# Supersymmetric G rand Unication and Lepton Universality in K! ' Decays

John Ellis<sup>1</sup>, Sm aragda Lola<sup>2</sup> and M artti R aidal<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Theory D ivision, Physics D epartm ent, CERN 1211, G eneva 23, Sw itzerland <sup>2</sup> D epartm ent of Physics, U niversity of Patras, G R -26500 Patras, G reece <sup>3</sup> National Institute of Chem ical Physics and B iophysics R avala 10, Tallinn 10143, E stonia

#### A bstract

Motivated by the prospects for an improved test of lepton universality in K ! ' decays by the NA62 experiment at CERN, we study predictions for the possible lepton nonuniversality in K ! ' decays in supersymmetric models. V iolations of e universality in this process m ay originate from m ixing e ects in the right-handed slepton sector, providing a unique window into this aspect of supersymmetric avour physics in the large-tan region. M inim al uni cation scenarios with universal soft supersymmetry-breaking terms at the GUT scale would predict negligible violation of lepton universality. However, lepton non-universality may be observable in non-minimal grand unied models with higherdimensional terms contributing to ferm ion masses, in which case renormalization e ects above the GUT scale may enhance the mixing among the right-handed sleptons. This could leads to observable lepton non-universality in K ! ' decays in speci c regions of the parameter space with high tan , large A term s and sm all charged Higgs boson m ass. Observable non-universality in K ! ' decays would be correlated with a large value of BR ( ! e ). The experim ental upper lim it on the electric dipole moment of the electron could be reconciled with leptogenesis, if the latter occurs at a relatively low scale, which would also alleviate the cosm ological gravitino problem. Even if lepton non-universality is not seen in the near future, one may nevertheless obtain signi cant constraints on the m odel param eters and unknown aspects of right-handed ferm ion and sferm ion m ixing.

CERN-PH-TH/2008-140 Septem ber 2008

#### 1 Introduction

A large num ber of experim ents have m easured neutrino oscillations [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], thereby providing in portant information on the neutrino mass di erences and mixing angles [6]. W ithin the fram ework of supersymmetry, massive neutrinos lead to charged-lepton-avour violation (LFV) via radiative corrections to sferm ion masses [7, 8], that may be observable in forthcoming experiments. The predictions of models of massive neutrinos for processes such as ! e, ! 3e, !, ! e conversion on heavy nuclei and sparticle decays at the LHC [9] have been studied extensively [8]. These predictions are frequently very close to the current experimental limits [8, 10, 11, 12], and may be further reined by requiring successful leptogenesis [13, 14] and sneutrino in ation [15].

In addition to charged-lepton decays, rare decays of mesons are also of potential interest. In a previous work, we studied in detail rare kaon decays [16] to e pairs with and without accompanying pions, nding that radiative corrections related to neutrino mixing may induce signi cant rates, even when starting from universal initial conditions for the soft term s at a high-energy input scale. In these exam ples, as well as in most low -energy LFV processes, the relevant mixing arose dom inantly from left-handed slepton mixing induced via the renormalization-group equations (RGEs).

It has recently been pointed out that m ixing e ects in the right-handed sferm ion sector can be probed very sensitively by checks on e universality in the decays

which can be generated by avour non-universality in an elective  ${}^{\prime}_{R}$  H  $^{+}$  coupling [17,18, 19]. In general, the uses of meson decays as probes of physics beyond the Standard M odel (SM ) are complicated by hadronic uncertainties. However, working with the ratios of the electronic and muonic decay modes, in this case R<sub>K</sub> (K ! e )= (K ! ), the hadronic uncertainties cancel to a large extent, allowing a precise confrontation between theory and experiment. The current bound on R<sub>K</sub> is given by [20]:

$$R_{\kappa}^{exp} = (2.457 \quad 0.032) \quad 1^{5}; \tag{1}$$

which is to be compared with the SM prediction  $R_K^{SM} = (2:472 \ 0:001) \ 150 \ [21]$ . The NA 62 experiment at CERN now plans a signi cant in provement in the experimental accuracy, expecting to reduce the uncertainty in  $R_K$  to 0:003.

A ny violation of e universality in K ! ' decays would constitute unam biguous evidence for new physics. In particular, within a supersymm etric framework, it would provide crucial information on right-handed slepton mixing, thereby complementing in an important way the other LFV processes studied previously [17]. As we discuss later, in schemes with universal soft scalar masses at the GUT scale, the experimental bounds on other LFV processes imply that RGE e ects below the GUT scale would be insu cient to generate non-negligible e non-universality in K ! ' decays. How ever, right-handed slepton mixing and e non-universality might arise through RGE e ects above the GUT scale [22] in models where universality of the soft supersymm etry-breaking contributions to the righthanded slepton masses is assumed at some higher input 'gravity' scale M grav. This might then lead to observable non-universality in K ! ' if tan is large and other conditions on the supersym m etric m odel param eters are also m et. How ever, the simultaneous presence of both left-and right-slepton avour mixings, together with very large values of tan ; would in general im ply too large rates for the LFV decays end electric dipole moments (EDM s) of charged leptons. Therefore, in order for non-universality to be observable in K ! ' , consistency with the present bounds on LFV im poses non-trivial conditions on the avour physics as well as the supersym m etry-breaking pattern.

In this paper we study the patterns of the soft supersymmetry breaking terms required for obtaining observable renormalization induced e non-universality e ects in the NA 62 experiment at CERN. As an initial condition we assume the SUSY breaking param eters to be avour universal at M  $_{grav}$  > M  $_{GUT}$  and consider the RGE running of the soft supersymmetry-breaking mass parameters both above and below the GUT scale. We assume the seesaw mechanism [23] with three singlet neutrinos, and we use the observed neutrino m asses and m ixing angles as inputs. W e apply a param eterization via a H erm itian matrix H [24] em ploying the orthogonal parameterization [11] to calculate the corresponding singlet neutrino Yukawa couplings Y and masses  $M_N$ : This parameterization greatly facilitates keeping the RGE-induced left-handed slepton avour structure under control. W ithin the m inim al supersymmetric SU (5) GUT, the avour mixing of the right-handed sferm ions is RGE -induced above the GUT scale by the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix. However, it is well known that this minimal SU (5) GUT relates me and m incorrectly to m<sub>d</sub> and m<sub>s</sub>. This defect can be cured by adding supplem entary term s in the d-quark and charged-lepton m ass m atrices and in the coloured triplet H iggs Y ukawa couplings originating from higher-order, non-renorm alizable terms in the e ective superpotential below M grav [25]. The corrected Y ukawa couplings leave their in print on the avour structure of the right-slepton supersymm etry breaking param eters via renorm alization above the GUT scale.

Throughout our analysis, we require the magnitude and pattern of supersymmetry breaking parameters to be consistent with supersymmetric Dark Matter, the baryon asymmetry of the Universe, and with all present bounds on avour-violating decays and EDMs. This is poses nontrivial requirements on the pattern of SUSY breaking parameters. As an example, one pattern of supersymmetry breaking which simultaneously gives the desired Higgs and sparticle mass spectrum, the correct amount of DM, and large RGE induced non-universality e ects, is a tuned version of the so called Higgs boson exempt no-scale supersymmetry breaking [26]. This scenario allows us to generate sm all charged Higgs boson masses, while keeping all other soft mass terms heavy so that all other relevant observables like (g 2); B ! ; b ! s etc. are consistent with the measurements. O ne consequence of this sample SUSY breaking point is that supersymmetric particles would be di cult to discover at the LHC, whereas the charged Higgs boson should be relatively easily accessible at the LHC [27].

W ithin this fram ework, we we nd examples with values of the renorm alization induced nonuniversality parameter R  $_{\rm K}$  as high as 0 (10<sup>2</sup>) to (10<sup>3</sup>), well within the reach of the NA 62 experiment. However, in order to achieve this, a very constrained avour structure for Yukawa matrices is required in order to keep LFV decays under control while generating large non-universality e ects in K ! ' : As a result, we nd a strong correlation between the decay ! e and the size of  $R_K$  : O bærvation of one of them would, knowing the SUSY parameters and the mass of the charged H iggs boson, predict the other. In order to have obærvable  $R_K$ , the charged H iggs boson must be light while the other supersymmetric particle masses must be heavy in order to suppress ! e below the experimental bounds.

In this scenario large EDM s of charged leptons are induced if there are phases in the com plex neutrino Yukawa couplings Y as would be needed to generate the baryon asymmetry of the Universe via leptogenesis [28]. At large tan ; and due to the simultaneous presence of both  $m_{LL}$  and  $m_{RR}$  avour mixings, neutrino Yukawa-induced contributions to the i-th lepton EDM is strongly enhanced due to the dom inant term ( $m_{LL}^2$  m, tan  $m_{RR}^2$ )<sub>ii</sub>: W hile in the minimal SUSY seesaw models the induced charged lepton EDM s are, in the most optimistic case, a few orders of magnitude below the present experimental bound [29, 30, 31], in our scenario the EDM s can be signi cantly larger. Suppressing the electron EDM below the experimental bound  $d_e < 1.6$   $10^7$  e cm [32] by assuming small phases in the neutrino Yukawa couplings is possible but, in the absence of a concrete theory of phases, may be unnatural, and would also suppress the CP asymmetry for leptogenesis. We nd that the natural way to suppress the electron EDM in this scenario is related to the avour structure of the heavy neutrino Yukawa couplings and, consequently, the avour structure of the induced soft SUSY breaking term s. U sing the H param eterization of neutrino Yukawa couplings [24], assuming H<sub>11</sub> H<sub>22,33</sub> would in ply M<sub>N1</sub>  $M_{N_{2,3}}$  and, therefore, sm all Yukawa couplings of the lightest heavy neutrino  $N_1$ : Hence, for a given  $M_{N_1}$  we nd an upper lim it on the electron EDM .W e argue that the gravitino problem [33] in supersymmetric theories, which sets upper limits on the reheating temperature of the Universe and therefore requires a relatively light N<sub>1</sub> for successful leptogenesis, also provides a solution to the EDM problem . For M  $_{\rm N_1}$  10<sup>6</sup> (10<sup>6</sup>) GeV the electron EDM is bounded as  $d_e < 10^{28}$  (10<sup>30</sup>) e cm which is within the reach of the proposed electron EDM experiments [34].

The outline of our paper is the following. In Section 2 we review the details of nonuniversality e ects in the decays K ! ' . In Section 3 we discuss the RGE e ects in supersymmetric models, including running both below and above the GUT scale. Numerical examples are given in Section 4, and we conclude in Section 5.

# 2 Sferm ion Mixing, eUniversality in K! 'Decays and other Observables

Probing charged-lepton universality in K ! ' decays [21] is interesting in view of the very prom ising experimental prospects and since, in a supersymmetric framework, this decay probes mass mixing between the right-handed charged sleptons,  $m_{RR}$ . In contrast to mixing between the left-handed charged sleptons,  $m_{RR}$ . In contrast have given us relatively little information yet about  $m_{RR}$ . It is clear [17] that there would be signi cant sferm ion mixing in the presence of general non-universal soft masses at the GUT scale. Here, how ever, we will focus on lepton- avour violation (LFV) induced by the

renorm alization-group equations for soft terms, in particular through the e ects of righthanded neutrinos below the GUT scale and through RG evolution above the GUT scale in models of grand unication.

The decay K ! ' has been discussed in detail in [17, 18, 19], where its magnitude was shown to be dominated by [17]

$$R_{K}^{LFV} R_{K}^{SM} = 1 \frac{m_{K}^{2}}{M_{H}^{2}} \frac{m}{m_{e}} \prod_{RL}^{11} \tan^{3} + \frac{m_{K}^{4}}{M_{H}^{4}} \frac{m^{2}}{m_{e}^{2}} j_{R}^{31} j_{L}^{2} \tan^{6} ;$$
 (2)

where

and

$${}^{3'}_{R} \, \prime \, \frac{1}{4} \, M_{1} m_{R}^{2} \, {}^{3'}_{RR} \, \overset{h}{I}^{0} (M_{1}^{2}; \, {}^{2}; m_{R}^{2}) \quad ( \ \$ \ m_{L})^{1} :$$

$$(4)$$

In these expressions I is the standard three-point one-loop integral

$$I(x;y;z) \quad \frac{xy \log \frac{x}{y} + yz \log \frac{y}{z} + zx \log \frac{z}{x}}{(x \ y)(z \ y)(z \ x)};$$
(5)

and  $I^{\circ}(x;y;z) = \frac{dI(x;y;z)}{dz}; I^{\circ}(x;y;z) = \frac{d^{2}I(x;y;z)}{dydz}$ : A susual we denote

<sup>ij</sup>  
<sub>X X</sub> 
$$(m^2,)^{ij}_{X X} = m^2_X (X = L;R);$$
 (6)

and for the rest of the paper we will drop the avour indices in  $_{XX}^{ij}$ : The rst term in (2) features a double insertion of LFV m ixing, and interferes with the SM contribution, whereas the second term clearly has no such interference. Note that we neglect a term proportional to  $_{R}^{32}$ , which is suppressed by a factor  $m_{e}^{2}=m^{2}$  with respect to the term proportional to  $_{R}^{31}$ . Sim ilarly, we neglect the contributions from left-slepton m ixing \_ L as those are num erically subleading [19]. In our num erical calculations we use the full expressions from [18] rather than just the dom inant term s (2, 3, 4). How ever, the latter expressions are su cient for discussion of the new physics non-universality e ects in kaon decays.

The dependence of the deviation from universality in the K ! ' decay rates on  $^{11}_{\text{RL}}$  and  $^{31}_{\text{R}}$  is not complicated; it is clear from the form ulae (2, 3, 4) that larger rates are expected for large tan , a light 'heavy' Higgs mass M<sub>H</sub>, large (note, in particular, that the dom inant  $^{''}_{\text{RL}}$  contribution is proportional to ), and sm all slepton masses (in order to avoid suppressions in the three-point loop functions; this can be true for the right-handed staus, in particular). Speci cally, for M<sub>H</sub> = 180 G eV and tan = 50, one obtains

$$R_{\rm K}^{\rm LFV}$$
 /  $10^7 [( \frac{31}{R})^2 + (\frac{11}{RL})^2 = 0.0006 \frac{11}{RL}]$ : (7)

In general:

(i) For the range of param eters where  $_{LL,RR}$  have small and comparable magnitudes, the interference term proportional to  $_{RL}^{11}$  would be expected to dominate over ( $_{R}^{31}$ )<sup>2</sup> and ( $_{RL}^{11}$ )<sup>2</sup>.

(ii) In the case that  $_{LL}$   $_{RR}$ ,  $R_{K}^{LFV}$  scales as the  $(\frac{31}{R})^{2}$  and thus  $(_{RR})^{2}$  term s. (iii) For larger  $_{RR,LL}$ , both quadratic and linear term smay be important in  $R_{K}$ .

Barring a cancellation, an experimental measurement with an error R  $_{\rm K}$  0:003 would provide sensitivity to  $^{11}_{\rm RL}$  5  $10^7$  with a signi cantly smaller ( $^{31}_{\rm R}$ )<sup>2</sup>: On the other hand, for a very small  $_{\rm LL}$  and thus  $^{11}_{\rm RL}$ , the sensitivity to R  $_{\rm K}$  0:003 is compatible with  $^{31}_{\rm R}$  1:7  $10^5$  (which for the above quoted optimal set of supersymmetric parameters would correspond to  $_{\rm RR}$  0:12).

Because of the prefactors in  $\frac{3}{R}$ , unless x = y = z to a great accuracy (which is not expected, in view of RGE e ects), one would typically expect  $\frac{3}{R}$  10<sup>3</sup> even in models with enhanced non-universalities, such as in [35]. Values of this order of magnitude are potentially interesting for experiment. However, if non-diagonal scalar terms are induced only by RGE e ects, one expects rather smaller values of the  $\frac{ij}{X_X}$  (and hence  $\frac{11}{RL}$  and  $\frac{31}{R}$ ) than in models where universality is explicitly violated. In typical scenarios, one expects the RGE-generated right-handed m ixings to be small, whereas the LL are found to be generically larger. Nevertheless, it is clear that if there is a signal in K ! ' decays in the near future, this would imply a non-negligible right-handed slepton m ixing, and would inevitably lead to very constrained scenarios, particularly for models with universal initial conditions for the soft term s: models with large tan , light right-handed staus and large A-term s would be favored.

M oreover, since observable non-universality e ects in K decays would require non-negligible

em ixing in the RR slepton sector, the LFV decays ! e must inevitably be large, and correlated with the non-universality. This among others would imply strong constraints on the latter from the bound BR (! e) < 1:1  $10^7$  [36].

Before passing to the details of the calculation of the  $_{XX}^{ij}$  in GUT scenarios, we give a feeling for the magnitudes of  $_{RR}$  and  $_{LL}$  required to see a signal in K ! ' decays, for realistic points in the supersymmetric parameter space. This is done in Fig. 1, which shows contour plots of the calculated deviation from universality in  $R_K$ , as functions of the  $_{LL,RR}^{ij}$ . C ontour plot (a), on the left side, indicates that if  $_{LL}$  and  $_{RR}$  were to be comparable, and the NA 62 experiment reaches the expected sensitivity of 0.003, it would be possible to observe non-universality for slepton mixing parameters = 0 (0.04 0.05), for a feasible set of parameters with a light H iggs boson and a light right-handed third-generation slepton mixing would be larger, and simultaneous mixing in the LL and RR channels would tend to generate unacceptably large avour violation in channels that are strongly constrained (particularly ! e , which must be kept under control in any LFV SUSY model). This would im ply that for non-negligible non-universality in kaon decays  $_{LL}$  would have to be small. This would then correspond to solutions with a dom inant right-handed slepton mixing, as in the contour plot (b) on the right.

We exam ine in subsequent sections the magnitudes of right-handed slepton mixing that arise in various theoretical scenarios. We rst discuss brie y the non-universal corrections to the soft sferm ion masses that are induced in the presence of non-zero A -term s, by RGE e ects between  $M_{GUT}$  and low energies in sample seesaw neutrino-mass models for the complete 3 3 mixing. In this case the RR mixing is too small for any observable e ect.



Figure 1: Contour plots of the Lepton-F lavour-V iolating (LFV) correction to the Standard M cdelValue of  $R_K$  (denoted by  $R_K$ ) as a function of the soft term m ixing parameters, for tan = 50. W e assume for illustration  $M_H = 180 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $M_1 = 190 \text{ GeV}$ , = 650 GeV,  $m_L = 300 \text{ GeV}$  and  $m_R = 200 \text{ GeV}$ . In (a) the left and right slepton m ixing are comparable, while in (b) the right-handed slepton m ixing dom inates.

Subsequently, we consider the possible e ects of RGE running above the GUT scale, where the overall right-handed m ixing m ay be amplied in som eGUT scenarios.

### 3 RGE e ects below and above the GUT Scale

In supersymmetric seesaw models of neutrino masses, the RGEs between the GUT scale and the heavy singlet neutrino mass scale generate non-universalities in the soft supersymmetrybreaking scalar masses. These may have implications for rare kaon decays that involve two charged leptons, as well as charged leptons and pions, as have been studied in [16]. In that work only the dominant left-handed slepton mixing was considered, neglecting the subdominant mixing in the right-handed slepton sector.

To proceed, we assume universal initial conditions for the soft term s at the GUT scale, and consider the RGEs including neutrino Yukawa couplings. We also assume a single common mass scale M<sub>N</sub> for the heavy singlet neutrinos (which may easily be modiled, see [29]). Then, in the leading-logarithm ic approximation the RGE-induced soft supersymmetry-breaking terms are given by

$$m_{\rm E}^{2} = \frac{1}{8^{2}} (3m_{0}^{2} + A_{0}^{2}) (Y^{\rm Y}Y + Y_{\rm e}^{\rm Y}Y_{\rm e})_{\rm ij} \log \frac{M_{\rm GUT}}{M_{\rm N}};$$
  
$$m_{\rm E}^{2} = \frac{1}{4^{2}} (3m_{0}^{2} + A_{0}^{2}) (Y_{\rm e}Y_{\rm e}^{\rm Y})_{\rm ij} \log \frac{M_{\rm GUT}}{M_{\rm N}};$$

$$(A_{e})_{ij} \qquad \frac{1}{8^{2}} A_{0} Y_{e_{i}} (3Y_{e}^{y}Y_{e} + Y^{y}Y_{e})_{ij} \log \frac{M_{GUT}}{M_{N}}:$$
(8)

The trilinear soft supersymmetry-breaking term  $sA_e$ ; are assumed to be related by universal factors  $A_0$  to the corresponding Yukawa couplings  $Y_e$ ; From this equation, it becomes already clear that large values of  $A_0$  could lead to enhanced RGE corrections to softmasses, a feature that we will use in our considerations.

The above equations hold for fully universal initial conditions. However, well-motivated models with deviations from Higgs-scalar ferm ion universality, as in [26], induce additional corrections linked to S =  $(M_{H_u}^2 - M_{H_d}^2) + Tr_F (m_Q^2 - 2m_U^2 + m_E^2 + m_D^2 - m_L^2)$ , where the trace runs over avours.

It is possible, even likely, that the GUT scale lies signi cantly below the scale M  $_{\rm grav}$  at which gravitational e ects can no longer be neglected. In speci c m odels, M  $_{\rm grav}$  m ight be identied with either the Planck m ass M  $_{\rm P}$  = 1:2  $10^{9}$  GeV or some lower string unication scale M  $_{\rm string}$   $10^{8}$  GeV. In general, the renormalization of couplings at scales between M  $_{\rm grav}$  and M  $_{\rm GUT}$  m ay induce signi cant avour-violating e ects, particularly in the  $^{ij}_{\rm RR}$ , which can be calculated in any speci c supersymmetric GUT.

The simplest example is provided by the minimal supersymmetric SU(5) GUT, whose superpotential contains terms of the form  $e^{C}u^{C}H$ , where the H is a colour-triplet Higgs eld that is expected to have a mass  $M_{GUT}$ . This gives rise to one-loop diagram s that renormalize the right-handed slepton masses between  $M_{GUT}$  and  $M_{grav}$ . In the leading-logarithm ic approximation, these take the form [12]:

$$(m_{E}^{2})_{ij} ' \frac{3}{8^{2}} V_{U}^{3i} V_{U}^{3j} (3m_{0}^{2} + A_{0}^{2}) \log \frac{M_{grav}}{M_{GUT}};$$
(9)

for if j, where  $V_U$  denotes the m ixing m atrix in the corresponding couplings in the basis where the u-quark and charged-lepton m asses are diagonal. This is to be compared with the corresponding corrections to left-handed slepton m asses, which are proportional to  $V_D$ , the D irac neutrino m ixing m atrix in the basis where the d-quark and charged-lepton m asses are diagonal, and are given by

$$(m_{f_{1}}^{2})_{ij}$$
  $' \frac{1}{8^{2}} = \frac{2}{3} V_{D}^{3i} V_{D}^{3j} \log \frac{M_{grav}}{M_{3}} + \frac{2}{2} V_{D}^{2i} V_{D}^{2j} \log \frac{M_{grav}}{M_{2}}$   $(3m_{0}^{2} + A_{0}^{2})$ : (10)

F inally the leading-logarithm ic renorm alization of the A  $_{\rm e}$  term s is given by

$$A_{e}^{ij} \cdot \frac{3}{8^{2}} A_{0} = {}_{e_{i}} V_{D}^{3i} V_{D}^{3j} {}_{3}^{2} \log \frac{M_{grav}}{M_{3}} + {}_{e_{i}} V_{D}^{2i} V_{D}^{2j} {}_{2}^{2} \log \frac{M_{grav}}{M_{2}} + 3 {}_{e_{j}} V_{U}^{3j} V_{U}^{3i} {}_{u_{3}}^{2} \log \frac{M_{grav}}{M_{GUT}} :$$
(11)

One must appeal to a specic GUT model for the structures of the mixing matrices  $V_{U, \mathcal{D}}$  .

In the case of m inim al supersymmetric SU (5), as already remarked, the d-quark m assmatrix is the transpose of the charged-lepton m assmatrix, and  $V_D$  is simply the unit matrix. On the other hand,  $V_U$  is non-trivial, and related to the familiar CKM matrix. We recall

that in m inim al SU (5) m atter elds are arranged in 5  $((L;d^c)_i)$  and 10 supermultiplets  $((Q;u^c;e^c)_i)$ , the d-quark and charged-lepton m asses arise from 10 5 H couplings 5, and the u-quark m asses arise from 10 10 H couplings  $_{10}$ . The theory m ay be written in a basis where the  $_5$  are diagonal, and hence also the d-quark and charged-lepton m asses. In this basis, the d-quark triplets in the 10 supermultiplets are rotated relative to the u-quark triplets and the u<sup>c</sup> anti-triplets by the familiar CKM m atrix  $V_{CKM}$ , and the u-quark triplets and the u<sup>c</sup> anti-triplets are related by a diagonal phase m atrix U with unit determ inant [37]. It is clear from the form s of the equations (9, 11) that the phase m atrix U is irrelevant for our considerations in this paper, though it m ight have played a role in generating the baryon asymmetry of the universe [38].

In this simplest SU (5) one has  $m_b = m$  (a successful relation),  $m_s = m$  and  $m_d = m_e$  (unsuccessful relations) [39]. The latter predictions can be modified by taking into account possible non-renormalizable fourth-order terms in the elective superpotential, of the form H 10 24 5 [40], which make different contributions to the d-quark and charged-lepton mass matrices:

$$(10 5 H) + {}^{0}(H 10 24 5)! v(dd^{c} + e^{c}e) + vV(2dd^{c} 3e^{c}e);$$

im plying that, in the basis where  $m_d$  is diagonal,

$$m_e = m_d^D \qquad 5 \ ^0 vV; \tag{12}$$

where the matrix of couplings <sup>0</sup> is non-diagonal, in general. Then, the diagonalization of  $m_{e}^{D} = V_{eR} m_{e} V_{eL}^{+}$  gives

$$m_{e}^{D} = V_{eR} (m_{d}^{D} \quad 5 \ ^{0}vV )V_{eL}^{+}$$
: (13)

Hence, in this modi cation of the simplest SU (5) model, the diagonalization of charged lepton massmatrix is not any more given by  $V_{CKM}$  and them odel can realistically reproduce the observed phenomenology. In a similar manner [25], the colour-triplet-induced  $e^{cu^{c}}$  mixing receives potentially large corrections for the rst two generations. Parametrizing the non-renormalizable correction to this mixing by  $V_{uR}$ ; the RGE induced right-slepton mixing is not given by  $V_{CKM}$  as in the minimalm odel, but by the product

$$V_{\rm R} = V_{\rm C \, K \, M} \, V_{\rm uR}^+$$
 (14)

As  $V_{uR}$  is not constrained at present, we assume that all possible values of m ixing angles parameterizing  $V_R$  are allowed.

These non-renorm alizable corrections also change the form s of the ferm ion m ass m atrices, and hence the predictions of this type of avour texture m odel within m inim al SU (5). For example, the predictions on new physics e ects in  $B_s = B_s$  m ixing [41] will be m odi ed and the direct relation between the latter and lepton avour violating observables is lost. Thus, these corrections would also a ect the renorm alization between the GUT and heavy-neutrino m ass scales. These e ects would also be important for the  $I_{LL}^{ij}$ , but we do not consider them here.

Another GUT scenario is ipped SU(5), in which the elds  $Q_i; d_i^c$  and  $_i^c$  of each family belong to a 10 representation of SU(5), the  $u_i^c$  and  $L_i$  belong to 5 representations, and the  $e_i^c$  elds belong to singlet representations of the group.

In this case, one would expect that large right-handed slepton mixing could be accommodated more easily. These particle assignments imply a symmetric down-quark mass matrix, and a charged-lepton mixing matrix that is not directly correlated with that of the quarks, and the corresponding mixing angle and phase analysis has been carried out in [42]. However, the correlation between left-handed charged leptons and right-handed u quarks, as well as the direct link between the neutrino and d-quark mass matrices, makes it hard to nd a phenom enological model with a U(1) avour group that also accommodates the solar and atmospheric neutrino data, without ne tuning of the avour charges [43, 44]. In string-inspired versions of ipped SU(5), natural solutions to the complete ferm ion data have been found [45], but the large number of zero entries in the mass matrices imposed by string selection rules leave room only for minimal avour mixing, and we do not study them further here.

# 4 SUSY (Flavour) Param eter Space and Num erical Examples

In order to induce observable non-universality e ects in K ! ' decays due to RGE e ects below and above the GUT scale, while respecting all available experimental constraints on avour conserving and violating processes and cosm ology, both the mass pattern of supersymmetry breaking terms as well as their RGE induced avour structure must be non-trivially constrained. In this Section we rst provide an example of a supersymmetry breaking scenario which satis es all such constraints. Subsequently, we discuss the necessary avour pattern of the soft supersymmetry breaking parameters and we ind how such avour structures can be RGE induced above and below the GUT scale. We indicate the necessary avour structure for our scenario is very tightly constrained.

The study of models with a mass spectrum that could potentially lead to large K ! ' decays has been motivated for independent reasons. For instance, the W MAP benchm ark scenarios with universal supersymmetry-breaking soft terms studied in [46] include some in the  $_{\Upsilon}$  coannihilation region, which have light right-handed staus. However, these scenarios generally predict high masses for the heavier H iggs bosons, leading to a suppression of non-universality in K ! ' decays. This then suggests moving to the study of models that deviate from the minimal schemes, e.g., by breaking the universality of the soft supersymmetry-breaking masses in the H iggs sector [47]. Indeed, soft universality in the H iggs sector is not as wellm otivated as for the sferm ion masses. Moreover, large values for the A-term s would also allow smaller heavy H iggs masses [48].

W hen looking for input SUSY param eters at som e high scale that are consistent with supersym m etric D ark M atter and with all experim ental constraints, we consider the following region of the free param eters:

$$m_0 M_{\frac{1}{2}} < M_{H_d} j M_{H_u} j; A_0; tan > 50; sign():$$
 (15)

This scenario resembles the so-called Higgs boson exempt no-scale supersymmetry breaking scenario [26]. In this scheme, all the RGE-corrected SUSY breaking masses at low scale

are large, thus explaining why no SUSY particles have been observed so far. The Higgs mass parameters  $M_{H_d} f = M_{H_u} f < 0$  are negative, triggering the electroweak symmetry breaking. However, the light charged Higgs mass (as well as the correct scale of the electroweak symmetry breaking) are obtained due to the large cancellations between the RGE-corrected SUSY parameters, and are thus tuned. Since m<sub>0</sub> is smaller than all other parameters of them odel, RGE-induced LFV is generated by the large parameters M<sub>Hu</sub>; A<sub>0</sub> via

$$m_{L}^{2}_{ij} = \frac{1}{8^{2}} (M_{H_{u}}^{2} + A_{0}^{2}) (Y^{y} \log \frac{M_{grav}}{M_{N}} Y_{ij};$$
 (16)

$$(m_{E'}^2)_{ij}$$
  $' \frac{3}{8^2} V_R^{3i} V_R^{3j} (M_{H_u}^2 + A_0^2) \log \frac{M_{grav}}{M_{GUT}};$  (17)

where  $V_R$  is the mixing matrix (14) corrected by the higher-dimensional operators and we have assumed that the top quark Yukawa coupling  $u_3$  does not receive large corrections. Thus, large o -diagonal elements in both the left- and right-slepton mass matrices are to be expected at low energies.

A sa representative example, we take the param eter set appearing in Table 1, which results in a heavy sparticle spectrum, but a light charged Higgs boson mass. The Higgs mass is ne-tuned, so small changes in the param eters would alter the charged Higgs boson mass signi cantly. Moreover, since small changes in M $_{\frac{1}{2}}$ ;  $M_{H_d}$  j;  $M_{H_u}$  j; A<sub>0</sub> do not drastically alter the rest of the model param eters, in this scenario, the charged Higgs boson mass can essentially be considered as a free param eter. For example, increasing (decreasing) the Higgs mass param eter  $M_{H_u}$  j by 5 G eV com pared to the value in Table 1, would result to a charged Higgs boson of 235 G eV (159 G eV). In the num erical study that follow s, we perturb the param eters (15) around the values of Table 1, so that a light charged Higgs boson is obtained.

W enow turn to discuss the constraints on the avour structure of the SUSY mass matrices. In general, as Section 3 indicates, non-vanishing neutrino masses and large mixing in the neutrino sector in ply large LFV e ects in the LL slepton sector in SUSY seesaw models. Moreover, the simultaneous presence of LL and RR slepton mixing in the large-tan regime implies enormous enhancement of LFV decay rates. As the RR mixing is necessary for observable non-universality in the kaon decays under discussion, we have to forbid any signi cant mixing in the LL slepton sector. This is greatly facilitated by using the parameterization of neutrino seesaw parameters, in terms of e ective light neutrino observables and an auxiliary H ermitian matrix H [24] that can be related directly to low energy observables, including the processes that violate lepton number. Indeed, the H ermitian matrix H in the leading-logarithm ic approximation can be regarded as the FLV mixing in the LL slepton sector, and is given by

$$H_{ij} = {}^{X} (Y_{ki}(Y_{kj})_{kj} \log \frac{M_{GUT}}{M_{N_{k}}}:$$
(18)

Observable neutrino masses and mixing can be obtained for

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 & H_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & H_{33} \end{pmatrix}^{1}$$
(19)

Input Param eters	Value
m <sub>1=2</sub>	1000
m <sub>o</sub>	200
tan	50
јМ <sub>н u</sub> ј	2550
јМ <sub>на</sub> ј	2500
A <sub>0</sub>	3000
(s)-particle m asses	Value
M 1	432
m 1	425
	2394
m <sub>h0</sub>	115
М <sub>н +</sub>	201
${\tt m}_{\tt e_L}$ , ${\tt m}_{\tt L}$	681
m <sub>er</sub> ,m	432
m 1	505
m <sub>2</sub>	852

Table 1: Sample supersymmetric particle spectrum that may lead to enhanced nonuniversality in K ! ' decays. The mass parameters are in GeV units.

which m inim izes at leading-logarithm ic level all avour m ixings in the left slepton sector. Eq. (19) also implies that the CP violation in the neutrino sector is entirely linked to leptonic CP violation in the light neutrino sector, i.e., to the D irac phase and to the two M a jorana phases  $_{1,2}$  of the light neutrino m ass m atrix. These phases give rise to CP violation consistent w ith leptogenesis [14], as well as to electric dipole m om ents of charged leptons [29, 30]. Consequently, in this scenario, high-energy CP violation in N<sub>i</sub> decays can, in principle, be tested through low-energy m easurem ents.

A salready discussed, in contrast to the left-slepton sector, large avourm ixings in the rightslepton sector must exist in order to generate observable non-universality in the K ! ' decays. Speci cally, as discussed earlier, the mixing must be large in the e sector; such a mixing could be induced due to the RGE running above the GUT scale. However if, in addition, considerable m ixing exists in the eor sectors, the stringent experim ental ! e decays would rule out the scenario. Thus the phenom enological bounds from requirements are such that only (1 \$ 3) LFV mixing is allowed in the RR sector. The above considerations indicate that the SU (5) GUT modelmust be non-minimal and netuned in the avour sector above the GUT scale. In practice this im plies that the nonrenorm alizable corrections [25] to the coloured triplet Higgs Yukawa couplings must be such that the corrected m ixing m atrix (14) is, in the standard param eterization, described with the mixing angles  $R_{12}^{R} = R_{23}^{R} = 0$ ;  $R_{13}^{R} \in 0$ : We do not speculate on the origin of such a avour pattern, we just comment that such a model is consistent with phenomenology and allowed by model building [25].

In conclusion, the avour constraints on the non-universality parameter  $^{31}_{R}$ , the decay BR (! e) and the EDM of the electron can depend only on Eq. (9) which controls the heavy neutrino parameters and on the (1 \$ 3) m ixing in the RR slepton sector. Thus the avour structure is essentially xed, im plying particularly strong correlations between the relevant observables.

A lthough the avour construction presented above elim inates the most constraining RGEinduced LFV decay ! e at the leading-logarithm ic level, dangerous (1 \$ 2) mixing appears beyond the leading-logarithm ic approximation. Our nst concern is to check that our num erical calculations are consistent with all the present bounds on LFV decays. In the left panel of Fig. 2 we present a scatter plot of the branching ratios for BR ( ! e ) and BR ( ! e ) which are obtained for the SUSY point of Table1 by random ly generating all the free neutrino seesaw parameters and the right-mixing angle  $\frac{R}{13}$ . Since we work in the large-tan regime, the value of BR ( ! e ) generated beyond the leading-logarithm ic level can be as large as the present experimental bound. The decay rate of ! e is directly controlled by  $\frac{R}{13}$ , which constrains its value. There is no correlation between BR ( ! e ) can be suppressed below the present bound by our avour construction.

However, the right panel of Fig. 2, in which we plot the non-universality parameter  $R_K^{LFV}$  as a function of BR (! e) for three di erent charged Higgs boson masses, indicates a strong correlation between these observables. We conclude that non-universality of this magnitude is indeed observable in the NA 62 experiment. Detection of non-universality in K ! ' decays would allow estimating the LFV rates in the tau sector, provided that the charged Higgs boson mass is determined at the LHC.

We now recall a couple of well-known generic problem s in SUSY: the supersymmetric CP problem and the cosm obgical gravitino problem. In our scenario the parameterization (19) solves both of them provided H<sub>11</sub> H<sub>22;33</sub>: Indeed, the EDM of electron, d<sub>e</sub>; is proportional to H<sub>11</sub>, and its smallness suppresses this EDM independently of the phases arising in other sectors of the theory. At the same time, in this parameterization, Eq. (19) also determ ines the heavy neutrino mass spectrum. If H<sub>11</sub> 1; the seesaw mechanism in plies that the N<sub>1</sub> mass has to be small, allowing a low reheating temperature of the U niverse and solving the gravitino problem. Thus, if our construction is correct, there should be a correlation between the maximal d<sub>e</sub> and the lightest neutrino mass. In such a case, the standard Fukugita-Yanagida leptogenesis mechanism [28] cannot provide the observed baryon asymmetry of the universe due to too small M<sub>N1</sub> [49]. In our SUSY scenario, therefore, resonant leptogenesis or \soft leptogenesis" [50] turn out to be the favoured leptogenesis mechanism s.

We now study quantitatively the above qualitative statements. We rst recall that in the minimal SUSY seesaw model (without right-slepton mixings induced above the GUT scale) one nds strong correlations between the generated baryon asymmetry, the RGE-induced electron electric dipole moment  $d_e$  and BR (! e) [4]. The maximally allowed values of  $d_e$  are a few orders of magnitude below the present experimental bound. This correlation occurs because in the minimal SUSY seesaw model all these observables are generated by the dominant (1 \$ 3) mixing. In our scenario the (1 \$ 3) mixing occurs in the right-



Figure 2: Correlations of BR ( ! e ) (left panel) and  $R_{K}^{LFV}$  (right panel) with BR ( ! e ) for the SUSY points tan =  $50; M_{\frac{1}{2}} = 1000 \text{ GeV}, m_{0} = 200 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2500 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2500 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2550 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2550 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2550 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2550 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2550 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2550 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 2555 \text{ GeV}, A_{0} = 3000 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 200 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_{d}} = 200$ 



Figure 3: Dependence of the electron electric dipole moment  $d_e$  on BR ( ! e ) (left panel) and on the lightest neutrino mass  $M_{N_1}$  (right panel). The parameters and the colour code are as in Fig. 2.

slepton sector, and such a correlation is expected to be absent. In the left panel of Fig. 3

we present a scatter plot of the values of  $d_e$  and BR (! e) for the same parameters as in Fig. 2. In our scenario, the predicted values of  $d_e$  can easily exceed the present bound  $d_e < 1.6 \quad 10^7$  e cm and the CP-violating and LFV observables are not correlated. However, as explained above, there is a correlation between  $d_e$  and M<sub>N1</sub>, as can be seen in the right panel of Fig. 3. Indeed, for a xed M<sub>N1</sub> there is an upper bound on the electron EDM . Therefore our scenario relates the solution of the SUSY CP problem to the gravitino problem -ifM<sub>N1</sub> is smallenough to be generated therm ally at reheating, the electron EDM is suppressed. Thus, avoidance of the gravitino problem in SUSY models could also explain why  $d_e$  has not been observed so far.

#### 5 Conclusions

In view of the expected improvements in measurements of K ! ' decays by the NA 62 experiment, we have studied the expected violation of lepton universality in these decays, in supersymmetric models. Unlike avour-violating decays, which mainly probe the left-handed sector of the theory, a violation of universality in K ! ' originates directly from mixing e ects in the right-handed slepton sector. In this respect, it would provide a unique probe into this aspect of supersymmetric avour physics, particularly for large tan .

Unless universality in the scalar soft term s is violated, K ! ' decays can give observable rates only in non-m inim algrand uni ed m odels; this would occur through a com bination of RGE e ects above the GUT scale and higher-dim ensional term s that enhance the m ixing am ong the right-handed sleptons. Even in this case, we are limited to very speci c regions of the param eter space, with large A term s and sm all Higgs m asses. M oreover, the very strong bounds from several avour-violating processes would require a signi cant suppression of left-handed slepton m ixing, would further limit the already constrained the supersymmetric param eter space, and would im ply ne-tuned solutions.

We nd that, in the scenario under consideration, the avour structure of the soft supersymmetry breaking terms induced by RGE e ects both below and above the GUT scale is essentially xed. This implies strong correlations between di erent lepton- avour-violating processes. In particular, should the NA62 experiment at CERN discover the non-universality e ects, observable rates for ! e can be predicted. At the same time, the electron EDM naturally exceeds the present experimental bound unless the lightest heavy neutrino m ass is su ciently sm all, as seen in Fig. 3. In this scenario the solution to the supersymmetric gravitino problem is, due to the constrained avour structure of the neutrino Yukawa couplings, related to the LFV observables and EDM s. In particular, the expected future experimental sensitivity to the electron EDM will put an upper limit to the lightest heavy neutrino m ass and to solve (or rule out this solution) to the gravitino problem .

In view of the above, one may hope for either of the following:

(i) to see a deviation from lepton universality in the near future, which would imply that we must focus on a very constrained set of solutions in the SUSY parameter space;(ii) to obtain further constraints on the model parameters and unknown aspects of right-

handed ferm ion and sferm ion m ixing.

A cknow ledgem ents W e thank P.Paradisi for useful communication and discussions. S. Lola and M.Raidal would like to thank the CERN Theory Division, where a signi cant amount of this research has been performed. The research of S.Lola is funded in part by the FP6 M arie Curie Excellence G rant M EXT-CT-2004-014297 and that of M.Raidal by the ESF grant No. 6140. The work of J.Ellis and S.Lola was supported in part by the European Union through the M arie Curie Research and Training N etwork UniverseN et (M RTN-CT-2006-035863).

#### R eferences

- Y.Fukuda et al., Super-K am iokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 1562, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 1810 and Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 2430.
- [2] Q.R.Ahm ad et al., SNO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 071301 and Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 011301.
- [3] K. Eguchi et al., Kam LAND Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 021802; T. Araki et al., Kam LAND Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2004) 081801.
- [4] M.H.Ahn et al, K2K Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 041801.
- [5] D G. Michaelet al, MINOS colaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 191801.
- [6] For an extensive list of references on the neutrino oscillation, reactor and accelerator data, and for related global ts, see: M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, M. A. Tortola, J.W. F. Valle, New J. Phys. 6 (2004) 122; M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, Phys. Scripta T 121 (2005) 72.
- [7] F. Borzum ati and A. Masiero, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 (1986) 961; L. J. Hall, V. A. Kostelecky and S. Raby, Nucl. Phys. B 267 (1986) 415.
- [8] For a complete review and references see, M. Raidalet al, arX iv hep-ph/0801.1826.
- [9] N.Arkani-Ham ed, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 1937 and Nucl. Phys. B 505 (1997)
   3; J.Hisano et al., Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 055008. I.Hinchli e and F.E. Paige, Phys.
   Rev. D 63 (2001) 115006; D.F.Carvalho et al., Phys. Lett. B 618 (2005) 162.
- [10] D. Tom m asini et al., Nucl. Phys. B 444 (1995) 451; J. H isano et al., Phys. Lett. B 357 (1995) 579 and Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 2442; J. R. Ellis et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 14 (2000) 319; J. L. Feng, Y. N ir, and Y. Shadm i, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 113005; S. Baek et al., Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 095001; S. Lavignac, I. M asina and C. A. Savoy, Phys. Lett. B 520 (2001) 269.
- [11] J.A.Casas and A.Ibarra, Nucl. Phys. B 618 (2001) 171.

- [12] J.H isano and D.Nom ura, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 116005.
- [13] For a recent review and a list of references, see S. Davidson, E. Nardi and Y. Nir, Phys. Rept. 466 (2008) 105. See also:
  R. Barbieri, et al., Nucl. Phys. B 575 (2000) 61; J. Ellis, J. Hisano, S. Lola and M. Raidal, Nucl. Phys. B621 (2002) 208; J. Ellis and M. Raidal, Nucl. Phys. B643 (2002) 229; T. Endoh et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 231601; W. Buchmuller, P. D iBariand M. Phum acher, Nucl. Phys. B 643 (2002) 367 [Erratum -ibid. B 793 (2008) 362, Nucl. Phys. B665 (2003) 445 and Annals Phys. 315 (2005) 305; G. C. Branco, et al., Nucl. Phys. B640 (2002) 202 and Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 073025; S. Pascoli, S.T. Petcov and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 093007; L. Velasco-Sevilla, JHEP 0310 (2003) 035; G. F. G iudice et al., Nucl. Phys. B 685 (2004) 89; S.T. Petcov, W. Rodejohann, T. Shindou and Y. Takanishi, Nucl. Phys. B 739 (2006) 208.
- [14] J.R.Ellis and M.Raidal, Nucl. Phys.B 643 (2002) 229; F.R.Joaquim, I.M asina and A.Riotto, Int.J.M od. Phys.A 22 (2007) 6253; S.D avidson, J.G arayoa, F.Palorini and N.Rius, JHEP 0809 (2008) 053.
- [15] H.Murayama, et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 70 (1993) 1912 and Phys.Rev.D 50 (1994) 2356;
   J.R.Ellis, M.Raidaland T.Yanagida, Phys.Lett.B 581 (2004) 9; P.H.Chankowski,
   et al., Nucl. Phys.B 690 (2004) 279; S.Antusch, M.Bastero-Gil, S.F.King and Q.
   Sha, Phys.Rev.D 71 (2005) 083519.
- [16] A. Belyaev, et al., Eur. Phys. J.C 22 (2002) 715 and arX iv hep-ph/0107046.
- [17] A.Masiero, P.Paradisi and R.Petronzio, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 011701; A.Masiero,
   P.Paradisi and R.Petronzio, arX iv:hep-ph/0807.4721.
- [18] A.Brignole and A.Rossi, Nucl. Phys. B 701 (2004) 3.
- [19] P. Paradisi, JHEP 0602 (2006) 050 and JHEP 0608 (2006) 047.
- [20] M. Antonelli et al., FlaviaN et Working Group on Kaon Decays, arXiv:hepph/0801.1817; Flavianet kaon WG, http://www.hf.infn.it/wg/vus/
- [21] W J.Marciano and A.Sirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 3629; M Finkem eier, Phys. Lett. B 387 (1996) 391.
- [22] R.Barbieri and L.J.Hall, Phys. Lett. B 338 (1994) 212; R.Barbieri, L.J.Hall and A.Strum ia, Nucl. Phys. B 445 (1995) 219.
- [23] P.M inkowski, Phys. Lett. B 67 (1977) 421; M.G ell-M ann, P.R am ond and R.Slansky, Proceedings of the Supergravity Stony Brook Workshop, New York, 1979, eds. P. Van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Freedman (North-Holland, Am sterdam); T.Yanagida, Proceedings of the Workshop on Uni ed Theories and Baryon Number in the Universe, T sukuba, Japan 1979 (eds. A. Sawada and A. Sugamoto, KEK Report No. 79–18, T sukuba); R.M ohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44 (1980) 912.

- [24] S.Davidson and A. Ibarra, JHEP 0109 (2001) 013; J.R. Ellis, J.H isano, M. Raidal and Y. Shim izu, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 115013.
- [25] J.Hisano, D.Nomura, Y.Okada, Y.Shimizu and M.Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 116010.
- [26] J. L. Evans, D. E. Morrissey and J. D. Wells, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 055017;
   E. J. Chun, J. L. Evans, D. E. Morrissey and J. D. Wells, arX iv:hep-ph/0804.3050.
- [27] G.L.Bayatian et al. [CMS Collaboration], J. Phys. G 34 (2007) 995.
- [28] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B 174 (1986) 45.
- [29] J.R.Ellis, J.Hisano, M.Raidaland Y.Shimizu, Phys.Lett. B 528 (2002) 86.
- [30] I.Masina, Nucl. Phys. B 671 (2003) 432.
- [31] Y.Farzan and M.E.Peskin, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 095001.
- [32] B.C.Regan, E.D.Commins, C.J.Schmidt and D.DeMille, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 071805.
- [33] J.R. Ellis, J.E. K im and D.V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 145 (1984) 181; M.Y. Khlopov and A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B 138 (1984) 265.
- [34] D.DeMille et al., Phys.Rev.A 61 (2000) 052507.
- [35] A. Brignole and A. Rossi, Phys. Lett. B 566 (2003) 217.
- [36] B.Aubert et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 041801.
- [37] J.R.Ellis, M.K.Gaillard and D.V.Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 88 (1979) 320.
- [38] J.R.Ellis, M.K.Gaillard and D.V.Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 80 (1979) 360,
- [39] M. S. Chanowitz, J. R. Ellis and M. K. Gaillard, Nucl. Phys. B 128 (1977) 506; A.J. Buras, J. R. Ellis, M. K. Gaillard and D. V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B 135 (1978) 66.
- [40] J.R. Ellis and M.K. Gaillard, Phys. Lett. B 88 (1979) 315.
- [41] See, for exam ple, J.H isano and Y.Shim izu, Phys.Lett.B 565 (2003) 183; M.Ciuchini, A.M asiero, P.Paradisi, L.Silvestrini, S.K.Vem patiand O.Vives, Nucl.Phys.B 783 (2007) 112; T.Goto, Y.Okada, T.Shindou and M.Tanaka, Phys.Rev.D 77 (2008) 095010; P.Ko, J.h.Park and M.Yam aguchi, arX iv:0809.2784 [hep-ph].
- [42] J.R.Ellis, J.L.Lopez, D.V.Nanopoulos and K.A.Olive, Phys. Lett. B 308 (1993) 70.
- [43] S.Lola and G.G.Ross, Nucl. Phys. B 553 (1999) 81.

- [44] J.R.Ellis, M.E.G om ez and S.Lola, JHEP 0707 (2007) 052.
- [45] J.R.Ellis, G.K.Leontaris, S.Lola and D.V.Nanopoulos, Eur. Phys. J.C 9 (1999) 389.
- [46] M. Battaglia et al., Eur. Phys. J.C 33 (2004) 273.
- [47] See, for exam ple, A. De Roeck, J. R. Ellis, F. Gianotti, F. Moortgat, K. A. Olive and L. Pape, Eur. Phys. J. C 49 (2007) 1041 [arXiv:hep-ph/0508198].
- [48] J.R.Ellis et al., Phys. Lett. B 653 (2007) 292 and JHEP 0710 (2007) 092.
- [49] S.Davidson and A. Ibarra, Phys. Lett. B 535 (2002) 25.
- [50] Y.Grossman, T.Kashti, Y.Nir and E.Roulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 251801;
   G.D'Ambrosio, G.F.Giudice and M.Raidal, Phys. Lett. B 575 (2003) 75.