On the Cuts of Scattering Am plitudes

P ierpaolo M astrolia^a

^aCERN PH-TH, CH-1211 G eneva 23, Sw itzerland

The use of complex analysis for computing one-loop scattering amplitudes is naturally induced by generalised unitarity-cut conditions, ful lled by complex values of the loop variable. We report on two techniques: the cutintegration with spinor-variables as contour integrals of rational functions; and the use of the D iscrete Fourier Transform to optimize the reduction of tensor-integrals to master scalar integrals.

1. GENERALISED UNITARITY

The application of unitarity as an on-shell m ethod of calculation [1,2] is based on the principles that products of on-shell tree-level am plitudes produce functions with the correct branch cuts in all channels; and that any one-loop am plitude is expected to be expressed, by Passarino-Veltm an reduction, as a linear combination of scalar m aster integrals (M I's), that are characterised by their own, leading and subleading, sin qularities [3].

D im ensionally-requlated am plitudes can be decomposed in term s of M I's in shifted-dimensions as, \overline{z}

$$
A_N^{(4\ 2\)} = \n\begin{array}{c}\nX \\
\text{c}_{nj} \\
\text{m};\ 0 \ \text{j} \\
\text{m} \\
\text{a} \\
\text{x}\n\end{array}\n\quad\n\text{M} \quad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{(4 } 2 + 2j) \\
\text{n} \\
\text{n} \\
\text{b} \\
\text{c} \\
\text{d}\n\end{array}\n\quad\n\text{(1)}
$$

where $A_N^{(4-2)}$ is any N-point am plitude in Ddim ensions (being D = 4 2), and M $_{n}^{(4-2+2j)}$ is a n-point M I's in $(4 \ 2 + 2j)$ -dim ensions, with n 2 f0;2;3;4;:::;N g, and j_{max} depending on the process. In Eq.(1), the coe cients c_{ni} do not depend on D, and the whole D -dependence is em bedded in the denition of M $'s [4,2]$,

$$
M_n^{(4-2+2j)} = \frac{1 \ 2}{1 \ 2+2j} (4)^j I_n^{(4-2+2j)}; \quad (2)
$$

$$
k = 2^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} \qquad \frac{1}{k+1} = 2 \quad ; \tag{3}
$$

where $_k$ is the generalised solid-angle, and $I_n^{(4-2+2j)}$ is the scalar n-point function in shifted dimensions. The decomposition (1) could be further simplied with the help of recurrence relations linking higher-point integrals to lower-point ones [5]. From Eq.(1), it is clear that the com putation of the am plitude requires the know ledge of two types of ingredients: the M I's, and their coe cients. In the following, we focus on the determ ination of the latter ones.

The principle of a unitarity-based method is the extraction of the rational coe cients, c_{ni} , by m atching the multiparticle cuts of the amplitude onto the corresponding cuts of the M I's. By considering $[6,2,7]$ the splitting of L_{D} , the bop momentum in (4 2)-dimension, into its fourdimensional component, L, and its orthogonal complement $L_{(-2)}$, as L_D $L + L_{(2)}$ (with $L^2 + L^2_{(2)}$ and $L^2_{(2)}$ L_D^2 2), the D – dim ensional integration measure can be written as a convolution of a four-dim ensional integration and an integration on $\frac{2}{7}$

$$
d^{D} L_{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & d^{2} L = 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (4)
= 2 $d^{2} (2)^{1} d^{4} L$ (5)

By applying the above splitting to both sides of Eq.(1), the four-dim ensional kemel of the am plitude, $A_N^{(4)}$, can be read as expressed in term s of four-dimensional n-point M I's, $I_n^{(4)}$,

$$
A_N^{(4)}(2) = \sum_{n,j=0}^{N} c_{n,j} (2)^j I_n^{(4)}(2) : \t(6)
$$

We notice that the $2-$ -dependence of $A_N^{(4)}$ is due to the presence of $\frac{2}{1}$ in all the denom inators of

em ail: Pierpaolo.Mastrolia@cern.ch

 $I_n^{(4)}$, as additional m ass-term, and to the polynom ial coe cients, $c_{n,i}$ ($^{2})^{j}$. It is therefore evident that to nd out $c_{n,j}$, it is su cient to com pute the 2 -polynom ials [14] that are the coe cients of the four-dimensional M I's, $I_n^{(4)}$, in the decom position (6).

 ${\cal I}_n^{(4)}$ are functions determined by their own branch-cuts. G eneralised unitarity is a very effective tool to extract the rational coe cients of functions by exploiting their singularity structure, which is accessed by in posing (on-shellness) cut-condition to propagating particles,

$$
(q^{2} \t m^{2} + i0)^{-1} \t (2 i) ^{(+)} (q^{2} m^{2}) : (7)
$$

In general, the ful lm ent of multiple-cut conditions requires bop m om enta with complex com ponents. Since the loop momentum, L, in Eq.(6) has four com ponents, the e ect of the cutconditions is to freeze some of its components, when not all, according to the num ber of the cuts. W ith the quadruple-cut [8] the loop m om entum is completly frozen, yielding the algebraic determ ination of the coe cients of $I_n^{(4)}$; (n 4); the spinorial integration of the double-cut [9,10,11,15] and triple-cut [15,16,17] lead to the reconstruction of $I_2^{(4)}$ - and $I_3^{(4)}$ -coe cients; while the coe cients of $I_0^{(4)}$ are detected by single-cut. In cases where fewer than four denominators are cut, the loop m om entum is not frozen: the freecom ponents are left over as integration variables of the phase-space. We will discuss two strategies for dealing with the degrees of freedom represented by those variables: i) analytic integration of the phase-space with spinor variables $[9,10,11,12,13,14]$; ii) algebraic decom position of the integrand by means of the D iscrete Fourier Transform (DFT) [23].

2. DOUBLE-CUT AND SPINORS

By using the splitting of the bop variables as above, the $D - dim$ ensional double-cut in the P channel of any am plitude, i.e. the double-cut of the lh.s of Eq.(1), can be written as a convolution of a four-dim ensional double-cut and an integra-

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Lion on} & \begin{array}{c}\n & 2 \\
 & \text{Z} \\
 & \text{(A)}_N\n\end{array}\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n(\text{A} & \begin{array}{c}\n & 0 \\
 & \text{A}\n\end{array}\n\end{array}) = \begin{array}{ccc}\n & 2 \\
 & (\text{A} & \begin{array}{c}\n & 4 \\
 & \text{A}\n\end{array}) ;\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\tag{8}
$$

where $(A_N^{(4)})$ is the double-cut of the l.h.s of $Eq.(6)$,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}\n\text{(A)} & \text{(A)} \\
\text{(A)} & \text{(A)} & \text{(A)} & \text{(A)} & \text{(A)} & \text{(A)} & \text{(A)} \\
\text{(A)} & \text{(B)}\n\end{array}
$$

w ith A_i^{tree} being the tree-am plitudes sewn in the cut. We found it convenient to decompose [11] the four-dimensional loop variable, L , in term s of a m assless m om entum ', and the m om entum accross the cut, P ,

$$
L = t' + z_0 P ; \qquad (10)
$$

$$
t = (1 \t 2z_0)P^2 = h'P j' j
$$
 (11)

$$
z_0 = (P^2 + M_1^2 M_2^2 \t P \t 4^2) = 2P^2 ; \t (12)
$$

= $(P^2)^2 + (M^2)^2 + (M^2)^2 + (M^2)^2$

$$
2P^{2}M_{1}^{2} \t 2P^{2}M_{2}^{2} \t 2M_{1}^{2}M_{2}^{2}; \t (13)
$$

with z_0 being the anomalous threshold, and the K allen function. W ith the above transform ation, $(A_N^{(4)})$ can be written in term s of spinorvariables $[9,10]$, j'i and j'] (associated to the m assless m om enta, ', through $/ = j'i'j$, and can be cast as a sum of term swhose general structure reads,

$$
(A_N^{(4)}) = \begin{array}{ccc} X & Z \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{array} \qquad I = \begin{array}{ccc} & & Z \\ h' d'1['d'] I_1 ; (14) \end{array}
$$

$$
\mathbf{I}_{i} = \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{i} \left(\mathbf{j}^{t} \mathbf{i} \right) & \mathbf{i} \left(\mathbf{k}^{t} \right) \\ \frac{\mathbf{i} \left(\mathbf{k}^{t} \right) \mathbf{j}^{t} \mathbf{k}^{t} \mathbf{k}^{t} \mathbf{k}^{t}}{\mathbf{h}^{t} \mathbf{j}^{t} \mathbf{j}^{t} \mathbf{k}^{t} \mathbf{k}^{t} \mathbf{k}^{t}} \mathbf{j}^{t} \mathbf{k} \end{array} \tag{15}
$$

where P_1 and P_2 can either be equal to the cutm om entum P, or be a linear com bination of extemal vectors; and where the $_i$'s depend solely on one spinor avour, say j'i (and not on j']), and m ay contain poles in j'i. We give as understood the dependence of I_i on $\ ^2$, through the variable z_0 . The explicit form of the vectors P_1 and P_2 in (15) is determ ining the nature of the doublecut, boarithm is or not, and correspondingly the topology of the diagram which is associated to: if $P_1 = P_2 = P$

$$
\mathbf{I}_{i} = \mathbf{i} \left(\mathbf{j}' \mathbf{i} \right) \left[\mathbf{I} \mathbf{B} \right] = \mathbf{h}' \mathbf{P} \mathbf{j}' \mathbf{I}^{n+2} \tag{16}
$$

and the result w ill be non-logarithm ic, hence corresponding to the cut of a 2-point function with external m om entum P; if $P_1 = P$, $P_2 \oplus P$ or P_1 \in P_2 \in P , one proceeds by introducing a Feynm an param eter, to write I_i as,

$$
I_{i} = (n + 1) \text{ d}x (1 x)^{n} \frac{i (j'1) ['1]}{h'f^{2}j'f^{2}} ; (17)
$$

$$
R = xF_{1} + (1 x)F_{2} ; (18)
$$

and (because of the param etric integral) the result is logarithm ic, hence containing the cut of a linear com bination of n-point functions w ith n 3. The spinorial structure of Eq. [\(16\)](#page-1-1) and Eq. (17) is the same. Therefore, we discuss the spinor integration of the latter, because it is more general.

2.1. C ontour Integrals

O necan proceed w ith a changeofvariables[\[18\]](#page-5-18), decom posing j'i and j'] into two arbitrary m asslessm om enta,pand q(light-conedecom position),

$$
8\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{q} : \mathbf{q}^2 = \mathbf{p}^2 = 0 ; \qquad (19)
$$

$$
\dot{\mathbf{j}}'\mathbf{i} \quad \dot{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{i} + z\dot{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{i} \quad \dot{\mathbf{j}}'\mathbf{j} \quad \dot{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{j} + z\dot{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{j} \tag{20}
$$

$$
h' d'i[' d'] = hq'p'q] dz dz : \t(21)
$$

Its e ect on i reads, Z_{1} ^{\sim}

$$
i = (n + 1) \int_{0}^{1} dx (1 + x)^{n} h[\dot{p}\dot{y}]
$$

\nI
$$
dz dz_{i}(z) \frac{([p] + z[q]\dot{y}}{n + 2(z; z)} ;
$$
 (22)

$$
(z; z) = \text{lp} \, \dot{\mathcal{F}} \, \dot{\mathcal{P}} \,] + z \text{lp} \, \dot{\mathcal{F}} \, \dot{\mathcal{P}} \,] +
$$
\n
$$
+ z \text{lp} \, \dot{\mathcal{F}} \, \dot{\mathcal{H}} \,] + z z \text{lp} \, \dot{\mathcal{F}} \, \dot{\mathcal{H}} \,] : \tag{23}
$$

O ne m ay observe that the z-z-integrand can be w ritten as a total derivative w ith respect to z Z_1

$$
i = \frac{d}{dx} (1 + x)^{n} \text{ [q] } \text{ [q] }
$$

with $(z) = (hp\psi + zhq\psi + g)$, so that the spinor integration has been turned into a contour integral of a rational function in z ,

$$
z_{1} = \frac{2}{\alpha x (1 - x)^{n} \text{ hq} \dot{y}}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha z_{i}(z) \frac{(\left[p \right] + z [\alpha]^{n+1}}{(z)^{n+1}(z; z)} \qquad (25)
$$

The z-integral can be perform ed by C auchy's residue theorem , sum m ing the residues at the poles in z (substituting as well $z = z$). There are two sources of poles to account for: i) the poles contained in $i(z)$; ii) the pole due to (z), w hose value is

$$
z = \mathbf{hp} \cdot \dot{y} + \mathbf{h} \cdot \dot{y} \tag{26}
$$

To com plete the integration of $\frac{1}{1}$ in [\(25\)](#page-2-1), one has to perform the param etric integration w hich is nally responsible for the appearence of logarithm ic term s in the double-cut. On the contrary, the spinorial integration in [\(16\)](#page-1-1) would generate a contribution w ithout branch-cuts. W e rem ark that the role of j' i and j'] in the integration could be interchanged.

At the end of the phase-space integration, by adding up all the $\frac{1}{3}$'s, one nally gets a result w hose structure is

 \overline{X}

$$
(\mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{A}^{(4)}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{n,j} \qquad (\mathbf{A}^{(2)})^{\mathbf{j}} \qquad (\mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{A}^{(4)}) \; ; \qquad (27)
$$

corresponding to the double-cut of Eq.[\(6\)](#page-0-1). O ut of (27) , it is possible to extract the polynom ial coecients, c_{nj} (²)^j (n 2): the coecient of ${\rm I}_0^{(4)}$, the tadpoles, cannot be detected w ithin thedouble-cut,and theirdeterm ination should be provided by independent inform ations on the am plitude. We recall that the 2 -dependence of the coecients originates from the understood presence of z_0 , given in Eq[.\(12\)](#page-1-2).

We observe that a proper choice of the mo m enta p and q , entering the change of variables [\(20\)](#page-2-3), can sim plify dram atically the calculation. For instance, they determ ine the value of the z -pole, given in Eq[.\(26\)](#page-2-4): given q , and the $cut-m$ om entum P , the choice p P q $P^2 =$ | $P \oplus p$ jq], would yield z = 0.

The phase-space integration just discussed was used succesfully for an analytic com putation of non-trivialone-loop corrections. In particular, its four-dim ensional(m assless) version [\[9](#page-5-9)[,10](#page-5-10)[,16\]](#page-5-13)has been applied to com plete the non-supersym m etric cut-constructible term of the six-gluon am plitude in Q C D [\[10\]](#page-5-10), to com pute the six-photon am plitude in Q ED [\[20](#page-5-19)[,19\]](#page-5-20), and the cut-constructible term of a general MHV am plitudes involving a

Higgs plus n-gluons in QCD (in the heavy-top lim it) [21].

Recently, the e ciency of spinor integration has been pushed to achieve closed analytic form s for the generating form ulas of the coe cients of $I_n^{(4)}$ (2) n 4), for an arbitrary m assive process [13,14], which together with $I_0^{(4)}$ constitute a basis of functions in four-dim ensions, hence, due to the relation among Eq.(6) and Eq.(1), in D dim ensions. The formulas presented in $[13,14]$ too long to be shown here $-$ can be evaluated, w ithout perform ing any integration, by specializing the value of input variables that are specicto the initial cut-integrand as assembled from treelevel am plitudes.

We have as well recently released the package S@M (Spinors@ M athem atica)[22], that implem ents the spinor-helicity form alism in M athem atica. The package allow s the use of complex-spinor algebra along with the multi-purpose features of M athem atica, and it is suitable for the algebraic m anipulation and integration of products of tree am plitudes with complex spinors sewn in generalised unitarity-cuts.

3. OPTIM IZED REDUCTION

As an alternative to any phase-space integration, in $[24,25]$ there was proposed a very e cient m ethod for the reconstruction of the coe cients in the decomposition (6) . In what follows, I lim it the discussion to the so called cut-constructible term of a scattering am plitude, that corresponds to the poly-logarithm ic structure arising when Eq.(6) is evaluated at $^2 = 0$. I will sketch the reconstruction of the complete 2 -dependence [26,27,28,29] at the end of the section. The bynow known as OPP-reduction allow s the num erical reconstruction of c_{n0} , by solving a system of algebraic equations that are obtained by: i) the num erical evaluation of the integrand at explicit values of the loop-variable, on the one side; ii) and the know ledge of the most general polynom ial structure of the integrand itself [30], on the other one. The values of the loop m om entum used for the num erical evaluation of the integrand are chosen am ong the set of solutions of the multiplecut conditions, i.e. the solutions of the system of equations obtained by in posing the vanishing of the cut-denom inators.

3.1. OPP-R eduction

The starting point of the OPP reduction m ethod [24,25] is the general expression for the integrand of a generic m -point one-loop am plitude that can be written as

$$
A_{m} (q) = \frac{N (q)}{D_{0} D_{1} \quad {}_{m} D_{1}} ;
$$
 (28)

$$
D_i = (q + p_i)^2 \quad m_i^2; \quad p_0 \notin 0; \tag{29}
$$

where N (q) is the four-dim ensional num erator of the amplitude. The main formula of the OPPalgorithm is the expression of N (q) in term s of the denominators D_{i} ,

$$
N (q) = \frac{X^4}{1} (q) \qquad (30)
$$

w ith

$$
{}_{4}(q) = \n\begin{array}{ccc}\nmg 1 & h & i & m_{1} 1 \\
d_{ijk} & f_{ijk} & (q) & D \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
d_{ijk} & g_{ijk} & g_{ijk}\n\end{array}
$$

$$
{}_{3}(q) = \sum_{i < j < k}^{m} [c_{ijk} + e_{ijk}(q)] \sum_{\theta_{ijk}}^{m} (q) \tag{32}
$$

$$
{}_{2}(q) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (q) \sum_{j=1}^{M} (33)
$$

$$
{}_{1}(q) = \begin{array}{c} {}^{1}C \ 1 \\ {}^{1}C \end{array} \begin{array}{c} {}^{1}C \end{array}
$$
\n
$$
{}^{1}C \end{array} (34)
$$

By inserting (30) back in (28) , one exposes the multi-pole nature of A_m . The coe cients of the multi-pole expansion can be further split in two pieces: a piece that still depends on q_t param etrized by d';e;b;a, that vanishes upon integration, and a piece that does not depend on q, param etrized as d;c;b;a. Such a separation is always possible, as shown in [24], and, with this choice, the latter set of coe cients corresponds to the ensemble of the coe cients of $I_n^{(4)}$ (2 = 0) $:(n 2 f0;2;3;4q):a;bc;cd \nin (30) correspond$ respectively to c_{00} ; c_{20} ; c_{30} ; c_{40} in (6).

 2 A_m (q) is the integrand of A_m⁽⁴⁾(2 = 0), de ned in Eq.(6)

3.2. Top-Down System

The goal of the algorithm is reduced to the algebraical problem of tting the coe cients d;c;b;a by evaluating the function N (q) a su cient num ber of times, at di erent values of q, and then inverting the system. A ccordingly, let us de ne the follow ing functions,

$$
R_{ijk'}(q) \quad N(q) \qquad D \qquad 1 \qquad (35)
$$
\n
$$
\epsilon_{ijk'}
$$

$$
R_{ijk}^{0}(q)
$$
 (N (q) 4 (q)) $\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{N} \\ g \text{ is odd}}}^{m} P^{-1}$ (36)

$$
R_{ij}^{m}(q) \t(N (q) \tX4 (q)) \tN1 \tN1 (37)
$$

= 3 (q)) D¹ ; (37)
= 3 (q)

$$
R_i^m(q)
$$
 (N (q) (q)) $\int_{-2}^{1} D^{-1}$: (38)

We aswellde neasing y_{ijk} the set of the solutions of $D_i = D_j = D_k = D \cdot = 0$. Having dened our setup, from Eq.(30) we can derive the following sets of equations:

n
\n
$$
R_{ijk'}(q) = d_{ijk'} + d'_{ijk'}(q) \int_{q^{2} f q g_{ijk'}}^{1}
$$
\n(39)

$$
R_{ijk}^{0} (q) = c_{ijk} + e_{ijk} (q) \bigg|_{q2 \, \text{f}qq_{ijk}} ; \qquad (40)
$$
h

$$
R_{ij}^{0}(q) = b_{ij} + \tilde{b}_{ij}(q) \qquad (41)
$$

$$
R_i^{\text{m}}(q) = a_i + a_i(q) \bigg|_{q^2 \text{ f}qq_i} \qquad (42)
$$

which must be solved necessarily in cascade, topdown: in Eq.(39), N (q) is a known quantity, namely an input of the algorithm; but the lh.s of each other equation becomes a known quantity (num erically evaluable), only after solving the equation which preceeds it.

3.3. Polynom ial Structures and DFT

An important observation is due. The r.h.s of each of the equations (39)-(42) is a polynomial function. W ithout presenting their explicit expressions (see [23] for the detailed presentation), the general structure is the following: the variables are the components of q not-frozen by the cut-conditions; the degree is known; while the

coe cients are the unknowns to be determined. The problem to be tackled is thus a well known m athem atical sub ject: polynom ial interpolation. In order to nd out the coe cients of a polynom ial, one can avoid the num erical inversion of a system, which is a very delicate operation, due to the possibility of a vanishing determ inant in critical kinem atic regions.

The Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) is a very e cient tool to extract the coe cients of a polynom ial, by evaluating it at special values of the variables $[13,23,31]$. Let us show how it works in the case of a polynom ial of degree n in one variable, x, de ned as,

$$
P_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{X^n} c_i x^{i}:
$$
 (43)

At the rst step, one generates the set of discrete values $P_{n,k}$ $(k = 0; \ldots; n),$

$$
P_{n,k}
$$
 $P_n(x_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{X^n} c_i$ e $2 \frac{1 + k}{(n+1)^i}$; (44)

by sampling $P_n(x)$ at $(n + 1)$ equidistant points on the -circle,

$$
x_k = e^{\frac{2}{2} \frac{i}{(n+1) }} \t{15}
$$

At the second step, using the orthogonality

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{e^2} \frac{i \frac{k}{n} \cdot j}{e^2} = \frac{i \frac{k^0}{n} \cdot j}{e^2} = n \quad k k^0 \quad \textbf{7}
$$
 (46)

one can obtain the coe cient $c \cdot \sin p$ by pro- \pm ction,

$$
c = \frac{X^{n}}{n+1} \mathbf{P}_{n,k} e^{2 i \frac{k}{(n+1)}}
$$
 (47)

In fact, the r.h.s of Eq. (42) is a degree-1 polynom ial in a single variable, whose coe cients are easily determ ined by the sem i-sum and the sem idi erence of two num erical values of R. But the rh.s of Eqs.(40)-(42) are multivariate polynomials of higher degree. To nd out their coe cients we used a modied DFT, that is a Fast Fourier Transform-like algorithm, suitable to m inimize the num ber of the num erical calls respectively of R^{0} ; R^{00} , and R^{000} , being exactly the same as the

num ber of the unknowns, and to avoid the kinem atical singularities em erging at the vanishing of the circle-radius . In so doing, one can determ ine all the unknown coe cients, am ong which the 0th-order ones, respectively $d_{iik'}$, c_{iik} , b_{ij} , a_i , correspond to the coe cients of the M I's in fourdimension.

For the reconstruction of the complete 2 dependence of the coe cients in Eq. (6) , the decom position (30), m ust be slightly extended to account for the presence of 2 [28,29]. The starting point, in this case, is $A_m^{(4)}$ (2), which contains a num erator N $(q; 2)$ and denom inators $D_i = D_i$ ². The reduction proceeds exactly as above, with the dierence Eqs. $(39)-(42)$ containing an extra dependence on 2 . Since the

 2 -dependence is still polynom ial, one can use the DFT also in this case, having to deal with R;R⁰;R^m, and R^{00} with $^{-2}$ as additional variable [13]. The exibility of the projection-procedure hereby presented extends its range of applicability to tackle the determ ination of the coe cients of polynom ial structures wherever should this issue occur. We nally rem ark that the parametrization of the free (integration) variables as complex unitary phases yields as well a very e ective perform ance of C auchy's residue theorem within the contexts of factorization-and unitarity-based methods, where the on-shellness properties are naturally captured by polar structures of complex phases.

REFERENCES

- 1. Z. Bem, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar and D.A.Kosower, Nucl.Phys.B 425 (1994) 217.
- 2. Z.Bem and A.G.Morgan, Nucl.Phys.B 467 (1996) 479.
- 3. Z.Bem, L.J.D ixon and D.A.Kosower, Annals Phys. 322, 1587 (2007).
- 4. Z.Bem and G.Chalmers, Nucl. Phys. B 447, 465 (1995).
- 5. Z. Bem, L. J. Dixon and D. A. Kosower, Phys. Lett. B 302 (1993) 299 Erratum-ibid. B 318 (1993) 649].
- 6. G.Mahlon, [arX iv hep-ph/9311213].
- 7. A. Brandhuber, S. M cN am ara, B. J. Spence and G.Travaglini, JHEP 0510, 011 (2005).
- 8. R. Britto, F. Cachazo and B. Feng, Nucl. Phys. B 725, 275 (2005).
- 9. R. Britto, E. Buchbinder, F. Cachazo and B.Feng, Phys.Rev.D 72, 065012 (2005).
- 10.R.Britto, B. Feng and P.Mastrolia, Phys. Rev.D 73, 105004 (2006).
- 11. C. Anastasiou, R. Britto, B. Feng, Z. Kunszt and P.M astrolia, Phys. Lett. B 645, 213 (2007); JHEP 0703, 111 (2007); R. Britto and B. Feng, Phys. Rev. D 75, 105006 (2007).
- 12.R. Britto and B. Feng, JHEP 0802, 095 (2008) .
- 13.R. Britto, B. Feng and P. Mastrolia, arX iv:0803.1989 [hep-ph].
- 14.R. Britto, B. Feng and G. Yang, arX iv:0803.3147 [hep-ph].
- 15. D. Forde, Phys. Rev. D 75, 125019 (2007).
- 16. P. M astrolia, Phys. Lett. B 644, 272 (2007).
- 17. N. E. J. B errum -Bohr, D. C. Dunbar and W.B.Perkins, JHEP 0804, 038 (2008).
- 18.R. Britto, talk, Workshop HP2, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-9, 2006.
- 19. T. Binoth, G. Heinrich, T. Gehm ann and P.Mastrolia, Phys. Lett. B 649, 422 (2007).
- 20. T. Binoth, J. P. Guillet and G. Heinrich, JHEP 0702,013 (2007).
- 21.E. W. N. Glover, P. Mastrolia and C. W illiam s, arX iv:0804.4149 [hep-ph].
- 22. D. M aitre and P. M astrolia, arX iv: 0710.5559 [hep-ph].
- 23. P. Mastrolia, G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R.Pittau, arX iv:0803.3964 [hep-ph].
- 24. G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, Nucl. Phys. B 763, 147 (2007).
- 25. G.Ossola, C.G. Papadopoulos and R.Pittau, JHEP 0707,085 (2007).
- 26. R. Pittau, Comput. Phys. Commun. 104, 23 $(1997); 111 (1998) 48.$
- 27. R.K.Ellis, W.T.Giele and Z.Kunszt, JHEP 0803 (2008) 003.
- 28. W.T. Giele, Z. Kunszt and K. Melnikov, JHEP 0804 (2008) 049.
- 29. G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, JHEP 0805 (2008) 004.
- 30.F. del A quila and $\mathbb R$. Pittau, JHEP 0407 (2004) 017; R. Pittau, arX iv:hep-ph/0406105.
- 31. C.F.Bergeretal.arX iv:0803.4180 [hep-ph].