O rientifolds and D -branes in N = 2 gauged linear sigm a models

Ilka Brunner^{a,b}, M anfred H erbst^c

^a A mold Som m erfeld C enter Ludwig M axim ilians Universitat T heresienstr. 37 80333 M unchen, G erm any

^b Excellence C luster Universe Technische Universitat M unchen Boltzm annstr. 2 85748 G arching, G erm any

^c T heory D ivision D epartm ent of Physics, CERN CH-1211 G eneva 23 Sw itzerland

Ilka Brunner@physik.unim uenchen de, Manfred Herbst@cem.ch

e-print archive: http://lanlarX iv.org/abs/0812.2880

A bstract

We study parity sym metries and boundary conditions in the fram ework of gauged linear sigm a models. This allows us to investigate the K ahler moduli dependence of the physics of D-branes as well as orientifolds in a Calabi-Yau compactication. We rst determ ine the parity action on D-branes and de ne the set of orientifold-invariant D-branes in the linear sigm a model. Using probe branes on top of orientifold planes, we derive a general form ula for the type (SO vs Sp) of orientifold planes. A sapplications, we show how compactications with and without vector structure arise naturally at dierent real slices of the K ahler moduli space of a Calabi-Yau compactication. We observe that orientifold planes located at certain components of the xed point locus can change type when navigating through the stringy regim e.

1 Introduction and results

O rientifolds and D-branes play an important role for the consistency of type II string compactications $[1{7}]$ as both classes of objects are needed to ensure a balance of R am ond {R am ond charges and to preserve spacetime supersymmetry at the same time.

In this paper we are interested in B-type orientifolds of C alabi-Y au m anifolds, and in particular their dependence on the K ahler m oduli. A suitable fram ework to investigate these issues are gauged linear sigm a m odels [8], which provide the possibility to interpolate between the large and sm all radius regime of a C alabi-Y au compacti cation. Here, the compacti cation is described in terms of a 1 + 1 dimensional abelian gauge theory; the stringy Landau G inzburg point and the geometric lim it are located at di erent lim its of the Fayet Iliopoulos-parameters r of the gauge theory. Together with the theta angles the combination t = r + i parametrizes the K ahler m oduli space. Here, the theta angle contains in particular the information on the B - eld at large volum e.

The possibility of turning on a discrete B- eld plays an important role in the discussion of type I string theory or, more generally, of orientifolds in type IIB string theory. In particular, it implies the possibility of compactications w ithout vector structure [9{12]. In the context of the linear sigm a model, the diment discrete values of the B- eld descend from dimension dimension slices in the K ahler moduli space parametrized by [13,14]. In particular, the linear sigm a model allows to understand large volume compactications distinguished by B-elds as extrem al lim it points of di erent branches of a stringy moduli space. In some cases the branches can get connected in the stringy regime, such that it becomes possible to navigate from one large volume point to another taking a path in the interior of the moduli space. However, the interior of the moduli space contains a singular locus, and the real slices singled out by the orientifold projection might pass through it, depending on the particular value of the theta angles; this was observed in [14] and will be reviewed and worked out in detail below.

A n important problem is to understand the D-brane categories compatible with the orientifold projection [15,16]. At the Landau-G inzburg point D-branes are described in terms of matrix factorizations of the superpotential, and the brane category relevant for the description of unoriented strings has been constructed in [17], cf. also [18]. On the other hand, a geometric description of branes on Calabi-Yau manifolds is provided by the derived category of coherent sheaves, and parities have been studied in this context in [19]. In this paper, we lift the constructions of these two approaches to the linear sigm a model, thereby connecting di erent corners in the K ahler moduli space. For D-branes w ithout orientifolds this analysis was already carried out in [20], and before in the mathematics literature (up to monodrom ies) in [21{26]. Earlier results on the level of R am ond {R am ond charges were obtained for D-branes in [27{31} and including orientifolds in [14].

Once the parity action on D-branes is understood, we can proceed and determ ine under certain assumptions the type of an orientifold plane (SO vs Sp gauge group). G enerically, the xed point set of the parity action consists of several irreducible components, and the type of the individual orientifold planes can be tested by determing the gauge group on probe branes positioned on top of the xed point set. We work out explicit formulas that determ ine the orientifold type (up to an overall sign to be xed once and for all for each parity) from the linear sign a model data of the brane and the parity. W ith this at hand, we show that the orientifold type can change when navigating through the non-geometric regime. Similar e ects have already been observed in [14,32,33] using tadpole cancellation conditions. In the cases where large volum e regimes with dierent values of the B-eld are connected in the interior of the moduli space, we observe that the type of the orientifold plane changes along the path. This of course is in agreem ent with the fact that, at least for toroidal orientifolds, com pacti cations distinguished by a B-eld at large volum e correspond to com pacti cations with or without vector structure. Interestingly, we also nd non-trivialm onodrom ies: starting out at large volum e, continuing to the stringy regim e and going back to the same large volume point with the same B - ed, a change of type can be observed in examples.

To give a further application of our techniques, we consider con gurations of 07 -planes and singular D7-branes with S0 (N) gauge group, which have been studied recently in the context of F-theory model building [34{36]. In fact, the D7-brane carries a curve of ordinary double points that lies on the intersection with the orientifold plane and that pinches o at a collection of points. F-theory and probe branes in type IIB were used in [35,36] to argue that the D7-brane geometry in the presence of the orientifold is constrained to be singular, admitting fewer deform ation parameters than a D7-brane on a generic hypersurface. W e will give an explanation of the singularity that relies just on the requirement to have an orientifold-invariant D-brane with the right gauge group.

The issue of tadpole cancellation and the construction of consistent supersymmetric string vacua is one out of several interesting model building applications, which we om it at present, but hope to address in future work. This question has however been investigated in some detail, for instance at points of enhanced symmetry using explicit constructions in rational conform all eld theory [14,37{42}]. In our context the G epner point corresponds to the Landau (G inzburg point and all the RCFT branes considered in the papers cited correspond to very simple matrix factorizations of the superpotential. How ever, the techniques presented in this work provide many more possibilities of constructing consistent string vacua¹ and additionally give control over the K ahler moduli dependence.

The role of orientifolds and D-branes for tadpole cancellation in the topological string was revealed in [43], following earlier work on open string mirror symmetry [44,45]. We expect that the present paper paves the way to consider more general tadpole cancelling states in this context.

In the following, we give a brief outline of the paper and its main results in more detail.

D-branes

In order to set the stage we start this work with a brief review section on gauged linear sigm a models with abelian gauge group $T = U(1)^k$ [8,20]. This section can be skipped by readers that are familiar with the results of [20].

¹For example, not all Landau {G inzburg m odels correspond to rational conform al eld theories. Even if the bulk theory is rational, m ost branes will break the enhanced sym - m etry m aking a conform al eld theory construction hard, while a Landau {G inzburg description is still possible.

In particular, we introduce the complexi ed K ahler m oduli space M $_{\rm K}$ = (C)^knS ,² where S is the singular locus of complex codimension one on which the world sheet description breaks down in view of m assless D -branes [46].

W e de neD-branes in the linear sigm a model as matrix factorizations or complexes of W ilson line branes and explain the notion of D-isom orphism classes, or equivalently quasi-isom orphism classes, which de ne the set of low-energy D-branes in each phase of the linear sigm a model. The transport of D-branes across phase boundaries is in plem ented in view of the grade restriction rule, which is a \gauge" xing condition on the D-isom orphism classes and depends on the path between phases. W e also brie y discuss the bre-wise K norrer m ap that relates the matrix factorizations of the linear sigm a model to geom etric D-branes on the hypersurface or com plete intersection in the low-energy theory.

0 rientifolds

A fter these preparations we proceed in Sec. 3 with de ning and studying B-type parity actions and orientifolds in gauged linear sigm a models, rst on a world sheet without boundary. The world sheet parity action is the composition of three operators, $P = (1)^{F_L}$ for m 2 Z. ips the orientation of the world sheet, is a holom orphic involution acting on the chiral elds of the linear sigm a model, and for modd the operator $(1)^{F_L}$ ips the sign for left-m oving states in the R am ond sector.

We observe the well-known e ect that only slices in M_K of real dimension k survive the orientifold projection [14]. In fact, there are 2^k such slices parametrized by Z_2 -valued theta angles = $(_1;:::;_k)$ for $_a 2$ f0; g. Each slice m ay or m ay not intersect the singular locus S, which is now real codimension one and cannot be avoided by any path. This leads to the observation that some phases of the linear sigm a model are not connected to others, at least not in a world sheet description.³ Som ewhat surprising, there are even non-perturbative regions \deep inside" the moduli space that are not connected to any of the phases where, at least in principle, perturbative string methods can be applied.

The xed point set of the holom orphic involution takes a particularly simple form. For linear sigm a models without superpotential (which have toric varieties as low energy con gurations) it splits into a nite number of irreducible components, the orientifold planes 0, that are parametrized by a discrete choice of k phases = $(1;:::;_k)$. For linear sigm a models with

²Here, we mean the Kahler moduli space before orientifold projection.

³For an M -theory analysis that allows avoiding the singularity see [47].

superpotential the components 0 may become reducible at low-energies so that they split up into a nite number of irreducible components 0; . The explicit parametrization of the irreducible components of the xed point locus turns out valuable for determining a simple formula for the types of the indiviual orientifold planes.

O rientifolds and D -branes

In Sec. 4 we investigate the world sheet parity action in the presence of boundaries and de ne the set of invariant D-branes in the gauged linear sigm a model. The latter depends on the following data: (i) the slice on the K ahler moduli space, (ii) the integer m that controls the appearance of ($1\bar{J}^{L}$, (iii) the involution and (iv) a sign associated with the orientifold. In fact, changing the latter sign ips the gauge groups, SO (n) to Sp(n=2) or vice versa, of all invariant D-branes as well as the type of all orientifold planes simultaneously.

On a slice of M $_{\rm K}$ where two adjacent phases of the linear sigm a model are not separated by the singular locus we can still move D -branes between the two phases by applying the grade restriction rule of [20]. We show that the latter is compatible with the world sheet parity action and can indeed be applied to invariant D-branes.

A particularly important piece of information on an invariant D-brane is the type of its gauge group [6,17,19]. A pplying our formalism we are able to derive an explicit form ula (81) for the sign that determines the gauge group (SO or Sp) of an important class of invariant D-branes, i.e. D-branes given by K oszul complexes (or K oszul-like matrix factorizations) that localize at the intersection of a nite number of holom orphic polynom ials.

In Sec. 5 resp. 6 we proceed discussing non-compact models (without superpotential) and compact models (with superpotential) separately, as some of the results will depend on whether we deal with complexes or matrix factorizations.

In Sec. 6.1 we consider the e ect of the (bre-w ise) K norrer m ap on the world sheet parity action and on the set of invariant D-branes.

In Sec. 5.1 and 6.2 we have a closer look at the K ahler m oduli space M $_{\rm K}$ and its slicing by the discrete theta angles. In general, the slices are not connected. However, at special loci of the moduli space, such as orbifold points or Landau (G inzburg orbifold points, they can be connected, cf. [14]. In the linear sigm a model this can be seen by considering the set of invariant D -branes at these special loci. For higher-dimensional moduli spaces this leads to the phenom enon that large volum e points corresponding to di erent

values of the discrete B – eld can be connected through a path in m oduli space.

We continue in Sec. 5.2 and 6.3 with computing explicit form ulas (94) and (106) for the type o = 1 of an orientifold plane O by testing the gauge group of a probe brane on top of the orientifold plane. We nd that the relative types of the various xed point components O depend on the slice in M_K. In particular, the type o is proportional to the character

In Sec. 6.4 we discuss the simple example of 07-planes at four points on the torus. Depending on the choice of the B-ekl, this conguration is T-dual to an orientifold with or without vector structure. We reproduce the result of [9], where it was found that for vanishing B-ekl all four points carry the same type, whereas for non-vanishing B-ekl one point carries a type opposite to the other three points. In Sec. 5.3 and 6.5 we exam plify the phenom enon of type change along continuous paths in moduli space in two-parameter models. We close this work in Sec. 6.6 by commenting on the weak-coupling limit of a certain F-theory compactic cation that was discussed in [35,36].

2 A brief review of D-branes in gauged linear sigm a models

In this section we introduce gauged linear sigm a models and review the main results and concepts of [20] for desribing D-branes.

The motivation to consider N = (2;2) supersymmetric gauged linear sigm a models relies on the observation that they provide an ultra-violet description for N = (2;2) superconform all eld theories such as a non-linear sigm a model on Calabi{Yau hypersurfaces [8]. In that way the complicated non-linear sigm a model is lifted to a model with linear target space C^N described by chiral multiplets X_i for i = 1;:::;N, while all non-linear interactions are governed by the coupling of the chiral multiplets to gauge multiplets V_a for a = 1;:::;k.

In this work we consider only abelian gauge groups $T = U(1)^k$. The action of the gauge group on the chiralmultiplets is controlled by the integral charges Q_i^a , i.e.g $X = g^{Q_i}X_i$, where $g^{Q_i} = g_1^{Q_i^1} ::: g_k^{Q_k^k}$ for an element g 2 T.

The classical action involves a gauge-invariant F-term superpotential, W (X) = g W (X), whose coe cients parametrize the complex structure moduli space M_C in the infra-red theory. In this work we are not interested

in deform ing the complex structure and x the coe cients in the superpotential once and for all.

The action furtherm ore includes a twisted superpotential P_{a}^{P} t^a where $_{a} = D_{+}D_{-}V_{a}$ is the gauge eld strength. The parameters $t^{a} = r^{a}$ i^a turn out to become coordinates on the (com plexi ed) K ahler moduli space M_K of the low-energy theory. The Fayet{Illiopoulos parameters r^{a} take values in R_{FI}^{k} , and the theta angles ^a enter in the action via a topological term that measures the instanton number of the gauge bundle and therefore take values in $(S^{1})^{k}$. It is convenient to work with the parametrization $e^{t} = (e^{t^{1}}; :::; e^{t^{k}}) 2$ (C)^k.

Phases in the classical K ahler m oduli space

The main advantages of the gauged linear sigm a model over the non-linear sigm a model is its explicit dependence on the Kahler moduli space M $_{\rm K}$, even more so as moving around in M $_{\rm K}$ involves generalized op transitions between low-energy geometries, which are hard to control in the non-linear sigm a model but can be studied easily in the gauged linear sigm a model.

C lassically the infra-red dynam ics is governed by the zeros of the potential

where x_i are the lowest components of the chiral multiplets, and a are the complex scalars in the vector multiplets. Setting $U_{pot} = 0$ requires that each term in (1) has to vanish individally. The second one yields the D-term equations

(2)
$$a(x_1) := \bigvee_{i=1}^{X^N} Q_i^a \dot{x}_i^2 = r^a; \text{ for } a = 1; \dots; k;$$

and the last one the holom orphic F-term equations

(3)
$$Q_iW(x) = 0;$$
 for $i = 1;...;N$:

Let us rst consider the situation without superpotential, W (x) 0. The solutions to the D-term equations modulo gauge transform ations restrict the chiral elds x_i to the sym plectic quotient ${}^1(r)=T$, which is in fact a toric variety. It will su ce and in fact be more convenient in the follow ing to drop the explicit dependence on the parameters r^a and work with the algebraic instead of the sym plectic quotient. The latter is given by

(4)
$$X_r = \frac{C^N}{(C_r)^k} ;$$

where $(C_{k})^{k}$ is the complexi cation of the gauge group T. In fact, X_r is the space of $(C_{k})^{k}$ -orbits in C^{N} that intersect the solution set of the D-term equation (2). The deleted set _r contains precisely the subset of points in C^{N} , whose $(C_{k})^{k}$ -orbits do not intersect (2).

For generic values of the parameters r^a the rst term in the potential (1) provides a non-degenerate mass matrix M $^{ab}(x;x)$ for the scalars $_a$ and therefore sets them to zero.

As we move around in R_{FI}^{k} the symplectic quotient changes and can undergo generalized op transitions. The ops occur at (real) codimension one walls, which subdivide the FI-space into phases (or K ahler cones), and are usually referred to as phase boundaries. In terms of the algebraic quotient X_r the walls are the locations where the deleted set _r changes.

In view of the potential (1) the positions of the phase boundaries in R_{FI}^{k} are the loci where the D-term equation (2) adm its a solution such that the mass matrix M ^{ab}(x;x) degenerates. Consequently, a subgroup U (1)₂ T remains unbroken and the corresponding scalar [?] can take non-vanishing expectation values, thus leading to non-norm alizable wave functions and therefore to a singularity in the low-energy theory.

If we turn on a superpotential W (x) the F-term equations limit the lowenergy dynamics to a holom orphic subvariety in X_r. Generically, the directions transverse to (3) are not massive, and the eds x_i can still uctuate around (3) so that we end up with a Landau {G inzburg model with potential W (x) over the base toric variety X_r. In the other extrem e, if all transverse directions are massive, the theory is con ned to the subvariety given by $@_iW$ (x) = 0 for i = 1;:::;N. In the situation of both massive and massless directions the low-energy dynamics is described by a hybrid model.

The (quantum) Kahler m oduli space M $_{\rm K}$

In the classical analysis the singular locus is real codim ension one in $(C)^k$. However, when quantizing the system some of the at directions for the scalars ^a get lifted by an e ective potential W_{eff}(;t) and only a singular locus S (C)^k of complex codim ension one remains. The complexied K ahler m oduli space of the low energy theory is then

$$M_{K} = (C)^{K} n S$$
:

Classicalm oduli space:



Kahlermodulispace:



Figure 1. The classical and quantum moduli space of oneparam eter models.

For k = 1 the moduli space is depicted in Fig.1. The singular locus is a point at

(5)
$$S = e^{t} = \sum_{i=1}^{V} Q_{i}^{Q_{i}}$$
:

For the higher dimensional moduli spaces it succes to note that for large values of r the singular locus between two adjacent phases is determined by the unbroken subgroup U (1)₂. A sym ptotically, it is S (C)^k_{wall} S₂ (C)^k, where S₂ is given by (5) with respect to the Kahler parameter and the charges of the unbroken gauge group U (1)₂. At the boundary between two adjacent phases the singularity therefore reduces electively to the one-dimensional situation.

R -sym m etries

For the sake of com pleteness let us brie y note that a necessary condition to obtain a superconform all theory in the infra-red is the invariance of the gauged linear sigm a model under an axial and a vector U (1) R -sym m etry, cf. for instance [48]. The form er is ensured by requiring the conform all condition (or C alabi{Yau condition)

(6)
$$X^{N} = 0;$$
 for $a = 1;...;k$:
 $i=1$

W e will henceforth im pose this condition.

If no superpotential is present, we assign vector R -charge zero to all supermultiplets, which then turns into the standard R -charge assignment for the non-linear sigm a model in the infra-red. If a superpotential is present, some of the chiral multiplets have to carry non-vanishing vector R -charge and the global symmetry is ensured by

(7)
$$W(x) = {}^{2}W(x);$$

where $x = {R_1 x_1; :::; R_N x_N}$ for some phase . We shall henceforth assume an integrality condition on the R-charges of the elds in the linear sigm a model, i.e. the R-charge is equal modulo 2 to the ferm ion number, $(1F = (1F^i)$.

Som e interesting exam ples

Exam ple 1

Let us consider the gauged linear sigm a models with the following chiral multiplets:

The deleted sets at r 0 resp. r 0 are

(9) = fp = 0g and $_{+}$ = fx₁ = :::= x_N = 0g;

and the corresponding toric varieties in the infra-red are the orbifold $X = C^N = Z_N$ and its crepant resolution X_+ , which is the total space of the line bundle O (N)! CP^{N-1} .

Let us turn on a superpotential W(p;x) = pG(x) with a hom ogeneous degree N polynom ial G(x). A frequent choice is the Ferm at type polynom ial,

G (x) = x_1^N + :::+ x_N^N :

W e assign R-charge + 2 to p and 0 to all other elds. In the small volum e lim it the theory becomes a Landau (G inzburg m odel with potential G (x) on the orbifold X . At large volum e we obtain a Landau (G inzburg m odel over X + , whose potential how ever induces F-term m asses. The low-energy theory therefore localizes at fp = G(x) = 0g and becomes a non-linear sigm a m odel on a degree N hypersurface in projective space CP^{N 1}.



Figure 2. The classical K ahler m oduli space of E xam ple 2 without theta angle directions of the two-param eter m odel.

Exam ple 2

A frequently considered two-parameter model is given by the following elds and charges:

		x_1	x_2	x ₃	x4	x_5	x ₆	р
(10)	U (1) ₁	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
	U (1) ₂	1	1	0	0	0	2	0

Its classical phase diagram together with the deleted sets is shown in Fig.2. Phase III contains the orbifold $C^{5}=Z_{8}$, and phase I its smooth total resolution. Phases II and IV are partial resolutions, the form er being a line bundle over weighted projective space, O (8)! W P_{11222}^{8} .

Let us turn on the superpotential W(p;x) = pG(x) with a homogeneous polynom ial G(x) of bidegree (4;0), for example,

G (x) =
$$x_6^4 (x_1^8 + x_2^8) + x_3^4 + x_4^4 + x_5^4$$
:

W eassign R-charge + 2 to p and 0 to all other eds. In phase III this results in a Landau (G inzburg m odel over the orbifold $C^{5}=Z_{8}$ and in phase IV in a LG-m odel over the toric variety X_{IV}. Phases I and II are geometric in view of massive F-term s. In particular, phase II corresponds to a degree 8 hypersurface in W P_{11222}^{8} and phase I to a sm ooth C alabi(Y au hypersurface.

2.1 D-branes from the ultra-violet to the infra-red

Let us consider boundary conditions that preserve B-type supersymmetry N = 2_B . The latter is characterized by the unbroken vector R-symmetry.

A susual in supersymm etric theories the variation of the bulk action gives rise to total derivatives and thus to boundary terms. The strategy in [20] was to introduce appropriate boundary counter terms prior to imposing boundary conditions. In fact, the supersymmetry variations of the bulk kinetic terms can be compensated by standard boundary terms that are equalfor all D-branes. We are not interested in these and instead concentrate on the part that speci es the D-brane data.

Let us rst consider the situation without superpotential. The modication to include W will turn out to be only minor from the ultra-violet perspective of the gauged linear sign a model.

D -branes in m odels w ithout superpotential

A D-brane in the gauged linear sigm a model is described by an N $\,=\,2_B$ invariant W ilson line at the boundary of the world sheet,

It carries a representation (g) of the gauge group T as well as a representation R () of the vector R-symmetry and a representation of the world sheet ferm ion number. In view of the integrality condition on the R-charges we may set $= R (e^{i})$.

The simplest choice for the W ilson line corresponds to an irreducible representation of the gauge group, $(g) = g_1^{q^1} ::: g_k^{q^k}$, i.e.

(12)
$$A = v_s Re() = \frac{X^k}{q^a} (v_a)_s Re(_a) :$$

We call it a W ilson line brane and denoted it by W $(q) = W (q^1; :::;q^k)$. The representation of the R-sym m etry is R $() = {}^{j}$ for som e integer j, and $= (1)^{j}$. We refer to a W ilson line brane with even and odd j as brane resp. antibrane.

The general D-brane B can be constructed by piling up a stack of W ilson line branes, W = $\prod_{i=1}^{n} W$ (q_i),⁴ and turning on a supersymmetric interaction,

 $^{^4\}text{By}$ abuse of notation we sometimes refer to W $\,$ as the Chan{Paton space of the D-brane.

i.e. a tachyon pro $\,\lg\, Q$, among the individual components. The corresponding superconnection reads

(13)
$$A = v_s \quad Re() + \frac{1}{2}fQ; Q^Y g \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{X} i \theta_i Q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{X} i \theta_i Q^Y;$$

where i is the N = 2_B superpartner of the chiral eld x_i .

The W ilson line (11) is supersymmetric if and only if the tachyon prole Q(x) depends holom orphically on the chiral elds x_i and squares to zero. A lso, Q(x) has to respect the representation of the gauge group,

(14) (g)
$$^{\perp} Q (g x) (g) = Q (x)$$
:

In view of the R-symmetry representation the stack W splits up into components of de nite R-degree, $W = {}_{j}W^{j}$, and from A we nd that Q (x) has to carry R-charge one,

(15)
$$R()Q(x)R() = Q(x)$$
:

This impies in particular that Q(x) is odd,

(16)
$$Q(x) = Q(x);$$

and therefore the interaction Q(x) in the superconnection couples branes to antibranes only. M oreover, having R-charge one in plies that the tachyon prole can be brought into the block-form

	U								1	
		0	d ^{jm ax}	1	0	:::	0	0		
	B	0	0		d ^{jm ax 2}	:::	0	0	ç	
Q (x) =	BR	÷			·	۰.		:	CC	:
Ī	Q	0	0		0	:::	0	$d^{j_{m} \ \text{in}}$	Ă	
		0	0		0	:::	0	0		

Each non-trivial map $d^j : W^{j+1}$ increases the R-degree by one. The data for the D-brane, B = (W; (g); R(); Q(x)), can therefore conveniently be encoded in a complex of W ilson line branes,

(17)
$$::: \frac{d^{j^2}}{d^{j^2}} W^{j^1} \frac{d^{j^1}}{d^{j^1}} W^{j^1} \frac{d^{j^1}}{d^{j^1}} W^{j^1+1} \frac{d^{j^1}}{d^{j^1}} :::;$$

where W $^{j} = \prod_{i=1}^{n_{j}} W$ (q_{i}^{j}) . In explicit examples we will often drop the R-degree index and use the convention to underline the component of R-degree j = 0. W edenote the set of D-branes in a gauged linear sigm a model without superpotential by D (C^N; T).

14

D-branes in the presence of a superpotential

Let us next study the impact of a superpotential W (x). As observed by W amer in [49] its supersymmetry variation gives rise to a boundary term that needs to be compensated appropriately. In the present context the form of the superconnection (13) as well as the transform ation properties (14{16}) remain unchanged. The only modi cation comes from the necessity to cancel the W amer term and results in the condition that Q (x)² = W (x) id, i.e. Q (x) is a matrix factorization of the quasi-hom ogeneous polynom ial W (x) [50{54}. In the even/odd basis of W it has the familiar o -diagonal form

(18)
$$Q(x) = \begin{array}{c} 0 & f(x) \\ g(x) & 0 \end{array}$$
; with fg = W (x) id; gf = W (x) id:

Let the superpotential be of the form W (p;x) = pG(x) with the chiral edd p carrying R-charge 2. Then, in a basis of increasing R-degree for W, the matrix factorization reads schematically

(19)
$$Q(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ p & 0 & p & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ p^2 & 0 & p & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ p^2 & 0 & p^2 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}$$

An asterisk coming with p^m is short for a map W^{j} ! $W^{j+1} 2^m$. Note that the data for the matrix factorization can conveniently be encoded in a form analogous to a complex (17). For instance, a matrix factorization without term s of order 0 (p^2) in Q (p_{jx}) reads

(20) :::
$$\frac{d_0^{j^2}}{p d_1^{j^1}} W^{j^1} \frac{d_0^{j^1}}{p d_1^{j}} W^{j} \frac{d_0^{j}}{p d_1^{j+1}} W^{j+1} \frac{d_0^{j+1}}{p d_1^{j+2}} ::::$$

W e denote the set of m atrix factorization of the gauged linear sigm a m odel by M F_W (C $^{\rm N}$;T).

To summarize we found that a D-brane B in the gauged linear sigma model is given by the data (W ; (g);R();Q(x)) satisfying the relations (14{16}) and

$$\begin{array}{c} Q(\mathbf{x})^2 = 0 \\ \text{w ithout superpotential,} \end{array} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} Q(\mathbf{x})^2 = W(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{id} \\ \text{w ith superpotential.} \end{array}$$

RG-ow and D-isom orphism s

Let us study the RG - ow of the W ilson line (13) to the infra-red while staying deep inside of one of the phases in the Kahler moduli space. The discussion here will be independent of F-term s and is applicable to both complexes and matrix factorizations. In particular, we do not yet integrate out elds with F-term masses that constrain the low-energy dynamics to a holom orphic subvariety in X_r , i.e. in a model with superpotential W (x) we consider the low-energy theory as a Landau (G inzburg model over X_r in any phase.

As the gauge coupling constants are massive parameters in two dimensions they will blow up as the theory ows to the infra-red and as a consequence the equations of motion for the gauge multiplets become algebraic. In particular, integrating out the gauge elds v_a and the scalars $_a$ shows that the superconnection (12) becomes the supersymmetric pullback of a connection A to the world sheet,

$$A = x A \quad \frac{i}{2} F_{i|i|}$$

A is the connection of the holom orphic line bundle $O(q) = O(q_1;:::;q_k)$ on the toric variety X_r , and F is its eld strength. The charges q_a now determ ine the divisor class, or more physically, the world volume ux on the D-brane. The com plex (17) then turns into a com plex of holom orphic vector bundles over X_r , and the matrix factorization (20) couples together line bundles over the base space X_r of the LG-m odel.

In the following we are particularly interested in the interplay of the boundary RG - ow and the bulk D -term equations (2). Instead of considering the RG - ow explicitly we identify deform ations of the W ilson line (13) that do not alter the infra-red xed point. These deform ations lead to equivalence relations between D -branes, called D -isom orphism s in [20]. The low -energy D -branes can then be de ned as equivalence classes in the gauged linear sigm a model. D -isom orphism s are com posed of the following two kinds of m anipulations.

(i) The rstmanipulation can be seen by noticing that the superconnection (13) contains a matrix valued boundary potential fQ ;Q y g.

Suppose a D-brane is reducible, $B = B_1 - B_2$, with tachyon prole

(21)
$$Q(x) = \begin{array}{c} Q_1(x) & 0 \\ 0 & Q_2(x) \end{array}$$

and the boundary potential $fQ_2; Q_2^y g$ is positive de nite everywhere on the toric variety X_r . Then as the theory ows to the infra-red the boundary potential for B₂ blows up and its W ilson line is exponentially suppressed. W e call such D-branes em pty. A s a consequence both D-branes, B and B₁, ow to the same infra-red xed point. We write

(22)
$$B = B_1 \quad B_2 = B_1$$
:

We can therefore freely add and remove D-branes with positive de nite boundary potential in the gauged linear sign a model as long as we are only interested in the low -energy D -brane.

W e stress that the positive de nitness of fQ ;Q y g depends in an essential way on the phase of the gauged linear sigm a model, or more explicitly, on the deleted set r that de nes the algebraic quotient Xr. In fact, a D-brane B is empty if and only if

$$detfQ;Q^{Y}g = 0 r:$$

Exam ples for D-branes that are empty in any phase are given by the com plex W (q) $^{1}!$ W (q) for models without superpotential and by the matrix factorization W (q) $\frac{1}{W}^{1}$ W (q) for models with superpotential.

 $E \times am \ p \ge 1 \ w \ ith \ N = 3 \ and \ no \ superpotential$

Consider the D-branes
(23)
$$\begin{array}{c} x_1 & 0 & x_3 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_3 & 0 & x_1 \\ x_3 & \underline{x_3} & \underline{x_2} & x_1 & 0 \\ & & & & & & \\ \end{array} \quad \underbrace{\mathbb{W} (1)}^3 \xrightarrow{(x_1; x_2; x_3)} \mathbb{W} (2);$$
and

(24)
$$B_2: \underline{W}(2) \xrightarrow{p} W(1);$$

as well as the reducible D-brane $B = B_1 - B_2$. Here, the underlined W ilson line components are at R-degree j = 0. The boundary potentials are given by $fQ_1; Q_1^y = i_1 j_1 j_2^z$ id and $fQ; Q_2^y = j_2 j_1^z$ id, respectively. Com paring with the deleted sets (9) we nd the following pattern for the infra-red Dbranes:

		r<< 0	r>> 0
(25)	B 1	$B_1 = B$	em pty
	B 2	em pty	$B_2 = B$

For the model with superpotential W (p;x) = pG(x) it is possible to add backward arrows in (23) and (24) to make B $_1$ and B $_2$ into matrix factorizations. (Note however the non-trivial R-charge 2 for p.) We leave it to

the reader to compute the corresponding boundary potentials and to verify that table (25) is not altered.

(ii) For the second manipulation the essential idea is that renorm alization group ow can change the boundary action by boundary D-term s, Q Q $^{\rm y}(:::)$, but not by boundary F-term s, Q (:::). Here, Q and Q $^{\rm y}$ are the N $=2_{\rm B}$ supercharges. The theory ow s to an infra-red xed point with a particular D-term , irrespective of the chosen D-term in the gauged linear sigm a model, i.e. deform ing boundary D-term s does not alter the infra-red D-brane.

In order to describe these D-term deform ations it is convenient to consider the supersymmetry generator on the world sheet boundary from the N oether procedure. In the zero mode approximation it becomes

(26)
$$iQ := (0 + iA) + Q :$$

and reduces to Quillens superconnection $[55{57}]$. It can be used to express the superconnection A of the low-energy theory as

(27)
$$A = x A - \frac{1}{2} fQ ; Q ^{y}g :$$

Quillens superconnection in (26) is written in the unitary frame for the associated graded holom orphic vector bundle E with herm itian metric. In what follows it is more convenient to work in the holom orphic frame, for which $iQ^{hol} = [0] + Q(x)$.

A D-term deform ation $M = M(x;x; ^{\dagger})$ in the holom orphic fram e is then a transform ation $(Q^{0})^{hol} = M Q^{hol}M^{-1}$, or equivalently

(28)
$$Q^{0}(x) = M^{-1} Q_{1}M^{-1} + M^{-1} Q_{2}(x) M^{-1}$$
:

W e assume that M commutes with the representations of the gauge group and the global symmetries. In particular,

(29)
$${}^{0}(g) = g M (g) M^{-1};$$
$$R^{0}() = M R() M^{-1}:$$

In the special situation when M depends only on the chiral elds $x_{\rm i}$ it is simply a similarity transformation

$$Q^{0}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Q} (\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{M}^{1};$$

i.e. a change of the holom orphic fram e of E .

An important example of the general transform ation (28) is as follows. Consider

$$Q_{s}(x) \coloneqq \begin{array}{c} Q_{1}(x) & s(x) \\ 0 & Q_{2}(x) \end{array}$$

with $Q_1 + Q_2 = 0$, and assume that for s = 0 the D-brane becomes the reducible D-brane (21) with B_2 empty.

As long as we keep s non-zero we can deform $Q_s(x)$ by a similarity transform ation (30),

$$Q_{s}(x) = M Q_{s^{0}}(x)M^{-1}$$
; for $M = \begin{array}{c} s & id & 0 \\ 0 & s^{0} & id \end{array}$:

However, setting s = 0 by a similarity transformation is not possible. Let us consider the general D-term deformation (28) in in nitesimal form M = id s (x;),

(31)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} Q_s(x) \mathbf{j}_{s=0} = \mathbf{i} Q_0^{\text{hol}}; (x;)^{\text{l}}:$$

Inserting the D-brane under consideration on the left-hand side we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} Q_{s}(x) \dot{J}_{s=0} = \begin{array}{c} 0 & (x) \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} ;$$

The existence of a D-term deform ation to set s = 0 therefore reduces to the requirement that is Q $_{0}^{hol}$ -exact. To see that this is indeed true we merely remark that since is Q $_{0}^{hol}$ -closed it corresponds to a state between the D-branes B₁ and B₂. However, since the open string spectrum between any D-brane and an empty one is empty, it follows that must be Q $_{0}^{hol}$ -exact. See [20] for a more detailed discussion of this point.

 $E \times am p \ge 1$ with N = 3 and no superpotential

Consider B = B₁ B₂, de ned in (23) and (24). In both the orbifold and the large volume phase the D-brane B is D-isom orphic to (32) $x_1 \qquad 0 \qquad x_3 \qquad x_2 \qquad x_3 \qquad 0 \qquad x_1$ B⁰: W (1) w_1 W (0) $x_2 \qquad x_2 \qquad x_1 \qquad 0 \qquad x_1 \qquad x_2 \qquad x_2 \qquad x_1 \qquad 0 \qquad x_1 \qquad x_2 \qquad x_1 \qquad x_1 \qquad x_2 \qquad x_1 \qquad x_1 \qquad x_1 \qquad x_2 \qquad x_1 \qquad x_1 \qquad x_2 \qquad x_1 \qquad x_$

To show this we start with B and rst use relation (31) to turn on a constant map from the W ilson line components W (2) in B₂ to W (2) in B₁. Then we use a change of basis (30) to transform it to B⁰ (W (2)!¹ W (2)) = B⁰. This shows the equivalence of B and B⁰ in the infra-red.

Again we can add backward arrows in B⁰ to make it into a matrix factorization of W (p;x) = pG (x). Then B and B⁰ are still D-isom orphic.

For later applications it turns out to be more convenient to reform ulate the two manipulations from above in terms of quasi-isom orphisms on the set of linear sigm a model D-branes (or the underlying category) [58]. Indeed, D-isom orphisms are nothing else but quasi-isom orphisms [20]. Recall that a quasi-isom orphism U between two D-branes B_1 and B_2 is a Q-closed map, i.e. $Q_2U = UQ_1$, such that its cone,

(33)
$$Q_{C(U)} = \begin{array}{c} Q_1 & 0 \\ U & Q_2 \end{array}$$
;

is empty. The follow ing manipulations show that quasi-isom orphic D-branes, B $_1$ and B $_2$, are indeed related by a chain of brane-antibrane annihilations and D-term deformations [20]:

In the rst and last step we used brane-antibrane annihilation (22), in the second a similarity transformation (30) to turn on U, and in the third an in nitesimal D-term deformation (31) to turn o id.

Having introduced D-isom orphisms we can de ne now the set of lowenergy D-branes on a toric variety X_r as D-isom orphism classes of linear sigm a model branes. Let us denote the set of low-energy D-branes by D (X_r) and M F_W (X_r). We obtain the following two pyramids of maps, where the vertical maps correspond to modding out by D-isom orphisms in the respective phase:



RG - ow to orbifolds or LG -orbifolds

In order to close the discussion of D-isom orphisms, let us brie y consider their role in the special case when the phase in M_K corresponds to an orbifold X_r = C_S^N^k= . It occurs if the deleted set consists of k irreducible factors, r = $_{121}$ fx₁ = 0g, where I f1;:::;N g contains k elements. The elds x₁ for 12 I get vacuum expectation values, say x₁ = 1, which break T to . For D-branes the representation (g) of T then descends to a respresentation () of .

How does this a ect the D-isom orphism s? In view of the deleted set $\ _{\rm r}$ the empty D-branes are given by

W (q
$$Q_1$$
) $\xrightarrow{X_1}$ W (q) for 12 I:

A fter assigning expectation values this descends to the trivial com plex,

where $q = q \mod Q_1$ is now a representation of . Since the only empty D-branes in the orbifold model are given by such trivial complexes, we nd that any quasi-isom orphism is a similarity transformation as in (30) and thus invertible, i.e. there are no non-trivial quasi-isom orphism s anym ore. A similar argument holds for matrix factorizations in LG-orbifolds as well.

RG-ow and F-term masses

Before we turn to the question of how to relate the sets of low energy D branes across phase boundaries, let us consider another issue that is specied to models with a superpotential and thus to matrix factorizations. A selucidated above the superpotential can give rise to masses for some of the chiral multiplets, which then must be integrated out in the strict infra-red limit. A s an example consider the superpotential W (p;x) = pG(x) which gives masses to p and to the transverse mode of the hypersurface fG(x) = 0g at large volume. Let $N = (N^1; :::; N^k)$ be the gauge charge of p.

The e ect of the massive modes on matrix factorizations was studied in [20], cf. also [59{63]. Indeed, a bre-wise version of K norrer periodicity [64] in plements the equivalence of the set of matrix factorizations in M F_W (X $_r$) and the set of complexes (of coherent sheaves) D (M $_r$) on the hypersurface M $_r = fp = G(x) = 0g$ X $_r$.

Take a m atrix factorization given by the data (W ; (g);R();Q(p;x)). Let j_n be the m inim alR -degree in the representations R(). The m atrix factorization is mapped to the D-brane on M_r as follows. First im pose G(x) = 0, which im plies Q(p;x)² = 0. Second consider a W ilson line component W(q) as a graded m odule A(q), where A = C[p;x]=(G). Here C[p;x] is the graded coordinate ring of the linear sigm a m odel and taking the quotient by the ideal (G) corresponds to im posing G(x) = 0. Now consider A(q) as an in - nite m odule over the ring B = C[x]=(G), that is A(q) = $\int_{m=0}^{1} p^m B(q+mN)$, i.e. every W ilson line component W(q) becomes an in nite stack of line bundles on M_r,

```
M^{-1} O<sub>Mr</sub> (q+mN)[ 2m]:
m = 0
```

 $[\ 2m\]$ denotes a shift in R-degree by 2m , which is due to the R-charge 2 of p.

It remains to work out the action of Q (p;x) on the in nite Chan{Paton space. Consider the matrix factorization in the basis (19). Write Q (p;x) = $_{n} p^{n}Q_{n}(x)$ and denote by E^{j} the vector bundle over M_r, which descends from W^j. Then we obtain a half-in nite com plex,

$$E^{j_{m}} \xrightarrow{Q_{0_{-}}} E^{j_{m}+1} \xrightarrow{Q_{0_{-}}} E^{j_{m}+2} \xrightarrow{Q_{0_{-}}} E^{j_{m}+3} \xrightarrow{Q_{0_{-}}} E^{j_{m}+4} \xrightarrow{Q_{0_{-}}} E^{j_{m}+4} \xrightarrow{Q_{0_{-}}} E^{j_{m}+5} :::$$

$$E_{1}^{j_{m}} \xrightarrow{Q_{0_{-}}} E_{1}^{j_{m}+1} \xrightarrow{Q_{0_{-}}} E_{1}^{j_{m}+2} \xrightarrow{Q_{0_{-}}} E_{1}^{j_{m}+2} \xrightarrow{Q_{0_{-}}} E_{1}^{j_{m}+3} :::$$

$$E_{1}^{j_{m}} \xrightarrow{Q_{0_{-}}} E_{1}^{j_{m}+1} \xrightarrow{X \times A_{X}} \xrightarrow{A_{X}} E_{1}^{j_{m}} \xrightarrow{X \times A_{X}} E_{1}^{j_{m}+3} :::$$

$$E_{2}^{j_{m}} \xrightarrow{Q_{0_{-}}} E_{2}^{j_{m}+1} :::;$$

where E_n^j is short-hand for $E^j(nN)$ [2n]. After a nite number of steps to the right, the rank of the entries in this complex stabilizes to the rank of the matrix factorization, and the complex becomes two-periodic with alternating maps $\hat{f}(x) = f(p;x)_{p=1}^{j}$ and $\hat{g}(x) = g(p;x)_{p=1}^{j}$. In fact, the half-in nite complex is quasi-isom orphic to a nite complex of coherent sheaves over the hypersurface M_r, i.e. the in nite tower of brane anti-brane pairs condenses to a nite number of branes and anti-branes, which gives the geometric D-brane in D (M_r).

We nally remark that the gauge charges N and N of the massive modes p and G (x) induces a non-trivial relation between the B – eld in the non-linear sigm a model on M $_{\rm r}$ and the theta angle [20,65], that is

(34)
$$B^{a} = {}^{a} + N^{a}$$
:

W e postpone a m ore detailed discussion of this e ect to a later section.

 $E \times am p = 1 \text{ with } N = 3 \text{ with superpotential}$

Consider the superpotential W (p;x) = pG(x) with cubic Ferm at polynomial G(x). At r >> 0 the theory localizes at the elliptic curve E = fG(x) = 0g CP². Let us exm aine the matrix factorizations

$$B_{1}: W (1) \xrightarrow{\underline{X}_{-}} W (0)^{3} \xrightarrow{\underline{X}_{-}} \underline{W (1)}^{3} \xrightarrow{\underline{X}_{-}} W (2);$$

and

$$B_2:W(1) \xrightarrow{G(x)} W(2);$$

which are the analogs of the com plexes (23) and (24), respectively.

At large volume the K normer map acts on them in the following way. B $_{\rm 1}$ becomes

$$\begin{array}{c} \circ (1) \xrightarrow{\underline{X}_{-}} \circ (0) \xrightarrow{3} \xrightarrow{\underline{X}_{-}} \circ (1) \xrightarrow{3} \xrightarrow{\underline{X}_{-}} \circ (2) \\ & X \times \frac{x^{2}}{\underline{X}} \xrightarrow{2} \circ (2) \\ & \underbrace{\circ (2)} \xrightarrow{\underline{X}_{-}} \circ (3) \xrightarrow{3} \xrightarrow{\underline{X}_{-}} \circ (4) \xrightarrow{3} \xrightarrow{\underline{X}_{-}} \\ & X \times \frac{x^{2}}{\underline{X}} \xrightarrow{2} \circ (4) \xrightarrow{3} \xrightarrow{\underline{X}_{-}} \\ & \circ (5) \xrightarrow{\underline{X}_{-}} \end{array}$$

where the line bundles O (q) are understood to be pulled back from CP^2 to the elliptic curve E. In fact, this complex is an empty D-brane, in accordance with table (25).

On the other hand, B $_2$ is mapped to

$$0 (1) \xrightarrow{0} 0 (2) \xrightarrow{1} 0 (2) \xrightarrow{0} 0 (5) \xrightarrow{1} 0 (5) \xrightarrow{0} \cdots :::::$$

Trivial brane antibrane pairs can be dropped in the infra-red, and the single line bundle 0 (1)[1] remains.

2.2 M oving around in m oduli space

So far we considered the renorm alization group ow to the infra-red only deep inside of the phases in the K ahler m oduli space. We de ned the set of low -energy D -branes in the infra-red theory as the set of D -isom orphism classes of D -branes in the linear sigm a model. Let us now turn to the question of how to transport low -energy D -branes across phase boundaries between adjacent phases.

G rade restriction rule

The analysis of the gauged linear sigm a model on the cylinder, corresponding to a propagating closed string in the infra-red, shows that along the singular locus S M_K the world sheet description breaks down. Additional non-norm alizable modes show up, which are due to noncom pact directions in eld space, i.e. the elective potential W_{eff} (;t) for large values of the scalar elds ^a has at directions along S.

In the presence of D-branes, the analysis of the elective potential was redone in [20] on a strip of width L and led to the following result. For the gauge group T = U(1) a W ilson line component W(q) in a D-brane B causes



Figure 3. W indows for the grade restriction rule. Here S is odd.

no singularity near the phase boundary if and only if the grade restriction rule,

(35)
$$\frac{S}{2} < \frac{1}{2} + q < \frac{S}{2};$$

is satis ed. Here, S = 1=2 i \mathcal{D}_i j.

The grade restriction rule is illustrated in Fig.3. Take a path through a window w between singular points in the FI-theta-plane. Then the condition (35) adm its only a set N $^{\rm W}$ of S consecutive charges for the W ilson line components W (q) of the D-brane B. Denote the set of grade restricted complexes and matrix factorizations by T $^{\rm W}$ resp. M F_W (T $^{\rm W}$).

For higher rank gauge groups, $T = U(1)^k$, only the unbroken gauge group $U(1)_2$ at the respective phase boundary enters in the condition (35).

C om bining D -isom orphism s and the grade restriction rule

As it stands the grade restriction rule is a condition on the D-branes in the gauged linear sigm a model, i.e. $T^{W} = D(C^{N};T)$ and $M F_{W}(T^{W}) = M F_{W}(C^{N};T)$. For the low-energy D-branes the important observation is the fact that the grade restriction rule is a unique 'gauge choice' in the D-isom orphism class (for k > 1 unique up to D-isom orphism s that are common to both phases, see [20]). A low-energy D-brane can therefore be transported, say from phase I to phase II, by rst imposing the grade restriction rule on the D-isom orphism class in phase I and then mapping the grade restricted representative to its D-isom orphism class in phase II.

In fact, the compositions of maps, $_{II}$ $_{I;II}$ and $_{I}$ $_{I;II}$ in the following diagram s are inverse to each other:

Here, denotes m odding out by D -isom orphism s in the respective phase, and ! ; is picking the representative of the D -isom orphism class in the grade restricted set. Let us illustrate this in our exam ple.

$E \times am \ p \ge 1 \ w \ ith \ N = 3 \ and \ no \ superpotential$

Here, S = 3. We pick a window w = f < q, which adm its $N^{w} = f 1$;0;1g according to the grade restriction rule. At r << 0 we found the D-isom orphic D-branes, $B_{1} = B = B^{0}$, and at r >> 0 we found $B_{2} = B = B^{0}$. From its de nition (32) it follows that in both phases the D-brane B^{0} is the grade restricted representative in the D-isom orphism class and can thus be transported across the phase boundary:

r << 0	GRR	r>> 0
$B_1 = B^0$	в ⁰	$B_2 = B^0$

3 O rientifolds in linear sigm a models

In this section we put aside D-branes and review and study world sheet parity actions in gauged linear sigm a models without boundary. Let us pick the cylinder = R S^1 with coordinates (t;x)'(t;x+2) as world sheet. The partiy action is an orientation reversal : (t;x)? (t; x) dressed by an involution of the target space coordinates and possibly dressed by a sign on the left-m oving R am ond sector states, $(1)^{F_L}$.

In theories preserving N = 2_B supersymmetry the action of the orientation reversal needs to be extended to the N = (2;2) superspace coordinates by : (;) 7 (;). This in particular implies that (anti)chiral multiplets are mapped to (anti)chiral multiplets, twisted chiral multiplets are mapped to twisted antichiral multiplets, and vector multiplets to vector

):

multiplets [13]. Also, the involution is holom orphic and acts non-trivially on the chiral super elds of the gauged linear sigm a model.

In the following we will have a closer look at the xed point locus of the holom orphic involution and at the orientifold K ahler moduli space.

3.1 The holom orphic involution and orientifold planes

Recall the bulk Lagrangian of N = (2;2) gauged linear sigm a models,
(36) L =
$$d^4 \qquad X^k \qquad \frac{1}{2e_a^2} \qquad A^{N} \qquad X_i e^{Q_i^a} \qquad Y_i X_i$$

 $= 1 \qquad X^k \qquad X_i e^{Q_i^a} \qquad X_i = 1$
 $Z \qquad X^k \qquad X_i = 1 \qquad X_i e^{Q_i^a} \qquad X_i = 1$
 $Z \qquad X^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k = 1$
 $= 1 \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k = 1$
 $= 1 \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k = 1$
 $= 1 \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k = 1$
 $= 1 \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k = 1$
 $= 1 \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k = 1$
 $= 1 \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k = 1$
 $= 1 \qquad A^k \qquad A^k = 1$
 $= 1 \qquad A^k \qquad A^k = 1$
 $= 1 \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k = 1$
 $= 1 \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k = 1$
 $= 1 \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k \qquad A^k = 1$
 $= 1 \qquad A^k \qquad A$

The kinetic term s in the rst line are invariant under the orientation reversal by itself, but we can dress the latter by the holom orphic involution,

The permutation is of order two, $^2 = id$, and preserves the gauge charges, $Q_i = Q_{(i)}$. Invariance of the action requires the coe cients ! $_i$ to be phase factors. We obtain the parity action on the component elds by combining the right-hand side of (37) with the transform ation of the supercoordinates with respect to . The result is

$$(38) \qquad : (\underline{x}; \underline{i}; F_{\underline{i}}) \quad \overline{?} \quad (\underline{!}_{\underline{i}} x^{(\underline{i})}; \underline{!}_{\underline{i}} \quad \underline{!}_{\underline{i}} F^{(\underline{i})}); \\ : (\underline{v}; ;; D) \quad \overline{?} \quad (\underline{v}; ;; D): \end{cases}$$

The holom orphic involution needs to be involutive only up to gauge transform ations,

$$^{2}X_{i} = g \quad X;$$

that is $!_i!_{(i)} = {}_{Q_i}(g)$, where ${}_{Q_i}(g) = g_1^{Q_1^{i_1}} ::: g_k^{Q_k^{k_i}}$ is the character of the representation determ ined by the charges Q_i .

In view of (39) we see that only gauge equivalence classes of holom orphic involutions, g , matter. Note that we can always nd a representative $_{0}$ of the class so that $_{0}^{2} = 1$. It is how ever not unique. There are in fact 2^{k} gauge equivalent choices. If we take a reference involution $_{0}$, we can dress it by an elements = $(_{1}; :::;_{k}) 2$ T for $_{a} = 1$. The resulting involution, (40) $_{0} = _{0};$ still satis es the property $(_{0})^{2} = 1$.

In the presence of a superpotential the phase factors in the B-type parity (37) are further constrained by

(41)
$$W(x) \coloneqq W((x)) = W(x)$$
:

The minus sign on the right-hand side compensates the sign from : $d^{+}d$ 7 $d^{+}_{P}d$ in the action (36). For a model with Ferm at polynomial, $G(x) = \int_{1}^{1} x_{1}^{k_{1}+2}$, and W(p;x) = pG(x), this is ensured by

(42)
$$!_{i}^{k_{i}+2} = !_{p}^{1}$$
 for $i = 1; ...; N$:

Here, $!_p$ is an arbitrary phase factor. In particular, in $_0$ the phase $!_p$ must be a sign.

0 rientifold planes

O rientifold planes are the irreducible components of the xed point locus $F\,ix^T$ () of the holomorphic involution . The xed point locus can be determ ined by nding solutions to g $x_i=x_i$ for appropriate elements g 2 T. U sing the gauge symmetry it can be expressed in terms of special gauge choices $_0$, that is

(43)
$$F ix^{T} () = \begin{bmatrix} F ix(_{0}) ; \\ 2T \end{bmatrix}$$

where $Fix(_{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} T \\ if \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} x_{i} = x_{i}g = (C_{0})^{k}$. In (43) the union is taken over a discrete subset of elements in the gauge group, I T. In particular, any $= (_{1}; \dots; _{k})$ with $_{a} = 1$ is an element in I.

Note that in the low-energy con guration the deleted set $_{\rm r}$ is removed in view of the D-term equations. Therefore, depending on the phase some of the components Fix($_0$) of the xed point locus may be removed in the infra-red. In models without superpotential the irreducible components of the xed point locus, that is the orientifold planes, are then given by

(44)
$$O = Fix(_0) = _r=(C)^k$$
:

In models with superpotential there are geometric phases where the low – energy conguration localizes on a holomorphic subvariety $M_r = f Q_i W = 0g$. The orientifold planes are then given by

(45)
$$O = Fix(_0) \setminus M_r = (C)^{\kappa}$$
:

As we will observe explicitly in examples later on, the intersection in (45) may be reducible (or even empty) and splits into a nite number of irreducible components 0 ; ,

 $E \times am p \ge 1$ with N = 3

O rientifolds in $local C P^2$

Let us consider the allowed parity actions in Example 1 without superpotential. We can work in coordinates that diagonalize the holom orphic involution,

```
(!_1!_2!_3;!_p)(x_1;x_2;x_3;p) = (!_1x_1;!_2x_2;!_3x_3;!_pp):
```

We nd the following distinct choices of parity actions with corresponding orientifold planes 0 $\,$:

Involution 0	$Fix^{T}()$	orbifold pt	large volum e
(1;1;1;1)	$0_{+1} = \text{space lling}$	C ³ =Z ₃	$09 \text{ on } 0_{CP^2}$ (3)
(1;1; 1;1)	$O_{+1} = fx_3 = 0g$	2 plane=Z ₃	$0.7 \text{ on } O_{CP^1}(3)$
	$0_1 = fx_1 = x_2 = p = 0g$		03 on pt
(1; 1; 1;1)	$O_{+1} = fx_2 = x_3 = 0g$	line=Z 3	0 5 on C
	$0_{1} = fx_{1} = p = 0g$		0 5 on C P^1
(1; 1; 1;1)	$O_{+1} = fx_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0g$	Z ₃ xed pt	
	$O_1 = fp = 0g$		$O 7 \text{ on } C P^2$

O rientifolds on the elliptic curve

Let us next turn on a superpotential W $(p\,;x\,)=\,pG\,(x\,)$ with Ferm at polynom ial

G (x) =
$$x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3$$
:

In view of this superpotential we have to consider, besides the diagonal involution (1,1,2,1,3,1,n), the additional involutions,

 ${}^{0}_{(!_1;!_2;!_3;!_p)}(x_1;x_2;x_3;p) = (!_1x_2;!_2x_1;!_3x_3;!_pp);$

where two coordinates are exchanged. However, we need to satisfy condition (42), which rules out some of the involutions that we considered in the noncompact situation. There are in fact only two independent involutions,

28



F igure 4. The slices of the moduli space in the presence of an orientifold. One slice connects the large volum e and the 'sm all' volum e point (som etim es G epner point).

 	 	 	-1 -

which are related by T-duality:

Involution $_0$	$Fix^{T}()$	G epner point	large volum e
(1; 1; 1;1)	$O_{+1} = fx_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0g$	xed pt of Z $_{\rm 3}$	
	$O_1 = fp = 0g$		E
0 (1; 1; 1;1)	$O_{+1} = fx_1 + x_2 = x_3 = 0g$	line=Z ₃	1 pt E
	$0_1 = fx_1 x_2 = p = 0g$		3 pts E

In the table, $E = C P^2$ denotes the elliptic curve at large volum e. The locus O $_1$ for the second involution is reducible at large volum e and consists of three points,

$$O_{1}$$
; = fx₁ x₂ = x₃ x₂ = p = 0g E ;

where 3 = 2.

3.2 O rientifolds and their constrained m oduli space

So far we considered the parity action on the kinetic term s and the chiral superpotential in (36). As for the e ect on the twisted chiral superpotential, t^a_a , we note that the orientation reversal m aps the gauge eld strength a to a. Invariance of the path integral therefore requires $t^a = t^a \mod 2$ i, where the m od 2 i shift is due to the topological term for the theta angle. The theta angle is therefore restricted to

(46)
$$a = 0 \text{ or } \mod 2$$
:

A coording to these conditions the orientifold constrains the allowed com – plexi ed K ahler m oduli to 2^k real half-dim ensional slices in M $_K$. Note that the orientifold slices m ay or m ay not intersect the singular locus S .



Figure 5. The slices = (;0) resp. = (0;0) divide the moduli space in distinct sectors.

0 ne-param eter m odels

For k = 1 the slices in the Kahler moduli space are depicted in Fig. 4. The singularity S sits at the theta angle = S (mod 2), where S = $Q_{i>0}Q_{i}$. The slice at = S is therefore divided by S into two disconnected components. O therw ise, on the slice & S the singularity is avoided and the slice connects large and small volume limits. We will not later, after a detailed investigation of D-branes in the orientifold background, that under special circum stances the two slices may be joined at the small volum e point.

H igher dim ensionalm oduli spaces

For k > 1 the slices in m oduli space are complicated but m ore interesting. Let us illustrate this in the two-parameter m odel E xam ple 2.

Exam ple 2

The singular locus S is the union of the following two loci [65]:

 $S_0 = fe^{t^2} = 1 = 4(1 \quad 2^8 e^{t^1})^2 g$; and $S_1 = fe^{t^2} = 1 = 4g$:

The orientifold action adm its four slices. Two of them , = (0;) and = (;), do not intersect the singular locus S so that we can move freely between the four phases. On the other hand, the intersections of the slices = (;0) resp. = (0;0) with S are depicted in Fig. 5. In the form er slice we can move from phases I to IV. In the latter all phases are separated, even more, there is a non-perturbative regime that is not accessible from any of the four perturbative regions.

3.3 O rientifolds at orbifold points

At an orbifold point of M $_{\rm K}\,$ the gauge group T of the linear sigm a model is broken to a discrete subgroup $\,$ in view of vacuum expectation values $x_{\rm 1}\,_{\rm 12\,I}\,$ = 1. Let us exam ine the consequences for the holom orphic involution.

The vacuum expectation values require special gauge choices for , namely the ones that act trivially on the elds x_1 for 12 I, so that a -equivalence class of parity actions, for 2, remains and acts on the orbifold $C^{N-k}=$. The orientifold group and the orbifold group are combined in an extension [66],

! b ! Z₂:

Notice that after breaking the gauge group to the discrete group it is in general not possible to nd a representative for the holom orphic involution that satis es $_{0}^{2} = id$.

4 D-branes in the presence of orientifolds

In this section we study the parity actions on world sheets with boundary. We will combine the considerations of the previous two sections to de ne parity-invariant, low-energy D-branes. The presentation will follow the discussion in [17].

4.1 The world sheet parity action on D-branes

Let us pick the strip = R [0;] with coordinates (t;x) as world sheet. The orientation reversal acts as : (t;x)? (t; x). Let us study the e ect of the world sheet parity P^m \coloneqq $(1)^{m} F_{L}$ on the generalW ilson line (13).

R ecall that the boundary action on the strip for a single W ilson line brane W $(q^a\,)$ is given by

Here we included the contribution from the theta angle. The orientation reversal exchanges the two boundaries of the strip giving rise to an overall sign in front of (47) and, therefore, inverting the sign of $a=2 + q^a$, i.e. its

e ect on the charges is

(48)
$$P^{m} : q^{a} ? (a^{a} + q^{a}) :$$

Notice that this map is well-de ned because of the integral values of a= that we found earlier in (46).

Let us now consider a general W ilson line with tachyon pro $\mbox{ le } Q \ (x \).$ For convenience we set

A [Q] =
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
fQ;Q'g $\frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} i @_{i}Q + \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} i @_{i}Q'}$:

The path ordered W ilson line on the strip is

(49) Str $_{1}(x)j_{1} Pe^{i\int_{1}^{1} dt A [Q]_{k=0}} _{2}(x)j_{1} Pe^{i\int_{1}^{1} dt^{0} A [Q]_{k=}}$;

where the time t^0 on the boundary x = is oppositely oriented to the time t on the strip itself. Here, the elds $_1(x)$ and $_2(x)$ take values in End(W) and correspond to incoming and outgoing string states at m inus and plus in nite time.

The orientation reversal swaps the two boundaries, and the involution acts on the connection as A[Q] = A[Q(x)], resulting in

Str _________ $ij_1 Pe^{i\int_1^1 dtA [Qk_{\pm}]} __2 j Pe^{i\int_1^1 dt^0 A [Qk_{\pm}]} =$ Str $I_1^T j_1 Pe^{i\int_1^1 dt^0 A [QI_{x=0}^T]} _2 j Pe^{i\int_1^1 dtA [QI_{x=0}^T]} :$

In the second line we applied the graded transpose and its properties as sum m erized in the appendix A. The appearance of the transposition in the parity action tells us that the Chan {Paton vector space W is m apped to its dual vector spaces,

$$P^m : W ! W :$$

In order to extract the parity transform of ${\tt Q}$ we rewrite the transposed superconnection as

$$A [Q]^{T} = \frac{1}{2} f Q^{T}; (Q^{Y})^{T} g + \frac{1}{2} i \theta_{i} Q^{T} - \frac{1}{2} i \theta_{i} (Q^{Y})^{T} = = \frac{1}{2} f Q^{T}; (Q^{T})^{Y} g + \frac{1}{2} i \theta_{i} Q^{T} - \frac{1}{2} i \theta_{i} (Q^{T})^{Y} = = A [Q^{T}];$$

Here the herm itian conjugate of an endom orphism on the dual space W is de ned by $(M^T)^Y := (M^Y)^T$. Comparing with (49) we can easily read o the action of the world sheet parity P^m on the tachyon pro le,

(50)
$$P^{m} : Q(x) ? Q(x)^{T};$$

32

and on elds,

(51) P^{m} : (x) $\overline{7}$ (x)^T :

Let us consider the generalization of the parity transform of the charges in equation (48) to the stack of W ilson line branes W . The representation

(g) of the gauge group T on W $\,$ is determ ined by the charges of its W ilson line components, so that (48) turns into

(52) P^{m} : (g) 7 _ (g) (g) T:

The graded transpose appears by the same reasoning as above and is consistent with relation (14).

In order to determ ine the e ect on the representation R () of the vector R – sym m etry we take the graded transpose of relation (15) and com pare it with (50). We nd that the representation has to transform as R () 7 R () T. N ote how ever that the world sheet parity action P^m contains the operator ($1^{m}_{1} F_{L}$, which, for m odd, inverts the sign of left-m oving R am ond sector states and in particular the sign of R am ond {R am ond elds. Since D-branes are sources for these elds, the overall sign of the D-brane charges is also ipped, i.e. branes are m apped to antibranes ifm is odd. In the context of D-branes the operator ($1^{m}_{1} F_{L}$ is called the antibrane operator (or antibrane functor on the D-brane category [17,19]). Since the components W ^j with R-degree j even and odd correspond to branes and antibranes respectively, we conclude that the operator ($1^{m}_{1} F_{L}$ induces the shift [m]: j 7 m + j. T he parity action P^m on the representation R () is therefore dressed by a character,

(53)
$$P^{m}:R()?_{n}()R()^{T}:$$

The relation = R(1) in plies furtherm ore

(54) $P^{m} : 7 (1^{m})^{T} :$

Let us summarize our notings of this section. The world sheet parity action acts on a D-brane B = (W ; (g);R();Q(x)) in the linear sigma model as

(55) P^{m} : W; ;R;Q $\overline{7}$ W; _ (g) T^{T} ; _ ()R T^{T} ; Q T^{T} :

W e som etim es use the abbreviation $P^{\,m}$ (B) for the parity in age of B .

4.2 D ressing by quasi-isom orphism s

A well-de ned parity operator on D-branes should square to the identity, so that we can gauge it in order to obtain an orientifold background. However,

 P^{m} does not square to the identity, rather it acts as

$$(P^{m})^{2}:Q(x)$$
 7 (1^{m+1} ($^{2})Q(x)$ ($^{2})^{1}:$

R ecall that ² is an element of the gauge group and therefore the dressing by the representation (²) arises from applying the gauge invariance condition (14) on Q (²x). The sign (1)^{m+1} is due to the graded double transpose (118) in the appendix.

The non-involutive property of P^m is cured in conjunction with our wish to describe low energy D-branes as D-isom orphicsm classes in the gauge linear sigm a model. We supplement the D-brane data by an arbitrary quasi-isom orphism, B = (W; (g); R(); Q(x); U(x)), and de ne a dressed parity operator P^m as follow s:

(56)

$$P^{m}(Q(x))U = U Q(x)^{T};$$

$$P^{m}((g))U = (g)gU (g)^{T};$$

$$P^{m}(R())U = (0)U R(0)^{T};$$

$$P^{m}(U)U = (1)U U T;$$

By abuse of notation we abbreviate these transform ations by P^{m} (B)U = U P^{m} (B). Note that quasi-isom orphisms in the \inverse" direction are also possible, that is V P^{m} (B) = P^{m} (B)V. A hom om orphism taking values in Hom (W ₂;W ₁) transforms as

(57)
$$P^{m}() U_{1} = U_{2}^{T}$$
:

In order to ensure that the parity operator $P^{\,m}$ depends only on the gauge equivalence class of the holom orphic involution, g, the quasi-isom orphism U must transform as

$$(58) U_{q} \coloneqq U (q)^{T} :$$

Inserting (58) in the de nition of the parity operator $P^{\,m}\,$ one can easily check that the latter does not depend on the gauge choice.

Two orientifold actions

The de nition of the dressed parity operator P^m does not yet ensure that it squares to the identity. W e m ay how ever utilize the dressing by the quasi-isom orphism U to ensure this property by an appropriate transform ation behaviour of the quasi-isom orphism itself, i.e. we determ ine P^m (U) so that $(P^m)^2 = id$.

Let us pick a hom on orphism taking values in Hom (W $_2$;W $_1$) and apply the parity operator (57) twice. W ith the Ansatz P^m (U) = A U^T for

som e constant invertible matrix A we have

$$P^{m} P^{m} () P^{m} (U_{2}) = A_{1} U_{1}^{T} P^{m} ()^{T} =$$

$$= A_{1} (^{2})^{TT} U_{2}^{T} =$$

$$= A_{1} (^{2})^{m+1} U_{2}^{T} =$$

$$= A_{1} (^{2})^{m+1} U_{2}^{T} =$$

Requiring equality with the original eld determ ines A up to a constant , so that U has to transform as

(59)
$$P^{m}(U) = ({}^{2})^{1} {}^{m+1} {}^{U} {}^{T}$$
:

A quasi-isom orphism in the inverse direction, i.e. $V_2 P^m$ () = ^T V_1 , transform s as

(60)
$$P^{m}(V) = {}^{1}V^{T m+1}({}^{2}):$$

Here the inverse constant appears for consistency with the case when the quasi-isom orphism is invertible, $U = V^{-1}$.

The constant is associated with the parity operator. It has to be the same for all mutually compatible D-branes. In order to stress this we henceforth denote the parity operator on D-branes by P $^{\mbox{\scriptsize m}}$. By abuse of notation we continue to denote the set of D-branes, now supplemented with U , by D (C $^{\rm N}$;T) or M $F_{\rm W}$ (C $^{\rm N}$;T).

Like the quasi-isom orphism, the constant depends on the gauge choice of . Comparing the transformation (59) for U and U_g and requiring P^m (U_g) = P^m (U) P^m ((g))^T as well as (58) reveals that

(61)
$$g = (g)$$

The combined shift, $(a;q^a)$? $(a+2n^a;q^a n^a)$ for $n^a 2 Z^k$, alters the constant as follows:

(62)
$$7 (^2):$$

W hat we have considered so far ensures that P^m squares to the identity on the D-brane data (W; ;R;Q). However, since the quasi-isom orphism transforms now under the parity operator we have to impose (P^m)² = id on U as well. In fact, using (56) and (59) we obtain

$$U = P \stackrel{m}{(P} (P \stackrel{m}{(U)}) =$$

$$= P \stackrel{m}{((2))^{1}P} \stackrel{m}{()^{m+1}} P \stackrel{m}{(U)^{T}} =$$

$$= P \stackrel{m}{((2))^{1}P} \stackrel{m}{()^{m+1}} (2)^{1} \stackrel{m+1}{(2)^{1}} (2)^{T} =$$

$$= 2 \stackrel{(2)}{=} (2) U :$$

The constant is therefore determ ined up to a sign,

$$= c; with = 1:$$

The constant c depends on and . We will refer to the constant as orientifold sign, although it is strictly speaking not a sign. In the following we will often pick an involution $_0$ that squares exactly to the identity, which im plies that $_0 = 1$. Also the combined shift of the theta angles and the gauge charges resulting in (62) does not alter the sign $_0$.

In summary, P^{-m} is a parity operator on the set of D-branes in the gauged linear sigm a model. It squares to the identity operator and is determined by the discrete theta angle , by the dressing with the antibrane operator, m odd or even, and by the orientifold sign whose role will be elucidated in a moment.

Shift of R-degree

As known in D-brane categories an overall shift of the R-degree, [1]: j 7 j l, is unphysical because all measurable quantities depend on the di erence of R-degrees [67]. In view of the interpretation of the Z_2 -grading (1)^j as distinguishing branes from antibranes the shift [1] is indeed the antibrane operator as it appeared already in the previous subsection.

Let us study the e ect of the antibrane operator on the quasi-isom orphism U and on the sign . First, the partiy action commutes with the shift only if the latter is accompanied by m 7 m 21, i.e. $P^{m 21}$ [1] = [1] ^mP.

The R -sym m etry representation and the $\rm Z_2$ -grading operator are m apped as follow s,

(63) [1]:R() 7 ¹R(); [1]: 7 (1¹/₇:

In view of the sign change of the $\rm Z_2$ -grading operator ~ the graded transpose is altered to

$$[1]: M^{T} 7 (T)^{\perp} M^{T} (T)^{\perp}:$$

The transformation property (56) of Q (x) then tells us that the quasi-isomorphiam sU is mapped as

Inserting (63) in the dening equation (59) of the orientifold sign we observe that it is mapped as

(64) $7 (1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$:

A lltogether we have shown that the shift [1] transforms the parity operator as follows,

(65) $P^{(1)^{1}} m^{21} [1] = [1] P^{m}$:

36
Notice that the combination $(1)^{m=2}$ is an invariant under the shift of R-degree. Here, the square bracket denotes taking the next lower integer. We therefore expect that physical quantities depend on this invariant combination.

4.3 Parity invariant D-branes

As a next step we de ne parity-invariant low energy D-branes to be Disom orphism classes that are preserved by the parity operator P^m, i.e. there exists a quasi-isom orphism U or V from B = (W; (g);R();Q(x)) to its world sheet parity in age P^m (B), so that P^m (B) = B. Explicitly,

$$Q(x) U = U Q(x)^{T};$$

$$(g) U = (g) g U (g)^{T};$$

$$(66) R() U = () U R()^{T};$$

$$U = (1)^{T} U^{T};$$

$$U = (2)^{1 m+1} U^{T};$$

A nalogous relations hold for quasi-isom orphism s V . Note that the quasiisom orphism is now determ ined by the invariance conditions (66), whereas in the previous subsection it was completely arbitrary. We denote the sets of invariant low energy D -branes in gauged linear sigm a models without and with superpotential by D m^{i} ; (X_r) and M F_{W}^{m} ; (X_r), respectively.

Let us point out a subtlety here. In general, a D-brane B is invariant if it can be related to its world sheet parity in age P^m (B) by a chain of quasi-isom orphism s. However, if both types of quasi-isom orphism s, U and V, appear in the chain it is not clear how to determ ine the sign . We will later nd an elegant resolution to this problem.

4.4 G auge groups on D -branes and the type of orientifold planes

A llD-brane in an orientifold background have to carry the sam e orientifold sign \cdot . In this section we want to consider the situation when the D-brane is a stack of identical D-branes B_i, that is the Chan{Paton space is the tensor product of an external Chan{Paton space V_e = Cⁿ and the internal Chan{Paton space W_i. The orientifold sign \cdot can then be distributed appropriately on the two contributions,

= _{e i}:

Stacks of irreducible invariant D -branes

Let us consider a stack of irreducible and invariant D -brane, i.e. the tachyon pro le $Q_i(x)$ on the internal Chan{Paton space W_i is an irreducible endomorphism. The internal sign_i, which is now associated with the irreducible D-brane (not the orientifold), is dened through

(67)
$$U_{i} = i_{i} ({}^{2}) {}^{1} {}^{m+1}_{i} U_{i}^{T}$$

The stack of D-branes on the Chan {Paton space $W = V_e - W_i$ is dened as follows:

$$Q(x) = id Q_i(x);$$

 $(g) = id _i(g);$
 $R() = id R_i();$
 $U = U_e U_i:$

In particular, the quasi-isom orphism splits into an external isom orphism U $_{\rm e}$ on V $_{\rm e}$ and an internal quasi-isom orphism U $_{\rm i}.$

C om paring the last relation of (66) for U $\,$ and relation (67) for U $_{\rm i}$ we $\,$ nd that the external sign $_{\rm e}$ enters in the sym m etry condition of the external isom orphism s,

$$U_e = e (U_e)^t$$
:

It therefore determ ines the gauge group on the stack of D-branes [6,17],

(68)	SO (n); n 2 Z	for	$_{e} = +1$;
(69)	Sp(n=2); n 2 2Z	for	_e = 1:

In the following we will mainly work with the internal, irreducible part of a D-brane. We drop the index i for convenience, with the exception of $_{i}$.

The type of orientifold planes

G iven a parity action with multiple components of the xed point locus in the infra-red theory, we may consider a probe D-brane that sits on top of one of the components. A coording to the gauge group of the probe D-brane, $_{e} = +1$ or $_{e} = -1$, we follow the general convention in the literature to de ne the type of the orientifold plane by o := -e. Indicating the type we refer to the orientifold plane as 0° -plane. We will have to say more on the type of orientifold planes in later sections.

Stacks of brane im age-brane pairs

A special class of invariant D-branes is given by brane in age-brane pairs, i.e. D-branes that are of the form irreducible brane plus parity in age brane.

38

In particular, the internal Chan{Paton space reads W $_{i} = V V$. If we consider a stack of such branes we tensor V V with the external Chan{Paton space Ve, which is equivalent to considering

$$W = V_e \quad V \quad V$$
;

and setting

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{id} \quad Q_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \operatorname{id} \quad (\quad Q_{i}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathrm{T}});$$

$$(g) = \operatorname{id} \quad {}_{i}(g) \quad \operatorname{id} \quad {}_{=} \quad (g) \quad {}_{i}(g)^{\mathrm{T}};$$

$$R() = \operatorname{id} \quad R_{i}() \quad \operatorname{id} \quad {}_{m}() \quad R_{i}()^{\mathrm{T}}:$$

The quasi-isom orphism for this D-brane can be written in the brane in agebrane basis as

(70)
$$U = \begin{array}{c} 0 & cc & U_e^t & {}^{m+1} & ({}^2)^{-1} \\ U_e & id & 0 \end{array}$$
:

where c is an a priori arbitrary constant and c was introduced for later convenience. Let us try to m atch the sign of the parity action by com puting

U U
$$T + 1$$
 $\binom{2}{2} = \frac{\operatorname{cc} \operatorname{id}}{0} \operatorname{id} 0$ = c id :

So we nd that we can always adjust the constant c to be equal to the sign . In particular, this means that the brane image-brane pairs appear for both orientifold signs , and since there is no symmetry restriction on the isomorphism U_e the gauge group is U (n).

4.5 M oving between phases | O rientifolds and the grade restriction rule

In view of the observations of Sec. 3.2 the transport of low energy D-branes between phases in the gauged linear sigm a model is not always possible in the presence of orientifolds, at least not within the world sheet description. The reason is the singular locus S, which is real codimension one on the orientifold slices in M $_{\rm K}$.

Let us concentrate on linear sigm a models with gauge group $T\,=\,U$ (1) in the subsequent discussion.

A voiding the singularity

If the slice in K ahler m oduli space does not intersect with the singular locus, that is \in S m od 2, we can apply the grade restriction rule of [20] to

transport D -branes between the phase. It reads

$$\frac{S}{2} < \frac{1}{2} + q < \frac{S}{2}$$
:

In fact, the world sheet parity action P^m , mapping =2 + q7 (=2 + q), preserves the grade restriction rule. Once we have found a grade restricted representative for a D-brane, its im age under the world sheet parity action P^m is again grade restricted. This is important for consistency of the gauged linear sigm a model near the phase boundary.

From [20] we recall that there are no non-trivial D-isom orphisms between D-branes in the grade restricted set. The grade restricted D-branes are unique up to a basis change (30) of the C han {Paton space W . Since P^m does not m ap out of the grade restricted set this im plies that a grade restricted invariant D-brane B and its parity im age P^m (B) must be related by an (invertible) basis change U . It is therefore convenient to work with the grade restricted representative of a given D-isom orphism class. In particular, since U is an isom orphism it is easy to compute the sign _i and the problem s with chains of quasi-isom orphism s that we mentioned in Sec. 4.3 do not show up.

Colliding with the singularity

If we consider a slice in M $_{\rm K}\,$ that collides with the singularity, we cannot transport D-branes from one phase to the other. W e want to add a rem ark on the sign $_{\rm i}$ though.

Suppose we sit on the slice = S . The windows that are adjacent to the singularity at S , cf. Fig. 3, adm it the charges $N^{W} = f0; :::; S$ 1g resp. $N^{W_{+}} = f1; :::; Sg$. If we pick a representative B for the D-brane that is grade restricted with respect to $N^{W_{+}}$. In order to m ap P^{m} (B) will be grade restricted with respect to $N^{W_{+}}$. In order to m ap P^{m} (B) back to B the associated quasi-isom orphism has to rem ove all the W ilson line com ponents W (S). This can be achieved by a chain of quasi-isom orphism s, all of which are of the same type, either U or V. In fact, these can then be com posed to a single quasi-isom orphism , which allows to com pute the sign i.

 $E \times am p \ge 1 \text{ with } N = 2 \text{ and no superpotential}$

Let us consider a simple example of an invariant D-brane at large volum e. We take the world sheet parity action with = id and m = 1 and pick the

slice = 0 that collides with the singularity S. The adjacent windows at the phase boundary determ ine N w = f 1;0g and N $^{w_{+}}$ = f0;1g.

Regard the D-brane

$$B : \underline{W(1)}^{\underline{X_2}} W(0); \quad Q = \begin{array}{c} 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array};$$

which is an element of T $^{\scriptscriptstyle W}\,$. It is mapped by the world sheet parity to

$$P_0^{1}(B): \underline{W}(0) \xrightarrow{X_2} W(1); \qquad Q^{T} = \begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 \end{array}$$

In view of the di erent gauge charge assignments the latter is clearly not isomorphic to the original complex. However, at large volume, r >> 0, we can bind to it an empty D-brane via a D-term deformation (31),

$$\frac{W(0)}{(0)} \xrightarrow[(0]{k_{2}}]{(0)} W(1) = \frac{W(0)}{(0)} = \frac{W(0)}{(0)} W(1) = \frac{W(0)}{(0)} = \frac{W(0)}{(0)} W(1) = \frac{W(0)}{(0)} =$$

A fter elim inating trivial pairs W (q) ¹! W (q) for q = 0;1, we get back the original D-brane. The associated quasi-isom orphism is

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 \\ x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
; with $i = 1$:

4.6 O rientifolding com plexes and m atrix factorizations

Let us form ulate invariant D-branes in models without superpotential in term s of com plexes (17). This will facilitate som e of the subsequent, explicit com putations in examples. In the low-energy interpretation the following makes contact with the discussion of orientifold projections in the derived category of coherent sheaves in [19].

Sim ilarly, invariant m atrix factorizations are described by m erely adding \backward arrows" in the complexes, as in (20). This is straight forward, and we will skip the general discussion of m atrix factorizations here.

:

The de ning conditions (66) for an invariant D-brane can be rewritten for a complex (17) in term s of a commutative diagram,

The second line is the complex for Q (x), and the rst line represents the world sheet parity image P^m (Q (x)) = Q (x)^T. Note that we have $(d^j)^T = (1^j)(d^j)^t$ according to the denition of the graded transpose, where ^t is the ordinary transposition of matrices. W ^j = $_iW$ (q_i^j) is the component with R-degree j, and

$$(\mathbf{p}_{i}^{t} = \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{W}_{i} = \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{k}$$

is its dual, now carrying R-degree m j.

The chain m aps, $u^j : W^{j}$. W^{j} , preserve the global sym m etries as well as the gauge charges. They are the components of the quasi-isom orphism



The last condition in (66) becomes

(72) $u^{j} = i^{j} (2)^{1} (1)^{(m+1)j} (u^{m-j})^{t}$:

K oszul com plexes

A sexam ples for invariant D-branes we consider coherent sheaves O_C (q) that are localized at the common zero locus C of n polynomials (f₁;:::;f_n). They can be described via tachyon condensation [58,68{70}] by K oszul complexes, which we de ne below. We determ ine the chain maps u^j that render the K oszul complexes invariant and provide a simple form ula for the type of gauge group that is supported on them.

Let us denote the gauge charges of the polynom ials by $(Q_{f_1}; :::; Q_{f_n})$ and introduce $Q_f = \prod_{i=1}^{n} Q_{f_i}$. The W ilson line (11) associated with the K oszul complex can be realized in terms of boundary fermions i for i = 1; :::; n, which upon quantization satisfy the C li ord algebra relations $f_i; jg = ij$.

The associated Fock space, built on the Fock vacuum de ned by $_i$ Di = 0, is then the Chan{Paton space W . The boundary interaction is given by

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{i=1}^{X^n} f_i(\mathbf{x})_i$$

and acts naturally on the Fock space W . Since Q (x) needs to be gauge invariant, the boundary fermions $_{\rm i}$ must carry the gauge charges $~Q_{\rm f_i}$. The resulting complex reads

(73) C:W
$$\underline{\mathbf{m}}_{2}$$
 (q Q_{f}) $\underline{\underline{f}}_{i=1}$ \cdots $\underbrace{M^{n}}_{i=1}$ W $\underline{\mathbf{m}}_{2}$ (q $Q_{f_{i}}$) $\underline{\underline{f}}_{-}$ W $\underline{\mathbf{m}}_{2}$ (q) :

W e assigned R-degree (m + n)=2 to the Fock vacuum Ji, i.e. to the rightmost entry in the complex. This assignment is necessary for an invariant D-brane. Note that it also requires

(74)
$$m = n \mod 2$$
:

In order to determ ine the chain m aps u^{j} and the corresponding sign $_{i}$ it is instructive to describe the K oszul complex C in the language of alternating (or exterior) algebras. Let $R = \prod_{i=1}^{n} C[x]_{i}$, where C[x] is the graded coordinate ring of chiral edds. We introduce the interior product

(75)
$$v :^{p}R ! ^{p}R ; ? v = (v;);$$

where denotes a p-form in ${}^{p}R$ and $v = {}_{i}v_{i\ i}$ a vector eld in R. The tachyon pro le Q (x) in the complex C can then be realized as interior product f,

(76) C:
$$(^{n}R)_{\underline{m}\underline{n}} \xrightarrow{f}\underline{f}_{\underline{n}} (^{1}R)_{\underline{m}\underline{n}\underline{n}} \xrightarrow{f}\underline{f}_{\underline{n}} (^{n}R)_{\underline{m}\underline{n}\underline{n}} (^{n}R)_{\underline{m}\underline{n}\underline{n}}$$
:

For sack of brevity we did not indicate the gauge charges.

To calculate the parity in age of this D-brane we need to determ ine the graded transpose of $_{\rm f}$. The dual pairing of p-form s, ~2 $^{\rm p}R$, with p-vectors, ~2 $^{\rm p}R$, is

(77) h;
$$i_p := -\frac{1}{p!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^{X} i_1 \dots i_p i_1 \dots i_p;$$

where is the natural generalization of the interior product to polyvectors. With the convention used in (77) it satisfies = . Note that, according to the R-degree assignment in C, p-form s have R-degree (m + n)=2 p. Since the world sheet parity maps R-degrees as j 7 n j, we assign the

⁵Henceforth, we drop the Fock vacuum jDi.

R-degree (m n)=2+ p to p-vectors. The graded transpose f_{f}^{T} of the interior product is then de ned by

$$h_f^T$$
; $i_{p+1} \coloneqq (1)^{\frac{m-n}{2} + p + m} h$; f i_p ;

which is in accord with the de nition (113) in the appendix. Inserting the right-hand side in the dual pairing (77) we readily nd that

$$f_{f}^{T}$$
: ^{p}R ! ^{p+1}R ; 7 ($1)^{\frac{m+n}{2}+p}f^{n}$;

and the world sheet parity in age of the boundary interaction $Q(x)^T$ is realized as $(1^{(m+n)=2+p}(f)^{n})$ on p-vectors.

Let us next construct the quasi-isom orphism U that makes C invariant. According to (71) we have to construct maps u^p such that the following diagram commutes,

(78)
$$\begin{pmatrix} {}^{n} {}^{p}R \end{pmatrix}_{\frac{m-n}{2}+p} \xrightarrow{f} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{n} {}^{p} {}^{1}R \end{pmatrix}_{\frac{m-n}{2}+p+1} \\ u^{p} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{u^{p+1}} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{u^{p+1}} \\ {}^{u^{p+1}} \\ {}^{({}^{n}{}^{p}R)_{\frac{m-n}{2}+p}} \xrightarrow{({}^{n}{}^{p+1}R)_{\frac{m-n}{2}+p+1}} ;$$

The idea is to chose a volume form $2^{n}R$ and try the Ansatz

(79)
$$u^{p}() = "_{p} f_{0}(x) _{e^{1}}$$
:

"p are constants to be determ ined below . f is de ned as the representation matrix of the holom orphic involution on the polynom ials f_i , that is $f_i = (f_i)_{ij}f_j$ for j = 1;:::;n. Its inverse is inserted in every contraction between

and . The polynom $ialf_0(x)$ must be such that U is a quasi-isom orphism, i.e. according to the denition of a quasi-isom orphism, around (33), the polynom ials $(f_0; f_1; :::; f_n)$ must give rise to an empty K oszul complex, or put in yet another way, the common zero locus of all polynom ials must be contained in the deleted set r. Note also that in view of P^m : q 7 = q the polynom ial f_0 has to carry gauge charge

(80)
$$Q_{f_0} = = + 2q \quad Q_F$$

The constants " $_p$ for p = 0;:::; n are xed by inserting the Ansatz in the diagram (78) and requiring that it commutes. We obtain " $_{p+1} =$

($1^{(m+n)=2}\,{}^{"}_{p}\,.$ Using the freedom to normalize U , we $\,x\,\,{}^{"}_{0}\,=\,1$ and obtain

$$p_{p} = (1)^{(\frac{m+n}{2}+1)p}$$
:

The internal sign $_{i}$ of the D-brane is determined by constructing the graded transpose of u^{p} . Since the latter is even its graded transpose equals

its ordinary transpose,

$$h ; (u^p)^t ()i_p \coloneqq h ; u^p ()i_{n p};$$

where $2 \wedge {}^{p}R$ and $2 \wedge {}^{n}{}^{p}R$. Inserting u^{p} on the right-hand side we nd after some algebra,

$$(u^p)^t = "_n (1)^{p(m+1)} \det_f f^2 u^p;$$

where $\binom{2}{f}_{ij} = \binom{2}{j}_{f_i} \binom{2}{ij}$, which acts on a (n p)-form as $\binom{2}{f} = \binom{2}{p} \binom{2}{i}$. Applying the holom orphis involution on both sides we obtain

$$u^{n-p} = "_{n} (1)^{p(m+1)} \frac{q(^{2})}{s_{0} \det_{f}} p(^{2})^{1} (u^{p})^{t}$$
:

The factor s_0 is from $f_0 = s_0 f_0$ in $u^n p$. Comparing with (72) we obtain the internal sign i for the K oszul complex,

$$i = (1)^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{q(2)}{\det f};$$

where we introduced det $_{f}^{0} = s_{0} \det_{f}$. We have therefore succeeded in determ ining the gauge group for K oszul com plexes, i.e.

(81)
$$e = = i = (1)^{m n} = 2_{q} (2) \det_{f} = 0$$

Note that this result con m s the expectation that the gauge group does not depend on shifts of R-degree [1], which exchanges branes and antibranes. Indeed, the external sign depends on the invariant combination $(1)^{m=2}$, cf. relation (65). To see this note that in view of (74) we have (m n)=2 = [m=2] [n=2].

K oszul-like m atrix factorizations

Let us brie y comment on models with non-vanishing superpotential. A natural analog of K oszul complexes is provided by introducing additional polynom ials $(g_1; :::; g_n)$ and dening a matrix factorization

$$Q(x) = \begin{cases} X^{n} \\ & (f_{i}(x)_{i} + g_{i}(x)_{i}) \end{cases} :$$

The condition $Q^2 = W$ is ensured by $W = i_{i} f_{i}g_{i}$.

On the level of complexes, we complete the factorization by including arrows <code>\backwards"</code>

(82) C:
$$(^{n}R)_{\underline{m}\underline{n}} \xrightarrow{f}\underline{g}^{(n)} ::: \underbrace{f}_{\underline{g}} (^{n}R)_{\underline{m}\underline{n}\underline{n}} \frac{f}{\underline{g}}^{(n)} (^{n}R)_{\underline{m}\underline{n}\underline{n}} \frac{f}{\underline{g}}^{(n)} (^{n}R)_{\underline{m}\underline{n}\underline{n}} ;$$

where $g = \int_{1}^{r} g_{i,i}$ is a 1-form in R . O by iously, $f_{f}; g^{g} = W$ realizes the matrix factorization. The graded transpose can be found to be

$$(g^{\wedge})^{T} = (1^{\frac{m+n}{2}+p}) g$$

The chain maps \mathbf{u}^p and thus the sign $_i$ turn out to be the same as for K oszul complexes.

4.7 Tensor products of invariant D -branes

Tensor products of com plexes or matrix factorizations have been studied and used to construct special types of D-branes on many occasions [71{83]. In particular, all the known boundary states of G epner models are realized as tensor products of sim ple matrix factorizations in the corresponding Landau {G inzburg model.

Here we want to address the question of how the invariance of a D-brane under the orientifold action behaves under taking graded tensor products. The results of this subsection will be most important when we later study the bre-wise K norrer map that relates matrix factorizations of the linear sigm a model to complexes of coherent sheaves at low energies.

Some properties of the graded tensor product are listed in appendix A. Let us brie y present its de nition. For two endomorphisms of de nite R-charge, A 2 End(W $_1$) and B 2 End(W $_2$), we de ne the graded tensor product as

$$A b B = A \frac{j^{A} j}{2} B;$$

where we used the ordinary tensor product on the right-hand side. A jis the R-charge of A. In order to not forget subtle signs related to the insertion of $\frac{A^{j}}{2}$, which takes care of the grading, we will work explicitly with the ordinary tensor product.

Let us consider two invariant D-branes, $B_a = (W_a; a; R_a; Q_a; U_a)$ for a = 1; 2, satisfying (66) with $(a; i_a; m_a)$. We can form the tensor product brane B = (W; R; Q; U) with $W \coloneqq W_1$ b W_2 and

(83)
$$Q \coloneqq Q_1 _ 2 + id_1 Q_2;$$
$$(g) \coloneqq _1(g) _ 2(g);$$
$$R() \coloneqq R_1() R_2():$$

For matrix factorizations the tensor product brane is associated with the sum of superpotentials W $\,$ = W $_1$ + W $_2$.

These de nitions together with (52) and (53) in ply that

(84)
$$= \begin{array}{c} 1 + 2 \\ m = m_{1} + m_{2} \end{array};$$

A rather non-trivial question is to build the quasi-isom orphism U out of U_1 and U_2 , and in turn relate the sign i to $_{i,1}$ and $_{i,2}$. U cannot just be the naive guess, that is $U_1 = U_2$. To see this notice that upon using the graded transpose of tensor products, form ula (119) in the appendix, the world sheet parity in age of Q is

$$Q^{T} = Q_{1}^{T} \quad id_{2} \quad {}_{1}^{T} \quad Q_{2}^{T} :$$

We nd that $U_1 \quad U_2$ cannot map Q^T back to Q, since it cannot turn the $_a$'s into ida's and vice versa.

We need a more sophisticated quasi-isom orphism for the tensor product D-brane. To construct it we introduce the projection operators $p_a^r = 1=2(id_a + (1)^r_a)$ and note that they can be used to switch between $_a$ and id_a , i.e. $p_a^r_a = _ap_a^r = (1)^r_b p_a^r id_a$. This suggests an Ansatz for U , which is a linear combination of four terms, $p_1^{r_1}U_1 = p_2^{r_2}U_2$ with $r_a = 0$;1. Inserting the Ansatz in the invariance condition for Q in (66) it turns out that the quasi-isom orphism has to take the form

(85)
$$U = \int_{r_1, r_2 = 0, 1}^{r_1} (1)^{(m_1 + r_1)r_2} p_1^{r_1} U_1 p_2^{r_2} U_2 :$$

U is furtherm one compatible with all other equations in (66). In particular, the last one gives the simple sign relation

(86)
$$i = i; i = i; i = i; 2$$
:

v

An application: The Koszul com plex revisited

Let us reconsider the K oszul com plexes of the previous subsection. Using the tensor product techniques we recom pute the sign $_{\rm e}$ that determ ines the gauge group. Let us rst assume that C is invariant with invertible quasi-isom orphism U_{inv}, which requires

$$(87) \qquad \qquad = \qquad q = q \quad Q:$$

M oreover, we work in a basis for the polynom ials f_a that diagonalizes the action of the holom orphic involution, i.e. $f_a = s_a f_a$ for a = 1; ...; n. Recall that an invariant D-brane requires $m = n \mod 2$. We start by using the fact that a Koszul complex C of n polynomials $(f_1;:::;f_n)$ is the tensor product of n Koszul complexes of a single polynomial,

$$C_a: W_{\frac{m_a-1}{2}}(q_a Q_{f_a}) \xrightarrow{f_a} W_{\frac{m_a+1}{2}}(q_a):$$

Each m_a has to be odd and we set $_a = = Q_{f_a} = 2q$. By (84) the integers $(m_1; :::; m_n)$ and the auxiliary theta angles $(_1; :::; _n)$ must be chosen so that they sum up to

$$m = m_1 + :::+ m_n;$$

= 1 + :::+ n :

Now let us compute the signs $_{\rm i,a}$ for the complexes C_a . The isom orphism U_a is given by the chain map

Applying equation (72) we not that $i_{a} = (1)^{m_{a} - 1)=2} (2) s_{a}^{1}$. For the tensor product com plex C we therefore have

$$i = \begin{cases} Y^{n} \\ i a = 1 \end{cases} (1)^{(m n)=2} q^{(2)} s_{a}^{1} :$$

If the condition (87) for an invertible quasi-isom orhism U_{inv} is not satised, the K oszul com plex m ay still be invariant provided that there exists a polynom ial $f_0(x)$ so that $U = f_0 U_{inv}$ is a quasi-isom orphism, cf. equation (79). Setting $f_0 = s_0 f_0$ we nd

$$_{1} = (1)^{(m_{1})=2} (2)^{Y^{d}} s_{a}^{1} :$$

In general, the polynom $_{p}ials f_{a}$ will not diagonalize . For an invariant D-brane we have $f_{a} = \int_{b=0}^{n} \int_{f,ab}^{0} f_{b}$ for a = 0;1;:::;n. The sign that determ ines the gauge group can then be written in term s of the determ inant of $_{f,a}^{0}$.

 $e = = i = (1)^{m n} = (2)^{m l} det_{f}^{0}$

5 Non-compact models

So far we discussed aspects of D-branes in gauged linear sigm a models that are largely independent of the presence or absence of an F-term superpotential W (x), the discussions included both, Q (x) describing com plexes and matrix factorizations. Let us now specialize to the case without superpotential and consider some examples of orientifolds and invariant D-branes described through com plexes of W ilson line branes. As an application of the form ula (81) we determ ine the type of orientifold planes by testing the gauge group of probe branes.

We are mainly interested in the dependence of the set of invariant D-branes and the orientifold planes on the slices of the Kahler moduli space. As a particular consequence of our linear sigm a model approach we will nd that the di erent slices may be connected along special loci in M_K. We investigate the phenom enon of type change of orientifold planes that was discussed in [14].

5.1 Orbifold phases and the orientifold moduli space

Let us consider linear sigm a models with an orbifold phase and study the relation between the linear sigm a model and the orbifold description. We illustrate the main points in Example 1 that becomes the quotient $C^{N} =$ with discrete group = Z_{N} at the orbifold point. Recall the charges (8) and the moduli space in Fig. 4.

From the linear sigm a model to the orbifold

As brie y reviewed in Sec.3.3 the discrete group in the orbifold phase is due to a vacuum expectation value for the eld p of gauge charge N.This expectation value also restricts the gauge equivalence class of holom orphic involutions to a -equivalence class, for 2 , i.e. it requires (p) = ${}^{\rm N}$ p = p.

By conveniently setting p = 1 an invariant D -brane in the orbifold theory is determ ined by the linear sigm a model data through

$$Q(x) \coloneqq Q(p=1;x);$$

() $\coloneqq ()$ for 2 U(1);
 $R() \coloneqq R();$
 $U \coloneqq U(p=1):$

A W ilson line component W (q) becomes a -equivariant line bundle O (q) with charge $q = q \mod N$. We denote the -equivariant Chan {Paton bundle descending from W by E.

It follows immediately that the orbifold data (E; R;Q;U) of an invariant D-brane satisfies the invariance conditions (66), now with a representation () of , cf. [66].⁶ In particular, ____ () is a character of the orbifold group , which implies that the theta angle is de ned only modulo N at the orbifold point,

(88) ⊨ 2 Z m od N :

This can be seen explicitly in the world sheet parity action on the charges,

q ? = q 2 Z m od N :

From the orbifold to the linear sigm a model

For the inverse m ap, lifting D-branes from the orbifold to the linear sigm a model, we rst have to decide to which slice of the K ahler moduli space we want to lift. For a given we have a mod N choice of theta angles in the linear sigm a model. Let us pick one such choice.

The representation of the gauge group (g) is obtained by lifting the charges q in () to integers q (= qm od N) in a xed interval, say f0;:::;N lg. Then the tachyon pro le Q (p;x) is constructed from Q (x) by multiplying the entries in the latter by appropriate powers of p as to m atch the gauge charges determ ined by (g). The representation of the R-sym m etry is sim ply R () = R (). Finally, the isom orphism U just lifts to U by lling in appropriate powers of p.

The freedom of choosing the theta angle m od N actually means that we can lift a D-brane from the orbifold point to di erent slices of the moduli space, i.e. a priori distinct slices of the K ahler moduli space are connect at the orbifold point. This can easily be picturized in the N-fold cover of the moduli space parametrized by the algebraic mirror coordinate , de ned by $e^{t} = (N N)^{N}$. Since a shift $\gamma = N$ corresponds to a phase shift $\gamma = 0$. As depicted in Fig. 6 this leads to a qualitative di erence for N odd and N even. In view of the combined shift (;q) γ (+2;q 1) we see that the slices = 0 and = are connected at the orbifold point for N odd, but remain disconnected for N even. So for N odd we have

50

 $^{^{6}}$ In [66] the representation () for 2 and the isomorphism U are denoted by (g) for g 2 and (), respectively. In particular, the rst two lines in their conditions (3.10) correspond to the second and to the last line in (66).



Figure 6. The N-fold cover of the Kahler moduli space, $e^t = (N)^N$. Relation (88) says that we can move straight through the orbifold point. For N odd (here 5) the two slices, at = 0 and = , are connected at the orbifold point. For N even (here 6) the two slices, at = 0 and = , remain disconnected.

two disconnected components of the orientifold moduli space, whereas for N even we have three.

W hen changing the slice at the orbifold point we have to be careful with relating the corresponding two sets of invariant D-branes properly. Let us pick an arbitrary invariant D-brane with quasi-isom orphism U in the orbifold phase. Recall that the sign $_{\rm i}$ is determined via

$$U = i^{m+1} (2)^{1} U^{T}$$
:

A swe shift the theta angle to N the parity action (48) on the gauge charges is changed to

$$q$$
? = + N q :

A coordingly, in order to keep the D-brane invariant, we need to modify the quasi-isom orphism to U⁰ = pU with a new sign ${}_{i}^{0}$. Using p = $!_{p}p$, we nd

$$_{i}^{0} = !_{p}^{1} i :$$

Since the gauge group on a stack of D -branes cannot be altered as we change the slice, the orientifold sign is modi ed in the same way,

(89)
$$^{0} = !_{p}^{1}$$
 :

C onsequently, when we move straight through the orbifold point in Fig. 6 we have to take into account the orientifold sign change (89).

Higher-dim ensionalm oduli spaces

In general, for gauge group $T = U(1)^k$ a necessary condition for a connection between di erent slices of the orientifold moduli space is that the deleted set

 $_{\rm r}$ that determ ines X $_{\rm r}$ in the particular phase has at least one irreducible component of the form fx₁ = 0g for som e l 2 f1;:::;N g. The vacuum expectation value for x₁ then breaks the gauge group so that q^a = q^a + Q₁^a and in particular,

(90) $a \quad {}^{0}a = a + Q_1^a \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{0} = !_1^{1} :$

Taking into account the change of quasi-isom orpism , U 0 = $\,x_1 U\,$, this show s an equivalence of the sets of invariant low -energy D -branes,

(91)
$$D^{m}; (X_r) \stackrel{=}{!} D^{0m}; (X_r):$$

For higher-dimensional moduli spaces this leads to the interesting phenomenon that large volume limits distinguished by dierent values of the theta angles may be connected through a path in Kahler moduli space. This will be illustrated later in the two-parameter model of Example 2.

Exam ple 1

Let us consider the inequivalent spacetime involutions of Example 1. We can always choose coordinates so that the involution acts diagonally, $(!_1:::!_N;!_p)(x_i;p) = (!_ix_i;!_pp)$. For N odd we have

$$= (1;...;1; 1;...; 1;1)$$
; for $= 0;...;N$:

In the orbifold phase the xed point locus is an (N)-dimensional plane through the xed point $p = fx_1 = \dots = x_N = 0g$. For N even we have

$$= (1;...;1; 1;...; 1;1);$$

$$0 = (1;...;1; 1;1);$$
for = 0;...;N=2:

The xed point bous of the involution is a union of an (N)-dimensional and an -dimensional plane in the orbifold phase, whereas the xed point bous of 0 is always the orbifold xed point.

Fractional D-branes $O_p(q)$ on the orbifold $C^N = Z_N$ are localized at p and carry Z_N -charge q. They can be represented in the linear sigm a model through the K oszul com plexes (73) of N coordinate elds $(x_1; :::; x_N)$. In the orientifold context fractional branes were studied before from di erent perspectives, see for instance [84{87,89}]. Let us reexam ine them from the linear sigm a model point of view. In particular, we want to know which



Figure 7. Branes in an Z_3 orbifold and possible re ection planes, related by the Z_3 -symmetry

fractional branes are invariant for a given orientifold specifed by (;m ; ; or $^{\rm 0}).$

From equation (74) we conclude that $m = N \mod 2$, i.e. an invariant fractional brane must be dressed by $(1)^{F_{L}}$ for N odd, whereas there is no dressing for N even.

In order to study the role of the theta angle, we note that the Z_N - representation q has to obey 2q = m od N or form ally

 $q = = 2 \mod N = 2$:

Recall that is de ned m od N . For N even this has two solutions for q if =2 is an integer and no solution if =2 is half-integer. For N odd it always has only one solution. This has a nice pictorial representation in the quiver diagram corresponding to this orbifold. Here, the branes corresponding to irreducible representations of the orbifold group become dots of the diagram, see Fig. 7 and 8. The N fundamental fractional branes are related by the quantum Z_N symmetry, i.e. 2 shifts of the theta angle at the orbifold point, which is depicted as a rotational symmetry in the corresponding diagram. O rientifolds are m irror-planes in these diagram s, respecting the symmetry.

It is now easy to see that for the case N odd there are N possible sym m etry planes, each of them passing through exactly one point, as depicted in the gure for the case N = 3. The di erent orientifolds are related by rotational quantum sym m etry. The corresponding invariant fractional brane can be lifted to both slices of the K ahler m oduli space, see Fig. 6.

On the other hand, for N even there are two classes of orientifolds. The rst class passes through precisely two points, leaving two of the fractional branes xed, whereas the second class does not leave any point xed, as shown in the gure for the case N = 4. The orientifold with two invariant fractional branes lies on the slice of M $_{\rm K}$ that collides with the singularity.



F igure 8. Branes in an Z_4 orbifold and possible inequivalent relation planes: Either two or no branes are invariant under the parity.

The orientifold without invariant fractional branes is on the slice that is connected to the large volume point.

For the discussion of the gauge group let us distinguish between the two types of holom orphic involutions, and 0 . We use form ula (81) to determine the gauge groups.

Since the involutions square to zero, the orientifold sign is indeed just a sign, = , and we can readily compute

$$e = (1)^{\frac{m}{2}} +$$

For N even and on the slice = 0 that collides with the singularity, the invariant fractional branes $O_p(0)$ and $O_p(N=2)$ therefore carry the same gauge group. For N odd the two slices in m oduli space are connected at the orbifold point, which is rejected by the fact that $_e$ does not depend on the theta angle.

For ⁰ (only N even) we use the representative ${}^{0}_{!,...,!}$; ${}_{;...,!}$; ${}_{;...,!}$ for ${}^{!N} = 1$, which ensures ${}^{0}p = p$. However, ${}^{02} = {}^{!2}2$ and the orientifold sign is actually a sign times a nontrivial constant, ${}^{\circ} = {}_{=2}$ (!²). U sing this the external sign on the slice ${}= 0$ becomes

The two invariant fractional branes carry opposite type of gauge group. These orientifolds appeared in the construction of six-dimensionalRG xed points from branes see for instance [87,88]. From a mirror perspective they have been discussed in [89].

5.2 The type of an orientifold plane

Let us use the results from Sec. 4.7 to compute the type of for the orientifold planes 0 as defined in (44). It will be convenient to work with the holom orphic involution $_0 = _0$ that defines 0 through its fixed point locus. Furthermore, we use coordinates that diagonalize the involution. The probe brane that we will use to determ ine the type is given by the K oszul complex C of the coordinates for which $_0 x_i = !_i x_i$ with $!_i \in$ 1. Setting these coordinates to zero gives the orientifold plane 0. Let us denote them by $(f_1; :::; f_d)$. d is the codimension of 0.

We are already making several assumptions here. Indeed, a K oszul complex that corresponds to a D-brane that lies on top of O need not always exist. First the condition m = d m od 2 has to be satistical. Second a quasi-isom orphism U must exist for C in order to render it invariant. If it does not exist, it is sometimes possible to utilize a probe brane of higher codimension, that is d+ 2p, which lies on O . K exping in m ind that the type of the gauge group alternates with p [6], we not that the type of the orientifold plane is given by

 $o = (1^{p})_{e};$

where $_{e}$ is the external sign of the probe brane.

As we observed in the example (92), orientifold planes at orbifold singularities may lead to the e ect that there exist two probe branes carrying opposite gauge group. The following result on the type of an orientifold plane can therefore be applied reliably only if we deal with a sm ooth orientifold geom etry.

Under the above assumptions, the probe brane is a K oszul complex of the coordinates $f_1; :::; f_d$, which are not invariant under $_0$, and the $_0$ -invariant polynom ials $f_{d+1}; :::; f_{d+2p}$. The polynom ial f_0 that enters the quasi-isom orphism (79) is $_0$ -invariant as well. We nd

(1) 0

(93)
$$O = (1^{p})_{e} = (1^{m})_{e} = (1^{m})_{e} = 2 \alpha (1)_{e}$$

where det() = 1 is the sign associated with the involution 7 , and q is the maximal charge in the Koszul complex (73). In the special situation that = $(_1;:::;_k)$ is given by signs $_a = 1$ we have det() = $(_1^d)$ and the form ula for the type simpli es to

(94) $o = (1)^{(m+d)=2} = ()$ for a = 1

 $^{^7\,{\}rm It}$ is a sign and independent of the gauge choice for ~ only if the Calabi{Yau condition is satis ed.

We want to stress again that the result (93) requires the existence of a K oszul com plex on O . If such a K oszul com plex fails to exist it is unclear which probe brane should be used to determ ine the type. A lso, let us rem ark that the two probe branes (92) are relected in form ula (93) in a two-fold choice for the maxim all charge q.

5.3 Type change in the orientifold moduli space

In this section we want to explore orientifolds and the dependence of their type on the slice in the K ahler m oduli space. W e will illustrate this point in a particular example. W e will observe that the type of an orientifold plane is not an invariant concept and can change over the K ahler m oduli space.

Exam ple 2

Recall the list of chiral elds (10) and the moduli space for this example. O ut of the list of possible target space involutions we consider:

	x ₁	x_2	x ₃	x ₄	X_5	2	x ₆	р	
0	1	+ 1	1	1		1	+ 1		1

The holom orphic involution acts diagonaly with the indicated signs on the linear sigm a model coordinates. For consistency with tadpole cancellation we pick m = 1, which is equal to the codimension of the xed point locus modulo 2.

Deep inside phases II and III, cf. the dotted line in Fig. 9, the eld x_6 with charge $Q_6 = (1; 2)$ gets a vacuum expectation value, and relation (90) connects the slice = (0;) with (;), and the slice = (0; 0) with (; 2). Now recall from the discussion in Sec. 3.2 that the former two slices of the orientifold moduli space do not intersect the singular locus S. We can therefore move from the large volume point along Path A to the dashed line at in nity in phase II or III, change slice and move back to large volume. With our choice of $_0$ the orientifold sign $_0$ is not altered as we change slices.

On the other hand, deep inside phases III and IV, along the dotted line, the eld p with charge Q $_{p} = (4;0)$ gets a vacuum expectation value. The associated shift of now does not correspond to a change of the slice. How - ever, the orientifold sign is altered, $_{0}^{0} = !_{p}^{1} _{0} = _{0}$. If we move along Path B in Fig. 9 we return to the original large volum e point, but pick up a non-trivial monodrom y on the D-branes. This discussion can be sum marized in the following diagram, which shows how the various large volum e



F igure 9. In the two-param eter m odel, E xam ple 2, the two slices, = (0;) and (;), are connected along the (dashed) line at in ntiy in phases II and III. This is due to the vacuum expectation value of the eld x_6 . As a consequence, two large volum e lim its are connected via Path A in the m oduli space. Path B induces a non-trivial m onodrom y but returns to the original large volum e point.

points are connected via Paths A and B:

(95)
$$D^{+1;1;(0;)}(X) \xrightarrow{A} D^{+1;1;(i;)}(X)$$
$$B_{2} B_{2} B_{2}$$
$$D^{-1;1;(0;)}(X) \xrightarrow{A} D^{-1;1;(i;)}(X)$$

Here, D $_{\circ}$ rn ; (X) denotes the set of invariant D -branes on the toric variety X at large volum e.

Let us analyse the orientifold planes $0 = 0_{(1;2)}$. At large volume the xed point locus of the involution is the union of two points,

 $p_a=O_{(+1;(1)^a)}=fx_a=x_3=x_4=x_5=p=0 g \quad \mbox{ for } a=1;2\ ;$ and two compact surfaces,

$$S_a = O_{(1; (1)^a)} = fx_a = x_6 = p = 0g$$
 for $a = 1;2$:

At this point we could just use form ula (94) in order to obtain the types. Let us be more explicit an put the probe branes on p_a resp. S_a .

The probe brane for the point p_a is the K oszulcom plex of $(x_a; x_3; x_4; x_5; p)$, which has $Q_f = (1; 1)$ and right-m ost gauge charge q = (1; 0),

$$W_{2}(0; 1) \xrightarrow{f} \cdots \cdots \xrightarrow{f} W_{3}(1;0):$$

The polynom $ialf_0$ in the quasi-isom orphism (79) must therefore carry gauge charge according to the conditions

$$Q_{f_0} = Q_{f} + 2q = (0;0) \text{ on } = (;);$$

 $Q_{f_0} = Q_{f} + 2q = (1;0) \text{ on } = (0;):$

In the form er situation $f_0 = 1$, thus the quasi-isom orphism is $U = U_{inv}$ and the type $o_{p_a} = e$ of the orientifold plane on p_a is determined using (81),

$$o_{p_a} = (1)^{m-d} = (1^{m-d}) = (1^{n})_{0}$$

In the latter case we can set $f_0 = x_a^2 x_6$, where $(x_1; x_2) \coloneqq (x_2; x_1)$, with the quasi-isom orphism $V = x_a^2 x_6 (U_{inv})^{-1}$ and

$$o_{p_a} = (1)^{m d} = 2 \det(f) = (1^{a})_{0}$$

For the surface S_a the naive K oszul com plex does not provide an invariant D-brane. We therefore use a K oszul com plex for $(x_a;x_3;x_4;x_6;p)$, which corresponds to a point on S_a . The type o_{S_a} of the orientifold plane is then determ ined by $o_{S_a} = e$. We have $Q_f = (1; 1)$ and right-m ost gauge charge q = (1; 1),

$$W_{2}(0;0) \xrightarrow{f} \cdots \xrightarrow{f} W_{3}(1; 1):$$

The condition on the gauge charge of $f_{\rm 0}$ reads

$$Q_{f_0} = = Q_{f} + 2q = (0;0) \text{ on } = (;);$$

 $Q_{f_0} = = Q_{f} + 2q = (1;0) \text{ on } = (0;):$

For ~=~(~;~) the quasi-isom orphism ~ is the invertible one, $U_{in\,v}$, and the type of the orientifold plane S_a is

$$o_{S_a} = (1^{\circ})_{0}$$

For = (0;) the quasi-isom orphism is $V = x_5 (U_{inv})^{-1}$ and

$$o_{S_a} = (1)^a$$

W e observe that for both, the points and the surfaces, the two respective types are opposite, so that the total con guration of orientifold planes does not carry a net RR -charge in this example.

Let us sum ${\tt m}$ arize our results on the types of orientifold planes at large volum e as follow s:

large volum e	= (0;)		= (;)
₀ = +1	$O_{p_1}^+ O_{p_2}^- O_{s_1}^+ O_{s_2}^+$	A	$O_{p_1}^+ O_{p_2} O_{S_1}^+ O_{S_2}$
	B ?	_	B ?
₀ = 1	$O_{p_1} \mathrel{O_{p_2}^+} O_{S_1}^+ \mathrel{O_{S_2}^+}$	A	$O_{p_1} O_{p_2}^+ O_{S_1} O_{S_2}^+$

From this diagram we nd that all four di erent type assignments are connected through paths in moduli space. Path B leads back to the original large volum e point, but still changes the overall type. Path A, which connects two di erent large volum e points, swaps the types of the surfaces S_a.

6 Compact models

Let us next turn to linear sigm a models with superpotential. They give rise to compact low energy con gurations. From now on we have to deal with matrix factorizations instead of complexes. In fact, many of the features that we observed for complexes in the previous section carry over to matrix factorizations, so that we elaborate on the peculiarities of the latter in the following.

First thing to keep in m ind when turning on a superpotential is that in order to satisfy the hom ogeneity equation (7) some of the chiral elds have to carry non-vanishing R-charge. In particular, for a gauge-invariant potential of the form

(96) $W (p ; x_i) = \begin{matrix} X \\ p G (x_i) ; \end{matrix}$

we will assign R-charge + 2 to the elds p and 0 to the elds x_i . This nontrivial charge assignment plays a special role in Landau (G inzburg orbifold phases, which we discuss in Sec. 6.2.

Second, in a phase where the superpotential (96) gives rise to F-term masses for p and the transverse modes to G $(x_i) = 0$, the low-energy dynamics is restricted to the subvariety $M = \langle fp = G = 0g$. In that case the matrix factorizations are mapped to geometric D-branes on M by the K norrer map [20]. We start in Sec. 6.1 with investigating how the world sheet parity action P^m on the matrix factorizations gets mapped to the parity action P^m_B on the geometric D-branes in the low-energy con guration.

6.1 The e ect of the K norrer m ap on the parity action

Let us review the standard K norrer periodicity before we move on to orientifolds and the brewise version that is needed in the context of gauged linear sigm a models. Consider at space C^N with coordinates $x_1; :::; x_N$ and C^{N+2} with coordinates $u; v; x_1; :::; x_N$. O ver the latter we consider the superpotentialW (u; v; x) = $uv + \frac{1}{N}$ (x).

K norrer periodicity then states that the set of (isom orphism classes of) matrix factorizations of W (u;v;x) over C^{N+2} is equivalent to the set of matrix factorizations of \overline{W} (x) over C^N . Physically, in the Landau (G inzburg model the superpotential W (u;v;x) gives masses to the elds u and v so that they can be integrated out in the infra-red. A canonical matrix factorization of the term uv in W (u;v;x) then establishes the equivalence of the two sets of matrix factorizations. The canonical matrix factorization reads

$$Q_{c}(u;v) = \begin{array}{c} 0 & v \\ u & 0 \end{array}$$

In fact, a matrix factorization $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbf{x})$ of $\overline{\mathbb{W}}(\mathbf{x})$ is mapped to a matrix factorization of W (u;v;x) by taking the graded tensor product with Q_c ,

(97)
$$\mathfrak{G}(\mathbf{x})$$
 $\mathfrak{T} Q(\mathbf{u};\mathbf{v};\mathbf{x}) = Q_{c}(\mathbf{u};\mathbf{v}) \quad _{0} + \mathrm{id}_{c} \quad \mathfrak{G}(\mathbf{x}):$

C onversely, K norrer observed in [64] that by isom orphism any matrix factorization of W (u;v;x) can be brought to the tensor product form as in (97), thus providing $\mathcal{G}(x)$.

In the context of gauged linear sigm a models the coordinate elds, here $u_iv_ix_1;\ldots;x_N$, carry gauge charges as well as R-charges, and we have to determ ine how their representations on D-branes are mapped under K norrer periodicity. The tensor product (97) dictates the following decomposition of representations,

(98)	(g) =	_c (g)	e(g);
	R() =	R _c ()	₽():

Let us set the R-charges for u and v to 0 and 2, the R-charge assignment of the remaining elds x_i does not play a role for the subsequent discussion. The representation of the R-symmetry $R_c()$ can be chosen up to an (unphysical) multiplication by a character (). We set $R_c() = diag(1;)$.

In order to determ ine the representation $_{c}(g)$ in the uv-system we note that the canonical matrix factorization Q_{c} can be obtained by quantizing a boundary ferm ion ; with canonical commutation relations f ; g = 1.

60

The two states in the Hilbert space of the boundary ferm ion are [] and [], where [] = 0. The ferm ion is coupled to the bulk uv-system through the boundary supercharge Q_c = u + v .

If we assign to v and u the charges Q_v resp. Q_v , then gauge invariance of the boundary supercharge requires $Q = Q = Q_v$. Accordingly, the Chan{Paton factors associated with the states D and D have the canonical charge assignments $Q_v=2$ resp. $Q_v=2$. Note how ever that $Q_v=2$ does not have to be an integer. We therefore shift it into the auxiliary theta angle $_c = Q_v$ and obtain $_c(g) = \text{diag}(1; g^{Q_v})$. The canonical matrix factorization is therefore

$$B_{c}: \underline{W(0)} \xrightarrow{u} W(Q_{v}):$$

To sum m arize, a m atrix factorization of $\[mathbb{W}\]$ (x) is m apped to a m atrix factorization of W (u;v;x) by taking the tensor product with B $_{\rm c}$. The auxiliary theta angle gives rise to the non-trivial relation

(99)
$$= {}^{\sim} + Q_{v}$$
;

where $and \sim are the theta angles of the ultra-violet theory, including the uv-system, and the infra-red theory, respectively.$

O rientifolds and the K norrer periodicity

Let us now check the compatibility of the K norrer map with the parity action. Note rst that condition (41) on the superpotential requires that the involution acts in the uv-system as :(u;v)? ($\frac{1}{v}^{1}u;!_{v}v$) for some phase $!_{v}$.

W e need to determ ine how the parity operator on m atrix factorizations of W (u;v;x) splits up in the tensor product (97) and (98). On the canonical m atrix factorization the world sheet parity acts as

$$P_{c}(Q_{c}) = Q_{c}^{T};$$

$$P_{c}(c_{c}(g)) = (g)_{c}(g)^{T};$$

$$P_{c}(R_{c}(c_{c})) = (g)_{c}(g)^{T};$$

where $m_c = 1$. The canonical quasi-isom orphism that makes B_c invariant is

$$U_{c} = \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ v & 0 \end{array}$$

It satis es

(100)
$$U_c = {}_c {}_c {}_c {}^2 {}^1 U_c^T \text{ with } {}_c = {}_v {}^1 :$$

U sing the results of Sec. 4.7 on tensor product branes we can construct the quasi-isom orphism U for the matrix factorization Q(u;v;x) in terms of the quasi-isom orphism U for $\mathcal{G}(x)$. The relations between the constants associated with the orientifold action can be sum marized as follows:

(101)
$$m = m 1;$$

 $\sim = Q;;$
 $\sim = !_{v}:$

In sum m ery, we found that the K norrer m ap relates the sets of invariant D-branes as follow s:

$$M \mathbb{F}_{W}^{m}; (\mathbb{C}^{N+2}) \stackrel{=}{\longrightarrow} M \mathbb{F}_{\widetilde{W}}^{\sim} \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}^{N})$$

In particular, the dressing of the parity action by the antibrane operator ($1\tilde{f}^{L}$ changes under the K norrer m ap, i.e. no dressing m aps to dressing and vice versa.⁸ T his result is in agreem ent with [18].

Fibre-wise K norrer m ap

Let us return now to our original question. G iven a parity operator in the linear sigm a model we want to determ ine the parity operator on the compact hypersurface M $_{\rm r}$ in a geom etric phase.

A spointed out in [20] the matrix factorizations in M F_W (C^N;T) and the geometric D-branes in D (M_r) are related by a bre-wise version of K norrer periodicity. For W = pG (x) we can therefore adopt our previous discussion, replacing (v;u) by (p;G (x)) and setting $\overline{W} = 0$.

If we have a superpotential $W = {P \choose i=1} p G$ (x) that gives rise to a complete intersection M_r in the large volume phase we have to apply brew ise K norrer periodicity ' times,

$$M F_{W}^{m}; (C^{N+2}) \xrightarrow{=} D^{\sim} m^{*} B (M_{r})$$

where the relation between the B - eld and the theta angle is

$$B = \begin{array}{c} X' \\ = 1 \end{array}$$

and the dressing by the antibrane operator is shifted according to

$$m = m$$
 ':

⁸This shift was observed in the context of defects in [90]

The relation between orientifold signs is $\sim = (1)^{Q} \cdot (1)^{-1} \cdot (1)^{-1}$. Here the phases ! are de ned through the holom orphic involution on the eld p , i.e. (p) = ! p . In a general coordinate basis the action of the involution

on the p 's m ay not be diagonal, so that the m ore invariant expression between the ultra-violet and the infra-red signs is in term s of the determ inant of acting on the p 's or on the polynom ials G , i.e.

A swe have reviewed in Sec.2.1 the price to pay for applying the K norrer map bre-wise is to deal with half-in nite complexes in D (M $_{\rm r}$). The world sheet parity action on R-degrees, j 7 m j, in plies that $P_{\rm B}^{\rm m}$ m aps right- to left-in nite complexes and vice versa. A D-brane is invariant if there exists a quasi-isom orphism between the left-and the right-in nite complex, i.e. the joined complex must be an in nite exact (i.e. empty) complex.

Since the description in terms of in nite complexes is cumbersome, in particular in the situation of complete intersections, we prefer to work directly with the matrix factorizations in the linear sigm a model in the subsequent examples. We will make an exception if the low-energy D-brane in $D^{\sim m-B}$ (M_r) is expressible through a nite complex of vector bundles.

6.2 Landau (G inzburg orbifolds and the orientifold m oduli space

Landau{G inzburg orbifolds are the 'com pact' analog of the orbifold m odels that we discussed in Sec. 5.1. We therefore closely follow the discussion therein. We start with the one-parameter m odel, where the vacuum expectation value for the eld p of charge Q $_{\rm p}$ = N breaks the gauge group from U (1) to Z_N.

The main di erence to the noncompact situation is the non-trivial R-charge assignment, $R_p = 2$, for the chiral eld p. When this eld gets a vacuum expectation value, for instance p = 1, it is convenient to dress the R-symmetry by a global gauge transformation, i.e. in the Landau {G inzburg model we use the shifted R-symmetry with charges $R_i = R_i + 2Q_i = N$ on the chiral elds. A matrix factorization is correspondingly mapped from the linear sigm a model to the Landau {G inzburg model through

Q(x) := Q(p=1;x);
() := (); for 2 U(1);
R() := R() (
$$^{2=N}$$
)¹;
U := U(p=1):



Figure 10. The N -fold cover of the K ahler m oduli space, $e^t = (N)^N$. For both N even and odd only the large volum e point with non-trivial B - eld, B = , is connected to the G epner point, the large volum e point with vanishing B - eld is not. This is due to the relation B = + N.

The Landau (G inzburg orbifold data of the D-brane clearly satis es the invariance conditions (66) for the discrete group $Z_{\rm N}$, provided that the theta angle is given by

and the R -sym m etry character $\ _{\rm m}$ () is determ ined by

(102)
$$m \coloneqq m + \frac{2}{N} - 2 Q$$
:

In this way we obtain the set of invariant D-branes M F_W^{m} ; (C^N;) in the Landau (G inzburg orbifold model, as it was studied before in [17]. Therein, the triangulated structure of the category of matrix factorizations was worked out in detail. In particular, the world sheet parity action was represented as a functor on the triangulated category.

In Sec. 5.1 we found that a shift of the theta angle by N \sim leaves the theory invariant at the orbifold point. In view of (102) this shift has to be supplemented by a shift of m, so that m is not altered, i.e.

(103)
$$(m) = (^{0}m)^{0} = ($$
 N $m + 2)$, $(m) = ($ N $m)$:

The lift of a matrix factorization from the Landau $\{G \text{ inzburg orbifold to} the linear sigm a model can be found along the lines of Sec. 5.1 and is explained in detail in [20]. According to (103) we have a choice in lifting to di erent slices of the moduli space. Suppose we have a matrix factor-ization with quasi-isom orphism U for given (;m). Then in view of the$

relations (66) a combined shift (103) implies that we have to dress the quasi-isomorphism by the eld p, i.e. U⁰ = pU . Note that m 7 m + 2 is conform with the R-charge $R_p = 2$. The sign of the orientifold action is altered according to (89),

$$^{0} = !_{p}^{1}$$
 :

Note that the implications for the moduli space are essentially the same as for the non-compact situation, see Fig. 10.

Higher-dim ensionalm oduli spaces

Let us generalize this discussion to models with higher-rank gauge group, $T = U(1)^k$. We consider a phase where the deleted set $_r$ has one or several irreducible component of the form $fx_1 = 0$ g for som ell fl;:::;N g. The vacuum expectation value for x_1 then breaks the gauge group so that $q^a = q^a + Q_1^a$ and in particular,

(104) $a \quad {}^{0}a = a + Q_{1}^{a}; \quad m^{0} = m + R_{1}; \quad {}^{0} = !_{1}^{1}:$

Note that the eld $x_1 m$ ay or m ay not carry R-charge.

W e obtain the equivalence

$$M F_{W}^{m}; (X_{r}) =! M F_{W}^{\circ} m^{\circ}; (X_{r}):$$

A solistinguished from the non-compact situation (91) the integer m may get shifted by 2. In order to see that this can indeed have a non-trivial e ect, recall that a common shift of R-degree [1]: j 7 j 1 is accompanied by m 7 m 2. This can be used to undo the shift of m in (104). How ever, the orientifold sign is then altered according to (64), that is 0 7 0 .

6.3 The type of orientifold planes

Recall from Sec. 3.1 that in a geom etric large volume phase the orientifold plane 0 is given by the intersection of the xed point locus Fix($_0$) with the holom orphic subvariety M = fp = G = 0g₈. This intersection m ay be reducible, 0 = 0; , which adds some subtleties as compared to the discussion of the type of orientifold planes for non-compact m odels in Sec. 5.2. The assumptions on the applicability of the type form ulas are the same as in Sec. 5.2.

For the following let us denote the ambient space by $Y = fp_1 = \dots; p_r = 0$ G = X and the complete intersection by $M = fG_1(x) = \dots = G_r(x) = 0$ G = Y. For simplicity we work in a coordinate basis that diagonalizes $_0$. For a given component 0 ; M, let us probe the type with a Koszullike matrix factorization with tachyon pro le Q = $_{i}(f_{i\ i} + g_{i\ i})$. The polynom ials f_{i} are given by those coordinates x_{i} whose common zero locus is 0; Note that the elds p are not included, because in the geometric phase they obtain zero expectation values from the F-term equations no matter how the involution $_{0}$ acts on them.

The polynom ials that determ ine 0 ; can be separated in two sets. The rst contains coordinate elds x_i that are not invariant under $_0$. Let us denote them by f_1 ;:::; f_s . Their common zero bous gives Fix($_0$). In order to restrict to M and to pick an irreducible component we have to add a nite number of $_0$ -invariant polynom ials, f_{s+1} ;:::; f_{s+r} , where r 2 f0;:::;'g is the num ber of polynom ials needed to restrict to M and to pick an irreducible component.

As for the non-compact models in Sec.5.2 the resulting K oszul-like matrix factorization may not be an invariant D-brane. In some cases a way out is to utilize a lower-dimensional probe brane to determ ine the type. For that we need to add the appropriate $_0$ -invariant polynom ials f_{s+r+1} ;:::; f_{s+r+2p} to the K oszul complex. The type is then given by

(105)
$$o = (1^{p})_{e} = (1^{$$

Here, D = s + r ' is the codimension of O; in M. Notice that its codimension in the ambient space Y is s + r. For containing only signs we obtain the simpler expression

(106) $o = (1)^{+(m+D+')=2}$ () for a = 1:

6.4 O rientifolds with and without vector structure

C om pacti cations w ithout vector structure have been introduced in [9,10], where they were investigated for toroidal compacti cations, see [11,12] for recent works. The starting point was the observation that the gauge group for the heterotic string is Spin (32)=Z₂ rather than SO (32). This allows compacti cations w ith gauge bundles which do not adm it vectors of SO (32). The obstruction to having vector structure is determ ined by a generalized Stiefel-W hitney class w₂, de ned modulo 2. On the dual type I side it was observed that the choice of w₂ corresponds to the choice of a discrete B – eld that is still allow ed by the orientifold projection, see [91].

Under T-duality these compactications get mapped to IIB compactications with 07-planes. As opposed to the T-dual of a compactications with

vector structure, the di erent orientifold planes will have unequal type, leading e ectively to a rank reduction of the gauge group. The orientifold action with xed points on a two torus has four 07-planes. In the case without vector structure three of them are 0 planes, and one is an 0⁺, such that tadpole cancellation requires only 8 D 7 branes, resulting in the gauge group SO (8).

In the current paper we have developed a fram ework where the physics of orientifolds can be studied over the whole K ahler m oduli space, in particular for all values of the discrete B - eld. The earlier results on com pactications without vector structure should therefore be reproduced by our m ethods.

 $E \times am p \ge 1 \text{ with } N = 3 \text{ and superpotential}$

To see this in the simplest example, we consider orientifolds of the cubic torus $E = CP^2$. The superpotential is taken to be

(107)
$$W = p(x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3) :$$

W e will focus on the holom orphic involution

(108)
$$_{0}(x_{1};x_{2};x_{3};p) = (x_{2}; x_{4}; x_{3};p):$$

As was discussed in Sec. 3.2 its xed point set at large volum e consists of 3 + 1 points on the torus,

The types can readily be computed using (106), where r = 1 for the three points and r = 0 for the single one. Taking into account the shift B = +3 we obtain

(109)
$$\begin{array}{cccc} \circ & _{1}; & = & _{0} \left(& 1 \right)^{n=2} \left(& 1 \right)^{\beta=} \\ \circ & _{1} & = & _{0} \left(& 1 \right)^{n=2} : \end{array}$$

A sexpected the four points have equal type for vanishing B - edd. O there ise, for nonvanishing B - edd the type of one point is dimensioned in the types of the other three points. Note that m being even in the gauged linear sigm a model means m being odd in the geometric phase, so that the parity action is dressed in the infra-red by the antibrane operator ($1\tilde{f}^{L}$, as it should be for 07-planes in the type IIB context.

Let us be more explicit and construct the probe branes that are used to test the type of each of these points. Since the xed point set can in each case be described by two linear equations, $f_1 = f_2 = 0$, the matrix factorizations are of K oszul type with $W = f_1g_1 + f_2g_2$, see the general discussion in section 4.6.

The B-eld turned on

For = 0 the matrix factorization and its parity image take the form isomorphism between the orientifold and its image.

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{W} \xrightarrow{m-2}{2} (1) \xrightarrow{f_{1}}{f_{2}} \mathbb{W} \xrightarrow{m}{2} (0)^{2} \xrightarrow{(f_{2}; \underline{f}_{1})}{\mathbb{W} \xrightarrow{m+2}{2}} \mathbb{W} \xrightarrow{m}{2} (1) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{U}_{1} \\ \mathbb{V} \\ \mathbb{W} \\ \mathbb{W} \\ \frac{m-2}{2} \\ \mathbb{W} \\ \frac{m-2}{2} \\ \mathbb{W} \\ \mathbb{W} \\ \frac{m-2}{2} \\ \mathbb{W} \\ \mathbb$$

The brane and its image t through the window $w = \langle w \text{ ith } N = f_1;0;1g, \text{see Sec. 2.2. In order to determ ine the isom orphism (u_1;u_0;u_1) we need to consider the individual orientifold points separately.$

To the single point O $_{+\,1}$ we can associate the factorization W $\,=\, \overset{P}{}_{a}\, f_{a}^{1}g_{a}^{1}$ with

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_1^1 &=& x_1 + x_2 \,; & f_2^1 = x_3 \\ \\ g_1^1 &=& p(x_1^2 & x_1 x_2 + x_2^2) \,; & g_2^1 = p x_3^2 \,; \end{array}$$

The polynomials f_a^1 are odd under the holomorphic involution $_0$. The isomorphism is then given by

$$u^{1}_{1} = 1; \quad u^{1}_{0} = \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}; \quad u^{1}_{1} = 1:$$

We not that $(U^1)^T = U^1$ and hence $o_{+1} = e_0 = (1)^{m-2}$, which con rm s the result (109) for non-vanishing B - eld.

For the three orientifold points 0 $_1$; we have

$$f_1 = x_1 x_2; f_2 = x_3 x_2 g_1 = p(x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2); g_2 = p(x_3^2 + x_2x_3 + {}^2x_2^2);$$

The holom orphic involution acts on the polynom ials as

$$f_1 = f_1$$
; $f_2 = f_2 + f_1$;

so that the isom orphism U is given by

$$u_1 = 1; u_0 = \frac{1}{1 \ 0}; u_1 = 1:$$

As a consequence, $(U)^{T} = U$ and therefore o_{1} ; $= e^{-1} = 0$, $(1)^{n-2}$. This con m s that for non-vanishing $B - e^{-1}$ the type at the three orientifold points is opposite to the one at the single point calculated before.

To make contact with the discussion in Sec. 4.6 note that det $_{\rm f}$ = 1 for the three points, and det $_{\rm f}$ = 1 for the single point, such that our explicit calculation is in agreement with the general discussion.

Vanishing B-eld

Let us next turn to the case $\ = \$. The D-brane and its in age are related as follows, $_{f_1}$

O byiously, U can in this case not be an isom orphism , it increases the degree by one, and therefore can only be a quasi-isom orphism linear in the coordinates x_i . That U is a quasi-isom orphism means that the bound state of the brane and its in age brane obtained by binding them using the tachyon prole given by U is an empty brane. Which branes are empty depends on the phase under consideration. Since we are interested in relating our construction to compactications without vector structure, we would like to make contact with the geometric regime at large volume. Here, the set $r = fx_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0g$ is excluded and any brane located there ows to an empty brane. This means that the quasi-isom orphism should be of the form f_0U , where U is the isom orphism considered previously, and f_0 is a polynomial in the elds x_i such that the common zero locus of $(f_0; f_1; f_2)$ is contained in r.

For the single xed point O_{+1} one can choose

$$\mathbf{f}_0^{\perp} = \mathbf{x}_1 \qquad \mathbf{x}_2 \qquad \qquad$$

Since f_0 is symmetric under the holom orphic involution, we conclude that again $(f_0^1 U^1)^T = f_0^1 U^1$, such that the type does not change, $o_{+1} = {}_0(11)^{m-2}$.

At the three xed points 0 1; this is di erent. Here, one can choose

$$E_0 = x_1 + x_2$$
:

Since the polynom $ialf_0$ ips sign under parity transform ation, we do not that $(f_0^! U^!)^T = f_0^! U^!$ and the type of the orientifold will also ip, that is o 1; = 0 (1^{m} ⁼². All four points carry the same type for vanishing B-eld.

To sum marize, the transform ation properties of the quasi-isom orphism between a D-brane and its parity in age determ ine whether or not the orientifold type is changed when the theta angle is modi ed.

6.5 Type change in the orientifold moduli space

In m odels with higher-dimensional K ahler m oduli space it m ay happen that dierent large volume points are connected via a path in m oduli space. In this section we illustrate the change of orientifold type along paths in the compact version of Example 2.

Exam ple 2

Recall the charges (10) and the moduli space from Fig. 9. The superpotential is W = pG(x) with a quasi-hom ogeneous polynom ial G(x) of gauge charge (4;0). For simplicity we pick the Ferm at type polynom ial $G(x) = x_6^4(x_1^8 + x_2^8) + x_3^4 + x_4^4 + x_5^4$. For the world sheet parity action we choose the holom orphic involution [14]

	x ₁	x ₂	x ₃	x ₄	X_5	x ₆	р
0	+1	+1	1	1	1	+ 1	-

which acts diagonally on the chiral elds. We set m to be even.

Let us follow the two paths in Fig. 9. The two slices of interest have theta angles = (0;) and = (;). A long Path A we meet the dashed line, which stretches between the Landau (G inzburg point and the weighted projective model point. On this line x_6 obtains a vacuum expectation value, and according to the shifts (104) neither $_0$ norm is altered when we change from slice (0;) to (;).

Following Path B is di erent. A long the dotted line between the Landau { G inzburg point and the hybrid point the eld p gets a vacuum expectation value, thus connecting = (0;) with $^{0} =$ (4;). The corresponding shifts are m $^{0} =$ m + 2 and $^{0}_{0} =$ 0. Indeed Path B connects the large volume theories M $F_{W}^{m;(0;)}$ (X) and M $F_{W}^{m+2;(0;)}$ (X). In the latter we can perform an overall shift of the R-degrees and use (64) to nd that M F $_{\rm W}$ $^{m+2;(0;)}$ (X) $\stackrel{[1]}{!}$ M F $_{\rm W}$ $^{m;(0;)}$ (X). W e obtain the following diagram :

The vertical map is the Knorrer map. Note that as compared to the diagram (95) for the non-compact model, now the sets of invariant D-branes M $F_W^{+1m;(0;)}(X)$ and M $F_W^{-1m;(0;)}(X)$ are not connected through a path in K ahler moduli space.

Let us investigate the xed point locus of $_0$ on the hypersurface M = fp = G (x) = 0g at large volume. The non-trivial components 0 are

$$O_{(+1;+1)} = fx_3 = x_4 = x_5 = 0g M ;$$

 $O_{(-1;+1)} = fx_6 = 0g M :$

The second is a divisor D , and the rst is a union of eight points on M ,

$$p = O_{(+1;+1)}$$
; = fx₁ x₂ = x₃ = x₄ = x₅ = 0g M for ⁸ = 1:

Let us compute the type of O $_{(+1,+1)}$; rst. The matrix factorization for the probe brane can be written in terms of boundray ferm ions as Q = $f_a(x)_a + g_a(p;x)_a$ with

$$f_1 = x_1$$
 $x_2; f_2 = x_3; f_3 = x_4; f_4 = x_5:$

The polynom ials g_a are such that $W = \int_a^P f_a g_a \cdot U \sin q$ form ula (106) with r = 1 we nd for the type,

$$O_{(+1;+1)}$$
; = $_{0}(1)^{m=2}$:

The type of O (1;+1) is computed using (106) with r = 1,

$$o_{(1;+1)} = 0 (1)^{m-2} (1)^{1} :$$

large volum e	= (0;)	= (;)
$(1)^{\frac{m}{2}} = +1$	0 ⁺ _p 0 _D <u>A</u>	0 ⁺ _p 0 ⁺ _D K _B
$(1)^{\frac{m}{2}} = 1$	O _p O _D ⁺ <u>A</u>	0 _p 0 _D K _B

Let us sum m arize our results in the following table:

We found that orientifold planes of opposite type sit in the same moduli space. In particular, the type change of the 07-plane on D has non-trivial implications: In order to be able to cancel tadpoles and preserve space-time supersymmetry we need an 07 -plane in the large volume limit. A ssume that we have found a supersymmetric and tadpole cancelling con guration of D-branes. A swe follow Path A we end up with an 07⁺ -plane, that is with positive tension, which implies that space-time supersymmetry must have been broken along the way.

6.6 07 -planes and singular D7-branes from F-theory

In this section we consider a particular type IIB compacti cation with D-branes and orientifold planes that is known to descend from the weak coupling lim it of F-theory on an elliptic bration over CP^3 [92]. The authors of [34{36] investigated the geometry of the D7-brane and found that it is singular along a curve that sits at the intersection with the O7-plane. This can be attributed to the fact that the D7-brane is located at the zero locus of a non-generic hypersurface polynom ial, i.e. the D7-brane geometry has less deform ation parameters than a D7-brane on a generic hypersurface. R ef. [34,36] give essentially two type IIB explanations for the singular intersection, one involving a test brane and the other invoking D 3-brane tadpole cancellation.

At present we want to re-exam ine this model and explain the non-generic hypersurface from a type IIB world sheet perspective,⁹ neither referring to tadpole cancellation nor using test branes.

 $^{^9}A$ ndres Collinucci pointed out in his talk at the workshop on \M athem atical Challenges of String Phenom enology" at the ESIV ienna that a world sheet argum ent should exist.
Themodel

The type IIB compacti cation at hand is a system of 07-planes and D7branes on a degree eight hypersurface in weighted projective space W P_{11114}^8 . This is the large volume point of the following gauged linear sigma model.

	x1	x2	x3	X4		р
U(1)	1	1	1	1	4	8
0	+1	+ 1	+ 1	+ 1	1	1

The involution $_0$ acts diagonally on the coordinates with the signs given in the table. The superpotential is given by W = p G(;x), where

$$G(;x) = h(x) + {}^{2};$$

and h(x) is a degree eight polynom ial. The low energy con guration at large volume is the hypersurface M = fG(;x) = 0g $W \mathbb{P}_{11114}^{2}$. The B-eld vanishes. We set m = 0 in the gauged linear sigm a model, which means m = 1 in the non-linear sigm a model on the hypersurface, i.e. the parity action is dressed by $(1)^{F_{L}}$, as it should be for an 07-plane.

The xed point locus of $_0$ gives the orientifold plane at (= +1)

$$O_{+1} = f = 0g M$$
:

M
$$F_{W}^{+1;0;=0}(X) = D^{+1;1;B=0}(M)$$
:

A llD-branes that we consider in the follow ing must be contained in this set.

The D 7-brane descending from F-theory carries gauge group SO (N) and is localized on the divisor

(110) $D = f(x)^2 - (x) = 0 g M;$

where (x) and (x) are polynomials of degree n resp. 2n 8 for some integer n > $4.^{10}$

 $^{^{10}\,\}text{In}$ fact, in the con guration that descends from F-theory the integer takes the value n = 16 and the gauge group on the D-brane is O (1), so that tadpole cancellation is autom atic.

Invariant D -branes in the orientifold background

The D7-brane on D contains a curve of ordinary double points at f = 0 g for e 0, which lies on the intersection with the orientifold plane. This singular curve pinches o at the points f = 0 g which are locally described by the W hitney um brella $fu^2 = v^2 wg$ C³. The num ber of these pinch points is given by multiplying the degrees of the polynom ials (h; ;) that de ne their location, that is 8 n (2n 8). One of the goals of [34{36}] was then to explain this singular behaviour and to nd a mechanism that prohibits deform ing the special divisor D to a generic degree 2n divisor,

$$D^{0} = fP_{2n}(x) = 0g M$$
:

In our approach we rst check the gauge group for a D7-brane on the divisor D⁰, that is for a coherent sheaf $O_{D^0}(n)$. In D^{+1; 1;B=0} (M) it can be described through a D9D9 (system given by the complex

(111)
$$O_{M}(n) \xrightarrow{P_{2n}(\underline{x})} O_{M}(n)$$
:

 $O_{\rm M}$ is the pull-back of the trivial holom orphic line bundle from the am bient space W P⁸₁₁₁₁₄ to the hypersurface M .¹¹ In order to determ ine the gauge group, let us compute the external sign for this D-brane. Since the D-brane (111) is a K oszul complex (73) of just one polynom ial, we can apply form ula (81) with m = 1, $\sim_0 = +1$, and n = 1. For illustration let us be more explicit here. In matrix form the tachyon pro leQ and the isom orphism U that satis es the invariance conditions (66) are given by

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_{2n}(x) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
; and $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$:

The condition (67) then gives $\sim_{0i} = +1$. The external sign is therefore readily computed to be $_{e} = \sim_{0} = \sim_{0i} = -1$, and tells us that $O_{D^{0}}(n)$ has to carry gauge group Sp(N).¹² Note that we could even choose the polynom ial $P_{2n}(x)$ to assume the special form $(x)^{2} = h(x) (x)$. The gauge group on this brane tells us how ever that the coherent sheaf $O_{D}(n)$ is not the D-brane from the weak-coupling lim it of F-theory, although it shares the sam e world

$$\frac{W(0)}{p} = \frac{G}{W(8)}$$

Instead of doing so we will directly work in $D^{+1; 1; B = 0}$ (M).

¹¹N ote that in this simple situation the complex can be lifted to a matrix factorization by tensoring it with the canonical matrix factorization

 $^{^{12}}$ Instead of the even polynom $ial\,P_{2n}$ (x) we could have considered a divisor determ ined through an odd polynom ial P_{2n} $_4$ (x). This would lead to $_e$ = +1 and therefore to gauge group SO (N). This divisor is how ever reducible into two components [34,36], one of them lying on the 07 $\,$ -plane. But this is again not the con guration.

volume. In particular, there are no obstructions to deform ing O_D (n) back to a generic divisor. We conclude that the D-brane that descends from F-theory on the divisor D cannot correspond to a single D 9D 9 (system (111).

As suggested in [36] the next best guess for the actual D-brane that descends from F-theory is a rank two D $9\overline{\rm D}$ 9 (system , that is a com plex

;

(112)
$$\begin{array}{c} O_{M}(a) & \underline{T}(\underline{x}) & O_{M}(a) \\ O_{M}(b) & O_{M}(b) \end{array}$$

where T (;x) is a rank two tachyon pro le.

The D-brane (112) is invariant if we nd an isom orphism U that satisfies condition (72). In fact, we have

$$u^{0} = \sim_{01} (u^{1})^{t} = e \text{ id };$$

where we used $e = e_0 = e_0 = e_0 = e_0$ and the freedom of choosing a basis for the Chan{Paton space to set $u^{-1} = id$. The invariance condition on Q (x) in (66) becomes

$$T = e \Omega T^{t}$$

R ecall that the D -brane should carry an orthogonal gauge group SO (N), so $_{\rm e}$ = +1 and the tachyon pro $\,$ le takes the form

$$T(;x) =$$

In the infra-red the D-brane localizes on the determ inant

detT =
$${}^{2}($$
 ${}^{2}) + {}^{2};$

which is a polynom islof degree 2n = 2(a + b).

The determ inant is already very similar to the polynom ial in D. In fact [36], the D-brane on the divisor D corresponds to the tachyon pro le T with the largest number of deform ation parameters in the polynom ials. It can be obtained by setting a = 2 and b = n - 2. Then the polynom ials (;;;) have degrees (0; n = 4; 2n = 8; n). In that case the polynom ial is redundant and can be set to zero by a similarity transform ation of the Chan{Paton space. Finally setting a = 1, the tachyon pro le becomes

and its determ inant is precisely the polynom ial in (110), i.e.

$$D = fdetT = {}^{2} {}^{2} = 0q$$
:

We conclude that we have found strong indications that the D7-brane from the weak-coupling lim it of F-theory corresponds to a rank two D 9D 9 { system that carries gauge group SO (N) and is localizes on the divisor D. It does not how ever correspond to the coherent sheaf O_D (n). In fact, we found that the latter supports the gauge group Sp(N).

A cknow ledgm ent: W e thank R obert H ashofer for collaboration at an early stage of this project. W e appreciated valuable discussions with C ostas B achas, M assim o B ianchi, A ndres C ollinucci, M boyo E sole, G abriele H onecker, K entaro H ori, H ans Jockers, D aniel K re, W olfgang Lerche, Fernando M archesano, G reg M oore, C hristian R om elsberger, Em anuel Scheidegger, A ngel U ranga, and Johannes W alcher. M H. thanks the ESI in V ienna, the LM U and the DFG cluster of excellence \O rigin and Structure of the U niverse" in M unich as well as the ETH Zurich for their hospitality. IB. thanks CERN for hospitality. The work of IB. is supported by a EURY I award of the European Science foundation.

A ppendix A Z₂-graded vector spaces and their dual

Let us consider a Z₂-graded complex vector space $V = V_+ - V$ with involution : V ! V that has Eigenvalue 1 on V. An element v 2 V has degree jvjso that ($1j^{jvj} = 1^{13}$. The dual vector space V is de ned through the dual pairing f;v_v for v 2 V and f 2 V. It is non-vanishing for jf j+ jvj = m. The pairing is called even/odd if m is even/odd.

The grading on V naturally induces a grading on the vector space of hom omorphism, Hom $(V_1;V_2) = Hom_+ (V_1;V_2)$ Hom $(V_1;V_2)$. For an element M 2 Hom $(V_1;V_2)$ of de nite degree we denote the degree by M j and we have

$$_{2}M_{1} = (1)^{M} M :$$

The graded transpose

To an element M $\,$ 2 Hom (V_1;V_2) we can associate a dual hom om orphism in Hom (V_2;V_1), the graded transpose M $^{\rm T}$, via

(113)
$$M^{T}f; v_{V_{1}} \coloneqq (1)^{M} j(j \not\equiv m) f; M v_{V_{2}}:$$

 $^{^{\}rm 13}\,{\rm In}$ the m ain text the degree corresponds to the R -charge.

Here v 2 V₁ and f 2 V₂. In an even/odd basis, in which $_1 = _2 = diag(id; id)$, a homomorphism and its graded transpose are¹⁴

(114)
$$M = \begin{array}{c} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}; \qquad M^{T} = \begin{array}{c} a^{t} & c^{t} \\ b^{t} & d^{t} \end{array};$$

where ^t is the ordinary transposition of matrices. In view of the shift m in grading between the vector space and its dual, the involution on the dual vectro space V is (1)^m^T.

Let us subsume some properties for the graded transpose that are useful for the main part of this work. For compositions of hom om orphism swe have

(115)
$$(AB)^{T} = (1)^{A jB j} B^{T} A^{T}$$
:

Its behaviour with respect to matrix inversion is

(116)
$$(M^{T})^{1} = {}^{T}_{2} (M^{-1})^{T}_{1} = (1)^{M} {}^{j} (M^{-1})^{T}_{j}$$

For even hom om orphism s we do not pick up a sign on the right-hand side and we can use the abbreviation M^T \coloneqq (M^T) ¹ unam biguously. The herm itian conjugation on the dual space is de ned by requiring that herm itian conjugation commutes with the graded transpose,

(117)
$$(M^{T})^{Y} \coloneqq (M^{Y})^{T}:$$

Double transpose

The double dual V of a vector space V is canonically isomorphic to V via the canonical isomorphism e: V ! V defined by $e(v); f_v \coloneqq f; v_v$.¹⁵ In the following and in the main part of this work we do not explicitly write out this isomorphism.

The double transpose of a hom on orphism $\,M\,$: $V_1\,\,!\,\,V_2$ acts via the canonical isom orphism as M $^{T\,T}\,$: $V_1\,\,!\,\,V_2$. Let us determ ine its relation to M ,

 $M^{TT}v; f_{V} = (1)^{M j(jv; m)} v; M^{T}f_{V} =$ $= (1)^{M j(jv; m)} M^{T}f; v_{V} =$ $= (1)^{M j(jv; m)} f; M v_{V} =$ $= (1)^{M j(jv; m)} f; M v; f_{V} :$

 $^{^{14}}$ T he slightly non-standard de nition of the graded transpose in (113), including the sign (1) $^{\rm M}$ $^{\rm jn}$, ensures that M $^{\rm T}$ has the same form for both m even and odd.

 $^{^{15}}N$ ote that this isom orphism is de ned without sign as compared to [17], and therefore $_{\rm there}$ = $e_{\rm here}$.

We therefore nd, using M j + j j + j v j = m, that

(118) $M^{TT} = (1)^{(m+1)M} \dot{M} = {}^{m+1}M^{m+1}$:

A lternatively, this can be seen directly with (114), keeping in m ind that the grading operator on the dual vector space is $(1)^{m}$.

G raded tensor products

The graded tensor product, $V = V_1 b V_2$ can be de ned for endom orphism s A and B in terms of the ordinary (non-graded) tensor product,

$$(A b B) \coloneqq A \stackrel{jA j}{\simeq} B :$$

The grading operator on the right-hand side ensures the multiplication rule

 $(A b B)(C b D) = (1)^{\frac{1}{p} j C j}(AC) b (BD):$

However, the graded transpose is not the naive one, an explicit computation in the even/odd basis reveals

(119) (A b B) = A^T (
$$\begin{pmatrix} T\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$
) $\stackrel{\beta}{}^{j}$ b ($\begin{pmatrix} T\\2 \end{pmatrix}$) $\stackrel{\beta}{}^{j}$ $\stackrel{\beta}{}^{T}$ = A^T ($\begin{pmatrix} T\\1 \end{pmatrix}$) $\stackrel{\beta}{}^{j}$ $\stackrel{\beta}{}^{T}$:

R eferences

- A. Sagnotti, \Open strings and their symmetry groups," arX is hepth/0208020.
- [2] P. Horava, \Strings on W orld Sheet Orbifolds," Nucl. Phys. B 327 (1989) 461.
- [3] J.Dai, R.G. Leigh and J.Polchinski, \New Connections Between String Theories," Mod. Phys. Lett. A 4 (1989) 2073.
- [4] M. Bianchi and A. Sagnotti, \On the system atics of open string theories," Phys. Lett. B 247 (1990) 517.
- [5] M.Bianchi and A.Sagnotti, \Twist symmetry and open string W ilson lines," Nucl. Phys. B 361 (1991) 519.
- [6] E.G.G im on and J. Polchinski, \Consistency Conditions for Orientifolds and D-Manifolds," Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 1667 [arXiv:hepth/9601038].
- [7] J.Polchinski, \D irichlet-B ranes and R am ond-R am ond C harges," Phys. Rev.Lett. 75 (1995) 4724 [arX iv hep-th/9510017].
- [8] E.W itten, \Phases of N = 2 theories in two dimensions," Nucl. Phys.
 B 403, 159 (1993) [arX iv hep-th/9301042].
- [9] E.W itten, \Toroidalcom pacti cation without vector structure," JHEP 9802 (1998) 006 [arX iv:hep-th/9712028].

- [10] M. Bianchi, \A note on toroidal compacti cations of the type I superstring and other superstring vacuum con gurations with 16 supercharges," Nucl. Phys. B 528 (1998) 73 [arXiv:hep-th/9711201].
- [11] I. Pesando, \A comment on discrete K alb-R am ond eld on orientifold and rank reduction," Phys. Lett. B 668 (2008) 324 [arX iv:0804.3931 [hep-th]].
- [12] C. Bachas, M. Bianchi, R. Blum enhagen, D. Lust and T. Weigand, \Comments on Orientifolds without Vector Structure," JHEP 0808 (2008) 016 [arXiv:0805.3696 [hep-th]].
- [13] I. Brunner and K. Hori, \O rientifolds and m irror symmetry," JHEP 0411 (2004) 005 [arX iv:hep-th/0303135].
- [14] I. Brunner, K. Hori, K. Hosom ichi and J. W alcher, \O rientifolds of Gepner m odels," JHEP 0702 (2007) 001 [arX iv:hep-th/0401137].
- [15] K. Hori, D. Gao, to appear. See also http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/strings05/hori
- [16] J. Distler, D. Freed and G. W. Moore, to appear. See also http://www.phys.ethz.ch/(tilde)mrg/Prestrings
- [17] K. Hori and J. Walcher, \D-brane categories for orientifolds: The Landau-Ginzburg case," JHEP 0804 (2008) 030 [arXiv:hep-th/0606179].
- [18] J. Bertin and F. Rosay, \Periodicite de Knorrer etendue," Comptes Rendus M athem atique 345, 4 (2007) 187 [arX iv m ath/0609400].
- [19] D. E. Diaconescu, A. Garcia-Raboso, R. L. Karp and K. Sinha, \D-brane superpotentials in Calabi-Yau orientifolds (projection)," arXiv:hep-th/0606180.
- [20] M. Herbst, K. Hori and D. Page, \Phases Of N = 2 Theories In 1+1 D in ensions W ith Boundary," arX iv:0803.2045 [hep-th].
- [21] T. Bridgeland, \Flops and derived categories," Invent. M ath. 147, 3 (2002) 613 [arX iv m ath AG /0009053].
- [22] T.Bridgeland, A.King and M.Reid, \The Mckay Correspondence As An Equivalence Of Derived Categories," J.Am.Math.Soc. 14, 535 (2001).
- [23] M. van den Bergh, \N on-commutative crepant resolutions," in \The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel," 749{770, Springer, Berlin, 2004, [arXivmath/0211064].
- [24] Y.Kawamata, \Log C repart B irational M aps and D erived C ategories," [arX iv m ath AG /0311139].
- [25] D.Orlov, \Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities," [arX iv m ath/0503632].

- [26] P. S. Aspinwall, \The Landau-G inzburg to Calabi-Yau dictionary for D-branes," J.M ath. Phys. 48 (2007) 082304 [arX iv:hep-th/0610209].
- [27] I. Brunner, M. R. Douglas, A. E. Law rence and C. Rom elsberger, \Dbranes on the quintic," JHEP 0008 (2000) 015 [arX iv hep-th/9906200].
- [28] S.G ovindara jan and T.Jayaram an, \D-branes, exceptional sheaves and quivers on Calabi-Yau manifolds: From M ukai to M cK ay," Nucl. Phys. B 600 (2001) 457 [arX iv hep-th/0010196];
- [29] P. Mayr, \Phases of supersymmetric D-branes on Kaehler manifolds and the McKay correspondence," JHEP 0101 (2001) 018 [arX iv hepth/0010223];
- [30] T. Takayanagi, \Holomorphic tachyons and fractional D-branes," Nucl. Phys. B 603 (2001) 259 [arX iv:hep-th/0103021].
- [31] T. Takayanagi, \Tachyon condensation on orbifolds and M cK ay correspondence," Phys.Lett. B 519 (2001) 137 [arX iv:hep-th/0106142].
- [32] K.Becker, M.Becker, C.Vafa and J.Walcher, \Moduli stabilization in non-geometric backgrounds," Nucl. Phys. B 770 (2007) 1 [arX iv hep-th/0611001].
- [33] K. Becker, M. Becker and J. Walcher, \Runaway in the Landscape," Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 106002 [arXiv:0706.0514 [hep-th]].
- [34] P.Alu and M.Esole, \Chem class identities from tadpole matching in type IIB and F-theory," arX iv:0710.2544 [hep-th].
- [35] A.P.Braun, A.Hebecker and H.Triendl, \D7-Brane Motion from M-Theory Cycles and Obstructions in the Weak Coupling Limit," Nucl. Phys. B 800 (2008) 298 [arXiv:0801.2163 [hep-th]].
- [36] A. Collinucci, F. Denef and M. Esole, \D-brane Deconstructions in IIB Orientifolds," arX iv:0805.1573 [hep-th].
- [37] C. Angelanton j, M. Bianchi, G. Pradisi, A. Sagnotti and Y. S. Stanev, \Comments on Gepnerm odels and type I vacua in string theory," Phys. Lett. B 387 (1996) 743 [arX iv hep-th/9607229].
- [38] R. Blum enhagen and A. W isskirchen, \Spectra of 4D, N = 1 type I string vacua on non-toroidalCY threefolds," Phys. Lett. B 438 (1998) 52 [arX iv hep-th/9806131].
- [39] G. A klazabal, E. C. Andres, M. Leston and C. Nunez, \Type IIB orientifolds on Gepner points," JHEP 0309 (2003) 067 [arX iv hep-th/0307183].
- [40] R. Blum enhagen and T. Weigand, \Chiral supersymmetric Gepner model orientifolds," JHEP 0402 (2004) 041 [arX iv:hep-th/0401148].

80

- [41] T.P.T.Dijkstra, L.R.Huiszoon and A.N.Schellekens, \Supersymmetric standard model spectra from RCFT orientifolds," Nucl. Phys. B 710 (2005) 3 [arX iv hep-th/0411129].
- [42] K. Hosom ichi, \Permutation orientifolds of Gepner models," JHEP 0701 (2007) 081 [arX iv:hep-th/0612109].
- [43] J. Walcher, \Evidence for Tadpole Cancellation in the Topological String," arX iv:0712.2775 [hep-th].
- [44] J. Walcher, \Opening mirror symmetry on the quintic," Commun. Math. Phys. 276 (2007) 671 [arXiv:hep-th/0605162].
- [45] D.R.Morrison and J.Walcher, \D-branes and Normal Functions," arXiv:0709.4028 [hep-th].
- [46] A. Strom inger, \M assless black holes and conifolds in string theory," Nucl. Phys. B 451 (1995) 96 [arX iv:hep-th/9504090].
- [47] K.Hori, K.Hosom ichi, D.C.Page, R.Rabadan and J.Wakher, \Nonperturbative orientifold transitions at the conifold," JHEP 0510 (2005) 026 [arX iv hep-th/0506234].
- [48] K. Hori et al., \M irror sym m etry," SPIRES entry Providence, USA: AMS (2003) 929 p
- [49] N.P.W amer, \Supersymmetry in boundary integrable models," Nucl. Phys.B 450 (1995) 663 [arX is hep-th/9506064].
- [50] M.Kontsevich, unpublished.
- [51] D. Orlov, \Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-G inzburg m odels," arX iv m ath/0302304.
- [52] A. Kapustin and Y. Li, \D-branes in Landau-G inzburg models and algebraic geometry," JHEP 0312 (2003) 005 [arX iv:hep-th/0210296].
- [53] I.Brunner, M. Herbst, W. Lerche and B. Scheuner, \Landau-G inzburg realization of open string TFT," JHEP 0611 (2006) 043 [arX iv:hepth/0305133].
- [54] A.Kapustin and Y.Li, \Topological Correlators in Landau-G inzburg Models with Boundaries," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 7 (2004) 727 [arXiv:hep-th/0305136].
- [55] D.Quillen, \Superconnections and the Chern character," Topology 24 (1985) 89.
- [56] P.K raus and F.Larsen, \Boundary string eld theory of the DD-bar system," Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 106004 [arX iv:hep-th/0012198].
- [57] T. Takayanagi, S. Terashim a and T. Uesugi, \Brane-antibrane action from boundary string eld theory," JHEP 0103 (2001) 019 [arX iv:hepth/0012210].

- [58] P. S. Aspinwall, \D-branes on Calabi-Yau manifolds," arX iv:hep-th/0403166.
- [59] J. Sham ash, \The Poincare series of a local ring," J. A lgebra 12 453 (1969).
- [60] D.E isenbud, \H om ologicalA lgebra on a C om plete Intersection, with an Application to G roup R epresentations," Transactions of the Am erican M athem atical Society, 260, 35 (1980), No. 1
- [61] L.L.Avram ov, R.O. Buchweitz, \Hom ological algebra m odulo a regular sequence with special attention to codimension two," J.Algebra, 230,1 (2000) 24.
- [62] L. L. Avram ov, D. R. Grayson, \Resolutions and cohomology over complete intersections," in \C om putations in algebraic geometry with M acaulay 2," 131{178, A lgorithm s C om put.M ath., 8, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [63] P.S.Aspinwall, \Topological D-Branes and Commutative Algebra," arXiv:hep-th/0703279.
- [64] H.Knorrer, \Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities. I," Invent. M ath. 88 (1987) 153.
- [65] D.R.M orrison and M.Ronen Plesser, \Sum m ing the instantons: Quantum cohomology and m irror sym m etry in toric varieties," Nucl. Phys. B 440 (1995) 279 [arX iv:hep-th/9412236].
- [66] M.R.Douglas and G.W.Moore, \D-branes, Quivers, and ALE Instantons," arX iv hep-th/9603167.
- [67] M.R.Douglas, D-branes, categories and N = 1 supersymmetry," J. Math.Phys. 42 (2001) 2818 [arX iv:hep-th/0011017].
- [68] A.Sen, \Tachyon condensation on the brane antibrane system ," JHEP 9808,012 (1998) [arX iv:hep-th/9805170].
- [69] E. Witten, \D-branes and K-theory," JHEP 9812 (1998) 019 [arXiv:hep-th/9810188].
- [70] K. Hori, \Linear models of supersymmetric D-branes," arX iv:hep-th/0012179.
- [71] S.K. A shok, E.D ell'A quila and D.E.D iaconescu, \Fractional branes in Landau-G inzburg orbifolds," Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 8 (2004) 461 [arX iv:hep-th/0401135];
- [72] S.K. Ashok, E. Dell'Aquila, D. E. Diaconescu and B. Florea, \Obstructed D-branes in Landau-G inzburg orbifolds," Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 8 (2004) 427 [arX iv hep-th/0404167];
- [73] K.Horiand J.W alcher, \F-term equations near G epner points," JHEP 0501 (2005) 008 [arX iv hep-th/0404196];

- [74] K. Hori and J. Walcher, \D-branes from matrix factorizations," Comptes Rendus Physique 5 (2004) 1061 [arX iv:hep-th/0409204];
- [75] I. Brunner, M. Herbst, W. Lerche and J. W alcher, \M atrix factorizations and m irror symmetry: The cubic curve," JHEP 0611 (2006) 006 [arX iv:hep-th/0408243];
- [76] I. Brunner and M. R. Gaberdiel, \M atrix factorisations and permutation branes," JHEP 0507 (2005) 012 [arX iv:hep-th/0503207];
- [77] B. Ezhuthachan, S. Govindarajan and T. Jayaraman, \A quantum McKay correspondence for fractional2p-branes on LG orbifolds," JHEP 0508 (2005) 050 [arX iv hep-th/0504164];
- [78] H. Enger, A. Recknagel and D. Roggenkamp, \Permutation branes and linear matrix factorisations," JHEP 0601 (2006) 087 [arX iv:hepth/0508053];
- [79] C. Caviezel, S. Fredenhagen and M. R. Gaberdiel, \The RR charges of A-type Gepner models," JHEP 0601 (2006) 111 [arXiv:hepth/0511078];
- [80] S.Govindarajan, H.Jockers, W. Lerche and N.P.Wamer, \Tachyon condensation on the elliptic curve," Nucl. Phys. B 765 (2007) 240 [arX iv hep-th/0512208];
- [81] S.Fredenhagen and M.R.G aberdiel, \G eneralised N = 2 permutation branes," JHEP 0611 (2006) 041 [arX iv:hep-th/0607095];
- [82] C. Schm idt-Colinet, \Tensor product and permutation branes on the torus," JHEP 0707 (2007) 044 [arX iv:hep-th/0701128].
- [83] J. K napp and E. Scheidegger, \M atrix Factorizations, M assey Products and F-Terms for Two-Parameter Calabi-Yau Hypersurfaces," arX iv:0812.2429 [hep-th].
- [84] K. A. Intriligator, \RG xed points in six dimensions via branes at orbifold singularities," Nucl. Phys. B 496 (1997) 177 [arX iv:hepth/9702038].
- [85] J.D.Blum and K.A. Intriligator, \Consistency conditions for branes at orbifold singularities," Nucl. Phys. B 506 (1997) 223 [arX iv:hepth/9705030].
- [86] J. D. Blum and K. A. Intriligator, \New phases of string theory and 6d RG xed points via branes at orbifold singularities," Nucl. Phys. B 506 (1997) 199 [arX iv hep-th/9705044].
- [87] I. Brunner and A. Karch, \Branes at orbifolds versus Hanany W itten in six dimensions," JHEP 9803 (1998) 003 [arX iv:hep-th/9712143].
- [88] A.M. Uranga, \A new orientifold of C²=Z (N) and six-dimensional RG xed points," Nucl. Phys. B 577 (2000) 73 [arX iv hep-th/9910155].

- [89] S. Franco, A. Hanany, D. Kre, J. Park, A. M. Uranga and D. Vegh, \D im ers and O rientifolds," JHEP 0709 (2007) 075 [arX iv:0707.0298 [hep-th]].
- [90] I. Brunner, H. Jockers and D. Roggenkamp, \Defects and D-Brane M onodrom ies," arX iv:0806.4734 [hep-th].
- [91] A. Sen and S. Sethi, \The mirror transform of type I vacua in six dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B 499 (1997) 45 [arX iv:hep-th/9703157].
- [92] A.Sen, \Orientifold lim it of F-theory vacua," Phys.Rev.D 55 (1997) 7345 [arX iv:hep-th/9702165].