D uality R otations in N onlinear E lectrodynam ics and in Extended Supergravity

Paolo A schieri^{1,2,3}, Sergio Ferrara^{4,5,6} and Bruno Zum ino^{7,8}

¹C entro Studi e Ricerche \Enrico Ferm i" Com pendio V im inale, 00184 Rom a, Italy
²D ipartim ento di Scienze e Tecnologie Avanzate, Universita del Piem onte O rientale,
³IN FN, Sezione di Torino, gruppo collegato di A lessandria
V ia Bellini 25/G, 15100 A lessandria, Italy
aschieri@to.infn.it

⁴Physics D epartm ent, T heory Unit, CERN, CH 1211, G eneva 23, Switzerland
⁵INFN - Laboratori N azionali di Frascati, V ia Enrico Ferm i 40, I-00044 Frascati, Italy
⁶D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, University of California, Los Angeles, CA USA
sergio.ferrara@cern.ch

⁷D epartm ent of Physics, University of California ⁸T heoretical Physics G roup, B kg. 50A 5104, Law rence Berkeley National Laboratory Berkeley, CA 94720 USA bzumino@lbl.gov

Invited contribution to R ivista del N uovo C in ento in occasion of the 2005 E nrico Ferm i P rize of the Italian P hysical Society

DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY OF JULIUS WESS

A bstract

We review the general theory of duality rotations which, in four dimensions, exchange electric with magnetic elds. Necessary and su cient conditions in order for a theory to have duality symmetry are established. A nontrivial example is Born-Infeld theory with n abelian gauge elds and with Sp(2n;R) self-duality. We then review duality symmetry in supergravity theories. In the case of N = 2 supergravity duality rotations are in general not a symmetry of the theory but a key ingredient in order to form ulate the theory itself. This is due to the beautiful relation between the geometry of special K ahler manifolds and duality rotations.

C ontents

1	Introduction 2	
2	J (1) gauge theory and duality sym metry62.1 Duality sym metry in nonlinear electrom agnetism72.2 Legendre Transform ations112.3 Ham iltonian theory122.4 Born-Infeld lagrangian142.5 Extended duality rotations15	
3	General theory of duality rotations153.1General nonlinear theory153.2The main example and the scalar elds fractional transformations193.3A basic example with ferm i elds203.4C om pact and noncom pact duality rotations213.4.1C om pact duality rotations213.4.2C oupling to scalar elds and noncom pact duality rotations233.5N onlinear sigm a m odels on G =H243.5.1The case G = Sp(2n; R), H = U (n)263.6Invariance of energy m om entum tensor293.7.1D uality rotations with com plex eld strengths293.7.2Born-Infeld with auxiliary elds333.7.4R eal eld Strengths35	
4	3.7.5 Supersymmetric Theory 36 0 ualities in N > 2 extended Supergravities 37 4.1 Extended supergravities with target space G = H 38 4.2 Speci c cases 47 4.2.1 The N = 4 theory 48 4.2.2 The N = 3 theory 51 4.2.3 The N = 5 theory 53	
5	42.4 The N = 6 theory 55 42.5 The N = 8 theory 55 42.6 E lectric subgroups and the D = 4 and N = 8 theory 59 Special G eom etry and N = 2 Supergravity 61 5.1 Special G eom etry 62	
	5.1.1 Rigid Special Geometry	

	5.1.2LocalSpecialGeometry5.2The N = 2 theory	65 74
6	Duality rotations in Noncommutative Spacetime	76
7	A ppendix: Sym plectic group and transform ations 7.1 Sym plectic group (A;B;C;D and f;h and V matrices)	81 81 83 86
8	Appendix: Unilateral Matrix Equations	86

1 Introduction

It has long been known that the free M axwell's equations are invariant under the rotation of the electric eld into the magnetic elds; this is also the case if electric and m agnetic charges are present. In 1935, Schrodinger [2] showed that the nonlinear electrodynam ics of Born and Infeld [1], (then proposed as a new fundam ental theory of the electrom agnetic eld and presently relevant in describing the low energy e ective action of D -branes in open string theory), has also, quite rem arkably, this property. Extended supergravity theories too, as rst pointed out in [3,5] exhibit electric-m agnetic duality sym m etry. D uality sym m etry thus encom passes photons self-interactions, gravity interactions and couplings to spinors (of the m agnetic m om ent type, not m inim al couplings).

Shortly after [3{5] the general theory of duality invariance with abelian gauge elds coupled to ferm ionic and bosonic matter was developped in [6,7]. Since then the duality sym metry of extended supergravity theories has been extensively investigated [8{11}, and exam ples of B orn-Infeld type lagrangians with electric-magnetic duality have been presented, in the case of one abelian gauge eld [12{16} and in the case of many abelian gauge elds [17{20}. Their supersymmetric generalizations have been considered in [21, 22] and with di erent scalar couplings and noncompact duality group in [17,18,23{25}].

W e also m ention that duality symmetry can be generalized to arbitrary even dimensions by using antisymmetric tensor elds such that the rank of their eld strengths equals half the dimension of space-time, see [26,27], and [11,16,18,24,25,28,30,31].

W e provide a rigorous form ulation of the general theory of four-dimensional electricm agnetic duality in lagrangian eld theories where many abelian vector elds are coupled to scalars, ferm ions and to gravity. When the scalar elds lagrangian is described by a non-linear sigm a model with a symmetric space G = H where G is noncompact and H is its maximal compact subgroup, the coupling of the scalars with the vector elds is uniquely determined by a symplectic representation of G (i.e. where the representation space is equipped with an invariant antisym m etric product). M oreover ferm ions coupled to the sigm a m odel, which lie in representations of H , m ust also be coupled to vectors through particular Pauli term s as in plied by electric-m agnetic duality.

This form alism is realized in an elegant way in extended supergravity theories in four dimensions and can be generalized to dyons [32] in D -dimensions, which exist when D is even and the dyon is a p-brane with p = D = 2 2. In the context of superstring theory or M theory electric-magnetic dualities can arise from many sources, namely S-duality, T-duality or a combination thereof called U-duality [29]. From the point of view of a four dimensional observer such dualities manifest as some global symmetries of the lowest order Euler-Lagrange equations of the underlying four dimensional electric theory.

The study of the relations between the symmetries of higher dimensional theories and their realization in four dimension is rich and fruitful, and duality rotations are an essential ingredient. Seem ingly dimensional equation of motions by using duality. An interesting example is provided by the N = 8, D = 4 supergravity lagrangian whose duality group is $G = E_{7;(7)}$, this is the form ulation of Cremmer and Julia [5]. An alternative formulation obtained from dimensional reduction of the D = 5 supergravity, exhibits an action that is invariant under a dimensional reduction of electric and magentic elds which involves a suitable Legendre transform ation (a duality rotation that is not a symmetry transform ation).

Let us also recall that duality rotation symmetries can be further enhanced to bcal symmetries (gauging of duality groups). The corresponding gauged supergravities appear as string compactications in the presence of uxes and as generalized compactications of (ungauged) higher dimensional supergravities.

As a main example consider again the N = 8, D = 4 supergravity lagrangian of C remmer and Julia, it is invariant under SO (8) (compact subgroup of $E_{7;(7)}$). The gauging of SO (8) corresponds to the gauged N = 8 supergravity of D e W itt and N icolai [33]. As shown in [34] the gauging of a di erent subgroup, that is the natural choice in the equivalent form ulation of the theory obtained from dimensional reduction of D = 5 supergravity, corresponds to the gauging of a at group in the sense of Scherk and Schwarz dimensional reduction [35], and gives the massive deformation of the N = 8 supergravity as obtained by C remmer, Scherk and Schwarz [36].

E lectric-M agnetic duality is also the underlying symmetry which encom passes the physics of extrem al black holes and of the <code>\attractor m</code> echanism " [37{39], for recent reviews on the attractor m echanism see [40{42]. Here the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy-area form ula

$$S = \frac{1}{4}A$$

is directly derived by the evaluation of a certain black hole potential \mathscr{V}_{BH} at its attractive critical points [43]

$$S = \mathscr{V}_{BH}$$

where the critical points C satisfy $@\mathscr{V}_{BH} \downarrow = 0$. The potential \mathscr{V}_{BH} is a quadratic invariant of the duality group; it depends on both the matter and the gauge elds con guration. In all extended supersymmetries with N > 2, the entropy S can also be computed via a certain duality invariant combination of the magnetic and electric charges p;q of the elds con guration [44,45]

$$S = \mathscr{S}(p;q)$$
:

In the remaining part of this introduction we present the structure of the paper by sum marizing its di erent sections.

In Section 2 we give a pedagogical introduction to U (1) duality rotations in nonlinear theories of electrom agnetism. The basic aspects of duality sym metry are already present in this simple case with just one abelian gauge eld: the ham iltonian is invariant (duality rotations are canonical transform ations that commute with the ham iltonian); the lagrangian is not invariant but must transform in a well de ned way. The Born-Infeld theory is the main example of duality invariant nonlinear theory.

In Section 3 the general theory is form ulated with m any abelian gauge elds interacting with bosonic and ferm ionic m atter. Necessary and for the nst time su cient conditions in order for a theory to have duality symmetry are established. The maximal symmetry group in a theory with n abelian gauge elds includes Sp(2n;R). If there are no scalar elds the maximal symmetry group is U(n). The geometry of the symmetry transformations on the scalar elds is that of the coset space Sp(2n;R)=U(n) that we study in detail. The kinetic term for the scalar elds is constructed by using this coset space geometry. In Subsection 3.6 we present the Born-Infeld Lagrangian with n abelian gauge elds and Sp(2n;R) duality symmetry [18]. The self-duality of this Lagrangian is proven by studying another example: the Born-Infeld Lagrangian with n complex gauge elds and U(n;n) duality symmetry. Here U(n;n) is the group of holom orphic duality rotations. We brie y develop the theory of holom orphic duality rotations.

The Bom-Infeld lagrangian with U (n;n) self-duality is per se interesting, the scalar elds span the coset space $\frac{U(n;n)}{U(n)U(n)}$, in the case n = 3 this is the coset space of the scalars of N = 3 supergravity with 3 vector multiplets. This Bom-Infeld lagrangian is then a natural candidate for the nonlinear generalization of N = 3 supergravity.

We close this sections by presenting, in a form ulation with auxiliary elds, the supersymmetric version of this Born-Infeld Lagrangian [17,18]. We also present the form without auxiliary elds of the supersymmetric Born-Infeld Lagrangian with a single

gauge eld and a scalar eld; this theory is invariant under SL(2;R) duality, which reduces to U(1) duality if the value of the scalar eld is suitably xed. Versions of this theory without the scalar eld were presented in [46{48].

In Section 4 we apply the general theory of duality rotation to supergravity theories with N > 2 supersymmetries. In these supersymmetric theories the duality group is always a subgroup G of Sp(2n;R), where G is the isometry group of the sigm a model G=H of the scalar elds. M uch of the geometry underlying these theories is in the (local) embedding of G in Sp(2n;R). The supersymmetry transformation rules, the structure of the central and matter charges and the duality invariants associated to the entropy and the potential of extrem al black holes con gurations are all expressed in terms of the embedding of G in Sp(2n;R) [11]. We thus present a unifying formalin s. We also explicitly construct the symplectic bundles (vector bundles with a symplectic product on the bers) associated to these theories, and prove that they are topologically trivial; this is no more the case for generic N = 2 supergravities.

In Section 5 we introduce specialK ahler geom etry as studied in di erentialgeom etry, we follow in particular the work of Freed [49], see also [50] (and [51]) and then develop the mathematical de nition up to the construction of those explicit at sym plectic sections used in N = 2 supergravity. We thus see for example that the at sym plectic bundle of a rigid special K ahler manifold M is just the tangent bundle TM with sym plectic product given by the K ahler form. A similar construction applies in the case of local special geom etry (there the at tangent bundle is not of the K ahler manifold M but is essentially the tangent bundle of a com plex line bundle L ! M). This clari es the global aspects of special geom etry and the key role played by duality rotations in the form ulation of N = 2 supergravity with scalar eds taking value in the target space M. D uality rotations are needed for the theory to be globally well de ned.

A special kind of noncommutative spacetime is a lattice space (it can be studied with noncommutative geometry techniques). Duality rotations on a lattice have been

studied in [54].

In Appendix 7 we prove som e fundam entalproperties of the sym plectic group Sp(2n; R) and of the coset space Sp(2n; R)=U(n). We also collect for reference som em ain form ulae and de nitions.

In Appendix 8 a sym m etry property of the trace of a solution of a polynom ialm atrix equation is proven. This allows the explicit form ulation of the Born-Infeld lagrangian with Sp(2n;R) duality sym m etry presented in Section 3.7.

2 U(1) gauge theory and duality sym m etry

M axwell theory is the prototype of electric-m agnetic duality invariant theories. In vacuum the equations of motion are

$$@ F = 0;$$

 $@ F' = 0;$ (2.1)

where $F' = \frac{1}{2}$ F. They are invariant under rotations $F_{F'}$ 7 $\sin \cos \sin F_{F'}$, or using vector notation under rotations B = 7 $\sin \cos B = 1$. This rotational symmetry, called duality symmetry, and also duality invariance or self-duality, is rejected in the invariance of the ham iltonian $H = \frac{1}{2}(E^2 + B^2)$, notice how ever that the lagrangian $L = \frac{1}{2}(E^2 - B^2)$ is not invariant. This symmetry is not an internal symmetry because it rotates a tensor into a pseudotensor.

We study this symmetry for more general electrom agnetic theories. In this section and the next one conditions on the lagrangians of (nonlinear) electrom agnetic theories will be found that guarantee the duality symmetry (self-duality) of the equations of motion.

The key mathematical point that allows to establish criteria for self-duality, thus avoiding the explicit check of the symmetry at the level of the equation of motions, is that the equations of motion (a system of PDEs) can be conveniently split in a set of equations that is of degree 0 (no derivatives on the eld strengths F), the so-called constitutive relations (see e.g. (2.5), or (2.8)), and another set of degree 1 (see e.g. (2.2), (2.3) or (2.9), (2.10)). Duality rotations act as an obvious symmetry of the set of equations of degree 1, so all what is left is to check that they act as a symmetry on the set of equations of degree 0. It is therefore plausible that this check can be equivalently form ulated as a speci c transform ation property of the lagrangian under duality rotations (and independent from the spacetime dependence F (x) of the elds), indeed both the lagrangian and the equations of motions of degree 0 are functions of the eld strength F and not of its derivatives.

2.1 Duality symmetry in nonlinear electrom agnetism

M axwell equations read

 $Q_t B = r E ; r B = 0$ (2.2)

$$\theta_t D = r \quad H ; r \quad D = 0$$
(2.3)

they are complemented by the relations between the electric $eld \; E$, the magnetic $eld \; H$, the electric displacement D $\,$ and the magnetic induction B $\,$. In vacuum we have

$$D = E ; H = B :$$
 (2.4)

In a nonlinear theory we still have the equations (2.2), (2.3), but the relations D = E; H = B are replaced by the nonlinear constitutive relations

$$D = D (E;B); H = H (E;B)$$
 (2.5)

(if we consider a material medium with electric and magnetic properties then these equations are the constitutive relations of the material, and (2.2) and (2.3) are the macroscopic M axwell equations).

Equations (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) are invariant under the group of general linear transformations

We study under which conditions also the nonlinear constitutive relations (2.5) are invariant. We nd constraints on the relations (2.5) as well as on the transform ations (2.6).

W e are interested in nonlinear theories that adm it a lagrangian formulation so that relativistic covariance of the equations (2.2), (2.3), (2.5) and their inner consistency is autom atically ensured. This requirement is fullled if the constitutive relations (2.5) are of the form

$$D = \frac{@L(E;B)}{@E}; H = \frac{@L(E;B)}{@B}; \qquad (2.7)$$

where L (E ;B) is a Poincare invariant function of E and B. Indeed if we consider E and B depending on a gauge potential A and vary the lagrangian L (E ;B) with respect to A , we recover (2.2), (2.3) and (2.7). This property is most easily shown by using four component notation. We group the constitutive relations (2.7) in the constitutive relation¹

$$\widetilde{G} = 2 \frac{\partial L(F)}{\partial F}; \qquad (2.8)$$

¹a practical convention is to de ne $\frac{@F}{@F}$ = rather than $\frac{@F}{@F}$ = . This explains the factor 2 in (2.8).

we also de ne $G = \frac{1}{2}$ G', so that $G' = \frac{1}{2}$ G ($^{0123} = _{0123} = 1$). If we consider the eld strength F as a function of a (locally de ned) gauge potential A, then equations (2.2) and (2.3) are respectively the Bianchi identities for F = @ A @ A and the equations of motion for L (F (A)),

$$Q F' = 0;$$
 (2.9)

$$@ G = 0 : (2.10)$$

In our treatment of duality rotations we study the symmetries of the equations (2.9), (2.10) and (2.8). The lagrangian L (F) is always a function of the eld strength F; it is not seen as a function of the gauge potential A; accordingly the B ianchi identities for F are considered part of the equations ρ fm otions for F.

Finally we consider an action $S = L d^4x$ with lagrangian density L = L (F) that depends on F but not on its partial derivatives; it also depends on a spacetime metric g that we generally om it writing explicitly², and on at least one dimensionful constant in order to allow for nonlinearity in the constitutive relations (2.8) (i.e. (2.5)). We set this dimensionful constant to 1.

The duality rotations (2.6) read

$$\begin{array}{cccc} F^{0} \\ G^{0} \end{array} = \begin{array}{cccc} A & B & F \\ C & D & G \end{array} : \qquad (2.11)$$

Since by construction equations (2.9) and (2.10) are invariant under (2.11), these duality rotations are a sym m etry of the system of equations (2.9), (2.10), (2.8) (or (2.2), (2.3), (2.5)), i on shell the constitutive relations are invariant in form , i.e., i the functional dependence of G^{0} from F⁰ is the same as that of G from F, i.e. i

$$G^{0} = 2 \frac{\Theta L (F^{0})}{\Theta F^{0}};$$
 (2.12)

where F^0 and G^0 are given in (2.11). This is the condition that constrains the lagrangian L (F) and the rotation parameters in (2.11). This condition has to hold on shell of (2.8)–(2.10); however (2.12) is not a di erential equation and therefore has to hold just using (2.8), i.e., o shell of (2.9) and (2.10) (indeed if it holds for constant eld strengths F then it holds for any F).

²Notice that (2.9), (2.10) are also the equation of motions in the presence of a nontrivial metric. Indeed S = $L d^4x = L^p \overline{g} d^4x$. The equation of motions are $(p \overline{g} F) = (p \overline{g} F) = (p \overline{g} G)$; $(p \overline{g} G) = (p \overline{g} G) = (p \overline{g} G) = (p \overline{g} G)$; where the Hodge dual of a two form is defined by $\frac{1}{2}p \overline{g}$.

In order to study the duality symmetry condition (2.12) let ${}^{A}_{C}{}^{B}_{D} = {}^{1}_{0}{}^{0}_{1} + {}^{a}_{c}{}^{b}_{d} + :::,$ and consider in nitesim alg L (2;R) rotations G ! G + G; F ! F + F,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
F & = & ab & F \\
G & & cd & G & ;
\end{array}$$
(2.13)

so that the duality condition reads

$$G' + G' = 2 \frac{\Theta L (F + F)}{\Theta (F + F)}$$
: (2.14)

The right hand side sim pli es to^3

$$\frac{\partial L (F + F)}{\partial (F + F)} = \frac{\partial L (F + F)}{\partial F} \frac{\partial F}{\partial (F + F)}$$
$$= \frac{\partial L (F + F)}{\partial F} \frac{\partial L (F)}{\partial F} \frac{\partial L (F)}{\partial F}$$

then, using (2.13) and (2.8), condition (2.14) reads

$$cF' + dG' = 2 \frac{\theta (L (F + F) L (F))}{\theta F} \quad 2a \frac{\theta L (F)}{\theta F} \quad bG' \frac{G}{\theta F} : \qquad (2.15)$$

In order to further sim plify this expression we write $2F = \frac{e}{eF}FF$ and we factorize out the partial derivative $\frac{\theta}{\theta F}$. We thus arrive at the equivalent condition

$$L(F + F) L(F) - \frac{c}{4}FF - \frac{b}{4}GG = (a + d)(L(F) - L_{F=0}):$$
 (2.16)

The constant term $(a + d)L_{F=0}$, nonvanishing for example in D-brane lagrangians, is obtained by observing that when F = 0 also G = 0. Next use L (F + F) L (F) = $\frac{\mathcal{Q} L (F)}{\mathcal{Q} F} F = \frac{1}{2} aFG + \frac{1}{2} bGG$ in order to rewrite

expression (2.16) as

$$\frac{b}{4}GG - \frac{c}{4}FF = (a + d)(L(F) - L_{F=0}) - \frac{a}{2}FG : \qquad (2.17)$$

If we require the nonlinear lagrangian L (F) to reduce to the usual M axwell lagrangian in the weak eld limit, $F^4 \ll F^2$, i.e., $L(F) = L_{F=0} = 1 = 4^{n} F F d^4 x + O(F^4)$, then $G = F + O(F^3)$, and we obtain the constraint (recall that $\tilde{G} = G$)

³ here and in the following we suppress the spacetime indices so that for example FG = F G; notice that FG = FG, $\tilde{F} = F$, and $\tilde{F}G = FG$ where FG = FG.

the duality group can be at most SO (2) rotations times dilatations. Condition (2.17) becomes

$$\frac{b}{4} G G' + F F' = 2a L (F) L_{F=0} \frac{1}{2} F \frac{QL}{QF} : \qquad (2.18)$$

The vanishing of the right hand side holds only if either $L(F) = L_{F=0}$ is quadratic in F (usual electrom agnetism) or a = 0. We are interested in nonlinear theories; by de nition in a nonlinear theory L(F) is not quadratic in F. This shows that dilatations alone cannot be a duality symmetry. If we require the duality group to contain at least SO (2) rotations then

$$G \tilde{G} + F \tilde{F} = 0 ; \qquad (2.19)$$

and SO(2) is the maxim alduality group. Relation (2.18) is nontrivially satis ed i

$$a = d = 0;$$

and (2.19) hold.

In conclusion equation (2.19) is a necessary and su cient condition for a nonlinear electrom agnetic theory to be symmetric under SO (2) duality rotations, and SO (2) G L (2;R) is the maximal connected Lie group of duality rotations of pure nonlinear electrom agnetism 4 .

This conclusion still holds if we consider a nonlinear lagrangian L (F) that in the weak $\;$ eld lim it F 4 << F 2 (up to an overall norm alization factor) reduces to the most general linear lagrangian

L(F) = L_{F=0}
$$\frac{1}{4}$$
FF + $\frac{1}{4}$ FF + O(F⁴):

In this case $G = F + F + O(F^3)$. We substitute in (2.17) and obtain the two conditions (the coe cients of the scalar F^2 and of the speudoscalar FF have to vanish separately)

$$c = b(1 + {}^{2})$$
; $d = 2 b$: (2.20)

The most general in nitesim alduality transform ation is therefore

$$\begin{array}{cccc} a & b \\ b(1 + 2) & a + 2 & b \end{array} = \begin{array}{cccc} a + b & 0 & & 0 & b \\ 0 & a + b & b & 0 \end{array}$$
 (2.21)

⁴ This symmetry cannot even extend to O (2) because already in the case of usual electrom agnetism the nite rotation $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ does not satisfy the duality condition (2.12). It is instructive to see the obstruction at the ham iltonian level. The ham itonian itself is invariant under D ! D,B ! B, but this transform ation is not a canonical transform ation: the Poisson bracket (2.33) is not invariant.

where $= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. We have dilatations and SO (2) rotations, they act on the vector $\begin{bmatrix} F \\ G \end{bmatrix}$ via the conjugate representation given by the matrix . Let's now remove the weak eld limit assumtion $F^4 << F^2$. We proceed as before. >From (2.12) (or from (2.17)) we immediately obtain that dilatations alone are not a duality symmetry of the nonlinear equations of motion. Then if SO (2) rotations are a duality symmetry we have that they are the maximal duality symmetry group. This happens if

$$GG' + (1 +)^2 FF' = 2 FG' :$$
 (2.22)

F inally we note that the necessary and su cient conditions for SO (2) duality rotations (2.22) (or (2.19)) can be equivalently expressed as invariance of

L(F)
$$\frac{1}{4}$$
FG[•]: (2.23)

Proof: the variation of expression (2.23) under F ! F + F is given by L (F + F) L (F) $\frac{1}{4}$ F G $\frac{1}{4}$ F G : U se of (2.16) with a + d = 0 (no dilatation) shows that this variation vanishes.

2.2 Legendre Transform ations

In the literature on gauge theories of abelian p-form potentials, the term duality transform ation denotes a di erent transform ation from the one we have introduced, a Legendre transform ation, that is not a sym m etry transform ation. In this section we relate these two di erent notions, see [15] for further applications and exam ples.

Consider a theory of nonlinear electrodynam ics (p = 1) with lagrangian L (F). The equations of motion and the Bianchi identity for F can be derived from the Lagrangian L (F;F_D) de ned by

$$L(F;F_D) = L(F) \frac{1}{2}FF_D; F_D = QA_D QA_D;$$
 (2.24)

where F is now an unconstrained antisymmetric tensor ebd, A_D a Lagrangemultiplier ebd and F_D its electromagnetic ebd. [Hint: varying with respect to A_D gives the Bianchi identity for F, varying with respect to F gives $G = F_D$ that is equivalent to the initial equations of motion @G = 0 because $F_D = @A_D @A_D$ (Poincare lemma)].

G iven the lagrangian (2.24) one can also rst consider the equation of m otion for F ,

$$G(F) = F_D$$
; (2.25)

that is solved by expressing F as a function of the dual $\;$ eld strength, F = F (F $_D$). Then inserting this solution into L (F ;F $_D$), one gets the dualm odel

$$L_{D}(F_{D}) = L(F(F_{D})) = \frac{1}{2}F(F_{D}) = F_{D}$$
 (2.26)

Solutions of the (2.26) equations of motion are, tothether with (2.25), solutions of the (2.24) equations of motion. Therefore solutions to the (2.26) equations of motion are via (2.25) in 1–1 correspondence with solutions of the L (F) equations of motion.

O ne can always perform a Legendre transform ation and describe the physical system with the new dynamical variables A_D and the new lagrangian L_D rather than A and L.

The relation with the duality rotation symmetry (self-duality) of the previous section is that if the system admits duality rotations then the solution F_D of the L_D equations of motion is also a solution of the L equations of motion, we have a symmetry because the dual $eb \ F_D$ is a solution of the original system . This is the case because for any solution L of the self-duality equation, its Legendre transform L_D satis es:

$$L_{D}(F) = L(F)$$
: (2.27)

This follows from considering a nite SO(2) duality rotation with angle =2. Then $F ! F^{0} = G(F) = F_{D}$, and invariance of (2.23), i.e. $L(F^{0}) = \frac{1}{4}F^{0}G^{0} = L(F) = \frac{1}{4}FG$; implies $L_{D}(F_{D}) = L(F_{D})$, i.e., (2.27).

In sum m ary, a Legendre transform ation is a duality rotation only if the sym m etry condition (2.8) is m et. If the self-duality condition (2.8) does not hold, a Legendre transform ation leads to a dual form ulation of the theory in terms of a dual Lagrangian L_D , not to a sym m etry of the theory.

2.3 Ham iltonian theory

The symmetric energy momentum tensor of a nonlinear theory of electromagnetism (obtained via Belinfante procedure or by varying with respect to the metric) is given by 5^{5}

$$T = G F + 0 L :$$
 (2.28)

The equations of motion (2.10) and (2.9) in ply its conservation, @T = 0. Invariance of the energy momentum tensor under duality rotations is easily proven by observing that for a generic antisymmetric tensor F

$$\vec{F} = \frac{1}{4} \vec{0} \vec{F} \vec{F}$$
; (2.29)

 $^{^5}$ sym m etry of T follows im m ediately by observing that the tensor structure of G in plies G = $f_{\rm s}\,(F\,)F$ + $f_{\rm p}\,(F\,)F'$ with scalars $f_{\rm s}\,(F\,)$ and $f_{\rm p}\,(F\,)$ depending on F, the m etric = diag(1;1;1;1) and the com pletely antisym m etric tensor density . (A ctually, if the lagrangian is parity even, $f_{\rm s}$ is a scalar function while $f_{\rm p}$ is a pseudoscalar function).

and then by recalling the duality symmetry condition (2.19).

In particular the ham iltonian

$$H = T^{00} = D E L$$
 (2.30)

of a theory that has duality rotation symmetry is invariant.

In the ham iltonian form alism duality rotations are canonical transform ations, since they have the ham iltonian invariant they are usual symmetry transformations. We brie y describe the ham iltonian form alism of (nonlinear) electrom agnetism by avoiding to introduce the vector potential A ; this is appropriate since duality rotations are form ulated independently from the notion of vector potential. M axwell equations (2.2), (2.3) and the expression of the ham iltonian suggest to consider B and D as the analogue of canonical coordinates and m om enta q and p, while E, that enters the lagrangian togheter with B, is the analogue of q_{e} .

Recalling the constitutive relations in the lagrangian form (2.7) we obtain that the ham iltonian H = H (D ;B) is just given by the Legendre transform ation (2.30). Moreover $H = \frac{QH}{QB}$ and $E = \frac{QH}{QD}$. The equations of motion are

$$\theta_t B = r \quad \frac{H}{D} ; \qquad (2.31)$$

The remaning equations r = 0, r = 0 are constraints that imposed at a given time are satisfied at any other time. The Poisson bracket between two arbitrary functionals U, V of the canonical variables is

$$fU; Vg = \frac{2}{QD} r \frac{QV}{QB} r \frac{QV}{QB} r \frac{QV}{QB} r \frac{QU}{QB} d^3r; \qquad (2.33)$$

in particular the only nonvanishing parenthesis between the canonical variables B and D are fBⁱ(r);D^j(r⁰)g = ^{ijk} $@_k@^3$ (r r⁰). The equations of motion (2.31) and (2.32) assume then the canonical form $@_tB = fB$;Hg; $@_tD = fD$;Hg where H = H d³r is the ham iltonian (H being the ham iltonian density). We see that H as usual is the generator of time evolution. The consitency and the hidden Poincare invariance of the present form alism is proven in [55].

In the canonical form alism the generator of duality rotations is the follow ing nonlocal integral [57], [56]

$$= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{D_{1}(r_{2}) + B_{1}(r_{2})}{jr_{1}r_{2}j} d^{3}r_{1}d^{3}r_{2} \qquad (2.34)$$

where the subscripts indicate that the elds are taken at the points r_1 and r_2 . We have fD ; g = B and fB ; g = D .

Finally we remark that it is straighforward to establish duality symmetry in the ham iltonian formalism. Indeed there are three independent scalar combinations of the canonical elds B and D, they can be taken to be: $D^2 + B^2$, $D^2 B^2$ and $(D B)^2$. The last two scalars are duality invariant and therefore any ham iltonian that depends just on them leads to a theory with duality symmetry. The nontrivial problem in this approach in now to constrain the ham iltonian so that the theory is Lorentz invariant [58], [57]. The condition is again (2.19) i.e., D H = E B.

2.4 Born-Infeld lagrangian

A notable example of a lagrangian whose equations of motion are invariant under duality rotations is given by the Born-Infeld one [1]

$$L_{BI} = 1$$
 $\frac{p}{det(+F)}$ (2.35)

$$= 1 \qquad 1 + \frac{1}{2}F^{2} \qquad \frac{1}{16}(FF)^{2} \qquad (2.36)$$

$$= 1 \qquad 1 \qquad E^{2} + B^{2} \qquad (E \qquad B^{2}): \qquad (2.37)$$

In the second line we have simply expanded the 4x4 determ inant and espressed the lagrangian in terms of the only two independent Lorentz invariants associated to the electrom agnetic eld: F^2 F F F F F F F F F

The explicit expression of G is

$$G = q \frac{F' + \frac{1}{4}FF'F}{1 + \frac{1}{2}F^2 - \frac{1}{16}(FF')^2}; \qquad (2.38)$$

and the duality condition (2.19) is readily seen to hold. The ham iltonian is

$$H_{BI} = \frac{q}{1 + D^{2} + B^{2} + (D - B)^{2}} \quad 1:$$
 (2.39)

Notice that while the E and B variables are constrained by the reality of the square root in the lagrangian, the ham iltonian variables D ;B are unconstrained. By using the equations of m otion and (2.19) it can be explicitly veri ed that the generator of duality rotations is time independent, f ;H g = 0.

2.5 Extended duality rotations

The duality symmetry of the equations of motion of nonlinear electrom agnetism can be extended to SL(2;R). We observe that the denition of duality symmetry we used can be relaxed by allowing the F dependence of G to change by a linear term : $G = 2\frac{@L}{@F}$ and $G = 2\frac{@L}{@F} + \#F$ togheter with the B ianchi identities for F give equivalent equations of motions for F. Therefore the transformation

is a symmetry of any nonlinear electrom agnetism. It corresponds to the lagrangian change L ! L + $\frac{1}{4}$ #FF. This symmetry alone does not act on F, but it is useful if the nonlinear theory has SO (2) duality symmetry. In this case (2.40) extends duality symmetry from SO (2) to SL (2;R) (i.e. Sp(2;R)). Notice however that the SL (2;R) transformed solution, contrary to the SO (2) one, has a di erent energy and energy m om entum tensor (recall (2.28)). On the other hand, as we show in Section 3.6, if the constant # is promoted to a dynam ical eld we have invariance of the energy m om entum tensor under SL (2;R) duality.

3 General theory of duality rotations

W e study in full generality the conditions in order to have theories with duality rotation symmetry. By properly introducing scalar elds (sigma model on coset space) we enhance theories with a compact duality group to theories with an extended noncompact duality group. A Born-Infeld lagrangian with n abelian eld strengths and U (n) duality group (or Sp(2n; R) in the presence of scalars) is constructed.

3.1 General nonlinear theory

We consider a theory of n abelian gauge elds possibly coupled to other bosonic and ferm ionic elds that we denote ', (= 1;:::p). We assume that the U(1) gauge potentials enter the action S = S [F;'] only trough the eld strengths F (= 1;:::;n), and that the action does not depend on partial derivatives of the eld strengths. De ne $G = 2\frac{\ell L}{\ell F}$, i.e.,

$$G' = 2 \frac{S[F;']}{F}; \qquad (3.1)$$

then the B ianchi identities and the equations of m otions for S [F;'] are

$$Q F = 0;$$
 (3.2)

$$Q G = 0;$$
 (3.3)

$$\frac{S[F;']}{'} = 0 :$$
 (3.4)

The eld theory is described by the system of equations (3.1)–(3.4). Consider the duality transform ations

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
F^{0} &=& A B & F \\
G^{0} &=& C D & G
\end{array}$$
(3.5)

$$'^{0} = (')$$
 (3.6)

where ${}^{A}_{C}{}^{B}_{D}_{D}$ is a generic constant L (2n;R) matrix and the ' elds transformation in full detail reads ' 0 = ('; ${}^{A}_{C}{}^{B}_{D}$), with no partial derivative of ' appearing in .

These duality rotations are a symmetry of the system of equations (3.1)-(3.4) i, given F,G, and ' solution of (3.1)-(3.4) then F 0 ,G 0 and ' 0 , that by construction satisfy $@F^{0} = 0$ and $@G^{0} = 0$, satisfy also

$$G^{0} = 2 \frac{S[F^{0}; {}^{\prime 0}]}{F^{0}}; \qquad (3.7)$$

$$\frac{S[F^{0}; \prime^{0}]}{\prime^{0}} = 0 :$$
 (3.8)

W e study these on shell conditions in the case of in nitesim alGL (2n;R) rotations

$$F ! F^{0} = F + F ; G ! G^{0} = G + G ;$$

 $F_{G} = ab F_{cd G} ;$
(3.9)

$$' = ('):$$
 (3.10)

The right hand side of (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{S[F^{0}; \prime^{0}]}{F^{0}} = \int_{Y}^{Z} \frac{S[F^{0}; \prime^{0}]}{F(Y)} \frac{F(Y)}{F^{0}} :$$
(3.11)

We now invert the matrix $\frac{F_0}{F_0} + F_0$, recall that $F_1F_2 = F_1F_2$ and observe that

$$\sum_{y} \frac{S[F; ']}{F(y)} b \frac{G(y)}{F} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{Z}{F} \int_{y}^{Z} G' b G + \frac{1}{4} \int_{y}^{Z} G' (b - b^{t}) \frac{G}{F} :$$

W e thus obtain

$$\frac{S[F^0; \mathbf{i}^0]}{F^0} = \frac{S[F^0; \mathbf{i}^0]}{F} \quad a \quad \frac{S[F^0; \mathbf{i}^0]}{F} \quad \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{F} \int_{y}^{Z} G^{c} bG \quad \frac{1}{4} \int_{y}^{Z} G^{c} (b - b^{t}) - \frac{G}{F} : (3.12)$$

Since the left hand side of (3.7) is $\mathcal{G} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{R}{F} \sqrt{F} \mathcal{C}F + \frac{1}{2} (c + c^{t}) F' + 2d - \frac{S[F']}{F}$, we rewrite (3.7) as

$$\frac{1}{F} S[F^{0};'^{0}] S[F;'] \frac{1}{4} \int_{y}^{Z} (F^{c}CF + G^{c}bG)$$

$$= (a^{t} + d) \frac{1}{F} S[F;'] + \frac{1}{4} (c c^{t}) F' + \frac{1}{4} \int_{y}^{Z} G(b b^{t}) \frac{G}{F} :$$

$$(3.13)$$

Since this expression does not contain derivatives of F , the functional variation becomes just a partial derivative, and (3.13) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta F} = L(F^{0}; \prime^{0}) = L(F; \prime) = \frac{1}{4}F CF = \frac{1}{4}G BG \qquad (3.14)$$

$$= (a^{t} + d) = \frac{\theta}{\theta F} L(F; \prime) + \frac{1}{4}(c - c^{t}) = F + \frac{1}{4}G (b - b^{t})\frac{\theta G}{\theta F} :$$

Here L (F;') is a shorthand notation for a lagrangian that depends on F,', @' and eventually higher partial derivatives of the elds', say up to order'. Equation (3.14) has to hold on shell of (3.1)–(3.4). Since this equation has no partial derivative of F and at most derivatives of ' up to order', if it holds on shell of (3.1)–(3.4) then it holds just on shell of (3.1), and of the ferm ions elds equations, the scalar and vector partial di erential equations being of higher order in derivatives of F or ' elds. In particular if no ferm ion is present (3.14) holds just on shell of (3.1).

Since the left hand side of (3.14) is a derivative with respect to F $\,$ so must be the right hand side. This holds if we consider in nitesimal dilatations, parametrized by $\frac{1}{2}$ 2 R, and in nitesimal Sp(2n;R) transformations

$$a^{t} + d = 1$$
; $b^{t} = b$; $c^{t} = c$: (3.15)

W e can then rem ove the derivative $\frac{\ell}{\ell F}$ and obtain the equivalent condition

$$L(F^{0}; '^{0}) \quad L(F; ') \quad L(F; ') \quad \frac{1}{4}F cF \quad \frac{1}{4}G bG = f(')$$
 (3.16)

where f (') can contain partial derivatives of ' up to the same order as in the lagrangian.

We now show that f(') in (3.16) is independent from '. Consider the '-equations of motion (3.8),

$$\frac{S[F^{0}; '^{0}]}{'^{0}} = \frac{Z}{y} \frac{S[F^{0}; '^{0}]}{'(y)} \frac{Y}{'^{0}} + \frac{Z}{y} \frac{S[F; '] F(y)}{F(y)} \frac{F(y)}{'^{0}}$$
$$= \frac{S[F^{0}; '^{0}]}{', 0} \frac{S[F; '] \frac{30}{6'}}{', 0} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{Z}{y} G bG$$
$$= \frac{S[F; ']}{', 0} \frac{S[F; '] \frac{30}{6'}}{', 0} \frac{S[F; '] \frac{30}{6'}}{', 0} + \frac{Z}{'} S[F^{0}; '^{0}] S[F; '] \frac{1}{4} \frac{Z}{y} G bG$$

where only rst order in nitesim als have been retained, and where techniques similar to those used in the study of (3.11) have been applied. On shell the left hand side has to vanish; since the rst two addends on the right hand side are proportional to the '-equations of motion, this happens i on shell

$$--, \quad S[F^{0};'^{0}] \quad S[F;'] \quad S[F;'] \quad \frac{1}{4} \int_{Y}^{Z} (G^{c}bG + F^{c}cF) = 0: \quad (3.17)$$

Comparison with (3.16) shows that on shell

$$---f(') = 0$$
: (3.18)

In this expression no eld strength F is present and therefore the equations of motion of our interacting system are of no use; equation (3.18) holds also o shell and we conclude that f(') is ' independent, it is just a constant depending on the parameters a; b; c; d (it usually vanishes). We thus have the condition

$$L(F^{0};'^{0}) \quad L(F;') \quad L(F;') \quad \frac{1}{4}F cF \quad \frac{1}{4}G bG = const_{a,b,c,d}$$
(3.19)

If we expand F $^{\rm 0}$ in term s of F $\,$ and G , we obtain the equivalent condition

$$L(F;') = \frac{1}{4}F'CF + \frac{1}{4}G'bG + L(F;') + \frac{1}{2}G'aF + const_{a;b;c;d}$$
(3.20)

where $(\mathbf{F};') = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{F};')$ $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{F};')$.

Equation (3.20), where G = 20L=0F, is a necessary and su cient condition in order to have duality symmetry. This condition is on shell of the fermions equations of motion, in particular if no fermion is present this condition is o shell. In the presence of fermions, equation (3.20) o shell is a su cient condition for duality symmetry.

The duality symmetry group is

$$R^{>0}$$
 SL(2n;R); (3.21)

the group of dilatations times symplectic transformation; it is the connected Lie group generated by the Lie algebra (3.15). It is also the maximal group of duality rotations as the example (or better, the limiting case) studied in the next section shows.

We have considered dynam ical ferm ionic and bosonic elds '. If a subset r of these elds is not dynam ical the corresponding equations of motion are of the same order as those dening G, and thus (3.14) and (3.20) hold on shell of all these equations. Moreover since no (r appears in the lagrangian, the duality transform ations for these elds can include the eld strength F, i.e., r ! r = r (F;). In this case there is an extra addend in (3.11). The necessary and su cient duality condition (3.20) does not change.

We also notice that condition (3.19) in the absence of dilatations (= 0), and for $const_{a,b,c,d} = 0$ is equivalent to the invariance of

$$L = \frac{1}{4} F^{*}G$$
 : (3.22)

3.2 The main example and the scalar elds fractional transformations

Consider the Lagrangian

$$\frac{1}{4}N_{2} F F + \frac{1}{4}N_{1} F F + \mathscr{L}()$$
(3.23)

where the real symmetric matrices N₁() and N₂() and the Lagrangian \mathscr{L} () are just functions of the bosonic elds ⁱ, i = 1;:::m, (and their partial derivatives).

A ny nonlinear lagrangian in the lim it of vanishing ferm ionic elds and of weak eld strengths F 4 << F 2 reduces to the one in (3.23). A straighforward calculation shows that this lagrangian has R $^{>0}$ $\,$ SL (2n;R) duality symmetry if the matrices N $_1$ and N $_2$ of the scalar elds transform as

$$N_{1} = c + dN_{1} N_{1}a N_{1}bN_{1} + N_{2}bN_{2}$$
; (3.24)

$$N_{2} = dN_{2} N_{2}a N_{1}bN_{2} N_{2}bN_{1}$$
; (3.25)

and

$$\mathscr{L}() = \mathscr{L}(): \qquad (3.26)$$

Ifwede ne

$$N = N_1 + iN_2$$
;

i.e., $N_1 = ReN$; $N_2 = Im N$, the transform ations (3.24), (3.25) read

$$N = c + dN \qquad Na \qquad NbN; \qquad (3.27)$$

the nite version is the fractional transform ation

$$N^{0} = (C + DN)(A + BN)^{1}$$
: (3.28)

Under (3.28) the imaginary part of N transform s as

$$N_{2}^{0} = (A + BN) Y_{2} (A + BN)^{-1}$$
 (3.29)

where y is a shorthand notation for the herm itian conjugate of the inverse matrix.

The kinetic term $\frac{1}{4}N_2$ F F is positive de nite if the symmetric matrix N_2 is negative de nite. In Appendix 7.2 we show that the matrices $N = N_1 + iN_2$ with N_1 and N_2 real and symmetric, and N_2 positive de nite, are the coset space $\frac{Sp(2n,R)}{U(n)}$.

A scalar lagrangian that satis es the variation (3.26) can always be constructed using the geometry of the coset space $\frac{Sp(2n,R)}{U(n)}$, see Section 3.4.2.

This example also claries the condition (3.15) that we have imposed on the GL (2n; R) generators. It is a straightfoward calculation to check that the equations (3.2), (3.3) and

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{F} \tag{3.30}$$

have duality sym m etry under GL (2n; R) transform ations with N given in (3.27). How – ever it is easy to see that equation (3.14) in plies, for the lagrangian (3.23), that condition (3.15) m ust hold. The point is that we want the constitutive relations G = G [F;'] to follow from a lagrangian. Those following from the lagrangian (3.23) are (3.30) with N₁ and N₂ necessarily symmetric matrices. Only if the transformed matrices N₁⁰ and N₂⁰ are again symmetric we can have $G^{0} = \frac{(e_{L}(F^{0}; f^{0}))}{(e_{F}^{0})}$ as in (3.7), (or more generally $G^{0} = \frac{(e_{L}^{0}(F^{0}; f^{0}))}{(e_{F}^{0})}$). The constraints N₁⁰ = N₁⁰, N₂⁰ = N₂⁰, reduce the duality group to R^{>0} SL (2n; R).

In conclusion equation (3.20) is a necessary and su cient condition for a theory of n abelian gauge elds coupled to bosonic matter to be symmetric under $R^{>0}$ SL (2n; R) duality rotations, and $R^{>0}$ SL (2n; R) is the maximal connected Lie group of duality rotations.

3.3 A basic example with ferm i elds

Consider the Lagrangian with Pauli coupling

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{4}F F \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} F$$
 (3.31)

where $=\frac{1}{4}$ [;] and ; are two M a jorana spinors. We have

$$G = 2 \frac{\Theta L_0}{\Theta F} = F + - (3.32)$$

and the duality condition (3.20) for an in nitesim al U (1) duality rotation ${}^{0}_{b0}{}^{b}$ reads

$$L_0 + L_0 = \frac{b}{4} F + \frac{b}{4} e^{-2} - e^{-2}$$
 (3.33)

It is natural to assume that the kinetic terms of the ferm ion elds are invariant under this duality rotation (this is also the case for the scalar lagrangian $\mathscr{L}(\)$ in (3.26)), then using $_{5} = i^{-}$ we see that the coupling of the ferm ions with the eld strength is reproduced if the ferm ions rotate according to

$$= \frac{i}{2}b_5$$
; (3.34)

$$=\frac{i}{2}b_{5}$$
; (3.35)

we also see that we have to add to the lagrangian L_0 a new interaction term quartic in the ferm ion elds. Its coupling is also xed by duality symmetry to be $^2=8$.

The theory with U (1) duality symmetry is therefore given by the Lagrangian [3]

$$L = \frac{1}{4}F F \frac{1}{2}e + \frac{1}{2}F \frac{1}{8}e^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}F \frac{1}{8}e^{-1} + \frac{1}{8}F \frac{1}{8}e^{-1} + \frac{1}{8}$$

Notice that ferm ions transform under the double cover of U (1) indeed under a rotation of angle b = 2 we have !, !, this is a typical feature of ferm ions transform ations under duality rotations, they transform under the double cover of the m axim alcom pact subgroup of the duality group. This is so because the interaction with the gauge eld is via ferm ions bilinear term s.

3.4 Compact and noncompact duality rotations

3.4.1 C om pact duality rotations

The fractional transform ation (3.28) is also characteristic of nonlinear theories. The subgroup of Sp(2n;R) that leaves invariant a xed value of the scalar eds N is U(n). This is easily seen by setting N = ill. Then in nitesimally we have relations (3.15) with = 0 and b = c, a = a^t, i.e. we have the antisymmetric matrix

 $a = a^t$, $b = b^t$. For nite transform ations the Sp(2n;R) relations (7.2) are complemented by

$$A = D$$
; $B = C$: (3.37)

Thus A iB is a unitary matrix (see also (7.8)). U (n) is the maximal compact subgroup of Sp(2n; R), it is the group of orthogonal and symplectic 2n 2n matrices.

M ore in general from Section 3.1 we easily conclude that a necessary and su cient condition for a theory with just n abelian gauge elds to have U(n) duality symmetry is (cf. (3.20))

$$\mathbf{F} \quad \mathbf{F} \quad + \quad \mathbf{G} \quad \mathbf{G} \quad = \quad \mathbf{0} \tag{3.38}$$

$$G F G F = 0 \tag{3.39}$$

for all ; . Moreover since any nonlinear lagrangian in the limit of weak eld strengths F⁴ << F² reduces to the one in (3.23) (with a xed value of N), we conclude that U (n) is the maxim all duality group for a theory with only gauge elds.

Condition (3.39) is equivalent to

$$(F \quad \frac{@}{@F} \quad F \quad \frac{@}{@F})L = 0;$$
 (3.40)

i.e. to the invariance of the Lagrangian under SO (n) rotations of the n edstrengths F . Condition (3.38) concerns on the other hand the invariance of the equations of motion under transform ation of the electric edstrengths into the magnetic edstrengths.

In a theory with just n abelian gauge elds the eld strengths appear in the Lagrangian only through the Lorentz invariant combinations

$$\frac{1}{4}F$$
 F; $\frac{1}{4}F$ F; (3.41)

and equation (3.40), tell us that L is a scalar under SO (n) rotations; e.g. L is a sum of traces, or of products of traces, of m onom ials in and (we implicitly use the m etric

in the and products).

Ifwede ne

$$L \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial t}; L \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial t};$$
(3.42)

then using the chain rule and the de nitions (3.41) we obtain that (3.38) is equivalent to

$$L L L + L L + L L + = 0:$$
 (3.43)

Ifwede ne

$$p = \frac{1}{2}(+i); q = \frac{1}{2}(-i);$$
 (3.44)

then (3.43) sim pli es and reads

$$p \quad L_p p L_p = q \quad L_q q L_q :$$
 (3.45)

Condition (3.43) in the case of a single gauge eld was considered in [15] togheter with other equivalent conditions, in particular $L_u L_v = 1$, where $u = \frac{1}{2}(+ (^2 + ^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})$, $v = \frac{1}{2}((^2 + ^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})$, see also [20].

3.4.2 Coupling to scalar elds and noncom pact duality rotations

By freezing the values of the scalar elds N we have obtained a theory with only gauge elds and with U (n) duality symmetry. Vice versa (following [16] that extends to U (n) the U (1) interacting theory discussed in [14,15]) we show that given a theory invariant under U (n) duality rotations it is possible to extend it via n(n + 1) scalar elds N to a theory invariant under Sp(2n; R). Let L (F) be the lagrangian of the theory with U (n) duality. From (3.19) we see that under a U (n) duality rotation

$$L(F^{0}) \quad L(F) = \frac{1}{4}F bF + \frac{1}{4}G bG :$$
 (3.46)

In particular L (F) is invariant under the orthogonal subgroup SO (n) U (n) given by the matrix ${}^{A}_{0}{}^{0}_{A^{t}}$. This is the so-called electric subgroup of the duality rotation group U (n) because it does not mix the electric elds F with the dual elds G.

De ne the new lagrangian

$$L(F;R;N_1) = L(RF) + \frac{1}{4}FN_1F$$
 (3.47)

where $R = (R)_{i=1,...n}$ is an arbitrary nondegenerate real matrix and N_1 is a real symmetric matrix. Because of the O (n) symmetry the new Lagrangian depends only on the combination

$$N_2 = R^{t}R ; \qquad (3.48)$$

rather than on R . Thus L (F ; R ; N $_1$) = L (F ; N) where N = N $_1$ + iN $_2$.

We show that L satis es the duality condition (3.20),

$$(_{\rm F} + _{\rm R} + _{\rm N_1}) L (F; R; N_1) = \frac{1}{4} F cF + \frac{1}{4} G bG$$
 (3.49)

where as always $G = 2\frac{@L}{@F}$, and where N₁ transform s as in (3.24) and

$$R = R(a + bN_{1});$$
 (3.50)

so that $N_2 = R^{t}R$ transforms as in (3.25). Notice that we could also have chosen the transformation $R = R R (a + bN_1)$ with an in nitesimal SO (n) rotation.

We rst immediately check (3.49) in the case of the rotation $\binom{00}{c0}$. Then in the case $\binom{a0}{0d}$, where $a = d^t$. Finally we consider the duality rotation $\binom{0b}{00}$. It is convenient to introduce the notation

$$F = RF$$
; $G = 2\frac{\partial L(F)}{\partial F}$: (3.51)

We observe that L (F) satisfies the U (n) duality conditions (3.38), (3.39) with F ! F, G ! G. Equation (3.49) holds because of (3.38) and proves Sp(2n; R) duality invariance of the theory with Lagrangian L.

We end this subsection with few comments. We notice that (3.39) is equivalent to the invariance of the lagrangian under the in nitesim alSO (n) transform ation R ! R.

$$F = R b R^{t} G ; \qquad (3.52)$$

$$G = R b R^{t} F : \qquad (3.53)$$

The geometry underlying the construction of Sp(2n;R) duality invariant theories from U (n) ones is that of coset spaces. The scalar elds N parametrize the coset space ${}^{Sp(2n,R)}=_{U(n)}$ (see proof in ...). We also have ${}^{Sp(2n,R)}=_{U(n)} = {}_{SO(n)}n^{GL^+(n)} R^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$ where GL^+ (n) is the connected component of GL (n) and the equivalence classes [R] = fR⁰2 GL⁺ (n); R⁰R⁻¹ = e 2 SO (n)g parametrize the coset space ${}_{SO(n)}n^{GL^+(n)}$.

The proof of Sp(2n; R) duality symmetry for the theory described by the Lagrangian L holds also if we add to L an Sp(2n; R) invariant Lagrangian for the elds N like the Lagrangian \mathscr{L} in (3.65). Of course we can also consider initial Lagrangians in (3.46) that depend on matter elds invariant under the U (n) rotation, they will be Sp(2n; R) invariant in the corresponding Lagrangian L. Moreover, by considering an extra scalar eld , we can always extend an Sp(2n; R) duality theory to an R ^{>0} Sp(2n; R) one.

3.5 Nonlinear sigm a models on G=H

In this section we brie y consider the geometry of coset spaces G = H. This is the geometry underlying the scalar elds and needed to form ulate their dynam ics [59,60].

W e study in particular the case G = Sp(2n $\mathbb R$), H = U (n) [6] and give a kinetic term for the scalar $\mbox{ elds } N$.

The geom etry of the coset space G = H is conveniently described in terms of coset representatives, local sections L of the bundle $G ! G = H \cdot A$ point in G = H is an equivalence class $gH = fg jg ^{1}g 2 Hg \cdot W e$ denote by i (i = 1;2:::m) its coordinates (the scalar eds of the theory). The left action of G on G = H is inherited from that of G on G, it is given by $gH ? g^{0}gH$, that we rewrite ? $g^{0} = ^{0}$. Concerning the coset representatives we then have

$$g^{0}L() = L(^{0})h;$$
 (3.54)

because both the left and the right hand side are representatives of 0 . The geometry of G =H and the corresponding physics can be constructed in terms of coset representatives.

Of course the construction must be insensitive to the particular representative choice, we have a gauge symmetry with gauge group H $\,$.

W hen H is compact the Lie algebra of G splits in the direct sum G = H + K, where

$$[H;H]$$
 H; $[K;K]$ H + K; $[H;K]$ K: (3.55)

The last expression de nes the coset space representation of H. The representations of the compact Lie algebra H are equivalent to unitary ones, and therefore there exists a basis (H ;K_a), where [H ;K_a] = $C_{a}^{b}K_{b}$ with $C = (C_{a}^{b})_{a,b=1}$; $m = \dim_{G=H}$ antiherm itian m atrices. Since the coset representation is a real representation then these m atrices C belong to the Lie algebra of SO (m).

G iven a coset representative L (), the pullback on G=H of the G Lie algebra left invariant 1-form = L $^1\mathrm{dL}$ is decomposed as

$$= L^{-1} dL = P^{a}()K_{a} + ! ()H$$
 :

and therefore $P = P^{a}()K_{a}$ and ! = ! () H are invariant under dieom orphisms generated by the left G action. Under the local right H action of an element h() (or under change of coset representative $L^{0}() = L()h()$ we have

$$P! h^{1}Ph ; !! h^{1}!h+h^{1}dh:$$
 (3.56)

The 1-form s $P^{a}() = P^{a}()_{id}^{i}$ are therefore vielbain on G=H transforming in the fundamental of SO (m), while != !()_{id}^{i} is an H-valued connection 1-form on G=H. We can then de ne the covariant derivative r $P^{a} = [P_{i};]^{a} = P^{b}$ C^{a}_{b} !.

There is a natural metric on ${\rm G=}{\rm H}$,

$$g = {}_{ab}P^{a} P^{b}; \qquad (3.57)$$

(this de nition is well given because we have shown that the coset representation is via in niesimal SO (m) rotations). It is easy to see that the connection r is metric compatible, rg = 0.

If the coset is furtherm ore a symmetric coset we have

then the identity d + $^{\circ}$ = 0, that is (the pull-back on G = H of) the M aurer-C artan equation, in term s of P and ! reads

$$R + P^{P} = 0;$$
 (3.58)

$$dP + P^{+}! + !^{+}P = 0 : (3.59)$$

This last relation shows that ! is torsion free. Since it is metric compatible it is therefore the R iem annian connection on G = H. Equation (3.58) then relates the R iem annian curvature to the square of the vielbeins.

By using the connection ! and the vierbein P we can construct couplings and actions invariant under the rigid G and the local H transform ations, i.e. sigm a m odels on the coset space G = H.

For example a kinetic term for the scalar elds, which are maps from spacetime to G = H, is given by pulling back to spacetime the invariant metric (3.57) and then contracting it with the spacetime metric

$$\mathscr{L}_{kin}() = \frac{1}{2} P^{a} P_{a} = \frac{1}{2} P^{a}_{i} Q^{i} P_{aj} Q^{j}:$$
 (3.60)

By construction the lagrangian $\mathscr{L}_{kin}($) is invariant under G and local H transform ations; it depends only on the coordinates of the coset space G =H .

3.5.1 The case G = Sp(2n; R), H = U(n)

A kinetic term for the $\frac{\text{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})}{U(n)}$ valued scalar elds is given by (3.60). This lagrangian is invariant under Sp(2n; R) and therefore satisfies the duality condition (3.26) with G = Sp(2n; R) and = 0.W e can also write

$$\mathscr{L}_{kin}() = \frac{1}{2} P^{a} P_{a} = \frac{1}{2} Tr(P P);$$
 (3.61)

where in the last passage we have considered generators K_a so that $Tr(K_aK_b) = ab$ (this is doable since U (n) is the maxim alcom pact subgroup of Sp(2n;R)).

We now recall the representation of the group $Sp(2n \mathbb{R})$ and of the associated coset $\frac{Sp(2n \mathbb{R})}{U(n)}$ in the complex basis discussed in the appendix (and frequently used in the later sections) and we give a more explicit expression for the lagrangian (3.61).

Rather than using the sym plectic matrix $S = {A B \ C D}$ of the fundam ental representation of Sp(2n;R), we consider the conjugate matrix A ¹SA where $A = \frac{1}{P_2} \quad {1 \ ill \ ill}$. In this complex basis the subgroup U (n) Sp(2n;R) is simply given by the block diagonal matrices ${}^{u_0}_{0u}$. We also de ne the n 2n matrix

and the matrix

$$V = \begin{array}{c} f f \\ h h \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} A B \\ C D \end{array} A : \qquad (3.63)$$

Then (cf. (7.9), (7.10)),

$$V^{-1}dV = \frac{i(f^{y}dh \quad h^{y}df) \quad i(f^{y}dh \quad h^{y}df)}{i(f^{t}dh \quad h^{t}df) \quad i(f^{t}dh \quad h^{t}df)} \qquad P \quad ; \quad (3.64)$$

where in the last passage we have de ned the n n sub-blocks ! and P corresponding to the U (n) connection and the vielbein of Sp(2n; R)=U(n) in the complex basis, (with slight abuse of notation we use the same letter ! in this basis too).

We nally obtain the explicit expression

$$\mathscr{L}_{kin}() = Tr(P P) = \frac{1}{4}Tr(N_2^{-1}Q \overline{N} N_2^{-1}Q N)$$
 (3.65)

where $P = P dx = P_1 (0^{i} dx, \overline{N} = N_1 i N_2 and N = N_1 + i N_2 = R e N + i Im N.$ The matrix of scalars N parametrizes the coset space Sp(2n; R)=U(n) (see Appendix 7.2); in terms of the f and h matrices it is given by (cf. (7.19))

$$N = fh^{-1}; N_2^{-1} = 2ff^{y}:$$
 (3.66)

Under the symplectic rotation ${}^{A B}_{C D}$! ${}^{A ^{0}B ^{0}}_{C ^{0}D ^{0}}$ ${}^{A B}_{C D}$ the matrix N changes via the fractional transformation N ! (C⁰ + D⁰N) (A⁰ + B⁰N) ¹, (cf. (3.28)).

A nother proof of the invariance of the kinetic term (3.65) under the Sp(2n;R) follows by observing that (3.65) is obtained from the pullback to the spacetime manifold of the metric associated to the $\frac{\text{Sp}(2n,R)}{U(n)}$ Kahler form $\text{Tr}(N_2^{-1}d\overline{N} N_2^{-1}dN)$ (here $d = 0 + \overline{0}$ is the exterior derivative). This metric is obtained from the Kahler potential

$$K = 4 \operatorname{Tr} \log i(N \quad \overline{N}) : \qquad (3.67)$$

Under the action of Sp(2n; R), N and N N change as in (3.28), (3.29) and the K ahler potential changes by a K ahler transform ation, thus show ing the invariance of the metric.

3.4.2 The case $G = R^{>0}$ Sp(2n; R), H = U(n)

In this case the duality rotation matrix $_{cd}^{ab}$ belongs to the Lie algebra of $R^{>0}$ Sp(2n; R), as de ned in (3.15). In particular in nitesim aldilatations are given by the matrix $\frac{10}{2}$ $_{01}^{10}$. The coset space is

$$\frac{R^{>0} \quad Sp(2n;R)}{U(n)} = R^{>0} \quad \frac{Sp(2n;R)}{U(n)};$$
(3.68)

there is no action of U (n) on $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$. We consider a real positive scalar eld = e invariant under Sp(2n; R) transform ations. The elds and N parametrize the coset space (3.68).

Let's rst consider them ain example of Section 3.2. The duality symmetry conditions for the lagrangian (3.23) are (3.24)-(3.26). >From equations (3.24),(3.25) (that hold for $_{cd}^{ab}$ in the Lie algebra of $R^{>0}$ Sp(2n;R)) we see that the elds N, and henceforth the lagrangian \mathscr{L}_{kin} (), are invariant under the $R^{>0}$ action. It follows that the scalar lagrangian

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm kin}($$
) + 0 0 (3.69)

satis es the duality condition (3.26). This shows that the lagrangian (3.23) with the scalar kinetic term given by (3.69) has $R^{>0}$ Sp(2n; R) duality symmetry. We see that in the lagrangian (3.23) the scalar does not couple to the eld strenght F. The coupling of to F is how ever present in lagrangians where higher powers of F are present.

M one in general expression (3.69) is a scalar kinetic term for lagrangians that satisfy the $R^{>0}$ Sp(2n; R) duality condition (3.20).

3.6 Invariance of energy m om entum tensor

D uality rotation symmetry is a symmetry of the equations of motion that does not leave invariant the lagrangian. The total change $L = L (F^{0}; '^{0}) = L (F; ')$ of the lagrangian is given in equation (3.19). Even if = 0 this variation is not a total derivative because F and G are the curl of vector potentials $A_{\rm F}$ and $A_{\rm G}$ only on shell.

We show however that the variation of the action with respect to a duality rotation invariant parameter is invariant under Sp(2n; R) rotations if the duality rotation (3.10) of the 'elds is independent.

Consider the -variation of S[F;'] S[F⁰;'⁰] S[F;'] = $\frac{R}{\sqrt{\frac{gL}{gF}}}$ F + , S,

$$- S = \sum_{y}^{Z} - (\frac{@L}{@F}) F + \sum_{y}^{Z} \frac{@L}{@F} - (F) + - (,S)$$

$$= \sum_{y}^{Z} \frac{@}{@F} (-) F + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y}^{Z} G - (F) + (,S)$$

$$= (- \frac{S}{)} + \frac{1}{4} - \sum_{y}^{Z} G bG$$

$$(3.70)$$

where in the second line we used that -' = 0. Thus $\left(\frac{s}{-1}\right) = -\left(S - \frac{1}{4} \frac{R}{y} \operatorname{G} b G\right)$ and therefore from (3.19) we have,

$$\left(\frac{S}{--}\right) = \frac{S}{--} \tag{3.71}$$

thus showing invariance of $\frac{S}{2}$ under Sp(2n; R) rotations (= 0 rotations).

An important case is when is the metric g, this is invariant under duality rotations. This shows that the energy momentum tensor $\frac{S}{g}$ is invariant under Sp(2n;R) duality rotations.

Another instance is when is the dimensional parameter typically present in a nonlinear theory. Provided the matter elds are properly rescaled '! $^{\circ} = ^{\circ}$ ', so that they become adimensional and therefore their transformation $^{\circ}$, usually nonlinear, does not explicitly involve , then $\frac{-S}{a}$ is invariant, where it is understood that $\frac{a}{a} = 0$.

For the action of the Bom-Infeld theory coupled to the axion and dilaton elds, $L = \frac{1}{2} 1 \frac{1}{2} N_2 F^2 \frac{1}{16} N_2 (FF)^2$ we obtain the invariant $\frac{@L}{@} = \frac{1}{2} (L \frac{1}{4}FG)$; we already found this invariant in (3.22).

3.7 Generalized Born Infeld theory

In this section we present the Born-Infeld theory with n abelian gauge elds coupled to n(n + 1)=2 scalar elds N and show that is has an Sp(2n;R) duality symmetry. If we freeze the scalar elds N to the value N = ill then the lagrangian has U (n) duality symmetry and reads

$$L = Tr[1 S; \frac{p}{1+2}];$$
 (3.72)

where as defined in (3.41), the componets of the n in matrices and are = $\frac{1}{4}$ F F; = $\frac{1}{4}$ F F; The square root is to be understood in terms of its power series expansion, and the operator S; acts by symmetrizing each monomial in the and matrices. A world (monomial) in the letters and is symmetrized by averaging over all permutations of its letters. The normalization of S; is such that if and commute then S; acts as the identity. Therefore in the case of just one abelian gauge eld (3.72) reduces to the usual Born-Infeld Lagrangian.

The Sp(2n; R) Born-Infeld Lagrangian is obtained by coupling the Lagrangian (3.72) to the scalar elds N as described in Subsection 3.4.2 and explicitly considered in (3.109).

Following [18] we prove the duality symmetry of the Born-Infeld theory (3.72) by rst showing that a Born-Infeld theory with n complex abelian gauge elds written in an auxiliary eld formulation has U (n;n) duality symmetry. We then eliminate the auxiliary elds by proving a remarkable property of solutions of matrix equations [19]. Then we can consider real elds.

3.7.1 Duality rotations with complex eld strengths

>From the general study of duality rotations we know that a theory with 2n real eds F_1 and F_2 (= 1;:::n) has at most Sp(4n;R) duality if we consider duality rotations that leave invariant the energy-momentum tensor (and in particular the ham iltonian). We now consider the complex edds

$$F = F_1 + iF_2$$
; $F = F_1$ iF_2 ; (3.73)

the corresponding dual elds

$$G = \frac{1}{2}(G_1 + iG_2)$$
; $G = \frac{1}{2}(G_1 - iG_2)$; (3.74)

and restrict the Sp(4n; R) duality group to the subgroup of holom orphic transform ations,

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
F & ab & F \\
G & cd & G
\end{array} \tag{3.75}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
F \\
G &= & cd & G \\
\end{array} : (3.76)$$

This requirem ent singles out those matrices, acting on the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ & F_1 & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\$

where $A = \frac{1}{p_2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n}$. The matrix (3.77) belongs to Sp(4n;R) i the n n complex matrices a;b;c;d satisfy

 $a^{y} = a; b^{y} = b; c^{y} = c:$ (3.78)

Matrices $ab \\ cd$, that satisfy (3.78), de ne the Lie algebra of the real form U (n;n). The group U (n;n) is here the subgroup of GL (2n;C) caracterized by the relations⁶

$$M^{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} :$$
(3.79)

One can check that (3.79) in plies the following relations for the block components of $M = \frac{A}{C} \frac{B}{D}$

 $C^{Y}A = A^{Y}C$; $B^{Y}D = D^{Y}B$; $D^{Y}A = B^{Y}C = 1$: (3.80)

The Lie algebra relations (3.78) can be obtained from the Lie group relations (3.80) by writing $A_{DD}^{C} = \frac{10}{01} + \frac{ab}{cd}$ with in nitesimal. Equation (3.77) gives the embedding of U (n;n) in Sp(4n;R).

The theory of holom orphic duality rotations can be seen as a special case of that of real duality rotations, but (as complex geometry versus real geometry) it deserves also an independent form ulation based on the holom orphic variables $\begin{bmatrix} F \\ G \end{bmatrix}$ and m aps $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$.

⁶In Appendix 7.1 we de ne U (n;n) as the group of com plex matrices that satisfy the condition $U^{y} {}^{10}_{0}{}^{11}_{1} U = {}^{10}_{0}{}^{11}_{1}$. The similarity transformation between these two de nitions is $M = AUA^{-1}$.

The dual elds in (3.74), or rather the H odge dual of the dual eld strength, $\mathfrak{G} = \frac{1}{2}$ " G , is equivalently de ned via

$$G$$
 $2\frac{@L}{@F}$; G $2\frac{@L}{@F}$: (3.81)

Repeating the passages of Section 3.1 we have that the B ianchi identities and equations of motion @ F^{*} = 0; @ G^{*} = 0; $\frac{S[FF;]}{r} = 0$ transform covariantly under the holom orphic in nitesimal transform ations (3.75) if the lagrangian satis es the condition (cf. (3.19))

$$L(F + F; F + F; ' + ') L(F; F; ') \frac{1}{2}F cF \frac{1}{2}G bG = const_{a,b,r,d}$$
 (3.82)

Of course we can also consider dilatations \notin 0, then in the left hand side of (3.82) we have to add the term L(F;F;').

The maximal compact subgroup of U (n;n) is U (n) U (n) and is obtained by requiring (3.80) and

$$A = D$$
; $B = C$:

The corresponding in nitesimal relations are (3.78) and a = d; b = c:

The coset space $\frac{U(n,n)}{U(n)U(n)}$ is the space of all negative de nite herm itian matrices M of U(n;n), see for example [18] (the proof is similar to that for Sp(2n;R)=U(n) in Appendix 7.2). All these matrices are for example of the form M = $g^{y^{-1}}g^{-1}$ with $g \ge U(n;n)$. These matrices can be factorized as

$$M = \frac{1}{0} \frac{N_{1}}{1} \frac{N_{2}}{0} \frac{N_{2}}{1} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{0} \frac{0}{1}$$

$$= \frac{N_{2} + N_{1}N_{2}}{N_{2}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{N_{1}}{1} \frac{N_{1}N_{2}}{N_{2}} \frac{1}{1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1} \frac{0}{10} \frac{1}{0} + \frac{N \frac{1}{1} \frac{N}{1} \frac{N}{1} \frac{N}{1} \frac{N}{1} \frac{N}{1} \frac{N}{1} \frac{N}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{N}{1} \frac{N}{1}$$

where N $_1$ is herm itian, N $_2$ is herm itian and negative de nite, and

$$N N_1 + iN_2$$
: (3.84)

Since any complex matrix can always be decomposed into hermitian matrices as in (3.84), the only requirement on N is that N₂ is negative de nite.

The left action of U (n;n) on itself $g! = \int_{C_{D}}^{A_{D}} g$, induces the action on the coset space M $! = \int_{B_{D}}^{D_{C}} M = \int_{B_{D}}^{D_{C}} g = \int_{A}^{Y} g^{1} g^{1}$. Expression (3.83) then in mediately gives the action of U (n;n) on the parametrization N of the coset space,

$$N ! N^{0} = (C + DN) (A + BN)^{1};$$
 (3.85)

$$N_2 ! N_2^0 = (A + BN) {}^{y}N_2 (A + BN) {}^{1}$$
: (3.86)

As in Section 3.4, given a theory depending on n complex elds F and invariant under the maximal compact duality group U(n) U(n) it is possible to extend it via the complex scalar elds N, to a theory invariant under U(n;n). The new lagrangian is

$$L(F;R;N_{1}) = L(RF) + \frac{1}{2}FN_{1}F$$
 (3.87)

where $R = (R_{n-1})_{i,i=1,...n}$ is now an arbitrary nondegenerate complex matrix. Because of the U (n) maximal compact electric subgroup this new lagrangian depends only on the combination

$$N_2 = R^{y}R$$
; (3.88)

rather than on R. Thus L (F; R; N₁) = L (F; N) where N = N₁ + iN₂. A transform ation for R compatible with (3.85) is

$$R^{0} = R (A + BN)^{1};$$
 (3.89)

whose in nitesimal transformation is R = R(a + bN).

Conversely, if we are given a Lagrangian L with equations of motion invariant under U (n;n) we can obtain a theory without the scalar eld N by setting N = ill. Then the duality group is broken to the stability group of N = ill which is U (n) U (n), the maxim alcom pact subgroup.

Sim ilarly to Section 3.4.1 we de ne the Lorentz invariant com binations

^{ab}
$$\frac{1}{2}F^{a}F^{b};$$
 ^{ab} $\frac{1}{2}F^{a}F^{b}:$ (3.90)

If we consider lagrangians L (F; F) that depend only on gauge elds and only through sum of traces (or of products of traces) of monomials in and , then the necessary and su cient condition for U (n) U (n) holomorphic duality symmetry is still (3.43), where now and are as in (3.90).

3.7.2 Born-Infeld with auxiliary elds

A lagrangian that satis es condition (3.82) is

L = ReTr[i(N)
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 ^yN₂ i (+ i)]; (3.91)

The auxiliary elds and and the scalar eld N are n dimensional complex matrices. We can also add to the lagrangian a duality invariant kinetic term for the scalar eld N, (cf (3.65))

$$\operatorname{Tr}(N_{2}^{-1} \mathbb{O} N^{y} N_{2}^{-1} \mathbb{O} N):$$
 (3.92)

In order to prove the duality of (3.91) we rst note that the last term in the Lagrangian can be written as

ReTr[i (+ i)] =
$$Tr(_2 + _1)$$
:

If the eld transforms by fractional transform ation and $_1$, $_2$ and the gauge elds are real this is the U $(1)^n$ M axwell action (3.23), with the gauge elds interacting with the scalar eld . This term by itself has the correct transform ation properties under the duality group. Similarly for herm itian , , $_1$ and $_2$ this term by itself satisfies equation (3.82). It follows that the rest of the Lagrangian must be duality invariant. The duality transform ations of the scalar and auxiliary elds are⁷

$$^{0} = (C + D) (A + B)^{1};$$
 (3.93)

$$^{0} = (A + BN) (A + B^{Y})^{Y};$$
 (3.94)

and (3.85). Invariance of Tr[i(N)] is easily proven by using (3.80) and by rewriting (3.93) as

$$^{0} = (A + B^{y})^{y} (C + D^{y})^{y}$$
: (3.95)

Invariance of the remaining term which we write as $ReTr[\frac{1}{2} \quad ^{y}N_{2}] = Tr[\frac{1}{2} \quad ^{y}N_{2}]$; is straightforward by using (3.86) and the following transformation obtained from (3.95),

$${}_{2}^{0} = (A + B {}^{y}) {}^{y} {}_{2}(A + B {}^{y}) {}^{1} :$$
 (3.96)

3.7.3 E lim ination of the Auxiliary Fields

The equation of motion obtained by varying gives an equation for ,

$$+\frac{1}{2} {}^{y}N_{2} + +i = 0; \qquad (3.97)$$

⁷In [18] we use diement notations: N ! S^{y} ; ! y; ! y; A^{AB} ! B^{C}_{BA} .

using this equation in the Lagrangian (3.91) we obtain

$$L = \operatorname{ReTr}(iN) \tag{3.98}$$

$$= \text{ReTr}(N_2) + \text{Tr}(N_1); \qquad (3.99)$$

where is now a function of , and N₂ that solves (3.97). In the second line we observed that the anti-herm itian part of (3.97) in plies $_2 =$.

In this subsection we give the explicit expression of L in terms of , and N. Exist notice that (2,07) can be an all educits the following old mode attacks.

First notice that (3.97) can be simplied with the following eld rede nitions

* 7

$$b = R R^{y};$$

$$b = R R^{y};$$
(3.100)

$$b = R R^{y};$$

where, as in (3.88), $R^{y}R = N_{2}$. The equation of motion for is then equivalent to

$$b \quad \frac{1}{2}b^{y}b + b \quad i^{b} = 0 : \tag{3.101}$$

The anti-herm itian part of (3.101) in plies $b_2 = b$, thus $b^y = b$ 2i. This can be used to eliminate b^y from (3.101) and obtain a quadratic equation for b. If we de ne $Q = \frac{1}{2}b$ this equation reads

$$Q = q + (p - q)Q + Q^{2};$$
 (3.102)

where

p
$$\frac{1}{2}(+i); q \frac{1}{2}(-i):$$

The lagrangian is then

$$L = 2ReTrQ + Tr(N_1)$$
: (3.103)

If the degree of them atrices is one, we can solve for Q in the quadratic equation (3.102). A part from the fact that the gauge elds are complex, the result is the Born-Infeld Lagrangian coupled to the dilaton and axion elds N,

$$L = 1 \qquad 1 \qquad 2N_2 + N_2^{2} + N_1 \qquad (3.104)$$

For matrices of higher degree, equation (3.102) can be solved perturbatively,

$$Q_0 = 0$$
; $Q_{k+1} = q + (p q)Q_k + Q_k^2$; (3.105)

and by analyzing the rst few terms in an expansion similar to (3.105) in [17,18] it was conjectured that

$$TrQ = \frac{1}{2}Tr \, \mathbb{1} + q \quad p \quad S_{pq} \quad \overline{\mathbb{1} \quad 2(p+q) + (p-q)^2} \quad ; \qquad (3.106)$$

The right hand side formula is understood this way: rst expand the square root as a power series in p and q assuming that p and q commute. Then solve the ordering am biguities arising from the noncommutativity of p and q by symmetrizing, with the operator S_{pq} , each m onomial in the p and q matrices. A world (m onomial) in the letters p and q is symmetrized by considering the sum of all the permutations of its letters, then normalize the sum by dividing by the number of permutations. This normalization of S_{pq} is such that if p and q commute then S_{pq} acts as the identity. Therefore in the case of just one abelian gauge edd (3.72) reduces to the usual Born-Infeld Lagrangian. An explicit formula for the coe cients of the expansion of the trace of Q is [19,69]

$$TrQ = Tr q + \begin{pmatrix} X & r+s & 2 & r+s \\ rs & r & 1 & r \end{pmatrix} S(p^{r}q^{s}) : (3.107)$$

In Appendix 8, following [19], see also [70] and [71], we prove that the trace of Q is completely symmetrized in the matrix coecients q and p q. Since this is equivalent to symmetrization in q and p (3.106) follows. Since symmetrization in p and q is equivalent to symmetrization in b and $^{\rm b}$, the Born-Infeld Lagrangian also reads

$$L = Tr[1 S; 1+2b b^2 + N_1]:$$
 (3.108)

In [69] the convergence of perturbative matrix solutions of (3.97), are studied. A su cient condition for the convergence of the sequence (3.105) to a solution of (3.102) is that the norm s of p q and q have to satisfy $(1 \text{ jp qj})^2 > 4 \text{ jjqj}$. Here jj jjdenotes any matrix norm with the Banach algebra property jM M ⁰jj jM jjjM ⁰jj (e.g. the usual norm). This condition is surely met if the eld strengths F are weak.

If equation (3.102) is written as $(1 + q \quad p)Q = q + Q^2$, then the sequence given by $Q_0 = 0$; $Q_{k+1} = (1+q \quad p)^{-1}q + (1+q \quad p)^{-1}Q_k^2$ converges and is a solution of equation (3.102) if $jj(1+q \quad p)^{-1}jjj(1+q \quad p)^{-1}qjj < 1=4$. Notice that the matrix $1+q \quad p$ is always invertible, use $\frac{1}{2}(1+q \quad p) + \frac{1}{2}(1+q \quad p)^y = p \frac{1}{1}$, and the same argument as in (7.18). Notice also that if p and q commute then $\frac{1}{1} - 2(p+q) + (p \quad q)^2 = (1+q \quad p)^{-1} \frac{1}{1} - 4(1+q \quad p)^{-2}q$ and convergence of the power series expansion of this latter square root holds if $jj(1+q \quad p)^{-2}qjj < 1=4$.

3.7.4 Real eld Strengths

We here construct a Born-Infeld theory with n real eld strengths which is duality invariant under the duality group Sp(2n; R).

We rst study the case without scalar elds, i.e. $N_1 = 0$ and $N_2 = R = 1$. Consider a Lagrangian L = L(;) with n complex gauge elds which describes a theory symmetric under the maximal compact group U(N) U(N) of holomorphic duality rotations. Assume that the Lagrangian is a sum of traces (or of products of
traces) of m onom ials in and . It follows that this Lagrangian satis es the self-duality equations (3.43) with and complex (recall end of Section 3.7.1). This equation remains true in the special case that and assume real values. That is L = L(;) satis es the self-duality equation (3.43) with = T = and = T = . We now recall that equation (3.43) is also the self-duality condition for Lagrangians with real gauge elds provided that and are de ned as in (3.41) as functions of eld strengths F that are real (cf. the di erent com plex case de nition (3.90)). This is plies that the theory described by the lagrangian L(;) that is now function of n real eld strengths is self-dual with duality group U (n), the m axim al com pact subgroup of Sp(2n; R). The duality group can be extended to the full noncom pact Sp(2n; R), by introducing the symmetric matrix of scalar elds N via the prescription (3.47).

As a straightforward application we obtain the Born-Infeld Lagrangian with n real gauge elds describing an Sp(2n;R) duality invariant theory

$$L = Tr [1 S_{\gamma} + 2b + N_{1}]; \qquad (3.109)$$

where $b = R R^{t}$, $b = R R^{t}$, $N_{2} = R^{t}R$, and $= \frac{1}{4}F F$, $= \frac{1}{4}F F$ as in (3.41).

3.7.5 Supersymmetric Theory

In this section we brie y discuss supersymmetric versions of some of the Lagrangians introduced. First we discuss the supersymmetric form of the Lagrangian (3.91). Consider the super elds $V = \frac{1}{\frac{P_1}{2}}(V_1 + iV_2)$ and $V = \frac{1}{\frac{P_2}{2}}(V_1 - iV_2)$ where V_1 and V_2 are real vector super elds, and de ne

$$W = \frac{1}{4}D^2D V$$
; $W = \frac{1}{4}D^2D V$:

Both W and W are chiral super elds and can be used to construct a matrix of chiral super elds

The supersymmetric version of the Lagrangian (3.91) is then given by

$$L = Re d^2 Tr(i(N)) \frac{i}{2} D^2(y_N_2) + iM);$$

where N , and denote chiral super elds with the same symmetry properties as their corresponding bosonic elds. W hile the bosonic elds N and appearing in (3.91) are the lowest component of the super elds denoted by the same letter, the eld in the action (3.91) is the highest component of the super eld . A supersymmetric kinetic

term for the scalar eld N can be written using the Kahler potential (3.67) as described in [72].

Just as in the bosonic Born-Infeld theory, one would like to eliminate the auxiliary eds. This is an open problem if $n \in 1$. For n = 1 just as in the bosonic case the theory with auxiliary elds also admits both a real and a complex version, i.e. one can also consider a Lagrangian with a single real super eld. Then by integrating out the auxiliary super elds the supersymmetric version of the Born-Infeld Lagrangian (3.104) is obtained

$$L = d^{4} \frac{N_{2}^{2} W^{2} W^{2}}{1 + A + 1 + 2A + B^{2}} + Re d^{2} (\frac{i}{2} N W^{2}) ; \qquad (3.110)$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{4} (D^{2} (N_{2}W^{2}) + D^{2} (N_{2}W^{2})); B = \frac{1}{4} (D^{2} (N_{2}W^{2}) D^{2} (N_{2}W^{2})):$$

If we only want a U(1) duality invariance we can set N = i and then the lagrangian (3.110) reduces to the supersymmetric Born-Infeld Lagrangian described in [46 { 48].

In the case of weak elds the rst term of (3.110) can be neglected and the Lagrangian is quadratic in the eld strengths. Under these conditions the combined requirements of supersymmetry and self duality can be used [73] to constrain the form of the weak coupling limit of the eld ective Lagrangian from string theory. Self-duality of Born-infeld theories with N = 2 supersymmetries is discussed in [24].

4 Dualities in N > 2 extended Supergravities

In this section we consider N > 2 supergravity theories in D = 4; in these theories the graviton is also coupled to gauge elds and scalars. We study the corresponding duality groups, that are subgroups of the sym plectic group. It is via the geometry of these subgroups of the sym plectic group that we can obtain the scalars kinetic term s, the supersym metry transform ation rules and the structure of the central and matter charges of the theory with their dimential equations and their duality invariant combinations $\mathcal{H}_{\rm BH}$ and \mathscr{S} (that for extrem all black holes are the elective potential and the entropy).

Four dimensional N -extended supergravities contain in the bosonic sector, besides the metric, a number n of vectors and m of (real) scalar elds. The relevant bosonic action is known to have the following general form:

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{2} p_{j} \frac{1}{2} q_{j}^{4} x + \frac{1}{2} R + Im N F F + \frac{1}{2p_{j}} ReN F F + \frac{1}{2} q_{ij}() e^{-i} e^{-j}; \qquad (4.1)$$

where $g_{ij}()(i;j; = 1; ;m)$ is the scalar metric on the -model described by the scalar manifold M _{scalar} of real dimension m and the vectors kinetic matrix N () is a complex, symmetric, n n matrix depending on the scalar elds. The number of vectors and scalars, namely n and m, and the geometric properties of the scalar manifold M _{scalar} depend on the number N of supersymmetries and are summarized in Table 1.

The duality group of these theories is in general not the maximal one Sp(2n;R) because the requirement of supersymmetry constraints the number and the geometry of the scalar elds in the theory. In this section we study the case where the scalar elds manifold is a coset space G = H, and we see that the duality group in this case is G.

In Section 5 we then study the general N = 2 case where the target space is a specialK ahler manifold M and thus in general we do not have a coset space. There the Sp(2n;R) transform ations are needed in order to globally de ne the supergravity theory. We do not have a duality sym metry of the theory; Sp(2n;R) is rather a gauge sym metry of the theory, in the sense that only Sp(2n;R) invariant expressions are physical ones.

The case of duality rotations in N = 1 supergravity is considered in [9], [74], see also [25]. In this case there is no vector potential in the graviton multiplet hence no scalar central charge in the supersymmetry algebra. Duality symmetry is due to the number of matter vector multiplets in the theory, the coupling to eventual chiral multiplets must be via a kinetic matrix N holom orphic in the chiral elds. We see that the structure of duality rotations is similar to that of N = 1 rigid supersymmetry. For duality rotations in N = 1 and N = 2 rigid supersymmetry using super elds see the review [24].

4.1 Extended supergravities with target space G=H

In N 2 supergravity theories where the scalars target space is a coset G = H, the scalar sector has a Lagrangian invariant under the global G rotations. Since the scalars appear in supersymmetry multiplets the symmetry G should be a symmetry of the whole theory. This is indeed the case and the symmetry on the vector potentials is duality symmetry.

Let's exam ine the gauge sector of the theory. We recall from Section 3.1 that we have an Sp(2n;R) duality group if the vector ($_{G}^{F}$) transforms in the fundamental of Sp(2n;R), and the gauge kinetic term N transforms via fractional transform ations, if $_{C D}^{A B}$ 2 Sp(2n;R),

$$N ! N^{0} = (C + DN) (A + BN)^{1} :$$
 (4.2)

Thus in order to have G duality symmetry, G needs to act on the vector $\binom{F}{G}$) via symplectic transformations, i.e. via matrices $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ in the fundamental of Sp(2n;R). This requires a homomorphism

$$S : G ! Sp(2n; R) :$$
 (4.3)

D i erent in nitesimal G transform ations should correspond to di erent in nitesimal sym plectic rotations so that the induced m ap Lie(G)! Lie(Sp(2n; R)) is injective, and equivalently the homomorphism S is a local embedding (in general S it is not globally injective, the kernel of S m ay contain som e discrete subgroups of G).

Since U (n) is the maximal compact subgroup of Sp(2n;R) and since H is compact, we have that the image of H under this local embedding is in U (n). It follows that we have a G-equivariant map

$$N : G = H ! Sp(2n; R) = U(n);$$
 (4.4)

explicitly, for all g 2 G,

$$N (g) = (C + DN ()) (A + BN ())^{\perp};$$
(4.5)

where with g we denote the action of G on G = H, while the action of G on Sp(2n; R)=U (n) is given by fractional transform ations. Notice that we have identi ed Sp(2n; R)=U (n) with the space of complex symmetric matrices N that have imaginary part Im N = $\frac{1}{2}$

i(N N) negative de nite (see Appendix 7.2).

The D = 4 supergravity theories with N > 2 have all target space G=H, they are characterized by the number n of total vectors, the number N of supersymmetries, and the coset space G=H, see Table 1^8 .

In general the isotropy group H is the product

$$H = H_{Aut} \quad H_{matter} \tag{4.6}$$

where H_{Aut} is the authom orphism group of the supersymmetry algebra, while H_{matter} depends on the matter vector multiplets, that are not present in N > 4 supergravities.

In Section 3.5 we have described the geometry of the coset space G=H in terms of coset representatives, local sections L of the bundle G ! G=H . Under a left action of G they transform as gL() = L(0)h, where the g action on 2 G=H gives the point 0 2 G=H .

We now recall that duality symmetry is implemented by the symplectic embeddings (4.3) and (4.4) and conclude that the embeddings of the coset representatives L in Sp(2n;R) will play a central role. Recalling (3.62) these embeddings are determined by dening

$$L ! f(L) and L ! h(L) :$$
 (4.7)

⁸ In Table 1 the group S (U (p) U (q)) is the group of block diagonalmatrices ${}^{P}_{0Q}^{0}$ with P 2 U (p), Q 2 U (q) and detP detQ = 1. There is a local isom orphism between S (U (p) U (q)) and the direct product group U (1) SU (p) SU (q), in particular the corresponding Lie algebras coincide. G lobally these groups are not the same, for example S (U (5) U (1)) = U (5) = U (1) PSU (5) \in U (1) SU (5).

Ν	D uality group G	isotropy H	M _{scalar}	n	m
3	SU (3;n ⁰)	S(U(3) U(n ⁰))	<u>SU(3</u> ,n ⁰) S(U(3)U(n ⁰))	3 + n ⁰	6n ⁰
4	SU(1;1) SO(6;n ⁰)	U(1) S(O(6) O(n ⁰))	$\frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)} \qquad \frac{SO(6n^{0})}{S(O(6) O(n^{0}))}$	6 + n ⁰	6n ⁰ + 2
5	SU(5;1)	S(U(5) U(1))	SU(5;1) S(U(5) U(1))	10	10
6	SO [?] (12)	U (6)	$\frac{\text{SO}^{2}(12)}{\text{U}(6)}$	16	30
7 ; 8	E ₇₍₇₎	SU (8)=Z ₂	$\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)=Z_2}$	28	70

Table 1: Scalar M anifolds of N > 2 Extended Supergravities

In the table, n stands for the number of vectors and m = dim M _{scalar} for the number of real scalar eds. In all the cases the duality group G is (locally) embedded in Sp(2n;R). The number n of vector potentials of the theory is given by n = $n_g + n^0$ where n^0 is the number of vectors potentials in the matter multiplet while n_g is the number of graviphotons (i.e. of vector potentials that belong to the graviton multiplet). We recall that $n_g = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$ if N $\stackrel{6}{=} 6$; and $n_g = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} + 1 = 16$ if N = 6; we also have $n^0 = 0$ if N > 4. The scalar manifold of the N = 4 case is usually written as $SO_o(6;n^0)=SO(6)$ SO (n^0) where $SO_o(6;n^0)$ is the component of SO $(6;n^0)$ connected to the indentity. The duality group of the N = 6 theory is more precisely the double cover of SO (12). Spinors eds transform according to H or its double cover.

In the follow ing we see that the m atrices f (L) and h(L) determ ine the scalar kinetic term N, the supersymmetry transformation rules and the structure of the central and m atter charges of the theory. We also derive the dimensional equations that these charges satisfy and consider their positive de nite and duality invariant quadratic expression $\mathscr{V}_{\!B\,H}$. These relations are similar to the SpecialG eom etry ones of N = 2 supergravity.

>From the equation of motion

$$dF = 4 j_n$$
 (4.8)

$$dG = 4 j_e \tag{4.9}$$

we associate with a eld strength 2-form F a magnetic charge p and an electric charge q given respectively by:

$$p = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S^2}^{Z} F$$
; $q = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S^2}^{Z} G$ (4.10)

where S^2 is a spatial two-sphere containing these electric and magnetic charges. These are not the only charges of the theory, in particular we are interested in the central

charges of the supersymmetry algebra and other charges related to the vector multiplets. These latter charges result to be the electric and magnentic charges p and q dressed with the scalar elds of the theory. In particular these dressed charges are invariant under the duality group G and transform under the isotropy subgroup $H = H_{Aut}$ H_{matter} .

W hile the index is used for the fundam ental representation of Sp(2n;R) the index M is used for that of U (n). A coording to the local embedding

$$H = H_{Aut} \quad H_{matter} ! U(n)$$
(4.11)

the index M is further divided as M = (AB;I) where I refers to $H_{m atter}$ and AB = BA(A = 1;:::;N) labels the two-times antisymmetric representation of the R-symmetry group H_{Aut} . We can understand the appearence of this representation of H_{Aut} because this is a typical representation acting on the central charges. The index I rather than I is used because the image of $H_{m atter}$ in U (n) will be the complex conjugate of the fundamental of $H_{m atter}$, this agrees with the property that under K ahler transform ations of the U (1) bundle Sp(2n; R)=SU (n) ! Sp(2n; R)=U (n) the coset representatives of the scalar eds in the gravitational and matter multiplets transform with opposite K ahler weights. This is also what happens in the generic N = 2 case (cf. (5.61)).

The dressed graviphotons eld strength 2-form s T_{AB} m ay be identied from the supersymmetry transformation law of the gravitino eld in the interacting theory, namely:

$$_{A} = r "_{A} + T_{AB} \qquad ^{a} "^{B} V_{a} + :::$$
 (4.12)

Here r is the covariant derivative in terms of the space-time spin connection and the composite connection of the automorphism group H_{Aut} , is a coecient xed by supersymmetry, V^a is the space-time vielbein. Here and in the following the dots denote trilinear ferm ion terms which are characteristic of any supersymmetric theory but do not play any role in the following discussion. The 2-form eld strength T_{AB} is constructed by dressing the bare eld strengths F with the image f (L ()), h(L ()) in Sp(2n;R) of the coset representative L () of G = H . Note that the same eld strengths T_{AB} which appear in the following transformation law are also present in the dilatino transformation law in the following way:

$$_{ABC} = P_{ABCD} \cdot (0 + T_{AB} + T_{C})$$
 (4.13)

A nalogously, when vector multiplets are present, the matter vector eld strengths T_{I} appearing in the transformation laws of the gaugino elds, are linear combinations of the eld strengths dressed with a di erent combination of the scalars:

$$_{IA} = iP_{IABr} @ r "^{B} + T_{I} "^{A} + ::: (4.14)$$

Here $P_{ABCD} = P_{ABCD}$, d' and $P_{AB}^{I} = P_{ABr}^{I}$ d' are the vielbein of the scalar manifolds spanned by the scalar elds i = ('; r) of the gravitational and vector multiplets respectively (more precise de nitions are given below), and and are constants xed by supersymmetry.

A coording to the transform ation of the coset representative gL () = L ($^{0})h$, under the action of g 2 G on G =H we have

S ()A ! S (
0
)A = S (g)S ()S (h 1)A = S (g)S ()AU 1 (4.15)

where $A = \frac{1}{p_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ iI & iI \end{bmatrix}$ is unitary and symplectic (cf. (7.5)), S(g) = A_{CD}^{AB} and S(h) are the embeddings of g and h in the fundam ental of Sp(2n;R), while U = A ¹S(h)A is the embedding of h in the complex basis of Sp(2n;R). Explicitly U = A_{0u}^{0} , where u is in the fundam ental of U(n) (cf. (7.13) and (7.8)). Therefore the symplectic matrix

$$V = SA = \begin{cases} f f \\ h h \end{cases}$$
(4.16)

transforms according to

$$V()! V(^{0}) = S(g)V() \frac{u^{1} 0}{0 u^{1}} :$$
 (4.17)

The dressed eld strengths transform only under a unitary representation of H and, in accordance with (4.17), are given by [11]

$$T_{T} = iV()^{1} G_{G}$$
; (4.18)

Explicitly, since

$$iV^{-1} = \begin{array}{c} h^{t} & f^{t} \\ h^{y} & f^{y} \end{array}$$
(4.20)

we have

$$T_{AB} = h_{AB} F \qquad f_{AB} G$$
$$T_{I} = h_{I} F \qquad f_{I} G \qquad (4.21)$$

where we used the notation $T = (T^{M}) = (T_{M}) = (T_{AB};T_{I})$,

$$f = (f_{M}) = (f_{AB}; f_{I});$$

$$h = (h_{M}) = (h_{AB}; h_{I});$$
(4.22)

that enphasizes that (for every value of) the sections $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ h \\ I \end{pmatrix}$ have K ahler weight opposite to the $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ h \\ AB \end{pmatrix}$ ones. This may be seen from the supersymmetry transformation rules of the supergravity elds, in virtue of the fact that gravitinos and fotinos with the same chirality have opposite K ahler weight. Notice that this notation (as in [41]) di ers from the one in [11], where (f M) = (f AB; f I); (h M) = (h AB; h I):

Consequently the central charges are

$$Z_{AB} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{Z^{S_{1}^{2}}}^{Z} T_{AB} = f_{AB}q \quad h_{AB}p \qquad (4.23)$$

$$Z_{I} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{S_{1}^{2}}^{-} T_{I} = f_{I} q \qquad h_{I} p \qquad (4.24)$$

where the integral is considered at spatial in nity and, for spherically symmetric congurations, f and h in (4.23), (4.24) are f($_1$) and h($_1$) with $_1$ the constant value assumed by the scalar elds at spatial in nity.

The integral of the graviphotons T_{AB} gives the value of the central charges Z_{AB} of the supersymmetry algebra, while by integrating the matter eld strengths T_{I} one obtains the so called matter charges Z_{I} . The charges of these dressed eld strength that appear in the supersymmetry transformations of the fermions have a profound meaning and play a key role in the physics of extrem all black holes. In particular, recalling (4.17) the quadratic combination (black hole potential)

$$\mathscr{V}_{BH} \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} Z^{AB} Z_{AB} + Z^{I} Z_{I}$$
(4.25)

(the factor 1=2 is due to our sum m ation convention that treats the AB indices as independent) is invariant under the sym m etry group G . In terms of the charge vector

$$Q = \begin{array}{c} p \\ q \end{array} ; \qquad (4.26)$$

we have the form ula for the potential (also called charges sum rule)

$$\mathscr{Y}_{BH} = \frac{1}{2} Z^{AB} Z_{AB} + Z^{I} Z_{I} = -\frac{1}{2} Q^{t} M \quad (N) Q \qquad (4.27)$$

where

$$M (N) = (iV^{-1})^{y} iV^{-1} = (S^{-1})^{t} S^{-1}$$
(4.28)

is a negative de nite matrix, here depending on $_1$. In Appendix 7.2 we show that the set of matrices of the kind SS^t with S 2 Sp(2n; R) are the coset space Sp(2n; R)=U(n),

hence them a trices M (N) parametrize Sp(2n;R)=U(n). A loo them a trices N parametrize Sp(2n;R)=U(n). The relation between M (N) and N is

This and further properties of the M (N) matrix are derived in Appendix 7.2.

For each of the supergravities with target space G =H there is another G invariant expression \mathscr{S} quadratic in the charges [63]; the invariant \mathscr{S} is independent from the scalar elds of the theory and thus depends only on the electric and m agnetic charges p and q. In extremal black hole con gurations \mathscr{S} is the entropy of the black hole. In the N = 3 supergravity theory \mathscr{S} is the absolute value of a quadratic combination of the charges, while for N 4 it is the square root of the absolute value of a quartic combination is related to the di erent BPS properties of the black hole. It turns out that \mathscr{S} coincides with the potential \mathscr{H}_{BH} computed at its critical point (attractor point) [43,45,63]. In the next section we give the explicit expressions of the invariants \mathscr{S} . They are obtained by considering among the H invariant combination of the charges those that are also G invariant, i.e. those that do not depend on the scalar elds. This is equivalent to require invariance of \mathscr{S} under the coset space covariant derivative r de ned in Section 3.5, see also (4.34).

W e now derive som e di erential relations am ong the central and m atter charges. W e recall the sym m etric coset space geom etry G =H studied in Section 3.5, and in particular relations (3.58), (3.59) that express the M aurer-C artan equation d + $^{-}$ = 0 in term s of the vielbein P and of the R iem annian connection !. U sing the (local) em bedding of G in Sp(2n;R) we consider the pull back on G =H of the Sp(2n;R) Lie algebra left invariant one form V ¹dV given in (3.64), we have

$$V^{1}dV = \frac{i(f^{y}dh \quad h^{y}df) \quad i(f^{y}dh \quad h^{y}df)}{i(f^{t}dh \quad h^{t}df) \quad i(f^{t}dh \quad h^{t}df)} = \frac{!P}{P!} ; \qquad (4.30)$$

where with slight abuse of notation we use the same letters V, P and ! for the pulled back forms (we also recall that P denotes P in the complex basis). Relation (4.30) equivalently reads

$$dV = V \frac{! P}{P !}$$
; (4.31)

that is equivalent to the n n m atrix equations:

$$rf = fP ; \qquad (4.32)$$

$$rh = hP$$
; (4.33)

where

$$rf = df \quad f! \quad ; \quad rh = dh \quad h! \quad : \tag{4.34}$$

Recalling that P is symmetric (cf. (7.30)) we equivalently have r f = P f; r h = P h: In these equations we can now see ! and P as our data (vielbein and Riemannian connection) on a manifold M, while f and h are the unknowns. By construction these equations are automatically satis ed if M = G = H and G is a Lie subgroup of Sp(2n; R). M ore in general equations (4.32),(4.33) hold (with f and h invertible) i the integrability condition, i.e. the Cartan-M aurer equation, $d_{P!}^{!P} + \frac{!P}{P!} \wedge \frac{!P}{P!} = 0$ holds. W ith abuse of term inology we sometimes call (4.32), (4.33) the M aurer-Cartan equations.

The di erential relations am ong the charges Z_{AB} and Z_{I} follow after rewriting (4.32), (4.33) with AB and I indices. The embedded connection ! and vielbein P are decom – posed as follows:

$$! = (!_{M}^{N}) = \begin{array}{c} !_{CD}^{AB} & 0 \\ 0 & !_{J}^{I} \\ ! \end{array}; \qquad (4.35)$$

$$P = (P_{M}^{N}) = (P_{NM}) = \begin{pmatrix} P_{CD}^{AB} & P_{J}^{AB} \\ P_{CD}^{I} & P_{J}^{I} \\ P_{CD}^{I} & P_{J}^{I} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{ABCD} & P_{ABJ} \\ P_{ICD} & P_{IJ} \end{pmatrix}; (4.36)$$

the subblocks being related to the vielbein of G = H, written in terms of the indices of H_{Aut} H_{matter} . We used the following indices conventions:

$$f = f_{M}$$
; $f^{1} = f^{M} = (f_{M} \text{ etc:} (4.37))$

where in the last passage, since we are in U (n), we have low ered the index M with the U (n) herm itian form $= M_{M,N} = 1$ = diag(1;1;::::1). Similar conventions hold for the AB and I indices, for example $f_{I} = f_{I} = f^{I}$.

Using further the index decom position M = (AB;I), relations (4.32), (4.33) read (the factor 1=2 is due to our sum m ation convention that treats the AB indices as independent):

$$r f_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} f^{CD} P_{CDAB} + f_{I} P^{I}_{AB}$$
; (4.38)

$$r h_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} h^{CD} P_{CDAB} + h_{I} P^{I}_{AB}$$
; (4.39)

$$r f_{I} = \frac{1}{2} f^{CD} P_{CDI} + f^{J} P_{JI}; \qquad (4.40)$$

$$r h_{I} = \frac{1}{2} h^{CD} P_{CDI} + h^{J} P_{JI}$$
 (4.41)

A swewill see, depending on the coset manifold, some of the sub-blocks of (4.36) can be actually zero. For N > 4 (no matter indices) we have that P coincides with the vielbein

 P_{ABCD} of the relevant $G=\!H$. Using the denition of the charges (21) we then get the dimensions among charges: $r \ Z_M = Z_N \ P_M^N$, where $r \ Z_M = \frac{@ Z_M}{@ \frac{1}{1}} \ d \ \frac{i}{1} \quad Z_N \ !_M^N$, with $\frac{i}{1}$ the value of the i-th coordinate of $_1 \ 2 \ G=\!H$ and $_1 = (r=1)$. Explicitly, using the AB and I indices,

$$r Z_{AB} = Z_{I} P_{AB}^{I} + \frac{1}{2} Z^{CD} P_{CDAB}$$
; (4.42)

$$r Z_{I} = \frac{1}{2} Z^{AB} P_{ABI} + Z^{J} P_{JI}$$
 : (4.43)

The geom etry underlying the di erential equation (4.31) is that of a at sym plectic vector bundle of rank 2n, a structure that appears also in the special K ahler m anifolds of scalars of N = 2 supergravities. Indeed if we are able to nd 2n linearly independent row vectors V = (V) = 1;:::2n then the m atrix V in (4.31) is invertible and therefore the connection $\frac{1}{P} \frac{P}{I}$ is at. If these vectors are m utually sym plectic then we have a sym – plectic fram e, the transition functions are constant sym plectic m atrices, the connection is sym plectic.

In the present case we naturally have a at sym plectic bundle,

$$G_{H} R^{2n} ! G = H;$$

this bundle is the space of all equivalence classes $[g;v] = f(gh;S(h)^{-1}v); g 2 G;v 2 R^{2n};h 2 H g.$ The sym plectic structure on R^{2n} in mediately extends to a well de ned sym plectic structure on the bers of the bundle. U sing the local sections of G = H and the usual basis fe $g = fe_M$; e^M g of R^{2n} (e_I is the column vector with with 1 as rst and only nonvanishing entry, etc.) we obtain immediately the local sections s = [L();e] of $G_{-H} R^{2n}$! G = H. Since the action of H on R^{2n} extends to the action of G on R^{2n} , we can consider the new sections $e = s S^{-1}(L()) = [L();S^{-1}(L())e]$; that are determined by the column vectors $S^{-1}(L()) = (S^{-1}(L()))_{-1;:::2n}$. These sections are globally de ned and linearly independent. Therefore this bundle is not only at, it is trivial. If we use the complex local fram eV = fs A g rather than the fs g one (we recall that $A = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{11} \frac{11}{11}$, cf. (7.5)), then the global sections e are determined by the column of $e(V^{-1}(L()))_{-1;:::2n}$.

$$e = V V^{-1}$$
 : (4.44)

The sections V too form a sym plectic frame (a sym plectonorm albasis, indeed V V =, where $= {}_{II 0}^{0} {}_{II}$), and the last n sections are the com plex conjugate of the rst n ones, fV g = fV_M; V_M g. Of course the column vectors V = (V) = 1;:::2n, are the coe cients of the sections V with respect to the at basis feg.

Also the rows of the V matrix de ne global at sections. Let's consider the dual bundle of the vector bundle G $_{\rm H}$ R²ⁿ ! G=H, i.e. the bundle with ber the dual vector space. If fs g is a frame of local sections of G $_{\rm H}$ R²ⁿ ! G=H, then fs g, with hs ;s i = , is the dual frame of local sections of the dual bundle. Concerning the transition functions, if s⁰ = s S then s⁰ = S 1 s. This dual bundle is also a trivial bundle and a trivialization is given by the global sym plectic sections e = V V, whose coe cients are the row vectors V = (V) = 1;::2n i.e., the rows of the sym plectic matrix V de ned in (4.16),

$$V = 1;:::2n = f_{M}; f_{M M = 1;:::n};$$

$$V = 1;:::2n = h_{M}; h_{M M = 1;:::n}: (4.45)$$

4.2 Specic cases

We now describe in more detail the supergravities of Table 1. The aim is to write down the group theoretical structure of each theory, their sym plectic (local) em bedding S:G! Sp(2n;R) and N:G=H! Sp(2n;R)=U(n), the vector kinetic matrix N, the supersymmetric transformation laws, the structure of the central and matter charges, their di evential relations originating from the M aurer-C artan equations (3.58), (3.59),and the invariants \mathscr{V}_{BH} and \mathscr{S} . As far as the boson transform ation rules are concerned we prefer to write down the supercovariant de nition of the eld strengths (denoted by a superscript hat), from which the supersymmetry transformation laws are retrieved. As it has been mentioned in previous section it is here that the symplectic sections $(f_{AB}; f_{I}; f_{AB}; f_{I})$ appear as coe cients of the bilinear ferm ions in the supercovariant eld strengths while the analogous sym plectic section (h $_{AB}$; h $_{I}$; h $_{AB}$; h $_{I}$) would appear in the dual magnetic theory. We include in the supercovariant eld strengths also the supercovariant vielbein of the G = H m anifolds. A gain this is equivalent to giving the susy transform ation laws of the scalar elds. The dressed eld strengths from which the central and matter charges are constructed appear instead in the susy transform ation laws of the ferm ions for which we give the expression up to trilinear ferm ion terms. We stress that the num erical coe cients in the aforem entioned susy transform ations and supercovariant eld strengths are xed by supersymmetry (or, equivalently, by Bianchi identities in superspace), but we have not worked out the relevant com putations being interested in the general structure rather that in the precise num erical expressions. These num erical factors could also be retrieved by comparing our form ulae with those written in the standard literature on supergravity and perform ing the necessary rede nitions. The same kind of considerations apply to the central and matter charges whose precise normalization has not been xed.

Throughout this section we denote by A ;B ;::: indices of SU (N), SU (N) U (1), being H_{aut} the automorphism group of the N {extended supersymmetry algebra. Lower and upper SU (N) indices on the ferm ion elds are related to their left or right chirality respectively. If some ferm ion is a SU (N) singlet, chirality is denoted by the usual (L) or (R) su xes.

Furtherm one for any boson eld v carrying SU (N) indices we have that lower and upper indices are related by complex conjugation, namely: $(v_{AB}) = v^{AB}$.

4.2.1 The N = 4 theory

The eld content is given by the

G ravitationalmultiplet (vierbein for the graviton, gravitino, graviphoton, dilatino, dilaton):

$$(V^{a}; A; A^{AB}; A^{BC}; N)$$
 (A; B = 1; ;4) (4.46)

frequently the upper half plane parametrization S = N is used for the axion-dilaton eld.

Vector multiplets:

$$(A ; {}^{A}; 6)^{I}$$
 (I = 1; ;n) (4.47)

The coset space is the product

$$G = H = \frac{SU(1;1)}{U(1)} = \frac{SO(6;n)}{S(O(6) - O(n))}$$
(4.48)

W e have to embed

$$Sp(2;R) = SO(6;n)! Sp(2(6+n);R):$$
 (4.49)

We rst consider the embedding of SO (6;n),

S:SO(6;n)! Sp(2(6 + n);R)
L 7 S(L) =
$$\begin{bmatrix} L^{t \ 1} & 0 \\ 0 & L \end{bmatrix}$$
 (4.50)

we see that under this embedding SO (6;n) is a symmetry of the action (not only of the equation of motions) that rotates electric elds into electric elds and magentic elds into magnetic elds. The natural embedding of SU (1;1) ' SL (2;R) ' Sp(2;R) into Sp(2(6 + n);R) is the S-duality that rotates each electric eld in its corresponding magnetic eld, we also want the image of Sp(2;R) in Sp(2(6 + n);R) to commute with that of SO (6;n) (since we are looking for a symplectic embedding of all Sp(2;R) SO (6;n)) and therefore we have

$$S : Sp(2;R) ! Sp(2(6+n);R)$$

$$A B C D Y S C D = A I B C D I$$
(4.51)

where = diag $(1;1;\ldots;1;1;\ldots)$ is the SO (6;n) m etric.

Concerning the coset representatives, on one hand we denote by L (t) the representative in SO (6;n) of the point t 2 SO (6;n)=S (O (6) O (n)). On the other hand we have that SU (1;1)=U (1) ' Sp(2;R)=U (1) is the lower half plane (see appendix) and is spanned by the complex number N with Im N < 0, (frequently the upper half plane param etrization S = N is used). A coset representative of SU (1;1)=U (1) is

$$U(_{N}) = \frac{1}{n(_{N})} \frac{1}{\frac{i+N}{i+N}} \frac{\frac{i-N}{i+N}}{1} ; n(_{N}) = \frac{4 \text{Im}_{N}}{1+\frac{i}{2} \frac{2}{2} 2 \text{Im}_{N}}$$
(4.52)

(In order to show that the SU (1;1) matrix U ($_{N}$) projects to $_{N}$ use (7.13) and (7.19), that reads $_{N}$ = hf 1 with h and f complex numbers). The coset representative U ($_{N}$) is de ned for any $_{N}$ in the lower complex plane and therefore U ($_{N}$) is a global section of the bundle SU (1;1)! SU (1;1)=U (1). (The projection SU (1;1)! SU (1;1)=U (1) can be also obtained by extracting $_{N}$ from M ($_{N}$) = ($_{1 \ 0}^{0 \ 1}$)AUU $_{N}$ 1 ($_{1 \ 0}^{0 \ 1}$), cf. (7.26)).

W ith the given coset param etrizations the sym plectic embedded section $\frac{f}{h}$ is

$$f = (f_{AB}; f_{I}) = \frac{1}{n_{(N)}} \frac{2}{1 + i_{N}} L^{t_{I}} L^{t_{AB}}; \frac{2}{1 - i_{N}} L^{t_{I}} L^{t_{I}}$$

$$h = (h_{AB}; h_{I}) = \frac{1}{n_{(N)}} \frac{2_{N}}{i_{N} + 1} L_{AB}; \frac{2_{N}}{i_{N} - 1} L_{I}$$
(4.53)

We now have all ingredients to compute the matrix N in terms of $_{N}$ and L. The coset representative in Sp(2(6 + m);R) of ($_{N}$;L) is S(AU($_{N}$)A $^{-1}$)S(L), and recalling that N = hf $^{-1}$ and (3.62), we obtain after elementary algebra the kinetic matrix

$$N = ReN + iIm N = Re_{N} + iIm_{N} LL^{t} :$$
(4.54)

The supercovariant eld strengths and the vielbein of the coset manifold are:

$$\vec{F} = dA + f_{AB} (c_1 A B + c_2 c_a ABC V^a)$$

$$+ f_1 (c_3 A A V^a + c_4 ABC ABC V^a V^b) + hc: (4.55)$$

$$\vec{P} = P A BCD ABCD (4.56)$$

$$\hat{P}_{AB}^{I} = P_{AB}^{I} \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} I \\ A \end{array} \right)^{C} \quad \text{ID} \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} 4.57 \end{array} \right)$$

(4.58)

where $P = P_N d_N$ and $P_{AB}^{I} = P_{ABi}^{I} d^{i}$ are the vielbein of $\frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)}$ and $\frac{SO(6n^0)}{S(0(6) O(n^0))}$ respectively. The ferm ion transformation laws are:

$$_{A} = D_{A} + a_{1}T_{AB}$$
 a $^{B}V_{a} + (4.59)$

$$ABC = a_2 P_N Q_N \qquad \qquad D_{ABCD} + a_3 T_{[AB} \qquad C_] + \qquad (4.60)$$

$${}^{1}_{A} = a_{4} P^{1}_{AB i} @_{a} {}^{1} a^{B} + a_{5} T^{I} {}_{A} +$$
(4.61)

	Va	Аj	A	ABC	IA	U(_N)L _{AB}	U ($_{\rm N}$)L $_{\rm I}$	R _H
SU(1;1)	1	1	-	1	1	2 1	2 1	—
SO (6;n ⁰)	1	1	6+ n ⁰	1	1	1 $(6 + n^0)$	1 $(6 + n^0)$	-
SO (6)	1	4	1	4	4	1 6	1	6
SO (n ⁰)	1	1	1	1	n ⁰	1	n ⁰	n ⁰
U(1)	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	0

Table 2: G roup assignments of the elds in D = 4, N = 4

In this and in the following tables, R_H is the representation under which the scalar elds of the linearized theory, or the vielbein P of G =H of the full theory transform (recall text after (3.55) and that P is P in the complex basis). Only the left{handed ferm ions are quoted, right handed ferm ions transform in the complex conjugate representation of H. C are must be taken in the transform ation properties under the H subgroups; indeed according to (4.17) the inverse right rep. of the one listed should really appear, i.e. since we are dealing with unitary rep., the complex conjugate

where the 2{form s T_{AB} and T_{I} are de ned in eq.(4.21). By integration of these twoform s we nd the central and matter dyonic charges given in equations (4.23), (4.24). >From the equations (4.32),(4.33) for f;h and the de nitions of the charges one easily nds:

$$r^{SU(4)} U^{(1)}Z_{AB} = Z^{I}P_{IAB} + \frac{1}{2}_{ABCD}Z^{CD}P$$
 (4.62)

$$r^{SO(n^{0})}Z_{I} = \frac{1}{2}Z^{AB}P_{IAB} + Z_{I}P$$
(4.63)

where $\frac{1}{2}$ "_{ABCD} Z^{CD} = Z_{AB}. In terms of the kinetic matrix (4.53) the invariant \mathscr{V}_{BH} for the charges is given by, cf. (4.27),

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH} = \frac{1}{2} Z_{AB} Z^{AB} + Z_{I} Z^{I} = \frac{1}{2} Q^{t} M (N) Q :$$
 (4.64)

The unique SU (1;1) SO (6; n^0) invariant combination of the charges that is independent from the scalar elds is $I_1^2 = I_2I_2$, so that

$$\mathscr{S} = \overset{q}{\exists I_1^2} \quad I_2 I_2 j: \qquad (4.65)$$

Here, I_1 , I_2 and I_2 are the three SO (6; n^0) invariants given by

$$I_{1} = \frac{1}{2} Z_{AB} Z^{AB} \qquad Z_{I} Z^{I} ; \qquad I_{2} = \frac{1}{4} {}^{ABCD} Z_{AB} Z_{CD} \qquad Z_{I} Z^{I} : \qquad (4.66)$$

4.2.2 The N = 3 theory

In the N = 3 case [64] the coset space is:

$$G = H = \frac{SU(3;n^{0})}{S(U(3) - U(n^{0}))}$$
(4.67)

and the eld content is given by:

$$(V^{a}; A; A^{AB}; L)$$
 $A = 1;2;3$ (gravitationalmultiplet) (4.68)

$$(A ; A; (R); 3z)^{I} \qquad I = 1; \dots; n^{0} \qquad (vector multiplets) \qquad (4.69)$$

The transform ation properties of the elds are given in Table 3. W e consider the (local)

Table 3: Transform ation properties of elds in D = 4, N = 3

	Vª	A	А	(L)	I A	I (L)	L _{AB}	L _I	R _H
SU (3;n ⁰)	1	1	$3 + n^0$	1	1	1	$3 + n^0$	$3 + n^0$	—
SU (3)	1	3	1	1	3	1	3	1	3
SU (n ⁰)	1	1	1	1	n ⁰	n ⁰	1	n ⁰	n ⁰
U(1)	0	$\frac{n^0}{2}$	0	$3\frac{n^{0}}{2}$	$3 + \frac{n^0}{2}$	$3(1 + \frac{n^0}{2})$	n ⁰	3	$3 + n^0$

em bedding of SU (3;n⁰) in Sp(3 + n⁰;R) de ned by the following dependence of the matrices f and h in terms of the G=H coset representative L,

$$f = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} (L_{AB}; L_{I})$$

$$(4.70)$$

h = i(f) =
$$\frac{\mathbb{1}_{3}}{0} \frac{1}{\mathbb{1}_{n^{\circ} n^{\circ}}}$$
 (4.71)

where AB are antisymmetric SU(3) indices, I is an index of SU(n^0) and L $_{I}$ denotes the complex conjugate of the coset representative. We have:

$$N = (hf^{1}) = i(ff^{1})$$
 (4.72)

The supercovariant eld strengths and the supercovariant scalar vielbein are:

$$\hat{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{dA} + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{I}} \stackrel{\mathbf{I}}{\underset{\mathbf{A}}{a}} \stackrel{\mathbf{A}}{\underset{\mathbf{V}}{a}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{AB}} \stackrel{\mathbf{A}}{\underset{\mathbf{B}}{a}} + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{AB}} \stackrel{\mathbf{A}}{\underset{\mathbf{B}}{a}} \stackrel{\mathbf{A}}{\underset{\mathbf{C}}{a}} + \mathbf{hc:}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{I}}^{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{I}}^{\mathbf{A}} \stackrel{\mathbf{I}}{\underset{\mathbf{B}}{a}} \stackrel{\mathbf{ABC}}{\underset{\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{R})}{}} \stackrel{\mathbf{ABC}}{\underset{\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{R})}{}}$$

$$(4.73)$$

where the only nonvanishing entries of the vierbein P are

$$P_{I}^{A} = \frac{1}{2} P_{IBC} = P_{Ii}^{A} dz^{i}$$
(4.74)

 $z^{\rm i}$ being the (com plex) coordinates of G =H . The chiral ferm ions transform ation laws are given by:

$$A = D_{A} + 2iT_{AB} = V_{a}^{B} + (4.75)$$

$$_{(L)} = 1 = 2 T_{AB} C^{ABC} + (4.76)$$

$$_{IA} = i P_{I_{i}}^{B} Q_{Z^{i}}^{C} A_{BC} + T_{I} A +$$

$$(4.77)$$

$${}^{\mathrm{I}}_{(\mathrm{L})} = \mathrm{i} \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{I} i}^{\mathrm{A}} (\mathbb{Q} z^{i} + (4.78))$$

where T_{AB} and T_{I} have the general form given in equation (4.21). >From the general form of the equations (4.32), (4.33) for f and h we nd:

$$r f_{AB} = f_{I} P^{I}_{AB} ; \qquad (4.79)$$

$$r h_{AB} = h_{I} P_{AB}^{I} ; \qquad (4.80)$$

$$rf_{I} = \frac{1}{2}f^{CD}P_{CDI}; \qquad (4.81)$$

$$r h_{I} = \frac{1}{2} h^{CD} P_{CDI}$$
 (4.82)

According to the general study of Section 4.1, using (4.23), (4.24) one nds

$$r^{(H)}Z_{AB} = Z^{I}P_{I}^{C}_{ABC}$$
(4.83)

$$r^{(H)}Z_{I} = \frac{1}{2}Z^{AB}P_{I}^{C}_{ABC}$$
(4.84)

and the form ula for the potential, cf. (4.27),

$$\mathscr{V}_{BH} = \frac{1}{2} Z^{AB} Z_{AB} + Z^{I} Z_{I} = \frac{1}{2} Q^{t} M (N) Q$$
 (4.85)

where the matrix M (N) has the same form as in equation (4.29) in terms of the kinetic matrix N of equation (4.72), and Q is the charge vector $Q = \binom{g}{e}$.

The G = SU (3;n⁰) invariant is $Z^{A}Z_{A} = Z_{I}Z^{I}$ (one can check that $Q_{i}(Z^{A}Z_{A} = Z_{I}Z^{I}) = r_{i}^{(H)}(Z^{A}Z_{A} = Z_{I}Z^{I}) = 0$) so that

$$\mathscr{S} = \not \mathbb{Z}^{A} \mathbb{Z}_{A} \qquad \mathbb{Z}_{I} \mathbb{Z}^{I} j:$$
(4.86)

4.2.3 The N = 5 theory

For N > 4 the only available supermultiplet is the gravitational one, so that $H_{m atter} = 1$. The coset manifold of the scalars of the N = 5 theory [33] is:

$$G = H = \frac{SU(5;1)}{U(5)}$$
(4.87)

The eld content and the group assignments are displayed in Table 4.

	V ^a	A ;	ABC; L	А	L _A ^x	R _H
SU(5;1)	1	1 1		-	6	-
SU (5)	1	5	(10;1)	1	5	5
U(1)	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$(\frac{3}{2}; \frac{5}{2})$	0	1	2

Table 4: Transform ation properties of elds in D = 4, N = 5

In Table 4 the incides x;y;:::= 1;:::;6 and A;B;C;:::= 1;:::;5 are indices of the fundam ental representations of SU (5;1) and SU (5), respectively. L_A^x denotes as usual the coset representative in the fundam ental representation of SU (5;1). The antisymmetric couple , ; = 1;:::;5, enumerates the ten vector potentials. The localem bedding of SU (5;1) into the G aillard-Zum ino group U sp(10;10) is given in terms s of the three-times antisymmetric representation of SU (5;1), this is a 20 dimensional complex representation, we denote by t^{xyz} a generic element. This representation is reducible to a complex 10 dimensional one by in posing the self-duality condition

$$t^{xyz} = \frac{1}{3!} x_{yz} t^{uvw} t^{uvw}$$
(4.88)

here indices are raised with the SU (5;1) herm itian structure = diag(1;1;1;1;1;1;1). The selfduality condition (4.88) is compatible with the SU (5;1) action (on t^{xyz} acts the complex conjugate of the three-times antisymmetric of SU (5;1)). Due to the self-duality condition we can decompose t^{xyz} as follows:

$$t^{xyz} = \begin{array}{c} t^{6} \\ t^{6} \\ t^{6} \end{array}$$
(4.89)

where (;; = 1; ;5). In the following we set t^{6} , t t t^{6} , t t t_{6} = t t^{6} . The symplectic structure in this complex basis is given by the matrix

0 1 1 0

$$ht; 'i \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} t ; t = 0 , (4.90)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} t ' \frac{1}{2} t '$$
$$= \frac{1}{3!3!} t^{XYZ} "_{XYZUVW} '^{UVW}$$
(4.91)

this last equality in plies that the SU (5;1) action preserves the sym plectic structure. We have thus embedded⁹ SU (5;1) into Sp(20;R) (in the com plex basis).

The 20 dimensional real vector (F ;G) transforms under the 20 of SU (5;1), as well as, for xed AB, each of the 20 dimensional vectors f_{AB}_{AB} of the embedding matrix:

$$U = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} f + ih f + ih f + ih ; \qquad (4.92)$$

The supercovariant eld strengths and vielbein are:

$$\hat{F} = dA + f_{AB} (a_1^{A B} + a_2_{C a}^{ABC} V^a) + hc:$$
 (4.93)

$$\hat{P}_{ABCD} = P_{ABCD} \qquad [ABC D] \qquad ABCDE \qquad (4.94)$$

where $P_{ABCD} = {}_{ABCDF} P^{F}$ is the complex vielbein, completely antisymmetric in SU (5) indices and $P_{ABCD} = P^{ABCD}$.

The ferm ion transform ation laws are:

$$A_{A} = D_{A} + a_{3}T_{AB}$$
 ^a ^BV_a + (4.95)

$$_{ABC} = a_4 P_{ABCD i} Q^{i} P_{ABCD i} q^{i} + a_5 T_{[AB C]} + (4.96)$$

$$(L) = a_6 P^{ABCD} \{ 0 \ \{ E_{ABCDE} + (4.97) \} \}$$

where:

$$T_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} (h_{AB} F f_{AB} G)$$
 (4.98)

N =
$$\frac{1}{2}h_{AB}$$
 (f¹)^{AB} : (4.99)

W ith a by now fam iliar procedure one nds the following (com plex) central charges:

$$Z_{AB} = i V (_{1})^{1} Q$$
 (4.100)

⁹Strictly speaking we have immersed SU (5;1) into Sp(20;R), in fact this map is a local embedding but fails to be injective, indeed the three SU (5;1) elements $\frac{15}{5}$ 11 are all mapped into the identity element of Sp(20;R).

where the charge vector is

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} p & & & \\ p & & & \frac{1}{4} R^{S^2} F \\ q & & & \frac{1}{4} R^{S^2} G \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.101)

and $_1$ is the constant value assumed by the scalar elds at spatial in nity. >From the equations (M aurer-C artan equations)

$$r^{(U(5))}f_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}f^{CD}P_{ABCD}$$
 (4.102)

and the analogous one for hwe nd:

$$r^{(U(5))}Z_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}Z^{CD}P_{ABCD}$$
 : (4.103)

F inally, the form ula for the potential is, cf. (4.27),

$$\mathscr{V}_{BH} = \frac{1}{2} Z^{AB} Z_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} Q^{t} M (N) Q$$
 (4.104)

where the matrix M (N) has exactly the same form as in equation (4.29), and N is given in (4.99).

For SU (5;1) there are only two U (5) quartic invariants. In terms of the matrix $A_A^B = Z_{AC} Z^{CB}$ they are:

$$\operatorname{Tr} A = Z_{AB} Z^{BA} ; \quad \operatorname{Tr} (A^2) = Z_{AB} Z^{BC} Z_{CD} Z^{DA} :$$
 (4.105)

The SU (5;1) invariant expression is

$$\mathscr{S} = \frac{1^{p}}{2} \frac{1}{(4.106)}$$
 (1rA)²j: (4.106)

4.2.4 The N = 6 theory

The scalar manifold of the N = 6 theory has the coset structure [65]:

$$G = H = \frac{SO^{?}(12)}{U(6)}$$
(4.107)

W e recall that SO[?] (2n) is the real form of O (2n;C) de ned by the relation:

$$L^{Y}CL = C$$
; $C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (4.108)

V a А S. Rн авсі а SO[?](12) 32 1 1 1 _ SU (6) 1 6 (20 + 6)1 (15;1) + (15;1)15 1 $(\frac{3}{2}; \frac{5}{2})$ 0 2 U(1) 0 (1; 3)+ (1;3)

Table 5: Transform ation properties of elds in D = 4, N = 6

The eld content and transform ation properties are given in Table 5, where A; B; C = 1; ;6 are SU (6) indices in the fundam ental representation and = 1; ;16. The 32 spinor representation of SO[?] (12) can be given in term s of a Sp(32; R) m atrix, which in the com plex basis we denote by S_r (; r = 1; ;32). It is the double cover of $\hat{S}(02)$ that embeds in Sp(32; R) and therefore the duality group is this spin group. Employing the usual notation we may set:

$$S_{r} = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{M}^{f_{M}} f_{M} + \frac{ih_{M}}{ih_{M}} f_{M} + \frac{ih_{M}}{ih_{M}}$$
(4.109)

where M = 1; $(16. \text{ W} \text{ ith respect to SU (6), the sixteen sym plectic vectors}_{M} f;h_{M})$, (M = 1; (16) are reducible into the antisym metric 15 dimensional representation plus a singlet of SU (6):

$$(f_{M};h_{M})!$$
 $(f_{AB};h_{AB})+(f;h):$ (4.110)

It is precisely the existence of a SU (6) singlet which allows for the Special G eom etry structure of $\frac{SO(12)}{U(6)}$ (cf. (5.71), (5.72))¹⁰. Note that the element S_r has no de nite U (1) weight since the submatrices f_{AB}; f have the weights 1 and 3 respectively. The vielbein matrix is

$$P = \begin{array}{c} P_{ABCD} P_{AB} \\ P_{CD} 0 \end{array} ; \qquad (4.111)$$

where

$$P_{AB} = \frac{1}{4!} {}_{ABCDEF} P^{CDEF}; P^{AB} = \overline{P_{AB}};$$
 (4.112)

The supercovariant eld strengths and the coset manifold vielbein have the following expression:

$$\hat{F} = dA + f_{AB} (a_1^{A B} + a_2^{C a}^{ABC} V^a) + a_3 f_{C a}^{C V^a} + hc:$$
(4.113)

$$\hat{P}_{ABCD} = P_{ABCD}$$
 [ABC D] ABCDEF E F (4.114)

 $^{^{10}\}text{D}\,\text{ue}$ to its Special G eom etry structure the coset space $\frac{\text{SO}~(12)}{\text{U}~(6)}$ is also the scalar manifold of an N = 2 supergravity. The two supergravity theories have the same bosonic elds how ever the ferm ion sector is di erent.

The ferm ion transform ation laws are:

$$_{A} = D_{A} + b_{1}T_{AB}$$
 ^a ^BV_a + (4.115)

$$ABC = b_2 P_{ABCD i} e_a z^{i a D} + b_3 T_{[AB ab} e^{ab} c_{]} +$$

$$(4.116)$$

$$A = b_4 P^{BCDE}{}_i e_a z^{i a F}{}_{ABCDEF} + b_5 T_{ab} {}^{ab}{}_A +$$
(4.117)

where according to the general de nition (4.21):

$$T_{AB} = h_{AB}F \qquad f_{AB}G$$
$$T = hF \qquad fG \qquad (4.118)$$

W ith the usual procedure we have the following complex dyonic central charges:

$$Z_{AB} = h_{AB} p \qquad f_{AB} q \qquad (4.119)$$

$$Z = h p \quad f q \tag{4.120}$$

in the $\overline{15}$ (recall (4.19)) and singlet representation of SU (6) respectively. Notice that although we have 16 graviphotons, only 15 central charges are present in the supersym – metry algebra. The singlet charge plays a role analogous to a \m atter" charge (hence our notation Z, f, h). The charges di erential relations are

$$r^{(U(6))}Z_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}Z^{CD}P_{ABCD} + \frac{1}{4!}Z_{ABCDEF}P^{CDEF}$$
(4.121)

$$r^{(U(1))}Z = \frac{1}{2!4!}Z^{AB}_{ABCDEF}P^{CDEF}$$
(4.122)

and the form ula for the potential reads, cf. (4.27),

$$\mathscr{Y}_{BH} = \frac{1}{2} Z^{AB} Z_{AB} + Z Z = \frac{1}{2} Q^{t} M (N) Q :$$
 (4.123)

The quartic U (6) invariants are

$$I_1 = (T rA)^2$$
 (4.124)

$$I_2 = Tr(A^2)$$
 (4.125)

$$I_{3} = \frac{1}{2^{3}3!} Re(^{ABCDEF} Z_{AB} Z_{CD} Z_{EF} Z)$$
(4.126)

$$I_4 = (T rA)ZZ$$
 (4.127)

$$I_5 = Z^2 Z^2$$
 (4.128)

where $A_A^B = Z_{AC} Z^{CB}$. The unique SO (12) invariant is

$$\mathscr{S} = \frac{1P}{2} \frac{1}{j^{4}I_{2}} I_{1} + 32I_{3} + 4I_{4} + 4I_{5}j:$$
(4.129)

4.2.5 The N = 8 theory

In the N = 8 case [5] the coset manifold is:

$$G = H = \frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8) = Z_2} :$$
 (4.130)

The eld content and group assignments are given in Table 6.

Table 6: Field content and group assignments in D = 4, N = 8 supergravity

	V ^a	A	А	ABC	S _r	$R_{\rm H}$
E ₇₍₇₎	1	1	-	1	56	_
SU (8)	1	8	1	56	28 + 28	70

The embedding in Sp(56;R) is automatically realized because the 56 de ning representation of $E_{7(7)}$ is a real sym plectic representation. The components of the f and h matrices and their complex conjugates are

$$f_{AB}$$
; h_{AB} ; f^{AB} ; h^{AB} ; (4.131)

here ; A B are couples of antisymmetric indices, with ; ; A ; B running from 1 to 8. The 70 under which the vielbein of G = H transform is obtained from the four times antisymmetric of SU (8) by imposing the self duality condition

$$t^{A B C D} = \frac{1}{4!} A^{A B C D}_{A {}^{0}B {}^{0}C {}^{0}D {}^{0}} t^{A {}^{0}B {}^{0}C {}^{0}D {}^{0}}$$
(4.132)

The supercovariant eld strengths and coset manifold vielbein are:

$$\hat{F} = dA + [f_{AB} (a_1^{AB} + a_2^{ABC} a_C^{V}) + hc:]$$
 (4.133)

$$\hat{P}_{ABCD} = P_{ABCD} \qquad [ABC D] + h \mathfrak{x}:$$
(4.134)

where $P_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{4!} _{ABCDEFGH} P^{EFGH}$ (L¹r^{SU(8)}L)_{ABCD} = P_{ABCDid} ⁱ (ⁱ coordinates of G = H). In the complex basis the vielbein P_{ABCD} of G = H are 28 28 m atrices completely antisymmetric and self dual as in (4.132). The fermion transformation laws are given by:

$$_{A} = D_{A} + a_{3}T_{AB}$$
 a $^{B}V_{a} + (4.135)$

$$_{ABC} = a_4 P_{ABCD i} a_{a}^{a D} + a_5 T_{[AB} c_{]} + (4.136)$$

where:

$$T_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} (h_{AB} F f_{AB} G)$$
 (4.137)

with:

$$= \frac{1}{2} h_{AB} (f^{1})^{AB} : \qquad (4.138)$$

W ith the usual manipulations we obtain the central charges:

Ν

$$Z_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} (h_{AB} p f_{AB} q);$$
 (4.139)

the di erential relations:

$$r^{SU(8)}Z_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}Z^{CD}P_{ABCD}$$
 (4.140)

and the form ula for the potential, cf. (4.27),

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH} = \frac{1}{2} Z^{AB} Z_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} Q^{t} M (N) Q$$
 (4.141)

where the matrix M (N) is given in equation (4.29), and N in (4.138).

For N = 8 the SU (8) invariants are

$$I_1 = (T rA)^2$$
 (4.142)

$$I_2 = Tr(A^2)$$
 (4.143)

$$I_{3} = PfZ = \frac{1}{2^{4}4!} ABCDEFGH Z_{AB}Z_{CD}Z_{EF}Z_{GH}$$
(4.144)

where PfZ denotes the Pfa an of the antisym metric matrix (Z $_{AB}$) $_{A,B=1,...8}$, and where $A_{A}^{B} = Z_{AC} Z^{CB}$. One nds the following E $_{7(7)}$ invariant [44]:

$$\mathscr{S} = \frac{1P}{2} \frac{1}{j4Tr(A^2)} (TrA)^2 + 32Re(PfZ)j$$
(4.145)

For a very recent study of E $_{7(7)}$ duality rotations and of the corresponding conserved charges see [66].

4.2.6 Electric subgroups and the D = 4 and N = 8 theory.

A duality rotation is really a strong-weak duality if there is a rotation between electric and magnetic elds, more precisely if some of the rotated eld strengths F⁰ depend on the initial dual elds G , i.e. if the submatrix B 6 0 in the symplectic matrix A_{CD}^{AB} . Only in this case the gauge kinetic term may transform nonlinearly, via a fractional transformation. On the other hand, under in nitesimal duality rotations $\binom{10}{01}$ + $\binom{a0}{cd}$, with b = 0, the lagrangian changes by a total derivative so that (in the absence of instantons) these transformations are symmetries of the action, not just of the equation of motion. Furthermore if c = 0 the lagrangian itself is invariant. We call electric any subgroup G_e of the duality group G with the property that it (locally) embeds in the symplectic group via matrices A_{CD}^{AB} with B = 0. The parameter space of true strong-weak duality rotations is $G = G_e$.

The electric subgroup of Sp(2n; R) is the subgroup of all matrices of the kind

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
A & 0 \\
C & A^{t} & i \\
\end{array};$$
(4.146)

we denote it by $\text{Sp}_{e}(2n; R)$. It is the electric subgroup because any other electric subgroup is included in $\text{Sp}_{e}(2n; R)$. This subgroup ism axim al in Sp(2n; R) (see for example the appendices in [50,68]). In particular if an action is invariant under in nitesim al $\text{Sp}_{e}(2n; R)$ transform ations, and if the equations of motion admit also a =2 duality rotation symmetry F ! G ,G ! F for one orm ore indices (no transform ation on the other indices) then the theory has Sp(2n; R) duality.

It is easy to generalize the results of Section 2.2 and prove that duality symmetry under these =2 rotations is equivalent to the following invariance property of the lagrangian under the Legendre transform ation associated to F $\,$,

$$L_{D} (F; N^{0}) = L(F; N);$$
 (4.147)

where N⁰ = (C + D N)(A + B N)¹ are the transformed scalar eds, the matrix $^{AB}_{CD}$ in plementing the =2 rotation F ! G , G ! F . We conclude that Sp(2n;R) duality symmetry holds if there is Sp_e(2n;R) symmetry and if the lagrangian satisfies (4.147).

When the duality group G is not Sp(2n;R) then there may exist different maximal electric subgroups of G, say G_e and G_e⁰. Consider now a theory with G duality symmetry, the electric subgroup G_e hints at the existence of an action S = L invariant under the Lie algebra Lie(G_e) and under Legendre transformation that are =2 duality rotation in G. Similarly G_e⁰ leads to a different action $S^{0} = L^{0}$ that is invariant under Lie(G_e⁰) and under Legendre transformation in G. The equations of motion of both actions have G duality symmetry. They are equivalent if L and L⁰ are related by a Legendre transformation. Since $L^{0}(F;N^{0}) \in L(F;N)$, this Legendre transformation cannot be a duality symmetry, it is a =2 rotation F ! G, G ! F that is not in G, this is possible since G \in Sp(2n;R).

As an example consider the $G_e = SL(8;R)$ symmetry of the N = 8, D = 4 supergravity lagrangian whose duality group is $G = E_{7;(7)}$ this is the form ulation of C remmer-Julia. An alternative form ulation, obtained from dimensional reduction of the D = 5 supergravity, exhibits an electric group $G_e^0 = [E_{6;(6)} SO(1;1)]n T_{27}$ where the nonsemisimple group G_e^0 is realized as a lower triangular subgroup of $E_{7;(7)}$ in its fundamental (symplectic) 56 dimensional representation. G_e and G_e^0 are both maximal subgroups of $E_{7;(7)}$. The corresponding lagrangians can be related only after a proper duality rotation of electric and magnetic elds which involves a suitable Legendre transformation.

A way to construct new supergravity theories is to prom ote a compact rigid electric subgroup symmetry to a local symmetry, thus constructing gauged supergravity models (see for a recent review [67], and references therein). Inequivalent choices of electric subgroups give dimensional gauged supergravities. Consider again D = 4, N = 8 supergravity. The maximal compact subgroups of $G_e = SL(8;R)$ and of $G_e^0 = [E_{6;(6)} SO(1;1)]n T_{27}$ are SO(8) and Sp(8) = U(16) \ Sp(16;C) respectively. The gauging of SO(8) corresponds to the gauged N = 8 supergravity of $D \in W$ itt and N icolai [33]. As shown in [34] the gauging of the nonsem isim ple group U(1) n T₂₇ G_e^0 corresponds to the gauging of a at group in the sense of Scherk and Schwarz dimensional reduction [35], and gives the massive deformation of the N = 8 supergravity as obtained by Cremmer, Scherk and Schwarz [36].

5 Special G eom etry and N = 2 Supergravity

In the case of N = 2 supergravity the requirements in posed by supersymmetry on the scalar manifold M _{scalar} of the theory dictate that it should be the following direct product: M _{scalar} = M M^Q where M is a special K ahler manifold of complex dimension n and M^Q a quaternionic manifold of realdimension $4n_H$, here n and n_H are respectively the number of vector multiplets and hypermultiplets contained in the theory. The direct product structure in posed by supersymmetry precisely rejects the fact that the quaternionic and special K ahler scalars belong to different supermultiplets. We do not discuss the hypermultiplets any further and refer to [77] for the full structure of N = 2 supergravity. Since we are concerned with duality rotations we here concentrate our attention to an N = 2 supergravity where the graviton multiplets. Such a theory has a bosonic action of type (4.1) where the number of (real) gauge elds is n = 1 + n⁰ and the number of (real) scalar elds is $2n^0$. Compatibility of their couplings with local N = 2 supersymmetry lead to the form ulation of special K ahler geometry [75], [76].

The form alism we have developed so far for the D = 4, N > 2 theories is completely determ ined by the (local) embedding of the coset representative of the scalar manifold M = G = H in Sp(2n;R). It leads to a at -actually a trivial-symplectic bundle with local symplectic sections V, determined by the symplectic matrix V, or equivalently by the matrices f and h. We want now to show that these matrices, the di erential relations among charges and their quadratic invariant \mathscr{V}_{BH} (4.27) are also central for the description of N = 2 matter-coupled supergravity. This follows essentially from the fact that, though the scalar manifold M of the N = 2 theory is not in general a

coset m anifold, nevertheless, as for the N > 2 theories, we have a the symplectic bundle associated to M , with symplectic sections V . While the form alism is very similar there is a difference, the bundle is not a trivial bundle anymore, and it is in virtue of duality rotations that the theory can be globally defined on M.

In the next section we study the geom etry of the scalar manifold M and in detail its associated at sym plectic bundle. Then in Section 5.2 we see how, in analogy with N > 2 supergravities, the at sym plectic bundle geom etry of M enters the supersymmetry transformations laws of N = 2 supergravity and the dimential relations among the matter and central charges.

5.1 Special Geometry

There are two kinds of special geom etries: rigid and local. W hile rigid special K ahler m anifolds are the target space of the scalar elds present in the vector multiplets of N = 2 Y ang M ills theories, the (local) special K ahler m anifolds, in them athem atical literature called projective special K ahler m anifolds, describe the target space of the scalar elds in the vector multiplets of N = 2 supergravity (that has local supersymmetry). In order to describe the structure of a (local or projective) special K ahler m anifold it is instructive to recall that of rigid K ahler m anifold.

5.1.1 Rigid Special Geometry

In short a rigid special K ahlerm anifold is a K ahlerm anifold M that has a at connection on its tangent bundle. This connection must then be compatible with the sym plectic and complex structure of M .

M ore precisely, following [49], see also [50], a rigid special K ahler structure on a Kahler manifold M with Kahler form K is a connection r that is real, at, torsion free, com patible with the sym plectic structure !:

$$r! = 0$$
 (5.1)

and compatible with the alm ost complex structure J of M :

$$d_r J = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

where d_r : ¹(TM)! ²(TM) is the covariant exterior derivative on vector-valued form s. Explicitly, if J = J @ where J are 1-form s, and r @ = A @, with A 1-form s, then $d_r J = dJ$ @ J ^ A @ = (dJ + A ^ J)@. Notice that the torsion free condition can be similarly written $d_r I = 0$, where I is the identity map in TM, locally I = dx @. The two conditions $d_r J = 0$, $d_r I = 0$ for the real connection r can be written in the complexied tangent bundle simply as

$$d_{r}^{1,0} = 0; (5.3)$$

where ^{1,0} is the projection onto the (1;0) part of the complexied tangent bundle; locally ${}^{1,0} = dz^i \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta z^i}$.

The atness condition is equivalent to require the existence of a covering of M with local fram es fe g that are covariantly constant, r = 0. The corresponding transition functions of the real tangent bundle TM are therefore constant invertible matrices; com patibility with the sym plectic structure, equation (5.1), further in plies that these matrices belong to the fundamental of Sp(2n;R), where 2n is the real dimension of M (each fram e fe g can be chosen to have mutually sym plectic vectors e).

Flatness of r (i.e., the vanishing of the curvature R_r or equivalently $d_r^2 = 0$) in plies that (5.3) is equivalent to the existence of a local complex vector eld that satisfies

$$r = {}^{1,0}$$
 (5.4)

[hint: in a at reference frame $d_r = d$, and Poincare lemma for d implies that any d_r -closed section is also d_r -exact]. Studying the components of this vector eld (with respect to a at D arboux coordinate system) we obtain the existence of local holom orphic coordinates on M, called special coordinates, their transition functions are constant Sp(2n;R) matrices, so that the holom orphic tangent bundle TM is a at sym plectic holom orphic one. Corresponding to these special coordinates we have a holom orhic function F, the holom orphic prepotential. In terms of this data the K ahler potential and the K ahler form read

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial F}{\partial z^{i}} z^{i} dz^{i} \wedge d\overline{z}^{j} ; \qquad (5.5)$$

$$K = i Q Q K = \frac{i}{2} Im \quad \frac{Q^2 F}{Q z^i Q z^j} dz^i \wedge d\overline{z}^j = \frac{i}{2} Im (_{ij}) dz^i \wedge d\overline{z}^j ; \qquad (5.6)$$

where z^i are special coordinates, and $_{ij} = \frac{e^2 F}{e z^i e z^j}$.

An equivalent way of characterizing rigid special K ahler manifolds is via a holom orphic sym metric 3-tensor C. This tensor measures the difference between the sym plectic connection r and the Levi-C ivita connection D, whose connection coecients we here denote k_{ij}^k and k_{ij}^k .

De ne

$$P_R = r D$$
:

The nonvanishing components of P_{R} are

$$A_{ij}^{k} \quad \stackrel{k}{ij}; A_{ij}^{k}; A_{ij}^{k} \quad \stackrel{k}{ij}; A_{ij}^{k}; \qquad (5.7)$$

this is so because the components A of the connection r are constrained by condition (5.3). Since D and r are real and torsion free we further have that the lower indices in (5.7) are symmetric, and the reality conditions $\overline{A_{ij}^k} = A_{ij}^k = A_{ij}^k$. Since

both D and r are sym plectic we have that for any vector u 2 $T_m\,M$, $(P_R\,)_u\,:T_m\,M\,$! $T_m\,M\,$ is a generator of a sym lectic transform ation ,

$$u(K (v;w)) = D_{u}(K (v;w)) = K (D_{u}v;w) + K (v;D_{u}w)$$
$$u(K (v;w)) = r_{u}(K (v;w)) = K (r_{u}v;w) + K (v;r_{u}w)$$
$$0 = K ((P_{R})_{u}v;w) + K (v;(P_{R})_{u}w) : (5.8)$$

If we set $u = Q_k$, $v = Q_i$, $w = Q_i$, and use that K is a (1;1)-form, we obtain

$$A_{ij}^{k} \qquad {}^{k}_{ij} = 0 :$$
 (5.9)

Then the components of

$$P_R = P + \overline{P}$$

are just A_{ij}^k and A_{ij}^k . This leads to de ne the tensor

$$C_{ijk} = ig_{i'}A_{ik} :$$
 (5.10)

Setting $u = e_k$, $v = e_j$, $w = e_j$ in (5.8) we obtain that C_{ijk} is totally symmetric in its indices. Since $D_j^{(1,0)} = 0$ we easily compute, recalling (5.4), $C_{ijk} = hr_i$; r_jr_k i, hence we obtain the coordinate independent expression for $C = C_{ijk}dz^i dz^j dz^k$,

$$C = hr ; rr i:$$
 (5.11)

Flatness of $r = D + P_R$, i.e. $d_r^2 = 0$, is equivalent to

$$R + d_D P + d_D \overline{P} + P^{\wedge} \overline{P} + \overline{P}^{\wedge} P = 0$$
(5.12)

where $R=d_D^2$ is the Levi-C ivita curvature and $d_r\,P$ is the exterior covariant derivative action on the 1-form P with values in $T_C\,M$ $T_C\,M$ (where $T_C\,M$ is the complexited cotangent bundle). Now in (5.12), the term $R+P\ ^{\circ}P+P\ ^{\circ}P\ ^{2}$ $^{(1;1)}$ (M ; End($T_C\,M$; $T_C\,M$)), i.e., this term maps T $^{(1;0)}M$ (or T $^{(0;1)}M$) vectors into (1,1)-form s valued in T $^{(1;0)}M$ (or T $^{(0;1)}M$). On the other hand P 2 (End($T_C\,M$; $T_C\,M$)), in particular it maps T $^{(1;0)}M$ vectors into form s valued in T $^{(0;1)}M$, and annihilates T $^{(0;1)}M$ vectors (hence P $^{\circ}P$ = 0). Sim ilar properties hold for the complex conjugate \overline{P} , with T $^{(1;0)}M$ replaced by T $^{(0;1)}M$, and for $d_D\,P$ and $d_D\,\overline{P}$. It follows that equation (5.12) is equivalent to two independent equations,

$$R + P^{\wedge} \overline{P} + \overline{P}^{\wedge} P = 0 \tag{5.13}$$

$$d_D P = 0$$
: (5.14)

Since the covariant derivative of the m etric vanishes, this last equation is equivalent to $d_D C = 0$. In local coordinates we have

$$dC_{j} = {}^{k} C_{kj} = {}^{k} C_{k} = 0 :$$
 (5.15)

where $C_{ij} = C_{ikj} dz^k$. This equation splits in the condition

$$@C = 0;$$
 (5.16)

so that C is holom orphic, and the condition $Q_D C = 0$, that can be equivalently written

$$D_{i}C_{j} = D_{j}C_{i}$$
 (5.17)

where C_i is the matrix $C_i = (C_{ki'})_{k;'=1;::n}$, i.e., $C_i 2 \stackrel{0}{\longrightarrow} (M; T^{(1,0)}M T^{(1,0)}M)$, so that D_i is the covariant derivative on functions valued in $T^{(1,0)}M T^{(1,0)}M$.

The local coordinates expression of (5.13) is

$$R_{\{jk'\}} = \overline{C}_{\{ks\}} g^{sp} C_{pj'} : \qquad (5.18)$$

In conclusion a rigid special K ahler structure on M implies the existence of a holomorphic symmetric 3-tensor (cubic form) C that satisfies (5.13) and (5.17).

V iceversa if a K ahler manifold M admits a symmetric holomorphic 3-tensor C that satis es (5.13) and (5.17), then M is a special K ahler manifold. Indeed the contraction of C with the metric gives P, so that we can de ner = D P_R . The symmetry of C in plies that $d_r^{-1,0} = 0$ so that r is torsion free and compatible with the com plex structure, $d_r J = 0$. The symmetry of C also in plies (5.8) so that r is symplectic. Finally (5.13) and (5.17) in ply that r is at.

In special coordinates the holom orphic 3-tensor C is simply given by $C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{e^{3F}}{e^{2i\theta z^{j}\theta z^{k}}}$.

5.1.2 Local Special G eom etry

We have recalled that to a rigid special K ahler manifold of dimension n there is canonically associated a holomorphic n dimensional at symplectic vector bundle. On the other hand, to a projective (or local) special K ahler manifold M , of dimension n⁰ there is canonically associated a holomorphic n = n⁰ + 1 dimensional at symplectic vector bundle. The increase by one unit of the rank of the vector bundle with respect to the dimension of the manifold is due to the graviton multiplet. The mathematical description involves the n = n⁰ + 1 dimensional manifold L, total space of a line bundle over M.

K ahler-H odge m anifolds and their associated principal bundles M ! M Consider a Kahler-H odge m anifold, i.e. a triple (M ;L;K), where M is Kahler with integral Kahler form K, so that it de ness a class [K]2 H ² (M ;Z), and

L ! M

is a holom orphic herm itian line bundle with rst Chern class equal to [K], and with curvature equal to 2 iK (recall that on a herm itian holom orphic vector bundle there is a unique connection com patible with the herm itian holom orphic structure).

Consider the complex manifold M, that is L without the zero section of L! M. The manifold M is a principal bundle over M, with structure group C (complex numbers minus the zero); the action of C on M is holomorphic. The herm itian connection canonically associated to L! M induces a connection on M so that in TM we have the subspaces of horizontal and vertical tangent vectors.

A nother property of the manifold M is that it has a canonical herm it ian line bundle L! M; it is the pullback to M of L! M, so that the ber on the point m 2 M is just the ber of L on the point m = (m) 2 M,

Explicitly $^{2}L = f(m; i);$ (i) = (m)g. The line bundle L is trivial indeed we have the globally de ned nonzero holom orphic section

In the last line we used a local trivialization of M' ! M (and henceforth of L ! M) given by a local section s, say m = s(m) (m;). This induces a local trivialization s = s of the line bundle L ! M'. Explicitly s associates to m' the point s(m) of L, so that a generic element r = s(m') 2 L is described by the triple (m; ;), and in particular

$$(m_r) = (s(m_i)) = s(m_r) (m_i; i):$$
 (5.21)

It can be shown that M is a pseudo-K ahler manifold (i.e. a K ahler manifold where the metric has pseudo-R iem annian signature). The K ahler form is

$$K = \frac{i}{2} @@jj^2;$$
 (5.22)

where $j j^2$ is the evaluation on of the herm itian structure of ?(L) (this latter is trivially inherited from the herm itian structure of L). W ith respect to the corresponding K ahler m etric, horizontal and vertical vectors are orthogonal, m oreover the K ahler m etric is negative de nite along vertical vectors, and positive de nite along horizontal vectors, where K $j_{or} = j j^2 - K \cdot \frac{11}{2}$ Thus (M ;K) has Lorentzian signature.

¹¹H int: in the coordinates (zⁱ;), associated to the local trivialization m = s(m) (m;) induced by a section s of L, we have $j j^2 = j j^2$. Moreover horizontal vectors read $u = u^i \ell_i \quad u^i a_i \quad \frac{\ell}{\ell}$ where the local connection 1-form on M is $a = a_i dz^i = j j^2 \ell_j j^2$. The pseudo-Kahler form reads 2 if $e = \ell_i \ell_j j^2 dz^i \wedge dz^j + j j^2 d \wedge d + \ell_j j j^2 dz^i \wedge dz^j$.

Concerning the pullback K on M of the Kahler form K on M; while K is in general only closed, K is exact,

$$K = \frac{i}{2} @@logjj^2 :$$
 (5.23)

This last form ula easily follows by pulling back the usual local curvature form ula for the herm itian connection $K = \frac{i}{2}$ @@ log jsj and by observing that log jsj = log

In conclusion, one can canonically associate to a K ahler-H odge m anifold (M ;L;K) a pseudo-K ahler m anifold (M ;K) that carries a free and holom orphic C action, and a line bundle L ! M that has a canonical global holom orphic section .

The bundle Γ can be naturally identied as the holom orphic subbundle of TM given by the vertical vectors of M with respect to the holom orphic C action. The global holom orphic section corresponds to the vertical vector eld that gives the in nitesimal C action. Under this identication we have

$$K'(;) = \frac{i}{2}jj^2:$$
 (5.24)

This equation shows that under the identi cation $TM j_{ert}$ ' L the corresponding hermitian structures are mapped one into m inus the other.

Special K ahler m anifolds

Following [49], (M;L;K) is special Kahler if (M;K) is rigid special Kahler and if is compatible with the symplectic connection \tilde{r} .

A (projective or local) special K ahler manifold is a Kahler Hodge manifold (M;L;K) such that the associated pseudo-Kahler manifold (M;K) has a rigid special pseudo-Kahler structure \hat{r} which satis es

$$\tilde{r} = {}^{(1;0)}$$
: (5.25)

Notice that (5.25) is equivalent to the condition $\tilde{r}_u = u$ for any $u \ge T^{(1,0)}M$. As shown in [50], since \tilde{r} is torsionfree and at, then condition (5.25) in plies the C invariance of \tilde{r} , i.e. $dR_b(\tilde{r}_u v) = \tilde{r}_{dR_b u} dR_b v$ where R_b denotes the action of b 2 C . Notice also that equation (5.25) is the global version of eq. (5.4).

For ease of notation in the following we denote the % f(r) = 0 at torsion free sym plectic connection \tilde{r} on M ' simply by r .

We now construct a at symplectic $2n = 2n^0 + 2 \dim$ ensional bundle H on M that is frequently used in the literature in order to characterize projective special K ahler manifolds. We introduce a new C action on TM. On M it is the usual one $R_{b}m = m b = bm$, where $b \ge C$, while on vectors we have

>From now on by C action we understand the new above de ned one. Thus for example since b $^1dR_{\,b}$ $_m$ = b 1 $_{m\,b}$, then is not invariant under (5.26). On the other hand the local section (vertical vector edd) s, obtained from a local section s of L, satisfies b $^1dR_{\,b}s_{m}$ = s_{lm} (or b $^1R_{\,b}$ s = s) and is therefore C invariant. A C invariant frame e associated with local coordinates z^i of M and with the local section s of L is ($^1\frac{\theta}{\theta z^1};\frac{\theta}{\theta}$); it is given by the coordinates (X $^i;$ X 0) = ($z^i;$), they are C invariant (b $^1R_{\,b}$ X = X) and therefore are hom ogeneous (projective) coordinates of M .

We de ne the $2n = 2n^{0} + 2 \dim$ ensional real vector bundle on M (dim_RM = $2n^{0}$),

by identifying its local sections with the C invariant sections of TM[•]. In other words H is the quotient of TM[•] via the C action (5.26). A point (m;h) 2 H is the equivalence class [(m;v_m)] where (m;v_m) (m[•];u_m) if m⁰ = m b and b ¹dR_bv_m = u_m. Under this quotient [?]L TM[•] becomes L, while the subbundle TM[•] j_{hor} of horizontal vectors becomes L TM.¹² Therefore we have two natural inclusions

$$L$$
 H and L TM H: (5.28)

Since the C action is holom orphic, then H is a holom orphic vector bundle on M of rank $n^0 + 1$. Since K is a C invariant 2-form the symplectic structure of TM goes to the quotient H : indeed K (u;v) is a hom ogeneous function on M if u and v are C invariant vector elds of TM. Similarly also the at symplectic connection r induces a at symplectic connection on H (see for example [50]). The inclusion L H implies that

has a nonvanishing global holom orphic section.

In the following we work in TM[•], but we choose C invariant tensors and therefore our results in m ediately apply to the bundle H. Let's consider a C invariant at local sym plectic fram ing of TM[•], that we denote by feg = fe; fg, = 1;:::2n, = 1;:::n. The fram ing is at because re = 0; rf = 0, and it is sym plectic because in this

¹²Hint: denote by $\mathbf{v}_m \mathbf{j}_m$ the horizontal lift in $T_m \mathbf{M}$ of the vector $\mathbf{v}_m \ 2 \ T_m \mathbf{M}$. Then the map L TM ! (TM $\mathbf{j}_{hor})=_{C}$ action dened by ('m \mathbf{v}_m) \mathbf{V} [('m; $\mathbf{v}_m \mathbf{j}_m$)] if 'm \in 0, and by 0 \mathbf{V} 0 is well dened, linear and injective.

basis the sym plectic matrix is in canonical form : the components K (e ;e), K (e ;f), K (f ;e), K (f ;f) read

W ith respect to the fe ; f g frame, the global section has local components = e = X e + F f. We also denote by this column vector of coe cients,

$$= () = \frac{X}{F}$$
 : (5.31)

The local functions X , F on M are holom orphic, indeed (5.25) in plies that r is a (1;0)-form valued in TM, since r (e) = d e = 0 e + 0 e, we obtain 0 = 0. In conclusion (X ;F) are local components of the global sym plectic section of the tangent bundle TM.

Each entry X , F is also a local holom orphic section of the line bundle L ¹ ! M . Indeed from the transform ation properties of under the C action m 7 $R_{e^{f(m)}}(m) = e^{f(m)}m$ (or under a change of local trivialization $s^0(m) = e^{f(m)}s(m)$) we have

therefore for each invertible we have that (s)s is a section of L! M or equivalently each X and each F are the coe cients of sections of L (1 + 1) = 1.

In conclusion (X ;F) are local components of the global symplectic section of the tangent bundle TM . Each entry is also a local holom orphic section of the line bundle L 1 ! M . Under change of local trivialization of TM we have

where $S = A_{BD}^{C}$ is a constant symplectic matrix. We can also consider a change of coordinates on M, say z ! z^{0} . Provided we keep xed the frame of TM and the trivalization of L we then have that X and F behave like local functions on M, X $(z) = X^{0} (z^{0}), F (z) = F^{0} (z^{0})$ (here $X^{A} (z) = X^{A} (s(z))$ etc.).

It can be shown [50] that from the set of 2n elements fX ;F g one can always choose a subset of n elements that form a local coordinate system on M. C ontrary to the K ahler case (where the metric is R iem anninan) in this pseudo-K ahler case in general neither fX g nor fF g are coordinates system s on M. The frame fe ;f g is determined up to a sym plectic transform ation, if using this freedom we have that the fX g are coordinates functions then the fX g are named special coordinates. The sections F can then be seen as functions of the X can and are obtained via a prepotential F,

$$F = \frac{\varrho F}{\varrho X} : \tag{5.34}$$

Recalling (5.23) and (5.24) we have

$$K = \frac{i}{2} @@log ih ; i$$
 (5.35)

and for the corresponding \K ahler" potential K we have¹³

$$K = \log ih ; i; \qquad (5.36)$$

in these form ulae we used the standard notation

U sing the components (X ;F) expression (5.36) reads

$$K = \log i(X;F) \frac{0 \ 1}{10} \frac{X}{F} = \log[i(F X X F)]; \quad (5.37)$$

By considering local sections of the bundle M^{\sim} ! M , we can then pullback the potential K to local K ahler potentials on M .

Under the action of e^{f(m)} 2 C on M⁽ (or equivalently under change of trivialization of M⁽ ! M) we have

$$K^{0} = K + f + f$$
 (5.38)

thus showing that e^{K} de ness a global nonvanishing section of the bundle L \overline{L} ! M, in particular this bundle is trivial. Explicitly this global section is $e^{K(s)}[s;s]$ where s is any local section of M^{*}! M and $[s;s] = f(s; 1^{s}); 2 C$ g is the corresponding local section of L L.

Sym plectic Sections and M atrices from local coordinates fram es on M Let's examine few more properties of special K ahler manifolds and introduce those sym plectic vectors that we have seen characterizing the geometry of the supergravity scalar eds. Consider a vector u 2 $T_m^{(1,0)}M$, this can be lifted to a horizontal vector $\hat{u} \ 2 \ T_m^{(1,0)}M$. Because of (5.25) the covariant derivative r \hat{u} is again a vector in $T_m^{(1,0)}M$, then

$$h ; r_{\hat{\alpha}} i = 0 ; h ; r_{\hat{\alpha}} i = 0 ;$$
 (5.39)

¹³As usual when K is integral K = $\frac{i}{2}g_{i|}dz^{i} \wedge dz^{|} = \frac{i}{2}\varrho_{i}\varrho_{|}K dz^{i} \wedge dz^{|} = \frac{i}{2}\varrho_{|}\varrho_{|}K dz^{i}$.

the rst relation holds because K = h; i is a (1;1)-form, the second relation holds because horizontal and vertical vectors are orthogonal under K (recall paragraph after (5.22)).

Subordinate to a holom orphic coordinate system fz^ig of M, and a local section s of L! M we have the local coordinates $(z^i;)$ on M. The corresponding vector elds are $(\mathfrak{G}_i; \frac{\mathfrak{G}}{\mathfrak{G}})$. A more natural frame on M is given by considering the vertical vector eld associated to the action of C on M,

$$\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_0 = \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\mathfrak{g}}; \qquad (5.40)$$

and the horizontal lift \hat{e}_i of the vector elds e_i on M

$$\hat{\theta}_{i} = \theta_{i} \quad j_{2} = \theta_{i} + \theta_{i} K \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta} : \qquad (5.41)$$

In (5.41), jsf = h(s;s) is the herm itian form of L ! M . All these vector elds have degree 1 and are independent from the section s of L ! M .

Wede ne

$$\mathbf{r}_{i} = \mathbf{r}_{\hat{\alpha}_{i}} : \tag{5.42}$$

The new sections r $_{i}$ are exactly the horizontal vector elds $\hat{\ell}_{i}$, indeed from (5.25) we obtain

$$r_{i} = \hat{\theta}_{i}$$
; $r_{0} = \hat{\theta}_{0} =$: (5.43)

Sim ilarly

$$r_{\{} = 0 ; r_{0} = 0 :$$
 (5.44)

Recalling (5.39) we obtain

h; $r_{i} = 0$ (5.45)

 $hr_{i};r_{j}i=0$ (5.46)

h;
$$r_{i} i = 0$$
: (5.47)

Notice also that h; i is invariant under horizontal vector elds,

$$\hat{Q}_{i}h$$
; $i = r_{i}h$; $i = hr_{i}$; $i + h$; $r_{i}i = 0$ (5.48)

where in the last passage we used (5.39) and (5.44). Similarly $r_{\rm l}h$; i = 0.

The metric associated to the Kahler form (5.22) on M^{\sim} is block diagonal in the $\hat{\ell}_0$; $\hat{\ell}_1$ basis, (see paragraph following (5.22)),
Because of (5.48) the associated Levi-C ivita connection $\cos c$ ients of M in the $\hat{\ell}_i$ basis of horizontal vectors coincide with those of M in the $\frac{\varrho}{\varrho_z^1}$ basis,

$$\sim'_{ij} = g^{k'} \hat{\theta}_{i} g_{jk} = g^{k'} \hat{\theta}_{i} g_{jk} = '_{ij} :$$
 (5.50)

In terms of the symplectic frame feg = fe ; fg, that is at, we have r = r(e) = d()e, and $r_i = \hat{\theta_i}()e = \theta_i + \theta_i K$, i.e.,

$$r_{i} \quad \begin{array}{c} X \\ F \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} Q_{i} \quad X \\ F \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} Q_{i}K \quad X \\ F \end{array} : \tag{5.51}$$

Recalling the interpretation of X or F as coe cients of local sections of L 1 ! M, we read in equation (5.51) the covariant derivative of L 1 ! M.

It is also convenient to norm alize and thus consider the (non holom orphic) non-vanishing global vector eld on M given by

$$V = e^{K=2}$$
 : (5.52)

>From (5.48) the covariant derivatives of V are

$$r_{i}V = e^{K=2}r_{i}$$
; $r_{i}V = e^{K=2}r_{i} = 0$;
 $r_{i}V = e^{K=2}r_{i}$; $r_{i}V = e^{K=2}r_{i} = 0$:

Explicitly we have¹⁴

$$r_{i}V = (Q_{i}V + \frac{1}{2}Q_{i}K V)e$$
; $r_{i}V = (Q_{i}V - \frac{1}{2}Q_{i}K V)e = 0$ (5.53)

$$r_{\{V\}} = (@_{\{V\}} + \frac{1}{2}@_{\{K\}} V) e ; r_{i}V = (@_{i}V - \frac{1}{2}@_{\{K\}} V) e = 0 : (5.54)$$

Each coe cient V of V with respect to the C invariant basis e is also a coe cient of a local section of the bundle L $^{1=2}$ L $^{1=2}$! M . This bundle has connection $\frac{1}{2} \varrho_i K$ $\frac{1}{2} \varrho_i K$. Equation (5.53) can be interpreted as the covariant derivative of these line bundle local sections.

From (5.36), and (5.45)-(5.47) we have

$$r_{i}V = r_{i}(e^{K=2} e) = \hat{\theta}_{i}(e^{K=2})e = \theta_{i}(e^{K=2})e + \theta_{i}K \frac{\theta}{\theta}(e^{K=2})e = (\theta_{i}V + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{i}K V)e :$$

 $^{^{14}}we~$ nd also instructive to obtain the covariant derivative of the section V via this straighforward calculation that uses $\frac{\varrho}{\varrho}K=-1$,

hV; Vi = i; (5.55)

$$hV; r_iVi = 0;$$
 (5.56)

$$hr_{i}V; r_{j}V = 0;$$
 (5.57)

$$hV ; r_{i}V = 0 :$$
 (5.58)

>From (5.49), or also from $[r_j;r_l] = 0_j 0_l K = g_j l$ and hr $[r_jV;Vi+hr_jV;r_lVi=0]$, we have

$$hr_{j}V;r_{j}V = ig_{j};$$
 (5.59)

(where $g_{j\{} = Q_jQ_{\{}K = 2 \text{ i } K_{j\{}$ is actually $g_{j\{}$, the pull back via of the positive de nite metric on M). If we consider an orthonormal frame ferg, (I = 1;:::n⁰) on M,

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{I}} = \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathbf{\theta}_{\mathrm{j}} ; \ \mathbf{\theta}_{\mathrm{j}} = \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{I}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{I}} ; \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{j}\{} = \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{I}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{J}} \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{J}} ;$$
 (5.60)

we lift this frame to a frame of horizontal vectors of T $^{(1,0)}{\rm M}^{\circ}$, and if we set

$$V_{M} = (V; r_{I}V) ; M = 0; 1; ::: n^{0};$$
 (5.61)

(where $r_{I} = e_{I}^{\{} r_{\{}\)$, then relations (5.56), (5.57), (5.55), (5.59) read

$$hV_{M}$$
; V_{N} i = 0 ; hV_{M} ; V_{N} i = i_{M N} : (5.62)

The index M mixes holom orphic and antiholom orphic indices in order to compensate for the Lorentian signature of the metric $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{j} \end{bmatrix}$ in (5.55), (5.59).

Explicitly the column vectors of the components of the sections $V_M = V_M e$ are

$$V = \frac{L}{M} = e^{K=2} \frac{X}{F} ; r_{I}V = \frac{r_{I}L}{r_{I}M} ; (5.63)$$

and they can be organized in a 2n n m atrix

$$(V_{M}) = (V; r_{I}V) = \begin{array}{c} L & r_{I}L \\ M & r_{I}M \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} f_{M} \\ h_{M} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} f \\ h \end{array}$$
(5.64)

In the last passage we have denoted by f (respectively h) the n $\,$ n m atrix of entries f $_{\rm M}$ (respectively h $_{\rm M}$).

The N = 2 special geom etry relations (5.62) are equivalent to

$$(f^{y};h^{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & f \\ 1 & 0 & h \end{bmatrix} = i1 = i1; f^{y}h + h^{y}f = i1$$
 (5.65)

and

$$(f^{t};h^{t}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I} & f \\ \mathbb{I} & 0 & h \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
 i.e. $f^{t}h + h^{t}f = 0$ (5.66)

These two relations are equivalent to require the realm atrix

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} p \\ \hline 2 \\ Reh \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Ref \\ Im h \end{array}$$
(5.67)

to be sym plectic. V ice versa any sym plectic matrix ${}_{CD}^{AB}$ leads to relations (5.65), (5.66) by de ning ${}_{h}^{f} = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{2}} {}_{C}^{A} {}_{iD}^{iB}$: The matrix

$$V = \begin{array}{c} f f \\ h h \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} A B \\ C D \end{array} A ; \qquad (5.68)$$

where $A = \frac{1}{P_2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n}$, rotates the at real sym plectic frame feg = fe ; fg in the frame fV_M; V_M g that up to a rotation by $A^{-1} = A^{\gamma}$ is also real and sym plectic (but not at). This fV_M; V_M g frame comes from a local coordinate frame on M, indeed $V_M = (e^{K=2}; e^{K=2}e_1^j(\hat{e}_j))$. The sym plectic connection 1-form in this frame is simply $= V^{-1}dV$, indeed re = 0 is equivalent to

$$dV = V$$
 : (5.69)

We can write = $\frac{! P}{P !}$; and see this equation as a condition on the Levi-Civita connection ! and the tensor P of M . The block decomposition $\frac{! P}{P !}$ follows by recalling that M is in particular a rigid special K ahler manifold. The difference $P_R = r$ D between the at symplectic connection and the Levi-Civita connection is given by the holom orphic symmetric three form C (c.f. (5.11))

$$C = hr ; rr i:$$
 (5.70)

The properties of C previously discussed in the rigid case apply also to this projective special geometry case.

5.2 The N = 2 theory

>From the previous section we see that the N = 2 supergravity theories and the higher N theories have a similar at symplectic structure. The formalism is the same, indeed since the antisymmetric of the U (2) authom orphism group of the N = 2 supersymmetry algebra is a singlet we have

$$f_{AB} = f_{0AB}$$
; $h_{AB} = h_{0AB}$ (5.71)

where f $_0$; h $_0$ are the components of the global section V, therefore from (5.64) we have as in (4.22),

$$f = (f_{M}) = (f_{AB}; f_{I});$$

$$h = (h_{M}) = (h_{AB}; h_{I});$$
(5.72)

as it should be, the sections $\begin{array}{c} f \\ h \\ I \end{array}$ have K ahler weight opposite to the $\begin{array}{c} f \\ h \\ I \end{array}$ sections.

The di erence between the N = 2 cases and the N > 2 cases is that the scalar manifold M of the N = 2 case is not in general a coset manifold. The at symplectic bundle is therefore not in general a trivial bundle. The gauge kinetic term N = $h_M f^{-1^M}$ depends on the choice of the at symplectic frame feg = fe; fg. This latter can be de ned only locally on M[°] (and therefore on M). In another region we have a di erent fram e fe⁰ g = fe⁰; f⁰ g and therefore a di erent gauge kinetic term N[°]. In the common overlapping region the two formulations should give the same theory, this is indeed the case because the corresponding equations of motion are related by a duality rotation. As a consequence the notion of electric or magnetic charge depends on the at fram e chosen. In this sense the notion of electric and magnetic charge is not a fundam ental one. The symplectic group is a gauge group (where just constant gauge transform ations are allowed) and only gauge invariant quantities are physical.

A related aspect of the comparison between the N = 2 and the N > 2 theories is that the special K ahler structure determ ines the presence of a new geom etric quantity, the holom orphic cubic form C, which physically corresponds to the anom alous m agnetic m om ents of the N = 2 theory. When the special K ahler m anifold M is itself a coset m anifold [78], then the anom alous m agnetic m om ents C _{ijk} are expressible in terms of the vielbein of G = H, this is for example the case of the N = 2 theories with scalar m anifold G = H = $\frac{SU(1;L)}{U(1)}$ $\frac{O(6;2)}{O(6) O(2)}$ and G = H = $\frac{SO^{7}(12)}{U(6)}$ [78].

To complete the analogy between the N=2 theory with n^0 vector multiplets and the higher N theories in D=4, we also give the supersymmetry transformation laws, the central and matter charges, the dimension relations among them and the formula for the potential $\mathscr{V}_{\rm B\,H}$.

The supercovariant electric eld strength \hat{F} is

$$\hat{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{f} \stackrel{A \ B}{\longrightarrow} AB \quad \text{if}_{\{A \ A \ B\}} \stackrel{AB}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{V}^{a} + h\mathbf{c}: \tag{5.73}$$

The transform ation laws for the chiral gravitino $_{A}$ and gaugino iA elds are:

$$A = r A + ABT B + ;$$
 (5.74)

$$i^{iA} = i g z^{i} + \frac{i}{2} T_{i} + g^{i|AB}_{B} + ;$$
 (5.75)

where:

$$T = h F \quad f G ; \qquad (5.76)$$

$$T_{i} = T_{I}e_{i}^{I}; \text{ with } T_{I} = h_{I}F \qquad f_{I}G; \qquad (5.77)$$

are respectively the graviphoton and the matter vectors. In (5.74), (5.75) the position of the SU (2) automorphism index A (A; B = 1; 2) is related to chirality, namely ($_{A}$; iA) are chiral, (A ; $^{I}_{A}$) antichiral.

In order to de ne the sym plectic invariant charges let us recall the de nition of the m agnetic and electric charges (the m oduli independent charges) in (4.10). The central charges and the m atter charges are then de ned as the integrals over a sphere at spatial in nity of the dressed graviphoton and m atter vectors (4.21), they are given in (4.23), (4.24):

$$(Z_{M}) = Z; Z_{I} = \overline{W}(\underline{1})^{-1}Q$$
(5.78)

where $_1$ is the value of the scalar elds at spatial in nity. Because of (5.61) we get immediately:

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{I}}\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{I}} : \tag{5.79}$$

This relation can also be written $r_{I}Z_{AB} = Z_{IAB}$, and considering the vielbein 1form P^{I} dual to the frame e_{I} introduced in (5.60) and setting $r P^{I}r_{I}$ we obtain $r Z_{AB} = Z_{I}P^{I}{}_{AB}$:

The positive de nite quadratic invariant \mathscr{V}_{BH} in terms of the charges Z and Z_I reads

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH} = \frac{1}{2}ZZ + Z_{I}Z^{I} = \frac{1}{2}Q^{t}M$$
 (N)Q : (5.80)

Equation (5.80) is obtained by using exactly the same procedure as in (4.27). Invariance of \mathscr{V}_{BH} implies that it is a well de ned positive function on M .

6 Duality rotations in Noncommutative Spacetime

Field theories on noncommutative spaces have received renewed interest since their relevance in describing Dp-branes elective actions (see [79] and references therein). Noncommutativity in this context is due to a nonvanishing NS background two form on the Dp-brane. First space-like (magnetic) backgrounds (B^{ij} \in 0) were considered, then NCYM theories also with time noncommutativity (B⁰ⁱ \in 0) have been studied [82]. The NCYM theories that can be obtained from open strings in the decoupling limit ⁰! 0 are those with B space-like or light-like (e.g. B_{0i} = B_{1i}), these were also considered the only theories without unitatrity problem s [83], how ever by applying a proper perturbative setup it was shown that also time-space noncommutative eld theories can be unitary [84].

Following [79], gauge theory on a Dp-brane with constant two-form B can be described via a commutative Lagrangian and eld strength L (F + B) or via a noncommutative one P(P), where P = 0 A 0 A i[A ; A]. Here ? is the star product, on coordinates [x ; x] = x ? x x ? x = i , where depends on B and the metric on the Dp-brane. The commutative and the noncommutative descriptions are complementary and are related by Seiberg-W itten map (SW map) [79], [80,81]. In the 0! 0 lim it [79] the exact electrom agnetic theory on a Dp-brane is noncommutative electrom mutative descriptions are commutative electrom agnetic theory on a Dp-brane is noncommutative U (1) gauge theory.

In this section we consider a D3-brane action in the slow ly varying eld approximation, we give an explicit expression of this nonlinear U (1) theory and we show that it is self-dual when B (or) is light-like. V ia SW map solutions of U (1) nonlinear electrom agnetism are mapped into solutions of NCEM, so that duality rotations are also a symmetry of NCEM, i.e., NCEM is self-dual [85], [52]. When is space-like we do not have self-duality and the S-dual of space-like NCYM is a noncommutative open string theory decoupled from closed strings [87]. Related work appeared in [88{90}]. We mention that self-duality of NCEM was initially studied in [86] to rst order in . On one hand it is per se interesting to provide new examples of self-dual nonlinear electrom agnetism, as the one we give with the lagrangian (6.12). On the other hand this lagrangian is via Seiberg-W itten map, and for slow ly varying elds, just NCEM . Form ally NCEM resembles U (N) YM on commutative space, and on toriw ith rational the two theories are T-dual [91]. Self-duality of NCEM then hints to a possible duality symmetry property of the equations of motion of U (N) YM .

Self-Duality of the D3-brane action

Consider the D 3-brane elective action in a IIB supergravity background with constant axion, dilaton NS and RR two-forms. The background two-forms can be gauged away in the bulk and we are left with the eld strength F = F + B on the D 3-brane. Here B is de ned as the constant part of F, or $B = F j_{\text{spatial1}}$ since F vanish at spatial in nity. For slow ly varying elds the Lagrangian, in Einstein frame is essentially the Born-Infeld action with axion and dilaton. We set for simplicity $N = \lim_{p \to 1} \max_{q \to 1} \frac{1}{q_s} \sum_{r \to 1}^{r} \frac{1}{q_s} \frac$

$$G = q \frac{F + \frac{0^2}{4}FFF}{1 + \frac{0^2}{2}F^2 - \frac{0^4}{16}(FF)^2} :$$
(6.1)

Here $F = {}^{p}\overline{g}$ F, cf. footnote 2, Section 2.1. One can then consider a duality

rotation by an angle and extract how B (the constant part of F) transform s

$$B^{0} = \cos B \qquad \sin \frac{B}{1 + \frac{0^{2}}{2}B^{2}} = \frac{0^{4}}{16}(BB)^{2} \qquad (6.2)$$

Open/closed strings and light-like noncommutativity The open and closed string parameters are related by (see [79], the expressions for G and rst appeared in [92])

$$\frac{1}{g + {}^{0}B} = G^{-1} + \frac{1}{0}$$

$$g^{-1} = (G^{-1} = {}^{0})G(G^{-1} + = {}^{0}) = G^{-1} - {}^{0-2} - G$$

$${}^{0}B = (G^{-1} = {}^{0}) = {}^{0}(G^{-1} + = {}^{0})$$

$$G_{s} = g_{s} - \frac{detG}{det(g + {}^{0}B)} = g_{s}^{p} - \frac{detG det(G^{-1} + = {}^{0})}{detG det(G^{-1} + = {}^{0})} = g_{s}^{p} - \frac{detg^{-1} det(g + {}^{0}B)}{detg^{-1} det(g + {}^{0}B)}$$

The decoupling lim it ⁰! 0 with G_s ; G; nonzero and nite [79] leads to a well de ned eld theory only if B is space-like or light-like. Looking at the closed and open string coupling constants it is easy to see why one needs this space-like or light-like condition on B in perform ing this lim it. Consider the coupling constants ratio $G_s=g_s$, that expanding the 4x4 determ inant reads (here $B^2 = B$ B g g , $^2 = G$ G and so on)

$$\frac{G_s}{g_s} = \frac{1 + \frac{0^2}{2}}{1 + \frac{0^2}{2}} \frac{2}{16} \frac{0^4}{(1 + \frac{0^2}{2})^2} = \frac{1 + \frac{0^2}{2}}{1 + \frac{0^2}{2}} \frac{0^4}{16} (BB)^2 : (6.3)$$

Both G_s and g_s must be positive; since G and are by denition nite for ⁰! 0 this implies = 0 and ² 0. Now = 0, det = 0, det B = 0, BB = 0. In this case from (6.3) we also have ² = ⁰⁴B². In conclusion in order for the ⁰! 0 limit dened by keeping G_s ; G; nonzero and nite [79], to be well dened we need

$$B^2$$
 0; $BB = 0$ i.e. 2^2 0; $= 0$ (6.4)

This is the condition for B (and) to be space-like or light-like. Indeed with M inkowski m etric and in three vector notation (6.4) reads B 2 E 2 0 and E ? B.

If we now require the 0 ! 0 lim it to be compatible with duality rotations, we immediately see that we have to consider only the light-like case B² = BB = 0. Indeed under U (1) rotations the electric and magnetic elds mix up, in particular under a =2 rotation (6.2) a space-like B becomes time-like.

In the light-like case det(g + ${}^{0}B$) = det(g), relations (6.3) sim plify considerably. The open and closed string coupling constants coincide, since we set $g_s = 1$ we have $G_s = g_s = 1$, this also implies det(G) = det(g) so that the hodge dual eld F[?] with the g m etric equals the one with the G m etric. U se of the relations

$$=\frac{1}{2}^{2}$$
; $=\frac{1}{4}$ (6.5)

valid for any antisymmetric tensor , shows that any two-tensor at least cubic in (or B) vanishes. It follows that g ^{1}G = and that the raising or lowering of the and B indices is independent from the metric used. We also have

$$B = {}^{0}{}^{2}$$
 : (6.6)

Self-duality of NCBI and NCEM

W e now study duality rotations for noncom m utative Born-Infeld (NCBI) theory and its zero slope lim it that is NCEM. The relation between the NCBI and the BIL agrangians is [79]

$$\dot{B}_{BI}(\dot{F};G;;G_s) = L_{BI}(F+B;g) + O(@F) + totaler:$$
 (6.7)

where O (@F) stands for higher order derivative corrections, P is the noncommutative U (1) eld strength and we have set $g_s = 1$. The NCBILagrangian is

$$\overset{\text{P}}{\mathbb{B}}_{B_{I}}(\overset{\text{P}}{\mathbb{F}}; G; ; G_{s}) = \frac{1}{{}^{0^{2}}G_{s}} \overset{\text{Q}}{\operatorname{det}}(G + {}^{0}\overset{\text{Q}}{\mathbb{P}}) + O((\overset{\text{Q}}{\mathbb{P}}):$$
(6.8)

In the slow ly varying eld approximation the action of duality rotations on b_{BI} is derived from self-duality of L_{BI} . If p is a solution of the $b_{BI}^{G_s,S_i}$ EOM then p^0 obtained via

 $p^{SW} = r^{ap} F^{duality} rot F^{O} SW = r^{ap} p^{O}$

is a solution of the $B_{BI}^{G_{s}^{0}\mathcal{F}^{0}; 0}$ EOM where $G_{s}^{0};G^{0}; 0$ are obtained using (6.3) from g^{0}, B^{0} and $g_{s}^{0} = g_{s} = 1$.

In the light-like case we have $G_s = g_s = 1$, the B rotation (6.2) simplifies to

$$B^{\circ} = \cos B \quad \sin B : \tag{6.9}$$

U sing (6.9) the U (1) duality action on the open string variables is

$$G^0 = G$$
; $^0 = \cos$ sin : (6.10)

For light-like, solutions r^{b} of $r^{b^{G}}$; are mapped into solutions $r^{b^{0}}$ of $r^{b^{G}}$. Thus we can map solutions of $r^{b^{G}}$; into solutions of $r^{b^{G}}$; , therefore the theory described by $r^{b^{G}}$; has U (1) duality rotation symmetry.

In order to show self-duality of NCEM we consider the zero slope lim it of (6.7) and verify that the resulting lagrangian on the r.h.s. of (6.7) is self-dual. We rewrite L_{BI} in terms of the open string parameters G;

$$L_{BI} = \frac{1p}{c^{2}} \frac{\det(g + {}^{0}F)}{\det(g + {}^{0}F)} = \frac{p}{c^{2}} \frac{\det(g + {}^{0}B + {}^{0}F)}{\det(g + {}^{0}B)}$$
$$= \frac{1p}{c^{2}} \frac{\det(g + {}^{0}F + G + F)}{\det(g + {}^{0}F + G + F)} :$$
(6.11)

det
$$G^{-1}$$
 det $(G^{+} G^{+} F^{+} G^{-} F^{-}) = (1 \frac{1}{2} F^{-})^{2} + \frac{0^{2}}{2} [\frac{1}{2}F^{-} F^{-} + \frac{1}{4} F^{-} F^{-} F^{-}] = (1 \frac{1}{4}F^{-} F^{-})^{2}$

Finally we take the 0 ! 0 lim it of (6.11), by dropping the in nite constant and total derivatives the resulting Lagrangian is 12 G tim es

$$\frac{\frac{1}{4}F^{2}}{1}\frac{\frac{1}{8}}{\frac{1}{2}}FF} = \frac{1}{1}FF$$
(6.12)

We thus have an expression for NCEM in term s of F , and G (of course G can be taken), $\dot{E}_{\rm EM} = \frac{P}{G} \dot{E}_{\rm EM}$,

$$\dot{B}_{\rm EM} \qquad \frac{1}{4}\dot{P}\dot{P} = \frac{\frac{1}{4}F^2}{1} \frac{\frac{1}{8}F}{\frac{1}{2}F} + O(@F) + \text{tot:der:}$$
(6.13)

The Lagrangian (6.12) satis es the self-duality condition (3.20) with ' = , = 0, a = d = 0, c = b and therefore NCEM is self-dual under the U (1) duality rotations (6.10) and F⁰ = cos F sin G. The change in ! ⁰, that is not a dynam ical ed, can be cancelled by a rotation in space so that therefore we can map solution of the EOM of (6.13) into solutions of the EOM of (6.13) with the same value of .

This duality can be enhanced to Sp(2; R) by considering also axion and dilaton elds; also Higgs elds can be coupled, the coupling is m inim al in the noncom m utative theory. U sing this duality one can relate space-noncom m utative m agnetic m onopoles with a string (D 1-string D 3-brane con guration) to space-noncom m utative electric m onopoles (possibly an F-string ending on a D 3-brane) [52,53]. A cknow ledgm ents

W e thank Laura Andrianopoli, Leonardo Castellani, Anna Ceresole, Riccardo D'auria, Pietro Fre and Mario Trigiante for valuable discussions.

The work of PA.was supported in part by the European Community's Human PotentialProgram under contract MRTN-CT-2004-005104 C onstituents, Fundam ental Forces and Symmetries of the Universe" in association with University of Alessandria, and in part by the Italian MIUR under contract PRIN-2005023102.

The work of S.F. was supported in part by the European Community Human PotentialProgram meunder contract MRTN-CT-2004-503369 C onstituents, Fundamental Forces and Symmetries of the Universe" in association with LNF-INFN and in part by DOE grant DE-FG 03-91ER 40662, Task C.

The work of B Z.was supported in part by the D irector, O \propto of Science, O \propto of H igh Energy and N uclear Physics, D ivision of H igh Energy Physics of the U S.D epartment of Energy under Contract D E-A C 03-76SF 00098, in part by the N ational Science Foundation under grant PHY -0457315.

7 A ppendix: Sym plectic group and transform ations

7.1 Sym plectic group (A ;B ;C ;D and f ;h and V m atrices) The sym plectic group Sp(2n ;R) is the group of real 2n 2n m atrices that satisfy

$$S^{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(7.1)

Setting $S = A C_{BD}$ we explicitly have

$$A^{t}C \quad C^{t}A = 0 ; B^{t}D \quad D^{t}B = 0 ; A^{t}D \quad C^{t}B = 1 :$$
 (7.2)

Since the transpose of a sym plectic matrix is again sym plectic we equivalently have

$$AB^{t} BA^{t} = 0 ; CD^{t} DC^{t} = 0 ; AD^{t} BC^{t} = 1 :$$
 (7.3)

In particular $A^{t}C$; $B^{t}D$; CA^{-1} ; BD^{-1} ; $A^{-1}B$; $D^{-1}C$; AB^{t} ; DC^{t} are symmetric matrices (in case they exist).

If D is invertible we have the factorization

where $A = D^{t^{1}} + BD^{1}C$ follows from $BD^{1} = D^{t^{1}}B^{t}$.

The com plex basis

It is often convenient to consider the complex basis $\frac{1}{P_2} \sum_{F=1}^{F+iG}$ rather than F_G . The transition from the real to the complex basis is given by the symplectic and unitary matrix A⁻¹, where

$$A = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} \quad \text{il il} \quad ; \quad A^{-1} = A^{y} : \quad (7.5)$$

A sym plectic matrix S, belonging to the fundamental representation of Sp(2n;R), in the complex basis reads

$$U = A^{-1}SA :$$
 (7.6)

There is a 1-1 correspondence between matrices U as in (7.6) and complex 2n 2n matrices belonging to U(n;n) $\$ Sp(2n;C),

Equations (7.7) de ne a representation of Sp(2n; R) on the complex vector space C^{2n} . It is the direct sum of the representations and , these are real representations of realdimension 2n. (The representation is the vector space of all linear combinations, with coecients in R, of vectors of the kind).

The maximal compact subgroup of U (n;n) is U (n) U (n); because of the second relation in (7.7) the maximal compact subgroup of Sp(2n;R) is U (n). The usual embedding of U (n) into the complex and the fundam ental representations of Sp(2n;R) are respectively

where u belongs to the fundam ental of U (n).

$$(f^{y};h^{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & f \\ 1 & 0 & h \end{bmatrix} = i1 = i2; f^{y}h + h^{y}f = i1$$
(7.9)

and

$$(f^{t};h^{t}) = 0$$
 is: $f^{t}h + h^{t}f = 0$ (7.10)

These two relations are equivalent to require the realmatrix

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc}
P - & \text{Ref} & \text{Imf} \\
R & \text{Reh} & \text{Imh}
\end{array}$$
(7.11)

to be in the fundam ental representation of Sp(2n;R). V ice versa any sym plectic m atrix A_{CD}^{AB} leads to relations (7.9), (7.10) by de ning

In terms of the f and h matrices we have

$$U = A^{-1} \quad \begin{array}{c} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \quad A = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} \quad \begin{array}{c} f + ih & f + ih \\ f + ih & f + ih \end{array} \quad (7.13)$$

The V m atrix and its sym plectic vectors The matrix

$$V = \begin{array}{c} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \quad A = \begin{array}{c} f & f \\ h & h \end{array}$$
(7.14)

transform s from the left via the fundam ental representation of Sp(2n;R) and from the right via the complex representation of Sp(2n;R). Since A is a symplectic matrix we have that V is a symplectic matrix, $V_{10}^{t} V = {0 \\ 1 \\ 0}^{0} V = {0 \\ 1 \\ 0}^{0} V^{t} = {0 \\ 1 \\ 0}^{0}$: The columns of the V matrix are therefore mutually symplectic vectors; also the rows are mutually symplectic vectors. Explicitly if V is the vector with components given by the -th row of V, then V V = , where = ${0 \\ 1 \\ 0}^{0} V$.

7.2 The coset space Sp(2n; R)=U(n) (M and N matrices)

All positive de nite symmetric and symplectic matrices S are of the form

$$S = gg^{t}$$
; $g 2 Sp(2n;R)$: (7.15)

Indeed consider the factorization (7.4) (since S is positive de nite also its restriction to an n dimensional subspace is positive de nite, therefore D is invertible). The factorization (7.15) is obtained for example by considering the symplectic matrix

$$g = \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & B D & 1 & P \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & P \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & P \\ \end{array} ; \qquad (7.16)$$

where the matrix p_{D} is the unique positive de nite square root of the symmetric and positive de nite matrix D. (Notice that the same proof shows that any symmetric and symplectic matrix $A_{B tD}^{A B}$ with invertible and positive de nite matrix D is of the form gg^t and therefore is positive de nite).

We can now show that the coset space Sp(2n; R)=U(n) is the space of all positive definite symmetric and symplectic matrices. The maximal compact subgroup of Sp(2n; R) is $H := fg 2 Sp(2n; R); gg^{t} = 1g$, and we have seen in (7.8) that it is U(n).

We then denote by gH the elements of Sp(2n;R)=U (n), where H = U (n), and consider the map

$$:\frac{\operatorname{Sp}(2n;\mathbb{R})}{\operatorname{U}(n)} \quad ! \quad \text{fS 2 Sp}(2n;\mathbb{R});\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^{t} \text{ and S positive de niteg}$$

$$gH \quad 7 \quad gg^{t} \quad (7.17)$$

Thism ap is well de ned because it does not depend on the representative g 2 Sp(2n;R) of the equivalence class gH. Form ula (7.15) shows that thism ap is surjective. In jectivity is also easily proven: if $gg^t = g^0g^{0t}$ then $g^{0-1}g(g^{0-1}g)^t = 1$, so that $u = g^{0-1}g$ is an element of Sp(2n;R) that satis es $uu^t = 1$. Therefore $u = g^{0-1}g$ belongs to the maxim alcom pact subgroup H = U (n), hence g and g^0 belong to the same coset.

The M and N m atrices

Notice that the n n matrices $f = (f_a)_{a=1,...n}$, are invertible. Indeed if the columns of f were linearly dependent, say $f_a^{a} = 0$, i.e. f = 0, with a nonzero vector , then sandwiching (7.9) between ^y and we would obtain

$$(f)^{y}h + {}^{y}h^{y}f = i {}^{y} \in 0$$
 (7.18)

that is absurd. Similarly also the matrix $h = (h_a)$ is invertible. We can then de ne the invertible n n matrix

$$N = hf^{\perp}$$
(7.19)

that is symmetric (cf. (7.10)) and that has negative de nite in aginary part (cf. (7.9))

$$N = N^{t}$$
; Im $N = \frac{i}{2}(N N^{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(ff^{y})^{1}$; (7.20)

(while N⁻¹ has positive de nite in aginary part N⁻¹ N^{-y} = $i(hh^y)^{-1}$). Any symmetric matrix with negative de nite in aginary part is of the form (7.19) for some (f;h) satisfying (7.9) and (7.10) (just consider any f that satisfyes (7.20)). There is also a 1-1-correspondence between symmetric complex matrices N with negative de nite in aginary part and symmetric negative de nite matrices M of Sp(2n;R). Given N we

consider

Since symmetric negative de nitematrices M of Sp(2n;R) parametrize the coset space Sp(2n;R)=U(n), the matrices N too parametrize this coset space.

Under sym plectic rotations (5.33) we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} f & f & 0 & f & A & B & f \\ h & h & A & h & C & D & h \end{array}$$
 (7.22)

and

The transform ation of the imaginary part of N is (recall (7.20))

The transform ation of the corresponding matrix M (N) is

this last relation easily follows from (7.21) and from ${}_{\rm f}^{\rm h} = {}_{\rm l \ 0}^{\rm o \ l} {}_{\rm h}^{\rm f}$.

The relation between the negative de nite symmetric matrix M de ned in (7.21) and S de ned in (7.15) can be obtained from their transformation properties under Sp(2n; R),

$$M = S^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (7.26)$$

We also have $M = V Y^{1}$:

If we write $A_{BD}^{C} = 0_{01}^{10} + a_{cd}^{ab}$ with in nitesimal we obtain that the 2n 2n matrix

belongs to the Lie algebra of Sp(2n;R) if a;b;c;d are real n n matrices that satisfy the relations

$$a^{t} = d; b^{t} = b; c^{t} = c:$$
 (7.28)

The Lie algebra of U (n) in this fundam ental representation of Sp(2n;R) is given by the matrices

a b ba

with $b = b^t$, $a = a^t$.

In the complex basis (7.6) the Lie algebra of Sp(2n; R) is given by the 2n = 2n matrices

where a and b are complex n n m atrices that satisfy the relations

$$a^{Y} = a; b^{t} = b:$$
 (7.30)

The Lie algebra of U (n) in this complex basis is given by the matrices $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$ with $a^y = a$.

8 Appendix: Unilateral Matrix Equations

The rem arkable sym m etry property of the trace of the solution of the m atrix equation (3.102) holds for m ore general m atrix equations. This trace property and the structure of the solution itself are studied in [18], and with a di erent m ethod in [70]; see also [71] for a uni ed approach based on the generalized B ezout theorem , and [69] for convergence of perturbative solutions of m atrix equations and a new form of the noncommutative Lagrange inversion form ula.

In this appendix we prove the symmetry property of the trace of certain solutions (and their powers) of unilateral matrix equations. These are Nth order matrix equations for the variable X with matrix coe cients A_i which are all on one side, e.g. on the left

$$X = A_0 + A_1 X + A_2 X^2 + \dots + A_N X^N$$
(8.1)

The matrices are all square and of arbitrary degree. We may equally consider the A_i 's as generators of an associative algebra, and X an element of this algebra which satis es the above equation. We consider the form al solution of (8.1) obtained as the limit of the sequence $X_0 = 0$, $X_{k+1} = A_0 + A_1 X_k + A_2 X_k^2 + :::+ A_N X_k^N$: It is convenient to assign to every matrix a dimension d such that d(X) = 1. Using (8.1), the dimension of the matrix A_i is given by $d(A_i) = i$ 1.

First note that we can rewrite equation (8.1) as

The right hand side factorizes

Under the trace we can use the fundam ental property of the logarithm, even for noncommutative objects, and obtain

Tr log(1
$$A_{i}$$
) = Tr log(1 $A_{k}X^{m}$) + Tr log(1 X):
 $i=0$ $k=1 m=0$

Using $d(A_k) = k$ 1 and d(X) = 1 we have $d(A_kX^m) = k$ m 1 and we see that all the words in the argument of the rst logarithm on the right hand side have sem i-positive dimension. Since all the words in the expansion of the second term have negative dimension we obtain

$$Tr \log(1 \quad X) = Tr \log(1 \quad A_{i})_{d < 0} : \qquad (8.2)$$

On the right hand side of (8.2) one must expand the logarithm and restrict the sum to words of negative dimension. Since $d(X^r) = r$ by extracting the dimension d = r terms from the right hand side of (8.2) we obtain

$$\operatorname{Tr}^{r} = r \qquad \begin{array}{c} X \\ \xrightarrow{fa_{i}g} \\ \sum (i \ 1)a_{i} = r \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} P_{N} \\ \xrightarrow{i=0} a_{i} \ 1 \ ! \\ a_{0} \ b_{1} \ ! \ \cdots \ a_{N} \ ! \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Tr} S \left(A_{0}^{a_{0}} A_{1}^{a_{1}} \ \cdots \ A_{N}^{a_{N}} \right) : \qquad (8.3) \end{array}$$

The relevant point is that all the term s in the expansion of Tr log(1 $P_{i=0}^{N} A_{i}$) are automatically symmetrized, this explains the symmetrization operator S in the A_{0} ; A_{1} ; $::A_{N}$ matrix coecients.

If the coe cient A $_{\rm N}\,$ is unity, we have the following identity for the symmetrization operators of N + 1 and of N coe cients (words)

$$S(A_0^{a_0}A_1^{a_1}:::A_N^{a_N})_{A_N=1} = S(A_0^{a_0}A_1^{a_1}:::A_{N-1}^{a_N-1}):$$

This is obviously true up to norm alization; the norm alization can be checked in the commutative case.

The trace of the solution of (3.102) can now be obtained from (8.3) by considering r = 1 and N = 2 and by setting A_2 to unity.

R eferences

- [1] M.Bom, L. Infeld, Foundations of the New Field Theory, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A 144 (1934) 425
- [2] E. Schrodinger, Contributions to Born's New Theory of the Electrom agnetic Field, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A 150 (1935) 465
- [3] S. Ferrara, J. Scherk, B. Zum ino, Algebraic Properties of Extended Supergravity Theories, Nucl. Phys. B121 (1977) 393
- [4] E.Cremmer, J.Scherk and S.Ferrara, SU(4) Invariant Supergravity Theory, Phys. Lett. B 74, 61 (1978).
- [5] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, The SO (8) Supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B159 (1979) 141, E.Cremmer and B.Julia, The N = 8 Supergravity Theory. 1. The Lagrangian, Phys. Lett. B 80 (1978) 48.
- [6] M.K.Gaillard, B.Zum ino, Duality Rotations for Interacting Fields, Nucl. Phys. B193 (1981) 221
- [7] B.Zum ino, Quantum Structure of Space and Time, Eds.M.J.Du and C.J.Isham (Cambridge University Press) (1982) 363
- [8] L.Castellani, R.D'Auria and P.Fre, Supergravity and Superstrings: a Geometric Perspective W orld Scienti c 1991
- [9] A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and A. Van Proeyen, Duality transformations in supersymmetric Yang-M ills theories coupled to supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B 444 (1995) 92 [arX iv:hep-th/9502072].
- [10] L.Andrianopoli, R.D'Auria, S.Ferrara, P.Fre and M. Trigiante, R-R Scalars, U { Duality and Solvable Lie A bebras, hep-th/9611014, Nucl. Phys. B 496:617-629, 1997

- [11] L.Andrianopoli, R.D 'A uria and S.Ferrara, U-duality and central charges in various dimensions revisited, Int. J. M od. Phys. A 13 (1998) 431 [arX iv:hep-th/9612105].
- [12] G.W. Gibbons, D.A. Rasheed Electric-Magnetic Duality Rotations in Non-Linear Electrodynamics, Nucl. Phys. B 454 (1995) 185; hep-th/9506035
- [13] G.W. Gibbons, D.A. Rasheed, SL (2; R) Invariance of Nonlinear Electrodynamics Coupled to an Axion and Dilaton, Phys. Lett. B 365 (1996) 46; hep-th/9509141
- [14] M.K.G aillard, B.Zum ino, Self-D uality in Nonlinear Electrom agnetism, Supersym metry and Quantum Field Theory, Kharkov 1997, J.W ess and V.P.Akulov, eds., Springer-Verlag 1998; hep-th/9705226
- [15] M. K. Gaillard, B. Zum ino, Nonlinear Electrom agnetic Self-Duality and Legendre Transform ations, Duality and Supersymmetric Theories, D. I. O live and P.C. West, eds., Cambridge University Press 1999; hep-th/9712103
- [16] M. Araki, Y. Tanii, Duality Symmetries in Nonlinear Gauge Theories, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 14 (1999) 1139; hep-th/9808029
- [17] D.Brace, B.Morariu, B.Zum ino, Duality Invariant Born-Infeld Theory, YuriGolfand memorial volume; hep-th/9905218
- [18] P. Aschieri, D. Brace, B. Morariu and B. Zum ino, Nonlinear self-duality in even dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 574, 551 (2000) [arX iv:hep-th/9909021].
- [19] P. Aschieri, D. Brace, B. Morariu and B. Zum ino, Proof of a symmetrized trace conjecture for the Abelian Born-Infeld Lagrangian, Nucl. Phys. B 588, 521 (2000) [arX iv hep-th/0003228].
- [20] E. A. Ivanov and B. M. Zupnik, New representation for Lagrangians of self-dual nonlinear electrodynamics, Talk given at International Workshop on Supersymem try and Quantum Symmetry (SQS '01): 16th Max Born Symposium, Karpacz, Poland, 21–25 Sep 2001. Published in Karpacz 2001, Supersymmetries and quantum symmetries, 235–250, arX iv hep-th/0202203.
 E. A. Ivanov and B. M. Zupnik, New approach to nonlinear electrodynamics: Dualities as symmetries of interaction, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 67 (2004) 2188 [Yad. Fiz. 67 (2004) 2212] [arX iv hep-th/0303192].
- [21] I. Antoniadis, H. Partouche, T. R. Taylor, Spontaneous Breaking of N = 2 G lobal Supersymmetry, Phys. Lett. B 372 (1996); 83 hep-th/9512006
- [22] M. Rocek, A. A. Tseytlin, Partial breaking of global D=4 supersymmetry, constrained super elds, and 3-brane actions, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 106001; hep-th/9811232

- [23] S. M. Kuzenko and S. Theisen, Supersymmetric duality rotations, JHEP 0003 (2000) 034 [arX iv:hep-th/0001068].
- [24] S.M. Kuzenko and S. Theisen, Nonlinear self-duality and supersymmetry, Fortsch. Phys. 49 (2001) 273 [arX iv:hep-th/0007231].
- [25] S.M. Kuzenko and S.A. McCarthy, Nonlinear self-duality and supergravity, JHEP 0302 (2003) 038 [arX iv:hep-th/0212039]. S.M. Kuzenko and S.A. McCarthy, On the component structure of N = 1 supersymmetric nonlinear electrodynamics, JHEP 0505 (2005) 012 [arX iv:hep-th/0501172].
- [26] Y. Tanii, N = 8 Supergravity in Six-D im ensions, Phys. Lett. 145B (1984) 197
- [27] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara, L. Girardello, Hidden Noncom pact Symmetries in String Theory, Nucl. Phys. B 308 (1988) 436
- [28] B. L. Julia, Dualities in the classical supergravity limits, in Strings Branes and Dualities, Cargese (1997), 121 hep-th/9805083
- [29] C.M.Hulland P.K.Townsend, Unity of superstring dualities, Nucl. Phys. B 438, 109 (1995) [arX iv:hep-th/9410167].
- [30] P. Fre, Lectures on Special K ahler G com etry and E lectric {M agnetic D uality Rotations, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 45B C (1996) 59 [arX iv:hep-th/9512043].
- [31] E. Cremmer, B. L. Julia, H. Lu, C. N. Pope, Dualisation of Dualities, I & II, NuclPhys. B 523 (1998) 73, B 535 (1998) 242; hep-th/9710119, hep-th/9806106
- [32] J. Schwinger, A Magnetic Model of Matter, Science 22 165 (1969) 757.
- [33] B.deW it and H.Nicolai, N=8 Supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B 208 (1982) 323.
- [34] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and M. A. Lledo, Gauging of at groups in four dimensional supergravity, JHEP 0207 (2002) 010 [arX iv:hep-th/0203206].
- [35] J. Scherk and J. H. Schwarz, How To Get Masses From Extra Dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 153, 61 (1979).
- [36] E.Cremmer, J.Scherk and J.H.Schwarz, Spontaneously Broken N = 8 Supergravity, Phys.Lett. B 84 (1979) 83.
- [37] S.Ferrara, R.Kallosh and A. Strom inger, N = 2 extrem alblack holes, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 5412 [arX iv:hep-th/9508072].

- [38] S.Ferrara and R.Kallosh, Supersymmetry and Attractors, Phys.Rev.D 54 (1996) 1514 [arX iv:hep-th/9602136].
 S.Ferrara and R.Kallosh, Universality of Supersymmetric Attractors, Phys.Rev. D 54 (1996) 1525 [arX iv:hep-th/9603090].
- [39] A.Strom inger, Macroscopic Entropy of N = 2 Extrem al Black Holes, Phys.Lett. B 383 (1996) 39 [arX iv:hep-th/9602111].
- [40] S.Bellucci, S.Ferrara, R.Kallosh and A.Marrani, Extrem alBlack Hole and Flux Vacua Attractors, arX iv:0711.4547 [hep-th].
- [41] L. Andrianopoli, R. D 'A uria, S. Ferrara and M. Trigiante, Extrem al black holes in supergravity, Lect. Notes Phys. 737, 661 (2008) [arX iv:hep-th/0611345].
- [42] S. Ferrara, K. Hayakawa and A. Marrani, Erice Lectures on Black Holes and Attractors, arX iv:0805.2498 [hep-th].
- [43] S.Ferrara, G.W. Gibbons and R.Kallosh, Black holes and critical points in moduli space, Nucl. Phys. B 500 (1997) 75 [arX iv hep-th/9702103].
- [44] R.Kallosh and B.Kol, E(7) Symmetric Area of the Black Hole Horizon, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 5344 [arX iv:hep-th/9602014].
- [45] S. Ferrara and J. M. Maklacena, Branes, central charges and U-duality invariant BPS conditions, Class. Quant. Grav. 15 (1998) 749 [arX iv:hep-th/9706097].
 S. Ferrara and M. Gunaydin, Orbits of exceptional groups, duality and BPS states in string theory, Int. J. M od. Phys. A 13 (1998) 2075 [arX iv:hep-th/9708025].
- [46] S. Deser, R. Puzalowski, Supersymmetric Nonpolynomial Vector Multiplets and Causal Propagation, J. Phys. A 13 (1980) 2501
- [47] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara, Supersymmetric Born-Infeld Lagrangians, Phys. Lett. 187B (1987) 335
- [48] J, Bagger, A, Galperin, A New Goldstone Multiplet for a Partially Broken Supersymmetry, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 1091; hep-th/9608177
- [49] D. S. Freed, Special Kaehler manifolds, Commun. Math. Phys. 203 (1999) 31 [arX iv hep-th/9712042].
- [50] M.A.Lledo, O.Macia, A.Van Proeyen and V.S.Varadarajan, Special geometry for arbitrary signatures, Contribution to the handbook on pseudo-R iem annian geometry and super-symmetry, ed.V.Cortes, Eur.Math.Society series IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics.arX iv:hep-th/0612210, mu

- [51] V.Cortes, SpecialK aehler manifolds: a survey, in Proceedings of the 21st W inter Schoolon \G eom etry and Physics" (Smi 2001), Eds. J. Slovak and M artin Cadek, R end. C irc. M at. Palerm o (2) Suppl. no. 66 (2001), 11, arX iv m ath/0112114.
- [52] P.Aschieri, Duality rotations and BPS monopoles with space and time noncommutativity, Nucl. Phys. B 617 (2001) 321 [arX iv hep-th/0106281].
- [53] P. Aschieri, Monopoles in space-time noncommutative Born-Infeld theory, Fortsch. Phys. 50 (2002) 680 [arX iv hep-th/0112186].
- [54] P. Aschieri, L. Castellani and A. P. Isaev, Discretized Yang-Mills and Born-Infeld actions on nite group geometries, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 18 (2003) 3555 [arXiv:hep-th/0201223].
- [55] I. Bialynicki-Birula and Z. Bialynicka-Birula, Quantum Electrodynamics, Pergamon, Oxford 1975, (also available at http://www.cft.edu.pl/ birula/publ.php).
- [56] S.Deser and C.Teitelboim, Duality Transformations Of Abelian And Nonabelian Gauge Fields, Phys. Rev. D 13 (1976) 1592.
- [57] I. Bialynicki-Birula, Nonlinear Electrodynamics: Variations On A Theme By Born And Infeld, In *Jancewicz, B. (Ed.), Lukierski, J. (Ed.): Quantum Theory Of Particles and Fields*, 31-48 (also available at http://www.cft.edu.pl/ birula/publ.php).
- [58] S. Deser and O. Sarioglu, Ham iltonian electric/magnetic duality and Lorentz invariance, Phys. Lett. B 423 (1998) 369 [arX iv:hep-th/9712067].

Put reference to Deser

- [59] S.R.Colem an, J.W ess and B.Zum ino, Structure of phenom enological Lagrangians. 1, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2239.
- [60] C.G. Callan, S.R. Coleman, J.W ess and B.Zum ino, Structure of phenom enological Lagrangians. 2, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2247.
- [61] P.C.Argyres, C.R.Nappi, Spin 1 E ective Actions from Open Strings, Nucl. Phys. B 330 (1990) 151
- [62] A.A.Tseytlin, On Non-abelian Generalisation of Born-Infeld Action in String Theory, Nucl. Phys. B 501 (1997) 41; hep-th/9701125
- [63] L. Andrianopoli, R. D 'A uria and S. Ferrara, U-invariants, black-hole entropy and xed scalars, Phys. Lett. B 403 (1997) 12 [arX iv:hep-th/9703156].

- [64] L.Castellani, A.Ceresole, S.Ferrara, R.D 'Auria, P.Fre and E.Maina, The Com plete N = 3 M atter Coupled Supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B 268 (1986) 317.
- [65] E.Cremmer in Supergravity '81, ed. by S.Ferrara and J.G.Taylor, Pag. 313; B. Julia in Superspace & Supergravity, ed. by S.Hawking and M.Rocek, Cambridge (1981) pag. 331
- [66] R.Kallosh and M. Soroush, Explicit Action of E7(7) on N=8 Supergravity Fields, Nucl. Phys. B 801 (2008) 25 [arX iv:0802.4106 [hep-th]].
- [67] M. Trigiante, Dual Gauged Supergravities, arX iv hep-th/0701218.
- [68] B. Craps, F. Roose, W. Troost and A. Van Proeyen, W hat is special Kaehler geom etry?, Nucl. Phys. B 503, 565 (1997) [arX iv hep-th/9703082].
- [69] P.Aschieri, D.Svrtan, Convergence of perturbative solutions of matrix equations, in preparation.
- [70] A.S.Schwarz, Noncommutative A bebraic Equations and Noncommutative Eigenvalue Problem, Lett. M ath. Phys. 52 (2000) 177 [arX iv:hep-th/0004088].
- [71] B. L. Cerchiai and B. Zum ino, Properties of perturbative solutions of unilateral matrix equations, Lett. Math. Phys. 54, 33 (2000) [arX iv hep-th/0009013].
 B. L. Cerchiai and B. Zum ino, Som e remarks on unilateral matrix equations, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 16, 191 (2001) [arX iv hep-th/0105065].
- [72] B.Zum ino, Supersymmetry and Kahler Manifolds, Phys. Lett. 87B (1979) 203
- [73] P. B inetruy and M.K. Gaillard, S-Duality Constraints on E ective Potentials for Gaugino Condensation, Phys. Lett. B 365 (1996) 87; hep-th/9506207
- [74] L.Andrianopoli, R.D'Auria, S.Ferrara and M. Trigiante, Black-hole attractors in N = 1 supergravity, JHEP 0707 (2007) 019 [arXiv:hep-th/0703178].
- [75] S. Ferrara and A. Strom inger, N = 2 space-time supersymmetry and CalabiYau M oduli Space, in Proceedings of College Station W orkshop \Strings '89", pag. 245, eds. A mow itt et al., W orld scienti c 1989. P. Candelas and X. de la Ossa, M oduli Space of CalabiYau M anifolds, Nucl. Phys. B 355, 455 (1991). B. de W it and A. Van Proeyen, Potentials And Symmetries Of General Gauged N = 2 Supergravity Yang-M ills M odels, Nucl. Phys. B 245, 89 (1984). E. Cremmer, C. Kounnas, A. Van Proeyen, J. P. Derendinger, S. Ferrara, B. de W it and L. Girardelb, Vector M ultiplets Coupled To N = 2 Supergravity: Superhiggs E ect, F lat Potentials And Geometric Structure, Nucl. Phys. B 250, 385 (1985). B. de W it, P. G. Lauwers and A. Van Proeyen, Lagrangians Of N = 2 Supergravity M atter System s, Nucl.

Phys. B 255, 569 (1985). S. Ferrara, C. Kounnas, D. Lust and F. Zwimer, Duality invariant partition functions and automorphic superpotentials for (2,2) string compactications, Nucl. Phys. B 365, 431 (1991). L. Castellani, R. D'Auria and S. Ferrara, Special geometry without special coordinates, Class. Quant. Grav. 7, 1767 (1990). L. Castellani, R. D'Auria and S. Ferrara, SpecialKahler Geometry; an intrinsic Formulation from N = 2 Space-Time Supersymmetry, Phys. Lett. B 241, 57 (1990).

- [76] A. Strom inger, SpecialGeometry, Commun.Math.Phys. 133 (1990) 163.
- [77] L. Andrianopoli, M. Bertolini, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fre and T. Magri, N = 2 supergravity and N = 2 super Yang-Mills theory on general scalar manifolds: Symplectic covariance, gaugings and the momentum map, J. Geom. Phys. 23 (1997) 111 [arX iv:hep-th/9605032]. L. Andrianopoli, M. Bertolini, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and P. Fre, General Matter Coupled N = 2 Supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B 476 (1996) 397 [arX iv:hep-th/9603004].
- [78] E.Cremmer and A.Van Proeyen, Classication Of Kahler Manifolds In N = 2 Vector Multiplet Supergravity Couplings, Class. Quant. Grav. 2 (1985) 445.
- [79] N. Seiberg and E.W itten, String theory and noncommutative geometry, JHEP9909 (1999) 032 [hep-th/9908142].
- [80] J.M adore, S. Schram L, P. Schupp and J.W ess, G auge theory on noncommutative spaces, Eur. Phys. J.C 16 (2000) 161 [arX iv:hep-th/0001203].
- [81] B. Jurco, P. Schupp and J. Wess, Nonabelian noncommutative gauge theory via noncommutative extra dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 604 (2001) 148 [hep-th/0102129].
- [82] N. Seiberg, L. Susskind and N. Toumbas, Space/time non-commutativity and causality, JHEP0006 (2000) 044 [hep-th/0005015].
 J. L. Barbon and E. Rabinovici, Stringy fuzziness as the custodian of time-space noncommutativity, Phys. Lett. B 486 (2000) 202 [hep-th/0005073].
 J. G om is and T. M ehen, Space-time noncommutative eld theories and unitarity, Nucl. Phys. B 591 (2000) 265 [hep-th/0005129].
- [83] O.Aharony, J.G om is and T.M ehen, On theories with light-like noncommutativity, JHEP0009 (2000) 023 [hep-th/0006236].
- [84] D. Bahns, S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen and G. Piacitelli, On the unitarity problem in space/time noncommutative theories, Phys. Lett. B 533 (2002) 178 [arX iv hep-th/0201222].

- [85] P. Aschieri, On duality rotations in light-like noncommutative electromagnetism, M od. Phys. Lett. A 16 (2001) 163 [hep-th/0103150].
- [86] O.J.Ganor, G.Rajesh and S.Sethi, Duality and non-commutative gauge theory, Phys.Rev.D 62 (2000) 125008 [hep-th/0005046].
- [87] R.Gopakum ar, J.Maldacena, S.M inwalla and A. Strom inger, S-duality and noncommutative gauge theory, JHEP0006 (2000) 036 [hep-th/0005048].
- [88] S. Rey and R. von Unge, S-duality, noncritical open string and noncommutative gauge theory, Phys. Lett. B 499 (2001) 215 [hep-th/0007089].
- [89] J.X.Lu, S.Roy and H. Singh, SL (2,Z) duality and 4-dimensional noncommutative theories, Nucl. Phys. B 595 (2001) 298 [hep-th/0007168].
- [90] M. Alishahiha, Y. Oz and J. G. Russo, Supergravity and light-like noncommutativity, JHEP 0009 (2000) 002 [hep-th/0007215].
- [91] A. Schwarz, Morita equivalence and duality, Nucl. Phys. B 534 (1998) 720 [hep-th/9805034].
 P. Ho, Twisted bundle on quantum torus and BPS states in matrix theory, Phys. Lett. B 434 (1998) 41 [hep-th/9803166].
 D. Brace, B. Morariu and B. Zum ino, Dualities of the matrix model from T-duality of the type II string, Nucl. Phys. B 545 (1999) 192 [hep-th/9810099].
- [92] C. Chu and P. Ho, Noncommutative open string and D-brane, Nucl. Phys. B 550 (1999) 151 [hep-th/9812219].