CERN {PH-EP/2008 {012

17 July 2008

Di-jet production in collisions at LEP2

DELPHICollaboration

A bstract

The production of two high- p_T jets in the interactions of quasi-real photons in e⁺ e collisions at $P = \frac{1}{s_{ee}}$ from 189 GeV to 209 GeV is studied with data corresponding to an integrated e⁺ e lum inosity of 550 pb⁻¹. The jets reconstructed by the k₂-cluster algorithm are de ned within the pseudo-rapidity range 1 < < 1 and with jet transverse m om entum, p_T, above 3 GeV/c. The di erential di-jet cross-section is measured as a function of the mean transverse m om entum $\overline{p_T}$ of the jets and is compared to perturbative QCD calculations.

(Accepted by Eur. Phys. J.C)

JAbdallah²⁷, PAbreu²⁴, WAdam⁵⁶, PAdzic¹³, TAlbrecht¹⁹, RAlemany-Fernandez¹⁰, TAllmendinger¹⁹, PPAllport²⁵, UAmakli³¹, NAmapane⁴⁹, SAmato⁵³, EAnashkin³⁸, AAndreazza³⁰, SAndringa²⁴, NAnjos²⁴, PAntilogus²⁷, W-DApel¹⁹, YAmoud¹⁶, SAsk¹⁰, BAsman⁴⁸, JEAugustin²⁷, AAugustinus¹⁰, PBaillon¹⁰, A Ballestrero⁵⁰, P Bam bade²², R Barbier²⁹, D Bardin¹⁸, G J Barker⁵⁸, A Baroncelli⁴¹, M Battaglia¹⁰, M Baubillier²⁷, K-H Becks⁵⁹, M Begalli⁸, A Behrm ann⁵⁹, E Ben-Haim²², N Benekos³⁴, A Benvenuti⁶, C Berat¹⁶, M Berggren²⁷, D.Bertrand³, M.Besancon⁴², N.Besson⁴², D.Bloch¹¹, M.Blom³³, M.Bluf⁵⁷, M.Bonesini³¹, M.Boonekam p⁴², PSLBooth^{y25}, GBorisov²³, OBotner⁵⁴, BBouquet²², TJNBowcock²⁵, IBoyko¹⁸, MBracko⁴⁵, RBrenner⁵⁴, EBrodet³⁷, PBruckman²⁰, JM Brunet⁹, BBuschbeck⁵⁶, PBuschmann⁵⁹, M Calvi³¹, T Camporesi¹⁰, V Canale⁴⁰, F Carena¹⁰, N Castro²⁴, F Cavallo⁶, M Chapkin⁴⁴, Ph Charpentier¹⁰, P Checchia³⁸, R Chierici¹⁰, P Chliapnikov⁴⁴, J.C. hudoba¹⁰, S.J.C. hung¹⁰, K.C. ieslik²⁰, P.C. ollins¹⁰, R.C. ontri¹⁵, G.C. osm e²², F.C. ossutti⁵¹, M.J.C. osta⁵⁵, D.C. rennell³⁹, J.C. uevas³⁶, J.D.⁴Hondt³, T. da Silva⁵³, W. D.a Silva²⁷, G. D.ella Ricca⁵¹, A.D.e Angelis⁵², W. D.e Boer¹⁹, C.D.e C. lercq³, B De Lotto⁵², N De Maria⁴⁹, A De Min³⁸, L de Paula⁵³, L Di Ciaccio⁴⁰, A Di Sim one⁴¹, K Doroba⁵⁷, J D rees^{59;10}, G Eigen⁵, T Ekelof⁴, M Ellert⁵⁴, M Elsing¹⁰, M C Espirito Santo²⁴, G Fanourakis¹³, D Fassouliotis¹³,⁴, M Feindt¹⁹, JFernandez⁴³, AFerrer⁵⁵, FFerro¹⁵, UFlagm eyer⁵⁹, HFoeth¹⁰, EFokitis³⁴, FFukla-Quenzer²², JFuster⁵⁵, M Gandelman⁵³, C Garcia⁵⁵, Ph Gavillet¹⁰, E Gazis³⁴, R Gokielt^{10,57}, B Golob^{45;47}, G G om ez-Ceballos⁴³, PGoncalves²⁴, EGrazian¹⁴, GGrosdidier²², KGrzelak⁵⁷, JGuy³⁹, CHaaq¹⁹, AHallgren⁵⁴, KHamacher⁵⁹, K Hamilton³⁷, S Haug³⁵, F Hauler¹⁹, V Hedberg²⁸, M Hennecke¹⁹, J Ho man⁵⁷, S-O Holm gren⁴⁸, P J Holl¹⁰, M A Houlden²⁵, JN Jackson²⁵, G Jarlskog²⁸, P Jarry⁴², D Jeans³⁷, E K Johansson⁴⁸, P Jonsson²⁹, C Joram¹⁰, L Jungerm ann¹⁹, F K apusta²⁷, S K atsanevas²⁹, E K atsou s³⁴, G K ernel⁴⁵, B P K ersevan^{45,47}, U K erzel¹⁹, B T K ing²⁵, N JK jaer¹⁰, PK luit³³, PK okkinias¹³, CK ourkoum elis⁴, OK ouznetsov¹⁸, ZK rum stein¹⁸, MK ucharczyk²⁰, JL am sa¹, G Leder⁵⁶, F Ledroit¹⁶, L Leinonen⁴⁸, R Leitner³², J Lemonne³, V Lepeltier^{y22}, T Lesiak²⁰, W Liebig⁵⁹, D Liko⁵⁶, A Lipniacka⁴⁸, JH Lopes⁵³, JM Lopez³⁶, D Loukas¹³, P Lutz⁴², L Lyons³⁷, JM acN aughton⁵⁶, A M alek⁵⁹, SM altezos³⁴, FM and f^{56} , JM arco⁴³, RM arco⁴³, BM arechal⁵³, MM argon f^{38} , J-CM arin¹⁰, CM ariotti¹⁰, AM arkou¹³, C M artinez-R ivero⁴³, J M asik¹⁴, N M astroyiannopoulos¹³, F M atorras⁴³, C M atteuzzi²¹, F M azzucato³⁸, M M azzucato³⁸, R M c N ulty²⁵, C M eroni³⁰, E M igliore⁴⁹, W M itaro ⁵⁶, U M joernm ark²⁸, T M oa⁴⁸, M M och¹⁹, K M oenig^{10,12}, R M onge¹⁵, J M ontenegro³³, D M oraes⁵³, S M oreno²⁴, P M orettini¹⁵, U M ueller⁵⁹, K M uenich⁵⁹, M Mulders³³, L Mundim⁸, W Murray³⁹, B Muryn²¹, G M yatt³⁷, T M yklebust³⁵, M N assiakou¹³, F N avarria⁶, K Naw rocki⁵⁷, S N em ecek¹⁴, R N icolaidou⁴², M N ikolenko^{18;11}, A O blakow ska-M ucha²¹, V O braztsov⁴⁴, A O lshevski²⁸, A Onofre²⁴, R Orava¹⁷, K Osterberg¹⁷, A Ouraou⁴², A Oyanguren⁵⁵, M Paganon¹³¹, S Paiano⁶, J P Palacios²⁵, H Palka²⁰, Th D Papadopoulou³⁴, L Pape¹⁰, C Parkes²⁶, F Parodi¹⁵, U Parzefall¹⁰, A Passeri⁴¹, O Passon⁵⁹, L Peralta²⁴, V Perepelitsa⁵⁵, A Perrotta⁶, A Petrolin¹⁵, J Piedra⁴³, L Pieri⁴¹, F Pierre⁴², M Pimenta²⁴, E Piotto¹⁰, T Podobnik^{45;47}, V Poireau¹⁰, M E Pol⁷, G Polok²⁰, V Pozdniakov¹⁸, N Pukhaeva¹⁸, A Pullia³¹, D R ado jicic³⁷, PRebecchi¹⁰, JRehn¹⁹, DReid³³, RReinhardt⁵⁹, PRenton³⁷, FRichard²², JRidky¹⁴, MRivero⁴³, DRodriguez⁴³, A Romero⁴⁹, PRonchese³⁸, PRoudeau²², TRovelli⁶, VRuhlmann-Kleider⁴², DRyabtchikov⁴⁴, A Sadovsky¹⁸, L Salm¹⁷, J Salt⁵⁵, C Sander¹⁹, A Savoy-Navarro²⁷, U Schwickerath¹⁰, R Sekulin³⁹, M Siebel⁵⁹, A Sisakian¹⁸, G Sm ad ja²⁹, O Sm imova²⁸, A Sokolov⁴⁴, A Sopczak²³, R Sosnow ski⁵⁷, T Spassov¹⁰, M Stanitzki¹⁹, A Stocchi²², J.Strauss⁵⁶, B.Stugu⁵, M. Szczekowski⁵⁷, M. Szeptycka⁵⁷, T.Szum lak²¹, T.Jabarelli³¹, F.Jegenfeldt⁵⁴, J.J.im mem ans³³, L.T.katchev¹⁸, M.Tobin²⁵, S.Todorovova¹⁴, B.Tom e²⁴, A.Tonazzo³¹, P.Tortosa⁵⁵, P.Travnicek¹⁴, D.Treille¹⁰, G. Tristram⁹, M. Trochim czuk⁵⁷, C. Troncon³⁰, M.-L. Turluer⁴², IA. Tyapkin¹⁸, S. Tzam arias¹³, V. Uvarov⁴⁴, G. Valentf⁶, P.Van Dam³³, J.Van Eldik¹⁰, N. van Remortel², I.Van Vulpen¹⁰, G.Vegni³⁰, F.Veloso²⁴, W. Venus³⁹, P.Verdier²⁹, Yu L. Nertogradova¹⁸, V. Nerzi⁴⁰, D. N. ilanova⁴², L. N. itale⁵¹, V. Nrba¹⁴, H. W. ahlen⁵⁹, A. J. W. ashbrook²⁵, C. W. eiser¹⁹, D.W. icke¹⁰, J.W. ickens³, G.W. ilkinson³⁷, M.W. inter¹¹, M.W. ittek²⁰, O.Yushchenko⁴⁴, A.Zalewska²⁰, P.Zalewski⁵⁷, D.Zavrtanik⁴⁶, V.Zhuravlov¹⁸, N.I.Zim in¹⁸, A.Zintchenko¹⁸, M.Zupan¹³

- ⁸ Inst. de F sica, Univ. E stadual do R io de Janeiro, rua Sao Francisco X avier 524, R io de Janeiro, B razil
- ⁹College de France, Lab. de Physique Corpusculaire, IN 2P 3-CNRS, FR -75231 Paris Cedex 05, France ¹⁰CERN, CH -1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

¹²Now at DESY-Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15735 Zeuthen, Germany

- ¹⁴FZU, Inst. of Phys. of the C A S.H igh Energy Physics D ivision, N a Slovance 2, CZ-182 21, Praha 8, C zech R epublic
- ¹⁵D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Genova and INFN, Via Dodecaneso 33, IT-16146 Genova, Italy
- ¹⁶ Institut des Sciences Nucleaires, IN 2P 3-C N R S, U niversite de G renoble 1, FR -38026 G renoble C edex, France
- ¹⁷Helsinki Institute of Physics and Departm ent of Physical Sciences, P.O. Box 64, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
- ¹⁸ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Head Post O ce, P.O. Box 79, RU-101 000 Moscow, Russian Federation ¹⁹ Institut fur Experimentelle Kemphysik, Universitat Karlsruhe, Postfach 6980, DE-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

²⁰ Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN Jl. Radzikow skiego 152, PL-31142 K rakow, Poland

- ²¹Faculty of Physics and Nuclear Techniques, University of Mining and M etallurgy, PL-30055 K rakow, Poland
- ²²LAL, Univ Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN 2P3, Orsay, France

 23 School of Physics and Chem istry, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA 1 4Y B, UK

²⁴LIP, IST, FCUL - Av. Elias Garcia, 14-1°, PT-1000 Lisboa Codex, Portugal

- ²⁵D epartm ent of Physics, University of Liverpool, P.O. Box 147, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK
- ²⁶Dept. of Physics and A stronom y, Kelvin Building, University of G lasgow, G lasgow G 12 800, UK
- ²⁷LPNHE, IN 2P3-CNRS, Univ. Paris VI et VII, Tour 33 (RdC), 4 place Jussieu, FR-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
- ²⁸D epartm ent of Physics, University of Lund, Solvegatan 14, SE-223 63 Lund, Sweden

²⁹ Universite Claude Bernard de Lyon, IPNL, IN 2P3-CNRS, FR-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

³¹D ipartim ento di Fisica, Univ. di Milano-Bicocca and INFN-MILANO, Piazza della Scienza 3, IT -20126 Milan, Italy

³² IPNP of MFF, Charles Univ., A real MFF, V Holesovickach 2, CZ-180 00, Praha 8, Czech Republic

³³N IK HEF, Postbus 41882, NL-1009 DB Am sterdam, The Netherlands

- 34 N ational Technical U niversity, Physics D epartm ent, Zografou C am pus, G R –15773 A thens, G reece
- ³⁵Physics Department, University of Oslo, Blindern, NO-0316 Oslo, Norway

³⁶D pto. Fisica, Univ. O viedo, A vda. C alvo Sotelo s/n, ES-33007 O viedo, Spain

- $^{37} \bar{\text{D}}$ epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of O xford , K eble R oad , O xford O X 1 3R H , U K
- ³⁸D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Padova and INFN, V ia Marzolo 8, II -35131 Padua, Italy
- $^{39}\mathrm{R}$ utherford Appleton Laboratory, C hilton , D idcot O X 11 O Q X , U K
- ⁴⁰D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Rom a II and IN FN, Tor Vergata, II -00173 Rom e, Italy

⁴¹D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Rom a III and IN FN, V ia della Vasca Navale 84, IT-00146 Rom e, Italy

⁴²DAPN IA /Service de Physique des Particules, CEA-Saclay, FR-91191 G if-sur-Y vette C edex, France

⁴³ Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria (CSIC-UC), Avda. los Castros s/n, ES-39006 Santander, Spain

⁴⁴ Inst. for High Energy Physics, Serpukov P.O. Box 35, Protvino, (M oscow Region), Russian Federation

⁴⁵J.Stefan Institute, Jam ova 39, SI-1000 L jubljana, Slovenia

⁴⁶Laboratory for A stroparticle Physics, U niversity of N ova G orica, K ostan jeviska 16a, SI-5000 N ova G orica, S loven ia

- ⁴⁷D epartm ent of Physics, University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ⁴⁸Fysikum, Stockholm University, Box 6730, SE-113 85 Stockholm, Sweden

⁴⁹D ipartim ento di Fisica Sperim entale, Universita di Torino and INFN, Via P.Giuria 1, II-10125 Turin, Italy

⁵⁰ IN FN ,Sezione di Torino and D ipartim ento di Fisica Teorica, Universita di Torino, V ia Giuria 1, IT –10125 Turin, Italy

 51 D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Trieste and IN FN , V ia A . Valerio 2, IT –34127 Trieste, Italy

⁵² Istituto di Fisica, U niversita di U dine and IN FN, II -33100 U dine, Italy

⁵³Univ. Federaldo Rio de Janeiro, C.P. 68528 Cidade Univ., Ilha do Fundao BR-21945-970 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁵⁴Department of Radiation Sciences, University of Uppsala, P.O.Box 535, SE –751 21 Uppsala, Sweden

- ⁵⁶ Institut fur Hochenergiephysik, Osterr. A kad. d. W issensch., N ikolsdorfergasse 18, AT -1050 V ienna, A ustria
- $^{57}\,{\rm Inst.}$ Nuclear Studies and University of W arsaw , Ul. Hoza 69, PL-00681 W arsaw , Poland
- $^{58}\mathrm{N\,ow}$ at U niversity of W arw ick , C oventry C V 4 7A L , U K

⁵⁹Fachbereich Physik, University of W uppertal, Postfach 100 127, DE-42097 W uppertal, G erm any

^y deceased

¹D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, Iow a State University, Am es IA 50011-3160, USA

 $^{^2}$ Physics D epartm ent, U niversiteit A ntw erpen, U niversiteitsplein 1, B -2610 A ntw erpen, B elgium 3 IIH E , U LB -V U B , P leinlaan 2, B -1050 B russels, B elgium

⁴Physics Laboratory, University of A thens, Solonos Str. 104, G R –10680 A thens, G reece

 $^{^5 {\}rm D}$ epartm ent of P hysics, U n iversity of B ergen , A llegaten 55, N O –5007 B ergen , N orw ay

⁶D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Bologna and INFN, Via Imerio 46, IT-40126 Bologna, Italy

⁷C entro Brasileiro de Pesquisas F sicas, rua X avier Sigaud 150, BR -22290 R io de Janeiro, Brazil

¹¹ Institut de Recherches Subatom iques, IN 2P3 - CNRS/ULP - BP20, FR-67037 Strasbourg Cedex, France

¹³ Institute of Nuclear Physics, N.C. S.R. Dem okritos, P.O. Box 60228, G.R-15310 A thens, G reece

³⁰ Dipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Milano and INFN-MILANO, Via Celoria 16, II -20133 Milan, Italy

⁵⁵ F C, Valencia-CSIC, and D.F.A.M.N., U. de Valencia, Avda. Dr. Moliner 50, ES-46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain

1 Introduction

This paper presents the results of a study of di-jet events produced in two-photon collisions in the anti-tagged mode, i.e. when both scattered electrons¹ escape detection. Large p_T processes involving quasi-real photons are sensitive to both, quark and gluon, components of the resolved photons. Thus, the analysis of high- p_T jet production com – plem ents the studies of the deep-inelastic structure function of a quasi-real photon which probe the quark distribution. Considered together, they allow the parton density function of the photon to be determ ined. The perturbative QCD scale of the hard interactions is provided by the jet transverse energy to be used in the calculations. A vailable leading and next-to-leading order calculations [1] can be tested with the large sam ples of LEP-2 data. The present analysis adds new results to those obtained by other LEP experiments [2,3].

G am m a-gam m a collisions exhibit a behavior typical of hadron-hadron interactions, i.e. the centre-of-m ass fram e of the hard scattered partons is moving in the centre-of-m ass fram e. The k_2 -cluster algorithm [4{6], invariant under boosts along the beam axis, is used. The variables adopted for the jet analysis are the transverse momentum p_T , the azim uthal angle and the pseudo-rapidity = $\ln(\tan(=2))$ of the particle(jet)².

Hadron production in the collisions of quasi-real photons is described by the set of processes illustrated in Fig. 1. The interaction of bare photons (the direct term) is described by the Born-box diagram within the quark-parton model (QPM). If one or both photons are resolved into a partonic structure, the process is term ed single- or double-resolved, respectively. A part of the double-resolved interactions with both photons resolved into a bound quark-pair system is described by the vector dom inance model (VDM). The relative contribution of the di erent components depends on the kinem atic regime. The present study involves such a 3-component model in order to describe the data and to correct on the experimental ects and then to compare the data with leading order (LO) and next-to-leading order (NLO) QCD calculations.

An important issue is the expected di erence in topology. A lm ost all hadrons produced in QPM -like events should belong to two hard-jets, while two jets in events with resolved photons are accompanied by remnant jet(s). The variables sensitive to such a di erence are [7]

$$x^{+} = \frac{\sum_{j \in ts} (E_{jet} + p_{z,jet})}{\sum_{part} (E_{part} + p_{z,part})} \quad \text{and} \quad x = \frac{\sum_{j \in ts} (E_{jet} + p_{z,jet})}{\sum_{part} (E_{part} + p_{z,part})},$$

where 'part' corresponds to all detected particles and E $_{jet}$ and $p_{z,jet}$ are the two hard-jets energy and the component of jetm on entum along the z-axis, respectively. These variables represent the respective fractions of the momenta relevant to the hard interaction. The photons in the QPM -like events participate in the interaction entirely and both x^+ and x should be equal to unity, while the presence of a rem nant jet (single-resolved photon) shifts x^+ or x toward some lower values. In the double-resolved case, both variables are far from unity.

Experim ental details are discussed in Section 2. Section 3 presents the analysis of the data which are compared to the leading and next-to-leading order QCD calculations [1]. Results and conclusions are given in Section 4.

¹Throughout this paper, electron stands for electron and positron.

 $^{^{2}}$ The origin of the DELPHI reference system is at the centre of the detector. The z-axis is parallel to the e beam, the x-axis points horizontally to the centre of the LEP ring and the y-axis points vertically upwards. The coordinates R; ,z form a cylindrical coordinate system and is the polar angle with respect to the z-axis.

2 Detector and Data

The DELPHI apparatus and perform ance are described in detail in ref. [8,9].

Charged particles are detected in the tracking system containing a 3-layer silicon Vertex D etector, the Inner D etector, the T im e P rojection C ham ber and the O uter D etector. The Forward C ham bers extend the acceptance into the forward region. The tracking detectors are located inside the superconducting solenoid providing a uniform magnetic

eld of 1.23 T parallel to the axis of the colliding $e^+ = e^-$ beam s. The combined m om entum resolution provided by the tracking system is of the order of a few per-m ille in the m om entum range of the present study.

Photons are detected in the electrom agnetic calorim eters – H igh density Projection C ham ber in the barrel region and the Forward E lectro-M agnetic C alorim eter in the end-caps with polar angle coverages of (40 140) and (9 35 ;145 171), respectively.

Jets are reconstructed from two kinds of detected objects - charged particles and photons.

The events of interest are quite energetic and the multiplicity of nalstate particles is large. The events are triggered by several components of the DELPHI trigger system [10] and the resulting e ciency is estimated to be equal to unity.

The data used for this analysis have been collected by the DELPHI detector at LEP at an e⁺ e centre-of-mass energy ($P \overline{s_{ee}}$) from 189 GeV to 209 GeV and correspond to an integrated e⁺ e lum inosity of 550 pb⁻¹.

2.1 Data selection

The following criteria are applied to select the data sam ple.

M ultihadron event selection.

Each event should contain at least 5 charged particles. A charged particle is counted if its momentum is greater than 0.2 GeV/c, the polar angle is within the interval from 20 to 160, the relative momentum error is less than 100% and the impact parameters are smaller than 4 cm in R and 10 cm in z.

Background suppression.

The invariant m ass of the system calculated from the charged particles (assuming them to be pions) and the photons (detected in the electrom agnetic calorim eters) should be below $35 \text{ G eV}/c^2$. The energy threshold for an electrom agnetic calorim eter cluster is set to 0.5 G eV. The total transverse m om entum j (p_T) jshould be below 30 G eV/c. These criteria suppress events coming from e⁺e annihilation and they set upper limits (see below) for jet transverse m om entum.

A nti-tagging condition.

There should be no clusters in the lum inosity monitor ST IC (which covers the region from 29 m rad to 185 m rad in the polar angle) with energy greater than 25 G eV (anti-tagging condition). This ensures the photons to be quasi-real.

D i-jet event selection.

Jets are reconstructed by the k_2 -cluster algorithm, in plemented in the KTCLUS program [6]. It operates with objects (at generator level they may be either particles or partons) and tries to unite them into a jet in a recursive way. The following variables are calculated for each object i and a pair of objects i and j:

 $d_i = E_{T,i}^2$ and $d_{ij} = m in (d_i; d_j)[(i_j j)^2 + (i_j j)^2] \neq R^2$,

where the transverse energy $E_{T,i}$ is calculated with pion mass assumption for a charged particle and zero mass for a photon, R is usually set to unity. If the sm allest

value $(d_{m in})$ of any d in this sample is the d_{ij} value of a pair (i;j), then these two objects are joined into a new object and its E_{T} ; and are recalculated. O there ise, if the smallest value in the sample is the divalue of an object i, the corresponding object is not mergeable and it is moved from the object list to the jet list. The procedure continues until there are no more objects. The pseudo-rapidity of exactly two jets must be in the range 1 < < 1 and their transverse m om enta, p_T , above 3 GeV/c. Besides these two hard process jets, the event may contain one or more jets outside the pseudo-rapidity and p_T dom ain mentioned above. They are term ed 'rem nant' jets. The choice of the kinem atic limits is conditioned by the desire to interactions and to keep hard process jets within the DELPHI suppress soft acceptance. The cut on $jet p_T$ should not be too large since, besides decreasing the m easurem ent accuracy, it reduces the contribution com ing from events with resolved photons. The extension of the domain results in an increase of threshold e ects, since som e of the produced jet particles are outside the detector acceptance and the e ciency of the jet reconstruction is decreased.

An additional cut on the mean jets transverse momentum $\overline{p_{T}} > 4 \text{ GeV}/\text{c}$ allows a p_{T} asymmetry of the reconstructed jets. If such a cut is applied symmetrically to each of the two jets, the QCD calculations of some variables are unstable [1].

The above criteria select a data sam ple of 5147 events. The data are corrected for detector ine ciency and acceptance e ects with a leading-order (LO) M onte C arlo sim ulation described below. LO simulation is also used for the estimation of the soft processes accompanying the hard-initiated jets and for taking into account the in uence of hadronisation.

2.2 M onte Carlo simulation and background estimation

The M onte Carb generator PYTHIA (version 6.205) [11] is used for the simulation of hadron production in interactions. The program contains an interface to an external library of parton density functions (PDF) for the photons [12]. The default SaS1D [13] PDF is taken. The soft underlying events are modeled through multiple parton interactions (MIA) of several parton pairs within the same event. An event with both photons resolved m ay contain, besides hard initiated jets and the corresponding remnant jets, such an additional MIA contribution.

The simulated sample is approximately 4 times larger than the data sample.

The main background process (e⁺ e ! hadrons) is simulated by the KK2f event generator (version 4.14) [14]. The expected number of these background events in the selected data sample is estimated to (500 5) events. The contamination of pairs produced in two-photon interactions is evaluated as (43 3) events, using the BDKRC program [15]. The background of pairs produced in e⁺ e annihilation is negligible. The events with W ;Z bosons contribute (38 4) events.

Note that the mentioned background sources (they will be referred to as 'non- 'background) are only a part of the total background. Additional sources will be discussed later in Section 3.2.

3 Analysis

The entire $(x^+; x)$ -space can be split into four quadrants (Fig. 2) by x equal to 0.85. The chosen value of x selects approximately equal statistics in the di erent domains. One can de ne three kinematic regions: the $rst - x^+$ and x greater than 0.85 (the region is termed as 'D ir' domain), the second -when both values are below 0.85 (double-resolved domain, 'D R') and the third region -when one variable is below 0.85 while the other is above it (single-resolved, 'SR').

Figure 3 shows the total energy outside the reconstructed jets (E_{out}) in comparison with the model expectation. The contributions of di erent parts of the model are also presented in the gure.

The 'D ir' dom ain (Fig. 3b) is mostly populated by QPM -like events (94%), the contribution of the double-resolved subprocesses to the 'DR' dom ain (Fig. 3d) is around 54%, while the 'SR' dom ain (Fig. 3c) contains all three types in nearly equal parts. It is seen that the model does not describe the data in the parts of the $(x^+;x^-)$ -space where the contribution of the resolved processes is essential. It is also clear that a sim ple oneparam eter renorm alization of the model as a whole as done in previous publications [2,3] is not adequate since its components have to be tuned separately with di erent factors. This renorm alization is a new approach to the analysis of jets produced in collisions.

A 3-parameters t of the data is performed simultaneously on the following distributions: E_{out} (Fig. 3), the transverse momentum balance ($j \cdot p_{i,T} j$) (not shown) and the total invariant mass W of the detected particles (not shown). The distributions are tted in each (x^+ ;x)-domain. The obtained parameters (scale factors for each model component) are $_{qpm} = (0.86 \quad 0.02)$; $_{s res} = (1.49 \quad 0.09)$ and $_{d res} = (1.93 \quad 0.05)$.

Figure 4 presents the background-subtracted data compared to the simulation (with scaling factors applied) for the variables which were not involved in the t. The data are in good agreem ent with the simulation. The M onte C arlo set, scaled according to the t parameters, consists of 33% Q PM -like events, 23% single-resolved and 44% double-resolved events. D ouble-resolved events are subdivided into contributions 'no-M IA' (75%) and 'with-M IA' (25%).

3.1 Multiple parton interactions

A salready mentioned the modeling of the double-resolved events includes the so-called multiple parton interactions (MIA) [11]. Since the resolved photons are composite objects consisting of m any partons, it is assumed that dierent pairwise interactions m ay take place during one collision. It has been shown that the inclusion of M IA improves the description of the data [16]. The amount of MIA in PYTHIA is determined by a cuto parameter on the parton transverse momentum. The default value of 1.6 GeV/c is used. M IA in uence the model predictions in two ways. The rst is the case when the hard initiated jets satisfy the selection criteria and M IA system atically increase the jet transverse energy. The second way is when the hard process alone does not provide two jets passing the selection criteria but combined with MIA initiated particles the event is selected. The MIA contribution in this case is to the background and it has to be estimated and subtracted from the data according to the model expectation. For this purpose PYTHIA is run in the mode with MIA switched o . It is found that 27% of interactions with M IA contributions would not pass the di-jet the double-resolved selection criteria if M IA were absent. Note that the double-resolved part of the model is tted in a coherent way, i.e. without splitting it into subsamples with-MIA and without it.

Sum m arizing the M IA in uence, the m odel predicts the background com ing from M IA as 7% (3%) of the double-resolved part (the total m odel prediction). On the other hand 18% of the double-resolved events are a ected by M IA via the increase of the jet transverse

m om entum. The absolute value of the background com ing from the M IA contribution is estimated as (131 7) events. The importance of the 3-parameter t performed has to be emphasized since the evaluation of the background is done by using the scale factors obtained.

3.2 Hadronisation corrections, acceptance and background subtraction

The theoretical predictions have to be transform ed from the parton level to the level at which particles are produced in the interaction vertex – the particle level ('hadronisation corrections'). The M onte C arb partons are considered in leading-order and are not identical to the NLO partons in the theoretical calculations. The corrections have been calculated using the PYTHIA program. The distribution of the mean transverse m om entum of the jets $\overline{p_{T}}$ (di erence of jet pseudo-rapidities j j) obtained with the k₂-cluster algorithm at the parton level, is divided by the distribution obtained after hadronisation. The resulting 'hadronisation corrections' are shown in Fig. 5. They reach 60% value at sm allest p_{T} and decrease to 30% for higher transverse m om entum.

The next step is to transform the data from the level of the detected particles to the hadrons produced in interactions in order to take the detector acceptance into account. The detector acceptance enters in two ways – either som e events do not satisfy the selection and thus they are lost or the observed distributions in the selected events are distorted. B in-by-bin corrections are used. Figure 6 illustrates the detector e ciency as a function of $\overline{p_T}$ (the dip in the middle of the distribution is caused by limited statistics of the simulation) and j j.

Note that these corrections apply to two-jet events. The rst correction transfers the two-jet calculations carried out at the parton level to model expectations at the level of the produced particles. The acceptance has been calculated both at the produced and detected particle levels for the two-jet events. That is why the following and last source of background ('non2-to-2 jets') should be taken into account. Some events are reconstructed with two jets because undetected particles change the event topology, but they do not have two jets at the production level. This kind of background is estim ated as (893–13) events and it becomes the largest source of background for the di-jet studies.

4 Results and conclusions

Figures 7(a-b) show the $\overline{p_T}$ and j jdata distributions compared to the total simulation. All sources of the background mentioned above are given there as well.

The data are bin-to-bin corrected for the acceptance and, applying the integrated lum inosity of LEP corresponding to the data, the total di-jet cross-section is measured to be 17.8 0.6 pb for jets within the pseudo-rapidity range of 1 < < 1 and for a jet transverse momentum p_T above 3 GeV/c. The statistical and system atic uncertainties (approximately of equal size) are added in quadrature. The system atic uncertainty includes the errors of the tmentioned above, the uncertainty coming from MIA handling and the background estimations. The model expectations are 20:5 0:1 pb and 18:1 0:6 pb for the calculations carried out in the leading and next-to-leading order, respectively. The dimential cross-sections are obtained with bin-by-bin corrections for the detector e ciency (Fig. 6) for the data and with 'hadronisation corrections' (Fig. 5) applied to the theoretical calculations. The obtained cross-sections are shown in Fig. 8 and com pared to

the predictions mentioned above (the NLO calculations done with M onte C arlo method have sizable uncertainties which are shown on the plots). Numerical results are presented in Table 1 as a function of $\overline{p_T}$ and in Table 2 as a function of j j. The errors include statistical and system atic uncertainties added in quadrature.

Since we scaled the contribution of QPM -like events ('direct' term) and this part is well calculable, it seems interesting to compare the scaled distributions to the results of calculations carried out in the leading and next-to-leading orders. The results are presented in Fig. 9. The scaled 'direct' term is only around 10% below NLO calculations; the main di erence comes from the small $\overline{p_T}$ domain, while there is good agreement with NLO calculations for $\overline{p_T}$ greater than 4.5 GeV/c.

In conclusion, the production of two high- p_T jets in the interactions of quasi-real photons is studied with the DELPHI data taken at LEP-2 with an integrated e⁺ e lum inosity of 550 pb⁻¹. The jets reconstructed by the k_2 -cluster algorithm are dened within the pseudo-rapidity range of 1 < < 1 and for a jet transverse momentum p_T above 3 G eV/c. The total and di erential di-jet cross-sections are measured for a mean jet momentum $\overline{p_T}$ from 4 G eV/c to 14 G eV/c. The total cross-section agrees with the next-to-leading order perturbative QCD calculation within the experimental uncertainties and is 18% below the calculation carried out in the leading order. The measured di erential di-jet cross-section is also found to be in good agreement with NLO QCD predictions [1] and complements results obtained by other LEP experiments [2,3].

A cknow ledgem ents

W e are greatly indebted to our technical collaborators, to the m em bers of the CERN – SL D ivision for the excellent perform ance of the LEP collider, and to the funding agencies for their support in building and operating the DELPHI detector.

W e acknow ledge in particular the support of

A ustrian Federal M inistry of Education, Science and Culture, GZ 616.364/2-III/2a/98, FNRS{FW O, F landers Institute to encourage scienti c and technological research in the industry (IW T) and Belgian Federal O ce for Scienti c, Technical and Cultural a airs (OSTC), Belgium,

FINEP, CNPq, CAPES, FUJB and FAPERJ, Brazil,

M inistry of Education of the C zech R epublic, project LC 527,

A cadem y of Sciences of the C zech R epublic, project AV 0Z10100502,

 $\operatorname{C}\operatorname{om} m$ ission of the European $\operatorname{C}\operatorname{om} m$ unities (DG X II),

D irection des Sciences de la M atiere, CEA, France,

Bundesm inisterium fur Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, Germany,

G eneral Secretariat for R esearch and Technology, G reece,

National Science Foundation (NW O) and Foundation for Research on Matter (FOM), The Netherlands,

Norwegian Research Council,

State Committee for Scienti c Research, Poland, SPUB-M/CERN/PO3/DZ296/2000, SPUB-M/CERN/PO3/DZ297/2000, 2P03B 104 19 and 2P03B 69 23(2002-2004),

FCT - Fundaceo para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Portugal,

Vedecka grantova agentura M S SR , Slovakia, Nr. 95/5195/134,

M inistry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia,

C IC Y T, Spain, A EN 99-0950 and A EN 99-0761,

The Swedish Research Council,

The Science and Technology Facilities Council, UK,

Department of Energy, USA, DE-FG 02-01ER 41155, EEC RTN contract HPRN-CT-00292-2002.

R eferences

- [1] M.K lasen and G.K ram er, Phys. Lett. B 366 (1996) 385;
 M.K lasen, private communication.
- [2] O PAL Collab., G. Abbiendi et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 31 (2003) 307.
- [3] L3 Collab., P. A chard et al., Phys. Lett. B 602 (2004) 157.
- [4] S.D. Ellis and D.E. Soper, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 3160.
- [5] S.Cataniet al, Nucl. Phys. B 406 (1993) 187.
- [6] M . Seym our, code source http://hepwww.rlac.uk/theory/seym our/ktclus.
- [7] L. Lonnblad and M. Seym our (conveners), section ' event generators' in 'Physics at LEP2', ed.G. A ltarelli, T. Sjostrand and F. Zwimer, CERN 96-01 (1996), volum e 2, 204.
- [8] DELPHICollab., P. Aamio et al., Nucl. Instr. & Meth. A 303 (1991) 233.
- [9] DELPHICollab., P.Abreu et al., Nucl. Instr. & Meth. A 378 (1996) 57.
- [10] DELPHI Trigger Group, A. Augustinus et al., Nucl. Instr. & Meth. A 515 (2003) 782.
- [11] T.Sjostrand, Comput. Phys. Commun. 82 (1994) 74.
- [12] H. Plothow-Besch, Int. J. M od. Phys. A 10 (1995) 2901.
- [13] G.A. Schuler and T. Sjostrand, Z. Phys. C 68 (1995) 607.
- [14] S.Jadach, B.F.L.W ard and Z.W as, Comput. Phys. Commun. 130 (2000) 260.
- [15] F. Berends, P. Daverveldt and R. Kleiss, Comput. Phys. Commun. 40 (1986) 271, 285 and 309.
- [16] H1 Collab., S. A id et al., Z. Phys. C 70 (1996) 17.

p _T ,m ean	measured;total (pb/GeV)	$_{ m LO}$ (pb/GeV)	$_{\rm N\ LO}$ (pb/GeV)
4.0-4.5	9:59 0:53	11:59 0:13	9 : 75 0 : 85
4.5-5.0	6 : 71 0 : 41	7:61 0:10	6 : 71 0 : 53
5.0-5.5	4:56 0:33	5 : 24 0 : 07	4:49 0:27
5.5-6.0	3:06 0:26	3:86 0:06	3:49 0:19
6.0–7.0	2:19 0:16	2:46 0:04	2:28 0:12
7.0-8.0	1:17 0:12	1:40 0:03	1:28 0:08
0.9-0.8	0:88 0:12	0:88 0:02	0:79 0:06
9.0-10.0	0:71 0:11	0:61 0:02	0:58 0:05
10.0-12.0	0:31 0:05	0:33 0:01	0:31 0:03
12.0-14.0	0:11 0:03	0:18 0:01	0:16 0:03

Table 1: M easured cross-section of di-jet production in quasi-real interactions as a function of $\overline{p_{\Gamma}}$ together with leading order and next-to-leading order calculations [1].

јj	m easured;total (p	b) _{LO} (pb)	_{NLO} (pb)
00.2	3:50 0:21	4:23 0:05 3	3 : 44 0 : 25
0.2-0.4	2:96 0:18	3:66 0:05 2	2:76 0:20
0.4-0.6	2:54 0:18	3:31 0:04 3	3:32 0:25
0.6-0.8	2 : 67 0 : 19	2:81 0:04 2	2:36 0:19
0.8–1.0	2:09 0:17	2:35 0:04 2	2:20 0:24
1.0-1.2	1:78 0:16	1:87 0:03 1	: 84 0 : 20
1.2-1.4	1:39 0:14	1:38 0:03 1	28 0:13
1.4–1.6	0:67 0:11	0:95 0:02 (: 80 0 : 09
1.6-1.8	0:29 0:12	0:54 0:02 (): 63 0:09
1.8-2.0	0:29 0:11	0:16 0:02 (: 09 0 : 04

Table 2: M easured cross-section of di-jet production in quasi-realinteractions as afunction of jj together with leading order and next-to-leading order calculations [1].



Figure 1: M ain diagram s corresponding to the hadron production in interactions via vector m eson interactions (VDM -like, a), point-like interactions (QPM -like, b) and with one (c) or both (d) photons resolved into partons.



Figure 2: (x^+ ; x)-space divided by x into four domains (Dir', SR' and DR' notations are introduced in section 3.



Figure 3: C om parison of the total energy outside the reconstructed jets E_{out} with the simulation for the selected data (a), and data in the phase-space domains D ir' (b), 'SR' (c) and DR'(d), described in section 3 of the text. The thick solid histogram s correspond to the sum of the di-jet interactions as predicted by PYTHIA and the background processes. The contributions of di erent subprocesses are shown by dotted (QPM term), dashed-dotted (single-resolved) and thin solid (double-resolved) histogram s.



F igure 4: C om parison of the background subtracted data with the simulation after the t for the charged multiplicity (a), the invariant m ass calculated with the charged particles only (b), $(x^+ + x)/2$ (c) and the m ean num ber of particles in the reconstructed jets (d). The simulation (thick solid histogram s) sum s all three m odel components scaled with the factors given in the text. The contributions of subprocesses are shown by dotted (Q PM term), dashed-dotted (single-resolved) and thin solid (double-resolved) histogram s.



Figure 5: Hadronisation corrections as a function of the mean jet transverse momentum $\overline{p_T}$ (a) and the absolute di erence of jet rapidities j j(b).



Figure 6: Detector e ciency as a function of p_T (a) and j j(b).



Figure 7: D istributions of the mean jet transverse momentum $\overline{p_T}$ (a) and the absolute di erence of jet rapidities j j(b). The data are presented by bars, the sum of the scaled PYTHIA prediction and of the background by solid histogram s. The background com – ponents are shown by dash-dotted lines (MIA contribution), by dashed lines ('non2-to-2 jets' background) and by shaded histogram s ('non- 'background).



Figure 8: Cross-section of di-jet production in quasi-real interactions as a function of $\overline{p_T}$ (a) and j j (b). The jets are reconstructed by the k₂-cluster algorithm within the pseudo-rapidity range of 1 < < 1 and the jet transverse momentum p_T above 3 G eV/c. D ashed histogram s show the leading order calculations and next-to-leading order calculations [1] are presented by open diam onds.



Figure 9: Cross-section of the 'direct' term as a function of $\overline{p_T}$ (a) and j j(b). Dashed histogram s correspond to the PYTHIA predictions scaled according to the t. The circles (squares) present the results of the NLO (LO) calculations [1].