Eugeny Babichev

E-m ail: babichev@apc.univ-paris7.fr APC (A stroparticules et Cosm ologie), UM R 7164 (CNRS, Universite Paris 7, CEA, O bservatoire de Paris), 10, rue A lice D om on et Leonie D uquet, 75205 Paris C edex 13, France

Philippe Brax

E-m ail: philippe.brax@cea.fr Institut de Physique Theorique, CEA, IPhT, CNRS, URA 2306, F-91191G if/Y vette Cedex, France and Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, UMR 7095-CNRS, Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, 98bis boulevard Arago, 75014 Paris, France

#### Chiara Caprini

E-m ail: chiara.caprini@cea.fr Institut de Physique Theorique,CEA,IPhT,CNRS,URA 2306,F-91191G if/Y vette Cedex,France

Jerôm e M artin

E-m ail: jmartin@iap.fr Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris,UMR 7095-CNRS,Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, 98bis boulevard Arago,75014 Paris,France

Daniele A. Steer

E-mail: steer@apc.univ-paris7.fr

APC (A stroparticules et Cosm ologie), UMR 7164 (CNRS, Universite Paris 7, CEA, O bservatoire de Paris), 10, rue A lice D om on et Leonie D uquet, 75205 Paris C edex 13, France and CERN Physics D epartm ent, Theory D ivision, CH-1211 G eneva 23, Switzerland

A bstract. M otivated by brane physics, we consider the non-linear D irac-B om-Infeld (D B I) extension of the A belian-H iggs m odel and study the corresponding cosm ic string con gurations. The m odel is de ned by a potential term, assumed to be of the m exican hat form, and a DBI action for the kinetic terms. We show that it is a continuous deformation of the A belian-H iggs m odel, with a single deformation parameter depending on a dimensionless combination of the scalar coupling constant, the vacuum expectation value of the scalar eld at in nity, and the brane tension. By m eans of numerical calculations, we investigate the proles of the corresponding DBI-cosm ic strings and prove that they have a core which is narrower than that of A belian-H iggs strings. We also show that the corresponding action is smaller than

in the standard case suggesting that their formation could be favoured in brane models. Moreover we show that the DBI-cosm ic string solutions are non-pathological everywhere in parameter space. Finally, in the limit in which the DBIm odel reduces to the Bogom olnyi-Prasad-Sommer eld (BPS) Abelian-Higgs model, we nd that DBI cosm ic strings are no longer BPS: rather they have positive binding energy. We thus argue that, when they meet, two DBI strings will not bind with the corresponding formation of a junction, and hence that a network of DBI strings is likely to behave as a network of standard cosm ic strings.

PACS num bers: 98.80.Cq, 98.70.Vc

#### 1. Introduction

The (W ilkinson M icrowave Anisotropy Probe) W MAP5 results [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] give strong indication in favour of cosm ic in ation over other m echanisms for the production of prim ordial uctuations [7]. Since in ation generally takes place at high energy, recently there has been a urry of activity in constructing m odels inspired by or derived from string theory (for recent reviews see e.g. Refs. [8, 9, 10, 11]). In a large category of these m odels, particularly brane-antibrane in ation [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20] and D 3/D 7 in ation [21, 22], the end result of the in ationary phase is the creation of D-strings (as well as potentially F-strings [23]), interpreted from the four-dimensional point of view as cosm ic strings. Since cosm ic strings are strongly ruled out as the main originator of prim ordial uctuations, the D-(and indeed F-) string tension is severely constrained and (under certain assumptions) such that  $G_N$  . 10<sup>6</sup> in order to preserve the features of the W M AP5 results [24]. Nevertheless, the existence and possible detection of the e ects of D-strings in the afterm ath of an era of brane in ation could be a testable prediction of string theory.

D-strings them selves have been conjectured to be in correspondence with the Dterm strings of supergravity [25]. One of their remarkable properties is that they satisfy a Bogom olnyi-Prasad-Sommer eld (BPS) condition, i.e. they have no binding energy and preserve 1/2 of the original supersymmetries. They also carry ferm ionic zero modes and are therefore vorton candidates, leading to possible interesting phenomenological consequences [26].

The identication between D-term strings and D-strings has been made in the low energy limit, when eld gradients are small. Inspired by the case of open string modes which can be electively described by a non-linear action of the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) type, in this paper we construct models of cosm ic strings which depart from the low energy approximation and generalise the Abelian-Higgs model to a non-linear one. We will call the resulting topological objects 'DBI-cosm ic strings', and they are exact solutions of the generalised non-linear DBI action. The action we consider is very dierent from others which have been discussed in the literature, Refs. [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32], and in particular does not lead to pathological con gurations. In the limit of small eld gradients our DBI strings reduce to Abelian-Higgs strings. We construct the DBI string solutions numerically in a broad range of parameter space, using two numerical methods: a relaxation method and a shooting algorithm. In this way, we show, in particular, that DBI strings with a potential term corresponding to the BPS limit of the Abelian-Higgs model are no-longer BPS. More speci cally,  $_{2n}$  2  $_n$ , where  $_n$  is the action per unit time and length for a string with a winding number n: the equality only holds in the low-energy limit. Borrowing language from the standard cosm is string literature [33, 34, 35], the strings are therefore in the type II regime (though the deviations from BPS are small, in a sense we will quantify). The network of strings produced will therefore not contain junctions, and all the strings will have the same tension  $_{n=1}$ . In the cosm ological context we therefore expect the DBI-string network to evolve in the standard way e.g. [36], containing in nite strings and loops, radiating energy through gravitational waves, and eventually reaching a scaling solution.

The paper is organised as follows. In section 2.1 we recall the properties of A belian-H iggs cosm is strings, while their realisation in the D 3/D 7 system is discussed in subsection 2.2. In section 3, we rst brie y review the di erent non-linear actions which have been put forward so far in the literature. Then in subsection 3.2, we motivate and present our proposed non-linear action for cosm is strings, which we expect to be applicable when eld gradients are large. In section 4, we study the DBI-cosm is strings solutions analytically and numerically. In subsection 4.1, we present sim ple analytical estimates which allow us to roughly guess the form of the DBI string pro les and, in subsection 4.2, we compute them numerically by means of two di erent methods (shooting and over relaxation). In section 5 we brie y summarise ourmain notings and discuss our conclusions. Finally, the appendix gives the full non-linear structure of the DBI cosm is string action.

#### 2. A belian H iggs Cosm ic Strings

#### 2.1. The Abelian-Higgs model

We begin by recalling brie y the properties of standard A belian-H iggs cosm ic strings, and at the same time introduce our notation following Ref. [34] though we use the signature ( + + +).

The Abelian-Higgs model is governed by the action  $\vec{r}$ 

$$S = d^{4}x^{p} - g (D) (D)^{y} + \frac{1}{4}F F + V (jj) ; \qquad (1)$$

where the potential is given by

$$V(jj) = -\frac{1}{4}j^{2} + 2^{2}$$
: (2)

In Eq. (1), D denotes the covariant derivative de ned by D @ iqA with A the vector potential, F @ A @ A , and q is the gauge coupling. The potential is



Figure 1. Left panel: the tension of n = 1 and n = 2 A belian-Higgs strings as a function of  ${}^{1} = 2q^{2} =$ . Right panel: the binding energy  ${}_{2n} = 2 {}_{n}$  for n = 1 A belian-Higgs strings as a function of  ${}^{1}$ . When the BPS condition = 1 is satisfied,  ${}_{n} = 2 {}_{n} {}^{2}$  so that  ${}_{2n} {}_{2n} = 0$  as can be verified in the gure.

characterised by two free parameters: the coupling > 0 and an energy scale . It is useful to introduce the dimensionless coupling

$$\frac{1}{2q_1^2} = \frac{m_s^2}{m_g^2};$$
 (3)

where the H iggs m ass is  $m_s = \frac{p_-}{2} = \frac{p_-}{2}$  and the vector m ass  $m_g = q$ .

Due to the non-trivial topology of the vacuum manifold, after gauge symmetry breaking the model possesses vortex (or cosm ic string) solutions for which the scalar eld can be expressed as

$$(r; ) = X () e^{n};$$
 (4)

where we have used the cylindrical coordinates, and the cosm ic string is aligned along the z-axis. Here n is the winding number proportional to the quantised magnetic ux on the string, and we have de ned a rescaled radial coordinate

$$^{1=2}$$
 r; (5)

with X ()! 1 at in nity, while X (0) = 0. In the radial gauge, the only non-vanishing component of the vector potential A is A () with A (0) = 0. We de ne

$$Q \quad n \quad qA;$$
 (6)

so that the tension, de ned to be the action per unit time and length = S=dtdz, can be expressed as

The function  $g_n$  (<sup>1</sup>) is plotted in Fig.1 (left panel).

In the BPS case, = 1, the tension given in Eq. (7) can be re-expressed as

$$n = 2 \quad {}^{2} \quad {}^{2} \quad {}^{+1} \quad d \qquad \frac{dX}{d} \quad \frac{QX}{d} \quad {}^{2} \quad {}^{2} \quad {}^{1} \quad \frac{1}{dQ} \quad \frac{1}{2} (X^{2} \quad 1)^{2} \\ + \frac{1}{d} \quad Q (X^{2} \quad 1) \quad ; \qquad (8)$$

and is minimised for cosmic strings that are solutions of the BPS equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{dX}}{\mathrm{d}} = \frac{\mathrm{X} \mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{d}}; \quad \frac{\mathrm{dQ}}{\mathrm{d}} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathrm{X}^2 \quad 1): \tag{9}$$

On inserting back into Eq. (8) one nds

$$n = 2 - n^2$$
 (10)

so that  $g_n(1) = n$ .

The functions  $g_{n=1}$  (<sup>1</sup>) and  $g_{n=2}$  (<sup>1</sup>) are plotted in the left hand panel of Fig. 1 whereas the binding energy <sub>2</sub> 2<sub>1</sub> is shown in the right hand panel. In the BPS lim it,  $g_n$  (1) = n, and the force between vortices vanishes [37]. For < 1, the strings are type I with a negative binding energy, while for > 1 they are type II with positive binding energy. Type I string therefore attract and can form bound states or 'zippers' [38] linked by junctions. Zippers m ay form (in a certain regime of parameter space) when two strings in a network collide, Refs. [39, 40]. A network of type II strings, on the other hand, contains no junctions and the strings all have the same tension n=1.

We now outline how BPS A belian H iggs cosm is strings form in certain string theory models of in ation. This will motivate our discussion of DBI strings in section 3. There we will see that DBI strings with = 1 have a positive binding energy and hence repeled each other.

#### 2.2. BPS Abelian-Higgs strings in the D 3-D 7 system

In string theory models, cosm ic strings can form after the end of in ation [8,9,10,11]. In the D 3/D 7 system [21,22] in particular, the two branes attract during the in ationary period and then eventually coalesce form ing D-strings. The whole picture (in ation and string form ation) can be described in terms of the eld theoretical D-term hybrid in ation [25]. In this language the D-strings have been conjectured to be analogous to D-term strings, and furtherm ore | as we now outline | the strings are BPS A belian-Higgs strings.

In the D 3/D 7 system there are three complex elds [21, 22]: the in aton and the waterfall elds . In string theory, is the interbrane distance and are in correspondence with the open strings between the branes. In the supersymmetric language, the K ahler potential is

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \qquad y^{2} + j^{+} j^{+}$$

leading to canonically normalised elds. Notice that the in ation direction is invariant under the real shift symmetry ! + c, thus guaranteeing the atness of the in aton direction at the classical level [41]. The superpotential is

$$W = +$$
 : (12)

During in ation, the U (1) symmetry under which the waterfall elds have charges 1 is not broken, i.e. = 0. The scalar potential is at and picks up a slope at the one loop level. This is enough to drive in ation. As decreases, it goes through a threshold after which the waterfall eld + condenses and the in aton vanishes. This corresponds to the coalescence of the D 3- and D 7-branes. The elective potential describing the condensation is given by the D -term potential (the F-term s all vanish when = 0; = 0)

$$V_{\rm D} = \frac{g^2}{2} j^+ j^2 \qquad ^2:$$
 (13)

The term is called a Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) term [42]. As <sup>+</sup> condenses and h <sup>+</sup> i =  $p_{-}^{p_{-}}$ , cosm ic strings form interpolating between a vanishing eld in the core and <sup>p\_{-}</sup> at in nity. These cosm ic strings are BPS objects preserving one half of the original supersymmetries. Their tension is known to be  $_{n} = 2 n$  [25]. As a consequence, there is no binding energy as  $_{2n} = 2 n$ .

In fact [25], the D-term string model of D-string formation is nothing but an Abelian-Higgs model with particular couplings

$$L = D + D + \frac{1}{4g^2}F + V_D;$$
 (14)

where  $D^+ = (@ iA)^+ . Upon rescaling A ! A = g and comparing with (1), this leads to the identication$ 

$$q = g; = 2g^2; = 2^2;$$
 (15)

corresponding to = 1 and, hence, a BPS system. This explains why one recovers 2n = 2n.

Moreover, the energy scale <sup>p</sup> – can be given a stringy interpretation. Indeed, one can show that the Fayet-Iliopoulos term is related to internal uxes on D7-branes [43]. For this purpose, let us consider a ten-dimensional metric in the form

$$ds^{2} = g dX dX + g_{pq}dX^{p}dX^{q} + g_{ij}dX^{i}dX^{j};$$
(16)

with = 0; ;3, p = 4; ;7 and i = 8;9. The quaistible four-dimensional metric and  $g_{pq}$  and  $g_{ij}$  the compactication six-dimensional metric. This corresponds to the metric on K<sup>3</sup> T<sup>2</sup> compactications for instance. The internal dimensions of the D7-brane are the coordinates a (;p) while the D3-brane lies along the coordinates. We denote by T<sub>7</sub> the brane tension and  $g_s$  the string coupling. The four-dimensional gauge coupling is given by

$$\frac{1}{g^2} = \frac{T_7 V_4 '_s^4}{g_s};$$
(17)

7

)

where the string length is  $r_s = 1 = \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{2}$  and  $V_4 = \frac{R}{d^4x} \frac{p}{detg_{pq}}$  is the volume of the four compact internal dimensions of the D7-brane. Consider now a dimensionless magnetic ux  $F_{pq}$  along the internal dimensions (p;q) = 4; ;7, of a D7-brane. Then

$${}^{2} = \frac{T_{7}}{2g_{s}g^{2}} d^{4}x^{p} - \frac{q_{pq}}{q_{pq}}F_{pq}F^{pq} : \qquad (18)$$

Notice that the absolute value of the FI term is not xed, it can be decomposed as  $^{2} = = \frac{\ell}{s}$  where the prefactor depends on  $F_{pq}$ .

In the following, we will consider cosm ic string models for which the canonical kinetic terms have been replaced by a non-linear term of the DBI type. In elective actions describing string theory phenomena, and particularly brane dynamics, such a replacement is mandatory as soon as the gradient terms in the elective action become large. Indeed, the DBI action usually describes the dynamics of the open strings in correspondence with the brane motion (such as the 3-3 and 7-7 open strings in the D3/D7 system). As we have recalled, the formation of cosm ic strings in the D3/D7 system is governed by the 3-7 strings of no obvious geometric significance. In such a situation, and assuming that there could be higher order terms correcting the lowest order Lagrangian, the elect of the higher order corrections to the kinetic terms (terms in  $\mathfrak{P}^{-1}$   $\mathfrak{f}^{p}$ ; p > 1) would be to induce modifications of the cosm ic string prole and of the tension.

In the following, we do not restrict our attention to a particular setting such as the D 3/D 7 system . We discuss possible non-linear extensions of the Abelian-Higgs system and then motivate a specie, well de ned form . We then analyse the departure from the BPS case induced by the higher order term s.

# 3. DBICosm ic Strings

#### 3.1. Non-standard actions for cosm ic strings

As discussed in the previous section, we are interested in situations in which gauged cosm ic strings form when higher order corrections to the kinetic terms in the action cannot be neglected. In the absence of an explicit derivation from , say, string theory, we take a phenom enological approach (which, however, is strongly inspired by string theory). This is presented in subsection 3.2. We will construct an action [given in Eq. (35) and reproduced below ] which satis es the following two criteria: Firstly, the A belian-H iggs lim it should be recovered when gradients are small. In particular, the action should be a continuous deform ation of the A belian-H iggs m odel. Secondly, the resulting cosm ic string solutions should have no pathological and/or singular behaviour as the m odel becomes m ore and m ore non-linear (in eld gradients). In the remainder of this subsection, we com pare our action, Eq. (41):

$$S / d^{4}x = detg + (D_{()})(D_{()})^{y} + F = p_{--}g$$
  
+  $p_{--}gV (j j) :$  (19)

to other non-linear actions which have been considered in the literature and which do not satisfy the above criteria.

In Refs. [27, 28, 30] an attempt to construct a non-linear model for (electrically) charged vortices in (2+1) dimensions uses an hybrid approach with a (truncated) Born-Infeld action for the gauge eld, a standard linear action for the Higgs eld, and a Chem-Sim ons term z:

$$S = {}^{Z} d^{4}x^{p} - g^{2} {}^{2} 1 + \frac{1}{2^{2}}F F 1 + \frac{1}{4} A F + \frac{1}{2}p f + V();$$
(20)

where is a parameter of dimension two and  $\hat{D} = (D)(D)^{y}$ . At a threshold  $=_{c}$  corresponding to the very non-linear regime, the gauge eld becomes singular at the origin of the vortex whilst, below the threshold, no solution exists. Incorporating the Higgs kinetic terms into the square root, while dropping the Chern-Simons term, leads to the following expression

where F = 2.W ith such a very particular form (which diers from the one we will propose shortly), one does not nd nite energy solutions.

A model for (global) cosm ic strings was proposed in R ef. [29] with an action given by

$$S = d^{4}x^{p} - \frac{h_{p}}{1 + j_{e}} \frac{1}{j} + V(j)$$
(22)

(this is identical to Eq. (21) in the global lim it). For this model the solutions become multi-valued and unde ned at the origin as soon as the magnitude of V () becomes su ciently large [29].

In view of these negative approaches where singularities and pathologies abound, one could be tempted to think that non-linear cosm ic string actions all lead to these problem s. Fortunately, a well-behaved action has been suggested by Sen [44] and studied in R ef. [45] in the case of D-strings obtained at the end of D-D in ation. In such a system, hybrid in ation occurs and the rôle of the waterfall eld is played by the open string tachyon T with a charge 1 under the U (1) gauge groups of the D 3- (respectively D 3-) brane. When the two branes coincide the elective action reads

$$S = T_3 \quad d^4 x V \quad T; T^{\gamma} \quad \overline{\det(q^{\dagger})} + p \quad \overline{\det(q)}^{\dagger}; \qquad (23)$$

 $z\,$  N o charged vortex solutions exist when the Chern-Sim ons term is absent.

where  $g = g = \int_{s}^{2} F + D T D T^{y} + D T^{y} D T = 2$  and V is the tachyon potential. Since det( $g^{\dagger}$ ) = det( $g^{\dagger}$ ) (see the Appendix), the action reduces to

$$S = 2T_3 \quad d^4xV \quad T; T^{\gamma} \quad \stackrel{p}{\overline{\det}} (q); \qquad (24)$$

and it adm its BPS vortex solutions.

Topological defects in models with general non-linear kinetic terms were studied in R efs. [31, 32]. The action proposed in [31] for global topological defects di ers from the tachyon action above (in the global limit) as the potential is added to the generalised kinetic terms: 7

$$S = d^{4}x M^{4}K \frac{X}{M^{4}} V() :$$
 (25)

Here

$$X = \frac{1}{2} (Q_{a} Q_{a})$$
(26)

is the standard kinetic term ,K (X ) is some non-linear function,  $_{a}$  is a set of scalar eds, M has dimensions of mass, and the potential term provides the symmetry breaking term. O ne of the main restrictions imposed in [31] on the form of the non-linear function K (X ) is that K (X ) should have a canonical asymptotic form ,K (X ) X as X ! O. However, for large gradients K (X ) could deviate considerably from the canonical kinetic term s. The former requirement in plies a non-pathological behaviour of solutions far from the defect core, while the diment possibilities for K (X ) at in nity leads to deviations of the defect from the standard case inside the core. The action (25) leads to non-pathological solutions for so-called k-defects | dom ain walls, cosm ic strings and monopoles | whose properties can dimensional kinetics.

A gauge version of action (25) was considered in Ref. [32]: for a complex scalar eld  $_{7}$ 

$$S = d^{4}x M^{4}K \frac{X}{M^{4}} V() \frac{1}{4}F F$$
(27)

w ith

$$X = \frac{1}{2} (D ) (D )^{Y}$$
: (28)

It was shown that non-pathological cosm ic string solutions exist at least for som e choices of the non-linear function K (X ) [32].

In the follow ing we will notivate and study a non-linear extension of A belian-H iggs m odel which retains some of the properties of the tachyon and k-defect m odels. The potential will be additive as in the k-defect case while the kinetic terms have a DBI form as in the tachyon case. However, the kinetic terms are not a function solely of X anym ore: they di erentiate between the radial and angular gradients of the defects. This springs from the origin of the kinetic terms as induced from the norm alm otion of a D3 brane embedded in a larger space-tim e.

#### 3.2. A DBIAction for Cosm ic Strings

W e now turn to the action that we propose in this article. Consider a brane model in which cosm ic strings appear as deform ations of a brane. (In a sense the brane becomes curved with a puncture at the location of the string, as we discuss.) To do so, consider a ten-dimensional setting as is natural for brane models derived from or inspired by string theory. We choose a non-warped compactication and write the ten-dimensional metric in cylindrical form

$$ds_{10}^{2} \quad q_{AB}^{10} dX^{A} dX^{B} = ds_{4}^{2} + 2g \quad dZ \quad dZ \quad ;$$
(29)

where

$$ds_4^2 \quad g \, dX \, dX = (dX^0)^2 + dR^2 + R^2 d^2 + dZ^2 :$$
(30)

The metric along the internal dimensions g (= 5;6;7) is kept arbitrary, i.e. Herm itian and positive de nite, and we have assumed that the six-dimensional manifold is complex (it could be a Calabi-Yau manifold) therefore having complex coordinates. The complex coordinates are crucial to analyse cosm ic strings.

Consider the DBI action for a three-brane embedded along the rst four coordinates  $S = T d^{4}x det(g + {}^{2}_{s}F) d^{4}x gV TZ; (31)$ 

where T is the brane tension, F is the eld strength on the brane (and has dimension two), distances have dimension m inus one and A has dimension one. We have included a potential for the deformations Z of the normal directions to the three-branes. As suitable when the normal directions are charged under the world-volum e gauge group [in this case the local U (1) on the brane], we include a covariant derivative in the de nition of the induced metric

$$g = g + g \quad D \quad Z \quad D \quad Z + D \quad Z \quad D \quad Z$$
(32)

w ith

$$D = \emptyset \quad i \hat{Q} A : \tag{33}$$

C learly, when the gauge elds vanish, g is simply the induced metric on the brane. A similar extension of the induced metric to charged elds has already been introduced in the context of N-coinciding D-branes [46] with the corresponding non-Abelian SU (N) gauge theory. There the brane coordinates are in the adjoint representation and have kinetic terms involving the SU (N) covariant derivative [46]. We extend this procedure to the DBI cosm ic string situation with a U (1) gauge groups

W hen the six-dimensional metric is nearly at 
$$g = bcally$$
, the action becomes  
 $S = T d^4x det g + D Z D Z + D Z D Z + \frac{2}{s}F$   
 $p - \frac{Z}{g} d^4x p - \frac{Q}{g}V TZ;$  (34)

x In the D-brane context, the brane elds do not carry any U (1) charge as they belong to the adjoint representation.

where, as usual, we have subtracted the action of the  $\$  at" brane so that the Abelian-Higgs model is recovered when gradients are small. In the following we suppose that only one normal direction is excited and de ne  $Z^1$ . The resulting action is given by

$$S = T d^{4}x \qquad det g + (D_{(})(D_{)})^{y} + {}^{2}_{s}F \qquad p - g$$

$$+ \frac{p - V(Tjj)}{T} : \qquad (35)$$

Notice that, in the above equation, the potential [i.e. V(x) as a function of x] is still given by the expression (2) and, therefore, contains the parameter . When the complex scalar eld vanishes, Eq. (35) describes Born-Infeld electrodynamics [47].

We now discuss action (35) in detail. In particular we compare its properties to those of the Abelian-Higgs action (1) discussed in section 2, and then we construct the static cosm ic string solutions of the action.

A rst important property of Eq. (35) is that, to leading order in derivatives, it reduces to the standard action (1) on identifying

$$= \overset{\mathsf{p}}{\mathsf{T}}; \tag{36}$$

and rede ning the charge according to the following expression

$$q = \frac{\dot{q}}{T'_{s}^{2}}$$
(37)

together with the gauge eld

$$A = \frac{A}{P \frac{T'_s}{T'_s}}$$
(38)

Hence, if the spatial derivatives characterising DBI-strings are small (we shall discuss whether or not this is the case below), their properties should to be very similar to A belian Higgs strings. More generally, however, and as discussed in detail in the A ppendix where we calculate the determ inant explicitly, Eq. (35) contains terms of higher order in covariant derivatives as well as num erous di erent m ixing term s between F and D (suitably contracted). These extra terms could signi cantly change the string solution and the resulting strings' properties relative to the A belian Higgs case. It follows from this that our action is very di erent from that considered by Sarangi in R ef. [29], even in the global case. A s a consequence we will nd non-pathological cosm ic strings solutions with a continuous lim it to A belian-Higgs strings.

We now focus on the cosm ic string solutions of Eq. (35). For this purpose, rst it is useful to pass to dimensionless variables, denoted with a hat. Explicitly we set

$$\hat{\phantom{x}}_{s}, \hat{\mathbf{F}} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{s}^{2} \mathbf{F}; \hat{\mathbf{D}} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{s}^{2} \mathbf{D}; \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{s}, \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{s}^{2}; \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{s}^{2};$$
(39)

as well as

$$\hat{\nabla}(j_{j}) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2};$$
 (40)

where 
$$T_{s}^{4}$$
, so that the action becomes  
 $Z \qquad r \qquad h$   
 $S = T_{s}^{4} d^{4}x \qquad det g + (\hat{D}_{1})(\hat{D}_{1})^{y} + F \qquad g$   
 $+ p \qquad g$   
 $+ p \qquad g$   
(41)

We now follow the procedure outlined in section 2.1 for A belian-H iggs cosm ic strings, however for action (41). In dimensionless cylindrical coordinates,  $ds^2 = dt^2 + dt^2 + t^2d^2 + dt^2 + dt^2 + dt^2$  and in the radial gauge ( $\hat{A}_{t} = 0$ ), the cosm ic string prole is

 $^{-} = ^{X} () e^{in} ; Q () = n$   $(\hat{r}) ;$  (42)

where we have de ned a new radial coordinate by the following expression

which should be compared to Eq. (5). The boundary conditions on the elds are

$$\lim_{i \to 0} X = 0; \quad \lim_{i \to 0} Q = n; \quad \lim_{i \to 1} X = 1; \quad \lim_{i \to 1} Q = 0:$$
(44)

Substituting Eqs. (42) and (43) into (41) as well as using (39), we nd that h

$$(detg)^{2} = detg + (\hat{D}_{1}^{\circ})(\hat{D}_{1}^{\circ})^{y} + \hat{F}^{\circ};$$
 (45)

where we have de ned the factor by  $\mathbf{H}$ 

<sup>2</sup> 1+ 
$$\frac{dX}{d}$$
 <sup>2"</sup> 1+  $\frac{Q^{2}X^{2}}{2}$  +  $\frac{dQ}{d}$  <sup>2</sup>: (46)

$$n = \frac{4}{2} \frac{2^{2} + 1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{d} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{d} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{d} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{d} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{d} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{d} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{d} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{d} \frac{\sqrt$$

where

and, as in the Abelian-Higgs case,

$$=\frac{1}{2\dot{q}^2}=\frac{1}{2q^2}$$
: (49)

Eq. (47) is the main result of this section and represents the non-linear DBI generalisation of the linear Abelian-Higgs model: it should be compared to Eq. (7). Notice that it involves the single additional parameter which measures the deformation from the Abelian-Higgs model, since Eq. (47) reduces to the tension of Abelian Higgs

k Note that  $^{2} = D$  where D is discussed in the Appendix.

strings in the linear limit ! 0. As discussed in section 2.1, Abelian-Higgs strings are BPS when = 1, and hence for = 1, DBI-cosm ic strings with tension given by Eq. (47) are a continuous deform ation of the BPS Abelian-Higgs strings. This property is, of course, very important and constitutes an additional motivation for the action given in Eq. (41).

Finally, we note that the argument of the cosm ic string prole is also identical to its counterpart in the Abelian-Higgs case, whatever the value of . Hence we will be able to ind continuous deformations of the cosm ic string proles parameterised by and depending on the universal variable .

#### 4. DBI String Solutions

# 4.1. A nalytical E stim ates

Having established the model and its action, we now turn to the solutions of the equations of motion. The DBI cosm ic string equations follow from Eq. (47) and read

...

$$\frac{d}{d} \qquad 1 + \frac{Q^2 X^2}{2} \frac{dX}{d} = \frac{1}{2} (X^2 - 1)X + \frac{Q^2 X}{2} + \frac{dX}{d} = \frac{1}{2} (X^2 - 1)X + \frac{Q^2 X}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (X^2 - 1)X + \frac{1}{2} (X^2$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}} - \frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}} = -\frac{Q}{\mathrm{d}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}} X^{2}; \qquad (51)$$

#

for the scalar eld and gauge elds, respectively, where is de ned in Eq. (46). In the Abelian Higgs limit, = 0, Eqs. (50) and (51) reduce to the standard cosm ic string eld equations for which = 1. Deviations from Abelian Higgs strings will occur if

< 1. Notice that here the elds are purely space-dependent. For time-dependent elds, and particularly in DBI in ationary cosmology with in aton (t) whose dynamics is described by action (41) in the global limit, then is a generalisation of the cosmological Lorentz factor. Indeed, as can be seen from Eq. (46) in the case when g describes an hom ogeneous and isotropic manifold,  $^2 = 1 - ^2 = T$  () where T () is related to the metric of the extra-dimensions. The dimension from standard cosmology ( = 1) occur here when ! + 1 rather than 1.

Unfortunately, as is clear from Eqs. (50) and (51), the DBI cosm ic string equations cannot be solved exactly. We have therefore carried out a full num erical integration of the equations of motion. For convenience, we will focus on the deform ed BPS case where = 1 and  $\notin 0$  (though  $\notin 1$  and  $\notin 0$  can also been done with the num erical methods used here).

Before discussing the num erical results, we analyse the asymptotic behaviour of the elds in order to obtain a rough understanding of the solution. We will consider the two limits ! 0 and ! 1 and will address two issues. The rst one is the non-existence of singularities in the core of the cosm ic string. The second one will be the determ ination

of the shape of the cosm ic string pro le both at the origin and at in nity. In particular we will nd that the functional form of the string pro le is similar to the Abelian-Higgs case inside the core, the only di erence springing from -dependent factors.

Consider rst the ! 0 lim it and let us exam ine the possibility of singular DBI strings deep in the string core. As a lready discussed, the DBI features of the solutions depend on . In particular, extrem e deviations from the Abelian-Higgs case would appear if ! 0 at the origin. This can only happen if the derivative of X and/or Q become extrem ely large, i.e. the string becomes singular. Let us rst assume that the gradient of Q becomes large and dominates the factor, i.e.  $d = \begin{pmatrix} P \\ - dQ \end{pmatrix}$ . The gauge equation becomes non-sensical as the left-hand of (51) vanishes and the right-hand side does not. Hence there is no regime where the gradient Q is arbitrarily large leading to ! 0 at the origin. We now exam ine the possibility that X becomes singular at the origin with a large gradient. In this lim it, we not

$$\frac{1}{X (dX = d)} = 1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(dX = d)^2} = \frac{1}{2n^2} \frac{1}{X^2} \frac{2}{x^2} ;$$
 (52)

where Q n close to the origin and we have expanded in  $1 = (dX = d)^2$  1 and  $^2 = (X^2)$  1, this last condition being the only one compatible with the condition on the derivative of X. W orking to rst order in these two parameters, the prole is determined by

$$\frac{d}{d} = X = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{2}{X^2} = \frac{1}{(dX = d)^2} = \frac{dX}{d} = \frac{1}{(dX = d)^2} = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{2}{X^2} : (53)$$

Notice that to zeroth order in the two small parameters, the equation is tautological. In the limit ! 0 with an ansatz X the equation of motion is satisfied for  $^2 = n^2$ . The only solution satisfying X (0) = 0 is obtained for = n which has nite derivative at the origin. This contradicts our premises and, as a result, we conclude that singular DBI strings do not exist.

Having shown that the strings are not singular, we will now show that the functional form of the solutions is similar to the ones in the Abelian-Higgs case. Let us assume that, in the limit ! 0, the DBI solutions are of the form

X () = 
$$A_{DBI}^{p}$$
; Q () = n  $B_{DBI}^{q}$ ; (54)

where p and q are two constants which we will determ ine below, while  $A_{DBI}$  and  $B_{DBI}$  are two constants to be obtained by numerical integration; and we have taken into account the boundary conditions at = 0: X (0) = 0 and Q (0) = n. By direct substitution of the asymptotic form (54) into the equations of motion Eqs. (50), (51) and taking the limit ! 0, one can check that the correct asymptotic form for X and Q reads,

X () = 
$$A_{DBI}^{n}$$
; Q () = n  $B_{DBI}^{2}$ : (55)

Thus p = n and q = 2 and, as guessed above, the only difference between DBI cosm is strings and Abelian-Higgs cosm is strings close to the origin is in the numerical values of the prefactors  $A_{_{DBI}}$  and  $B_{_{DBI}}$  which are -dependent. In particular, these coe cients become large for large implying that away from the origin but for reasonable and

nite values the gamma factor becomes noticeably dierent from one, i.e. the cosmic strings are in a mild DBI regime.

From Eqs. (46) and (55) it in mediately follows that is always nite at = 0. This a salient point as it con m s that the cosm ic strings constructed with a DBI action are non-singular at the origin. This is of course yet another argument supporting the fact that Eq. (47) represents the natural DBI generalisation for cosm ic strings.

M ore precisely we nd that as ! 0 there are two possible regimes: the standard regime where jl j 0 and a mild DBI regime where < 1 but nite. Let us rst analyse the global string, for which Q = 0. It is clear from Eqs. (46) and (55) that for n 2 the mild DBI regime cannot be realised around ! 0. Note, how ever, that for 1 we nd numerically that  $A_{DBI}$  1, which implies that away from the origin the gradient dX = d becomes large, so that the solution is in the mild DBI regime. For n = 1 the mild DBI regime is valid starting from = 0, if 1. For 1 the regime is always of non-DBI type, independently of n.

In the case of gauge strings, the situation is similar in the limit ! 0. Again for 1 the non-DBI regime is realised. For 1 we nd numerically that  $A_{DBI}$ and  $B_{DBI}$  in Eq. (55) are large. Thus the gradient dQ =d is large too, while the terms proportional to dX =d and to  $Q^2X^2$  are large only for n = 1, and they are small in a small region around = 0 for n 2. However, these terms become large away from the origin, since a large constant  $A_{DBI}$  in plies that dX =d becomes large at some point. In conclusion, we nd that for large enough, the cosm is strings are in a mild DBI regime for nite values of . This is con med numerically.

Finally let us notice that at in nity, independently of , both the gradients dX = d and dQ = d are small, and the cosm ic string m atches the standard behaviour. This is in agreem ent with the general notings for topological defects with a non-canonical kinetic term.

In sum m ary, the di erence between the A belian-H iggs and DBI strings will be sm all very far from the core of the string, while the DBI string can di er from the A belian-H iggs one inside the core of the string: the larger the larger the di erence inside the core.

#### 4.2. Num erical Solutions

A sm entioned above, the equations of m otion (50) and (51) cannot be solved analytically. For this reason, we now turn to a full num erical integration.

As is well-known, the num erical integration is non-trivial because the boundary conditions are not xed at the same point. The solutions discussed is this article have been obtained by m eans of two independent m ethods: a relaxation m ethod [48, 49, 50] and a shooting m ethod. M ore precisely, the form er is in fact the over relaxation m ethod. The over relaxation m ethod di ers from the relaxation m ethod (also known as the N ew ton iteration m ethod) by the fact that the N ew tonian iteration step is m ultiplied by a factor of !. In the standard case, convergence for the over relaxation m ethod is



Figure 2. Left panel: the proles of global DBI strings for n = 1 and for various values of the parameter dened in Eq. (48). Right panel: same as left panel but with n = 2

quaranteed provided the over relaxation parameter ! < 2 and, therefore, a good choice 1:99. Here, the highly non-linear nature of the equation of motions is for instance ! may render the over relaxation method unstable. To deal with this problem, we have considered a \step-dependent" over relaxation parameter ! interpolating from ! 1, close to the origin, and to ! ! 1:3 at \in nity". As already mentioned the choice 1:99 is due to the highly non linear behaviour of the equations. We have ! = 1:3 observed very severe instabilities for higher values of ! . On the other hand, the shooting method can be directly implemented in its standard formulation in the case of global strings, since there is only one integration constant to be obtained, A<sub>DBY</sub>. While in the gauge case the presence of two \shooting" constants,  $A_{DBI}$  and  $B_{DBI}$ , makes the direct in plementation of the standard scheme in possible, we have thus modied the shooting m ethod appropriately. All in all, the two di erent num erical procedures, a relaxation and a shooting m ethod, give the sam e num erical solutions, up to sm all num erical errors.

Num erical integration of the equations of motion (50) and (51) are presented and discussed below .

Firstly, in Figs. 2, we consider global DBI strings (that is to say without the gauge eld) for, respectively, winding numbers n = 1 (left panel) and n = 2 (right panel) and di erent 's. This gure con m s the qualitative statements made in the previous subsection. We notice that, even for \non-perturbative" values of , i.e. > 1, the di erence between the standard and the DBI pro les remains quite small. Moreover, as announced, the maximum di erence lies at a (dimensionless) radius of order one, namely half way from the origin and the region where X ! 1. Another remark is that the DBI pro les are always above the standard pro les. This is of course expected since the DBI regiment emeans larger derivatives which, in the present context, in plies the above mentioned property. Finally, one can check that the asymptotic behaviours discussed in the previous subsection are clearly observed in Figs. 2. Indeed, for n = 1, we notice that X () A<sub>DBI</sub> where A<sub>DBI</sub> is clearly a function of (see in particular the zoom in



Figure 3. Left panel: the solid lines represent the proles of the scalar and gauge elds of a DBI strings with n = 1 and = 1 while the dashed lines are the proles of the scalar and gauge elds of a standard Abelian-Higgs string (i.e. = 0). Right panel: same as left panelbut with n = 2. Notice that, on the y-axis, we have used the notation  $a d\hat{A} = n$ .

the left panel). The same remark applies for n = 2, where X ( )

Secondly, in Figs. 3, we display the profiles for DBI local strings, i.e. for the scalar eld and the gauge eld, in the case where = 1, n = 1 (left panel) and n = 2 (right panel). The same remarks as before apply. In particular, the scalar eld profile always lies above its A belian-H iggs counter part and, on the contrary, the DBI gauge eld profile always lies inside the standard profile. As already discussed, this is because, in the DBI regime, the gradients are, by de nition, larger than in the standard case. This means that a DBI string has a core smaller than an Abelian-H iggs string. As before, one can also check that the asymptotic behaviours are those discussed in the previous subsection. This is true in particular for the gauge eld for which we always see that  $Q = n^{-2}$  at the origin.

Thirdly, additional information on the proles can be gained from Figs. 4. In the left panel, we have compared the local and global proles. One can notice that the global prole is less concentrated than the local one. Another remark is that the dilerence between the Abelian-Higgs and DBI proles is more important in the local case than in the global one. In the right panel, we have compared the slopes at the origin. In the standard case, one has X ()  $A_{\text{standard}} \cap A$  and it has been argued before that in the DBI case, one also has X ()  $A_{\text{bBI}} \cap A$  we have represented the ratio  $A_{\text{DBI}} = A_{\text{standard}}$  for various values of and n. One notices that the larger , the steeper the DBI slope, which seems a natural since the value of the parameter controls how in portant the DBI elses are. We also remark that the same trend is observed when one increases n rather than . In conclusion, from these two gures, one con mis that the deeper one penetrates into the DBI regime, the narrower the core of a cosm ic string is. The elect is larger in the local case than in the global one and for large winding numbers than for small ones.



Figure 4. Left panel: com parison of the cosm ic string proles for global and local DBI strings with n = 1 and = 1. The solid line represents the scalar eld prole for a local A belian-H iggs string while the dotted line is the corresponding DBI prole. On the other hand, the dashed line represents the scalar eld prole for an A belian-H iggs global string whereas the dotted-dashed line is the corresponding DBI prole still in the global case. R ight panel: ratio  $A_{DBI} = A_{standard}$  (see the text) as a function of the parameter for dilerent values of the winding number n.

Fourthly, given the num erical solutions presented above it is straightforward to calculate their tension which, from the action given by Eq. (47), takes the form

$$_{n}(X;Q) = 2^{-2} f_{n}();$$
 (56)

where  $f_n(0) = n$  in the Abelian-Higgs case. In Fig. 5 (left panel), we plot the universal functions  $f_n()$  for the DBI local strings. We notice that the DBI action is, for any n and/or , sm aller than the corresponding standard action. Moreover, at a xed value of , the (absolute) di erence between the DBI and Abelian-Higgs actions increases with the winding number. The fact that the DBI action is sm aller than the standard one is likely to have important physical consequences, in particular with regards to the form ation of DBI cosm ic strings. Indeed, if their energy is sm aller than in the standard case, one can legitim ely expect their form ation to be favoured as com pared to the Abelian-Higgs case.

In the right panel in Fig. 5, we have represented the DBI string binding energy 2n = 2n as a function of the parameter for dierent values of the winding number n. We observe that this quantity is always positive but small in comparison to one. Moreover, as expected since one has (2n = 2n)(=0) = 0, it increases with . We conclude that when e = 0, the DBI cosm is string is no longer a BPS object. The fact that 2n > 2n means that, when they meet, two DBI strings will not constitute a new single string with winding number 2n since this appears to be disfavoured from the energy point of view. This has important consequences for cosm ology since the above discussion implies that the behaviour of a network of DBI cosm is strings. This means that the cosm ological constraints derived, for instance in Refs. [24], also apply to the present



Figure 5. Left panel: the solid lines represent the DBI string tension as a function of for di erent values of the winding number n (from n = 1 to n = 4 going from the bottom to the top of the plot). The dashed lines corresponds to the Abelian-Higgs tension, namely  $_n = 2$   $^2n$ , and are thus horizontal lines located at the y-coordinate n. Right panel: the DBI string binding energy  $_{2n}$  2  $_n$  for various n as a function of the parameter .



Figure 6. Left panel: the energy density for DBI strings as a function of for n = 1 for di erent values of the param eter . R ight panel: sam e as right panel but for n = 2.

case.

Finally, in Fig. 6, we represent the energy density as a function of the dimensionless radial coordinate for n = 1 (left panel) and n = 2 (right panel) for dimensionless of the parameter . We notice that the DBI energy densities are usually more peaked than the Abelian-Higgs ones. Moreover, the larger , the more peaked the distributions. The case n = 2 is particularly interesting. One observes that, as increases, the peaks of the distribution are displaced towards the left, i.e. towards smaller values of . This is probably due to the fact that, as discussed at the beginning of this subsection, the dimensionless are usually and a belian-Higgs prometer is maximum for intermediate values of .

# 5. Conclusions

We have considered a natural DBI generalisation of the Abelian-Higgs model whereby the kinetic terms of the Higgs elds do not lead to a linear di erential operator in the equations of motion. The particular form of the action is motivated by a specic extra-dimensional model where the Higgs eld becomes a complex direction norm alto a D 3-brane. A lthough this model leads to nice cosm ic string properties, it is not directly related to a string theory model. As such, the closest model of string theory which could have lead to such a DBI action is the D 3/D 7 system where BPS cosm ic strings are formed at the end of an hybrid-like in ation phase. Unfortunately, the charged elds associated to the open string joining the D 3- and D 7-branes have no obvious geometric meaning and therefore do not lead to our D B I action. It would certainly be very interesting to see if our construction can be embedded within string theory.

As a four-dimensional model of non-canonical type, the DBI model of cosm ic strings does not su er from any pathology such as divergences or non-single-valuedness of the eld proles (typical of other non-linear actions which have been proposed in the literature). Indeed we nd that DBI strings can be continuously deformed to their A belian-Higgs analogue. In fact, the main di erence from the A belian-Higgs case appears in the BPS case where the DBI strings show a small departure from the BPS property. In particular, we nd that the string tension is reduced, a property which m ay have some phenom enological signi cance in order to relax the bound on the string tension com ing from C osm ic M icrow ave Background (CMB) data. M oreover we nd that the DBI strings have a positive binding energy im plying that the string coalescence is energetically disfavoured, leading to the likely form ation of networks with singly-wound strings and statistical properties akin to the usual A belian-Higgs ones.

In the present article, we have not tackled som e in portant aspects of DBI string dynam ics such as string scattering (for which we expect that the higher order terms discussed in the Appendix m ay play an important rôle), gravitational back reaction, and the coupling to ferm ions and their zero modes. This is currently under investigation.

In summary, we have introduced DBI cosm ic strings as non-singular solutions derived from a non-linear Lagrangian. We have studied the solutions numerically and found that they dier signi cantly from their Abelian-Higgs analogues. However, the network properties of these strings is almost certainly similar to those of type IIA belian-Higgs cosm ic strings.

# A cknow ledgm ents

W e would like to thank Patrick Peter and Christophe R ingeval for useful discussions, particularly on the num erical aspect of this work. W e also thank D avid Langlois and Sebastien R enaux-Petel, as well as R enata K allosh for enlightening discussions on the stringy aspect of this work.

# 6. A ppendix

In this appendix, we study in m ore detail the general form of the action (35) considered in this article. Eq. (35) reads

$$S = T d^{4}x \qquad \det g + (D_{()})(D_{()})^{y} + {}^{2}_{s}F$$

$$p - \frac{v}{g} + p - \frac{v}{g} \frac{p}{T} \frac{g}{j} = ;$$

$$\frac{z}{T} d^{4}x^{p} - \frac{v}{g} \frac{p}{D} - 1 + \frac{v(Tj)}{T} ; ;$$
(57)

where D is de ned by

D det + (D)(D)<sup>Y</sup> + (D)<sup>Y</sup>(D) +  ${}^{2}_{s}F$ ; (58)

T has dimensions of  $(energy)^4$  and  $'_s$  is a length scale. As before, D = 0 if A.

O urgoal is to compute and simplify Eq. (58) for D. As it is clear from its denition, this will allow us to derive a more compact form ula for our action in the general case. In Eq. (47) we have evaluated the action (57) for a cylindrically symmetric static string prole. In this case it takes a simple form. However, when there is time dependence and less symmetry | as occurs for example in string scattering | it is important to know the general form of the action.

First de ne the follow ing quantities

where are bar denotes complex conjugation and we set  $f_s = 1$  in this appendix. Note that by de nition S is a symmetric matrix and F is antisymmetric, while S and F are in general neither symmetric nor antisymmetric. Denote by S the matrix with components S , while F is the matrix with components F. For integer n and p

$$tr S^{p}F^{2n+1} = 0: (60)$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$D = \det( + R )$$

$$= \frac{1}{4!} \prod_{1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4} \prod_{1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4} \prod_{1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4} \prod_{1 \ 1 \ 1} R \prod_{1 \ 1} \prod_{1 \ 2 \ 2} H R^{2} \sum_{2}$$
(61)

$$({}^{3}_{3} + R {}^{3}_{3}) ({}^{4}_{4} + R {}^{4}_{4})$$
 (62)

which, on using the identity

$$" _{1 2 3 4}" _{1 j j + 1 4} = (4 j)! j! _{j + 1 4}^{[j + 1 4]}$$
(63)

gives

$$D = 1 + R + R^{[} R^{]} + R^{[} R R^{]} + R^{[} R R^{]} + R^{[} R^{[} R^{]} + R^{[} R^{]}$$
(64)

We now evaluate each term in the above equation. For the rst (linear in R ), it follows from Eqs. (59) and (60) that

$$R = S = 2N N = 2 (D) (D)^{y}$$
: (65)

The quadratic term is given by

$$R^{[}R^{]} = S^{[}S^{]} + 2S^{[}F^{]} + F^{[}F^{]};$$
(66)

$$= \frac{1}{2} tr^{2} (S) tr S^{2} \frac{1}{2} tr F^{2} ; \qquad (67)$$

$$= N N^{2} (N N) N N \frac{1}{2} tr F^{2}; \qquad (68)$$

where to get from Eq. (67) to Eq. (68) we have used Eq. (59). Notice that these terms are compatible with the U (1) invariance of the action. The next step is to calculate the cubic term. It is given by

$$R^{[}R R^{]} = S^{[}S S^{]} + 3S^{[}S F^{]} + 3S^{[}F F^{]} + F^{[}F F^{]} :$$
(69)

The term in S<sup>3</sup> vanishes for the single complex scalar eld studied here since, on using Eq. (59), it contains the contraction of an antisym metric tensor with a symmetric one. Similarly S<sup>[</sup> S F <sup>]</sup> = 0 = F<sup>[</sup> F F <sup>]</sup> on using Eq. (60). Therefore, the cubic term takes the form

$$R^{[}RR^{]} = 3S^{[}FF^{]} = \frac{1}{2}$$
 tr(S) tr  $F^{2}$  + 2tr  $SF^{2}$  : (70)

Finally, the quartic term can be expressed as

$$R^{l} R R R^{J} = S^{l} S S S^{J} + 4S^{l} S S F^{J} + 4S^{l} F F F^{J}$$

$$+ 6S^{[}SFF^{]} + F^{[}FFF^{]}$$
(71)

$$= 6S^{[}SFF^{]} + F^{[}FFF^{]};$$
(72)

since the terms on the set line in the above equations vanish, on using the same arguments as above. Also

$$S^{[}SFF^{]} = \frac{1}{4!} 4tr(S)trSF^{2} 4trF^{2}S^{2} 2tr(FSFS) + trS^{2} tr(S)trF^{2} :$$
(73)

$$F^{[}FFF^{]} = \frac{1}{4!} \quad 6tr F^{4} + 3tr^{2} F^{2}$$
 (74)

Therefore, in the end, one obtains the following expression for D

$$D = 1 + tr(S) \frac{1}{2}tr F^{2} + \frac{1}{8}tr^{2} F^{2} 2tr F^{4} + \frac{1}{2}tr^{2}(S) tr S^{2} + \frac{1}{2}tr SF^{2} tr(S)tr F^{2} + \frac{1}{4}tr S^{2} tr^{2}(S) tr F^{2} + tr(S)tr SF^{2} tr F^{2}S^{2}$$
(75)

The three terms of the rst line in Eq. (75), when substituted in Eq. (57) and on expanding the square-root, give the standard A belian-H iggs model. The last two terms of Eq. (75) are the standard term s of B om-Infeld electro-dynam ics. Finally, as discussed in the main text, the factor D and, hence, our action de ned by Eq. (35), contains term s higher order in covariant derivatives as well as mixing terms between F<sup>2</sup> and the covariant derivatives.

#### R eferences

- [1] B.Gobl, C.L.Bennett, R.S.Hill, G.Hinshaw, N.Odegard, L.Page, D.N.Spergel, J.L. Weiland, J.Dunkley, M.Halpern, N.Jarosik, A.Kogut, E.Komatsu, D.Larson, S.S.Meyer, M.R.Nolta, E.Wollack, and E.L.Wright, Five-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Galactic Foreground Emission, ArXiv e-prints 803 (Mar., 2008) [0803.0715].
- [2] R.S.Hill, J.L.W eiland, N.O degard, E.W ollack, G.Hinshaw, D.Larson, C.L.Bennett, M.Halpern, L.Page, J.Dunkley, B.Gold, N.Jarosik, A.Kogut, M.Limon, M.R.Nolta, D.N.Spergel, G.S.Tucker, and E.L.W right, Five-Year W ilkinson M icrowave Anisotropy Probe (W MAP)Observations: Beam M aps and W indow Functions, ArX iv e-prints 803 (M ar., 2008) [0803.0570].
- [3] G. Hinshaw, J. L. Weiland, R. S. Hill, N. Odegard, D. Larson, C. L. Bennett, J. Dunkley, B. Gold, M. R. Greason, N. Jarosik, E. Komatsu, M. R. Nolta, L. Page, D. N. Spergel, E. Wollack, M. Halpern, A. Kogut, M. Limon, S. S. Meyer, G. S. Tucker, and E. L. Wright, Five-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Data Processing, Sky Maps, and Basic Results, ArX iv e-prints 803 (Mar., 2008) [0803.0732].
- [4] M.R.Nolta, J.Dunkley, R.S.Hill, G.Hinshaw, E.Komatsu, D.Larson, L.Page, D.N.Spergel, C.L.Bennett, B.Gold, N.Jarosik, N.Odegard, J.L.W eiland, E.W ollack, M.Halpern, A.Kogut, M.Limon, S.S.Meyer, G.S.Tucker, and E.L.W right, Five-Year W ilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Angular Power Spectra, ArX iv e-prints 803 (Mar., 2008) [0803.0593].
- [5] J.Dunkley, E.Komatsu, M.R.Nolta, D.N.Spergel, D.Larson, G.Hinshaw, L.Page, C.L. Bennett, B.Gold, N.Jarosik, J.L.Weiland, M.Halpern, R.S.Hill, A.Kogut, M.Limon, S.S. Meyer, G.S.Tucker, E.Wollack, and E.L.Wright, Five-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Likelihoods and Parameters from the WMAP data, ArXive-prints 803 (Mar., 2008) [0803.0586].
- [6] E.Kom atsu, J.Dunkley, M.R.Nolta, C.L.Bennett, B.Gold, G.Hinshaw, N.Jarosik, D.Larson, M.Limon, L.Page, D.N.Spergel, M.Halpern, R.S.Hill, A.Kogut, S.S.Meyer, G.S.Tucker, J.L.Weiland, E.Wollack, and E.L.Wright, Five-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Interpretation, ArXiv e-prints 803 (Mar., 2008) [0803.0547].
- [7] M. Lem oine, J. Martin, and P. Peter (Eds.), In ationary cosm ology, Lect. Notes Phys. 738. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.
- [8] L.M cA llister and E. Silverstein, String Cosm ology: A Review, Gen.Rel.Grav. 40 (2008) 565{605, [0710.2951].
- [9] C.P.Burgess, Lectures on Cosm ic In ation and its Potential Stringy Realizations, PoS P 2G C (2006) 008, [0708.2865].
- [10] R.Kallosh, On In ation in String Theory, Lect. Notes Phys. 738 (2008) 119{156, [hep-th/0702059].
- [11] S.H.Henry Tye, Brane in ation: String theory viewed from the cosm os, Lect. Notes Phys. 737 (2008) 949{974, [hep-th/0610221].

- [12] E.Silverstein and D.Tong, Scalar speed limits and cosm ology: Acceleration from D-coeleration, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 103505, [hep-th/0310221].
- [13] M.Alishahiha, E.Silverstein, and D.Tong, DBI in the sky, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 123505, [hep-th/0404084].
- [14] X. Chen, Multi-throat brane in ation, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 063506, [hep-th/0408084].
- [15] X.Chen, In ation from warped space, JHEP 08 (2005) 045, [hep-th/0501184].
- [16] L.Lorenz, J.M artin, and C.R ingeval, Brane in ation and the W MAP data: a Bayesian analysis, JCAP 0804 (2008) 001, [0709.3758].
- [17] L.Lorenz, J.M artin, and C.R ingeval, Constraints on K inetically M odi ed In ation from W M A P 5, 0807.2414.
- [18] L.Lorenz, J.M artin, and C.R ingeval, K-in ationary Power Spectra in the Uniform A pproximation, 0807.3037.
- [19] D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel, D. A. Steer, and T. Tanaka, Prim ordial perturbations and non-Gaussianities in DBI and general multi-eld in ation, 0806.0336.
- [20] D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel, D. A. Steer, and T. Tanaka, Prim ordial uctuations and non-Gaussianities in multi- ed DBI in ation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 061301, [0804.3139].
- [21] K.Dasgupta, C.Herdeiro, S.Hirano, and R.Kallosh, D3/D7 in ationary model and M-theory, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 126002, [hep-th/0203019].
- [22] K. Dasgupta, J. P. Hsu, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, and M. Zagermann, D3/D7 brane in ation and sem ibcal strings, JHEP 08 (2004) 030, [hep-th/0405247].
- [23] E.J.Copeland, R.C.M yers, and J.Polchinski, Cosm ic F- and D-strings, JHEP 06 (2004) 013, [hep-th/0312067].
- [24] L.Pogosian, S.H.H.Tye, I.W asserman, and M.W yman, Observational constraints on cosm ic string production during brane in ation, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 023506, [hep-th/0304188].
- [25] G.Dvali, R.Kallosh, and A.Van Proeyen, D-term strings, JHEP 01 (2004) 035, [hep-th/0312005].
- [26] P.Brax, C. van de Bruck, A.C. Davis, and S.C. Davis, Cosm ic D-strings and vortons in supergravity, Phys. Lett. B 640 (2006) 7{12, [hep-th/0606036].
- [27] E.Moreno, C.Nunez, and F.A. Schaposnik, Electrically charged vortex solution in Born-Infeld theory, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 025015, [hep-th/9802175].
- [28] Y.S.Yang, Classical solutions in the Born-Infeld theory, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 456 (2000) 615{640.
- [29] S. Sarangi, DBIG bbal Strings, JHEP 07 (2008) 018, [0710.0421].
- [30] Y. Brihaye and B. Mercier, Classical solutions in the Einstein-Born-Infeld-Abelian-Higgs model, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 044001, [hep-th/0102002].
- [31] E.Babichev, G bbal topological k-defects, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 085004, [hep-th/0608071].
- [32] E.Babichev, Gauge k-vortices, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 065021, [0711.0376].
- [33] M.B.H indm arsh and T.W.B.K ibble, Cosm ic strings, Rept. Prog. Phys. 58 (1995) 477{562, [hep-ph/9411342].
- [34] A.Vilenkin and E.P.S.Shellard, Cosm ic Stringas and Other Topological Defects. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [35] V.Rubakov, Classical theory of gauge elds. Cam bridge University Press, Cam bridge, 2002.
- [36] N.Bevis, M.Hindmarsh, M.Kunz, and J.Urrestilla, Fitting CMB data with cosm ic strings and in ation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 021301, [astro-ph/0702223].
- [37] L.Jacobs and C.Rebbi, Interaction Energy of Superconducting Vortices, Phys. Rev. B 19 (1979) 4486 (4494.
- [38] L.M.A.Bettencourt and T.W.B.Kibble, Nonintercommuting congurations in the collisions of type IU (1) cosm ic strings, Phys. Lett. B 332 (1994) 297 [304, [hep-ph/9405221].
- [39] E.J.Copeland, T.W. B.Kibble, and D.A.Steer, Collisions of strings with Y junctions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 021602, [hep-th/0601153].

- [40] P. Salm i et al., K inem atic Constraints on Form ation of Bound States of Cosm ic Strings Field Theoretical Approach, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 041701, [0712.1204].
- [41] J.P.Hsu, R.Kallosh, and S.Prokushkin, On brane in ation with volume stabilization, JCAP 0312 (2003) 009, [hep-th/0311077].
- [42] P.Binetruy, G.Dvali, R.Kallosh, and A.Van Proeyen, Fayet-Iliopoulos terms in supergravity and cosm ology, Class. Quant. Grav. 21 (2004) 3137{3170, [hep-th/0402046].
- [43] C.P.Burgess, R.Kallosh, and F.Quevedo, de Sitter string vacua from supersymmetric D-terms, JHEP 10 (2003) 056, [hep-th/0309187].
- [44] A. Sen, Dirac-Born-Infeld action on the tachyon kink and vortex, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 066008, [hep-th/0303057].
- [45] Y.Kim, B.Kyae, and J.Lee, G lobal and local D-vortices, JHEP 10 (2005) 002, [hep-th/0508027].
- [46] M.R.Garousi and R.C.Myers, World-volume interactions on D-branes, Nucl. Phys. B 542 (1999) 73{88, [hep-th/9809100].
- [47] M.Born and L.Infeld, Foundations of the new eld theory, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 144 (1934) 425{451.
- [48] S.L.Adler and T.Piran, Relaxation methods for gauge eld equilibrium equations, Rev. Mcd. Phys. 56 (Jan., 1984) 1{40.
- [49] P.Peter, Superconducting cosm ic string: Equation of state for space like and time like current in the neutral limit, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 1091 (1102.
- [50] C.R ingeval, Ferm ionic currents owing along extended objects. Phd Thesis, University Paris 6, 2002.