# Bound-state e ects on gluino-pair production at hadron colliders

Kaoru Hagiwara

KEK Theory Center and Sokendai, Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan

HiroshiYokoya

Theory Unit, Physics Department, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland E-mail: hiroshi.yokoya@cern.ch

A bstract: W e study bound-state e ects on the pair production of gluinos at hadron colliders, in a context of the m inim al supersymmetric extension of the standard model. Due to the expected large mass and the octet color-charge of gluinos, the bound-state e ects can be substantial at the LHC.W e nd signi cant deform ation of the invariant-m ass distributions of a gluino-pair near the mass threshold, as well as an additional correction to the total cross-section. Both the invariant-m ass distribution and the correction to the total cross section depend crucially on the decay width of the gluino.

Keywords: Hadronic Colliders, Supersymmetric Standard Model, QCD.

## C ontents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	G luino decay-width	2
3.	G luino-pair production cross-section 3.1 gg ! gg process 3.2 qq ! gg process	4 4 6
4.	B inding corrections	7
5.	ISR corrections	11
6.	Sum m ary	13

## 1. Introduction

At the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC), discovery of new heavy particles at TeV scale is expected as an evidence of physics beyond the Standard M odel (SM). One of the well-investigated m odels beyond the SM is the m inim al supersymmetric extension of the Standard M odel (M SSM). At hadron colliders, colored particles can be produced copiously. In the M SSM, the colored particles are superpartners of quarks and gluons, which are called squarks and gluinos, respectively. The LHC has high discovery potential for those particles, where m asses up to 2 TeV can be explored [1,2]. Current lim its on their m ass and production cross-sections are set by m easurements at the Ferm ilab Tevatron collider [3,4] (See also R ef. [5]).

In the M SSM, due to the R-parity conservation, superpartner particles or sparticles, are produced in pair. The total and di erential cross-sections at hadron colliders have been known for long time in the leading-order (LO) [6{8], as well as in the next-to-leading oder (NLO) including SUSY-QCD corrections [9{11]. The NLO corrections are found to positive and large. The origin of the large corrections comes from the threshold logs due to the em ission of the soft/collinear gluons, and also a C oulom b singularity due to the exchange of C oulom b gluons between the nal-state particles. Both term s become signi cant at the partonic threshold region, where they should be considered to all-order. R ecently, the all-order sum m ation of the threshold logarithm ic corrections has been perform ed for the sparticle-pair production processes at hadron colliders in R efs. [12{14].

The aim of this paper is to exam ine the e ects of C oulom b corrections to all-orders in the gluino-pair production process. Sim ilar studies for gluino-pair and also squark-pair productions can be found in R ef. [14], where the C oulom b corrections are taken into account to all-orders by using the Somm erfeld factor [15,16]. However, to our know ledge, there has been no study including the bound-states e ect which have been found signi cant for the top-quark pair production at the LHC [15,17,18].<sup>1</sup> The bound-states of a pair of gluinos are called gluinonium [20{28]. When the C oulom b force is attractive, it causes not only the corrections to the cross-sections above the mass threshold [14], but also form ation of the gluinonium s below the threshold. The bound-state form ations can be taken into account by sum ming the C oulom b term s to all-orders or non-perturbatively. The G reen's function form alism [29,30] has been developed for this purpose, which incorporates the nite-width e ects of the constituent particles.

The paper follows the recent studies for the bound-state e ects in the top-quark pair production at hadron colliders [17,18]. The invariant-m ass distribution of the top-quark pair is distorted signi cantly near the threshold region [17,18], and we may expect similar e ects for the gluino-pair.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec.2 and Sec.3, we brie y review the basic properties of the gluino decay-width and the gluino-pair production cross-section, respectively. Then in Sec. 4, we discuss binding corrections to the gluino-pair production. In Sec. 5, we discuss e ects of the initial-state radiation and the hard-vertex correction. Finally, we sum marize our notings in Sec. 6.

## 2. G luino decay-width

In this section and the next section, we brie y review the basic properties of the gluino that are relevant to our studying; the decay-width and the pair-production cross-section at hadron colliders, respectively. For more details, see e.g. R ef. [31].

The e ects of gluinonium form ation at hadron colliders depend strongly on the gluino decay width. In particular, the following regions should have qualitatively dierent signals:

A: 
$$_{q}$$
 &  $E_{B}$  j (2.1a)

$$B: E_B j > g gg \qquad (2.1b)$$

- $C: E_B j g > gg$ (2.1c)
- D: g < gg (2.1d)

Here,  $E_B < 0$  is the binding-energy of gluinonium s, and  $_{gg}$  denotes the partial decaywidth of the gluinonium annihilation into gluons. In the region A, the produced gluinos decay before the gluinonium formation, and the binding e ects cannot be observed. In the region B, the binding e ects are expected to enhance the pair production cross-section

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ W e are informed that the bound-state e ect on the squark pair production is examined in R ef. [19].

below the threshold, especially at around the location of the ground state energy, as is expected for the top-quarks [15,17,18]. In the region C , a few narrow gluinonium resonances can be produced, whose decay m ay still be dom inated by the constituent gluino decays. In the region D , the produced gluinonium will decay mainly into gluon jets, and hence will disappear without leaving a detectable trace in hadron collider environments.



F igure 1: The gluino decay-width as a function of the gluino mass, calculated from g ! qq<sup>(0)</sup>W and g ! qqB decay modes. We consider decays into 5- avor massless quarks only where the corresponding squarks have a common mass  $m_q = 500 \text{ GeV}$ , 1 TeV or 1.5 TeV, and the gaugnino masses satisfy  $m_g : m_W : m_B = 7 : 2 : 1$ . The dotted-lines show the magnitude of the binding energy of the ground  ${}^1S_0$  (1) gluinonium (  $E_B$  [ ${}^1S_0$ ]), and the partial decay-width of the ground  ${}^1S_0$  (1) gluinonium annihilation into gluons (  ${}_{gg}$  [ ${}^1S_0$ ]).

In Fig. 1, we plot the decay width of the gluino as a function of the gluino mass. The decay-width is calculated for  $q ! qq^{(0)}W$ and g ! qqB decays [32], where winos (W)and a bino (B) are superpartners of SU  $(2)_{L}$ and  $U(1)_{Y}$  gauge bosons, respectively. Solid, dashed and dot-dashed curves are for the squark mass m  $_{\rm eff}$  = 500 G eV , 1 TeV and 1.5 TeV, respectively. For sim plicity, we assume that all the super-partners of 5 light-quarks with both chiralities have a common mass m<sub>g</sub>, and the gaugino masses satisfy the relation  $m_{q}: m_{W}: m_{B'} = 7:2:1$ , which are valid in several SUSY breaking scenarios. Neither the contribution from the top squarks nor the gaugino-higgsino m ixing are considered for brevity.

The upper dotted-line shows the magnitude of the binding energy of the ground  ${}^{1}S_{0}(1)$  gluinonium, which is them ost deeplybounded color{singlet gluinonium, see section 4 in detail. It is estimated by using a

Coulom bic potential V (r) =  $C_A = r$  with the color-factor  $C_A = 3$  for the color{singlet gluinonium s. W ithin this approximation, the binding-energy is calculated as

$$E_{B}[{}^{1}S_{0}(1)] = \frac{C_{A}^{2}}{4}m_{g} {}^{2}_{s}(B)_{MS}; \qquad (2.2)$$

where we take the  $\overline{MS}$  renorm alization scale to satisfy  $_{B} = C_{A}m_{g} _{s}(_{B})_{\overline{MS}}=4$ , which corresponds to a half of the inverse Bohr radius of the  ${}^{1}S_{0}(1)$  gluinonium. It is known that this scale choice makes the QCD higher-order corrections to the binding energy small. For the gluino-mass from 200 GeV to 2 TeV, the binding energy grows from 10 GeV to 50 GeV.

The lower dotted-line shows the partial decay-width of the ground  ${}^{1}S_{0}(1)$  gluinonium annihilation into two gluons;

$$_{gg}[{}^{1}S_{0}(1)] = 18 \quad {}^{2}_{s}(\frac{m_{g}}{2})_{MS} \quad \frac{j(0)j^{2}}{m_{g}^{2}};$$
 (2.3)



Figure 2: Feynm an diagram s for gg ! gg process and qq ! gg process at the tree-level. D ashed-lines represent squarks,  $q_k$  or  $q_L$ .

with  $j(0)_{j}^{2} = C_{A}^{3}m_{g}^{3}s_{s}^{3}(B)_{MS} = 8$  which is also obtained by using the C oulom bic potential approximation. For the same gluino-mass region as above, it grows from several hundred MeM to one GeV.

The three curves for  $_{\rm g}$  show steep gradient at m $_{\rm g}$  ' m $_{\rm q}$ . Above the squark mass threshold, the gluinos decay into a squark and a quark and the width is proportional to  $_{\rm s}m_{\rm g}$ . Below the two-body decay threshold, the gluino decay width drops quickly down to the three-body decay width with the electroweak coupling. The gluino width curves cross the binding-energy curve at around m $_{\rm g}$  ' 1:3m $_{\rm q}$ , while they cross the gluinonium annihilation width just above m $_{\rm g} = m_{\rm q}$ . Therefore, if the gluino is lighter than all the squarks, the region D (2.1d) would likely be realized, and binding e ects cannot be observed at hadron colliders. On the other hand for m $_{\rm g} > m_{\rm q}$ , depending on the mass ratio m $_{\rm g}$ =m $_{\rm q}$ , regions A to C (2.1a-c) would be realized.

## 3. G luino-pair production cross-section

Now, we review the gluino-pair production at hadron colliders, focusing our attention on the threshold behavior and the color structure of the scattering am plitudes.

At the parton level, there are two leading subprocesses for the gluino-pair production;

 $g(p_1; _1;a_1) + g(p_2; _2;a_2) ! g(p_3; _3;a_3) + g(p_4; _4;a_4);$ (3.1a)

$$q(p_1; _1; i_1) + q(p_2; _2; i_2)! g(p_3; _3; a_3) + g(p_4; _4; a_4):$$
 (3.1b)

The tree-level Feynm an-diagram s are shown in Fig. 2. Here,  $p_k$  and  $_k$  are momenta and helicities of particles,  $a_k$  and  $i_k$  are color indices of gluons(gluinos) and quarks, respectively. We norm alize  $_k$  to take 1 both for ferm ions and gluons. At the LHC, due to the steep rise of the gluon density in the small momentum -fraction region, gluon-fusion process (3.1a) dom inates the cross section for  $m_g < 1$  TeV [9]. For  $m_g > 1$  TeV, the qq annihilation process (3.1b) can contribute signi cantly to the total cross-section.

## 3.1 gg ! gg process

First, we review the gluon-fusion process. We present helicity am plitudes for this process by decomposing the color factors into symmetric and antisymmetric parts:

$$M_{gg! gg}(p_{i}; i; a_{i}) = g_{s}^{2} \frac{h_{1}}{2} fF^{a_{3}}; F^{a_{4}}g_{a_{1}a_{2}} M_{gg}(p_{i}; i) + \frac{1}{2} [F^{a_{3}}; F^{a_{4}}]_{a_{1}a_{2}} N_{gg}(p_{i}; i) : (3.2)$$

Here  $g_s = \frac{p}{4} \frac{1}{s}$  is the QCD coupling constant.  $F_{bc}^{a} = if^{abc}$  is the color matrix in the adjoint representation. The amplitudes can be obtained by replacing only the color-factors from those of the heavy-quark pair production, gg ! QQ. The color{sym metric part and antisym metric part of the amplitudes are sum marized as follows;

$$M_{gg}(p_{k}; ; ; ; ) = \frac{4m_{g}}{\frac{p}{s}} + \frac{4m_{g}}{1 - 2\cos^{2}}; \qquad (3.3a)$$

$$M_{gg}(p_k; ;; ;; ) = 0;$$
 (3.3b)

$$M_{gg}(p_k; ; ; ; ; ) = \frac{4m_g}{\frac{p}{s}} \frac{\sin^2}{1 - \cos^2}; \qquad (3.3c)$$

$$M_{gg}(p_k; ; ; ; ; ) = 2 \frac{\sin (+ \cos )}{1 c c c s^2}; \qquad (3.3d)$$

for the sym m etric part, and

$$N_{gg}(p_k; k) = \cos M_{gg}(p_k; k):$$
 (3.4)

for the anti-symm etric part. Here, is the angle between the 3-m omenta  $p_1$  and  $p_3$ , and =  $1 \qquad 4m_g^2 = \$$  is the velocity of the gluino in the partonic center-of-m ass fram e with  $\$ = (p_1 + p_2)^2$ .

The product of the two color{octet states transform s as 8 = 1 §  $\S_A$  10  $\overline{10}$  27. In the gg ! gg am plitudes, the color basis for these states can be taken as [12];

1: 
$$c_1 = \frac{1}{8} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4$$
; (3.5a)

$$8_{\rm S}: c_2 = \frac{3}{5} d^{a_1 a_2 b} d^{a_3 a_4 b};$$
 (3.5b)

$$8_{A} : c_{3} = \frac{1}{3} f^{a_{1}a_{2}b} f^{a_{3}a_{4}b}; \qquad (3.5c)$$

10 
$$\overline{10}$$
:  $q = \frac{1}{2} (a_{1}a_{3} a_{2}a_{4} a_{1}a_{4} a_{2}a_{3}) \frac{1}{3} f^{a_{1}a_{2}b} f^{a_{3}a_{4}b};$  (3.5d)

27: 
$$c_5 = \frac{1}{2} (a_{1}a_{3}a_{2}a_{4} + a_{1}a_{4}a_{2}a_{3}) \frac{1}{8} a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4} \frac{3}{5} d^{a_{1}a_{2}b} d^{a_{3}a_{4}b};$$
 (3.5e)

where the bases  $c_1$  to  $c_5$  are for  $1, 8_S, 8_A, 10$  10, 27, respectively. The color factors in Eq. (3.2) are then expressed as

$$\frac{1}{2} fF^{a_1}; F^{a_2}g_{a_3a_4} = \frac{1}{2} f^{a_1a_3b}f^{a_2a_4b} + f^{a_1a_4b}f^{a_2a_3b} = 3c_1 + \frac{3}{2}c_2 \quad g; \quad (3.6)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ F^{a_1}; F^{a_2} \right]_{a_3 a_4} = \frac{1}{2} f^{a_1 a_3 b} f^{a_2 a_4 b} f^{a_1 a_4 b} f^{a_2 a_3 b} = \frac{3}{2} c_3 :$$
(3.7)

The  $c_4$  term for 10  $\overline{10}$  state does not appear at the tree-level. Near the threshold, only the color-sym m etric part of the am plitude M  $_{gg}$  survives, and the anti-sym m etric part N  $_{gg}$  is suppressed by . Thus, production cross-section for the color-8  $_{\rm A}$  state is suppressed by 0 ( $^2$ ) near the threshold. All the other color{states have the sam e production am plitude at the tree-level, with the norm alization constant  $(\beta c_1 \hat{f}; \beta = 2c_2 \hat{f}; \dot{p}_5 \hat{f}) = (9;18;27)$ . Therefore, 1/6 of gluino-pair produced near the threshold is in the color{singlet, while 1/3, 1/2 are in the 8  $_{\rm S}$ , 27 color{states, respectively.

## 3.2 qq ! gg process

Next, we exam ine the qq annihilation process. In contrast to the qq ! QQ process where only the color{octet state are produced, the color{singlet gg state can also be produced due to the squark-exchange diagram s; see Fig. 2.

Helicity am plitudes for this process are written as;

$$M_{qq! qg}(p_{k}; k; i_{k}; a_{k}) = g_{s}^{2} \frac{h}{2} fT^{a_{3}}; T^{a_{4}}g_{i_{1}i_{2}}M_{qq}(p_{k}; k) + \frac{1}{2} [T^{a_{3}}; T^{a_{4}}]_{i_{1}i_{2}}N_{qq}(p_{k}; k):$$
(3.8)

The color{symmetric amplitudes are

$$M_{qq}(p_{k};;;;) = \frac{4m_{q}}{p_{s}} \frac{\cos \sin}{A^{2} cos^{2}}; \qquad (3.9a)$$

$$M_{qq}(p_k; ;; ;; ) = 2 (1 + \cos) \frac{A \cos}{A^2 \cos^2};$$
 (3.9b)

and the anti-sym m etric ones are

$$N_{qq}(p_k; ; ; ; ; ) = \frac{4m_{q}}{p_{\overline{s}}} \sin 1 \frac{A}{A^2 \cos^2};$$
 (3.10a)

$$N_{qq}(p_k; ; ; ; ) = 2 + \cos 1 \frac{A^2 \cos}{A^2 \cos^2} :$$
 (3.10b)

Here, we de ne A = 1  $2(m_q^2 - m_q^2) = s$  where  $q_+ = q_R$  and  $q_- = q_L$ . Due to the chirality conservation, am plitudes for  $_1 = _2$  vanish and only the squark with the chirality of the incoming quark  $= _1$  contributes. In the threshold limit ! 0, the color-symmetric am plitudes behave as M  $_{qq}$  0 () and the anti-symmetric ones as N  $_{qq}$  /  $(m_q^2 - m_q^2) = (m_q^2 + m_q^2)$ . Note that, the rst term in the square bracket in Eqs. (3.10) comes from the s-channel gluon exchange diagram, while the other term comes from squark mass are degenerate, the s-channel diagram and squark-exchange diagram s interfere destructively [9] to make the full am plitudes (3.8) color-symmetric.

The color states of the produced gluino pair are found from

$$\frac{1}{2} fT^{a}; T^{b}g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} a^{b}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} d^{abc} T^{c}_{ij}; \qquad (3.11a)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} [T^{a}; T^{b}]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} i f^{abc} T^{c}_{ij}:$$
(3.11b)

The rst term in the right-hand side in Eq. (3.11a) represents the color{singlet, and the others are color{octets either symmetric (3.11a) or anti-symmetric (3.11b). Thus, in qq annihilation, production of the color{singlet state is suppressed by 0 ( $^2$ ) and the color{ octet state  $(8_A)$  dom inates near the threshold, unless the gluino m ass and the squark m ass are degenerate.

#### 4. B inding corrections

In this section, we describe the bound-state e ects in the gluino-pair production. The partonic cross-section including bound-state correction is expressed as;

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{i}^{(c)}(\hat{s}) = \hat{\Gamma}_{i,0}^{(c)}(\hat{s}) \quad \frac{\text{Im} \left[G^{(c)}(\hat{0}; E + i_{g})\right]}{\text{Im} \left[G_{0}(\hat{0}; E + i_{g})\right]};$$

$$(4.1)$$

where  $^{(c)}_{i;0}$  is the tree-level cross-section. Here, we specify the color{state of the gluino-pair denoted by c, and i represents the initial partons i = gg or qq. The G reen's function G  $^{(c)}$  is obtained by solving the Schodinger equation;

$$(E + i_g) \qquad \frac{r^2}{m_g} + V^{(c)}(r) \quad G^{(c)}(x; E + i_g) = {}^3(x); \qquad (4.2)$$

where E is an energy of the gluino-pair measured from their mass threshold. We de ne it as,

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} M & 2m_{g} (M < 2m_{g}) \\ m_{g}^{2} & (M & 2m_{g}) \end{pmatrix};$$
(4.3)

where M is the invariant-m ass of the gluino-pair. V  $^{(c)}(r)$  is QCD potentials between the gluino-pair depending on their colors. G<sub>0</sub> is obtained by taking V  $^{(c)} = 0$ , which is simply the tree-level G reen's function of the gluinos with its nite width.

Weuse the NLO QCD potential [33];

$$V^{(c)}(r) = C^{(c)} \frac{s(B)_{MS}}{r} + \frac{s}{1} + \frac{s}{2} f_{2} \left[\ln(Br) + E\right] + a_{1}g + 0 \frac{s^{2}}{2}$$
(4.4)

where the color-factor C <sup>(c)</sup> is known [23] to be

$$C^{(c)} = f C_{A}; \frac{1}{2}C_{A}; \frac{1}{2}C_{A}; 0;1g;$$
 (4.5)

for  $c = f1; 8_s; 8_A; 10 \quad 10; 27g$ , respectively. Thus, QCD potential for  $1, 8_s$  and  $8_A$  color{ states is attractive, while that for 27 color{state is repulsive.  $_E = 0:5772:::$  denotes the Euler constant, and the other constants are;

$$_{0} = \frac{11}{3}C_{A} - \frac{2}{3}n_{q}; \quad a_{1} = \frac{31}{9}C_{A} - \frac{10}{9}n_{q}; \quad (4.6)$$

We take the number of light-quark avor to  $n_q = 5$ , and the scale  $_B$  as a half of the inverse Bohr radius of the color{singlet gluinonium,  $_B = 1=2r_B = C_A m_g _s(_B)=4$ . For example for  $m_g = 608 \text{ GeV}$ , we obtain  $_B$  ' 58 GeV and  $_s(_B)$  ' 0:127. With this scale choice, the higher-order corrections to the binding energy is small. On the other hand, the magnitude of the G reen's function is reduced by several tens percent in an entire range of E, when one includes the NLO correction.

For the attractive force, the gluino-pair form s a bound-state with a de nite spin (S) and an orbital angular m om enta (L) [23]. Within the MSSM the gluino is a M a jorana ferm ion, and the wave-function of the gg state m ust be anti-symmetric under the exchange of the two gluinos. This leads to the restriction on the spin and orbital angular m om enta of the gluinonium, so that the color{symmetric pair in  $(1, 8_S, 27)$  form s only even L + S states, while the color{antisymmetric pair  $(8_A)$  form s only odd L + S states. Possible bound-states for each color{state are summarized in Table 1. We also list the non-zero components in the tree-level am plitude for each process. B lank states in i = gg and i = qq row s are m issing at the tree-level. For exam ple, due to the Yang's theorem, color-symmetric J = 1 states are forbidden in gluon-fusion process. Likew ise, S = 0 or J = 0 states are forbidden in i = qq, due to the chirality conservation.

For the repulsive force, the gluino-pair does not form a bound-state, but the G reen's function form ula is still useful for sum m ing over C oulom bic corrections.

In our analysis, we include only the S-wave G reen's function. The other states are left free, since they are not a ected signi cantly by the binding e ects. The extraction of the certain partial-wave amplitude is straightforward from the helicity amplitudes given in the previous section.

The gg invariant-m ass distribution in the hadronic collisions is given as [17,18];

$$\frac{d}{dM^{2}} = \sum_{i,c}^{X} \gamma_{i}^{(c)}(M^{2}) = K_{i}^{(c)} \sum_{z}^{Z} F_{i}^{(c)}(z) \frac{dL_{i}}{d} = K_{i}^{(c)}(z) \frac{dL_{i}}$$

where  $= M^2 = s$ . The partonic lum inosity is de ned by

$$\frac{dL_{i}}{d}(; F) = \begin{cases} X & Z & Z \\ dx_{1} & dx_{2}f_{a}(x_{1}; F)f_{b}(x_{2}; F) & (X_{1}x_{2}); \\ f_{a}g_{a}g_{a}g_{a}(x_{1}; F)f_{b}(x_{2}; F) & (X_{1}x_{2}); \end{cases}$$
(4.8)

where the sum m ation is over fa; bg = fg; gg for i = gg, and fa; bg = fq; qg; fq; qg with q = u; d; s; c; b for i = qq. W e use 5- avor parton distribution functions, and the 5- avor strong coupling constant even for  $> m_t$ .

The convolution form ula in Eq. (4.7) is based on an assumption of the factorization of the soft/collinear gluon emission and the Coulomb corrections<sup>2</sup>. Thus, the soft/collinear gluon emission is described by the ISR (initial-state radiation) functions  $F_i^{(c)}$ , and the

 $<sup>^2</sup> R$  event paper by M .Beneke, P.Falgari and C .Schw inn shows the factorization property of the C oulom b-gluon and the soft-gluon [34].

color	symmetric (1,8 <sub>s</sub> ,27)	anti-sym $m$ etric (8_{\rm A} )
dd	<sup>1</sup> S <sub>0</sub> , <sup>3</sup> P <sub>0;1;2</sub> , <sup>1</sup> D <sub>2</sub> ,	<sup>3</sup> S <sub>1</sub> , <sup>1</sup> P <sub>1</sub> , <sup>3</sup> D <sub>1;2;3</sub> ,
i= gg	<sup>1</sup> S <sub>0</sub> , <sup>3</sup> P <sub>0; 2</sub> , <sup>1</sup> D <sub>2</sub> ,	<sup>1</sup> P <sub>1</sub> , <sup>3</sup> D <sub>1; 3</sub> ,
i= qq	<sup>3</sup> P <sub>1,2</sub> ,	<sup>3</sup> S <sub>1</sub> , <sup>3</sup> D <sub>1,2,3</sub> ,

Table 1: Sum mary of gluinonium states, and possible tree-level production from i = qg and i = qq.



Figure 3: gg invariant-m ass distribution at the LHC, for  $m_g = 608 \text{ GeV}$  and g = 5.5 GeV (SPS1a). Result in the Born level (dotted), O ( $_{\rm S}$ ) Coulom b correction (dashed), and the all-order Coulom b correction (solid) are plotted. Right gure is the same, but enlarged for the threshold region. A ll-order Coulom b correction for individual 1 and  $8_{\rm S}$  color{states in the gluon-fusion process are also plotted in dot-dashed lines.

Coulom b corrections are included in the partonic cross-section  $^{(c)}_{i}$ , as in Eq. (4.1). K  $^{(c)}_{i}$  represents the hard-vertex correction, which is a process-dependent constant.

In this section, we neglect the ISR e ect for simplicity, and set  $F_i^{(c)}(z) = (1 \quad z)$  with  $K_i^{(c)} = 1$ . The e ect is discussed in the next section.

In the left gure in Fig. 3, we show the invariant-m ass distribution of the gluino-pair including bound-state corrections, for the LHC with p = 14 TeV. The results are given for  $m_g = 608$  GeV, a la SPS1a [35], and for g = 5.5 GeV for  $m_q = 547$  GeV. We use the CTEQ 6L PDF parameterization [36], and set scales as  $R = F = m_g$ . Predictions in Born-level, including O ( $_s$ ) C oulom b correction, and all-order C oulom b correction are plotted in dotted, dashed and solid lines, respectively. C om pared to the B orn-level result, the O ( $_s$ ) correction as well as the all-order C oulom b corrections bring large enhancement near them ass threshold (M '  $2m_g$ ). Moreover, the distribution for the all-order correction and the nite-width e ect, the gluino-pair invariant-m ass distribution arises rapidly below them ass threshold. Thus the e ective pair-production threshold is not at  $2m_g$  but at M '  $2m_g$  30 G eV. The order of the deviation is about the binding-energy of the  ${}^1S_0(1)$  state, thus O ( $m_g {}^2_s$ ). On the other hand, the enhancement disappears in the high invariant-mass region.

The all-order C oulom b correction enhance the total cross-section by about 15% from the cross-section in B orn level, where a substantial portion from below the threshold region contributes. W e discuss this result in m ore detail below.

The right gure in Fig. 3 is the same, but enlarged on the threshold region. For the all-order correction, individual contributions of the color  $1, 8_S$  states are also plotted. But those for other color{states,  $8_A$ , 27 which have no enhancement near the threshold are

not shown. qq annihilation process is negligible in this case. In the all-order distribution, two resonance peaks can be found at E ' 22 GeV and E ' 7 GeV. The rst peak corresponds to the ground state of the color{singlet  ${}^{1}S_{0}$  resonance, and the second peak consists of the second excitation of the  ${}^{1}S_{0}(1)$  resonance and the ground state of the  ${}^{1}S_{0}(8_{s})$  resonance.



Figure 4: Invariant-m ass distributions at the threshold region, at the LHC, p = 14 TeV. Results including the all-order C oulom b correction, as well as no C oulom b correction are plotted for m<sub>g</sub> = 608 G eV, for <sub>g</sub> = 20 G eV (dotted), 5.5 G eV (dot-dashed) 1.5 G eV (dashed) and 0.5 G eV (solid).

Fig. 4 is also the invariant-m ass distributions at the very threshold region, considering a variety of the gluino decay width. R esults for  $m_g = 608 \text{ GeV}$ , for g = 20 GeV, 5.5 G eV, 1.5 G eV and 0.5 G eV are plotted in the dotted, dot-dashed, dashed and solid lines, respectively.

A coording to Fig. 1, g = 20 GeV is the same order as the gluinonium binding energy  $E_B j'$  22 GeV for  $m_g = 608$  GeV. Thus it corresponds to the region A according to the classi cation de ned in Sec. 2. In this case, the invariant mass distribution shows no resonance peaks, but a gradual slope until rather below the threshold. This is due to the nite width e ect, giving the production probability of the events with a o -shell gluino. The C oulom b corrections act to enhance the pro-

duction ratio at the threshold region. Next,  $_{g} = 5.5 \text{ GeV}$  is the same value as used in Fig. 3 which corresponds to the region B. This case, the distribution shows a broad resonance at E ' 22 GeV, corresponding to the ground  ${}^{1}S_{0}(1)$  gluinonium. The resonance for the ground  ${}^{1}S_{0}(8_{s})$  gluinonium at E ' 7 GeV is barely seen. In the distribution for  $_{g} = 1.5 \text{ GeV}$  (region C), the two separated resonances for the ground  ${}^{1}S_{0}(1)$  and  ${}^{1}S_{0}(8_{s})$  gluinonium s can be seen. Finally, for  $_{g} = 0.5 \text{ GeV}$  (region D), several resonances can be found in M < 2m  $_{g}$ . Actually, the rst peak for the ground  ${}^{1}S_{0}(1)$  resonance is quite sharp. The second peak at E ' 7 GeV which consists of the ground  ${}^{1}S_{0}(8_{s})$  gluinonium and the second excited  ${}^{1}S_{0}(1)$  gluinonium is also clearly seen.

A sm entioned in Sec. 2, for the regions C and D, the partial decay width of the gluinonium annihilation into gluons can not be negligible. For  $m_g = 608 \text{ GeV}$ , the two gluon decay-width of the  ${}^{1}S_{0}(1)$  gluinonium is about  ${}_{gg}[{}^{1}S_{0}(1)]'$  0:75 G eV, see Eq. (2.3) and Fig. 1. Note that the gluinonium s have partial decay width 2  ${}_{g}$ , due to the decay width of the constituent gluinos. Thus, the branching ratio to the \hidden" gluino decay m ay be estimated by B ((gg) ! gg) =  ${}_{gg}=(2 {}_{g} + {}_{gg})$ . U sing this estimation, about 20% (40%) of the resonance events for  ${}_{g} = 1.5 \text{ GeV}$  (0.5 G eV) in Fig. 4 would decay into jets. Therefore, only 80% (60%) of the resonance events would leave detectable signals of the gluinos at hadron colliders. A correction to the total cross-section is also an in portant consequence of the inclusion of the binding corrections. Above the threshold, the all-order sum mation of the C oulom b corrections gives the Som merfeld factor. It enhances the total cross-section from the B om-level results by 10% to 20% for  $m_g = 200 \text{ GeV}$  to 2 TeV, alm ost independent of the gluino decay-width. This result is rather smaller than that in R ef. [14], due to the N LO correction to the Q C D potential which reduces the magnitude of the G reen's function even for  $E^{>}$  0.

In addition, there comes a substantial portion to the total cross section from the  $M < 2m_g$  region. The cross section in this region emerges by (1) the sm earing e ect due to the nite width of the gluino, and (2) the bound-state contributions. The form er is proportional to  $_{g}$ , while the later is almost independent of  $_{g}$ .

In Table 2, we calculate the proportion of the cross section in  $M < 2m_g$  to the total cross-section. We denote the total cross-section by integrating the dimensional cross-section over the invariant-mass from  $M = 2m_g + E_B [^1S_0(1)] = 3_g$  to  $P_s$ , where  $E_B [^1S_0(1)]$  is the binding energy of the  $^1S_0(1)$  gluinonium.  $_g = E_B [^1S_0(1)]$ ,  $E_B [^1S_0(1)] = 2, 2_{gg} [^1S_0(1)]$  and  $_{gg} [^1S_0(1)] = 2$ , for  $m_g = 200$  GeV to 2 TeV are examined. The four patterns of  $_g$  represent typical values in the regions A to D. In the square brackets are the same ratio but without the binding correction, thus these illustrate only the nite-width elect of the gluino.

For  $_{g} = E_{B}$  (region A), the ratio grows from 8% for smaller  $m_{g}$  to 11% for larger  $m_{g}$ , where a more than half of the contribution comes from the smearing elect due to the large decay width. The smearing elect decreases with  $_{g}$ , and is negligible for the regions C and D. Then the bound-state elect which tends from 4% to 6% dominates the ratio. Basically, the ratio grows with  $m_{g}$ . This is because, for larger  $m_{g}$ , the gluon luminosity becomes more steep in M, thus the cross section in lower invariant-mass region is more signicant.

## 5. ISR corrections

m <sub>g</sub>	A: $_{g} = E_{B}$	B: $_{g} = E_{B} = 2$	C: $g = 2 gg$	D: $g = gg=2$
200 [G eV ]	7.5 [4.5]	5.0 [1.8]	4.0 [0.3]	3.9 [0.1]
400 [G eV ]	7.1 [4.2]	4.8 [1.7]	3.8 [0.2]	3.8 [0.1]
600 [G eV ]	7.2 [4.2]	5.0 [1.7]	3.9 [0.2]	4.2 [0.0]
1 [TeV]	7.9 [4.6]	5.5 [1.8]	4.3 [0.2]	4.4 [0.0]
1.5 [TeV]	9.2 [5.3]	6.3 [2.1]	5.0 [0.2]	5.1 [0.0]
2[TeV]	10.7 [6.3]	7.4 [2.5]	5.9 [0.2]	5.9 [0.0]

In this section, we discuss the e ects of the ISR and the hard correction, which are the remaining major corrections in QCD.We treat the ISR function to O ( $_{\rm S}$ ) in a soft ap-

Table 2: Table of a proportion of the cross section in M <  $2m_g$  to the total cross-section (%), at the LHC with p = 14 TeV. The same ratio but without the binding correction are also listed in the squark bracket.

proximation, as in Ref. [17]. The ISR function dened in Eq. (4.7) is written up to NLO as;

$$F_{i}^{(C)}(z; F) = (1 z) + \frac{s(F)}{m} f_{i}^{(C)} z; \frac{F}{m_{g}} + k_{i}^{(C)} \frac{F}{m_{g}} (1 z); \quad (5.1)$$

w ith

$$f_{i}^{(C)} z_{i} = 4A_{i} - \frac{\ln(1 z)}{1 z} + \frac{1}{1 z} \ln \frac{F}{2m_{g}} + D_{c} \frac{1}{1 z} + (5.2)$$

and

$$k_{i}^{(C)} \quad \frac{F}{m_{g}} = 2B_{i}\ln \frac{F}{2m_{g}} : \qquad (5.3)$$

The constants are given as [12];

$$A_{g} = C_{A}; A_{q} = C_{F}; B_{g} = \frac{0}{2}; B_{q} = \frac{3}{2}C_{F};$$
  

$$D_{1} = 0; D_{8_{S}} = 3; D_{8_{A}} = 3; D_{27} = 8:$$
(5.4)

The hard-vertex factor is obtained by matching with the full O ( $_{\rm S}$ ) correction. However, to our know ledge, O ( $_{\rm S}$ ) correction to the gluino-pair production has been calculated numerically and only for the color(sum med cross-section in Ref. [9]. A coordingly, we cannot

xed the color{dependent factors, but only the color{averaged one. We parametrize the hard-vertex factors as  $\$ 

$$K_{i}^{(C)}(R_{R}) = 1 + \frac{s(R_{R})}{m_{i}}h_{i}^{(C)} \frac{R_{R}}{m_{g}};$$
 (5.5)

w ith

$$h_{i} \frac{R}{m_{g}} = h_{i} + _{0} \ln \frac{R}{2m_{g}}$$
 : (5.6)

Extracting from the graphs in the gure 9 (a) and (b) in Ref. [9] or using Ref. [10], we nd

$$h_g = 0.26;$$
 (5.7)

$$h_{g} = 1:5;$$
 (5.8)

where the later is obtained for  $m_q = m_g = 1:4$ , and we neglect the mass-ratio dependence. There is also an ambiguity in separating the non-logarithm ic part in  $k_i^{(c)}$  and  $h_i^{(c)}$ . We take a scheme such that the non-logarithm ic part in  $k_i^{(c)}$  is zero.

Now, we exam ine the ISR e ect to the di erential cross section at the bound-state region. We calculate the ratio of d =dM at  $M = 2m_g + E_B$  including the ISR to that without the ISR, K  $_{ISR} = NOISR$ , where d =dM with the ISR are evaluated using the CTEQ 6M PDFs with =  $m_g$ .

We also examine the ambiguity by the scale choice of . We nd the maximum and the minimum of d =dM at M =  $2m_g + E_B$  during the range from =  $m_g$  to  $2m_g$ , and de ne the uncertainty for the cross sections without and with the ISR as

fNoISR; ISR g 
$$\frac{\max \min}{(m = m_g)}$$
 (5.9)

m g	K	N o ISR	ISR
200 [G eV ]	1.17	0.21	0.05
400 [G eV ]	1.36	0.22	0.02
600 [G eV ]	1.52	0.23	0.01
1 [TeV]	1.82	0.24	0.02
1.5 [TeV]	2.25	0.25	0.03
2 [TeV]	2.78	0.25	0.05

Table 3: Table of the ratio of the differential cross section d =dM at M =  $2m_g + E_B$  with the ISR to that without the ISR, as well as the scale uncertainties = .

In Table 3, we list the ratio K and the scale uncertainties for the cross-section without and with the ISR. In the calculation, the decay width is set to  $_{\rm g} = E_{\rm B} = 2$ , however the width dependence is small. The ratio K is 1.2 for m $_{\rm g} = 200~{\rm GeV}$  and increases with m $_{\rm g}$  to 2.8 for m $_{\rm g} = 2~{\rm TeV}$ , due to the term s including the plus distribution in F $_{\rm i}^{\rm (c)}$ , which take large values when \$ is close to M  $^2$ . The scale uncertainty of the cross section without the ISR is 21-25%, however that with the ISR is remarkably reduced to a few percent.

In the invariant-m ass distribution, the size of the ISR correction is not actually a constant, but m oderately increase with M . As the result, the proportion of the cross section in M  $< 2m_g$  to the total

cross section shown in Table. 2 is slightly reduced.

W e note several remarks on the ISR.First, it is pointed out in the top-quark production [18] that the emission of the non-collinear gluon can give the same-order correction. This can be implemented into our analysis by utilizing the NLO calculation of the gluinonium production which has not been available yet. Moreover, the color-dependence of the hard-vertex factor is neglected in our analysis, which is also resolved by the above calculation or the NLO calculation of the gluino-pair production with an explicit color projection.D ue to the lack of them, our results have am biguity in the overallm agnitude of the ISR correction. However, we expect that these e ects would not considerably deform the invariant-m ass distribution.

#### 6. Sum m ary

In this paper, we study the bound-state corrections in the gluino-pair production at hadron colliders. We perform the all-order sum mation of the C oulom b corrections using the G reen's function formalism, and nd sizable corrections in the gluino-pair invariant-mass distribution as well as in the total cross-section.

The consequence of the bound-state correction crucially depends on the decay width of the gluino. When  $m_g > m_q$ , the gluino decay-width is typically between a few and a few hundred G eV; see Fig. 1. In this case, the invariant-m ass distribution below and near the mass threshold region receive a large enhancement, due to the formation of the gluinonium bound-states. We show that the gluino-pair production cross section below the threshold (M <  $2m_q$ ) grows from 4% to 6% of the total cross section due to the bound-states formation, when the gluino mass increases from 200 G eV to 2 TeV. For the large q case, although the resonance peaks are smeared-out, the ratio grows to 8% to 11% due to the smearing e ect. When q is signi cantly smaller than the binding energy of the

gluinonium s, which grows from 10 G eV for  $m_g$  ' 200 G eV to 50 G eV for  $m_g = 2$  TeV (see Fig. 1), one or two resonance peaks arise in the invariant-m ass distribution. A lthough the study of the observable signals of the gluino-pair production, such as the m issing  $p_T$  and various kinem atical observables, is beyond the scope of this report, those events below the threshold should be taken into account in the determ ination of the gluino m ass.

On the other hand, when  $m_g < m_q$ , the gluino decay-width is quite tiny; see Fig.1. In this case, the gluinonium spectra are very sharp and more than two resonance peaks are expected (see Fig. 4). However, the produced gluinonium resonances would decay mainly into gluon jets and they may escape detections at hadron collider experiments.

## A cknow ledgm ent

W e w ish to send special thanks to Y. Sum ino for valuable comments and discussions. W e also thank organizers of an LHC -focus week meeting at the IPM U (Institute for the Physics and M athematics of the Universe) in M arch 2009, where we enjoyed stimulating discussions and received useful comments from the participants. This work is supported in part by the G rant-in-A id for scientic research (No. 20340064).

## R eferences

- ATLAS collaboration, ATLAS TechnicalDesign Report, Vol. II, ATLAS TDR 15, CERN/HCC 99-15.
- [2] G.L.Bayatian et al. [CM S Collaboration], \CM S technical design report, volum e II: Physics perform ance," CERN/LHCC 2006-021; J. Phys.G 34 (2007) 995.
- [3] V.M. A bazov et al. [D 0 C ollaboration], \Search for squarks and gluinos in events with jets and m issing transverse energy using 2.1 fb<sup>1</sup> of pp collision data at <sup>p</sup>s = 1.96-TeV, "Phys. Lett. B 660 (2008) 449 [arXiv:0712.3805 [hep-ex]].
- [4] T.Aaltonen et al. [CDF Collaboration], \Inclusive Search for Squark and G luino Production in ppbar Collisions at sqrts = 1.96 TeV," arX iv:0811.2512 [hep-ex].
- [5] C.Am sler et al. [Particle Data Group], \R eview of particle physics," Phys. Lett. B 667 (2008) 1.
- [6] P.R.Harrison and C.H.Llewellyn Smith, \Hadroproduction Of Supersymmetric Particles," Nucl. Phys. B 213 (1983) 223 [Erratum-ibid. B 223 (1983) 542].
- [7] S.Dawson, E.Eichten and C.Quigg, \Search for Supersymmetric Particles in Hadron Hadron Collisions," Phys. Rev. D 31 (1985) 1581.
- [8] H.E.Haber and G.L.Kane, \The Search for Supersymmetry: Probing Physics Beyond the Standard M odel," Phys. Rept. 117 (1985) 75.
- [9] W. Beenakker, R. Hopker, M. Spira and P.M. Zerwas, \Squark and gluino production at hadron colliders," Nucl. Phys. B 492 (1997) 51 [arX iv hep-ph/9610490].
- [10] W. Beenakker, R. Hopker and M. Spira, \PROSPINO: A program for the PROduction of Supersymmetric Particles In Next-to-leading Order QCD, "arX iv hep-ph/9611232.

- [11] W. Beenakker, M. Kramer, T. Plehn, M. Spira and P.M. Zerwas, \Stop production at hadron colliders," Nucl. Phys. B 515 (1998) 3 [arXiv:hep-ph/9710451].
- [12] A.Kulesza and L.Motyka, \Threshold resummation for squark-antisquark and gluino-pair production at the LHC," arX iv:0807.2405 [hep-ph].
- [13] U. Langenfeld and S.O. Moch, \Higher-order soft corrections to squark hadro-production," arX iv:0901.0802 [hep-ph].
- [14] A.Kulesza and L.Motyka, \Soft gluon resummation for the production of gluino-gluino and squark-antisquark pairs at the LHC, "arXiv:0905.4749 [hep-ph].
- [15] V.S.Fadin, V.A.K hoze and T.Sjostrand, \On the Threshold Behavior of Heavy Top Production, "Z.Phys.C 48 (1990) 613.
- [16] S.Catani, M.L.Mangano, P.Nason and L.Trentadue, \The Top cross-section in hadronic collisions," Phys. Lett. B 378 (1996) 329 [arX iv hep-ph/9602208].
- [17] K.Hagiwara, Y.Sum ino and H.Yokoya, Bound-state E ects on Top Q uark Production at Hadron Colliders," Phys. Lett. B 666 (2008) 71 [arX iv:0804.1014 [hep-ph]].
- [18] Y.Kiyo, J.H.Kuhn, S.Moch, M. Steinhauser and P.Uwer, \Top-quark pair production near threshold at LHC, "Eur. Phys. J.C 60 (2009) 375 [arXiv:0812.0919 [hep-ph]].
- [19] I. I. Y. Bigi, V. S. Fadin and V. A. Khoze, \Stop near threshold," Nucl. Phys. B 377 (1992) 461.
- [20] D.V.Nanopoulos, S.O no and T.Yanagida, \How would the world look if there were supersymmetric particles?," Phys. Lett. B 137 (1984) 363.
- [21] J.H.Kuhn and S.Ono, \Production and Decay of G luino -G luino Bound States," Phys. Lett. B 142 (1984) 436.
- [22] W.Y.Keung and A.Khare, \G luinoballs," Phys.Rev.D 29 (1984) 2657.
- [23] J.T.Goldman and H.Haber, \G luinonium: The Hydrogen Atom of Supersymmetry," Physica 15D (1985) 181.
- [24] V.G.Kartvelishvili, A.V.Tkabladze and E.G.Chikovani, \Octet Bound States of the Gluinos, Z.Phys.C 43 (1989) 509.
- [25] K.Hagiwara, K.Kato, A.D.Martin and C.K.Ng, \Properties of Heavy Quarkonia and Related States," Nucl. Phys. B 344 (1990) 1.
- [26] E.Chikovani, V.Kartvelishvili, R.Shanidze and G.Shaw, \Bound States of Two G luinos at the Tevatron and LHC, Phys. Rev. D 53, 6653 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9602249].
- [27] K. Cheung and W. Y. Keung, \Split supersymmetry, stable gluino, and gluinonium, "Phys. Rev. D 71,015015 (2005) [arX iv:hep-ph/0408335].
- [28] V.A.Khoze, A.D.Martin and M.G.Ryskin, \Prospects for new physics observations in di ractive processes at the LHC and Tevatron," Eur.Phys.J.C 23 (2002) 311 [arX iv hep-ph/0111078].
- [29] V.S.Fadin and V.A.Khoze, \Threshold Behavior of Heavy Top Production in e+ e-Collisions," JETP Lett. 46 (1987) 525 [Pism a Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. 46 (1987) 417].
- [30] V.S.Fadin and V.A.Khoze, \Production of a pair of heavy quarks in e+ e-annihilation in the threshold region," Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.48 (1988) 309 [Yad.Fiz.48 (1988) 487].

- [31] M. Drees, R. Godbole and P. Roy, \Theory and phenom enology of sparticles: An account of four-dimensionalN=1 supersymmetry in high energy physics," Hackensack, USA: W orld Scientic (2004) 555 p, and references therein.
- [32] R.M.Barnett, J.F.Gunion and H.E.Haber, \G luino Decay Patterns and Signatures," Phys.Rev.D 37 (1988) 1892.
- [33] B.A.Kniehl, A.A.Penin, Y.Schroder, V.A.Sm imov and M.Steinhauser, \Two-bop static QCD potential for general colour state," Phys.Lett.B 607 (2005) 96 [arXiv:hep-ph/0412083].
- [34] M. Beneke, P. Falgari and C. Schwinn, \Soft radiation in heavy-particle pair production: all-order colour structure and two-loop anom alous dimension," arX iv:0907.1443 [hep-ph].
- [35] B.C.Allanach et al., \The Snowm asspoints and slopes: Benchmarks for SUSY searches," in Proc. of the APS/DPF/DPB Summer Study on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass 2001) ed.N.Graf, Eur. Phys. J.C 25 (2002) 113 [arX iv hep-ph/0202233].
- [36] J.Pumplin, D.R.Stump, J.Huston, H.L.Lai, P.M.Nadolsky and W.K.Tung, \New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from globalQCD analysis," JHEP 0207 (2002) 012 [arX iv hep-ph/0201195].