EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

CERN {PH-EP/2007-012

25 M ay 2007

Observation of the M uon Inner Brem sstrahlung at LEP1

DELPHICollaboration

A bstract

M uon brem sstrahlung photons converted in front of the DELPHIm ain tracker (TPC) in dim uon events at LEP1 were studied in two photon kinem atic ranges: 1 GeV and transverse momentum with respect to the parent 0:2 < Emuon $p_T < 40 \text{ MeV}/c$, and 1 < E10 GeV and $p_T < 80 \text{ MeV}/\text{c}$. A good agreem ent of the observed photon rate with predictions from QED for them uon inner brem sstrahlung was found, contrary to the anom alous soft photon excess that has been observed recently in hadronic Z⁰ decays. The obtained ratios of the observed signal to the predicted level of the muon brem sstrahlung are 0:07 in the photon energy range 0:2 < E1:06 0:12 1 G eV and 1:04 0:09 0:12 in the photon energy range 1 < E10 G eV. The brem sstrahlung dead cone is observed for the rst time in the direct photon production at LEP.

(Accepted by Eur. Phys. J.C)

JAbdallah²⁶, PAbreu²³, WAdam⁵⁶, PAdzic¹², TAlbrecht¹⁸, RAlem any-Fernandez⁹, TAllm endinger¹⁸, PPAllport²⁴, U Am aldi³⁰, N Am apane⁴⁸, S Am ato⁵², E Anashkin³⁷, A Andreazza²⁹, S Andringa²³, N An jos²³, P Antilogus²⁶, W-DApel¹⁸, YAmoud¹⁵, SAsk⁹, BAsman⁴⁷, JEAugustin²⁶, AAugustinus⁹, PBaillon⁹, ABallestrero⁴⁹, PBambade²¹, RBarbier²⁸, DBardin¹⁷, GJBarker⁵⁸, ABaroncelli⁴⁰, MBattaglia⁹, MBaubillier²⁶, K-HBecks⁵⁹, M Begalli⁷, A Behrm ann⁵⁹, E Ben-Haim²¹, N Benekos³³, A Benvenuti⁵, C Berat¹⁵, M Berggren²⁶, D Bertrand², M Besancon⁴¹, N Besson⁴¹, D Bloch¹⁰, M Blom³², M Bluf⁷, M Bonesini³⁰, M Boonekam p⁴¹, PSLBooth^{y24}, G Borisov²², O Botner⁵³, B Bouquet²¹, T J.V Bow cock²⁴, IBoyko¹⁷, M Bracko⁴⁴, R Brenner⁵³, E Brodet³⁶, PBruckman¹⁹, JM Brunet⁸, BBuschbeck⁵⁶, PBuschmann⁵⁹, M Calvi³⁰, T Camporesi⁹, V Canale³⁹, F Carena⁹, N.Castro²³, F.Cavallo⁵, M.Chapkin⁴³, Ph.Charpentier⁹, P.Checchia³⁷, R.Chierici⁹, P.Chliapnikov⁴³, J.Chudoba⁹, SJChung⁹, KCieslik¹⁹, PCollins⁹, RContri¹⁴, GCosm e²¹, FCossutti⁵⁰, MJCosta⁵⁴, DCrennell³⁸, JCuevas³⁵, JD 'Hondt², T da Silva⁵², W Da Silva²⁶, G Della R icca⁵⁰, A De Angelis⁵¹, W De Boer¹⁸, C De C lercq², B De Lotto⁵¹, N.DeMaria⁴⁸, A.DeMin³⁷, L.dePaula⁵², L.DiCiaccio³⁹, A.DiSimone⁴⁰, K.Doroba⁵⁷, J.Drees^{59;9}, G.Eigen⁴, T Ekelof⁵³, M Ellert⁵³, M Elsing⁹, M C Espirito Santo²³, G Fanourakis¹², D Fassouliotis^{12,3}, M Feindt¹⁸, J Fernandez⁴², A Ferrer⁵⁴, F Ferro¹⁴, U F lagm eyer⁵⁹, H Foeth⁹, E Fokitis³³, F Fulda-Quenzer²¹, J Fuster⁵⁴, M G and elm an⁵², C Garcia⁵⁴, Ph G avillet⁹, E G azis³³, R G okiell⁹;⁵⁷, B G olob^{44;46}, G G om ez-C eballos⁴², P G oncalves²³, E G raziani⁴⁰, G G rosdidier²¹, K G rzelak⁵⁷, J G uy³⁸, C H aaq¹⁸, A H allgren⁵³, K H am acher⁵⁹, K H am ilton³⁶, S H aug³⁴, F H auler¹⁸, V Hedberg²⁷, M Hennecke¹⁸, H Herr^{y9}, J Ho m an⁵⁷, S-O Holm gren⁴⁷, P J Holt⁹, M A Houlden²⁴, J N Jackson²⁴, G Jarlskog²⁷, P Jarry⁴¹, D Jeans³⁶, E K Johansson⁴⁷, P Jonsson²⁸, C Joram⁹, L Jungerm ann¹⁸, F K apusta²⁶, SKatsanevas²⁸, EKatsou s³³, GKernel⁴⁴, BPKersevan⁴⁴;⁴⁶, UKerzel¹⁸, B.TKing²⁴, NJKjaer⁹, PKluit³², PKokkinias¹², CKourkoum elis³, OKouznetsov¹⁷, ZKrum stein¹⁷, MKucharczyk¹⁹, JLam sa¹, GLeder⁵⁶, FLedroit¹⁵, L Leinonen⁴⁷, R Leitner³¹, J Lem onne², V Lepeltier²¹, T Lesiak¹⁹, W Liebig⁵⁹, D Liko⁵⁶, A Lipniacka⁴⁷, J H Lopes⁵², JM Lopez³⁵, D Loukas¹², P Lutz⁴¹, L Lyons³⁶, JM acN aughton⁵⁶, A M alek⁵⁹, S M altezos³³, F M and 1⁵⁶, JM arco⁴², R M arco⁴², B M arechal⁵², M M argoni³⁷, J-C M arin⁹, C M ariotti⁹, A M arkou¹², C M artinez-R ivero⁴², J M asik¹³, N M astroyiannopoulos¹², F M atorras⁴², C M atteuzzi³⁰, F M azzucato³⁷, M M azzucato³⁷, R M c N ulty²⁴, C M eroni²⁹, EMigliore⁴⁸, WMitaro⁵⁶, UMjoernmark²⁷, TMoa⁴⁷, MMoch¹⁸, KMoenig^{9;11}, RMonge¹⁴, JMontenegro³², D M oracs⁵², S M oreno²³, P M orettini¹⁴, U M ueller⁵⁹, K M uenich⁵⁹, M M ulders³², L M und in ⁷, W M urray³⁸, B M uryn²⁰, G Myatt³⁶, T Myklebust³⁴, M Nassiakou¹², F Navarria⁵, K Nawrocki⁵⁷, R Nicolaidou⁴¹, M Nikolenko^{17,10}, A O blakow ska-M ucha²⁰, V O braztsov⁴³, A O lshevski¹⁷, A O nofre²³, R O rava¹⁶, K O sterberg¹⁶, A O uraou⁴¹, A Dyanguren⁵⁴, M Paganoni³⁰, S Paiano⁵, J P Palacios²⁴, H Palka¹⁹, ThD Papadopoulou³³, L Pape⁹, C Parkes²⁵, F Parodi¹⁴, U Parzefall⁹, A Passeri⁴⁰, O Passon⁵⁹, L Peralta²³, V Perepelitsa^{54;55}, A Perrotta⁵, A Petrolini¹⁴, JPiedra⁴², LPierri⁴⁰, FPierre⁴¹, MPimenta²³, EPiotto⁹, TPodobnik^{44;46}, VPoireau⁹, MEPol⁶, GPolok¹⁹, V Pozdniakov¹⁷, N Pukhaeva¹⁷, A Pullia³⁰, J R am es¹³, A R ead³⁴, P R ebecchi⁹, J R ehn¹⁸, D R eid³², R R einhardt⁵⁹, PR enton³⁶, FR ichard²¹, JR idky¹³, MR ivero⁴², DR odriquez⁴², AR om ero⁴⁸, PR onchese³⁷, PR oudeau²¹, TR ovelli⁵, V Ruhlmann-Kleider⁴¹, D Ryabtchikov⁴³, A Sadovsky¹⁷, L Salm¹⁶, J Salt⁵⁴, C Sander¹⁸, A Savoy-Navarro²⁶, U Schwickerath⁹, R Sekulin³⁸, M Siebel⁵⁹, A Sisakian¹⁷, G Sm ad ja²⁸, O Sm imova²⁷, A Sokolov⁴³, A Sopczak²², R Sosnow ski⁵⁷, T Spassov⁹, M Stanitzki¹⁸, A Stocchi²¹, J Strauss⁵⁶, B Stugu⁴, M Szczekow ski⁵⁷, M Szeptycka⁵⁷, T.Szum lak²⁰, T.Jabarelli³⁰, F.Jegenfeldt⁵³, J.J.im m erm ans³², L.J.katchev¹⁷, M.Jobin²⁴, S.Jodorovova¹³, B.Jom e²³, A.Tonazzo³⁰, P.Tortosa⁵⁴, P.Travnicek¹³, D.Treille⁹, G.Tristram⁸, M.Trochim czuk⁵⁷, C.Troncon²⁹, M.-L.Turluer⁴¹, IA.Tyapkin¹⁷, P.Tyapkin¹⁷, S.Tzamarias¹², V.Uvarov⁴³, G.Valenti⁵, P.Van Dam³², J.Van Eldik⁹, N.van Remortel⁶, IV.an Vulpen⁹, G. Negni²⁹, F. Neloso²³, W. Nenus³⁸, P. Verdier²⁸, V. Verzi³⁹, D. Vilanova⁴¹, L. Vitale⁵⁰, V. Nrba¹³, H W ahlen⁵⁹, A J W ashbrook²⁴, C W eiser¹⁸, D W icke⁹, J W ickens², G W ilkinson³⁶, M W inter¹⁰, M W itek¹⁹, O.Yushchenko⁴³, A.Zalew ska¹⁹, P.Zalew ski⁵⁷, D.Zavrtanik⁴⁵, V.Zhuravlov¹⁷, N.I.Zim in¹⁷, A.Zintchenko¹⁷, M.Zupan¹²

² IIH E, ULB-VUB, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

⁵D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Bologna and INFN, Via Imerio 46, II -40126 Bologna, Italy

⁷ Inst. de F sica, Univ. Estadual do Rio de Janeiro, rua Sao Francisco X avier 524, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁸College de France, Lab. de Physique Corpusculaire, IN 2P3-CNRS, FR-75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

- ⁹CERN,CH-1211 Geneva 23,Switzerland
- ¹⁰ Institut de Recherches Subatom iques, IN 2P3 CNRS/ULP BP20, FR 67037 Strasbourg Cedex, France
- ¹¹Now at DESY-Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15735 Zeuthen, Germany

¹² Institute of Nuclear Physics, N C S R . D em okritos, P O . B ox 60228, G R -15310 A thens, G reece

- ¹³FZU, Inst. of Phys. of the C A S.H igh Energy Physics Division, N a Slovance 2, CZ-182 21, Praha 8, C zech R epublic ¹⁴D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Genova and IN FN, V ia Dodecaneso 33, IT-16146 Genova, Italy
- ¹⁵ Institut des Sciences Nucleaires, IN 2P 3-C N R S, Universite de Grenoble 1, FR -38026 Grenoble C edex, France

¹⁶Helsinki Institute of Physics and Departm ent of Physical Sciences, P.O. Box 64, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

- ¹⁷ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Head Post O ce, P.O. Box 79, RU-101 000 M oscow, Russian Federation
- ¹⁸ Institut fur Experim entelle K emphysik, U niversitat K arlsruhe, Postfach 6980, D E -76128 K arlsruhe, G em any

¹⁹Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN JJ L. Radzikow skiego 152, PL-31142 K rakow, Poland

²⁰Faculty of Physics and Nuclear Techniques, University of Mining and Metallurgy, PL-30055 Krakow, Poland

²¹Universite de Paris-Sud, Lab. de l'Accelerateur Lineaire, IN 2P 3-CNRS, Bât. 200, FR-91405 O rsay C edex, France

 $^{22}\mbox{School of Physics}$ and C hem istry, U niversity of Lancaster, Lancaster LA 1 4Y B , U K

²³LIP, IST, FCUL - Av. Elias Garcia, 14-1°, PT-1000 Lisboa Codex, Portugal

- ²⁴Department of Physics, University of Liverpool, P.O. Box 147, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK
- ²⁵Dept. of Physics and A stronom y, K elvin Building, U niversity of G lasgow, G lasgow G 12 800, UK
- ²⁶LPNHE, IN 2P3-CNRS, Univ. Paris VI et VII, Tour 33 (RdC), 4 place Jussieu, FR-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
- ²⁷Department of Physics, University of Lund, Solvegatan 14, SE-223 63 Lund, Sweden
- ²⁸ Universite C laude Bernard de Lyon, IPNL, IN 2P 3-CNRS, FR -69622 V illeurbanne C edex, France
- ²⁹D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Milano and INFN-MILANO, Via Celoria 16, IT -20133 Milan, Italy
- ³⁰D ipartim ento di Fisica, Univ. di Milano-Bicocca and INFN-MILANO, Piazza della Scienza 3, IT-20126 Milan, Italy
- ³¹ IPNP of MFF, Charles Univ., A real MFF, V Holesovickach 2, CZ-18000, Praha 8, Czech Republic
- $^{32}\mathrm{N}$ IK H E F , Postbus 41882 , N L–1009 D B A m sterdam , T he N etherlands
- ³³N ational Technical University, Physics Department, Zografou Campus, GR –15773 Athens, Greece
- ³⁴Physics Department, University of Oslo, Blindern, NO-0316 Oslo, Norway
- ³⁵D pto. Fisica, U niv. O viedo, A vda. C alvo Sotelo s/n, ES-33007 O viedo, Spain
- ³⁶Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK
- ³⁷D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Padova and INFN, V ia Marzolo 8, II -35131 Padua, Italy
- ³⁸R utherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot O X 11 O Q X, U K
- ³⁹D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Rom a II and INFN, Tor Vergata, II-00173 Rom e, Italy
- ⁴⁰D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di R om a III and IN FN , V ia della Vasca N avale 84, II -00146 R om e, Italy
- ⁴¹ DAPN IA /Service de Physique des Particules, CEA-Saclay, FR-91191 G if-sur-Y vette C edex, France
- ⁴² Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria (CSIC-UC), Avda. los Castros s/n, ES-39006 Santander, Spain
- ⁴³Inst. for H igh Energy Physics, Serpukov P.O. Box 35, Protvino, (M oscow Region), Russian Federation
- ⁴⁴J.Stefan Institute, Jam ova 39, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ⁴⁵Laboratory for A stroparticle Physics, University of Nova Gorica, Kostanjeviska 16a, SI-5000 Nova Gorica, Slovenia
- ⁴⁶D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of L jubljana, SI-1000 L jubljana, Slovenia
- ⁴⁷Fysikum , Stockholm University, Box 6730, SE-113 85 Stockholm , Sweden
- ⁴⁸D ipartim ento di Fisica Sperim entale, Universita di Torino and INFN, Via P.Giuria 1, II-10125 Turin, Italy
- ⁴⁹ IN FN ,Sezione di Torino and D ipartim ento di Fisica Teorica, U niversita di Torino, V ia G iuria 1, IT –10125 Turin, Italy
- 50 D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Trieste and IN FN , V ia A . Valerio 2, IT –34127 Trieste, Italy
- 51 Istituto di Fisica, U niversita di U dine and IN FN , II –33100 U dine, Italy
- ⁵²Univ. Federaldo Rio de Janeiro, C. P. 68528 Cidade Univ., Ilha do Fundao BR-21945-970 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- ⁵³D epartm ent of R adiation Sciences, U niversity of U ppsala, P.O. Box 535, SE-751 21 U ppsala, Sweden

- ⁵⁶ Institut fur Hochenergiephysik, Osterr. A kad. d. W issensch., N ikolsdorfergasse 18, AT -1050 V ienna, A ustria
- $^{57}\,{\rm In\,st.}$ Nuclear Studies and U niversity of W arsaw , U l. H oza 69, PL-00681 W arsaw , Poland
- $^{58}\mathrm{N\,ow}$ at U niversity of W arw ick, C oventry C V 4 7A L, U K
- ⁵⁹Fachbereich Physik, University of W uppertal, Postfach 100 127, DE-42097 W uppertal, G erm any
- ^y deceased

¹D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, Iow a State University, Am es IA 50011-3160, USA

³Physics Laboratory, University of A thens, Solonos Str. 104, G R -10680 A thens, G reece

⁴D epartm ent of Physics, University of Bergen, Allegaten 55, NO -5007 Bergen, Norway

⁶C entro Brasileiro de Pesquisas F sicas, rua X avier Sigaud 150, BR -22290 R io de Janeiro, Brazil

⁵⁴ IFIC, Valencia-CSIC, and D.F.A.M.N.,U.de Valencia, Avda.Dr.Moliner 50, ES-46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain ⁵⁵ On leave of absence from ITEP, Moscow, Russian Federation

1 Introduction

Recent observation of anom abus soft photon production in hadronic Z⁰ decays collected in the DELPHI experiment at LEP1 [1] has demonstrated the persistence of the soft photon anomaly found earlier in several xed target experiments with high energy hadronic beams, [2{6]. The photon kinematic range was dened in [1] as follows: 0.2 < E = 1 GeV, $p_T < 80 \text{ MeV/c}$, the p_T being the photon transverse momentum with respect to the parent jet direction. Though the reaction $e^+e^- ! Z^0 !$ hadrons presents a distinct mechanism of hadron production as compared to [2{6], the observed soft photon production characteristics were found in [1] to be very close to those reported in [2{6], both for the measured production rate and for the observed ratio of the rate to the inner hadronic brem sstrahlung. The latter was expected to be the main source of the direct soft photons in kinematic ranges under study (see [7{9]}), while the observed signals were found in [1{6} to be several times higher than the brem sstrahlung predictions. No theoretical explanation of this excess is available so far; reviews of the theoretical approaches to the problem can be found in [10,11] (see also the references [13-33] in [1]).

From the experim ental analysis, given a sim ilarity of the soft photon production characteristics in both classes of experim ents, the conclusion was drawn in [12] that the excess photons are created during the process of hadronization of quarks, i.e. their origin is strongly restricted to reactions of hadron production. If this ansatz is correct, a good agreem ent should be found between theory and experim ent for the direct soft photon production in reactions of pure electroweak nature. W hat is the experim ental situation in this eld?

The electron inner brem sstrahlung in e^+e^- collisions (initial state radiation, ISR) was an important (and rather inconvenient) e ect at LEP, with which all the LEP experiments had to contend. No deviation of the ISR characteristics from those expected from theory was observed, either at Z⁰ or at high energy (see for example the DELPHI studies [13]). Therefore the situation with the electron inner brem sstrahlung can be considered as showing a nice agreem ent between theory and experiment.

On the other hand, tests of QED with the muon inner brem sstrahlung which appears as nalstate radiation (FSR) in $e^+e^$ events were scarce at LEP. There were only two studies of photon production in Z 0 ! $^{+}$ events at LEP1 [14,15] and a single study of e⁺ e ! ⁺ events at LEP2 [16]¹. All these studies aim ed at the separation of rather hard photons, isolated from the neighbouring muon. So, the DELPHI analysis of nalstate radiation from muons at LEP1 [14] was restricted to the photon kinematic range of E > 2 GeV, > 5, i.e. to the transverse m om enta with respect to the m uon direction $p_T > 174 \text{ M eV}/\text{c}$. In [16] the minimum value of the angle was increased up to 15 (keeping the same photon energy threshold), tripling the minimum photon $p_{\rm T}$. The OPAL analysis at LEP1 [15] used photons of E > 0.9 GeV and > 200 m rad, i.e. the photon transverse m on enta with respect to the m uon direction were $p_T > 179$ M eV/c. Thus, an analysis of the m uon inner brem sstrahlung in the soft photon kinem atic range close to that analyzed in [1] is completely missing at LEP. This motivated us to study the reaction

at LEP1 in a photon kinem atic range sim ilar to the one analyzed in [1] (with the photon transverse momentum being de ned now with respect to the parent muon direction). In addition to the low energy (LE) band of 0.2 < E 1 GeV explored in [1], a higher

¹O utside the LEP experiments, a few studies of the muon inner bremsstrahlung have been done, see [17] and references therein.

energy (HE) band of 1 < E 10 GeV was also used in the analysis, being restricted how ever to the photons of sm all transverse m om entum with respect to the parent m uon direction, $p_T < 80$ M eV/c. The p_T range of the LE band chosen for the de nition of the brem sstrahlung signal was taken narrow er in this work as com pared to that in [1], nam ely $p_T < 40$ M eV/c. This choice was motivated by the fact that the photon angular variable used in this analysis, the photon polar angle relative to the parent m uon direction, can be measured m uch more accurately as compared to the angular variable used in [1], the photon polar angle relative to the parent m of the LE brem sstrahlung photons down to the mentioned p_T range.

The results obtained in this study are presented both uncorrected and corrected for the photon detection e ciency. The presentation of the uncorrected results is motivated by their better statistical accuracies and sm aller system atic uncertainties in the absolute photon rates.

2 Theoretical predictions for the muon inner bremsstrahlung

In electroweak reactions like (1) the inner brem sstrahlung is a process of direct photon production calculated via purely QED machinery. The production rates for the brem sstrahlung photons from colliding e^+e^- (ISR) and from nal $^+$ pairs (FSR) in the p_T range under study can be calculated at once using a universal form ula descending from Low [8] with a modi cation suggested by Haissinski [18]:

$$\frac{dN}{d^{3}K} = \frac{1}{(2)^{2}} \frac{1}{E} \int_{a}^{b} d^{3}p \int_{a}^{X} \frac{(p_{i?}, p_{i})}{(P_{i}K)(P_{j}K)(P_{j}K)} \frac{dN}{d^{3}p}$$
(2)

where K and K denote photon four-and three m on enta, P are the 4-m on enta of the beam e^+ ; e and the muon involved, and p is the 3-m on entum of the muon; $p_{i?} = p_i$ (n p) n and n is the photon unit vector, n = K = k; = 1 for the beam electron and for the outgoing $^+$, = 1 for the beam positron and for the outgoing , and the sum is extended over both beam particles and the parent m uon (form ula (2) is presented in the form of the photon production rate per m uon); the last factor in the integrand is a di erential production rate of the parent m uon.

A s can be seen, form ula (2) is of the low est (leading) order in \therefore H igher order radiative corrections to it can be evaluated using exponentiated photon spectra in the LE and HE bands. In the accepted regions of low p_T the e ects of the exponentiation were found to be rather sm all, as considered in Sect. 6.2.

To a great extent, formula (2) is used in this paper speci cally to enable a comparison with the corresponding formula applied for the calculation of the inner hadronic brem sstrahlung in hadronic decays of Z^0 [1] (cf. the analogous formulae in [2{6]):

$$\frac{dN}{d^{3}\kappa} = \frac{1}{(2 \)^{2}} \frac{1}{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^{3}p_{1} :::d^{3}p_{N} \int_{ij}^{X} \frac{(p_{i2} \ p_{2})}{(P_{i}K)(P_{j}K)(P_{j}K)} \frac{dN_{h}}{d^{3}p_{1} :::d^{3}p_{N}}$$
(3)

where K and \tilde{K} denote again photon four-and three-m om enta, P are the 4-m om enta of the beam e^+ ; e and N charged outgoing hadrons, and $p_1 \dots p_N$ are the 3-m om enta of the hadrons; = 1 for the beam electron and for positive outgoing hadrons, = 1 for the beam positron and negative outgoing hadrons, and the sum is extended over all the N + 2

charged particles involved; the last factor in the integrand is a di erential hadron production rate (when calculating the photon production rate per jet only hadrons lying in the forward hem isphere of a given jet enter the sum). Calculations perform ed with form ulae (2,3) show that the inner brem sstrahlung rate from one muon is approximately equal, in the kinematic region under study, to the predicted inner hadronic brem sstrahlung from a whole hadronic jet of a Z⁰ hadronic decay. To a great extent, this is a consequence of the coherence of the photon radiation from the individual radiation sources, the charged hadrons produced in the fragm entation process.

The contribution of the ISR to these rates is small, being below 1% in the photon kinematic range chosen for the analysis. This smallness is easy to understand: although the ISR from electron/positron beams is much more intense than the ISR from hadron beams in experiments [2{6], where it contributed a signi cant amount to the detected photon rate, all the extra photons in an experiment with colliding e^+e^- are emitted at very small polar angles with respect to the beam directions, with the angular distribution peaking at $= \frac{1}{3} =$, where is a beam Lorentz factor ($= 0.89 \quad 10^{-5}$ at the Z⁰ peak), thus yielding few photons in the barrel region used in our analysis.

The muon brem sstrahlung radiation (FSR) has the same angular behaviour of the photon production rate versus the photon polar angle relative to the parent m uon direction (the photon production angle,), with being in this case a muon Lorentz factor. For the muons from Z^0 decays at rest the = 4:3 10^2 corresponds to the peak position at 4.0 m rad. Note that the position of the peak does not depend on the brem sstrahlung photon energy, since the dependences of the photon production rate on the photon energy and the photon production angle are factorized in formulae (2,3). The turnover of the m uon brem sstrahlung angular distribution at the peak value and its vanishing at ! 0 is term ed the dead cone e ect. This behaviour is illustrated by Fig. 1a where the initial part of the production angle distribution for the FSR of the reaction (1) is shown, generated 2 with formula (2). The observation of the dead cone presents an experimental challenge requiring a highly accurate apparatus; the angular resolution of the opening angle between the measured muon and photon directions which is necessary for the observation of the muon brem sstrahlung dead cone at LEP1, has to be of the order of 1 2 m rad.

3 Experimental technique

3.1 The DELPHI detector

The DELPHI detector is described in detail elsewhere [19,20]. The following is a brief description of the subdetector units relevant for this analysis.

In the DELPHI reference frame the z axis is taken along the direction of the e beam. The angle is the polar angle de ned with respect to the z-axis, is the azim uthal angle about this axis and R is the distance from this axis.

The TPC, the principal device used in this analysis, was the main tracker of the DEL-PHI detector; it covered the angular range from 20 to 160 in and extended from 30 cm to 122 cm in R. It provided up to 16 space points for pattern recognition and ionization information extracted from 192 wires. The TPC together with other tracking devices (Vertex D etector, Inner D etector, O uter D etector and Forward C ham bers) ensured a very good angular accuracy of the muon track reconstruction, which is a part of the overall angular resolution for the photon production angle. The distribution of the

 $^{^2}$ The M onte Carlo data set of dim uon events described below was used as the input of the generation.

opening angles between the generated and reconstructed m uon directions is shown in Fig. 1b; it can be characterized by the distribution m ean of 0.42 m rad and its rm s. width of 0.37 m rad, which restricts 90% of the entries within the 0.1 m rad interval.

The identi cation of muons was based on the muon chambers (MUC) surrounding the detector, the hadron calorim eter (HCAL) and the electrom agnetic calorim eter (High density Projection Chamber, HPC), as described in [21].

The M onte C arb (M C) data set used in this analysis was produced with the DYMU3 generator [22]. Higher order radiative corrections to the reaction (1) total cross section were accounted for via the exponentiation procedure in plem ented in the generator. The generated dim uon events were passed through the DELPHI detector simulation program DELSIM [20].

3.2 Detection of photons

Photon conversions in front of the main DELPHI tracker (TPC) were reconstructed by an algorithm that exam ined the tracks reconstructed in the TPC. A search was made along each TPC track for the point where the tangent of the track trajectory points directly to the beam spot in the R projection. Under the assumption that the opening angle of the electron-positron pair is zero, this point represented a possible photon conversion point at radius R. All tracks which have had a solution R that was more than one standard deviation away from the main vertex, as de ned by the beam spot, were considered to be conversion candidates. If two oppositely charged conversion candidates were found with compatible conversion point parameters they were linked together to form the converted photon. The following selection criteria were in posed:

the di erence between the two conversion points should be at most 30 m rad; a possible di erence between the polar angles of the two tracks should be at most 15 m rad;

at least one of the tracks should have no associated hits in front of the reconstructed m ean conversion radius.

For the pairs fullling these criteria a 2 was calculated from ; and the dierence of the reconstructed conversion radii R in order to nd the best combinations in cases where there were am biguous associations. A constrained t was then applied to the electron-positron pair candidate which forced a common conversion point with zero opening angle and collinearity between the momentum sum and the line from the beam spot to the conversion point.

The photon detection e ciency, i.e. the conversion probability combined with the reconstruction e ciency, was determ ined with the hadronic MC data since the converted photon sample in dimuon events was insu cient statistically for such a determ ination. The e ciencies were tabulated against three variables: E, (the photon polar angle to the beam), and tk (the photon opening angle to the closest track). The e ciency varied with the energy from zero at the 0.2 G eV detection threshold up to 4 - 6% at 1 G eV, depending on the two other variables (for details see [1]).

In order to reduce a possible di erence in the reconstruction of the converted photons in the MC and the real data (originating from the bias in the detector material distributions in the two data sets and from a possible distinction in their pattern recognition results) the recalibration procedure described in [1] was implemented, with the recalibration coe cients obtained with hadronic events.

The angular precision of the photon direction reconstruction was studied using the dim uon MC events and was found to be of a Breit-W igner shape, as expected for the

superposition of m any G aussian distributions of varying width [23]. The full widths ('s) of the and distributions were 2:3 0:1 m rad and 1:9 0:1 m rad, respectively, for the combined 0.2 10 G eV interval (Figs. 1c, 1d). The full width of the distribution of the di erence between the generated and reconstructed m uon-photon opening angles (which is the di erence in the production angle de ned in Sect. 2 and therefore represents the overallangular resolution of the current analysis) was found to be 2:1 0:1 m rad (Fig. 1e), thus providing a possibility for the observation of the m uon brem sstrahlung dead cone. M oreover, one can im prove essentially this raw resolution, though at the price of a loss of 50% of the converted photon statistics, by requiring the photon energy to exceed 1 G eV and the conversion radius to be greater than 25 cm. W ith these tighter cuts 1.4 m rad resolution (the full width) was achieved and used in a particular case which required a high angular resolution and is described below (Sect. 7.3).

The accuracy of the converted photon energy measurement was studied also with the dim uon MC events. In both energy bands it was at the level of 1.5% (the Breit-W igner full width about 3%); this is illustrated by Fig.1f where the distribution of the relative di erence between the generated and reconstructed photon energy is plotted for the LE photons. The resolution was checked with events of the (hadronic) realdata by com paring the $^{\circ}$ peak width of the $\,$ mass distribution from these data to the analogous one from the MC.

4 Data selection

4.1 Selection of dim uon events

The data selection was done under standard cuts aim ed at the separation of dim uon events (cf. [14,21]) which are described below. The consecutive application of these cuts reduced the MC sample of dim uon events by factors indicated in parentheses:

the num ber of charged particles N_{ch} had to be within the interval of 2 N $_{ch}$ 5, and the two highest m on entum particles had to have p > 15 GeV/c (0.894); the polar angles of the two highest m on entum particles had to be within the interval of 20 160 (0.962);

the impact parameters of the two highest momentum particles had to be less than 0.2 and 4.5 cm in the R and z projections, respectively (0.993);

no additional charged particles with m om enta greater than 10 G eV/c were allowed, unless the fastest particle had a m om entum greater than 40 G eV/c (0.999);

the acollinearity of the two highest momentum particles had to be less than 10 (0.989);

the two highest m om entum particles had to be identi ed as m uons using either the m uon cham bers (MUC), the hadron calorim eter (HCAL), or the electrom agnetic calorim eter (HPC), by requiring associated hits in the m uon cham bers, or by energy deposition in the calorim eters consistent with a m inim um ionizing particle (0.825).

The total reduction factor for the MC events was 0.696.

A totalof122 812 events of realdata (RD) was selected under these cuts and com pared to 373 918 selected MC events corresponding, after the norm alization of the equivalent MC lum inosity to the integrated RD lum inosity, to 121 000 expected events.

4.2 Selection of photons

The standard selection of converted photons was done under the following cuts:

only converted photons with both \dot{e} ; e arm s reconstructed were considered; 20 160; 5 cm R_{conv} 50 cm, where R_{conv} m eans conversion radius; 200 M eV < E 10 G eV.

384 and 1097 converted photons were found using these cuts in the real data in the LE and HE energy bands, respectively. Of these, 127 and 265 photons are in the selected p_T regions: $p_T <$ 40 M eV/c for the LE band and $p_T <$ 80 M eV/c for the HE band.

For a particular analysis done to scrutinize the dead cone e ect (described in Sect. 7.3), the photon energy was required to be between 1 and 10 GeV, and the conversion radius to be between 25 and 50 cm.

5 Backgrounds

The following background sources within the + event sample were considered:

Externalmuon brem sstrahlung:

the brem sstrahlung radiation from muons when they pass through the material of the experimental setup.

Secondary photons:

when a high energy photon (of any origin) generates an e^+e^- pair in the detector material in front of the TPC the pair particles may radiate (external) brem sstrahlung photons, which can enter our kinematic region.

\Degraded" photons:

higher energy converted prim ary photons with degraded energy m easurem ent due to the secondary em ission of (external) brem sstrahlung by at least one of their electrons.

 ${\tt DELSIM}$ was invoked to reproduce these processes in the MC stream .

Collection of background photons (all dubbed as External Brem s) in the MC stream was done if any one of the following conditions was satis ed:

a given photon was absent at the event generator level, i.e. in the DYMU3 event record;

a given photon, found in the DYMU3 event record, m igrated from outside a selected p_T region into that region due to the energy degradation.

26:0 2:9 and 61:5 4:5 background photons (norm alized to the RD statistics) were found in the selected p_T regions: $p_T < 40$ M eV/c for the LE band and $p_T < 80$ M eV/c for the HE band, respectively.

The background from Z⁰! ⁺ events was estimated using the MC data produced with the KORALZ 4.0 generator [24] and passed through the full detector simulation and the analysis procedure. The ⁺ contam ination of the dimuon event sample was found to be 1536 20 events (1.3 % of the dimuon sample), which contain zero photons in the LE band and 1:3 0:6 photons in the HE band, of which 0.3 photons would be in the $p_T < 80 \text{ M eV}/c$ region. In what follows, this background was neglected.

The cosm ic ray background was estimated from the real data, studying events which originated close to the interaction point, but outside the limits allowed for selected events. In both energy bands its contribution to the photon rates was below 0.1%.

The background from Z^0 ! e^+e^- events tested with the BABAMC generator [25] with the full detector simulation was found to be vanishingly small. The same is valid for the 4-ferm ion backgrounds Z^0 ! $e^+e^-^+$ and Z^0 ! e^+ $q\bar{q}$ tested with events produced with generators [26,27].

6 System atic errors

6.1 System atic uncertainties in the determ ination of the signal

Since the converted photon sample in the dimuon event statistics collected by the DELPHI experiment during the LEP1 period was insu cient for the determination of the photon detection e ciencies and the recalibration coe cients, they were taken as being de ned with hadronic events. Therefore it is worth to start the consideration of the systematic errors and their estimations with the uncertainties induced by these components of the analysis as they were determined in [1].

The uncertainty due to a di erence in the photon propagation and conversion in the detector material in the RD and its simulation in the MC, and analogous di erence in the pattern recognition, left after the recalibration procedure was applied (term ed in [1] hardware system atics), was studied in [1] and evaluated to be 0.9% of the photon rate in the LE band and 2% in the HE band.

The system atic error for the photon detection e ciency ³, after the recalibration procedure mentioned above being applied, is a purely instrumental e ect originating from the choice of the binning of the variables used for the e ciency parametrization, resolution e ects, etc. In [1] it was found to range from 6% to 9% of the photon rate. These estimations were tested in the current study with the MC dimuon events by comparing the p_T spectra of the DYMU3 inner brem sstrahlung photons transported through the DELPHI detector by DELSIM with a subsequent simulation of their conversions, with the spectra of the same photons taken at the generator level and convoluted with the photon detection e ciency. In both energy bands the di erence was below 5% which was the level of the test statistical accuracy. This means that the aforem entioned error due to the detection e ciency is likely to be overestimated in [1], or it is really smaller in the muonic data, in particular, due to a better angular resolution and due to a narrow er angular ranges in both energy bands. In what follows, the value of 5% is used as an estimate for the uncertainty of the e ciency calculation.

The system atic errors originating from the in uence of the p_T resolution on the selection cuts were estimated from runs with the reconstructed photon energy and production angle random ly shifted according to the appropriate resolution function (taking into account the di erent angular resolutions in the LE and HE bands). The changes were found to be less than 0.3% of the photon rate in the LE band and less than 0.4% of the photon rate in the HE band. In what follows, the corresponding errors were neglected.

The uncertainty of the background (BG) estimation is composed of the uncertainties coming from the DYMU3 generator, e ciency and hardware systematics, BG selection, and the procedure of the BG photon conversion simulation. The systematic error from the photon conversion simulation is considered to be equal to the systematic error of the photon detection in the MC stream, before the recalibration is applied, i.e. it can be approximated by the recalibration corrections, which were within 3-4%. The systematic

³Note that when dealing with the data uncorrected for the detection e ciency the e ciency error is relevant to the brem sstrahlung predictions only (since brem sstrahlung spectra have to be convoluted with the e ciencies in this case). On the contrary, when dealing with the corrected data the e ciency uncertainty has to be applied to the m easured photon rates only.

errors due to e ciency and hardware in the background estimation have strong positive correlations with the analogous components of the systematic error in the calculation of the real data photon rates (indeed they are of the same relative amplitude, but the background errors have to be reduced by factors of 3.9 and 3.3 in the LE and HE bands, respectively, when entering the nal systematic error, since the background rates within the corresponding p_T intervals constitute 25.7% and 30.2% of the RD BG photon rates in the corresponding energy bands). Ignoring, for the sake of clarity, these correlations we will consider all the background systematics components as independent and uncorrelated with the analogous components in the RD rates. Then, calculating the background systematic background uncertainties appear to be 1.4% and 2.2% relative to the signal rate in the respective energy band in the case of the uncorrected data, and 1.9% and 2.7% in the case of the data corrected for e ciency.

The above system atic errors are sum marized in Table 1.

Table 1. System atic uncertainties (in % of the photon rates in the p_T ranges below 40 M eV/c and 80 M eV/c for the LE and HE photons, respectively) for the signal and the predicted muon inner brem sstrahlung. The total system atic error of each of the two energy band photon rates and signal-to-brem sstrahlung ratios is the quadratic sum of the corresponding individual errors, as quoted in Tables 2,3 below.

C om ponent	Data uncorrected for the detection e ciency		Data corrected for the detection e ciency		
	LE band	HE band	LE band	HE band	
Signal					
R ecalibration	0.9%	2.0%	0.9%	2.0%	
E ciency	_	_	5.0%	5.0%	
Background	1.4%	2.2%	1.9%	2.7%	
	P redicted B rem sstrahlung				
E ciency	5.0%	5.0%	_	_	
Form ula (2)	4.0%	10.0%	4.0%	10.0%	

6.2 Estimation of the accuracy of the bremsstrahlung predictions

The estimation of the accuracy of the brem sstrahlung predictions resulting from formula (2) was done by comparing FSR rates obtained with this formula and those delivered by the DYMU3 generator, in the corresponding $p_{\rm T}$ ranges, as the dimense between the predictions. In the LE band this uncertainty was about 4%, in the HE band about 10%. They are quoted in Table 1.

These estimates agree well with the di erences in the predictions for the muon inner brem sstrahlung rates obtained with form ula (2), and those calculated with form ulae which account for higher order radiative corrections, the calculations being perform ed with the non-exponentiated photon spectrum [28] and with the exponentiated one [29,30]. In particular, the latter give 5.9% and 9.1% di erences with form ula (2) in the LE and HE bands, respectively. Note that when doing these calculations, parameter which governs the brem sstrahlung photon spectrum [18] was obtained by integration of form ula (1.2) in [29] applying our p_T cuts, i.e. within rather narrow angular ranges varying as a function of the photon energy according to the p_T cuts in posed in the corresponding energy band. The values were found to be 0.0146 in the LE band and 0.0088 in the HE band, i.e. considerably smaller than $= 2 = (\ln s = m^2 - 1) = 0.0582$, obtained by integration over all angles. The smallness of reduces the di erence between the brem sstrahlung predictions for the exponentiated and non-exponentiated photon spectra.

7 Results

Photon distributions for $; p_T \text{ and } p_T^2$ are presented both for the data and the background (left panels of Figs. 2-5), and for their di erence (right panels of the gures). The latter distributions are accompanied by the calculated brem sstrahlung spectra according to Eq. (2) shown by triangles.

To quantify the excess of the data over the background the di erence between them, which represents the measured muon inner brem sstrahlung, was integrated in the p_T interval from 0 to 40 M eV/c for the photons of the LE band, and from 0 to 80 M eV/c for the photons of the HE band (these intervals correspond to the lled areas in panels d,f of F igs. 2–5), and the values obtained were de ned as signals. However these p_T cuts were not applied when lling the angular distributions displayed in F igs. 2–6 in order to keep these distributions unbiased.

7.1 Energy band 0.2 < E 1 GeV, $p_T < 40 M eV/c$

Photon distributions, uncorrected and corrected for the photon detection e ciency, are displayed in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. The results for the signal rate are given in Table 2 together with the predictions for the muon brem sstrahlung and their ratios.

Table 2. The signal (RD Background), the predicted muon inner brem sstrahlung (both in units of 10^3 =) and their ratios in the $p_T < 40$ M eV/c range for the photons from the LE band. The rst errors are statistical, the second ones are system atic.

Value	D ata uncorrected for the detection e ciency	Data corrected for the detection e ciency
Signal	0.412 0.048 0.007	25.9 4.0 1.4
Inner B rem sstrahlung	0.388 0.001 0.025	23.30 0:01 0:93
Signal/IB	1.06 0.12 0.07	1.11 0:17 0:07

As can be seen from Table 2, the predicted and the measured muon brem sstrahlung rates agree well, within the measurem enterrors. The smalldi erences in Signal/IB ratios between corrected and uncorrected data in Table 2 (and Table 3 below) arise from the non-uniform ity of the e ciency reweighting factors.

7.2 Energy band 1 < E 10 GeV, $p_T < 80$ M eV/c

Photon distributions, uncorrected and corrected for the photon detection e ciency, are displayed in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. The results for the signal rate are given in Table 3 together with the predictions for the muon brem sstrahlung and their ratios.

As can be seen from Table 3, the predicted and the measured muon brem sstrahlung rates agree well, within the measurement errors. The smaller values of the corrected experimental and predicted brem sstrahlung rates in the HE energy band as compared to those in the LE band (while the energy range factor following from formula (2), $\ln(E^{max}=E^{min})$, works in favour of the HE band with an enhancement factor of 1.43) are explained by a higher attenuation of the rates induced by the p_T cut in the case of the brem sstrahlung photons from the HE band.

Table 3. The signal (RD Background), the predicted muon inner brem sstrahlung (both in units of 10^3 =) and their ratios in the $p_T < 80 \text{ M eV}/\text{c}$ range for the photons from the HE band. The rst errors are statistical, the second ones are system atic.

Value	Data uncorrected for the detection e ciency	Data corrected for the detection e ciency
Signal	0.829 0:069 0:025	21.1 2.2 1.3
Inner B rem sstrahlung	0.794 0:001 0:089	20.00 0:01 2:00
Signal/IB	1.04 0:09 0:12	1.06 0:11 0:12

7.3 Observation of the dead cone of the muon brem sstrahlung

The distributions of the photon production angles with a ne binning (of 1 m rad bin width) are shown in Figs. 6a,b for the combined sample of the converted photons from both energy bands. The distribution obtained after background subtraction (Fig. 6b) is accompanied by the calculated brem sstrahlung points. The displayed m easured distributions are raw spectra, without any unfolding of the detector angular resolution; the brem sstrahlung spectra calculated with formula (2) were sm eared instead by the resolution. We prefer to present the uncorrected m easured distributions in order to demonstrate the independence of the obtained results on the correction procedure.

As can be seen from the plots, the experimental points follow well the predicted brem sstrahlung distribution, showing a turnover at the expected brem sstrahlung peak position of 4 m rad. This is therefore an observation of the muon inner brem sstrahlung dead cone, for the rst time in high energy physics experiments. The observation enriches the agreem ent between the experimental indings of the muon inner brem sstrahlung characteristics reported in this work and the QED predictions for the process.

However a deeper insight into the brem sstrahlung pattern can be obtained when considering, instead of the distribution dN =d, the distribution dN =d, where d is a solid angle element. Such a distribution is free of kinematic suppression at the polar angles approaching zero, and the remaining suppression of the photon production rate at very small angles is a purely dynamic e ect, sim ilar to that mentioned in Sect. 2 for the hadrons inside a jet, namely a destructive interference between the radiation sources, but this time less straightforward, just between the muon \before" and \after" the photon emission ⁴. The solid angle element d is proportional to d cos , which at small angles is, in turn, proportional to d². The position of the dN =d² distribution turnover is predicted to be at ² = 1= ² (= 430, see Sect. 2), i.e. at ² = 5:4 10⁶ rad².

To observe this turnover, an improved angular resolution was required, achieved with the additional cuts (see Sect. 4.2) to be at the level of 1.4 m rad, as noticed in Sect. 3.2. The distribution dN =d² obtained with this resolution is shown in Fig. 6c, together with the brem sstrahlung predictions for this variable. Though the statistics are poor, the dip at ² < 5 10⁶ rad² is visible in this distribution, revealing the dynam ical dead cone of the muon inner brem sstrahlung.

In order to estimate the statistical signi cance of this observation the following procedure was undertaken. The initial part (about 20 bins) of the brem sstrahlung ² distribution shown in Fig. 6c, with rst two bins om itted, was tted by a smooth curve (by a polynom ial of 4th or 5th order). Then the tting curve was extrapolated to zero, as shown in the gure giving the value of $(5.64 \ 0.27) = 5 \ 10^{6} \ rad^{2}$ at the centre of the rst bin of the distribution (the error rejects the variation in the tting form and in the num ber of bins used in the t). This value was assumed to represent the expected brem sstrahlung rate in the rst bin of the distribution in a hypothetical situation when the brem sstrahlung dynam icaldeed cone is absent. The num ber of the realdata photons in the rst bin was 2 with the estimated background to be 0.66 0.46, thus giving the signal value in this bin (1.34 1.49) = 5 \ 10^{6} \ rad^{2}. A ssuming Poisson distribution for the signal photons these num bers correspond to the probability of the absence of the brem sstrahlung dead cone of less than 4%.

8 C om parison with the hadronic soft photon analysis

The main di erence between the results of this analysis and the hadronic ones [1] is the absence of any essential excess of the soft photon production over the predicted inner brem sstrahlung rate reported in this study, contrary to the case for [1] where the observed soft photon rate was found to exceed the brem sstrahlung predictions by a factor of about 4. The 95% CL upper limits on the excess factors which can be extracted from the results of this work are 1.29 in the LE band, and 1.28 in the HE band.

A nother distinction between the two analyses is an essential di erence in the background levels and in the possible system atic e ects. However, the code transporting photons through the DELPHI detector and simulating their conversions in the detector material (DELSIM), the photon reconstruction algorithm and the determ ination of its e ciency, together with the recalibration procedure, were common to the two analyses. Thus the results of this work can be considered also as a cross-check of these procedures in the hadronic events study. On the other hand, the amount of dim uon events collected during the LEP1 period is considerably smaller than the number of collected hadronic events, due to a smaller Z⁰ dim uon branching ratio (by a factor of 20). As a result, in the current analysis the statistical errors are either essentially higher than the system atic ones (in the LE band), or comparable to them (in the HE band), while in [1] the total uncertainties of the measured photon rates are dom inated by system atic errors; nevertheless it should be emphasized that the results of both analyses show clear signals of direct photons (even though the strength of the signal in [1] is not explained theoretically).

⁴ In classical language, the radiation intensity into the solid angle d vanishes when three vectors: the muon velocity, its acceleration, and the radiation unit vector happen, in particular, to be parallel, see for example [31,32].

9 Summary

The results of the analysis of nal state radiation in + decays of Z⁰ events at LEP1 are reported in this work. The radiation was studied in the region of small transverse momenta with respect to the parent muon, $p_T < 40 \text{ MeV}/\text{c}$ in the photon energy range 0.2 < E 1 GeV (LE band), and $p_T < 80 \text{ MeV/c}$ in the photon en-10 GeV (HE band). The obtained photon rates uncorrected ergy range 1 < E(corrected) for the photon detection e ciency were found to be, in units of 10 3 = , with the rst error to be statistical and the second one systematic: a) in the LE band: 0.412 0:048 0:007 (25.9 4:0 1:4), while OED predictions for the muon inner brem sstrahlung were calculated to be 0.388 0.001 0.025 (23.30 0.01 0.93); b) in the HE band: 0.829 0.069 0.025 (21.1 2.2 1.3), while the muon inner brem sstrahlung 0:089 (20.00 0:01 was calculated to be 0.794 0:001 2:00). The obtained ratios of the observed signal to the predicted level of the muon inner brem sstrahlung are then 1.06 0.12 0.07 in the LE band and 1.04 0.09 0.12 in the HE band (uncorrected rates are used for these ratios, as they possess a better statistical accuracy). Thus, the analysis shows a good agreem ent between the observed photon production rates and the QED predictions for the muon inner brem sstrahlung, both in di erential (see Figs. 2-5) and integral (see Tables 2,3) form s. This is in contrast with the anom alous soft photon production in hadronic decays of Z^0 reported earlier in [1].

The brem sstrahlung dead cone is observed for the rst time in the direct photon production in Z^0 decays in particular, and in the muon inner brem sstrahlung in the high energy physics experiments in general, also being in good agreement with the predicted brem sstrahlung behaviour.

A cknow ledgem ents

W e thank Profs. K. Boreskov, J.E. Campagne, F. Dzheparov and A. Kaidalov for useful discussions.

W e are greatly indebted to our technical collaborators, to the m em bers of the $C \in RN - SL D$ ivision for the excellent perform ance of the LEP collider, and to the funding agencies for their support in building and operating the $D \in LPH I$ detector.

W e acknow ledge in particular the support of

A ustrian Federal M inistry of Education, Science and Culture, GZ 616.364/2-III/2a/98, FNRS {FW O, F landers Institute to encourage scienti c and technological research in the industry (IW T) and Belgian Federal O ce for Scienti c, Technical and Cultural a airs (OSTC), Belgium,

FINEP, CNPq, CAPES, FUJB and FAPERJ, Brazil,

M inistry of Education of the C zech R epublic, project LC 527,

A cademy of Sciences of the C zech R epublic, project AV 0Z10100502,

Commission of the European Communities (DG X II),

D irection des Sciences de la M atiere, CEA, France,

Bundesministerium fur Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, Germany,

G eneral Secretariat for R esearch and Technology, G reece,

National Science Foundation (NW O) and Foundation for Research on Matter (FOM), The Netherlands,

Norwegian Research Council,

State Comm ittee for Scienti c Research, Poland, SPUB-M /CERN/PO3/DZ296/2000, SPUB-M /CERN/PO3/DZ297/2000, 2P03B 104 19 and 2P03B 69 23(2002-2004),

FCT -Fundaceo para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Portugal, Vedecka grantova agentura M S SR, Slovakia, Nr. 95/5195/134, M inistry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia, CICYT, Spain, A EN 99-0950 and A EN 99-0761, The Swedish Research Council, Particle Physics and A stronom y Research Council, UK, Department of Energy, USA, DE-FG 02-01ER 41155, EEC RTN contract HPRN-CT-00292-2002.

R eferences

- [1] DELPHICollaboration, J. Abdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J.C 47, 273 (2006)
- [2] P.V. Chliapnikov et al., Phys. Lett. B 141, 276 (1984)
- [3] F.Botterweck et al., Z.Phys.C 51, 541 (1991)
- [4] S.Banerjee et al, Phys. Lett. B 305, 182 (1993)
- [5] A.Belogianniet al., Phys. Lett. B 408, 487 (1997)
 - A.Belogiannietal, Phys.Lett.B 548, 122 (2002)
- [6] A.Belogianniet al., Phys. Lett. B 548, 129 (2002)
- [7] L D. Landau, I.Ya. Pomeranchuk, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 92, 535, 735 (1953) (Papers No. 75 and 76 in the English edition of L D. Landau collected works, Pergam on Press, New York, 1965)
- [8] F.Low, Phys. Rev. 110, 974 (1958)
- [9] V N.G ribov, Sov.J. Nucl. Phys. 5, 280 (1967)
- [10] V. Balek, N. Pisutova and J. Pisut, Acta Phys. Pol. B 21, 149 (1990)
- [11] P.Lichard, Phys. Rev. D 50, 6824 (1994)
- [12] DELPHICollaboration, J. Abdallah et al., Study of the Dependence of Direct Soft Photon Production on the Jet Characteristics in Hadronic Z^0 Decays, to be submitted to Eur. Phys. J.C.
- [13] DELPHICollaboration, P. Abreu et al., Eur. Phys. J.C 16, 371 (2000) DELPHICollaboration, J. Abdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J.C 45, 589 (2006) DELPHICollaboration, J. Abdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J.C 46, 295 (2006)
- [14] DELPHICollaboration, P. Abreu et al., Z. Physik C 65, 603 (1995)
- [15] OPAL Collaboration, P.D. Acton et al., Phys. Lett. B 273, 338 (1991)
- [16] DELPHICollaboration, P. Abreu et al., Phys. Lett. B 380, 480 (1996)
- [17] H C. Ballagh et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1963 (1983)
 - V.V.Ammosov et al, Sov.J.Nucl. Phys. 47, 73 (1988)
- [18] J.Haissinski, How to Compute in Practice the Energy Carried away by Soft Photons to allOrders in ,LAL 87-11,1987; http://ccdb4fs.kek.jp/cgibin/img_index?8704270
- [19] D ELPH IC ollaboration, P.A amio et al., Nucl. Instr. and M eth.A 303, 233
 (1991)
- [20] DELPHICollaboration, P.Abreu et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 378, 57 (1996)
- [21] DELPHICollaboration, P. Abreu et al., Nucl. Phys B 367, 511 (1991) DELPHICollaboration, P. Abreu et al., Nucl. Phys B 417, 3 (1994)
- DELPHICollaboration, P. Abreu et al., Nucl. Phys B 418, 403 (1994)
- [22] J.E. Cam pagne, R. Zitoun, Z. Phys. C 43, 469 (1989) J.E. Cam pagne et al. in: Z Physics at LEP1, G. A ltarelli, R.K leiss and C. Verzegnassi eds., CERN Yellow Report No.89-08, 1989, vol.3, 3.2.5
- [23] W.T. Eadie et al., Statistical M ethods in Experimental Physics (North-Holland, Am sterdam, 1982) p.90 85,437 (1995)
- [24] S.Jadach, B.F.L.W ard, Z.W as, Comp. Phys. Commun. 79, 503 (1994)
- [25] F A Berends, R.K leiss and W. Hollik, Nucl. Phys B 304, 712 (1988)
- [26] FA.Berends, R.Pittau, R.Kleiss, Comp.Phys.Commun.85, 1437 (1995)
- [27] T. Sjostrand, Com put. Phys. Com m un. 39, 347 (1986)
 - T.Sjostrand, M.Bengtsson, Comput.Phys.Commun.43, 367 (1987)
 - T.Sjostrand, JETSET 7.3 Program and Manual, CERN-TH/6488-92, 1992
- [28] F A Berends, R.K leiss and S.Jadach, Nucl. Phys B 202, 63 (1982)

- [29] D.R. Yennie, S.C. Frautschi, H. Suura, Ann. Phys. 13, 379 (1961)
- [30] R.Kleiss et al. in: Z Physics at LEP1, G.Altarelli, R.Kleiss and C.Verzegnassieds., CERN Yellow Report No.89-08, 1989, vol.3, 2.2
- [31] L D. Landau and E M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields (Elsevier, Am sterdam, Boston, Heidelberg, London, New York, Oxford, Paris, San Diego, San Francisco, Singapore, Sydney, Tokyo), 4th revised English edition, Sect. 73
- [32] J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics (John Willey and Sons, Inc., New York, Chichester, Weinheim, Brisbane, Singapore, Toronto), 3rd edition, Sect. 14.3



Figure 1: a) D istribution of the nal state radiation production angle in Z⁰ ! ⁺ events generated with formula (2); b) opening angle between the generated and reconstructed directions of a muon track; c) di erence between generated and reconstructed photon polar angles ; d) the same for the azim uthal angles ; e) di erence between generated and reconstructed opening angles , which illustrates the overall angular resolution of this analysis; f) di erence between the generated and the reconstructed photon energies in the photon energy range of 0.2 < E 1 G eV. The curves in Fig. 1c-1f are the ts by B reit-W igner forms (see text).



Figure 2: Photon distributions in the photon energy band 0.2 < E 1 GeV uncorrected for the photon detection e ciency. The photon rates are given as the number of photons per 1000 m uons per bin width of the distribution. Left panels: the data and background distributions for a) , the photon production angle; c) photon p_T ; e) photon p_T^2 . R ight panels, b), d), f): the di erence between the data and the background for the sam e variables, respectively. \ExtBrem s" corresponds to the background, \IntBrem s" corresponds to the m uon inner brem sstrahlung predictions. The lled areas in panels d) and f) correspond to the signal integral (see text). The errors shown are statistical.



Figure 3: The same as in Fig. 2, corrected for the e ciency of photon detection.



Figure 4: Photon distributions in the photon energy band 1 < E 10 GeV uncorrected for the photon detection e ciency. The photon rates are given in number of photons per 1000 m uons per bin width of the distribution. Left panels: the data and background distributions for a) , the photon production angle; c) photon p_T ; e) photon p_T^2 . R ight panels, b), d), f): the di erence between the data and the background for the same variables, respectively. The lled areas in panels d) and f) correspond to the signal integral (see text). The errors shown are statistical.



Figure 5: The same as in Fig. 4, corrected for the e ciency of photon detection.



 θ_{γ}^2 (rad)²

Figure 6: D ead cone of them uon inner brem sstrahlung: a,b) as seen in the photon production angle distributions: a) the data and the background distributions; b) the di erence between the data and the background; and c): the distribution of the photon production angle squared, obtained under tighter cuts that in prove the angular resolution; the curve shows the t of the brem sstrahlung distribution within 10⁵ ² < 10⁴ rad² by a 5th order polynom ial extrapolated to the 1st bin of the distribution (see text). The errors shown are statistical.