# 0 ne-bop H iggs plus four gluon am plitudes: Full analytic results

Sim on Badger<sup>y</sup>, E.W. NigelG bver, Pierpaolo Mastrolia<sup>z</sup>, Ciaran William s

<sup>y</sup>D eutches E lektronen-Synchrotron DESY, P latanenallee, 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, G erm any D epartm ent of Physics, U niversity of D urham, D urham, DH1 3LE, UK <sup>z</sup>T heory D ivision, CERN CH-1211 G eneva 23, Switzerland E-m ails: simon.badger@desy.de, e.w.n.glover@durham.ac.uk, pierpaolo.mastrolia@cern.ch, ciaran.williams@durham.ac.uk.

A bstract: W e consider one-bop am plitudes of a Higgs boson coupled to gluons in the lim it of a large top quark mass. W e treat the Higgs as the real part of a complex eld that couples to the self-dual eld strengths and compute the one-bop corrections to the - NM HV am plitude, which contains one gluon of positive helicity whilst the remaining three have negative helicity. W e use four-dimensional unitarity to construct the cut-containing contributions and a hybrid of Feynm an diagram and recursive based techniques to determine the rational piece. K now ledge of the -NM HV contribution completes the analytic calculation of the Higgs plus four gluon am plitude. For completeness we also include expressions for the remaining helicity con gurations which have been calculated elsewhere. These am plitudes are relevant for Higgs plus jet production via gluon fusion in the lim it where the top quark is large compared to all other scales in the problem. A ugust 9, 2013

Keywords: QCD, Higgs boson, Hadron Colliders.

#### 1. Introduction

The search for the Higgs boson is one of the prim ary objectives of the Large Hadron C ollider (LHC). If discovered, attention will swiftly turn to the exploration of the Higgs sector and the m easurem ent of the Higgs couplings to the weak gauge bosons and to ferm ions. The main Higgs production processes at the LHC are gluon fusion, which proceeds via a top quark loop, and vector boson fusion (VBF), which is dom inated by the t-channel exchange of weak bosons. The Higgs production rate via vector boson fusion is typically about an order of m agnitude sm aller than the gluon fusion rate, but has a characteristic signature with two forward quark jets. The next-to-leading order (NLO) QCD corrections to the VBF process are sm all (5-10%) [1{4} which m akes vector boson fusion very attractive for the m easurem ent of the Higgs coupling to weak bosons. Recently, the full EW + QCD corrections to this process have been com puted [5, 6].

The dom inant background to VBF comes from Higgs plus two jet production via gluon fusion. Leading order calculations have been performed both with the exact  $m_t$  dependence [7] and in the large  $m_t$  lim it [8,9] where the top quark loop is replaced by an elective local interaction C ( $m_t$ )HG G [10{12}]. For inclusive Higgs production it has been shown that this approximation is valid over a large range of Higgs masses [13]. The approximation remains valid for processes with increased numbers of jets provided that the transverse momenta of the jets is smaller than  $m_t$  [14].

The next-to-leading order QCD corrections to the gluon fusion H iggs plus two jet rate have been calculated num erically in the large  $m_t$  lim it [15] using the real radiative corrections of R ef. [16{18} and the partly analytic, partly num erical virtual one-loop corrections of R ef. [19]. There are four distinct processes that contribute to the virtual NLO corrections, gg ! ggH ,gg ! qqH ,qq ! qqH ,qq ! qqQH and the associated crossings. R ef. [19] provided analytic expressions for the spin- and colour-averaged one-loop \squared" m atrix elem ents for qq ! qqH and qq ! qqQH and evaluated the other two processes num erically. Because of the size of the NLO QCD corrections, for studies of H iggs phenom enology it is in portant to incorporate the NLO QCD corrections to H iggs plus two jets in an e cient and exible way. This has led to a collective e ort to derive com pact analytic expressions for the one-loop corrections for the gg ! ggH and gg ! qqH processes.

An elective way of deriving compact analytic expressions for the Higgs plus four parton amplitudes is to employ on-shell unitarity methods. The original unitarity method involved using four-dimensional double cuts of amplitudes to classify the coel cients of discontinuities associated with physical invariants [20, 21]. Unitarity cuts found many applications in the calculations of amplitudes in N = 4 and N = 1 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories, since these amplitudes can be fully constructed from their unitarity cuts. Amplitudes in non-supersymmetric theories contain rational terms which cannot be determined from four dimensional cuts but can be computed using a number of complementary methods [22{27].

In recent years the unitarity m ethod has been generalised to include multiple cuts [28{ 35], this has lead to an explosion in the num ber of unitarity based techniques in calculations

of NLO processes. In particular by working in D -dim ensions [22, 36{39}, one can com pletely determ ine the am plitude from its unitarity cuts. This is because the rational parts of one-loop am plitudes arise from higher-dim ensional basis integrals, which are sensitive to D -dim ensional cuts. At tree-level the discovery of another on-shell m ethod, the BCFW recursion relations [40, 41], also sparked developm ents at one-loop. R ecognising that the rational part of one-loop am plitudes also satis ed recursion relations allowed a fully four dim ensional on-shell m ethod to be introduced (the unitarity bootstrap) [23, 42]. Several groups have produced sophisticated num erical program s based on on-shell m ethods which aim to e ciently calculate NLO one-loop am plitudes of relevance to the LHC [43{45].

In order to e ciently compute one-loop am plitudes using on-shell unitarity based techniques, it is desirable to have compact tree am plitudes. For am plitudes involving a Higgs boson coupling to partons, it is convenient to split the real Higgs scalar into two com – plex scalars ( and <sup>Y</sup>) such that  $H = + ^{Y}$  [18]. In this case, the elective Higgsgluon interaction also separates into two parts – the couples directly to the self-dual gluon eld strengths, whilst <sup>Y</sup> couples to the anti-self-dual gluon eld strengths. The tree -am plitudes are M HV –like and have a very sim ple structure. <sup>Y</sup> am plitudes are obtained from am plitudes by com plex conjugation. W ith this breakdown, am plitudes for a light pseudo-scalar Higgs boson A can be constructed from the dilerence of – and <sup>Y</sup>– am plitudes, A <sup>Y</sup>. The A-am plitudes may be relevant am plitudes for SU SY theories, provided that m<sub>A</sub> < 2m<sub>t</sub>.

A nalytic calculations of one-loop -am plitudes to date have not included NM HV helicity con gurations. Previous one-loop calculations for am plitudes with coupling to any number of gluons have restricted the number of negative helicity gluons to: none or one (which are rational am plitudes) [46], two (the -M HV con gurations) [47, 48]. An expression for the am plitude containing only negative helicity gluons has also been obtained [49]. Recently new analytic results for the helicity am plitudes of the  $q\bar{q}g$  g and  $q\bar{q}Q\bar{Q}$  processes have appeared [50].

In this paper, we calculate the one-loop NM HV am plitude  $A_4^{(1)}$  (;1<sup>+</sup>;2;3;4) and combine it with the already known  $A_4^{(1)}$  ( ${}^y$ ;1<sup>+</sup>;2;3;4) am plitude [46] to complete the set of analytic helicity am plitudes for the process 0! H gggg. The only remaining m issing analytic piece for the process pp! H + 2j is the  $q\overline{q}gg$ -NM HV am plitude.<sup>1</sup>

By employing a four-dimensional unitarity-based strategy, we reconstruct the cutconstructible term as a combination of n-point scalar integrals (with n = 2;3;4) which are referred to as bubble-, triangle- and box-functions. By applying a speci c set of cuts both to the amplitude and to the basis integrals, one can isolate speci c coe cients appearing in the basis expansion. Cutting a propagator means restricting the momenta so that the propagating particle is on-shell, and for four dimensional bop momenta, setting n propagators on-shell leaves 4 n of the bop momentum free. Hence, in the case of the quadruple-cuts [28], the bop momentum is completely xed, as result, the determination of the box-coe cients is reduced to an algebraic operation. To compute triangle- and

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ W e note that the qqgg-NM HV am plitude has recently been com puted [51].

bubble-coe cients, fewer cuts must be applied. In these cases the free components of the loop momentum become integration variables of phase-space integrals which can be carried out with the mathematical methods of complex analysis. In our calculation we determ ine the coe cients of the triangle- and bubble-functions using two variants of the triple- and double-cut integration techniques [29, 30, 52].

The rational part of the am plitude however, cannot be detected by four-dimensional cuts and as a result some other method must be used. We nd it convenient to separate the rational part into two terms, one being sensitive to the number of active light avours and one which is not. The form er piece is e ciently computed using Feynm an diagram s, whilst the latter can be derived using on-shell recurrence relations [40, 41].

This paper is organised as follows, in section 2 we describe the model for Higgs interactions in the large m<sub>t</sub> limit. In particular we describe the breakdown of Higgs am plitudes into and <sup>y</sup> contributions and discuss the colour decom position of one-loop Higgs plus multi-gluon am plitudes. We separate the one-loop primitive am plitude into two parts: C<sub>4</sub> which contains the cut-constructible parts of the am plitude, and R<sub>4</sub> which contains the remaining rational pieces. In section 3 we use various unitarity methods to determ ine the coe cients of the various one-loop basis integrals which appear in the cut-constructible C<sub>4</sub> and we derive the rational term R<sub>4</sub> in section 4. In section 5 we present all the prim – itive helicity am plitudes for the 0! Higgg process. Section 6 contains num erical values for the Higgs helicity am plitudes at a particular phase space point. We draw our conclusions in section 7. We also enclose two appendices containing the tree expressions used as inputs into the unitarity based calculations and the de nitions of the nite parts of the one-loop basis integrals. Throughout our calculations we have made extensive use of the S@M package [53].

## 2. The M odel For H iggs Interactions In The Large $m_t$ L in it

W e work in an e ective theory where the H iggs couples to gluons through a top quark loop, but the top quark degrees of freedom have been integrated out,

$$L_{H}^{int} = \frac{C}{2}H \ trG \quad G \quad : \tag{2.1}$$

The e ective coupling C has been calculated up to order O ( $\binom{4}{s}$ ) in [54]. However, for our purposes we need it only up to order O ( $\binom{2}{s}$ ) [55],

$$C = \frac{s}{6 v} + \frac{11}{4} + 0 \left(\frac{3}{s}\right)$$
(2.2)

where v= 246 GeV and the strong coupling constant is  $\ _{s}$  =  $g^{2}\text{=}(4)$  .

Following Ref. [18] we introduce the complex eld (and its conjugate Y

$$= \frac{(H + iA)}{2};$$
  $y = \frac{(H - iA)}{2};$  (2.3)

The elective interaction linking gluons and scalar elds also splits into a piece containing and the self-dual gluon eld strengths and another part linking <sup>y</sup> to the anti-self-dual gluon eld strengths. The last step conveniently embeds the Higgs interaction within the MHV structure of the QCD amplitudes. The full Higgs amplitudes are then written as a sum of the (self-dual) and <sup>y</sup> (anti-self-dual) components,

$$A_{n}^{(1)}(H ; fp_{k}g) = A_{n}^{(1)}( ; fp_{k}g) + A_{n}^{(1)}( ''; fp_{k}g):$$
(2.4)

W e can also generate pseudo-scalar am plitudes from the di erence of and Y components,

$$A_{n}^{(1)}(A;fp_{k}g) = \frac{1}{i} A_{n}^{(1)}(;fp_{k}g) A_{n}^{(1)}('';fp_{k}g) : \qquad (2.5)$$

Furtherm ore parity relates and <sup>y</sup> am plitudes,

$$A_{n}^{(m)}({}^{y};g_{1}^{1};\ldots;g_{n}^{n}) = A_{n}^{(m)}({}^{s};g_{1}^{1};\ldots;g_{n}^{n}) :$$
(2.6)

From now on, we will only consider -am plitudes, knowing that all others can be obtained using eqs. (2.4) { (2.6).

The tree level amplitudes linking a with n gluons can be decomposed into colour ordered amplitudes as [8, 16],

$$A_{n}^{(0)}(;fk_{i};_{i};a_{i}g) = iCg^{n-2} x tr(T^{a_{(1)}} a_{\mathbb{T}^{1}})A_{n}^{(0)}(;(1^{1};::;n^{n})): (2.7)$$

Here  $S_n = Z_n$  is the group of non-cyclic permutations on n symbols, and j<sup>j</sup> labels the momentum  $p_j$  and helicity <sub>j</sub> of the j<sup>th</sup> gluon, which carries the adjoint representation index  $a_i$ . The T<sup> $a_i$ </sup> are fundam ental representation SU (N<sub>c</sub>) colour matrices, normalised so that Tr(T<sup>a</sup>T<sup>b</sup>) = <sup>ab</sup>. Tree-level amplitudes with a single quark-antiquark pair can be decomposed into colour-ordered amplitudes as follows,

$$A_{n}^{(0)}(;fp_{i};_{i};a_{i}g;fp_{j};_{j};i_{j}g)$$

$$= iC g^{n-2} (T^{a}(2) a \mathbb{T}^{-1})_{i_{1}i_{n}} A_{n}(;1;(2^{2};\ldots;(n-1)^{n-1});n);$$

$$2S_{n-2}$$

$$(2.8)$$

where  $S_{n-2}$  is the set of permutations of (n-2) gluons. Quarks are characterised with fundamental colour label  $i_j$  and helicity j for j = 1; n. By current conservation, the quark and antiquark helicities are related such that 1 = n where  $= \frac{1}{2}$ .

The one-bop amplitudes which are the main subject of this paper follow the same colour ordering as the pure QCD amplitudes [20] and can be decomposed as [46{49],

$$A_{n}^{(1)}(;fk_{i}; _{i};a_{i}g) = iC g^{n} \qquad G_{n,c}()A_{n}^{(1)}(; (1^{1};...;n^{n})) \qquad (2.9)$$

where

$$G_{n,1}(1) = N_c tr(T^{a_1}, a_n)$$
 (2.10)

$$G_{nc}(1) = tr(T^{a_1} \quad a_c T^i) tr(T^{a_c} \quad a_n T; c > 2;$$
 (2.11)

The sub-leading terms can be computed by summing over various permutations of the leading colour amplitudes [20].

In this paper we de ne kinem atic invariants associated with sum s of gluon m om enta as follows,

$$s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2;$$
  $s_{ijk} = (p_i + p_j + p_k)^2$  etc: (2.12)

W e will express helicity am plitudes using the notation of the spinor-helicity form alism ,

$$hiji = \overline{u} (k_i)u_+ (k_j);$$
 (2.13)

$$[ij] = \overline{u}_{+} (k_{i})u (k_{j});$$
 (2.14)

where u  $(k_i)$  represents a massless D irac spinor associated with either positive or negative helicity (and a momentum  $k_i$ ). Spinor products are related to kinematic invariants through the following relation,

$$s_{ij} = hiji[ji]$$
: (2.15)

Chains of spinor products are written as

$$hijjk] = hiji[jk]$$
  $hijjkjli = hiji[jk]kli;$  etc: (2.16)

For example, using momentum conservation we have,

hip 
$$j_k ] = \begin{cases} X^4 \\ hiji[j_k] \\ j=1 \end{cases}$$
 (2.17)

Throughout this paper we use the following expression for the -NMHV tree amplitude

$$A_{n}^{(0)}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = \frac{m^{4}h24i^{4}}{s_{124}h12ih14ih2jp\beta h4jp\beta} + \frac{h4jp jl^{3}}{s_{123}h4jp\beta [12][23]} \frac{h2jp jl^{3}}{s_{134}h2jp\beta [14][34]}; \quad (2.18)$$

This compact form can be derived using the BCFW recursion relations [40, 41] and agrees num erically with the previously known expression derived from MHV rules [18]. It clearly possess the correct symmetry properties under the exchange f2 \$ 4g, and factors onto the correct gluon tree amplitude (which is zero) in the limit of vanishing p. The other tree amplitudes we require for this work are listed in Appendix A.

## 3. Cut-Constructible Contributions

(1)

W e choose to expand the one-loop prim itive am plitude in the following form ,

$$\mathbb{A}_{4}^{(1)}(\ ;1^{+};2\ ;3\ ;4\ )=c\ (\mathbb{C}_{4}(\ ;1^{+};2\ ;3\ ;4\ )+\mathbb{R}_{4}(\ ;1^{+};2\ ;3\ ;4\ ));\qquad (3.1)$$

where

$$c = \frac{{}^{2}(1 ) (1 + )}{(4 )^{2} (1 2 )};$$
(3.2)

In (3.1),  $C_4(;1^+;2;3;4)$  denotes the cut-constructible parts of the amplitude, whilst  $R_4(;1^+;2;3;4)$  contains the remaining rational pieces. In this section, we focus our attention on  $C_4(;1^+;2;3;4)$ , while an analytic expression for  $R_4(;1^+;2;3;4)$  is derived in section 4.

W e em ploy the generalised unitarity m ethod  $[28{32,52}]$  to calculate the cut-constructible parts of the one-loop am plitude. W e can further decompose C<sub>4</sub> in (3.1) into a sum over constituent basis integrals,

$$C_{4}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ C_{4,i}I_{4,i} + \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ C_{3,i}I_{3,i} + \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ C_{2,i}I_{2,i}: \\ C_{2,i}I_{2,i}: \end{array}$$
(3.3)

Here  $I_{j;i}$  represents a j-point scalar basis integral, with a coe cient C  $_{j;i}$ . The sum over i represents the sum over the partitions of the external momenta over the j legs of the basis integral.

Multiple cuts isolate di erent integral functions and allow the construction of a linear system of equations from which the coe cients can be extracted. When considering quadruple cuts of one-loop am plitudes, one is forced to consider com plex m om enta in order to ful 11 the on-shell constraints [28]. The four on-shell constraints are su cient to isolate each four-point (box) con guration by freezing the loop m om entum, thereby allowing the determ ination of the corresponding coe cient by a purely algebraic operation. To isolate the coe cients of low er-point integrals, one needs to cut few er than four lines. In this case the loop m om enta is no longer com pletely determ ined, but, according to the num ber of cuts, some of its components are free variables. In this case the computation of the three-(triangle) and two-point (bubble) coe cients can also be reduced to algebraic procedures by exploiting the singularity structure of am plitudes in the com plex-plane, either by explicit subtraction [45, 56] or by Laurent expansion [29]. A Iternatively one can extract the coe cients of bubble-and triangle-functions by employing the spinor-integration technique, which can be applied to both double-[31, 32] and triple-cuts [30]. This method has recently inspired a novel technique for evaluating the double-cut phase-space integrals via Stokes' Theorem applied to functions of two com plex-conjugated variables [52].

## 3.1 Box IntegralCoe cients

We begin our calculation of the -NMHV amplitude by computing the coe cients of the scalar boxes using generalised unitarity with complex momenta [28]. In general there are sixteen box topologies, which can be obtained from cyclic permutations of those shown in Fig. 1. When a box graph contains a sequence of three point vertices, a non-vanishing solution is only found when the vertices alternate between MHV and  $\overline{MHV}$ -types [28]. For the speci chelicity con guration we consider this is not possible for the graphs of Fig 1(b); the three-point MHV vertex involving and two loop-gluons cannot have both adjacent



Figure 1: The various box integral topologies that appear for  $A_4^{(1)}$  (;1;2;3;4). From the four topologies we must also include cyclic permutations of the four gluons. Here (a) has one o -shell leg (one-mass) whilst (b)-(d) have two o -shell legs. In (b) the two o -shell legs are not adjacent and we refer to this con guration to as the two-mass easy box, while in (c) and (d) the two o -shell legs are adjacent and we label them as two-mass hard boxes.

three-point gluon vertices to be of  $\overline{M}$  HV -type. Therefore, the coe cients of the two-m ass easy boxes (F ig 1(b)) are all zero.

Of the remaining 12 coe cients, a further 5 are related to each other by the f2  $\$  4g sym m etry,

$$C_{4; 4jl j2jb}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = C_{4; 2jb j4jl}(;1^{+};4;3;2); \qquad (3.4)$$

$$C_{4; 23\dot{4}\dot{1}}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = C_{4; 12\dot{2}4}(;1^{+};4;3;2); \qquad (3.5)$$

$$C_{4: :3411 + 2}(; 1^{+}; 2; 3; 4) = C_{4: :411 + 23}(; 1^{+}; 4; 3; 2);$$
(3.6)

$$C_{4; \ jl2Bj4}(; 1^{+}; 2; 3; 4) = C_{4; \ j2Bj4l}(; 1^{+}; 4; 3; 2); \qquad (3.7)$$

$$C_{4; \ \beta \not= 12}(; 1^{+}; 2; 3; 4) = C_{4; \ \not= 122}(; 1^{+}; 4; 3; 2): \qquad (3.8)$$

We nd that two of the one-m ass box coe cients (Fig 1(a)) are given by,

$$C_{4; 1;2;3;4}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = \frac{s_{23}s_{34}s_{234}^{3}}{2h1 p 2;h1 p 4;[23][34]};$$
(3.9)

$$C_{4; 2\beta\dot{\beta}\dot{\beta}}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = \frac{s_{34}s_{41}h2\dot{p}\,j^{3}}{2s_{134}h2\dot{p}\,\beta][34][41]} + \frac{s_{34}s_{41}h34\dot{i}^{3}m^{4}}{2s_{134}h1\dot{p}\,\beta]h3\dot{p}\,j^{2}h41\dot{i}}:(3.10)$$

W e also nd that three of the two-m ass hard boxes (Fig. 1(d)) have coe cients related to the coe cients of eqs. (3.4), (3.9) and (3.10),

$$C_{4; \ \exists 2\beta \not\exists}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = \frac{s_{123}s_{34}}{s_{23}s_{12}}C_{4; \ 4\beta \not\exists 2\beta}(;1^{+};2;3;4); \quad (3.11)$$

$$C_{4; j23j4j1}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = \frac{s_{234}s_{41}}{s_{23}s_{34}}C_{4; 1j2j3j4}(;1^{+};2;3;4); \quad (3.12)$$

$$C_{4; \beta 4 j 1 j 2}(; 1^{+}; 2; 3; 4) = \frac{s_{134}s_{12}}{s_{41}s_{34}}C_{4; 2\beta j 4 j 1}(; 1^{+}; 2; 3; 4):$$
(3.13)

The naltwo-mass hard box coe cient is,

$$C_{4; \mathcal{B}^{\mu}}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = \frac{s_{34}}{2} \frac{h_{3\dot{p}} j_{1}^{4}}{h_{3\dot{p}} j_{2}^{4}h_{3\dot{p}} j_{4}^{4}[21][41]} + \frac{h_{24\dot{i}^{4}m}^{4}}{h_{12\dot{i}h_{14\dot{i}h_{2\dot{p}}}} j_{3}h_{4\dot{p}} j_{3}]}$$
(3.14)

The remaining one-mass box con guration C  $_4$ ;  $_{3\,j4\,j1\,j2}$  is the only one which receives contributions from N  $_{\rm f}$  ferm ionic and N  $_{\rm s}$  scalar bops,

$$C_{4; 3j4j12}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = s_{41}s_{12} \frac{1}{s_{124}s_{34}}C_{4; j3j4j12}(;1^{+};2;3;4)$$

$$1 \frac{N_{f}}{4N_{c}} \frac{2h3\dot{p}j1\dot{f}}{s_{124}[24\dot{f}^{2}]} \frac{1}{N_{c}} + \frac{N_{s}}{N_{c}} \frac{[12][41h3\dot{p}j2h3\dot{p}j\dot{f}]}{s_{124}[24\dot{f}^{4}]} : (3.15)$$

Each of the coe cients (3.10), (3.9), (3.14) and (3.15) correctly tends to zero in the soft Higgs limit (p ! 0).

#### 3.2 Triangle Integral Coe cients



Figure 2: The various triangle integral topologies that appear for  $A_4^{(1)}$  (;1;2;3;4). From the six topologies we must also include cyclic permutations of the four gluons. (a) has one o -shell leg, (b)-(e) have two o -shell legs while in (f) all legs are o -shell.

A ltogether, there are twenty-four triangle topologies, which can be obtained from cyclic permutations of those shown in Fig. 2. The di erent topologies can be characterised by the number of o -shell legs. Fig. 2(a) has one o -shell leg. Figs. 2(b)-(e) have two o -shell legs while for Fig. 2(f) all legs are o -shell. We refer to the triangle integrals with one- and two-o -shell legs as one-m ass and two-m ass respectively. They have the following form,

$$I_{3}^{1m}(s) / \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{s} \frac{2}{-s} ; I_{3}^{2m}(s;t) / \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(s-t)} \frac{2}{-s} \frac{2}{-t}$$
(3.16)

and therefore only contribute pole pieces in to the overall am plitude. In fact, the sole role of these functions is to ensure the correct infrared behaviour by combining with the box pieces to generate the following pole structure,

$$A^{(1)}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = A^{(0)}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = \frac{C}{2} \frac{X^{4}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{2}{S_{ii+1}}} + O(^{0}): \quad (3.17)$$

This relation holds for arbitrary external helicities  $[47\{49\}]$ . We computed the coecients of all one- and two-mass triangles and explicitly veried eq. (3.17). The non-trivial relationship between the triangle and box coecients provides a strong check of our calculation. However, we not it more compact to present the nalanswer in a basis free of one- and two-mass triangles. That is, we choose to expand the box integral functions into divergent and nite pieces, combining the divergent pieces with the one- and two-mass triangles to form (3.17) and giving explicit results for the nite pieces of the box functions.

A new feature in the -NM HV amplitudes is the presence of three-m ass triangles, shown in Fig. 2(f). In previous calculations [46{50] the external gluon helicities prevented these contributions from occurring.

There are four three m ass triangles, which satisfy,

$$C_{3; \ \beta 4 \beta 2}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = C_{3; \ \beta 2 \beta 4}(;1^{+};2;3;4)$$
(3.18)

$$C_{3; j^{\pm}1; j^{2}3}(; 1^{+}; 2; 3; 4) = C_{3; j^{2}3; j^{\pm}1}(; 1^{+}; 2; 3; 4):$$
(3.19)

The symmetry under the exchange of gluons with momenta  $p_2$  and  $p_4$  relates the remaining two coecients,

$$C_{3; \frac{1}{2}3\frac{1}{4}1}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = C_{3; \frac{1}{1}2\frac{1}{4}4}(;1^{+};4;3;2):$$
(3.20)

To compute C<sub>3; 23  $\sharp$ 1</sub> we use both Forde's method [29] and the spinor integration technique [30]. For a given triangle coe cient C<sub>3K1K2K3</sub> ( $;1^+;2;3;4$ ) with o -shell momenta K<sub>1</sub>, K<sub>2</sub> and K<sub>3</sub>, we introduce the following massless projection vectors

$$K_{1}^{[} = \frac{K_{1} \quad K_{1}^{2}K_{2}}{K_{1}^{2}K_{2}^{2}};$$

$$K_{2}^{[} = \frac{K_{2} \quad K_{2}^{2}K_{1}}{R_{1}^{2}K_{2}^{2}};$$

$$(K_{1};K_{2}) = K_{1} \quad K_{2} \quad K_{1} \quad K_{2}^{2} \quad K_{1}^{2}K_{2}^{2}:$$
(3.21)

In terms of these quantities we nd,

$$C_{3; j l 2 \beta 4}(; l^{+}; 2; 3; 4) = X \qquad \frac{m^{4} h K_{1}^{[2i^{3}h34i^{3}]}}{2 (+m^{2})h K_{1}^{[1ih K_{1}^{[3ih K_{1}^{[4ih 12i]}]}; (3.22)}$$

which, as expected, correctly vanishes in the soft H iggs lim it (p ! 0).



Figure 3: The three bubble integral topologies that appear for  $A_4^{(1)}$  (;1;2;3;4). W e m ust also include cyclic permutations of the four gluons.

#### 3.3 Bubble Integral Coe cients

The non-vanishing bubble topologies for the -NM HV amplitude are shown in Fig. 3. We nd that the double-cuts associated with Fig. 3(a) contain only contributions from boxes and triangles, and therefore the coe cient of log(s  $_{1234}$ ) is zero. In a similar fashion, the double cuts associated with diagram Fig. 3(c) with two external gluons with negative helicity emitted from the right hand vertex have only box and triangle contributions, so that the coe cients of log(s  $_{23}$ ) and log(s $_{34}$ ) are also zero.

The leading singularity of the bubble integral is 0 (1= ),

$$I_2(s) / \frac{1}{(1-2)} - \frac{2}{s}$$
 : (3.23)

However for the total amplitude there is no overall pole, and this implies a relation amongst the bubble coe cients such that,

$$X^{4} (C_{2; k} + C_{2; kk+1}) = 0:$$
(3.24)  
k=1

It is therefore most natural to work with log's of ratios of kinematic scales (rather than  $\log(s=2)$ ), since the coe cients of individual logarithms must cancel pairwise. To this end, we express our result in terms of the following functions,

$$L_k(s;t) = \frac{\log(s=t)}{(s-t)^k}$$
: (3.25)

U sing the Stokes' theorem m ethod [52], we generated compact analytic expressions for the coe cients of each bubble-function, which we also checked num erically with Forde's m ethod [29]. The combination of all double-cuts is given by,

$$C_{2}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = 4 \frac{N_{f}}{N} C_{2}^{(1)} + 1 \frac{N_{f}}{N_{c}} + \frac{N_{s}}{N_{c}} C_{2}^{(2)}$$
 (3.26)

w ith

$$C_{2}^{(1)} = \frac{h24ih3\dot{p}\,jl^{2}}{s_{124}[42]}L_{1}(s_{124};s_{12}) - \frac{h23ih4\dot{p}\,jl^{2}}{s_{123}[32]}L_{1}(s_{123};s_{12}) \qquad (2 \$ 4) \quad (3.27)$$

and

$$C_{2}^{(2)} = \frac{2s_{124}h24ih34i^{2}[41]^{2}}{3[42]}L_{3}(s_{124};s_{12})$$

$$+ \frac{h34i[41](3s_{124}h34i[41] + h24ih3\dot{p} j ][42])}{3[42]^{2}}L_{2}(s_{124};s_{12})$$

$$+ \frac{2s_{124}h34i^{2}[41]^{2}}{h24i[42]^{3}} \frac{h24ih3\dot{p} j ]^{2}}{3s_{124}[42]} L_{1}(s_{124};s_{12})$$

$$+ \frac{h3\dot{p} j ](4s_{124}h34i[41] + h3\dot{p} j ](2s_{14} + s_{24}))}{s_{124}h24i[42]^{3}}L_{0}(s_{124};s_{12})$$

$$+ \frac{h23ih4\dot{p} j ]^{2}}{3[32]}L_{3}(s_{123};s_{12}) + \frac{h23ih34i[31]h4\dot{p} j ]}{3[32]}L_{2}(s_{123};s_{12})$$

$$+ \frac{h23ih4\dot{p} j ]^{2}}{3s_{123}[32]}L_{1}(s_{123};s_{12}) \quad (2 \$ 4) : \qquad (3.28)$$

In the above form ulae (and those following) we stress that the symmetrising action applies to the entire form ula, and also acts on the kinematic invariants of the basis functions. We see that  $C_2(;1^+;2;3;4)$  vanishes in the soft Higgs limit p ! 0.

## 3.4 The Cut-Completion terms

The basis functions  $L_3(s;t)$  and  $L_2(s;t)$  are singular as s! t. Since this is an unphysical lim it one expects to nd some cut-predictable rational pieces which ensure the correct behaviour of the am plitude as these quantities approach each other. These rational pieces are called the cut-com pletion term s and are obtained by m aking the follow ing replacements in (3.28)

$$L_{3}(s;t) ! \hat{L}_{3}(s;t) = L_{3}(s;t) \frac{1}{2(s-t)^{2}} \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t} ;$$

$$L_{2}(s;t) ! \hat{L}_{2}(s;t) = L_{2}(s;t) \frac{1}{2(s-t)} \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t} ;$$

$$L_{1}(s;t) ! \hat{L}_{1}(s;t) = L_{1}(s;t);$$

$$L_{0}(s;t) ! \hat{L}_{0}(s;t) = L_{0}(s;t): \qquad (3.29)$$

## 4.RationalTerm s

We now turn our attention to the calculation of the remaining rational part of the am plitude. In general the cut-unpredictable rational part of plus gluon am plitudes contains two types of pieces, a hom ogeneous piece, which is insensitive to the num ber of active avours and a piece proportional to  $(1 N_f = N_c + N_s = N_c)$ ,

$$R_{4}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = R_{4}^{h}(;1^{+};2;3;4) + 1 \frac{N_{f}}{N_{c}} + \frac{N_{s}}{N_{c}} R_{4}^{N_{P}}(;1^{+};2;3;4):$$
(4.1)

The hom ogeneous term  $R_4^h$  (;1<sup>+</sup>;2;3;4) can be simply calculated using the BCFW recursion relations [40, 41],

$$R_{4}^{h}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = 2A^{(0)}(;1^{+};2;3;4):$$
(4.2)

This contribution cancels against a similar homogeneous term for the Y amplitude when combining the and Y amplitudes to form the Higgs amplitude.

The N<sub>P</sub> piece allows the propagation of quarks (or scalars) in the bop, and can be completely reconstructed by considering only the ferm ion (scalar) bop contribution. Furtherm ore, one can extract the contribution to  $R_4^{N_P}$  by considering the fullH iggs amplitude and removing the fully rational <sup>y</sup> contribution calculated in [46]. Since there is no direct H qq coupling in the elective theory, the most complicated structure is a second-rank tensor box con guration. Of the 739 diagram s contributing to the H gggg amplitude<sup>2</sup>, only 136 contain ferm ion bops and are straightforward to evaluate.

A fter subtracting the cut-com pletion and hom ogeneous rational terms from the explicit Feynm an diagram calculation the following rational pieces remain.

$$R_{4}^{N_{P}}(H;1^{+};2;3;4) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h23ih34ih4\dot{p}_{H}\dot{p}_{H}\dot{j}_{I}][31]}{3s_{123}h12i[21][32]} \frac{h3\dot{p}_{H}\dot{j}_{I}\dot{j}_{I}^{2}}{s_{124}[42]^{2}} + \frac{h24ih34ih3\dot{p}_{H}\dot{j}_{I}][41]}{3s_{124}s_{12}[42]}$$

$$= \frac{12l^{2}h23i^{2}}{s_{14}[42]^{2}} \frac{h24i(s_{23}s_{24} + s_{23}s_{34} + s_{24}s_{34})}{3h12ih14i[23][34][42]}$$

$$= \frac{h2\dot{p}_{H}\dot{j}_{I}h4\dot{p}_{H}\dot{j}_{I}]}{3s_{234}[23][34]} \frac{2[12h23i[31]^{2}}{3[23]^{2}[41][34]} + (2 \$ 4) : (4.3)$$

The last line in the above equation is the one-loop rational expression for the Y contribution [46]. We can thus de ne the rational terms for the contribution.

$$R_{4}^{N_{P}}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h23ih34ih4\dot{p}_{H} \dot{j}_{H}[3][31]}{3s_{123}h12i[21][32]} \frac{h3\dot{p}_{H} \dot{j}_{H}\dot{j}_{H}}{s_{124}[42]^{2}} + \frac{h24ih34ih3\dot{p}_{H} \dot{j}_{H}[3][41]}{3s_{124}s_{12}[42]} \\ \frac{[12]^{2}h23i^{2}}{s_{14}[42]^{2}} \frac{h24i(s_{23}s_{24} + s_{23}s_{34} + s_{24}s_{34})}{3h12ih14i[23][34][42]} + (2 \$ 4) ;$$

$$(4.4)$$

## 5. Higgs plus four gluon am plitudes

In this section we present complete expressions for the one-loop amplitudes needed to calculate the process 0 ! H gggg at N LO .

The one-loop am plitudes presented here are computed in the four-dimensional helicity scheme and are not renormalised. To perform an  $\overline{M}$  S renormalisation, one should subtract an  $\overline{M}$  S counterterm from  $A_4^{(1)}$ ,

$$A_4^{(1)} ! A_4^{(1)} c 2 - A_4^{(0)}$$
: (5.1)

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ Feynm an diagram s were generated with the aid of QGRAF [57].

The W ilson coe cient (2.2) produces an additional nite contribution,

$$A_4^{(1)} ! A_4^{(1)} + c \ 11A_4^{(0)}$$
: (5.2)

We choose to split the un-renorm alised amplitude into (completed) cut-constructible pieces and rational terms. We also separate the infra-red divergent and nite parts of the amplitude. The basis functions for the nite part of the cut-constructable pieces are one-mass and two-mass boxes, three-mass triangles, and completed functions  $\hat{L}_i(s;t)$  of eq. (3.29). We denote the nite pieces of the box and three-mass triangle integrals in Appendix B.

W e express a generic helicity con guration in the following form

$$A_{4}^{(1)}(H;1^{1};2^{2};3^{3};4^{4}) = c (C_{4}(H;1^{1};2^{2};3^{3};4^{4}) + R_{4}(H;1^{1};2^{2};3^{3};4^{4}));$$
(5.3)

where C<sub>4</sub> represents the cut-constructible part of the amplitude and R<sub>4</sub> the rational pieces. W e further separate C<sub>4</sub>(H ;1  $^{1}$ ;2  $^{2}$ ;3  $^{3}$ ;4  $^{4}$ ) into divergent and nite pieces,

$$C_{4}(H;1^{1};2^{2};3^{3};4^{4}) = V_{4}(H;1^{1};2^{2};3^{3};4^{4}) + F_{4}(H;1^{1};2^{2};3^{3};4^{4}): (5.4)$$

The divergent part  $V_4$  contain the singularities generated by the box and triangle contributions, and which satisfy the helicity independent infrared singularity condition,

$$V_{4}(H;1^{1};2^{2};3^{3};4^{4}) = A^{(0)}(H;1^{1};2^{2};3^{3};4^{4}) \frac{1}{2} \xrightarrow{X^{4}} \frac{2}{s_{i(i+1)}} : (5.5)$$

The remaining cut-constructible and rational terms are nite, and depend non-trivially on the helicity con guration of the gluons.

5.1 The all-m inus amplitude  $A_4^{(1)}$  (H ;1 ;2 ;3 ;4 )

The all-m inus am plitude is symmetric under cyclic permutations of the four gluons. The nite part (of the cut-constructible piece) is [49],

$$F_{4}(H;1;2;3;4) = \frac{m_{H}^{4}}{2[12][23][34][41]} \frac{1}{2} F_{4F}^{2me}(s_{123};s_{234};m_{H}^{2};s_{23}) + \frac{1}{2} F_{4F}^{2me}(s_{123};s_{124};m_{H}^{2};s_{12}) + F_{4F}^{1m}(s_{23};s_{34};s_{234}) + (1 \$ 4);(2 \$ 3) + (1 \$ 2);(3 \$ 4) + (1 \$ 3);(2 \$ 4)$$
(5.6)

while the rational part is given by [46, 49]

$$R_{4}(H;1;2;3;4) = \frac{1}{3} 1 \frac{N_{f}}{N_{c}} + \frac{N_{s}}{N_{c}} \frac{s_{13}H_{P_{H}} p_{f} p_{f}}{s_{123}[12f[23f]} + \frac{h34i^{2}}{[12f]} + 2\frac{h34ih41i}{[12][23]} + \frac{s_{12}s_{34} + s_{123}s_{234}}{2[12][23][34][41]} + cyclic permutations: (5.7)$$

5.2 The M H V am plitude  ${\rm A}_4^{(1)}\,({\rm H}$  ;1 ;2 ;3+;4+)

For the M HV amplitude with adjacent negative helicity gluons there is an overall ((1 2),(3 ! 4)) symmetry. The nite cut-constructible part is [47],

$$F_{4}(H;1;2;3^{+};4^{+}) = \frac{h12i^{3}}{2h23ih34ih41i} F_{4F}^{2m}(s_{123};s_{234};m_{H}^{2};s_{23}) \\ + \frac{1}{2}F_{4F}^{2m}(s_{234};s_{134};m_{H}^{2};s_{34}) + \frac{1}{2}F_{4F}^{2m}(s_{124};s_{123};m_{H}^{2};s_{12}) \\ + F_{4F}^{1m}(s_{23};s_{34};s_{234}) + F_{4F}^{1m}(s_{14};s_{12};s_{124}) \\ 4 1 \frac{N_{f}}{4N_{c}} \frac{h12i^{2}[43]}{h34i}\hat{L}_{1}(s_{134};s_{14}) \\ 1 \frac{N_{f}}{N_{c}} + \frac{N_{s}}{N_{c}} \frac{[43h13p_{H}2i(h13p_{H}2i+h1432i)]}{3h34i}\hat{L}_{3}(s_{134};s_{14}) \\ \frac{h12i^{2}[43]}{3h34i}\hat{L}_{1}(s_{134};s_{14}) + (1 + 3);(2 + 4) \\ + (1 + 2);(3 + 4) : (5.8)$$

The rational term s R  $_4$  have the sam e sym m etries [47],

$$R_{4}(H;1;2;3^{+};4^{+}) = 1 \frac{N_{f}}{N_{c}} + \frac{N_{s}}{N_{c}} \frac{[34]}{3h34i} \frac{h23ih1\dot{p}_{H}\dot{\beta}\dot{\beta}^{2}}{h34i[43][32]s_{234}} \frac{h14ih3\dot{p}_{12}\dot{\beta}]}{h34i[12][32]} + \frac{h12i^{2}}{2h34i[43]} \frac{h12i}{2[12]} \frac{h12ih2\dot{p}_{13}\dot{\beta}]}{2[41]s_{341}} + \frac{h12i^{2}}{2s_{41}} + (1 \$ 3);(2 \$ 4) + (1 \$ 2);(3 \$ 4) : (5.9)$$

5.3 The M H V am plitude A  $_4^{(1)}\,({\rm H}$  ;1  $\,$  ;2  $^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  ;3  $\,$  ;4  $^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  )

The alternating helicity MHV con guration has the larger set of symmetries,  $(1 \ 3)$ ,  $(2 \ 4)$  and  $((1 \ 3); (2 \ 4))$ . The nite cut-constructible contribution is [48],

$$F_{4}(H;1;2^{+};3;4^{+}) = \frac{h13i^{4}}{2h12ih23ih34ih41i} F_{4F}^{2me}(s_{123};s_{234};m_{H}^{2};s_{23}) + \frac{1}{2}F_{4F}^{1m}(s_{23};s_{34};s_{234}) + \frac{1}{2}F_{4F}^{1m}(s_{34};s_{14};s_{134}) + 4 1 \frac{N_{f}}{4N_{c}} \frac{h13i^{2}}{h24i} \frac{1}{4h24i}F_{4F}^{1m}(s_{23};s_{34};s_{234}) [42]\hat{L}_{1}(s_{234};s_{23}) + 2 1 \frac{N_{f}}{N_{c}} + \frac{N_{s}}{N_{c}} \frac{h12ih41ih23ih34i}{h24i^{3}} \frac{1}{4h24i}F_{4F}^{1m}(s_{23};s_{34};s_{234}) [42]\hat{L}_{1}(s_{23};s_{34};s_{234}) [42]\hat{L}_{1}(s_{234};s_{23})$$

$$\frac{h23ih41i[42]^{2}}{h24i} \frac{h14ih23i[42]}{3}\hat{L}_{3}(s_{234};s_{23})$$

$$\frac{h12ih34i}{2h24i}\hat{L}_{2}(s_{234};s_{23}) + (1 \$ 2);(3 \$ 4)$$

$$hiji\$ [ij]$$

$$+ (1 \$ 3) + (2 \$ 4) + (1 \$ 3);(2 \$ 4)$$
(5.10)

while the rational part is given by [48],

$$R_{4}(H;1;2^{+};3;4^{+}) = 1 \frac{N_{f}}{N_{c}} + \frac{N_{s}}{N_{c}} \frac{[24]^{4}}{12[12][23][34][41]} \frac{s_{23}s_{34}}{s_{24}s_{124}} 3\frac{s_{23}s_{34}}{s_{24}^{2}} + (1 \$ 2);(3 \$ 4)$$

$$+ (1 \$ 2);(3 \$ 4)$$

$$+ (1 \$ 3) + (2 \$ 4) + (1 \$ 3);(2 \$ 4) : (5.11)$$

5.4 The NM HV amplitude  $\mathbb{A}_{4}^{(1)}$  (H ;1+;2;3;4)

By combining the results for the NM HV amplitudes given in sections 3 and 4 and the rational  $^{y}$  amplitude of [46] according to eq. (2.4), we obtain the Higgs NM HV amplitude, which is symmetric under the exchange (2 \$ 4). The nite cut-constructible contribution is,

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{F}_{4}(\mathrm{H}\ ; 1^{+}\ ; 2\ ; 3\ ; 4\ ) = & \frac{\mathrm{s}_{234}^{2}}{4\mathrm{hl}\,\mathrm{\dot{p}}_{\mathrm{H}}\ ; 2\,\mathrm{hl}\,\mathrm{\dot{p}}_{\mathrm{H}}\ ; 4\,\mathrm{hl}\,\mathrm{\dot{p}}_{\mathrm{H}}\ ; 4\,\mathrm{hl}\,\mathrm{hl}\,\mathrm{hl}\,\mathrm{\dot{p}}_{\mathrm{H}}\ ; 4\,\mathrm{hl}\,\mathrm{$$

$$+ \frac{h_{3}\dot{p}_{H} j (4s_{124}h_{3}4i[41] + h_{3}\dot{p}_{H} j) (2s_{14} + s_{24})}{s_{124}h_{2}4i[42]^{3}} \hat{L}_{0} (s_{124};s_{12})$$

$$- \frac{2s_{123}h_{2}3ih_{3}4i^{2}[31]^{2}}{3[32]} \hat{L}_{3} (s_{123};s_{12}) + \frac{h_{2}3ih_{3}4i[31]h_{2}\dot{p}_{H} j]}{3[32]} \hat{L}_{2} (s_{123};s_{12})$$

$$+ \frac{h_{2}3ih_{4}\dot{p}_{H} j \hat{l}^{2}}{3s_{123}[32]} \hat{L}_{1} (s_{123};s_{12})$$

$$+ (2 \$ 4) : (5.12)$$

For convenience we have introduced the following combinations of the nite pieces of one-mass ( $F_{4F}^{1m}$ ) and two-mass hard ( $F_{4F}^{2m h}$ ) box functions (see Appendix B),

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{W}^{(1)} = \mathbb{F}_{4F}^{1m} (s_{23}; s_{34}; s_{234}) + \mathbb{F}_{4F}^{2mh} (s_{41}; s_{234}; \mathfrak{m}_{H}^{2}; s_{23}) + \mathbb{F}_{4F}^{2mh} (s_{12}; s_{234}; s_{34}; \mathfrak{m}_{H}^{2}) \\ &\mathbb{W}^{(2)} = \mathbb{F}_{4F}^{1m} (s_{14}; s_{34}; s_{134}) + \mathbb{F}_{4F}^{2mh} (s_{12}; s_{134}; \mathfrak{m}_{H}^{2}; s_{34}) + \mathbb{F}_{4F}^{2mh} (s_{23}; s_{134}; s_{14}; \mathfrak{m}_{H}^{2}) \\ &\mathbb{W}^{(3)} = \mathbb{F}_{4F}^{1m} (s_{12}; s_{14}; s_{124}) + \mathbb{F}_{4F}^{2mh} (s_{23}; s_{124}; \mathfrak{m}_{H}^{2}; s_{14}) + \mathbb{F}_{4F}^{2mh} (s_{34}; s_{124}; s_{12}; \mathfrak{m}_{H}^{2}) \end{split}$$

In addition, to simplify the coe cients of the three m ass triangle F  $_{3}^{3m}$  (K  $_{1}^{2}$ ;K  $_{2}^{2}$ ;K  $_{3}^{2}$ ) with three o -shell legs K  $_{1}^{2}$ ; K  $_{2}^{2}$ ; K  $_{3}^{2} \notin 0$ , we use the notation of eq. (3.21). The rational part of the H iggs NM HV am plitude is given by eq. (4.3) (which incorporates the rational A  $_{4}^{(1)}$  ( $_{4}^{y}$ ;1<sup>+</sup>;2;3;4) am plitude derived in [46]),

$$R_{4}(H;1^{+};2;3;4) = 1 \frac{N_{f}}{N_{c}} + \frac{N_{s}}{N_{c}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{h23ih34ih4\dot{p}_{H}\dot{p}_{H}\dot{j}][31]}{3s_{123}h12i[21][32]} \frac{h3\dot{p}_{H}\dot{j}]^{2}}{s_{124}[42]^{2}} + \frac{h24ih34ih3\dot{p}_{H}\dot{j}][41]}{3s_{124}s_{12}[42]} \frac{[12]^{2}h23i^{2}}{s_{14}[42]^{2}} \frac{h24i(s_{23}s_{24} + s_{23}s_{34} + s_{24}s_{34})}{3h12ih14i[23][34][42]} + \frac{h2\dot{p}_{H}\dot{j}]h4\dot{p}_{H}\dot{j}] \frac{2[12]h23i[31]^{2}}{3[23]^{2}[41][34]}}{3[23]^{2}[41][34]} + (2 \$ 4) : (5.13)$$

## 6. Num erical Evaluation

In this section we provide num erical values for the helicity am plitudes given in the previous section at a particular phase space point. To this end, we rede not the nite part of the Higgs am plitude as:

$$A_{4}^{(1)}(H;1^{1};2^{2};3^{3};4^{4}) = C A^{(0)}(H;1^{1};2^{2};3^{3};4^{4}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{4}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{2}{S_{i;i+1}}} (6.1)$$

$$+ M_{4}^{F} \mathcal{H}(1;2;3;4) + \frac{N_{f}}{N_{c}} M_{4}^{F} \mathcal{H}(1;2;3;4) + \frac{N_{s}}{N_{c}} M_{4}^{F} \mathcal{H}(1;2;3;4) + \frac{N_{s}}{N_{c}} M_{4}^{F} \mathcal{H}(1;2;3;4) :$$

W e evaluate the am plitudes at the phase space point used by Ellis et al. [19],

  $p_3 = (+ 0.22091667641; + 0.08911915938; + 0.19733901856; + 0.04380941793);$ 

 $p_4 = (+0.12789262211; 0.05808504045; 0.07361667438; 0.08696686795): (6.2)$ 

The results are presented in table 1 where we have chosen the renorm alisation scale to be  $^{2} = m_{\mu}^{2}$ .

H elicity	A <sup>(0)</sup>	M <sub>4</sub> <sup>F</sup> / <sup>g</sup>	M 4 <sup>F</sup> <sup>f</sup>	M 4 <sup>F</sup> <sup>is</sup>
	-116.526220-18.681775 I	-9.540396-0.001010 I	-0.176850+ 0.001010 I	0 <b>.</b> 176850-0 <b>.</b> 001010 I
+	10.308088-0.824204 I	-10.809925+ 0.056646 I	-0.388288+ 0.198369 I	0,296783-0,155132 I
+ +	20.511457-0.888525 I	-10.991033+ 0.320009 I	0.268501-0.068414 I	0.066595-0.015451 I
+ +	4.683784+ 4.242678 I	-10.332320+ 0.149216 I	0.028668-0.066437 I	0.166800+0.038844 I

Table 1: Numerical values for the nite parts of the Higgs + 4 gluon helicity am plitudes at the phase space point given in eq. (6.2).

## 7.Conclusions

We have calculated the last (analytically) unknown building block of the Higgs plus four gluon amplitude, the -NMHV amplitude  $A_4^{(1)}$ (;1<sup>+</sup>;2;3;4). We chose to split the calculation into two parts, one being cut-constructible (to which we applied the techniques of four-dimensional unitarity) and a rational part, which is insensitive to four-dimensional cuts.

By enploying a four-dimensional unitarity-based strategy, we reconstructed the cutconstructible piece as a combination of bubble-, triangle- and box-functions. The coefcients of the box-integrals were obtained using quadruple cuts [28]. We used Forde's Laurent expansion method [29] to derive the coe cients of the one- and two-mass triangles. The only role of these functions in the amplitude is to ensure the correct infrared pole structure, and as such they do not appear explicitly in our result. The coe cients of the three-mass triangle, and the bubble-functions were calculated using two independent techniques; the Laurent expansion method, [29] and the triple-cut spinor-integration technique [30]. Finally the double cuts were computed analytically via Stokes' theorem [52] and checked numerically with the Laurent expansion technique [29].

To evaluate the remaining rational piece of the amplitude, we found it convenient to separate the rational part into two terms, one which is sensitive to the num ber of active light ferm ions N<sub>f</sub> and a hom ogeneous part which is not. The N<sub>f</sub> dependent contribution was e ciently computed using Feynm an diagram s, while the hom ogeneous piece was am enable to a BCFW recursion relation approach [40, 41].

Previous calculations of plus gluon amplitudes have considered -MHV, [47, 48], the -all-minus, [49] and the -all-plus and -nearly-all-plus rational amplitudes [46]. We have collected the results of these previous papers, so that the virtual one-loop amplitudes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>W e have been informed by John Campbell, that the entries for  $M_4^{F_{A_1}}$  and  $M_4^{F_{A_1}}$  in Table 1 are in agreement with results obtained using the sem inum erical code described in Ref. [19].

for the 0 ! H gggg process (in the large  $m_t$  lim it) are available in one place. In particular, we present compact form ulae for the helicity con gurations  $A_4^{(1)}$  (H ;1 ;2 ;3 ;4 ),  $A_4^{(1)}$  (H ;1 ;2 ;3<sup>+</sup>;4<sup>+</sup>),  $A_4^{(1)}$  (H ;1 ;2<sup>+</sup>;3 ;4<sup>+</sup>) and  $A_4^{(1)}$  (H ;1<sup>+</sup>;2 ;3 ;4 ) in section 5, know – ing that all other helicity con gurations can be obtained by parity, or by permuting the gluon labels.

The Higgs plus four gluon am plitudes have been calculated num erically in Ref. [19]. However, we envisage that the analytic form ulae hereby presented can be used to provide a faster and more exible analysis of Higgs phenom enology at the LHC.

## A cknow ledgm ents

W ewish to thank K eith E llis and John C am pbell for useful discussions and important cross checks. W e thank Lance D ixon for a careful reading of the manuscript and for pointing out a number of typographical errors that have now been corrected. SB acknowledges support from the Helm holtz G em einschaft under contract VH-NG-105. EW NG gratefully acknowledges the support of the W olfson Foundation and the Royal Society. PM wishes to thank the IPPP in Durham for kind hospitality at several stages while this work was being performed. CW acknowledges the award of an STFC studentship. This research was supported in part by the UK Science and Technology Facilities C ouncil and by the European C ommission's M arie-C urie R essarch Training N etwork under contract M RTN – CT-2006-035505 \Tools and Precision C alculations for Physics D iscoveries at C olliders".

#### A. Tree-level am plitudes

C om pact analytic form s for the tree-level am plitudes relevant for  $A_4^{(1)}$  (;1<sup>+</sup>;2;3;4) have been computed using both MHV rules [18] and BCFW recursion [46].

The rst main ingredients are the four simple, all-multiplicity results:

$$A_{n}^{(0)}(;1^{+};:::;n^{+}) = 0;$$
 (A.1)

$$A_n^{(0)}(;1^+;...;i;...;n^+) = 0;$$
 (A.2)

$$A_{n}^{(0)}(;1^{+};...;i;...;n^{+}) = Q \frac{hiji^{4}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} hkk + 1i};$$
 (A.3)

$$A_n^{(0)}(;1;:::;n) = Q \frac{(1)^n m^4}{\sum_{k=1}^n [kk+1]}$$
 (A.4)

In addition we need the non-trivial NM HV-type am plitude,

$$A_{n}^{(0)}(;1^{+};2;3;4) = \frac{m^{4}h24i^{4}}{s_{124}h12ih14ih2p;\beta;h4p;\beta]} + \frac{h4p;j}{s_{123}h4p;\beta;[12][23]} \frac{h2p;j}{s_{134}h2p;\beta;[14][34]}; \quad (A.5)$$

The amplitudes for the coupling to a quark pair and a pair of massless scalars are given by,

$$A_n^{(0)}(;1_s;:::;i_s;:::;n_s) = \frac{h l i i^2 h i i^2}{\sum_{k=1}^n h k k + l i};$$
 (A.6)

$$A_{n}^{(0)}(;1_{q};...;i_{q};...;n_{q}^{+}) = Q \frac{hlii^{3}mii}{\frac{n}{k=1}hkk+1i};$$
(A.7)

$$A_{n}^{(0)}(;1_{q}^{+};:::;i_{q};:::;n_{q}) = \frac{(1)^{n} h liihn ii^{3}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} h k k + 1i};$$
(A.8)

with the non-trivial NM HV am plitudes given by,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A_{n}^{(0)}(;1_{q}^{+};2;3;4_{q}) = & \\ & \frac{h24i^{3}m^{4}}{s_{124}h14ih2\dot{p}\ \ddot{p}\ \dot{p}\ \dot$$

$$\frac{h12ih24i^{2}m^{4}}{s_{124}h14ih2\dot{p}\beta h4\dot{p}\beta} + \frac{s_{123}h4\dot{p}j}{h4\dot{p}\beta [12][23]} - \frac{h2\dot{p}jh2\dot{p}jh^{2}}{s_{134}h2\dot{p}\beta [14][34]}$$
 (A.10)

B. Scalar Basis Integrals



F igure 4: C onventions for labelling the three scalar box integrals appearing in the one-loop H gggg am plitudes.

In this appendix we present the basis integral functions used to construct the various nite contributions to the H iggs helicity am plitudes. F igure 4 sets our labelling conventions. The nite parts of the one-m ass and two-m ass (easy and hard) have the following form s,

$$F_{4F}^{lm}(s;t;P^{2}) = 2 \text{ Li}_{2} 1 \frac{P^{2}}{s} + \text{Li}_{2} 1 \frac{P^{2}}{t} + \frac{1}{2}\ln^{2} \frac{s}{t} + \frac{2}{6} ; (B.1)$$

$$F_{4F}^{2mh}(s;t;P^{2};Q^{2}) = 2 \text{ Li}_{2} 1 \frac{P^{2}}{t} + \text{Li}_{2} 1 \frac{Q^{2}}{t} + \frac{1}{2}\ln^{2} \frac{s}{t}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\ln \frac{s}{P^{2}} \ln \frac{s}{Q^{2}} ; \qquad (B.2)$$

$$F_{4F}^{2me}(s;t;P^{2};Q^{2}) = 2 \text{ Li}_{2} 1 \frac{P^{2}}{s} + \text{Li}_{2} 1 \frac{P^{2}}{t} + \text{Li}_{2} 1 \frac{Q^{2}}{s} + \text{Li}_{2} 1 \frac{Q^{2}}{s} + \text{Li}_{2} 1 \frac{Q^{2}}{s} + \text{Li}_{2} 1 \frac{P^{2}Q^{2}}{s} + \frac{1}{2}\ln^{2}\frac{s}{t} : \quad (B.3)$$

The nite three mass triangle is given by [58, 59],

$$F_{3}^{3m} (M_{1}^{2}; M_{2}^{2}; M_{3}^{2}) = \underbrace{p}_{k=1}^{i} L_{k}^{3} L_{k}^{i} + \underbrace{l_{k}}_{k=1} L_{k}^{i} L_{k}^{i} + \underbrace{l_{k}}_{k=1} L_{k}^{i} + \underbrace{l_{k$$

where,

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{X^{3}} M_{k}^{4} + 2M_{k}^{2}M_{k+1}^{2}$$
(B.5)

$$_{k} = \frac{M_{k}^{2} M_{k+1}^{2} M_{k+2}^{2}}{P} :$$
(B.6)

A lternative representations for these integrals can be found in references [60{62].

## R eferences

- T.Han, G. Valencia and S.W illenbrock, Structure function approach to vector boson scattering in p p collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 3274{3277 [hep-ph/9206246].
- [2] T.Figy, C.O leari and D.Zeppenfeld, Next-to-leading order jet distributions for Higgs boson production via weak-boson fusion, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 073005 [hep-ph/0306109].
- [3] T.Figy and D.Zeppenfeld, QCD corrections to jet correlations in weak boson fusion, Phys.Lett. B 591 (2004) 297{303 [hep-ph/0403297].
- [4] E.L.Berger and J.M.Cam pbell, Higgs boson production in weak boson fusion at next-to-leading order, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 073011 [hep-ph/0403194].
- [5] M. Ciccolini, A. Denner and S. Dittm aier, Strong and electroweak corrections to the production of Higgs+ 2 jets via weak interactions at the LHC, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 161803 [arXiv:0707.0381].
- [6] M. Ciccolini, A. Denner and S. Dittm aier, Electroweak and QCD corrections to Higgs production via vector-boson fusion at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 013002 [arXiv:0710.4749].
- [7] V.DelDuca, W.Kilgore, C.Oleari, C.Schmidt and D.Zeppenfeld, H + 2 jets via gluon fusion, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 122001 [hep-ph/0105129].
- [8] S.Dawson and R.P.Kau man, Higgs boson plus multi jet rates at the SSC, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 2273{2276.

- [9] R.P.Kau man, S.V. Desai and D.R isal, Production of a Higgs boson plus two jets in hadronic collisions, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 4005 [4015 [hep-ph/9610541].
- [10] F.W ilczek, Decays of heavy vector m esons into H iggs particles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39 (1977) 1304.
- [11] A.D jouadi, M. Spira and P.M. Zerwas, Production of Higgs bosons in proton colliders: QCD corrections, Phys. Lett. B 264 (1991) 440{446.
- [12] S. D aw son, R adiative corrections to H iggs boson production, N ucl. Phys. B 359 (1991) 283{300.
- [13] M.Kramer, E.Laenen and M. Spira, Soft gluon radiation in higgs boson production at the LHC, Nucl. Phys. B 511 (1998) 523 [549 [hep-ph/9611272].
- [14] V. Del Duca, W. Kilgore, C. Oleari, C. R. Schmidt and D. Zeppenfeld, Kinematical limits on Higgs boson production via gluon fusion in association with jets, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 073003 [hep-ph/0301013].
- [15] J.M. Campbell, R.Keith Ellis and G.Zanderighi, Next-to-bading order higgs + 2 jet production via gluon fusion, JHEP 10 (2006) 028 [hep-ph/0608194].
- [16] V.DelDuca, A. Frizzo and F.Maltoni, Higgs boson production in association with three jets, JHEP 05 (2004) 064 [hep-ph/0404013].
- [17] S.D.Badger, E.W.N.G lover and V.V.Khoze, MHV rules for Higgs plus multi-parton amplitudes, JHEP 03 (2005) 023 [hep-th/0412275].
- [18] L.J.Dixon, E.W. N.G bver and V.V.K hoze, MHV rules for Higgs plus multi-gluon am plitudes, JHEP 12 (2004) 015 [hep-th/0411092].
- [19] R.K.Ellis, W.T.Giele and G.Zanderighi, Virtual QCD corrections to higgs boson plus four parton processes, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 054018 [hep-ph/0506196].
- [20] Z.Bern, L.J.Dixon, D.C.Dunbar and D.A.Kosower, One bop n-point gauge theory am plitudes, unitarity and collinear limits, Nucl. Phys. B 425 (1994) 217{260 [hep-ph/9403226].
- [21] Z.Bern, L.J.Dixon, D.C.Dunbar and D.A.Kosower, Fusing gauge theory tree amplitudes into bop amplitudes, Nucl. Phys. B 435 (1995) 59{101 [hep-ph/9409265].
- [22] Z.Bern and A.G.Morgan, Massive Loop Amplitudes from Unitarity, Nucl. Phys. B 467 (1996) 479{509 [hep-ph/9511336].
- [23] Z.Bern, L.J.D ixon and D.A.K osower, On-shell recurrence relations for one-loop QCD am plitudes, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 105013 [hep-th/0501240].
- [24] T.Binoth, J.P.G uillet and G.H einrich, A bebraic evaluation of rational polynom ials in one-bop am plitudes, JHEP 02 (2007) 013 [hep-ph/0609054].

- [25] Z.Xiao, G.Yang and C.-J.Zhu, The rational part of QCD am plitude. I: The general form alism, Nucl. Phys. B 758 (2006) 1{34 [hep-ph/0607015].
- [26] X. Su, Z. X iao, G. Yang and C.-J. Zhu, The rational part of QCD am plitude. II: The ve-gluon, Nucl. Phys. B 758 (2006) 35{52 [hep-ph/0607016].
- [27] Z.Xiao, G.Yang and C.-J.Zhu, The rational part of QCD am plitude. III: The six-gluon, Nucl. Phys. B 758 (2006) 53{89 [hep-ph/0607017].
- [28] R.Britto, F.Cachazo and B.Feng, Generalized unitarity and one-bop amplitudes in N = 4 super-Yang-M ills, Nucl. Phys. B 725 (2005) 275{305 [hep-th/0412103].
- [29] D. Forde, Direct extraction of one-bop integral coe cients, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 125019 [arXiv:0704.1835].
- [30] P.Mastrolia, On triple-cut of scattering am plitudes, Phys. Lett. B 644 (2007) 272{283 [hep-th/0611091].
- [31] R.Britto, E.Buchbinder, F.Cachazo and B.Feng, One-bop am plitudes of gluons in SQCD, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 065012 [hep-ph/0503132].
- [32] R.Britto, B.Feng and P.Mastrolia, The cut-constructible part of QCD am plitudes, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 105004 [hep-ph/0602178].
- [33] N.E.J.B jerrum -Bohr, D.C.Dunbar and W.B.Perkins, Analytic Structure of Three-M ass Triangle Coe cients, JHEP 04 (2008) 038 [arXiv:0709.2086].
- [34] E.W. Nigel G lover and C.W illiam s, O ne-Loop G luonic Am plitudes from Single Unitarity Cuts, JHEP 12 (2008) 067 [arXiv:0810.2964].
- [35] G.Ossola, C.G. Papadopoulos and R.Pittau, On the Rational Terms of the one-bop am plitudes, JHEP 05 (2008) 004 [arXiv:0802.1876].
- [36] Z.Bern, L.J.Dixon, D.C.Dunbar and D.A.Kosower, One-bop self-dual and N = 4 superYang-M ills, Phys. Lett. B 394 (1997) 105{115 [hep-th/9611127].
- [37] C. Anastasiou, R. Britto, B. Feng, Z. K unszt and P. Mastrolia, Unitarity cuts and reduction to master integrals in d dimensions for one-bop amplitudes, JHEP 03 (2007) 111 [hep-ph/0612277].
- [38] C. Anastasiou, R. Britto, B. Feng, Z. K unszt and P. Mastrolia, D-dimensional unitarity cut method, Phys. Lett. B 645 (2007) 213{216 [hep-ph/0609191].
- [39] S.D.Badger, Direct Extraction OfOne Loop Rational Terms, JHEP 01 (2009) 049 [arXiv:0806.4600].
- [40] R.Britto, F.Cachazo and B.Feng, New recursion relations for tree am plitudes of gluons, Nucl. Phys. B 715 (2005) 499{522 [hep-th/0412308].

- [41] R.Britto, F.Cachazo, B.Feng and E.W itten, D irect proof of tree-level recursion relation in Yang-M ills theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 181602 [hep-th/0501052].
- [42] C.F.Berger, Z.Bern, L.J.Dixon, D.Forde and D.A.Kosower, Bootstrapping one-bop QCD amplitudes with general helicities, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 036009 [hep-ph/0604195].
- [43] G.Ossola, C.G. Papadopoulos and R.Pittau, CutTools: a program implementing the OPP reduction method to compute one-bop amplitudes, JHEP 03 (2008) 042 [arXiv:0711.3596].
- [44] R.K.Ellis, W.T.Giele and Z.Kunszt, A Numerical Unitarity Formalism for One-Loop Amplitudes, JHEP 03 (2008) 003 [arXiv:0802.4227].
- [45] C.F.Berger et. al., An Autom ated Im plem entation of On-ShellM ethods for One-Loop Am plitudes, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 036003 [arXiv:0803.4180].
- [46] C.F.Berger, V.DelDuca and L.J.Dixon, Recursive construction of Higgs+multiparton loop amplitudes: The last of the -nite loop amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 094021 [hep-ph/0608180].
- [47] S.D.Badger, E.W. N.G lover and K.R isager, One-bop phi-MHV amplitudes using the unitarity bootstrap, JHEP 07 (2007) 066 [arXiv:0704.3914].
- [48] E.W. N.G lover, P.M astrolia and C.W illiam s, One-bop phi-M HV am plitudes using the unitarity bootstrap: the general helicity case, JHEP 08 (2008) 017 [arXiv:0804.4149].
- [49] S.D.Badger and E.W.N.G lover, One-loop helicity am plitudes for H ! gluons: The all-m inus con guration, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 160 (2006) 71{75 [hep-ph/0607139].
- [50] L.J.D ixon and Y.So anatos, Analytic one-bop am plitudes for a Higgs boson plus four partons, JHEP 08 (2009) 058 [arXiv:0906.0008].
- [51] S.Badger, J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis and C.W illiam s, Analytic results for the one-bop NM HV Hoppg am plitude, arXiv:0910.4481.
- [52] P.M astrolia, Double-Cut of Scattering Am plitudes and Stokes' Theorem, Phys. Lett. B 678 (2009) 246{249 [arXiv:0905.2909].
- [53] D.M aitre and P.M astrolia, S@M, a M athem atica Im plem entation of the Spinor-Helicity Form alism, Comput. Phys. Commun. 179 (2008) 501{574 [arXiv:0710.5559].
- [54] K.G. Chetyrkin, B.A. Kniehland M. Steinhauser, Decoupling relations to O (<sup>3</sup>/<sub>s</sub>) and their connection to low-energy theorem s, Nucl. Phys. B 510 (1998) 61{87 [hep-ph/9708255].

- [55] T. Inam i, T. Kubota and Y. Okada, E ective gauge theory and the e ect of heavy quarks in Higgs boson decays, Z. Phys. C 18 (1983) 69.
- [56] G.Ossola, C.G. Papadopoulos and R.Pittau, Reducing full one-bop am plitudes to scalar integrals at the integrand level, Nucl. Phys. B 763 (2007) 147{169 [hep-ph/0609007].
- [57] P. Nogueira, Automatic Feynman graph generation, J. Comput. Phys. 105 (1993) 279(289.
- [58] Z.Bern, L.J.D ixon and D.A.K osower, D in ensionally regulated pentagon integrals, Nucl. Phys. B 412 (1994) 751 [816 [hep-ph/9306240].
- [59] H.J.Lu and C.A.Perez, M assless one loop scalar three point integral and associated C lausen, G laisher and L functions, .SLAC-PUB-5809.
- [60] T.Binoth, J.P.Guillet, G.Heinrich and C.Schubert, Calculation of 1-loop hexagon am plitudes in the Yukawa model, Nucl. Phys. B 615 (2001) 385{401 [hep-ph/0106243].
- [61] A. van Hameren, J. Vollinga and S. Weinzierl, Automated computation of one-bop integrals in massless theories, Eur. Phys. J. C 41 (2005) 361{375 [hep-ph/0502165].
- [62] R.K.Ellis and G.Zanderighi, Scalar one-loop integrals for QCD, JHEP 02 (2008) 002 [arXiv:0712.1851].