁺ -ray would be too weak to be observed because of internal conversion and detector e ciency.

As reported in Ref. [7] an irregularity in the energy system atics of the yrast 2^+ and 4^+ states exists at N = 98 for Z = 64 (gadolinium) and Z = 66 (dysprosium). Extending the system atics to higher spin shows that this irregularity also appears further up in the yrast band of Z = 66, showing that this is a system atic e ect and not only a sm all uctuation at low energies, see g. 6. This irregularity also appears in elements with larger Z at higher spin. A coording to existing data, the global energy m inimum at N = 104 is clear at low spins and stays quite stable up to I = 12^+ . However, for Z = 68 (erbium) the energy levels of the isotopes with N = 102 and N = 104 increase relative to N = 98, even above the corresponding energy levels in Z = 70 (ytterbium), causing N = 98 to become a new global m inimum. The data on $\frac{168}{66}$ D y₁₀₂ presented in this paper shows no such increase relative to $\frac{164}{66}$ D y₉₈.

The irregularity at N = 98 is not reproduced by the results of the Total Routhian



FIG.4: (Coloronline) Coincidence -ray spectra gated on the beam -like fragments ⁸⁴K r and on the -ray energies 173 keV, 268 keV, 357 keV, 442 keV and the sum med spectrum (un lled histogram) from top to bottom. An estimation of the background using adjacent gates are also shown (lled histogram). The background gates are about 20 times the width of the gates on the -ray peaks and normalized relative to the size of the peak gates. The transitions identied as the rotational band in ¹⁶⁸D y are marked with solid lines.

Surface calculations [26, 27, 28] shown in Fig. 7. The irregularity could be caused by a strong interaction between the ground-state band and the two quasi-neutron band in ${}^{164}_{66}$ D y₉₈ [29]. The interpretation that the irregularity is an e ect in ${}^{164}_{66}$ D y₉₈ and not in neighbouring isotopes is strengthened by the tentative identi cation of the 4⁺ ! 2⁺ transition at 163 keV in 170 D y as well as higher spin system atics in neighbouring elements. The energy system atic of the yrast band of ${}^{168}_{66}$ D y₁₀₂ as well as the tentative identi cation of the 4⁺ ! 2⁺ transition at 163 keV in 170 D y further suggests that m axim um collectivity in dysprosium isotopes does not occur at N = 98.

The current work demonstrates the possibility of identifying heavy, high-Z, target-like fragments and their velocity vectors from kinematic reconstruction using information about the lighter beam -like fragments. We have also shown that it is possible to suppress heavily



FIG. 5: (Cobronline) Spectrum of -ray energies from target-like fragments gated on the beam – like fragments 82 Kr plus time-of- ight (top). Coincidence -ray spectra gated on the beam –like fragments 82 Kr, time-of- ight plus the -ray energy 777 keV in the beam –like fragments (bottom). A nestimation of the background using adjacent gates is also shown (led histogram). The background gates are about 20 times the width of the gates on the -ray peaks and normalized relative to the size of the peak gates. The tentative ray associated with the yrast 4⁺ ! 2⁺ transition in 170 Dy is marked with a solid line.

the e ects of neutron evaporation in the analysis of this kind of experiment. This allows the use of binary partner distributions to get information on high-spin states in neutron-rich, deformed rare-earth nuclei inaccessible in traditional fusion-evaporation reactions. We have extended the level scheme of 168 D y to 10h and proposed a tentative energy for the 4⁺ ! 2⁺ transition in 170 D y.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was partially supported by the European Commission within the Sixth Framework Programme through I3-EURONS contract RII3-CT-2004-506065, the Swedish Research Council, EPSRC/STFC (UK) and U.S.DOE grant No.DE-FG 02-91ER 40609.



FIG. 6: (Color online) Experimental energy levels for Z = 64 (gadolinium), Z = 66 (dysprosium), Z = 68 (erbium), Z = 70 (ytterbium) and Z = 72 (hafnium) isotopes with N = 94 108. The experimental values are obtained from [7,9,10,11,13,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39] and the current work (red triangles online).



FIG. 7: Experimental (circles) and calculated (solid line) moments of inertia at a rotational frequency of h! = 0.05, 0.10, 0.15 and 0.20 MeV for dysprosium isotopes with N = 94 104. The experimental values are obtained using linear interpolation between measured rotational frequencies from [7, 9, 10, 11, 13] and the current work.

- [1] R.F.Casten, Nucl. Phys. A 443, 1 (1985).
- [2] R.F.Casten, D.D.Warner, D.S.Brenner, and R.L.Gill, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 1433 (1981).
- [3] R.F.Casten, Phys. Lett. B 152, 145 (1985).
- [4] H.Mach, Phys. Lett. B 185, 20 (1987).
- [5] Y.M. Zhao, A. Arima, and R.F. Casten, Phys. Rev. C 63, 067302 (2001).
- [6] P.H.Regan, F.R.Xu, P.M. Walker, M.Oi, A.K. Rath, and P.D. Stevenson, Phys. Rev. C 65, 037302 (2002).
- [7] M.Asai, S. Ichikawa, K.Tsukada, M.Sakama, M.Shibata, Y.Kojima, A.Osa, I.Nishinaka,
 Y.Nagame, K.Kawade, et al., Phys. Rev. C 59, 3060 (1999).
- [8] R.M. Chasteler, J.M. Nitschke, R.B. Firestone, K.S. Vierinen, and P.A.W ilm arth, Phys. Rev.C 42, R1171 (1990).
- [9] C.W. Reich, Nucl. Data Sheets 105, 557 (2005).
- [10] C.W. Reich, Nucl. Data Sheets 108, 1807 (2007).
- [11] B. Singh, Nucl. Data Sheets 93, 243 (2001).
- [12] S.A.Kerr, F.Hoyler, K.Schreckenbach, H.G.Borner, G.Colvin, P.H.M.V.Assche, and E.Kaerts, AIP Conf. Proc. 125, 416 (1985).
- [13] C.M. Baglin, Nucl. Data Sheets 109, 1103 (2008).
- [14] P.A. Soderstrom, J. Nyberg, P.H. Regan, A. Algora, G. de Angelis, S.F. Ashley, S. Aydin,
 D. Bazzacco, R. Casperson, W. N. Catford, et al., in LNL Annual Report 2008, edited by
 P. Spolaore, A. D'Este, J. Esposito, G. Maggioni, D. Moro, and R. Silvestri (Legnaro, Italy, 2009), p. 19.
- [15] R.F.Casten, P.von Brentano, and A.M.I.Haque, Phys. Rev. C 31, 1991 (1985).
- [16] Zs. Podolyak, P. H. Regan, M. Pfutzner, J. Gerl, M. Hellstrom, M. Caamano, P. Mayet, M. Mineva, M. Sawicka, and Ch. Schlegel, in Proc. of the Second Int. Conf. on Fission and Properties of Neutron-Rich Nuclei, St. Andrews, Scotland, 1999, edited by J. H. Hamilton, W. R. Phillips, and H. K. Carter (W orld Scientic, 2000), p. 156.
- [17] L.Corradi, G.Pollarolo, and S.Szilner, J.Phys.G 36, 113101 (2009).
- [18] A. Lom bardi, G. Bassato, A. Battistella, M. Bellato, G. Bezzon, L. Bertazzo, C. Biso,
 E. Bissiato, S. Canella, M. Cavenago, et al., in Proceedings of the 1997 Particle Accelerator

Conference, edited by M. Comyn, M. Craddock, M. Reiser, and J. Thomson (IEEE, 1997), vol. 1, p. 1129.

- [19] A.M. Stefanini, L.Corradi, G.Maron, A.Pisent, M.Trotta, A.M. Vinodkum ar, S.Beghini, G.Montagnoli, F. Scarlassara, G.F. Segato, et al., Nucl. Phys. A 701, 217c (2002).
- [20] A. Gadea, D. Napoli, G. de Angelis, R. Menegazzo, A. Stefanini, L. Corradi, M. Axiotis, L. Berti, E. Fioretto, T. Kroell, et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 20, 193 (2003).
- [21] G.Montagnoli, A.M. Stefanini, M. Trotta, S.Beghini, M. Bettini, F. Scarlassara, V. Schiavon,
 L.Corradi, B.R. Behera, E. Fioretto, et al., Nucl. Instr. M eth A 547, 455 (2005).
- [22] S.Beghini, L.Corradi, E.Fioretto, A.Gadea, A.Latina, G.Montagnoli, F.Scarlassara, A.M. Stefanini, S.Szilner, M. Trotta, et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 551, 364 (2005).
- [23] S.Szilner, C.A.Ur, L.Corradi, N.Marginean, G.Pollarolo, A.M. Stefanini, S.Beghini, B.R. Behera, E.Fioretto, A.Gadea, et al., Phys. Rev. C 76, 024604 (2007).
- [24] D. Mengoni, J. J. Valiente-Dobon, E. Famea, A. Gadea, A. Dewald, A. Latina, and the CLARA-PR ISM A Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J., in press.
- [25] J.K. Tuli, Nucl. Data Sheets 98, 209 (2003).
- [26] F.R.Xu, P.M.Walker, J.A.Sheikh, and R.Wyss, Phys. Lett. B 435, 257 (1999).
- [27] F.R.Xu, P.M.Walker, and R.W yss, Phys. Rev. C 59, 731 (1999).
- [28] F.R.Xu, P.M. Walker, and R.W yss, Phys. Rev. C 62, 014301 (2000).
- [29] N.Row ley, J.O llier, and J.Sim pson, Phys. Rev. C 80, 024323 (2009).
- [30] V.S.Shirley, Nucl. Data Sheets 71, 261 (1994).
- [31] C.M. Baglin, Nucl. Data Sheets 96, 611 (2002).
- [32] B. Singh, Nucl. Data Sheets 75, 199 (1995).
- [33] E.Browne and H.Junde, Nucl. Data Sheets 87, 15 (1999).
- [34] M. Basunia, Nucl. Data Sheets 107, 791 (2006).
- [35] E.Achterberg, O.A.Capurroa, and G.V.Marti, Nucl. Data Sheets 110, 1473 (2009).
- [36] S.-C.Wu and H.Niu, Nucl. Data Sheets 100, 483 (2003).
- [37] C.Y.Wu, D.Cline, M.W.Simon, R.Teng, K.Vetter, M.P.Carpenter, R.V.F.Janseens, and I.Wiedenhover, Phys. Rev. C 70, 014313 (2004).
- [38] G.D.Dracoulis, G.J.Lane, F.G.Kondev, A.P.Byrne, R.O.Hughes, P.Nieminen, H.Watanabe, M.P.Carpenter, R.V.F.Janssens, T.Lauritsen, et al., Phys.Lett. B 635, 200 (2006).
- [39] R.G.Helmer, Nucl. Data Sheets 101, 325 (2004).