CERN {PH {EP-2008-017

10 N ovem ber 2008

# Inclusive single-particle production in two-photon collisions at LEP II with the DELPHI detector

DELPHICollaboration

#### A bstract

A study of the inclusive charged hadron production in two-photon collisions is described. The data were collected with the DELPHI detector at LEP II.Results on the inclusive single-particle  $p_T$  distribution and the di erential charged hadrons d =dp\_T cross-section are presented and com pared to the predictions of perturbative NLO QCD calculations and to published results.

(Accepted by Phys. Lett. B)

JAbdallah<sup>27</sup>, PAbreu<sup>24</sup>, WAdam<sup>56</sup>, PAdzic<sup>13</sup>, TAlbrecht<sup>19</sup>, RAlemany-Fernandez<sup>10</sup>, TAllmendinger<sup>19</sup>, PPAllport<sup>25</sup>, UAmaki<sup>31</sup>, NAmapane<sup>49</sup>, SAmato<sup>53</sup>, EAnashkin<sup>38</sup>, AAndreazza<sup>30</sup>, SAndringa<sup>24</sup>, NAnjos<sup>24</sup>, PAntilogus<sup>27</sup>, W-DApel<sup>19</sup>, YAmoud<sup>16</sup>, SAsk<sup>10</sup>, BAsman<sup>48</sup>, JEAugustin<sup>27</sup>, AAugustinus<sup>10</sup>, P.Baillon<sup>10</sup>, A Ballestrero<sup>50</sup>, P Bam bade<sup>22</sup>, R Barbier<sup>29</sup>, D Bardin<sup>18</sup>, G J Barker<sup>58</sup>, A Baroncelli<sup>41</sup>, M Battaglia<sup>10</sup>, M Baubillier<sup>27</sup>, K-H Becks<sup>59</sup>, M Begalli<sup>8</sup>, A Behrm ann<sup>59</sup>, E Ben-H aim<sup>22</sup>, N Benekos<sup>34</sup>, A Benvenuti<sup>6</sup>, C Berat<sup>16</sup>, M Berggren<sup>27</sup>, D.Bertrand<sup>3</sup>, M.Besancon<sup>42</sup>, N.Besson<sup>42</sup>, D.Bloch<sup>11</sup>, M.Blom<sup>33</sup>, M.Bluf<sup>57</sup>, M.Bonesini<sup>31</sup>, M.Boonekam p<sup>42</sup>, PSLBooth<sup>y25</sup>, GBorisov<sup>23</sup>, OBotner<sup>54</sup>, BBouquet<sup>22</sup>, TJNBowcock<sup>25</sup>, IBoyko<sup>18</sup>, MBracko<sup>45</sup>, RBrenner<sup>54</sup>, EBrodet<sup>37</sup>, PBruckman<sup>20</sup>, JM Brunet<sup>9</sup>, BBuschbeck<sup>56</sup>, PBuschmann<sup>59</sup>, M Calvi<sup>31</sup>, T Camporesi<sup>10</sup>, V Canale<sup>40</sup>, F Carena<sup>10</sup>, N Castro<sup>24</sup>, F Cavallo<sup>6</sup>, M Chapkin<sup>44</sup>, Ph Charpentier<sup>10</sup>, P Checchia<sup>38</sup>, R Chierici<sup>10</sup>, P Chliapnikov<sup>44</sup>, J.C. hudoba<sup>10</sup>, S.J.C. hung<sup>10</sup>, K.C. ieslik<sup>20</sup>, P.C. ollins<sup>10</sup>, R.C. ontri<sup>15</sup>, G.C. osm e<sup>22</sup>, F.C. ossutti<sup>51</sup>, M.J.C. osta<sup>55</sup>, D.C. rennell<sup>39</sup>, J.C. uevas<sup>36</sup>, J.D.<sup>4</sup>Hondt<sup>3</sup>, T. da Silva<sup>53</sup>, W. Da Silva<sup>27</sup>, G. Della Ricca<sup>51</sup>, A.De Angelis<sup>52</sup>, W. De Boer<sup>19</sup>, C.De C. lercq<sup>3</sup>, B De Lotto<sup>52</sup>, N De Maria<sup>49</sup>, A De Min<sup>38</sup>, L de Paula<sup>53</sup>, L Di Ciaccio<sup>40</sup>, A Di Sim one<sup>41</sup>, K D oroba<sup>57</sup>, J D rees<sup>59;10</sup>, G Eigen<sup>5</sup>, T Ekelof<sup>4</sup>, M Ellert<sup>54</sup>, M Elsing<sup>10</sup>, M C Espirito Santo<sup>24</sup>, G Fanourakis<sup>13</sup>, D Fassouliotis<sup>13</sup>,<sup>4</sup>, M Feindt<sup>19</sup>, JFernandez<sup>43</sup>, AFerrer<sup>55</sup>, FFerro<sup>15</sup>, UFlagm eyer<sup>59</sup>, HFoeth<sup>10</sup>, EFokitis<sup>34</sup>, FFukla-Quenzer<sup>22</sup>, JFuster<sup>55</sup>, M Gandelman<sup>53</sup>, C Garcia<sup>55</sup>, Ph Gavillet<sup>10</sup>, E Gazis<sup>34</sup>, R Gokielt<sup>10,57</sup>, B Golob<sup>45;47</sup>, G G om ez-Ceballos<sup>43</sup>, PGoncalves<sup>24</sup>, EGrazian<sup>14</sup>, GGrosdidier<sup>22</sup>, KGrzelak<sup>57</sup>, JGuy<sup>39</sup>, CHaaq<sup>19</sup>, AHallgren<sup>54</sup>, KHamacher<sup>59</sup>, K Hamilton<sup>37</sup>, S Haug<sup>35</sup>, F Hauler<sup>19</sup>, V Hedberg<sup>28</sup>, M Hennecke<sup>19</sup>, J Ho man<sup>57</sup>, S-O Holm gren<sup>48</sup>, P J Holl<sup>10</sup>, M A Houlden<sup>25</sup>, JN Jackson<sup>25</sup>, G Jarlskog<sup>28</sup>, P Jarry<sup>42</sup>, D Jeans<sup>37</sup>, E K Johansson<sup>48</sup>, P Jonsson<sup>29</sup>, C Joram<sup>10</sup>, L Jungerm ann<sup>19</sup>, F K apusta<sup>27</sup>, S K atsanevas<sup>29</sup>, E K atsou s<sup>34</sup>, G K ernel<sup>45</sup>, B P K ersevan<sup>45,47</sup>, U K erzel<sup>19</sup>, B T K ing<sup>25</sup>, N JK jaer<sup>10</sup>, PK luit<sup>33</sup>, PK okkinias<sup>13</sup>, CK ourkoum elis<sup>4</sup>, OK ouznetsov<sup>18</sup>, ZK rum stein<sup>18</sup>, MK ucharczyk<sup>20</sup>, JL am sa<sup>1</sup>, G Leder<sup>56</sup>, F Ledroit<sup>16</sup>, L Leinonen<sup>48</sup>, R Leitner<sup>32</sup>, J Lemonne<sup>3</sup>, V Lepeltier<sup>y22</sup>, T Lesiak<sup>20</sup>, W Liebig<sup>59</sup>, D Liko<sup>56</sup>, A Lipniacka<sup>48</sup>, JH Lopes<sup>53</sup>, JM Lopez<sup>36</sup>, D Loukas<sup>13</sup>, P Lutz<sup>42</sup>, L Lyons<sup>37</sup>, JM acN aughton<sup>56</sup>, A M alek<sup>59</sup>, SM altezos<sup>34</sup>, FM and  $f^{56}$ , JM arco<sup>43</sup>, RM arco<sup>43</sup>, BM arechal<sup>53</sup>, MM argon  $f^{38}$ , J-CM arin<sup>10</sup>, CM ariotti<sup>10</sup>, AM arkou<sup>13</sup>, C M artinez-R ivero<sup>43</sup>, J M asik<sup>14</sup>, N M astroyiannopoulos<sup>13</sup>, F M atorras<sup>43</sup>, C M atteuzzi<sup>21</sup>, F M azzucato<sup>38</sup>, M M azzucato<sup>38</sup>, R M c N ulty<sup>25</sup>, C M eroni<sup>30</sup>, E M igliore<sup>49</sup>, W M itaro <sup>56</sup>, U M joernm ark<sup>28</sup>, T M oa<sup>48</sup>, M M och<sup>19</sup>, K M oenig<sup>10,12</sup>, R M onge<sup>15</sup>, J M ontenegro<sup>33</sup>, D M oraes<sup>53</sup>, S M oreno<sup>24</sup>, P M orettini<sup>15</sup>, U M ueller<sup>59</sup>, K M uenich<sup>59</sup>, M Mulders<sup>33</sup>, L Mundim<sup>8</sup>, W Murray<sup>39</sup>, B Muryn<sup>21</sup>, G M yatt<sup>37</sup>, T M yklebust<sup>35</sup>, M N assiakou<sup>13</sup>, F N avarria<sup>6</sup>, K Naw rocki<sup>57</sup>, S N em ecek<sup>14</sup>, R N icolaidou<sup>42</sup>, M N ikolenko<sup>18;11</sup>, A O blakow ska-M ucha<sup>21</sup>, V O braztsov<sup>44</sup>, A O lshevski<sup>28</sup>, A Onofre<sup>24</sup>, R Orava<sup>17</sup>, K Osterberg<sup>17</sup>, A Ouraou<sup>42</sup>, A Oyanguren<sup>55</sup>, M Paganon<sup>131</sup>, S Paiano<sup>6</sup>, J P Palacios<sup>25</sup>, H Palka<sup>20</sup>, Th D Papadopoulou<sup>34</sup>, L Pape<sup>10</sup>, C Parkes<sup>26</sup>, F Parodi<sup>15</sup>, U Parzefall<sup>10</sup>, A Passeri<sup>41</sup>, O Passon<sup>59</sup>, L Peralta<sup>24</sup>, V Perepelitsa<sup>55</sup>, A Perrotta<sup>6</sup>, A Petrolin<sup>15</sup>, J Piedra<sup>43</sup>, L Pieri<sup>41</sup>, F Pierre<sup>42</sup>, M Pimenta<sup>24</sup>, E Piotto<sup>10</sup>, T Podobnik<sup>45;47</sup>, V Poireau<sup>10</sup>, M E Pol<sup>7</sup>, G Polok<sup>20</sup>, V Pozdniakov<sup>18</sup>, N Pukhaeva<sup>18</sup>, A Pullia<sup>31</sup>, D R ado jicic<sup>37</sup>, PRebecchi<sup>10</sup>, JRehn<sup>19</sup>, DReid<sup>33</sup>, RReinhardt<sup>59</sup>, PRenton<sup>37</sup>, FRichard<sup>22</sup>, JRidky<sup>14</sup>, MRivero<sup>43</sup>, DRodriguez<sup>43</sup>, A Romero<sup>49</sup>, PRonchese<sup>38</sup>, PRoudeau<sup>22</sup>, TRovelli<sup>6</sup>, VRuhlmann-Kleider<sup>42</sup>, DRyabtchikov<sup>44</sup>, A Sadovsky<sup>18</sup>, L Salm<sup>17</sup>, J Salt<sup>55</sup>, C Sander<sup>19</sup>, A Savoy-Navarro<sup>27</sup>, U Schwickerath<sup>10</sup>, R Sekulin<sup>39</sup>, M Siebel<sup>59</sup>, A Sisakian<sup>18</sup>, G Sm ad ja<sup>29</sup>, O Sm imova<sup>28</sup>, A Sokolov<sup>44</sup>, A Sopczak<sup>23</sup>, R Sosnow ski<sup>57</sup>, T Spassov<sup>10</sup>, M Stanitzki<sup>19</sup>, A Stocchi<sup>22</sup>, J.Strauss<sup>56</sup>, B.Stugu<sup>5</sup>, M. Szczekowski<sup>57</sup>, M. Szeptycka<sup>57</sup>, T.Szum lak<sup>21</sup>, T.Jabarelli<sup>31</sup>, F.Jegenfeldt<sup>54</sup>, J.J.im mem ans<sup>33</sup>, L.Tkatchev<sup>18</sup>, M.Tobin<sup>25</sup>, S.Todorovova<sup>14</sup>, B.Tom e<sup>24</sup>, A.Tonazzo<sup>31</sup>, P.Tortosa<sup>55</sup>, P.Travnicek<sup>14</sup>, D.Treille<sup>10</sup>, G. Tristram<sup>9</sup>, M. Trochim czuk<sup>57</sup>, C. Troncon<sup>30</sup>, M. L. Turluer<sup>42</sup>, IA. Tyapkin<sup>18</sup>, P. Tyapkin<sup>18</sup>, S. Tzam arias<sup>13</sup>, V. Uvarov<sup>44</sup>, G Nalenti<sup>6</sup>, P Nan Dam<sup>33</sup>, J.Van Eldik<sup>10</sup>, N.van Remortel<sup>2</sup>, I.Van Vulpen<sup>10</sup>, G Negni<sup>30</sup>, F.Neloso<sup>24</sup>, W Nenus<sup>39</sup>, P.Verdier<sup>29</sup>, V.Verzi<sup>40</sup>, D.Vilanova<sup>42</sup>, L.Vitale<sup>51</sup>, V.Vrba<sup>14</sup>, H.W.ahlen<sup>59</sup>, A.J.W.ashbrook<sup>25</sup>, C.W.eiser<sup>19</sup>, D.W.icke<sup>10</sup>,  $\mathsf{JW} \text{ ickens}^3, \mathsf{GW} \text{ ilkinson}^{37}, \mathsf{MW} \text{ inter}^{11}, \mathsf{MW} \text{ itek}^{20}, \mathsf{O}. \mathsf{Yushchenko}^{44}, \mathsf{AZalew} \text{ ska}^{20}, \mathsf{PZalew} \text{ ski}^{57}, \mathsf{DZavrtanik}^{46}, \mathsf{NZalew} \text{ ski}^{57}, \mathsf{DZavrtanik}^{46}, \mathsf{NZalew} \text{ ski}^{57}, \mathsf{NZavrtanik}^{46}, \mathsf{NZalew} \text{ ski}^{57}, \mathsf{NZavrtanik}^{46}, \mathsf{NZalew} \text{ ski}^{57}, \mathsf{NZavrtanik}^{46}, \mathsf{NZalew} \text{ ski}^{57}, \mathsf{NZavrtanik}^{46}, \mathsf{$ V.Zhuravlov<sup>18</sup>, N.I.Zimin<sup>18</sup>, A.Zintchenko<sup>18</sup>, M.Zupan<sup>13</sup>

<sup>8</sup> Inst. de F sica, U niv. E stadual do R io de Janeiro, rua Sao Francisco X avier 524, R io de Janeiro, B razil <sup>9</sup>C ollege de France, Lab. de Physique C orpusculaire, IN 2P 3-C N R S, FR -75231 Paris C edex 05, France

<sup>12</sup>Now at DESY-Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15735 Zeuthen, Germany

<sup>15</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Genova and INFN, Via Dodecaneso 33, IT-16146 Genova, Italy

- <sup>17</sup>Helsinki Institute of Physics and Departm ent of Physical Sciences, P.O. Box 64, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>18</sup> Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Head Post O ce, P.O. Box 79, RU-101 000 Moscow, Russian Federation <sup>19</sup> Institut fur Experimentelle Kemphysik, Universitat Karlsruhe, Postfach 6980, DE-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>20</sup> Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN Jl. Radzikow skiego 152, PL-31142 K rakow, Poland

- <sup>21</sup>Faculty of Physics and Nuclear Techniques, University of Mining and M etallurgy, PL-30055 K rakow, Poland
- <sup>22</sup>LAL, Univ Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN 2P3, Orsay, France

 $^{23}$ School of Physics and Chem istry, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA 1 4Y B, UK

<sup>24</sup>LIP, IST, FCUL - Av. Elias Garcia, 14-1°, PT-1000 Lisboa Codex, Portugal

- <sup>25</sup>D epartm ent of Physics, University of Liverpool, P.O. Box 147, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK
- <sup>26</sup>Dept. of Physics and A stronom y, Kelvin Building, University of G lasgow, G lasgow G 12 800, UK

<sup>27</sup>LPNHE, IN 2P3-CNRS, Univ. Paris VI et VII, Tour 33 (RdC), 4 place Jussieu, FR-75252 Paris C edex 05, France

<sup>28</sup>D epartm ent of Physics, University of Lund, Solvegatan 14, SE-223 63 Lund, Sweden

<sup>29</sup> Universite Claude Bernard de Lyon, IPNL, IN 2P 3-CNRS, FR-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

<sup>31</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niv. di M ilano-Bicocca and IN FN -M ILANO, Piazza della Scienza 3, IT -20126 M ilan, Italy

<sup>32</sup> IPNP of MFF, Charles Univ., A real MFF, V Holesovickach 2, CZ-180 00, Praha 8, Czech Republic

<sup>33</sup>N IK HEF, Postbus 41882, NL-1009 DB Am sterdam, The Netherlands

- $^{34}$ N ational Technical U niversity, Physics D epartm ent, Zografou C am pus, G R –15773 A thens, G reece
- <sup>35</sup>Physics Department, University of Oslo, Blindern, NO-0316Oslo, Norway

<sup>36</sup>D pto. F isica, U niv. O viedo, A vda. C alvo Sotelo s/n, E S-33007 O viedo, Spain

 $^{39}\mathrm{R}$  utherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, D idcot O X 11 O Q X , U K

 $^{43}$ Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria (CSIC-UC), Avda. los Castros s/n, ES-39006 Santander, Spain

<sup>44</sup> Inst. for High Energy Physics, Serpukov P.O. Box 35, Protvino, (M oscow Region), Russian Federation

<sup>45</sup>J.Stefan Institute, Jam ova 39, SI-1000 L jubljana, Slovenia

<sup>46</sup>Laboratory for A stroparticle Physics, U niversity of N ova G orica, K ostan jeviska 16a, SI-5000 N ova G orica, S lovenia

<sup>47</sup>D epartm ent of Physics, University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>52</sup> Istituto di Fisica, Universita di Udine and INFN, II-33100 Udine, Italy

<sup>56</sup> Institut fur Hochenergiephysik, Osterr. A kad. d. W issensch., N ikolsdorfergasse 18, AT -1050 V ienna, A ustria

 $^{58}\mathrm{N\,ow}$  at U niversity of W arw ick , C oventry C V 4 7A L , U K

<sup>y</sup> deceased

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, Iow a State University, Am es IA 50011-3160, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Physics D epartm ent, U niversiteit A ntw erpen, U niversiteitsplein 1, B-2610 A ntw erpen, B elgium <sup>3</sup> IIH E, U L B-V U B, P leinlaan 2, B-1050 B russels, B elgium

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Physics Laboratory, University of A thens, Solonos Str. 104, G R –10680 A thens, G reece

 $<sup>^5 {\</sup>rm D}$  epartm ent of P hysics, U n iversity of B ergen , A llegaten 55, N O –5007 B ergen , N orw ay

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Bologna and IN FN, V iale C.Berti Pichat 6/2, IT -40127 Bologna, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>C entro Brasileiro de Pesquisas F sicas, rua X avier Sigaud 150, BR-22290 R io de Janeiro, B razil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Institut de Recherches Subatom iques, IN 2P3 - CNRS/ULP - BP20, FR-67037 Strasbourg Cedex, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Institute of Nuclear Physics, N.C. S.R. Dem okritos, P.O. Box 60228, G.R-15310 A thens, G reece

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>FZU, Inst. of Phys. of the C A S.H igh Energy Physics D ivision, N a Slovance 2, CZ-182 21, Praha 8, C zech R epublic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Institut des Sciences Nucleaires, IN 2P 3-C N R S, U niversite de G renoble 1, FR -38026 G renoble C edex, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Milano and INFN-MILANO, Via Celoria 16, II -20133 Milan, Italy

 $<sup>^{37} \</sup>bar{\text{D}}$  epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of O xford , K eble R oad , O xford O X 1 3R H , U K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Padova and INFN, V ia Marzolo 8, IT -35131 Padua, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Rom a II and IN FN, Tor Vergata, IT-00173 Rom e, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di R om a III and IN FN , V ia della Vasca N avale 84, IT -00146 R om e, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup>DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CEA-Saclay, FR-91191 G if-sur-Y vette C edex, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup>Fysikum, Stockholm University, Box 6730, SE-113 85 Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica Sperim entale, Universita di Torino and INFN, Via P.Giuria 1, II-10125 Turin, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> IN FN ,Sezione di Torino and D ipartim ento di Fisica Teorica, Universita di Torino, V ia G iuria 1, II –10125 Turin, Italy

 $<sup>^{51}\</sup>text{D}$ ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Trieste and IN FN , V ia A . Valerio 2, IT -34127 Trieste, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup>Univ. Federal do Rio de Janeiro, C.P. 68528 Cidade Univ., Ilha do Fundao BR-21945-970 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup>D epartm ent of R adiation Sciences, U niversity of U ppsala, P.O. B ox 535, SE –751 21 U ppsala, Sweden

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> FC, Valencia-CSIC, and D.F.A.M.N., U. de Valencia, Avda. Dr. Moliner 50, ES-46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain

 $<sup>^{57}\,{\</sup>rm Inst.}$  Nuclear Studies and University of W arsaw , Ul. Hoza 69, PL-00681 W arsaw , Poland

#### 1 Introduction

The inclusive production of hadrons in interactions can be used to study the structure of two-photon collisions [1]. These photons are radiated by beam electrons which scatter at very sm all angles and most of them are not detected. The untagged photons are quasi-real with a mass  $Q^2 = 0$ . At LEP II these collisions are the main source of hadron production, providing a good opportunity for such an investigation and thus to check the predictions of leading and next-to-leading order (NLO) perturbative QCD computations.

The L3 and OPAL collaborations have published results of their analyses of the inclusive production of charged hadrons in two-photon collisions [2,3]. While L3 observes a pion production cross-section largely exceeding the NLO QCD predictions at high transverse momenta (5 GeV/c <  $p_T$  < 17 GeV/c), OPAL nds a good agreement with them, in the  $p_T$  < 10 GeV/c range of its analysis.

In this paper we present the DELPHI study of the inclusive production of charged hadrons in collisions of quasi-real photons. Section 2 describes the selection criteria for the event sample collected for this study. The inclusive single-particle transverse momentum spectrum and the measurement of the di erential charged hadrons cross-section are presented in Section 3. They are compared to theoretical QCD predictions and published results in Section 4.

# 2 Experim ental procedure

The analysis presented here is based on the data taken with the DELPH Idetector [4,5] in 1996-2000, covering a range of centre-of-m ass energies from 161 G eV to 209 G eV, with a lum inosity-weighted average centre-of-m ass energy: 195.5 G eV. The selected data set corresponds to the period when the T im e Projection Chamber (TPC), the main tracking device of DELPH I, was fully operational thus ensuring good particle reconstruction. The corresponding integrated lum inosity used in this analysis is 617 pb<sup>1</sup>.

The charged particles were measured in the tracking system of DELPHI, which consists of the microVertex D etector (VD), the Inner D etector (ID), the TPC, the O uter D etector (OD) in the barrel, and the Forward Cham bers FCA and FCB in the endcaps of DELPHI, allem bedded in a hom ogeneous 1.2 T m agnetic eld. The following selection criteria are applied to charged particles:

transverse m om entum p > 150 M eV/c; im pact param eter of a trajectory transverse to the beam axis  $_{xy} < 0.4 \text{ cm}$ ; im pact param eter of a trajectory along the beam axis  $_z < 2 \text{ cm}$ ; polar angle of a track with respect to the e beam 10 < < 170; track length 1 > 30 cm; relative error of its m om entum p=p < 100%.

The m easurem ent of neutral particles is m ade using the calorim eter inform ation provided by the electrom agnetic calorim eters, the H igh D ensity Projection C ham ber (HPC) in the barrel and Forward E lectrom agnetic C alorim eter (FEM C) in the forward (backward) regions and by the hadronic calorim eter (HAC). Events with photons tagged by the D E LPH I lum inom eter (ST IC), i.e. with high Q<sup>2</sup> values, have been rejected. The calorim eter clusters, which are not associated to charged particle tracks, are com bined to form the signals from the neutral particles (;  $^{0}$ ;  $K_{L}^{0}$ ; n). The following thresholds are set on the m easured energy: 0.5 G eV for showers in the electrom agnetic calorim eters and

2 GeV for showers in the hadronic calorim eter. Furtherm ore the polar angle of neutral tracks was required to be in the range 10  $\,<\,$   $\,<\,$  170 .

To extract the hadronic events from the collisions of quasi-real photons the following cuts are applied:

energy deposited in the DELPHI luminometer (STIC: 2:5 <  $_{STIC}$  < 9) E<sub>STIC</sub> < 30 GeV; number of charged-particle tracks N<sub>ch</sub> > 4;

visible invariant mass, calculated from the four-momentum vectors of the measured charged and neutral particles, assuming the pion mass for charged particles, 5 G eV  $/c^2 < W_{vis} < 35$  G eV  $/c^2$ .

The rst condition eliminates the so-called single and double-tagged events. The condition on the charged track muliplicity as well as the lower limit on W<sub>vis</sub> reduce the background from ! <sup>+</sup> events. The upper limit on W<sub>vis</sub> cuts down the background from the e<sup>+</sup>e ! qq (), e<sup>+</sup>e ! <sup>+</sup> and four-ferm ion processes. The com parison of the W<sub>vis</sub> distributions (Fig. 1) for the data and the M onte C arlo (M C) generated sam ples of events, described below, illustrates the e ects of the W<sub>vis</sub> cuts.

A bout 910k events are selected after application of the above selection criteria.

## 3 Data Analysis and Results

M onte C arlo sam ples of the various nal states present in the data were generated for com parison with these data. The simulation of the process ! hadrons was based on PYTHIA 6.143 [6] in which the description of the hadron production encom passes the processes described by the Quark Parton M odel (QPM) (direct process), the Vector Dom inance Model (VDM) and the hard scattering of the hadronic constituents of quasi-real photons (resolved photon process). The M C sam ple of events used is 2.7 times larger than the data. The main background coming from the inclusive  $e^+e^-! qq()$ channel has been estimated from a PYTHIA 6.125 sample. The simulations of the  $e^+e$ ! four-ferm ion, the ! + and of the  $e^+e^-$ ! backgrounds were based on the EXCALIBUR [7], BDKRC [8] and KORALZ 4.2 [9] generators, respectively. The M onte C arb generated events were then passed through the standard D E L-PHI detector simulation and reconstruction programs [5]. The same cuts were applied on the reconstructed M C events as on the data.

The dN =dp<sub>T</sub> distribution of the charged particles of the selected events is presented in Fig. 2, for tracks with pseudo-rapidity j j < 1 ( = ln tan(=2))<sup>1</sup>, i.e. well measured tracks including TPC information. The expected M onte Carlo generated contributions, normalized to the data integrated lum inosity are also shown. The data are well reproduced by the sum of the simulated samples of events for  $p_T > 1.6 \text{ GeV}/\text{c}$  and the e<sup>+</sup> e ! qq () channel is the main contributor for  $p_T > 12 \text{ GeV}/\text{c}$ . There is a lack of data at  $p_T < 1.6 \text{ GeV}/\text{c}$ , becoming substantial at  $p_T < 1 \text{ GeV}/\text{c}$ . This is caused by the trigger e ciency which was not accounted for in the M onte Carlo simulation and which is low for low  $p_T$  tracks and low multiplicities [10]. For this reason, the following comparison with theoretical predictions is presented for  $p_T > 1.6 \text{ GeV}/\text{c}$  only.

The di erential  $=dp_T$  cross-section distribution of the inclusive production of charged hadrons in the process ! hadrons has been obtained by subtracting the background contributions from the experim ental  $dN = dp_T$  data. The resulting distribution has been

corrected, bin-by-bin, by a factor which is the inverse of the ratio of the numbers of reconstructed to generated tracks of ! hadrons in M onte Carlo events. This ratio is of the order of 50-60% for 1.6 G eV/c <  $p_T$  < 4 G eV/c and drops to about 20% for  $p_T$  > 10 G eV/c, the upper bound on W vis being mainly responsible for the drop in e ciency on large  $p_T$  tracks. The d =dp<sub>T</sub> distribution is shown in Fig. 3 for di erent sets of selection criteria as described below. The PYTHIA prediction is also shown. It agrees very well with the data for  $p_T$  > 1.6 G eV/c up to large  $p_T$  values.

To study the system atic uncertainty coming from the selection criteria, we have varied them, in particular the W <sub>vis</sub> upper limit and the track polar angle () cuts. A smaller upper bound of W <sub>vis</sub> has the advantage of m inimizing the background contributions especially the e<sup>+</sup> e ! qq () one. Tracks at low polar angle are missing TPC measurements and are thus less well measured. On the other hand most contributing processes correspond to the emission of tracks peaked in the forward (backward) regions, in particular the e<sup>+</sup> e ! qq () and even more the ! hadrons channels. Hence a tight () cut can reduce significantly the number of selected charged-particle tracks (N <sub>ch</sub>) of a given event and consequently its computed visible energy W <sub>vis</sub>. Fig. 3 shows the d =dp<sub>T</sub> distributions, calculated using tracks with j j<1.5, for four sets of selection criteria varying the polar angle selection imposed on charged tracks and the cut on the visible invariant mass W <sub>vis</sub>:

1.10	<	< 170	(j j < 2:4), 5 G eV /c <sup>2</sup> < W <sub>vis</sub> < 20 G eV /c <sup>2</sup> ;
2.25	<	< 155	(j j< 1.5), $5 \text{ G eV}/c^2 < W_{\text{vis}} < 20 \text{ G eV}/c^2$ ;
3.10	<	< 170	(j j< 2:4), 5 G eV /c <sup>2</sup> < W $_{\rm vis}$ < 35 G eV /c <sup>2</sup> ;
4.25	<	< 155	(j j < 1.5), 5 G eV /c <sup>2</sup> < W <sub>vis</sub> < 35 G eV /c <sup>2</sup> .

The spread of the m easurem ents is relatively sm all for  $p_T < 7-8$  G eV/c but increases for high  $p_T$  values where the  $e^+e_-!$  qq () dom inates. The corresponding system atic uncertainty has been estimated as half of the spread of the four sets of m easurem ents.

The other source of uncertainty comes from the Monte Carlo modelling. It has been estimated by comparing the PYTHIA and TWOGAM [11] predictions for the ! hadrons processes and PYTHIA and HERW IG [12] predictions for the  $e^+e$  ! qq () process. It was found that the relative di erence on the e ciencies calculated from the various generators depends on  $p_T$  but never exceeds 10%. The corresponding uncertainty has been de ned as half of the di erence between two generators contributions. All system atic uncertainties have been added quadratically in Table 1.

Table 1 gives the values of d =dp<sub>T</sub> as a function of  $p_T$ , for the selection criteria described in section 2, the pseudo-rapidity ranges j j < 1 and j j < 1.5 and for  $p_T > 1.6$  G eV/c where the event trigger e ciency is close to 100%. The rst error is statistical and the second one is the overall system atic uncertainty. Fig. 4 shows the com parison of the d =dp<sub>T</sub> distribution for j j < 1.5 with the NLO QCD prediction of [13]. The theoretical com putation tends to be slightly lower than them easurem ents at high  $p_T$  values although staying com patible with them within errors.

#### 4 Discussion of results

O urm easurem ent of the d =dp<sub>T</sub> cross-section of the inclusive production of hadrons in interactions appears to agree well with both PYTHIA and NLO QCD predictions. The L3 experim ent has perform ed a sim ilar analysis [2] and has observed that the p<sub>T</sub> spectrum of charged hadrons is slightly below the PYTHIA MC prediction while the derived d =dp<sub>T</sub> cross-section considerably exceeds the NLO QCD prediction at high p<sub>T</sub> values. We have repeated our analysis, adopting a \L3-like" set of selection criteria which, compared to ours, corresponds to a less tight W <sub>vis</sub> cut (W <sub>vis</sub> < 78 G eV/ $c^2$  instead of 35 G eV/ $c^2$ ) and a higher threshold of the total number of particles including neutrals (5 instead of 4). The looser W <sub>vis</sub> cut has the e ect of increasing signi cantly the e<sup>+</sup> e ! qq () background (see Fig. 1) which now dom inates at large p<sub>T</sub> values. The resulting dN =dp<sub>T</sub> spectrum of charged particles for the \L3-like" events is presented in F ig. 5 together with the contributing channels. O ne observes an excess of data over the PYTHIA M C prediction. This disagreem ent between M C and data is likely to com e from charged particles form ! hadrons, when the W <sub>vis</sub> cut is relaxed up to 78 G eV/ $c^2$ , as can be checked by com paring Fig. 5 with Fig. 2. It legitim ates, a posteriori, our W <sub>vis</sub> < 35 G eV/ $c^2$  cut to m inim ize the contam ination of charged particles from background channels.

The OPAL experim ent has measured the dimensional depth cross-section of the inclusive production of charged hadrons [3] for dimensional of W, the hadronic invariant mass corrected for detector e ects. In the (10 GeV/c<sup>2</sup> < W < 30 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) interval, the cross-section is compatible with the NLO prediction.

### 5 Conclusions

The study of the inclusive charged hadron production in two-photon collisions has been carried out at the DELPHI detector at LEP II. Measurements of the inclusive single-particle  $p_T$  distribution and of the dimensional inclusive d =dp\_T cross-section have been extracted. The dimensional inclusive d =dp\_T cross-section is found to be compatible, within errors, with the PYTHIA and NLO QCD predictions up to high  $p_T$ , although systematic uncertainties limit the accuracy of the comparison in this region. It is shown that if cuts such as those used in [2] are applied, qq background dom inates at large  $p_T$ , making it dimensions on two-photon processes.

#### A cknow ledgem ents

W e are greatly indebted to our technical collaborators, to the m em bers of the C ERN - SL D ivision for the excellent perform ance of the LEP collider, and to the funding agencies for their support in building and operating the D ELPH I detector.

W e adknow ledge in particular the support of

A ustrian Federal M inistry of Education, Science and Culture, GZ 616.364/2-III/2a/98, FNRS{FW O, F landers Institute to encourage scientic and technological research in the industry (IW T) and Belgian Federal O ce for Scientic, Technical and Cultural a airs (OSTC), Belgium,

FINEP, CNPq, CAPES, FUJB and FAPERJ, Brazil,

M inistry of Education of the C zech R epublic, project LC 527,

A cadem y of Sciences of the C zech R epublic, project AV 0Z10100502,

Commission of the European Communities (DG X II),

D irection des Sciences de la M atiere, CEA, France,

Bundesm inisterium fur Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, Germany,

G eneral Secretariat for R esearch and Technology, G reece,

National Science Foundation (NW O ) and Foundation for Research on Matter (FOM ), The Netherlands,

Norwegian Research Council,

State Comm ittee for Scienti c Research, Poland, SPUB-M/CERN/PO3/DZ296/2000, SPUB-M/CERN/PO3/DZ297/2000, 2P03B 104 19 and 2P03B 69 23(2002-2004), FCT - Fundaceo para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Portugal, Vedecka grantova agentura M S SR, Slovakia, Nr. 95/5195/134, M inistry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia, CICYT, Spain, A EN 99-0950 and A EN 99-0761, The Swedish Research Council, The Science and Technology Facilities Council, UK, Department of Energy, USA, DE-FG 02-01ER 41155,

EEC RTN contract HPRN-CT-00292-2002.

# R eferences

- [1] P.A urenche et al., in Physics at LEP2, eds.G.A ltarelli, T.Sjostrand and F.Zwimer, CERN 96-01 (1996) 291.
- [2] P.Achard et al., L3 Collab., Phys. Lett. B 554 (2003) 105.
- [3] K.Ackersta et al., OPAL Collab., Eur. Phys. J.C 6 (1999) 253.
- [4] P. Aamio et al., DELPHICollab., Nucl. Inst. M eth. A 303 (1991) 233.
- [5] P.Abreu et al., DELPHICollab., Nucl. Inst. M eth. A 378 (1996) 57.
- [6] T.Sjostrand et al, Com put. Phys. Com m . 135 (2001) 238.
- [7] F.A. Berends, R. Pittau, R. Kleiss, Comput. Phys. Comm. 85 (1995) 437.
- [8] FA.Berends, PH.Daverveldt, R.Kleiss, Comput. Phys.Comm.40 (1986) 271.
- [9] S.Jadach, B.F.L.W ard, Z.W as, Comput. Phys. Comm. 79 (1994) 503.
- [10] A. Augustinus et al., DELPHITriggerGroup, Nucl. Inst. Meth. A 515 (2003) 782.
- [11] T.A klerweireld et al., in Reports of the W orking G roups on Precision Calculations for LEP2 Physics, eds. S. Jadach, G. Passarino and R. Pittau, CERN 2000-009 (2000) 219.
- [12] G.Marchesini et al., Comput. Phys. Comm. 67 (1992) 465.
- [13] J. Binnewies, BA Kniehl, G. Kramer, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 6110.

$p_{T}$ , G eV /c	$< p_T > , G eV / c$	$d = dp_T$ , pb/G eV /c						
			jj< 1			jj< 1 <b>:</b> 5		
1.6-2.0	1.76	(2.36	0:02 <sup>+ 0:88</sup> 0:41)	10 <sup>2</sup>	(3.00	0:02 <sup>+ 0:42</sup> )	10 <sup>2</sup>	
2.0 - 2.4	2.17	(8.98	0:11 <sup>+ 3:24</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	(1.15	0:01 <sup>+ 0:09</sup> 0:17)	10 <sup>2</sup>	
2.4 - 2.8	2,58	(4.05	0:07 <sup>+1:30</sup> <sub>0:58</sub> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	(5.23	0:08 <sup>+ 0:27</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	
2.8-3.2	2,98	(2.10	0:05 <sup>+ 0:82</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	(2.66	0:06 <sup>+ 0:30</sup> 0:38)	10 <sup>1</sup>	
3.2-3.6	3,38	(1.24	0:04 <sup>+ 0:44</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	(1.61	0:05 <sup>+ 0:05</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	
3.6-4.0	3.78	(7.31	0:34 <sup>+2:92</sup> 1:06)		(9.41	0:35 <sup>+1:03</sup> )		
4.0 - 4.4	4.18	(4.29	0 <b>:</b> 26 <sup>+ 2:07</sup> )		(5.54	0 <b>:</b> 27 <sup>+ 0:85</sup> <sub>0:54</sub> )		
4.4 - 4.8	4.59	(2.95	0:22 <sup>+1:36</sup> )		(3.89	0 <b>:</b> 24 <sup>+ 0:42</sup> )		
4.8-5.2	4.99	(2.22	0:19 <sup>+1:05</sup> )		(2.78	0:20 <sup>+ 0:29</sup> )		
5.2-5.6	5,39	(1.33	0:16 <sup>+ 0:62</sup> )		(1.65	0:16 <sup>+0:19</sup> 0:06)		
5.6-6.0	5.79	(1.36	0:17 <sup>+ 0:41</sup> )		(1.70	0:19 <sup>+ 0:12</sup> )		
6.0-6.4	6.19	(9.70	1:42 <sup>+ 4:04</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	(1.16	0:15 <sup>+ 0:15</sup> )		
6.4 - 6.8	6,59	(4.57	1:01 <sup>+ 3:26</sup> 0:88)	10 <sup>1</sup>	(8.34	1:36 <sup>+ 0:47</sup> 2:66)	10 <sup>1</sup>	
6.8 - 7.2	6,98	(5.44	1:11 <sup>+ 5:96</sup> 3:03)	10 <sup>1</sup>	(6.65	1:12 <sup>+2:52</sup> /2:90)	10 <sup>1</sup>	
7.2 - 7.6	7.38	(5.13	1:04 <sup>+1:18</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	(5.43	1:09 <sup>+ 0:28</sup> 0:23)	10 <sup>1</sup>	
7.6-8.0	7.78	(2.93	0 <b>:</b> 91 <sup>+1:70</sup> <sub>1:57</sub> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	(3.67	0 <b>:</b> 92 <sup>+ 0:38</sup> /1:42)	10 <sup>1</sup>	
8.0 - 9.0	8.44	(1.56	0 <b>:</b> 68 <sup>+3:48</sup> <sub>1:33</sub> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	(2.65	1:23 <sup>+1:94</sup> <sub>2:30</sub> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	
9.0 - 10.0	9.47	(1.08	0 <b>:</b> 59 <sup>+1:76</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	(1.71	0:86 <sup>+1:41</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	
10.0 - 12.0	10.87	(0.53	0 <b>:</b> 22 <sup>+1:68</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	(0.68	0:28 <sup>+1:37</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	
12.0 - 16.0	13,53	(0.16	0:05 <sup>+ 0:26</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	(0.23	0:07 <sup>+ 0:43</sup> )	10 <sup>1</sup>	

Table 1: D i erential inclusive d =dp<sub>T</sub> of charged particles produced in ! hadrons collisions, for jj<1, jj<1.5 and p<sub>T</sub> > 1.6 G eV/c. The rst error is statistical, the second is the system atic uncertainty. The data are background subtracted and corrected for detector ine ciency and selection cuts.



Figure 1: W<sub>vis</sub> distributions for the data and for the simulated ! hadrons (m edium cross-hatching), ! <sup>+</sup> (second largest cross-hatching), e<sup>+</sup> e ! qq (); <sup>+</sup> (sm all cross-hatching) and e<sup>+</sup> e ! W <sup>+</sup> W (largest cross-hatching) events at  $P \frac{1}{s_{ee}} = 200$  G eV.



Figure 2:  $p_T$  distribution of charged particles of the selected sample of events, for  $j \le 1$  together with the M onte C arlo generated contributing processes: ! hadrons (largest cross-hatching), e<sup>+</sup> e ! qq() (m edium cross-hatching), e<sup>+</sup> e ! W <sup>+</sup>W , <sup>+</sup> , ! <sup>+</sup> (sm all cross-hatching).





Figure 4: D i erential inclusive d =dp<sub>T</sub> distribution of charged particles produced in collisions for j j<1.5 and 5 G eV/ $c^2$ < W <sub>vis</sub> < 35 G eV/ $c^2$ . The original data sam ple used to extract this cross section included tracks with 10 < < 170 (j j< 2:4). The data are shown as points with statistical+ system atical error bars. They are background subtracted and corrected for detector ine ciency and selection cuts. The line is the NLO QCD prediction of [13] for ! hadrons.



Figure 5:  $p_T$  distribution of charged particles of the event sam ple after application of the \L3-like" selection criteria, for j j<1 and 5 G eV/ $c^2$ < W <sub>vis</sub> < 78 G eV/ $c^2$ , together with the M onte C arb generated contributing processes: ! hadrons (largest cross-hatching), e<sup>+</sup>e ! qq () (m edium cross-hatching), e<sup>+</sup>e ! W <sup>+</sup>W , <sup>+</sup> , ! <sup>+</sup> (sm all cross-hatching).