CER N {PH -EP/2007-012

25 M ay 2007

# O bservation of the M uon Inner B rem sstrahlung at LE P 1

D ELPH ICollaboration

#### A bstract

M uon brem sstrahlung photons converted in front of the D ELPHI m ain tracker  $(TPC)$  in dim uon events at LEP1 were studied in two photon kinem atic ranges:  $0.2 < E$  1 G eV and transverse m om entum with respect to the parent m uon  $p_T < 40$  M eV/c, and  $1 < E$  10 G eV and  $p_T < 80$  M eV/c. A good agreem ent of the observed photon rate with predictions from Q ED for them uon inner brem sstrahlung was found, contrary to the anom alous soft photon excess that has been observed recently in hadronic  $Z^0$  decays. The obtained ratios of the observed signal to the predicted level of the m uon brem sstrahlung are 1:06 0:12 0:07 in the photon energy range  $0.2 < E$  1 GeV and 1:04 0:09 0:12 in the photon energy range  $1 \lt E$  10 G eV. The brem sstrahlung dead cone is observed for the rst time in the direct photon production at LEP.

(A ccepted by Eur.Phys.J.C )

JAbdallah<sup>26</sup>, PAbreu<sup>23</sup>, WAdam<sup>56</sup>, PAdzic<sup>12</sup>, TA Drecht<sup>18</sup>, RA lem any Femandez<sup>9</sup>, TA llm endinger<sup>18</sup>, PPA llport<sup>24</sup>, U Am akli<sup>30</sup>, N Am apane<sup>48</sup>, S Am ato<sup>52</sup>, E Anashkin<sup>37</sup>, A Andreazza<sup>29</sup>, S Andringa<sup>23</sup>, N Anjos<sup>23</sup>, P Antilogus<sup>26</sup>, W -D Apel<sup>18</sup>, Y A moud<sup>15</sup>, S A sk<sup>9</sup>, B A sm an<sup>47</sup>, J E Augustin<sup>26</sup>, A Augustinus<sup>9</sup>, P B aillon<sup>9</sup>, A B allestrero<sup>49</sup>, PBambade<sup>21</sup>, RBarbier<sup>28</sup>, DBardin<sup>17</sup>, GJBarker<sup>58</sup>, ABaroncelli<sup>40</sup>, MBattaglia<sup>9</sup>, MBaubillier<sup>26</sup>, KHBecks<sup>59</sup>, M Begalli<sup>7</sup>, A Behm ann<sup>59</sup>, E Ben-Haim<sup>21</sup>, N Benekos<sup>33</sup>, A Benvenuti<sup>5</sup>, C Berat<sup>15</sup>, M Berggren<sup>26</sup>, D Bertrand<sup>2</sup>, M Besancon<sup>41</sup>, N Besson<sup>41</sup>, D B loch<sup>10</sup>, M B lom<sup>32</sup>, M B ln  $\bar{j}^7$ , M B onesin $\bar{i}^{30}$ , M B oonekam p<sup>41</sup>, P S L B ooth<sup>y24</sup>, G Borisov<sup>22</sup>, O Botner<sup>53</sup>, B Bouquet<sup>21</sup>, T J V Bow cock<sup>24</sup>, IBoyko<sup>17</sup>, M Bracko<sup>44</sup>, R Brenner<sup>53</sup>, E Brodet<sup>36</sup>, P B ruckm an<sup>19</sup>, J M B runet<sup>8</sup>, B B uschbeck<sup>56</sup>, P B uschm ann<sup>59</sup>, M C alx1<sup>30</sup>, T C am pores1<sup>9</sup>, V C anale<sup>39</sup>, F C arena<sup>9</sup>, N Castro<sup>23</sup>, F Cavallo<sup>5</sup>, M Chapkin<sup>43</sup>, Ph Charpentier<sup>9</sup>, P Checchia<sup>37</sup>, R Chierici<sup>9</sup>, P Chliapnikov<sup>43</sup>, J Chudoba<sup>9</sup>, S.U.Chung<sup>9</sup>, K.C. ieslik<sup>19</sup>, P.C.ollins<sup>9</sup>, R.C. ontri<sup>14</sup>, G.C. osm e<sup>21</sup>, F.C. ossutti<sup>50</sup>, M.J.C. osta<sup>54</sup>, D.C. rennell<sup>38</sup>, J.C. uevas<sup>35</sup>, JD Hondt<sup>2</sup>, T da Silva<sup>52</sup>, W Da Silva<sup>26</sup>, G Della Ricca<sup>50</sup>, A De Angelis<sup>51</sup>, W De Boer<sup>18</sup>, C De Clercq<sup>2</sup>, B De Lotto<sup>51</sup>, N DeM aria<sup>48</sup>, A DeM in<sup>37</sup>, L de Paula<sup>52</sup>, L D i Ciaccio<sup>39</sup>, A D i Sim one<sup>40</sup>, K D oroba<sup>57</sup>, J D rees<sup>59;9</sup>, G E igen<sup>4</sup>, T.Ekelof<sup>53</sup>, M.Ellert<sup>53</sup>, M.Elsing<sup>9</sup>, M.C.Espirito Santo<sup>23</sup>, G.Fanourakis<sup>12</sup>, D.Fassouliotis<sup>12;3</sup>, M.Feindt<sup>18</sup>, J.Femandez<sup>42</sup>, A Ferrer<sup>54</sup>, FFerro<sup>14</sup>, U Flagm eyer<sup>59</sup>, H Foeth<sup>9</sup>, E Fokitis<sup>33</sup>, F Fulda-Quenzer<sup>21</sup>, J Fuster<sup>54</sup>, M G andelm an<sup>52</sup>, C  $G$  arcia<sup>54</sup>, Ph  $G$  avillet<sup>9</sup>, E  $G$  azis<sup>33</sup>, R  $G$  okieli<sup>9</sup><sup>157</sup>, B  $G$  olob<sup>44146</sup>, G  $G$  om ez-C eballos<sup>42</sup>, P $G$  oncalves<sup>23</sup>, E  $G$  raziani<sup>40</sup>, G G rosdidier<sup>21</sup>, K G rzelak<sup>57</sup>, J G uy<sup>38</sup>, C H aaq<sup>18</sup>, A H alkgren<sup>53</sup>, K H am acher<sup>59</sup>, K H am ilton<sup>36</sup>, S H aug<sup>34</sup>, F H au ler<sup>18</sup>, V.Hedberg<sup>27</sup>, M.Hennecke<sup>18</sup>, H.Herr<sup>y9</sup>, J.Homan<sup>57</sup>, S-O.Holmgren<sup>47</sup>, P.J.Holt<sup>9</sup>, M.A.Houlden<sup>24</sup>, J.N.Jackson<sup>24</sup>, G Jarlskog<sup>27</sup>, P Jarry<sup>41</sup>, D Jeans<sup>36</sup>, E K Johansson<sup>47</sup>, P Jonsson<sup>28</sup>, C Joram<sup>9</sup>, L Jungem ann<sup>18</sup>, F K apusta<sup>26</sup>, SK atsanevas<sup>28</sup>, EK atsous<sup>33</sup>, GK emel<sup>44</sup>, BPK ersevan<sup>44;46</sup>, UK erzel<sup>18</sup>, BTK ing<sup>24</sup>, NJK jaer<sup>9</sup>, PK hiti<sup>32</sup>, P K okkinias<sup>12</sup>, C K ourkoum elis<sup>3</sup>, O K ouznetsov<sup>17</sup>, Z K rum stein<sup>17</sup>, M K ucharczyk<sup>19</sup>, J Lam sa<sup>1</sup>, G Leder<sup>56</sup>, F Ledroit<sup>15</sup>, L Leinonen<sup>47</sup>, R Leitner<sup>31</sup>, J Lemonne<sup>2</sup>, V Lepeltier<sup>21</sup>, T Lesiak<sup>19</sup>, W Liebig<sup>59</sup>, D Liko<sup>56</sup>, A Lipniacka<sup>47</sup>, J H Lopes<sup>52</sup>, JM Lopez<sup>35</sup>, D Loukas<sup>12</sup>, P Lutz<sup>41</sup>, L Lyons<sup>36</sup>, JM acN aughton<sup>56</sup>, A M alek<sup>59</sup>, S M altezos<sup>33</sup>, F M and 1<sup>56</sup>, JM arco<sup>42</sup>, R M arco<sup>42</sup>, B M arechal<sup>52</sup>, M M argon<sup>137</sup>, J-C M arin<sup>9</sup>, C M ariotti<sup>9</sup>, A M arkou<sup>12</sup>, C M artinez-R ivero<sup>42</sup>, J M asik<sup>13</sup>, N M astroyiannopoubs<sup>12</sup>, F M atomas<sup>42</sup>, C M atteuzzi<sup>30</sup>, F M azzucato<sup>37</sup>, M M azzucato<sup>37</sup>, R M c N ulty<sup>24</sup>, C M eroni<sup>29</sup>, EM igliore<sup>48</sup>, W M itaro <sup>56</sup>, U M joemm ark<sup>27</sup>, T M oa<sup>47</sup>, M M och<sup>18</sup>, K M oenig<sup>9;11</sup>, R M onge<sup>14</sup>, J M ontenegro<sup>32</sup>, D M oraes<sup>52</sup>, S M oreno<sup>23</sup>, P M orettini<sup>14</sup>, U M ueller<sup>59</sup>, K M uenich<sup>59</sup>, M M ulders<sup>32</sup>, L M undin<sup>7</sup>, W M urray<sup>38</sup>, B M uryn<sup>20</sup>, G M yatt<sup>36</sup>, T M yklebust<sup>34</sup>, M N assiakou<sup>12</sup>, F N avarria<sup>5</sup>, K N aw rocki<sup>57</sup>, R N icolaidou<sup>41</sup>, M N ikolenko<sup>17;10</sup>, A O blakow ska-M ucha<sup>20</sup>, V O braztsov<sup>43</sup>, A O lshevski<sup>17</sup>, A O nofre<sup>23</sup>, R O rava<sup>16</sup>, K O sterberg<sup>16</sup>, A O uraou<sup>41</sup>, A O yanguren<sup>54</sup>, M Paganoni<sup>30</sup>, S Paiano<sup>5</sup>, J P Palacios<sup>24</sup>, H Palka<sup>19</sup>, Th D Papadopoubu<sup>33</sup>, L Pape<sup>9</sup>, C Parkes<sup>25</sup>, F Parodi<sup>14</sup>, U Parzefall<sup>9</sup>, A Passeri<sup>40</sup>, O Passon<sup>59</sup>, L Peralta<sup>23</sup>, V Perepelitsa<sup>54;55</sup>, A Perrotta<sup>5</sup>, A Petrolini<sup>14</sup>, JPiedra<sup>42</sup>, LPieri<sup>40</sup>, FPierre<sup>41</sup>, MPinenta<sup>23</sup>, EPiotto<sup>9</sup>, TPodobnik<sup>44,46</sup>, VPoireau<sup>9</sup>, MEPol<sup>6</sup>, GPolok<sup>19</sup>, V Pozdniakov<sup>17</sup>, N Pukhaeva<sup>17</sup>, A Pullia<sup>30</sup>, J R am es<sup>13</sup>, A R ead<sup>34</sup>, P R ebecchi<sup>9</sup>, J R ehn<sup>18</sup>, D R ei $1^{32}$ , R R einhardt<sup>59</sup>, PR enton<sup>36</sup>, FR ichard<sup>21</sup>, JR idky<sup>13</sup>, MR ivero<sup>42</sup>, DR odriquez<sup>42</sup>, AR om ero<sup>48</sup>, PR onchese<sup>37</sup>, PR oudeau<sup>21</sup>, TR ovelli<sup>5</sup>, V Ruhlm ann-K leider<sup>41</sup>, D Ryabtchikov<sup>43</sup>, A Sadovsky<sup>17</sup>, L Salm i<sup>16</sup>, J Salt<sup>54</sup>, C Sander<sup>18</sup>, A Savoy-N avarro<sup>26</sup>, U Schwickerath<sup>9</sup>, R Sekulin<sup>38</sup>, M Siebel<sup>59</sup>, A Sisakian<sup>17</sup>, G Sm adja<sup>28</sup>, O Sm imova<sup>27</sup>, A Sokolov<sup>43</sup>, A Sopczak<sup>22</sup>, R Sosnow sk $\dot{P}^7$ , T Spassov<sup>9</sup>, M Stanitzki<sup>18</sup>, A Stocchi<sup>21</sup>, J Strauss<sup>56</sup>, B Stugu<sup>4</sup>, M Szczekow ski<sup>57</sup>, M Szeptycka<sup>57</sup>, T Szum lak<sup>20</sup>, T T abarelli<sup>30</sup>, F T eqenfeldt<sup>53</sup>, J T in m erm ans<sup>32</sup>, L T katchev<sup>17</sup>, M T obin<sup>24</sup>, S T odorovova<sup>13</sup>, B T on e<sup>23</sup>, A Tonazzo<sup>30</sup>, P Tortosa<sup>54</sup>, P Travnicek<sup>13</sup>, D Treille<sup>9</sup>, G Tristram<sup>8</sup>, M Trochim czuk<sup>57</sup>, C Troncon<sup>29</sup>, M -L Turluer<sup>41</sup>, IA Tyapkin<sup>17</sup>, P.Tyapkin<sup>17</sup>, S.T zam arias<sup>12</sup>, V.U varov<sup>43</sup>, G.Valenti<sup>5</sup>, P.Van Dam<sup>32</sup>, J.Van E.kik<sup>9</sup>, N. van Rem ortel<sup>16</sup>, I.Van Vulpen<sup>9</sup>, G Vegni<sup>29</sup>, F Veloso<sup>23</sup>, W Venus<sup>38</sup>, P Verdier<sup>28</sup>, V Verzi<sup>39</sup>, D V ilanova<sup>41</sup>, L V itale<sup>50</sup>, V V rba<sup>13</sup>, H W ahlen<sup>59</sup>, A J W ashbrook<sup>24</sup>, C W eiser<sup>18</sup>, D W icke<sup>9</sup>, J W ickens<sup>2</sup>, G W ilkinson<sup>36</sup>, M W inter<sup>10</sup>, M W itek<sup>19</sup>, 0 Yushchenko<sup>43</sup>, A Zalew ska<sup>19</sup>, P Zalew ski<sup>57</sup>, D Zavrtanik<sup>45</sup>, V Zhuravlov<sup>17</sup>, N .I.Z in in<sup>17</sup>, A .Z intchenko<sup>17</sup>, M .Zupan<sup>12</sup>

<sup>2</sup> IIH E, U LB -V U B, P lein laan 2, B -1050 B russels, B elgium

 $^7$  Inst. de F sica, U niv. E stadual do R io de Janeiro, rua Sao Francisco X avier 524, R io de Janeiro, Brazil 8C ollege de France, Lab. de Physique C orpusculaire, IN 2P3-C NR S, FR-75231 Paris C edex 05, France

 $^{12}$  Institute of Nuclear Physics, N.C. S.R. D emokritos, P.O. Box 60228, GR-15310 A thens, G reece

 $^{14}$ D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di G enova and IN FN , V ia D odecaneso 33, IT -16146 G enova, Italy <sup>15</sup> Institut des Sciences Nucleaires, IN 2P 3-CNR S, Universite de G renoble 1, FR -38026 G renoble C edex, France

<sup>18</sup> Institut fur Experim entelle K emphysik, U niversitat K arlsruhe, Postfach 6980, D E-76128 K arlsruhe, G em any

<sup>19</sup> Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN U l. Radzikow skiego 152, PL-31142 K rakow, Poland

 $^{20}$ Faculty of Physics and Nuclear Techniques, University of M ining and M etallurgy, PL-30055 K rakow, Poland

<sup>21</sup>Universite de Paris-Sud, Lab. de l'Accelerateur Lineaire, IN 2P3-CNRS, Bât. 200, FR-91405 O rsay Cedex, France

 $^{22}$  School of Physics and Chem istry, U niversity of Lancaster, Lancaster LA 1 4Y B , U K

<sup>25</sup>D ept. of Physics and A stronom  $y$ , K elvin Building, University of G lasgow, G lasgow G 12 8Q Q, UK

 $^{30}$  p partm ento di Fisica, Univ. di Milano-Bicocca and INFN-MILANO, Piazza della Scienza 3, IT-20126 Milan, Italy

44J. Stefan Institute, Jam ova 39, SI-1000 L jubljana, Slovenia

46 D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of L jubljana, SI-1000 L jubljana, S lovenia

<sup>47</sup> Fysikum, Stockholm University, Box 6730, SE-113 85 Stockholm, Sweden

```
^{48}D ipartim ento di Fisica Sperim entale, Universita di Torino and INFN, V ia P. G iuria 1, IT-10125 Turin, Italy
```
<sup>y</sup> deceased

 $^1$ D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, Iowa State U niversity, Am es IA 50011-3160, U SA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Physics Laboratory, University of A thens, Solonos Str. 104, GR-10680 A thens, G reece

 $^{4}$ D epartm ent of Physics, University of Bergen, A llegaten 55, N 0 -5007 Bergen, N orway

 $5D$  ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Bologna and INFN, V ia Imerio 46, IT-40126 Bologna, Italy

 $6$ C entro Brasileiro de Pesquisas F sicas, rua X avier Sigaud 150, BR -22290 R io de Janeiro, Brazil

 $9$ CERN, CH-1211 G eneva 23, Sw itzerland

 $^{10}$  Institut de R echerches Subatom iques, IN 2P 3 - C N R S/U LP - B P 20, FR -67037 Strasbourg C edex, France

 $^{11}$ N ow at DESY-Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15735 Zeuthen, G em any

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>FZU, Inst. of Phys. of the C A S. H igh Energy Physics D ivision, N a S lovance 2, C Z-182 21, Praha 8, C zech R epublic

 $^{16}$  H elsinki Institute of Physics and D epartm ent of Physical Sciences, P.O. Box 64, F IN -00014 U niversity of H elsinki, F in land

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Head Post 0 ce, P.O. Box 79, RU-101 000 M oscow, Russian Federation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>LIP, IST, FCUL -Av. Elias G arcia, 14-1°, PT-1000 Lisboa C odex, Portugal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>D epartm ent of Physics, University of Liverpool, P.O., Box 147, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK

 $^{26}$ LPNHE, N 2P3-CNRS, Univ. Paris VI et VII, Tour 33 (RdC), 4 place Jussieu, FR-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

 $^{27}$ D epartm ent of Physics, University of Lund, Solvegatan 14, SE-223 63 Lund, Sweden

 $^{28}$  U niversite C laude B emard de Lyon, IPN L, IN 2P 3-C N R S, FR -69622 V illeurbanne C edex, France

 $^{29}$ D ipartin ento di Fisica, Universita di Milano and INFN-MILANO, V ia Celoria 16, IT-20133 Milan, Italy

 $31$  IPNP of MFF, Charles Univ., A real MFF, V Holesovickach 2, CZ-18000, Praha 8, Czech Republic

 $32$ N IK HEF, Postbus 41882, NL-1009 DB Am sterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>33</sup>N ational Technical University, Physics Department, Zografou Campus, GR -15773 Athens, Greece

<sup>34</sup> Physics D epartm ent, U niversity of O slo, B lindem, NO -0316 O slo, N orway

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup>D pto. Fisica, Univ. O viedo, A vda. Calvo Sotelo s/n, ES-33007 O viedo, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>D epartm ent of Physics, University of 0 xford, K eble Road, 0 xford 0 X 1 3R H, UK

 $37D$  ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Padova and INFN, V ia M arzolo 8, IT-35131 Padua, Italy

<sup>38</sup> R utherford A pp leton Laboratory, C hilton, D idcot 0 X 11 0 Q X, U K

 $^{39}$ D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Rom a II and INFN, Tor Vergata, IT-00173 Rom e, Italy

 $^{40}$  D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Rom a III and IN FN, V ia della Vasca Navale 84, IT-00146 Rom e, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup>DAPN IA / Service de Physique des Particules, CEA-Saclay, FR-91191 G if-sur-Y vette Cedex, France

 $^{42}$  Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria (CSIC-UC), Avda. los Castros s/n, ES-39006 Santander, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Inst. for H igh Energy Physics, Serpukov P.O. Box 35, Protvino, (M oscow R egion), Russian Federation

<sup>45</sup> Laboratory for A stroparticle Physics, U niversity of N ova G orica, K ostan jeviska 16a, SI-5000 N ova G orica, S lovenia

 $^{49}$  N FN Sezione di Torino and D ipartim ento di Fisica Teorica, Universita di Torino, V ia G iuria 1, IT-10125 Turin, Italy

 $^{50}$ D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Trieste and INFN , V ia A . V alerio 2, IT-34127 Trieste, Italy

 $51$  Istituto di Fisica, Universita di U dine and INFN, IT -33100 U dine, Italy

 $^{52}$ Univ. Federal do Rio de Janeiro, CP. 68528 Cidade Univ., Ilha do Fundao BR-21945-970 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

 $^{53}$ D epartm ent of Radiation Sciences, University of Uppsala, P.O.Box 535, SE-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden

 $^{54}$  F IC, Valencia-CSIC, and D F A M N ., U . de Valencia, A vda. D r. M oliner 50, ES-46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain  $^{55}\mathrm{O}$ n leave of absence from  $~\mathrm{ITEP}$  , M oscow , R ussian Federation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Institut fur Hochenergiephysik, Osterr. A kad. d. W issensch., N ikolsdorfergasse 18, AT-1050 V ienna, A ustria

 $^{57}\!$  Inst. Nuclear Studies and University of W arsaw , U l. H oza 69, PL-00681 W arsaw , Poland

 $^{58}{\rm N}$  ow at U niversity of W arw ick, C oventry CV 4 7A L , U K

 $^{59}$ Fachbereich Physik, University of W uppertal, Postfach 100 127, DE-42097 W uppertal, G erm any

#### 1 Introduction

R ecent observation of anom alous soft photon production in hadronic Z  $^0$  decays collected in the D ELPH I experim ent at LEP1 [1] has dem onstrated the persistence of the soft photon anom aly found earlier in several xed target experim ents with high energy hadronic beam s, [2{6]. The photon kinem atic range was de ned in [1] as follows:  $0.2 < E$  1 G eV,  $p_T < 80$  M eV/c, the  $p_T$  being the photon transverse m om entum with respect to the parent jet direction. Though the reaction  $e^+e^-$  !  $Z^0$  ! hadrons presents a distinct m echanism of hadron production as compared to  $[2{6}]$ , the observed soft photon production characteristics were found in [1] to be very close to those reported in  $[2{6}$ , both for the m easured production rate and for the observed ratio of the rate to the inner hadronic brem sstrahlung. The latter was expected to be the m ain source of the direct soft photons in kinem atic ranges under study (see  $[7(9)]$ ), while the observed signals were found in  $[1\{6]$  to be several times higher than the brem sstrahlung predictions. No theoretical explanation of this excess is available so far; reviews of the theoretical approaches to the problem can be found in  $[10,11]$  (see also the references  $[13-33]$  in  $[1]$ ).

From the experim entalanalysis, given a sim ilarity of the soft photon production characteristics in both classes of experim ents, the conclusion was drawn in [12] that the excess photons are created during the process of hadronization of quarks, i.e. their origin is strongly restricted to reactions of hadron production. If this ansatz is correct, a good agreem ent should be found between theory and experim ent for the direct soft photon production in reactions of pure electroweak nature. W hat is the experim ental situation in this eld?

The electron inner brem sstrahlung in  $e^+e^-$  collisions (initial state radiation, ISR) was an im portant (and rather inconvenient) e ectatLEP, with which all the LEP experim ents had to contend. No deviation of the ISR characteristics from those expected from theory was observed, either at Z  $^0$  or at high energy (see for exam ple the D ELPH I studies [13]). Therefore the situation with the electron inner brem sstrahlung can be considered as show ing a nice agreem ent between theory and experim ent.

On the other hand, tests of Q ED with the m uon inner brem sstrahlung which appears as nalstate radiation  $(FSR)$  in  $e^+e^-$ ! events were scarce at LEP. There were only two studies of photon production in  $Z^0$ ! events at LEP1  $[14,15]$  and a single study of  $e^+e^-$ !  $\pm$  events at LEP2  $[16]^1$ . A ll these studies aim ed at the separation of rather hard photons, isolated from the neighbouring m uon. So, the D ELPH I analysis of nalstate radiation from m uons at LEP1 [14] was restricted to the photon kinem atic range of  $E > 2$  G eV,  $> 5$ , i.e. to the transverse m om enta with respect to the m uon direction  $p_T > 174$  M eV/c. In [16] the m inimum value of the angle was increased up to 15 (keeping the same photon energy threshold), tripling the m inim um photon  $p_T$ . The OPA L analysis at LEP1 [15] used photons of  $E > 0.9$  GeV and  $> 200$  m rad, i.e. the photon transverse m om enta with respect to the m uon direction were  $p_T > 179$ M eV /c. Thus, an analysis of them uon inner brem sstrahlung in the soft photon kinem atic range close to that analyzed in [1] is completely m issing at LEP. This m otivated us to study the reaction

$$
e^+e
$$
 !  $Z^0$  !  $^+$  n ; n 1 (1)

atLEP1 in a photon kinem atic range sim ilarto the one analyzed in [1](with the photon transverse m om entum being de ned now with respect to the parent m uon direction). In addition to the low energy (LE) band of  $0.2 < E$  1 G eV explored in [1], a higher

 $^{\rm 1}$ O utside the LEP experim ents, a few studies of the m uon inner brem sstrahlung have been done, see [17] and references therein.

energy (HE) band of  $1 < E$  10 G eV was also used in the analysis, being restricted how ever to the photons of sm all transverse m om entum with respect to the parent m uon direction,  $p_{\text{t}} < 80$  M eV/c. The  $p_{\text{t}}$  range of the LE band chosen for the denition of the brem sstrahlung signalwas taken narrower in this work as compared to that in [1], nam ely  $p_{\rm T}$  < 40 M eV /c. This choice was m otivated by the fact that the photon angular variable used in this analysis, the photon polar angle relative to the parent m uon direction, can be m easured m uch m ore accurately as compared to the angular variable used in  $[1]$ , the photon polar angle relative to the parent jet direction, and this conned most of the LE brem sstrahlung photons down to the m entioned  $p_T$  range.

The results obtained in this study are presented both uncorrected and corrected for the photon detection e ciency. The presentation of the uncorrected results is motivated by their better statistical accuracies and sm aller system atic uncertainties in the absolute photon rates.

# 2 T heoretical predictions for the m uon inner brem sstrahlung

In electroweak reactions like (1) the inner brem sstrahlung is a process of direct photon production calculated via purely Q ED m achinery. The production rates for the brem sstrahlung photons from colliding  $e^+e^-$  (ISR ) and from  $\,$  nal  $\,$   $^+$   $\,$   $\,$  pairs (FSR ) in the  $p_T$  range under study can be calculated at once using a universal form ula descending from Low  $[8]$  with a m odi cation suggested by H aissinski $[18]$ :

$$
\frac{dN}{d^{3}K} = \frac{1}{(2 \rho^{2} E)}^{Z} d^{3}p \sum_{i,j=1}^{K} \frac{(p_{i?} p_{i}) dN}{(P_{i} K)(P_{j} K)} d^{3}p
$$
 (2)

where K and  $K$  denote photon four-and three-m om enta, P are the 4-m om enta of the beam  $e^+$ ; e and them uon involved, and  $p$  is the 3-m om entum of them uon;  $p_{12} = p_1$  (n  $p$ ) n and  $m$  is the photon unit vector,  $m = \tilde{k}=k; = 1$  for the beam electron and for the outgoing  $^{\dagger}$ , = 1 for the beam positron and for the outgoing, and the sum is extended over both beam particles and the parent m uon (form ula (2) is presented in the form of the photon production rate per  $m$  uon); the last factor in the integrand is a dierential production rate of the parent m uon.

A scan be seen, form ula (2) is of the low est (leading) order in . H igher order radiative corrections to it can be evaluated using exponentiated photon spectra in the LE and HE bands. In the accepted regions of low  $p_T$  the eects of the exponentiation were found to be rather  $\text{sm all,}$  as considered in Sect. 6.2.

To a great extent, form ula  $(2)$  is used in this paper speci cally to enable a com parison with the corresponding form ula applied for the calculation of the inner hadronic brem sstrahlung in hadronic decays of  $Z^0$  [1] (cf. the analogous form ulae in [2{6]):

$$
\frac{dN}{d^3k} = \frac{1}{(2 \ \beta \ E}^2 d^3 p_1 :: d^3 p_N \frac{X}{i j} \ i \ j \frac{(p_{i?} \ p)}{(P_i K)(P_j K)} \frac{dN_h}{d^3 p_1 :: d^3 p_N}
$$
(3)

where K and  $K$  denote again photon four-and three-m om enta, P are the 4-m om enta of the beam  $e^+$ ; e and N charged outgoing hadrons, and  $p_1$  ...  $p_N$  are the 3-m om enta of the hadrons;  $= 1$  for the beam electron and for positive outgoing hadrons,  $= 1$  for the beam positron and negative outgoing hadrons, and the sum is extended over all the  $N + 2$ 

charged particles involved; the last factor in the integrand is a dierential hadron production rate (when calculating the photon production rate per jet only hadrons lying in the forward hem isphere of a given jet enter the sum ). Calculations perform ed with form ulae  $(2,3)$  show that the inner brem sstrahlung rate from one m uon is approxim ately equal, in the kinem atic region under study, to the predicted inner hadronic brem sstrahlung from a whole hadronic jet of a Z  $^0$  hadronic decay. To a great extent, this is a consequence of the coherence of the photon radiation from the individual radiation sources, the charged hadrons produced in the fragm entation process.

The contribution of the ISR to these rates is sm all, being below 1% in the photon kinem atic range chosen for the analysis. This sm allness is easy to understand: although the ISR from electron/positron beam s is m uch m ore intense than the ISR from hadron beam s in experim ents  $[2{6}$ , where it contributed a signi cant am ount to the detected photon rate, all the extra photons in an experim ent with colliding  ${\rm e}^{\rm t} \, {\rm e}^-$  are em itted at very sm all polar angles with respect to the beam directions, with the angular distribution peaking at- $=$   $\frac{1}{3}$  , where is a beam Lorentz factor ( = 0.89 10<sup>5</sup> at the Z<sup>0</sup> peak), thus yielding few photons in the barrel region used in our analysis.

The m uon brem sstrahlung radiation (FSR ) has the sam e angular behaviour of the photon production rate versus the photon polar angle relative to the parent m uon direction (the photon production angle, ), with being in this case a m uon Lorentz factor. For the m uons from  $Z^0$  decays at rest the  $= 4:3 \quad 10^2$  corresponds to the peak position at 4.0 m rad. N ote that the position of the peak does not depend on the brem sstrahlung photon energy, since the dependences of the photon production rate on the photon energy and the photon production angle are factorized in form ulae  $(2,3)$ . The turnover of the m uon brem sstrahlung angular distribution at the peak value and its vanishing at is term ed the dead cone  $e$  ect. This behaviour is illustrated by Fig. 1a where the initial part of the production angle distribution for the FSR of the reaction  $(1)$  is shown, generated  $2\pi$  with form ula (2). The observation of the dead cone presents an experim ental challenge requiring a highly accurate apparatus; the angular resolution of the opening angle between the m easured m uon and photon directions which is necessary for the observation of the m uon brem sstrahlung dead cone at LEP1, has to be of the order of 1 2 m rad.

# 3 Experim entaltechnique

#### 3.1 T he D E LP H I detector

The D ELPH I detector is described in detail elsewhere [19,20]. The following is a brief description of the subdetector units relevant for this analysis.

In the D ELPH I reference fram e the z axis is taken along the direction of the e beam. The angle is the polar angle de ned with respect to the z-axis, is the azimuthal angle about this axis and  $R$  is the distance from this axis.

The TPC, the principaldevice used in this analysis, was them ain tracker of the DEL-PH I detector; it covered the angular range from 20 to 160 in and extended from 30 cm to 122 cm in R. It provided up to 16 space points for pattern recognition and ionization inform ation extracted from 192 wires. The TPC together with other tracking devices (Vertex D etector, Inner D etector, O uter D etector and Forward Cham bers) ensured a very good angular accuracy of the m uon track reconstruction, which is a part of the overall angular resolution for the photon production angle. The distribution of the

 $^{2}$ T he M onte C arlo data set ofdim uon events described below w as used as the input of the generation.

opening angles between the generated and reconstructed m uon directions is shown in Fig. 1b; it can be characterized by the distribution m ean of  $0.42$  m rad and its rm .s. width of 0.37 m rad, which restricts 90% of the entries within the 0 1 m rad interval.

The identication of m uons was based on the m uon cham bers (M UC) surrounding the detector, the hadron calorim eter  $(H CA L)$  and the electrom agnetic calorim eter (H igh density Projection Cham ber, HPC), as described in [21].

The M onte Carlo (M C) data set used in this analysis was produced with the DYMU3 generator [22]. H igher order radiative corrections to the reaction (1) totalcross section were accounted for via the exponentiation procedure in plem ented in the generator. The generated dim uon eventswere passed through the D ELPH Idetectorsim ulation program D ELSIM [20].

#### 3.2 D etection of photons

Photon conversions in front of the m ain D ELPH I tracker (TPC) were reconstructed by an algorithm that exam ined the tracks reconstructed in the TPC.A search was made along each TPC track for the point where the tangent of the track trajectory points directly to the beam spot in the R projection. Under the assumption that the opening angle of the electron-positron pair is zero, this point represented a possible photon conversion point at radius R . A  $\perp$  tracks which have had a solution R that was m ore than one standard deviation away from the m ain vertex, as de ned by the beam spot, were considered to be conversion candidates. If two oppositely charged conversion candidates were found with com patible conversion point param eters they were linked together to form the converted photon.The following selection criteria were im posed:

the dierence between the two conversion points should be at m ost 30 m rad; a possible dierence between the polarangles of the two tracks should be atmost 15 m rad;

at least one of the tracks should have no associated hits in front of the reconstructed m ean conversion radius.

For the pairs ful lling these criteria a  $^{-2}$  was calculated from  $\cdot$ and the dierence of the reconstructed conversion radii R in order to nd the best combinations in cases where there were am biguous associations. A constrained twas then applied to the electron-positron pair candidate which forced a com m on conversion point with zero opening angle and collinearity between the m om entum sum and the line from the beam spot to the conversion point.

The photon detection e ciency, i.e. the conversion probability combined with the reconstruction e ciency, was determ ined with the hadronic M C data since the converted photon sam ple in dim uon events was insucient statistically for such a determ ination. The e ciencies were tabulated against three variables:  $E$ , (the photon polar angle to the beam ), and  $\epsilon_{kk}$  (the photon opening angle to the closest track). The e-ciency varied with the energy from zero at the  $0.2$  G eV detection threshold up to  $4 - 6\%$  at  $E = 1$  G eV, depending on the two other variables (for details see [1]).

In order to reduce a possible di erence in the reconstruction of the converted photons in the M C and the realdata (originating from the bias in the detector m aterial distributions in the two data sets and from a possible distinction in their pattern recognition results) the recalibration procedure described in [1] was implem ented, with the recalibration coe cients obtained with hadronic events.

The angular precision of the photon direction reconstruction was studied using the dim uon M C events and was found to be of a B reit-W igner shape, as expected for the

superposition of m any G aussian distributions of varying width  $[23]$ . The full widths ('s) distributions were  $2:3$  0:1 m rad and 1:9 0:1 m rad, respectively, of the and for the combined  $0.2$  10 G eV interval (Figs. 1c, 1d). The full width of the distribution of the dierence between the generated and reconstructed m uon-photon opening angles (which is the di erence in the production angle dened in Sect. 2 and therefore represents the overall angular resolution of the current analysis) was found to be  $2:1\quad0:1$  m rad (Fig. 1e), thus providing a possibility for the observation of the muon brem sstrahlung dead cone. M oreover, one can in prove essentially this raw resolution, though at the price of a loss of 50% of the converted photon statistics, by requiring the photon energy to exceed  $1$  GeV and the conversion radius to be greater than  $25$  cm. W ith these tighter cuts 1.4 m rad resolution (the fullwidth) was achieved and used in a particular case which required a high angular resolution and is described below (Sect. 7.3).

The accuracy of the converted photon energy m easurem ent was studied also with the dim uon M C events. In both energy bands it was at the level of  $1.5$  (the B reit-W igner full width about  $3\frac{3}{7}$  ); this is illustrated by Fig.1f where the distribution of the relative di erence between the generated and reconstructed photon energy is plotted for the LE photons. The resolution was checked with events of the (hadronic) realdata by comparing the  $\degree$  peak width of the mass distribution from these data to the analogous one from the MC.

#### 4 D ata selection

#### $4.1$ Selection of dim uon events

The data selection was done under standard cuts aim ed at the separation of dim uon events (cf.  $[14,21]$ ) which are described below. The consecutive application of these cuts reduced the M C sam ple of dim uon events by factors indicated in parentheses:

the number of charged particles  $N_{ch}$  had to be within the interval of 2  $5<sub>1</sub>$  $N_{ch}$ and the two highest m om entum particles had to have  $p > 15$  G eV/c  $(0.894)$ ; the polar angles of the two highest m om entum particles had to be within the interval

of 20 160  $(0.962)$ ;

the in pact parameters of the two highest m om entum particles had to be less than 0.2 and 4.5 cm in the R and z projections, respectively  $(0.993)$ ;

no additional charged particles with m om enta greater than 10 G eV / c were allowed, unless the fastest particle had a m om entum greater than 40 G eV /c  $(0.999)$ ;

the acollinearity of the two highest m om entum particles had to be less than 10  $(0.989)$ ;

the two highest m om entum particles had to be identi ed as m uons using either the m uon cham bers (MUC), the hadron calorim eter (HCAL), or the electrom agnetic cabrin eter  $(HPC)$ , by requiring associated hits in the m uon chambers, or by energy deposition in the calorim eters consistent with a m in imum ionizing particle  $(0.825)$ .

The total reduction factor for the MC events was 0.696.

A totalof122 812 events of realdata (RD) was selected under these cuts and compared to 373 918 selected M C events corresponding, after the norm alization of the equivalent M C lum inosity to the integrated RD lum inosity, to 121 000 expected events.

#### 4.2 Selection of photons

The standard selection of converted photons was done under the following cuts:

only converted photons with both  $\dot{\epsilon}$  ;e arm s reconstructed were considered; 20 160 ; 5 cm  $R_{conv}$  50 cm, where  $R_{conv}$  m eans conversion radius;  $200 M eV < E$  10 GeV.

384 and 1097 converted photons were found using these cuts in the realdata in the LE and HE energy bands, respectively. O f these, 127 and 265 photons are in the selected  $p_T$  regions:  $p_T < 40$  M eV /c for the LE band and  $p_T < 80$  M eV /c for the HE band.

For a particular analysis done to scrutinize the dead cone e ect (described in Sect. 7.3), the photon energy was required to be between 1 and 10 G eV, and the conversion radiusto be between 25 and 50 cm .

# 5 B ackgrounds

The following background sources within the  $+$  event sample were considered:

Externalm uon brem sstrahlung:

the brem sstrahlung radiation from m uons when they pass through the m aterialof the experim ental setup.

Secondary photons:

when a high energy photon (of any origin) generates an  $e^+e^-$  pair in the detector m aterialin frontoftheTPC thepairparticlesm ay radiate(external)brem sstrahlung photons, which can enter our kinem atic region.

\D egraded" photons:

higher energy converted prim ary photons with degraded energy m easurem ent due to the secondary em ission of (external) brem sstrahlung by at least one of their electrons.

D ELSIM was invoked to reproduce these processes in the M C stream.

Collection of background photons (all dubbed as External Brem s) in the M C stream was done if any one of the following conditions was satised:

a given photon was absent at the event generator level, i.e. in the DYMU3 event record;

a given photon, found in the  $D Y M U 3$  event record, m igrated from outside a selected  $p_T$  region into that region due to the energy degradation.

26:0 2:9 and 61:5 4:5 background photons(norm alized to the R D statistics) were found in the selected  $p_T$  regions:  $p_T < 40$  M eV/c for the LE band and  $p_T < 80$  M eV/c for the  $H E$  band, respectively.

The background from  $Z^0$ !  $^+$  events was estimated using the M C data produced with the KORALZ 4.0 generator [24] and passed through the full detector simulation and the analysis procedure. The  $+$  contam ination of the dim uon event sam ple was found to be 1536 20 events  $(1.3 \text{ % of the } d\text{ in } \text{non}$  sample), which contain zero photons in the LE band and  $1:3$  0.6 photons in the HE band, of which 0.3 photons would be in the  $p_T < 80$  M eV /c region. In what follows, this background was neglected.

The cosm ic ray background was estim ated from the realdata, studying events which originated close to the interaction point, but outside the lim its allowed for selected events. In both energy bands its contribution to the photon rates was below  $0.1$ %.

The background from  $Z^0$  !  $e^+e^-$  events tested with the BABAM C generator [25] with the fulldetector sim ulation was found to be vanishingly sm all. The sam e is valid for the 4-ferm ion backgrounds  $Z^0$  !  $e^+e^ ^+$  and  $Z^0$  !  $^+$   $^-$  qq tested with events produced with generators[26,27].

## 6 System atic errors

#### 6.1 System atic uncertainties in the determ ination of the signal

Since the converted photon sam ple in the dim uon event statistics collected by the D ELPH I experim ent during the LEP1 period was insucient for the determ ination of the photon detection e ciencies and the recalibration coe cients, they were taken as being de ned with hadronic events. Therefore it is worth to start the consideration of the system atic errors and their estim ations with the uncertainties induced by these com ponents of the analysis as they were determ ined in  $[1]$ .

The uncertainty due to a dierence in the photon propagation and conversion in the detector m aterial in the RD and its simulation in the MC, and analogous dierence in the pattern recognition, left after the recalibration procedure was applied (term ed in  $[1]$ hardware system atics), was studied in  $[1]$  and evaluated to be 0.9% of the photon rate in the LE band and 2% in the HE band.

The system atic error for the photon detection e ciency  $3$ , after the recalibration procedure m entioned above being applied, is a purely instrum ental e ect originating from the choice of the binning of the variables used for the e ciency param etrization, resolution e ects, etc. In  $[1]$  it was found to range from 6% to 9% of the photon rate. These estim ations were tested in the current study with the M C dim uon events by com paring the  $p_T$  spectra of the DYM U 3 inner brem sstrahlung photons transported through the D ELPH I detector by D ELSIM with a subsequent simulation of their conversions, with the spectra of the same photons taken at the generator level and convoluted with the photon detection e ciency. In both energy bands the dierence was below 5% which was the levelofthe test statisticalaccuracy. This m eans that the aforem entioned error due to the detection e ciency is likely to be overestim ated in [1], or it is really sm aller in the m uonic data, in particular, due to a better angular resolution and due to a narrow er angular ranges in both energy bands. In what follows, the value of  $5\%$  is used as an estim ate for the uncertainty of the e ciency calculation.

The system atic errors originating from the in uence of the  $p_T$  resolution on the selection cutswere estim ated from runswith thereconstructed photon energy and production angle random ly shifted according to the appropriate resolution function (taking into account the dierent angular resolutions in the LE and H E bands). The changes were found to be lessthan 0.3% ofthe photon rate in the LE band and lessthan 0.4% ofthe photon rate in the HE band. In what follows, the corresponding errors were neglected.

The uncertainty of the background (BG) estim ation is composed of the uncertainties com ing from the  $D Y M U 3$  generator, e ciency and hardware system atics, BG selection, and the procedure of the BG photon conversion simulation. The system atic error from the photon conversion simulation is considered to be equal to the system atic error of the photon detection in the M C stream, before the recalibration is applied, i.e. it can be approxim ated by the recalibration corrections,which were within 3-4% . The system atic

 $3N$  ote that when dealing with the data uncorrected for the detection e ciency the e ciency error is relevant to the brem sstrahlung predictions only (since brem sstrahlung spectra have to be convoluted w ith the eciencies in this case). O n the contrary, w hen dealing w ith the corrected data the eciency uncertainty has to be applied to the m easured photon rates only.

errors due to e ciency and hardware in the background estimation have strong positive correlations with the analogous components of the system atic error in the calculation of the real data photon rates (indeed they are of the same relative am plitude, but the background errors have to be reduced by factors of 3.9 and 3.3 in the LE and HE bands, respectively, when entering the nal system atic error, since the background rates within the corresponding  $p_T$  intervals constitute 25.7% and 30.2% of the RD BG photon rates in the corresponding energy bands). Ignoring, for the sake of clarity, these correlations we w ill consider all the background system atics com ponents as independent and uncorrelated with the analogous components in the RD rates. Then, calculating the background system atic errors similarly to those for the RD and taking into account the suppression factors m entioned above, the system atic background uncertainties appear to be 1.4% and 2.2% relative to the signal rate in the respective energy band in the case of the uncorrected data, and 1.9% and 2.7% in the case of the data corrected for e ciency.

The above system atic errors are sum m arized in Table 1.

Table 1. System atic uncertainties (in  $\frac{1}{6}$  of the photon rates in the  $p_T$  ranges below 40 M eV/c and 80 M eV/c for the LE and HE photons, respectively) for the signal and the predicted muon inner brem sstrahlung. The total system atic error of each of the two energy band photon rates and signal-to-brem sstrahlung ratios is the quadratic sum of the corresponding individual errors, as quoted in Tables 2,3 below.



# 6.2 Estim ation of the accuracy of the brem sstrahlung predictions

The estimation of the accuracy of the brem sstrahlung predictions resulting from formula (2) was done by comparing FSR rates obtained with this formula and those delivered by the DYMU3 generator, in the corresponding  $p_T$  ranges, as the di erence between the predictions. In the LE band this uncertainty was about  $4\%$ , in the HE band about  $10\%$ . They are quoted in Table 1.

These estimates agree well with the dierences in the predictions for the muon inner brem sstrahlung rates obtained with form ula (2), and those calculated with form ulae which account for higher order radiative corrections, the calculations being perform ed w ith the non-exponentiated photon spectrum [28] and with the exponentiated one [29,30]. In particular, the latter give  $5.9$ % and  $9.1$ % dierences with form ula (2) in the LE and HE bands, respectively. N ote that when doing these calculations, param eter which governs the brem sstrahlung photon spectrum  $[18]$  was obtained by integration of form ula  $(1.2)$  in  $[29]$ applying our  $p_T$  cuts, i.e. within rather narrow angular ranges varying as a function of the photon energy according to the  $p_T$  cuts in posed in the corresponding energy band. The values were found to be  $0.0146$  in the LE band and  $0.0088$  in the HE band, i.e. considerably sm aller than  $= 2 = (\ln \sin^2 \theta) = 0.0582$ , obtained by integration over allangles. The sm allness of reduces the dierence between the brem sstrahlung predictions for the exponentiated and non-exponentiated photon spectra.

#### 7 R esults

Photon distributions for  $\quad$  ;  $\rm p_T$  and  $\rm p_T^2$  are presented both for the data and the back– ground (left panels of  $F$  igs.  $2-5$ ), and for their dierence (right panels of the qures). The latterdistributionsare accom panied by the calculated brem sstrahlung spectra according to Eq.  $(2)$  shown by triangles.

To quantify the excess of the data over the background the dierence between them, which represents the m easured m uon inner brem sstrahlung, was integrated in the  $p_T$ interval from  $0$  to  $40$  M eV /c for the photons of the LE band, and from  $0$  to  $80$  M eV /c for the photons of the HE band (these intervals correspond to the lled areas in panels d, f of F igs. 2-5), and the values obtained were dened as signals. However these  $p_T$  cuts were not applied when lling the angular distributions displayed in Figs. 2-6 in order to keep these distributions unbiased.

# 7.1 Energy band  $0.2 < E$  1 G eV,  $p_T < 40$  M eV/c

Photon distributions, uncorrected and corrected for the photon detection e ciency, are displayed in Figs. 2 and  $3$ , respectively. The results for the signal rate are given in Table 2 together with the predictions for the m uon brem sstrahlung and their ratios.

Table 2. The signal (RD Background), the predicted m uon inner brem sstrahlung (both in units of 10<sup>3</sup> = ) and their ratios in the  $p_T < 40$  M eV/c range for the photons from the LE band. The rst errors are statistical, the second ones are system atic.



A s can be seen from Table 2, the predicted and the m easured m uon brem sstrahlung rates agree well, within the measurem enterrors. The sm all dierences in Signal/IB ratios between corrected and uncorrected data in Table 2 (and Table 3 below) arise from the non-uniform ity of the e ciency reweighting factors.

#### 7.2 Energy band  $1 < E$  10 G eV,  $p_T < 80$  M eV/c

Photon distributions, uncorrected and corrected for the photon detection e ciency, are displayed in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. The results for the signal rate are given in Table 3 together with the predictions for the m uon brem sstrahlung and their ratios.

A s can be seen from  $Table 3$ , the predicted and the m easured m uon brem sstrahlung rates agree well, within the m easurem ent errors. The sm aller values of the corrected experim entaland predicted brem sstrahlung rates in the H E energy band as com pared to those in the LE band (while the energy range factor following from formula  $(2)$ ,  $\ln(E^{max} = E^{min})$ , works in favour of the HE band with an enhancem ent factor of 1.43) are explained by a higher attenuation of the rates induced by the  $p_T$  cut in the case of the brem sstrahlung photons from the H E band.

Table 3. The signal (RD Background), the predicted m uon inner brem sstrahlung (both in units of 10<sup>3</sup> = ) and their ratios in the  $p<sub>f</sub>$  < 80 M eV/c range for the photons from the HE band. The rst errors are statistical, the second ones are system atic.



#### 7.3 O bservation of the dead cone of the m uon brem sstrahlung

The distributions of the photon production angles with a ne binning (of 1 m rad bin width) are shown in Figs. 6a,b for the combined sample of the converted photons from both energy bands. The distribution obtained after background subtraction  $(F \nvert r)$ . 6b) is accom panied by the calculated brem sstrahlung points. The displayed m easured distributions are raw spectra, without any unfolding of the detector angular resolution; the brem sstrahlung spectra calculated with form ula (2) were sm eared instead by the resolution. W e prefer to present the uncorrected m easured distributions in order to dem onstrate the independence of the obtained results on the correction procedure.

As can be seen from the plots, the experim ental points follow well the predicted brem sstrahlung distribution, showing a turnover at the expected brem sstrahlung peak position of 4 m rad. This is therefore an observation of the m uon inner brem sstrahlung dead cone, for the rst time in high energy physics experim ents. The observation enriches the agreem ent between the experim ental ndings of the muon inner brem sstrahlung characteristics reported in this work and the  $QED$  predictions for the process.

H owever a deeper insight into the brem sstrahlung pattern can be obtained when considering, instead of the distribution  $dN = d$ , the distribution  $dN = d$ , where d is a solid angle elem ent. Such a distribution is free of kinem atic suppression at the polar angles approaching zero, and the rem aining suppression of the photon production rate at very sm allangles is a purely dynam ic e ect, sim ilar to that m entioned in Sect. 2 for the hadrons inside a jet, nam ely a destructive interference between the radiation sources, but

this time less straightforward, just between the m uon \before" and \after" the photon em ission  $^4$ . The solid angle elementd is proportional to dcos , which at sm all angles is, in turn, proportional to d<sup>2</sup>. The position of the dN =d<sup>2</sup> distribution turnover is predicted to be at  $^2 = 1 = \frac{2}{7}$  ( = 430, see Sect. 2), i.e. at  $^2 = 5:4$  10<sup>6</sup> rad<sup>2</sup>.

To observe this turnover, an im proved angular resolution was required, achieved with the additionalcuts (see Sect.  $4.2$ ) to be at the level of  $1.4$  m rad, as noticed in Sect.  $3.2$ . The distribution dN  $=$ d  $^2$  obtained with this resolution is shown in Fig.6c, together with the brem sstrahlung predictions for this variable. Though the statistics are poor, the dip at  $2 < 5$  10  $^6$  rad<sup>2</sup> is visible in this distribution, revealing the dynam icaldead cone of the m uon inner brem sstrahlung.

In order to estim ate the statistical signi cance of this observation the following procedure was undertaken. The initial part (about 20 bins) of the brem sstrahlung  $\frac{2}{1}$  distribution shown in Fig. 6c, with rst two bins om itted, was tted by a smooth curve (by a polynom ial of 4th or 5th order). Then the tting curve was extrapolated to zero, as shown in the qure giving the value of  $(5.64 \t 0.27)$  =5 10<sup>6</sup> rad<sup>2</sup> at the centre of the rst bin of the distribution (the error re ects the variation in the tting form and in the num ber of bins used in the  $t$ ). This value was assumed to represent the expected brem sstrahlung rate in the rst bin of the distribution in a hypothetical situation when the brem sstrahlung dynam icaldead cone is absent. The num ber of the realdata photons in the rst bin was 2 with the estimated background to be  $0.66$  0.46, thus giving the signal value in this bin  $(1.34 \t 1.49)$  =5 10<sup>6</sup> rad<sup>2</sup>. A ssum ing Poisson distribution for the signal photons these num bers correspond to the probability of the absence of the brem sstrahlung dead cone of less than 4%.

# 8 C om parison w ith the hadronic soft photon analysis

The m ain dierence between the results of this analysis and the hadronic ones [1] is the absence of any essential excess of the soft photon production over the predicted inner brem sstrahlung rate reported in this study, contrary to the case for [1] where the observed soft photon rate was found to exceed the brem sstrahlung predictions by a factor of about 4. The 95% CL upper lim its on the excess factors which can be extracted from the results of this work are  $1.29$  in the LE band, and  $1.28$  in the HE band.

A nother distinction between the two analyses is an essential di erence in the background levels and in the possible system atic eects. H owever, the code transporting photons through the D ELPH Idetector and sim ulating their conversions in the detector m aterial (D ELSIM), the photon reconstruction algorithm and the determ ination of its e ciency, together with the recalibration procedure, were comm on to the two analyses. Thus the results of this work can be considered also as a cross-check of these procedures in the hadronic events study. On the other hand, the am ount of  $dim$  uon events collected during the LEP1 period is considerably  $\sin$  aller than the num ber of collected hadronic events, due to a sm aller  $Z^0$  dim uon branching ratio (by a factor of 20). As a result, in the current analysis the statistical errors are either essentially higher than the system atic ones (in the LE band), or com parable to them (in the HE band), while in  $[1]$  the total uncertainties of the m easured photon rates are dom inated by system atic errors; nevertheless it should be em phasized that the results of both analyses show clear signals of direct photons (even though the strength of the signal in  $[1]$  is not explained theoretically).

 $^{4}$  In classical language, the radiation intensity into the solid angle d vanishes when three vectors: the m uon velocity, its acceleration, and the radiation unit vector happen, in particular, to be parallel, see for exam ple [31,32].

### 9 Sum m ary

The results of the analysis of nal state radiation in  $\,$   $^+$  decays of Z  $^0$  events at LEP1 are reported in this work. The radiation was studied in the region of small transverse m om enta with respect to the parent m uon,  $p_T < 40$  M eV/c in the photon energy range  $0:2 < E$  1 G eV (LE band), and  $p_T < 80$  M eV/c in the photon energy range  $1 < E$  10 G eV (H E band). The obtained photon rates uncorrected (corrected) for the photon detection e ciency were found to be, in units of 10  $^3$  =, with the rst error to be statistical and the second one system atic: a) in the LE band:  $0.412$  0.048 0.007 (25.9 4.0 1.4), while OED predictions for the m uon inner brem sstrahlung were calculated to be  $0.388 \quad 0.001 \quad 0.025 \quad (23.30 \quad 0.01 \quad 0.93)$ ; b) in the H E band:  $0.829$  0:069 0:025 (21.1 2:2 1:3), while them uon inner brem sstrahlung was calculated to be 0.794 0:001 0:089 (20.00 0:01 2:00). The obtained ratios of the observed signal to the predicted level of the m uon inner brem sstrahlung are then 1:06 0:12 0:07 in the LE band and 1:04 0:09 0:12 in the H E band (uncorrected rates are used for these ratios, as they possess a better statistical accuracy). Thus, the analysis shows a good agreem ent between the observed photon production rates and the  $QED$  predictions for the m uon inner brem sstrahlung, both in dierential (see Figs. 2-5) and integral (see Tables  $2,3$ ) form s. This is in contrast with the anom alous soft photon production in hadronic decays of  $Z^0$  reported earlier in [1].

The brem sstrahlung dead cone is observed for the rst tim e in the direct photon production in Z  $^0$  decays in particular, and in the m uon inner brem sstrahlung in the high energy physics experim ents in general,also being in good agreem ent with the predicted brem sstrahlung behaviour.

# A cknow ledgem ents

W e thank Profs. K. Boreskov, J.E. Cam pagne, F. D zheparov and A. K aidalov for usefuldiscussions.

W e are greatly indebted to our technical collaborators, to the  $m$  em bers of the CERN -SL D ivision for the excellent perform ance of the LEP collider, and to the funding agencies for their support in building and operating the D ELPH I detector.

W e acknowledge in particular the support of

A ustrian FederalM inistry ofEducation,Science and Culture,G Z 616.364/2-III/2a/98,  $FNRS$ {FW  $\circ$ , F landers Institute to encourage scienti c and technological research in the industry (IW T) and Belgian Federal 0 ce for Scienti c, Technical and Cultural a airs (O STC),Belgium ,

FIN EP,CN Pq,CA PES,FU JB and FA PER J,Brazil,

M inistry of Education of the Czech R epublic, project LC527,

A cadem y of Sciences of the C zech R epublic, project AV 0Z10100502,

Com m ission of the European Com m unities  $(D G X II)$ ,

D irection des Sciences de la M atiere, CEA, France,

Bundesm inisterium fur Bildung, W issenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, G erm any,

G eneral Secretariat for R esearch and Technology, G reece,

N ational Science Foundation (NWO) and Foundation for R esearch on M atter (FOM), The N etherlands,

N orwegian R esearch Council,

State Committee for Scientic Research, Poland, SPUB-M /CERN / PO 3/D Z296/2000, SPU B-M /CER N /PO 3/D Z297/2000,2P03B 104 19 and 2P03B 69 23(2002-2004),

FCT - Fundacao para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Portugal, Vedecka grantova agentura M S SR, Slovakia, N r. 95/5195/134, M inistry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia, CICYT, Spain, AEN 99-0950 and AEN 99-0761, The Swedish Research Council, Particle Physics and A stronom y R esearch C ouncil, UK, Department of Energy, USA, DE-FG 02-01ER 41155, EEC RTN contract HPRN-CT-00292-2002.

## R eferences

- [1]D ELPH ICollaboration,J.A bdallah etal.,Eur.Phys.J.C 47,273 (2006)
- [2]P.V .Chliapnikov etal.,Phys.Lett.B 141,276 (1984)
- [3] F. Botterweck et al., Z. Phys. C 51, 541 (1991)
- [4] S. Banerjee et al., Phys. Lett. B 305, 182 (1993)
- [5]A .Belogiannietal.,Phys.Lett.B 408,487 (1997)
	- A .Belogiannietal.,Phys.Lett.B 548,122 (2002)
- [6]A .Belogiannietal.,Phys.Lett.B 548,129 (2002)
- [7]L.D .Landau, I.Ya.Pom eranchuk, D okl.A kad.N auk SSSR 92, 535, 735 (1953)(PapersN o.75and 76in theEnglish edition ofL.D .Landau collected works, Pergam on Press, New York, 1965)
- [8]F.Low,Phys.R ev.110 ,974 (1958)
- [9]V .N .G ribov,Sov.J.N ucl.Phys.5,280 (1967)
- [10]V .Balek,N .Pisutova and J.Pisut,A cta Phys.Pol.B 21,149 (1990)
- [11] P. Lichard, Phys. Rev. D 50, 6824 (1994)
- [12] D ELPH I Collaboration, J. A bdallah et al., Study of the D ependence of D irect Soft Photon Production on the Jet Characteristics in Hadronic Z  $^0$ D ecays, to be subm itted to Eur. Phys. J.C.
- [13]D ELPH ICollaboration,P.A breu etal.,Eur.Phys.J.C 16,371 (2000) D ELPH ICollaboration,J.A bdallah etal.,Eur.Phys.J.C 45,589 (2006) D ELPH ICollaboration,J.A bdallah etal.,Eur.Phys.J.C 46,295 (2006)
- [14] D ELPH I Collaboration, P. A breu et al., Z. Physik C 65, 603 (1995)
- [15]O PA L Collaboration,P.D .A cton etal.,Phys.Lett.B 273,338 (1991)
- [16]D ELPH ICollaboration,P.A breu etal.,Phys.Lett.B 380,480 (1996)
- [17] H.C.Ballagh et al., Phys. R ev. Lett. 50, 1963 (1983)
	- V V . Amm osov et al., Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 47, 73 (1988)
- [18]J.H aissinski,H ow to Com pute in Practice the Energy Carried away by Soft Photons to all 0 rders in , LAL 87-11, 1987; http://ccdb4fs.kek.jp/cgi-bin/im g index?8704270
- [19]D ELPH ICollaboration,P.A arnio etal.,N ucl.Instr.and M eth.A 303,233 (1991)
- [20] D ELPH I Collaboration, P. A breu et al., N ucl. Instr. and M eth. A 378, 57 (1996)
- [21]D ELPH ICollaboration,P.A breu etal.,N ucl.Phys B 367,511 (1991) D ELPH ICollaboration,P.A breu etal.,N ucl.Phys B 417,3 (1994)
- D ELPH ICollaboration,P.A breu etal.,N ucl.Phys B 418,403 (1994)
- [22]J.E.Cam pagne,R .Zitoun,Z.Phys.C 43,469 (1989) J.E.Cam pagne et al. in: Z Physics at LEP1, G.A ltarelli, R.K leiss and C. Verzegnassieds., CERN Yellow Report No.89-08, 1989, vol.3, 3.2.5
- [23]W .T. Eadie et al., Statistical M ethods in Experim ental Physics (N orth-H olland, A m sterdam, 1982) p. 90 85, 437 (1995)
- [24] S.Jadach, B.F.L.W ard, Z.W as, Comp. Phys. Com m un. 79, 503 (1994)
- $[25]$  F A Berends, R.K leiss and W.Hollik, Nucl. Phys B 304, 712 (1988)
- [26]F.A .Berends,R .Pittau,R .K leiss,Com p.Phys.Com m un.85,1437 (1995)
- [27] T.S pstrand, Com put. Phys. Com m un. 39, 347 (1986)
	- T.Spstrand, M.Bengtsson, Comput. Phys. Commun. 43, 367 (1987)
	- T.S pstrand, JETSET 7.3 Program and M anual, CERN-TH /6488-92, 1992
- [28] F.A. Berends, R.K leiss and S. Jadach, Nucl. Phys B 202, 63 (1982)
- [29]D .R .Yennie,S.C.Frautschi,H Suura,A nn.Phys.13,379 (1961)
- [30]R .K leissetal.in:Z PhysicsatLEP1,G .A ltarelli,R .K leissand C.Verzegnassieds., CERN Yellow Report No.89-08, 1989, vol.3, 2.2
- [31] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields (Elsevier, A m sterdam , Boston, H eidelberg, London, N ew York, O xford, Paris, San D iego, San Francisco, Singapore, Sydney, Tokyo), 4th revised English edition, Sect. 73
- [32] J.D. Jackson, C lassical E lectrodynam ics (John W illey and Sons, Inc., N ew York, Chichester, W einheim, Brisbane, Singapore, Toronto), 3rd edition, Sect.14.3



Figure 1: a) D istribution of the nal state radiation production angle in Z $^0$ ! + events generated with form ula  $(2)$ ; b) opening angle between the generated and reconstructed directions of a m uon track; c) di erence between generated and reconstructed photon polar angles - ;d) the sam e for the azim uthalangles ;e) dierence between generated and reconstructed opening angles, which illustrates the overall angular resolution of this analysis; f) dierence between the generated and the reconstructed photon energies in the photon energy range of  $0.2 < E$  1 G eV. The curves in Fig. 1c-1f are the  $ts$  by Breit-W igner form  $s$  (see text).



Figure 2: Photon distributions in the photon energy band  $0.2 < E$  1 GeV uncorrected for the photon detection e ciency. The photon rates are given as the num ber of photons per 1000 m uons per bin width of the distribution. Left panels: the data and background distributions for a) , the photon production angle; c) photon  $p_T$ ; e) photon  $p_T^2$  . R ight panels, b),d), f): the dierence between the data and the background for the sam e variables, respectively.  $\Epsilon$ xt.Brem s" corresponds to the background,  $\Int$ Brem s" corresponds to the m uon inner brem sstrahlung predictions. The lled areas in panelsd) and f) correspond to the signal integral (see text). The errors shown are statistical.



Figure 3: The sam e as in Fig. 2, corrected for the e ciency of photon detection.



Figure 4: Photon distributions in the photon energy band  $1 < E$  10 G eV uncorrected for the photon detection e ciency. The photon rates are given in num ber of photons per 1000 m uons per bin width of the distribution. Left panels: the data and background distributions for a)  $\quad$  , the photon production angle; c) photon  $\rm p_T$  ; e) photon  $\rm p_T^2$  . R ight panels, b), d), f): the dierence between the data and the background for the same variables, respectively. The lled areas in panels d) and f) correspond to the signal integral (see text). The errors shown are statistical.



Figure 5: The sam e as in Fig. 4, corrected for the e ciency of photon detection.



 $\theta_\gamma^2$  (rad)<sup>2</sup>

Figure 6: D ead cone of them uon inner brem sstrahlung: a,b) as seen in the photon production angle distributions: a) the data and the background distributions; b) the dierence between the data and the background; and c): the distribution of the photon production angle squared, obtained under tighter cuts that in prove the angular resolution; the curve shows the t of the brem sstrahlung distribution within 10<sup>5</sup>  $\frac{2}{x}$  < 10<sup>4</sup> rad<sup>2</sup> by a 5th order polynom ial extrapolated to the 1st bin of the distribution (see text). The errors shown are statistical.