Three-dimensional two-pion source image from Pb+Pb collisions at $p_{\overline{S_{NN}}} = 17.3 \text{ GeV}$: new constraints for source breakup dynamics

C.AL⁹, T.Anticic²³, B.Baatar⁸, D.Barna⁴, J.Bartke⁶, L.Betev¹⁰, H.Bialkow ska²⁰, C.Blum e⁹, B.Boim ska²⁰, M.Botje¹, J.Bracinik³, P.Buncic¹⁰, V.Cemy³, P.Christakoglou¹, P.Chung¹⁹, O.Chvala¹⁴, J.G.Cram er¹⁶, P.C sato⁴, P.D inkelaker⁹, V.Eckardt¹³, D.Flierl⁹, Z.Fodor⁴, P.Foka⁷, V.Friese⁷, J.G al⁴, M.G azdzick 1⁹,¹¹, V.Genchev¹⁸, E.Gladysz⁶, K.Grebieszkow²², S.Hegyi⁴, C.Hohne⁷, K.Kadija²³, A.Karev¹³, S.Kniege⁹, V.I.Kolesnikov⁸, R.Korus¹¹, M.Kowalski⁶, M.Kreps³, A.Laszlo⁴, R.Lacey¹⁹, M.van Leeuwen¹, P. Leval⁴, L. Litov¹⁷, B. Lungwitz⁹, M. Makariev¹⁷, A. J. Malakhov⁸, M. Mateev¹⁷, G. L. Melkum ov⁸, M.M itrovski⁹, J.M olnar⁴, St.M row czynski¹¹, V.N icolic²³, G.Palla⁴, A.D.Panagiotou², D.Panayotov¹⁷, A.Petridis²¹, W.Peryt²², M.Pikna³, J.Pluta²², D.Prindle¹⁶, F.Puhlhofer¹², R.Renfordt⁹, C.Roland⁵, G.Roland⁵, M.Rybczynski¹¹, A.Rybicki⁶, A.Sandoval⁷, N.Schmitz¹³, T.Schuster⁹, P.Seyboth¹³, F. Sikler⁴, B. Sitar³, E. Skrzypczak²¹, M. Slodkowski²², G. Stefanek¹¹, R. Stock⁹, H. Strobele⁹, T. Susa²³, I. Szentpetery⁴, J. Sziklai⁴, M. Szuba²², P. Szym anski^{10;20}, V. Trubnikov²⁰, D. Varga^{4;10}, M. Vassiliou², G.I. Veres^{4,5}, G. Vesztergom bi⁴, D. Vranic⁷, Z.W. lodarczyk¹¹, A.W. o taszek¹¹, IK. Yoo¹⁵ (NA49 Collaboration) JM. A lexander¹⁹, P. Danielew icz^{24} ;²⁵, A. K isiel²²;²⁶, S. Pratt²⁵¹ ¹ ¹NIKHEF, Am sterdam, Netherlands. ²Department of Physics, University of Athens, Athens, Greece. ³C om enius University, Bratislava, Slovakia. ⁴KFKIResearch Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary. ⁵MIT, Cambridge, USA. ⁶Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academ y of Sciences, Cracow, Poland. Gesellschaft fur Schwerionenforschung (GSI), Darm stadt, Germany. ⁸ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia. ⁹Fachbereich Physik der Universitat, Frankfurt, Germany. ${}^{10}\bar{\text{C}}\,\text{ERN}$, G eneva, Sw itzerland. ¹¹Institute of Physics Swietokrzyska Academy, Kiele, Poland. ¹²Fachbereich Physik der Universitat, Marburg, Germany. $^{13}\mathrm{M}$ ax-P lanck-Institut fur Physik, M unich, G erm any. $^{14}\mathrm{C}\,\mathrm{harles}$ University, Faculty of M athematics and Physics, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Physics, Prague, Czech Republic. ¹⁵Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Pusan, Republic of Korea. ¹⁶Nuclear Physics Laboratory, University of W ashington, Seattle, W A, USA. ¹⁷Atom ic Physics Departm ent, So a University St. K lim ent Ohridski, So a, Bulgaria. ¹⁸ Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, So a, Bulgaria. ¹⁹Department of Chemistry, SUNY Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY, USA. ²⁰ Institute for Nuclear Studies, W arsaw, Poland. ²¹Institute for Experim ental Physics, University of W arsaw, W arsaw, Poland. ²²Faculty of Physics, W arsaw University of Technology, W arsaw, Poland. ²³Rudjer Boskovic Institute, Zagreb, Croatia. ²⁴National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, MSU, East Lansing, MI, USA. 25 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA. ²⁶Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210. (Dated: A pril 23, 2013) Source in aging methodology is used to provide a three-dimensional two-pion source function

for mid-rapidity pion pairs with $p_T < 70 \text{ MeV}/c$ in central (0 7%) Pb+Pb collisions at $\frac{P}{S_{N,N}} = 17.3 \text{ GeV}$. Prom inent non-G aussian tails are observed in the pion pair transverse momentum (outward) and in the beam (longitudinal) directions. Model calculations reproduce them with the assumption of B jorken longitudinal boost invariance and transverse ow blast-wave dynamics; they also yield a proper time for breakup and em ission duration for the pion source.

PACS num bers: PACS 25.75.Ld

O ver the last several decades, the expansion dynam – ics and breakup lifetim e for the exotic matter produced in relativistic heavy ion (RHI) collisions, have been of param ount interest [1, 2]. Such enorm ous energy den-

sities are created in the RHI collision zone, that deconnem ent of nuclear matter is expected [3]. To gain an understanding of this state of matter, it is essential to study its dynam ical evolution. The space-time extent of hot particle em ission sources in heavy ion collisions has been studied for years via nal-state correlations between two particles [4].

Years ago, pioneering work at the Alternating G radient Synchrotron (AGS) [5] and by the NA49 Collaboration at the CERN Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) [6], exploited the Hanbury-Brown Twiss (HBT) correlations of hadron pairs in conjunction with the toparticle spectra, to estimate the dynamical properties of the reaction source in a blast wave model. The NA49 data for central Pb+Pb collisions at ${}^{P}\overline{s}_{NN} = 17.3 \text{ GeV}$ indicated a strong longitudinal ow with an approximately boost invariant longitudinal velocity provements are expansion of the pion emission source with a duration of 8-9 fm/c. A more recent analysis [7] con ms these earlier indigs while extending the beam energy dependence of the measurements to ve separate SPS energies.

Several years ago, an alternative technique based on source imaging was introduced for model-independent extraction of emission sources in the pair-center-of-mass system (PCMS) [8, 9, 10]. This new methodology has provided a more faithful and detailed extraction of the actual 1D source function [11, 12]. Recent theoretical developments [13, 14, 15, 16] enable the extraction of three-dimensional (3D) pro les of the emission source.

This methodology, in both its 1D and 3D forms, has been employed for Au+Au reactions at $P_{S_{N,N}} =$ 200 G eV to obtain detailed information on both the spatialand the lifetim e extents of the created emission source [12, 17]. Here, we use the new methodology to again study reaction dynamics at the SPS but with identied pion correlations and extensively developed in aging techniques that explicitly include C oulom b e ects and do not assume G aussian sources. The resulting non-G aussian source functions are interpreted in the context of a pow erfulnew simulation m odel, THERM INATOR [18, 19, 20]. This approach explicitly includes allknown resonance decays, longitudinal expansion, transverse expansion and a freeze-out hypersurface.

In this study, the source in aging technique is used to analyze NA49 Collaboration data for central (0 7%) Pb+ Pb collisions at ${}^{P}\overline{s_{N\,N}} = 17.3 \,\text{GeV}$, obtained at the SPS. M odel comparisons allow tests of di erent aspects of the dynam ics and, in particular, the extraction of the proper time for breakup and em ission duration for the pion em ission source. The picture that em erges has many sim ilarities to that from the early work [6, 7], but also adds interesting features and conclusions that preclude direct com parison.

The data presented here were taken by the NA 49 Collaboration during the years 1996-2000. Lead beam s of 158A GeV from the CERN SPS accelerator were made incident on a lead foil of thickness 224 m g/cm². Details of the experimental setup are discussed in Refs.[7] and [21]. Brie y, the NA 49 Large Acceptance Hadron

Detector achieves precision tracking and particle identi cation using four large Time Projection Chambers (TPCs). The rst two of them are mounted in precisely mapped magnetic elds with total bending power of up to 9 Tm. Charged particles are detected by the tracks left in the TPC s and are identied by the energy deposited in the TPC gas. M id-rapidity particle identi cation is further enhanced by a time-of-ight wall (resolution 60 ps). Charged particle m om enta are determ ined from the deection in the magnetic eld. With the NA49 setup, $(0:3 7) 10^4 (G eV/c)^{-1}$ is a resolution of $p=p^2$ achieved. Event centrality is determined by a forward veto calorim eter which m easures the energy of spectator matter. Approximately 3.9 million central events were analyzed.

The 3D correlation function, C (q), and its 1D angleaveraged counterpart C (q), were obtained as the ratio of pair to uncorrelated reference distributions in relative momentum q, for $^{+}$ $^{+}$ and pairs. Here, $q = \frac{(p_1 \ p_2)}{2}$ is half of the momentum di erence between the two particles in the PCMS, and q is the modulus of the vector q. The pair distribution was obtained by pairing particles from the same event; the uncorrelated distribution was obtained by pairing particles from different events. The Lorentz transform ation of q from the laboratory frame to the PCMS is made by a transformation to the longitudinally co-moving system (LCMS) fram e along the beam direction followed by a transform ation to the PCM S along the pair transverse m om entum [22]. C (q) is observed to be at for 50 < q < 100 M eV/cand is norm alized to unity over this range.

M id-rapidity (j_{L} y j < 0.35, where y_L and y_0 are particle and nucleus-nucleus centre-of-m ass rapidities in the laboratory fram e), low k_T ($k_T < 70$ M eV/c, where k_T is half the transverse component of the pair total momentum) ^{+ +} and pion pairs were selected for this study. Track merging and splitting e ects were removed by appropriate cuts on both the pair and uncorrelated distributions. The merging cut required the two particles in a pair to be separated by at least 2.2 cm over 50 pad rows in the vertex TPCs [7]. A 20% increase in this minimum separation has resulted only in changes within the statistical errors. Sim ilar evaluations for the other cuts indicate an overall system atic uncertainty which is comparable to or sm aller than the statistical uncertainty.

The e ects of track momentum resolution were assessed by jittering the momenta of the tracks in the data by the maximum momentum resolution, $p=p^2$ 7 10⁴ (G eV/c)¹. The resulting re-computed 1D and 3D correlation functions, which incorporate twice the e ect of the momentum resolution, were found to be consistent with those obtained without momentum smearing. The correlation functions without additional smearing serve in the following as a basis for the extraction of source functions via in aging and tting.

The imaging procedure employed uses the 1D imaging

code of B rown and D anielew icz [8,9,10], which has been successfully used to in age 1D correlation functions obtained at ${}^{P}\overline{s}_{N N} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ [12]. Brie y, the technique num erically inverts the 1D K conin-Pratt equation,

C (q)
$$1 = R$$
 (q) $= 4$ drr²K ₀ (q;r)S (r) (1)

which relates the two-particle angle-averaged 1D correlation function, C (q), to the 1D source function or in age, S(r). The latter gives the probability of emitting a pair of particles with a separation distance r in the PCM S. The 1D kernel K₀(q;r) incorporates the e ects of C oulom b interaction and of B ose-E instein symmetrization.

Contam ination by uncorrelated pairs (weak decay products accepted by the track selection cuts, m isidenti ed particles, etc.) dilute the correlation and reduce R (q). It has been con m ed by simulation that the contam ination is approximately constant in q, so that the reduction factor can be assumed to be q-independent. The source function S (r) then gets reduced by the sam e r-independent factor due to the linearity of Eq. (1).

Figure 1(a) shows data points for the 1D correlation function in relation to the imaged source function in Figs. 1(b) and (c), for mid-rapidity, low p_T pion pairs. The source function indicates a tail for $r \ge 15$ fm which is qualitatively similar to that reported for RHIC data in R ef.[12]. As a check, the extracted source function is used as input to Eq. (1) to obtain a restored correlation function also shown in Fig. 1(a); excellent consistency is observed.

In parallel to the imaging procedure, two di erent functional forms were used to t the measured correlation function directly, as discussed below. The conclusion from the ts (see Fig.1) is that a triaxialG aussian, frequently term ed ellipsoid, as used in traditional HBT methodology, poorly describes the correlation function at low $q \ge 13 \text{ M eV}/\text{c}$ (Fig.1(a)), and this leads to a deviation from the tail of the imaged source function for large $r \ge 15 \text{ fm}$ (Fig.1(b)). Fig.1(c) highlights the fact that the tail for $r \ge 15 \text{ fm}$ contains a very signi cant fraction of the source. On the other hand, the Hump function (cf. Eq. (7) and discussion below) gives a good t over a more extensive range.

For system atic access to the 3D source function S (r), the 3D correlation function C (q) and source function S (r) were both expanded in a series with correlation m om ents R^{1}_{1} ::: _1(q) and source m om ents S^{1}_{1} ::: _1(r) in a Cartesian harm onic basis representation:

C (q)
$$1 = R (q) = \begin{bmatrix} X & X \\ & R^{1}_{1} \dots & (q) A^{1}_{1} \dots & (q); \\ & 1 & 1 \dots & (2) \end{bmatrix}$$



FIG.1: (color on line) Angle-averaged correlation function (top panel), source function (middle) and radial probability density (bottom) for mid-rapidity pion pairs. Filled circles show correlation from direct averaging of the data. Error bars indicate statistical errors only; system atic uncertainties are sm aller than statistical ones. Open circles represent correlation from tting the data using angular decom position. Squares show the imaged source and correlation corresponding to the imaged source. The dotted and solid lines represent, respectively, the tted Gaussian and Hump Eq. (7) sources and their corresponding correlation functions.

where $l = 0;1;2;:::, i = x;y \text{ or } z, A^{1}_{1:::1}(q)$ are Cartesian harm onic basis elements (q is the solid an-gle in q space) and $R^{1}_{1:::1}(q)$ are Cartesian correlation m om ents given by

$$R_{1}^{1} \dots (q) = \frac{(2l+1)!!}{l!} \frac{d_{q}}{4} A_{1}^{1} \dots (q) R(q) \quad (4)$$

Here, the coordinate axes are oriented so that z (long) is parallel to the beam direction, x (out) points in the direction of the total momentum of the pair in the LCM S fram e and y (side) is chosen to form a right-handed coordinate system with x and z.

The correlation m om ents, for each order 1, can be calculated from the measured 3D correlation function using



FIG. 2: (color online) C orrelation m om ents for multipolarity l = 2 (left panels), and l = 4 (right panels) for m id-rapidity $^{+}$ and pairs. Error bars indicate statistical errors only; system atic uncertainties are sm aller than statistical ones.

Eq. (4). A liternatively, Eq. (2) can be truncated so as to include all non-vanishing m om ents and expressed in term s of independent m om ents only. As expected from sym m etry considerations, m om ents odd in any coordinate were found to be consistent with zero within statistical uncertainty. Up to order l = 4, there are 6 independent m om ents: R^0 , R^2_{x2} , R^2_{y2} , R^4_{x4} , R^4_{y4} and R^4_{x2y2} , where R^2_{x2} is shorthand for R^2_{xx} etc. The independent m om ents can then be extracted as a function of q by t-ting the truncated series to the experimental 3D correlation function with the m om ents as the parameters of the

t. The present analysis emphasizes the second m ethod, with the m om ents com puted up to order l = 4 (higher orderm om ents are found to be negligible). The m om ents are shown in Fig. 2, for the multipolarity orders of l = 2 and 4, and in Fig. 1a for l = 0 ($1 + R^{0}(q) = C^{0}(q)$) [23]. The m agnitude of the m om ent R^{4}_{x2y2} is com parable to that of R^{4}_{x4} and R^{4}_{y4} .

Substitution of C (q) and S (r), from Eq. (2) and Eq. (3), into the 3D form of the K conin-Pratt equation

7

$$C(q) = drK(q;r)S(r)$$
 (5)

results [13] in a relationship between corresponding correlation $R^{1}_{,...}$, (q) and source moments $S^{1}_{,...}$, (r), which

is sim ilar to the 1D K oon in-Pratt equation:

$$R_{1}^{1} ::: _{1}^{(q)} = 4 \quad drr^{2}K_{1}(q;r)S_{1}^{1} ::: _{1}^{(r)}(r); \quad (6)$$

but now pertains to m om ents describing di erent ranks of angular anisotropy 1. Since them athem atical structure of Eq. (6) is the sam e as that of Eq. (1), the sam e in aging technique can be used to invert the kernel K₁ of the relation to extract the source m om ent S_{1}^{1} (r) from the corresponding correlation m om ent R_{1}^{1} (q). Finally, the total 3D source function is calculated by com bining the source m om ents for each las in Eq. (3).

A lternatively, the source function can be extracted by directly tting the 3D correlation function with an assumed 3D shape for the source function. Since the 3D correlation function can be represented by the Cartesian m om ents in the harm onic decom position, the 3D t corresponds to tting the six independent non-trivial m o-m ents simultaneously with a trial source function.

Figures 1-2 show the result of direct ts to the independent correlation m om ents with two 3D functions: (a) a single triaxialG aussian, or ellipsoid, (dotted curve) and (b) a Hum p shape (solid curve). As m entioned, the ellipsoidal t, with four free parameters, fails to capture the low q behavior in C (q) and the large r behavior in S(r). On the other hand, the Hum p function, with six free parameters, gives a good t. The form of the Hum p function is

$$S(x;y;z) = \exp f_{s}\frac{r^{2}}{4r_{s}^{2}} = f_{1} \frac{x^{2}}{4r_{x1}^{2}} + \frac{y^{2}}{4r_{y1}^{2}} + \frac{z^{2}}{4r_{z1}^{2}}$$
(7)

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ and the coe cients f_s and f_1 of the short and long-ranged components are given by $f_s = 1 = [1 + (r=r_0)^2)]$ and $f_1 = 1$ f_s respectively. Here, the argument of the exponential shifts the behavior from that of a simple spherically symmetric G aussian for r_0 . The parameter regulates the fraction of pion pairs of which correlations are described in terms of the Hump function (for t parameter values see R ef. [24]).

Source in aging involves no assumptions on the analytical shape of the 3D source function. On the other hand, the moment thing explicitly invokes a particular form for the 3D source function. The ellipsoid t produces a ²=ndf value of 6.8 while the Hump produces 1.2, which indicates a better t to the observed correlation moments, as is visually evident in Figs. 1(a) and 2. C bes agreement between the experimental data, the Hump t and the restored correlation moments from imaging (see Figs. 1-2) strongly suggests that this assumed functional form properly represents the emission source. How ever, the uniqueness of the source function is, for example, not guaranteed beyond the region to which data are sensitive

such as r > 40 fm or where the source function is very small.

Figures 3(d)-(f) show comparisons between two-pion source functions obtained via the tting (lines) and the imaging (squares) techniques. The ellipsoid t function (dotted line) underestimates the source image (squares) and Hump t function (solid line) for r > 15 fm in the x and z directions while the Hump t function is in good agreement with the source image in the x, y and z directions. This consistency check emphasizes the high degree of integrity with which the 3D source function is being extracted. The source function in the z direction is characterized by a long tail which extends beyond 30 fm. The source function in x also has a non-G aussian tail, which, for this low p_T cut is less prominent than that in z. These aspects are decidedly di erent from those of a RHIC study [25].

The di erence between the source functions from the ellipsoid t and in aging procedures is also evident from a comparison of the corresponding correlation functions in the x, y and z directions as shown in Fig. 3(a)-(c) respectively. Again there is consistency between the data, Hump t and restored correlation functions in all three directions while the di erences between the ellipsoid and Hump t sources for $r \ge 15$ fm are manifest by differences between the respective correlation functions for $q \ge 15$ MeV/c.

The event simulation code THERM INATOR allows for tests of the emission dynamics and of the breakup time of the reaction system s [18, 19, 20, 26]. The code simulates therm allem issions from a cylinder with input transverse radius max. B prken longitudinal boost invariance is assum ed, and an expansion with transverse radial velocity $v_r() = (=_{max}) = (=_{max} + v_t)$, where $v_t = 1:41$, in the Blast-W ave mode of the code. A uid element ring, deand z, breaks up at proper time ned by and lab fram e tim e tw here $t^2 = 2 + z^2$. The freezeout hypersurface is specified by = 0 + a where a, the space-time correlation parameter, is set to -0.5 as was found in Ref. [20]. The negative value of a im plies \outside-in " burning of the source i.e outer particles are em itted earlier than inner ones, while a positive value of a would imply the reverse i.e source em ission from inside out. An em ission duration param eter is also needed to achieve a good t. All known hadronic resonance decays are included.

THERM INATOR parameters v_t ; T; B; s; i and a are taken from Ref.[18, 19, 20, 27] as obtained from spectra and particle yields. Values of max; 0 and were obtained by matching THERM INATOR's generated source function to data shown in Figs. 3(d - f). The value of the transverse radius max is chosen so as to reproduce the source function pro le in the y direction; $S(r_y)$ is insensitive to 0 and . The proper lifetime 0 is determined by the short-range behavior of the source function pro les in the x and z directions. The proper



FIG. 3: (color online) Correlation C (q_i) (left panels) and source S (r_i) (right panels) function pro les for ⁺ ⁺ and pairs in the outward x (top panels), sideward y (m iddle) and longitudinal z (bottom) directions. The use of sym bols is analogous to that in F ig. 1. Error bars indicate statistical errors only; system atic uncertainties are sm aller than statistical ones. Here, l = 4 m om ents m ake negligible contributions.

em ission duration is then determ ined by the tails of the source proles in the x and z directions.

The calculation gives a good m atch to the experim ental source function in the x, y and z directions with a transverse dimension $m_{ax} = 7.5$ 0:1 fm, proper lifetime $_0$ (= $_0$ at = 0) of 7:3 0:1 fm/c, a proper em ission duration $= 3:7 \quad 0:1 \text{ fm /c and } a =$ 0:5 (solid circles) [28]. The errors quoted are from the matching procedure alone. W ith these values of m_{ax} ; 0 and we have reexamined the role of a = 0.5 i.e. outside-in burning. Figure 4 shows a comparison of the THERM I-NATOR source function, calculated using various values of a and other previously tuned param eters [27], with the extracted source function. The open symbols show that the calculations with a 0 overstate the extracted source function pro le in the z direction. Attempts to compensate for this overshoot via di erent com binations of $_{max}$, $_{0}$ and were unsuccessful. Therefore, this failure suggests that a negative value for a, hence \outsidein" particle em ission, is required to reproduce the extracted source function. The success of the THERM I-NATOR model simulation in precisely reproducing the experim ental source function indicates consistency with approxim ate boost invariance at mid-rapidity, blast-wave



FIG.4: (color online) Source function pro les, $S(r_i)$, com parison in the (a) x, (b) y and (c) z directions between the im aged data (squares) and THERM INATOR B last-W ave m odel with various values of a (circles and triangles). Error bars indicate statistical errors only; system atic uncertainties are sm aller than statistical ones.

dynam ics for transverse ow , and outside-in burning in the evolution of the expanding system .

Results from this study and those from Ref.[7] depend on the di erent analysis techniques and models employed. The deduced time scales are similar but the geometric transverse radius is quite di erent. This di erence results from the inclusion of resonances in THER-MINATOR, as well as di erent parametrizations of T and v_r (). Conclusions from these THERMINATOR parameters are, of course, model dependent and therefore not necessarily unique. Di erentmodel assumptionsmay possibly lead to di erent pictures of the reaction dynamics [29].

In summary, we have presented a three-dimensional fem toscopic study of the two-pion source function in Pb+Pb collisions at $\bar{s}_{NN} = 17.3 \text{ GeV}$. A model-independent in aging/ tting technique reveals prominent non-G aussian tails in the outward and longitudinal directions of the extracted source function. THERM I-NATOR Blast-W ave model calculations, incorporating B prken longitudinal ow, give a near-exponential tail in the longitudinal direction consistent with observation. The space-time correlation parametrization sug-

gests outside-in burning and provides values of the proper time for breakup and the emission duration.

This work was supported by the US Department of Energy Grant DE-FG 03-97ER 41020/A 000 and DE-FG 02-03ER 41259, the Bundesm inisterium fur Bildung und Forschung, Germany 06F 137, the Virtual Institute VI-146 of Helmholtz Gemeinschaft, Gemany, the Polish State Committee for Scientic Research (1 P03B 006 30, 1 P03B 097 29, 1 P03B 121 29, 1 P03B 127 30), the Hungarian Scienti c Research Foundation (T 032648, T 032293, T 043514), the Hungarian National Science Foundation, OTKA, (F034707), the Polish-German Foundation, the Korea Science & Engineering Foundation (R01-2005-000-10334-0), the Bulgarian National Science Fund (Ph-09/05), the Croatian M inistry of Science, Education and Sport (Project 098-0982887-2878), the National Science Foundation NSF PHY-0555893 and PHY-0800026.

- [1] E.V. Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. A 750, 64 (2005).
- [2] S. Pratt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 1219 (1984);
 K. Kolehmainen and M. Gyulassy, Nucl. Phys. A 461, 239c (1987), Phys. Lett. B 180 203 (1986);
 A.Makhlin and Y.Sinyukov, Z.Phys. C 39 69 (1988).
- [3] K.Adcox et al., Nucl. Phys. A 757, 184 (2005).
- [4]G. Goldhaber et al., Phys. Rev. 120 (1960) 300;
 G. I.Kopylov and M. I. Podgoretsky, Sov J NuclPhys. 15,219 (1972); G. Cocconi, Phys.Lett. 49 B (1974) 459;
 M. Lisa et al., Annu Rev NuclPart Sci55, 357 (2005).
- [5] S.Chapm an and J.R.Nix, Phys. Rev.C 54,866 (1996)
- [6] H. Appelshaeuser et al, EurPhysJ. C 2, 661 (1998).
- [7] C.Altetal, Phys.Rev.C 77,064908 (2008).
- [8] D.Brown, P.Danielew icz, Phys.Lett.B 398, 252 (1997).
- [9] D.Brown, P.Danielewicz, Phys. Rev. C 57, 2474 (1998).
- [10] D.Brown, P.Danielew icz, Phys. Rev. C 64, 14902 (2001).
- [11] P.Chung et al, Nucl. Phys. A 749, 275c (2005).
- [12] S.Adler et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 132301 (2007).
- [13] P.Danielew icz and S.Pratt, PhysLettB 618 60 (2005).
- [14] D.A.Brown et al, Phys. Rev. C 72, 054902 (2005).
- [15] P.D anielew icz and S. Pratt, nucl-th/0612076v2 (2007).
- [16] P.Chung et al., Nucl. Phys. A 774, 919 (2005).
- [17] S. A fanasiev et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 232301 (2008).
- [18] A.K isielet al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 174, 669, 2006.
- [19] A.K isiel, Brazilian Journal Physics, 37, 3A, 917 (2007).
- [20] A.Kisiel et al., Phys. Rev. C 73, 064902 (2006).
- [21] C. A function at al. Nucl. Test. Mathada D. (2007).
- [21] S. A fanasiev et al., Nucl. Inst. M ethods A 430, 210 (1999).
- [22] R. Lednicky et al., Phys. Part. Nucl. 35, S50 (2004).
- [23] C $^{\circ}$ (q) agrees with 1D correlation function C (q) attesting to the reliability of the moment extraction technique.
- [24] The t parameters for the H um p are = 0.281 0.006 $r_0 = 5.8 0.3$; $r_s = 2.5 0.1$; $r_{x1} = 6.9 0.1$; $r_{y1} = 6.0 0.1$; $r_{z1} = 10.9 0.3$. Those for the ellipsoid (i.e. f_s $r_0 = 0$) are = 0.198 0.003; $r_{x1} = 5.46 0.04$; $r_{y1} = 4.95 0.04$; $r_{z1} = 7.67 0.08$.
- [25] P.Chung et al, J.Phys.G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 34 1 (2007)
- [26] P. Chung and P. Danielewicz, arXiv:0807.4892v1 [nuclex] 30 Jul 2008.

- [27] W. Broniowski and W. Florkowski, arXiv:hep-ph/0202059v1 7 Feb 2002; M. Michalec, Ph.D. Thesis, nucl-th/0112044; Values used are: T = 164 MeV for temperature, $_{B} = 229$ MeV, $_{S} = 54$ MeV, $_{I} = 7$ MeV for baryon, strangeness and isospin chemical potentials.
- [28] For this param eter set, THERM INATOR produces m $_{\rm T}$ spectra which are in very good quantitative agreement with the measurements reported in Phys. Rev.C 66, 054902 (2002).
- [29] M.A.Lisa and S.Pratt, arX iv:0811.1352 [nucl-ex].