Holomorphic Superspace

Laurent Baulieu, Alexis Martin^y

Theoretical D ivision CERN $^{\rm z}$ $^{\rm y}$ LPTHE , CNRS and Universite Pierre et M arie Curie $^{\rm x}$

A bstract

We give a twisted holom orphic superspace description for the super-Yang{M ills theory, using holom orphic and antiholom orphic decom positions of twisted spinors. We consider the case of the N=1 super-Yang{M ills theory in four dimensions. We solve the constraints in two dimensions, without and with a prepotential. This might have further application for an holom orphic superspace description of N=1; N=10 theory. We also explain how the N=1 and N=2 holom orphic superspaces are related.

em ail address: baulieu@ lpthe.jussieu.fr

^yem ail address: alexism artin@ lpthe.jussieu.fr

^z CH-1211 Geneve, 23, Switzerland

x 4 place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

Introduction

The construction of a superspace path integral form ulation for maximal supersymmetry is still an open question. To get a supersymmetry algebra that admits a functional representation on the elds is at the heart of the problem and it seems inevitable in dimensions d > 7 that this implies a breaking of the manifest Lorentz invariance.

Such a functional representation was determined in [3] for the N=1; d=10 theory, by a supersym m etry algebra made of 9 generators and a restriction of the ten-dimensional Lorentz group to SO (1;1) Spin (7) SO (1;9). This led us to a reduced superspace with 9 fermionic coordinates. Covariant constraints were found, which do not imply equations of motion. They were solved in function of the elds of the component formalism and analogous results have been obtained for the N=2; d=4;8 cases [4].

A path integral formulation was given for N=2; d=4 in terms of the connection super elds them selves, which required an implementation of the constraints directly in the path integral. On the other hand, dimensional arguments show that the introduction of a prepotential is needed in the higher dimensional cases. Moreover, we expect such higher dimensional cases to be formulated in terms of complex representations of SU(4) Spin(7). Using an SU(4) holomorphic formulation in 8 or 10 dimensions implies a framework that is formally \similar" to the holomorphic formulation in four dimensions that we study in this paper.

We thus display a holom orphic superspace form ulation of the simple N = 1;d = 4 super-Yang {M ills theory in its twisted form, by applying the general procedure of [4]. This superspace form ulation involves 3 supercharges, a scalar and a (1;0)-vector. It completes the previous works for the N = 2; d = 4 and N = 1; d = 10 twisted superspace with 5 and 9 supercharges, respectively. We also provide a short discussion of the resolution of the constraints in terms of a prepotential. It must be noted that reality conditions are a delicate issue for the N = 1 d = 4 superspace in holom orphic coordinates. However, this question does not arise in the 10-dimensional form ulation, so we will not discuss it here.

The rst section de nes the notations of the holom orphic N=1; d=4 super-Yang { Mills theory and its formulation in components. The second gives its superspace formulation together with the coupling to matter. The third provides a discussion on the

 $^{^{1}}$ In lower dimensions, there is still the possibility to formulate the maximally supersymmetric YM theory in terms of a subalgebra of the whole super-Poincare algebra, while maintaining manifest Lorentz invariance. In d = 4 for instance, a harmonic superspace formulation was given preserving 3=4 of the supersymmetries [1], which then received a full quantum description [2].

alternative formulation in superspace involving a prepotential. The fourth section is devoted to the N=2 case, both in components and superspace formulations.

1 Holomorphic N = 1;d = 4 Yang{M ills supersym m etry

The twist procedure for the N = 1;d = 4 super{Yang{M ills theory has been described in [5,6,7] in the context of topological eld theory. For a hyperK ahler manifold, one can use a pair of covariantly constant spinors , normalized by _ _ = 1. They can be de ned by $iJ^{mn}_{mn} =$, where J^{mn} is the complex structure

$$J^{mn} = 0$$
; $J^{mn} = 0$; $J^{mn} = ig^{mn}$ (1)

It perm its one to decom pose form s into holom orphic and antiholom orphic components. For the gauge connection 1-form A, one has

$$A = A_{(1,0)} + A_{(0,1)}$$
 with $JA_{(1,0)} = iA_{(1,0)}$; $JA_{(0,1)} = iA_{(0,1)}$ (2)

and the decom position of its curvature is $F = dA + AA = F_{(2,0)} + F_{(1,1)} + F_{(0,2)}$. A D irac spinor decom poses as

In the case of a atm anifold, the tw ist is a m ere rew ritting of the Euclidean supersymmetric theory, obtained by mapping all spinors onto \holom orphic" and \antiholom orphic" forms after reduction of the Spin (4) covariance to SU (2). Notice that the Euclidean formulation of the N = 1 theory is dened as the analytical continuation of the M inkowski theory. The Euclideanization procedure produces a doubling of the fermions [8], so that the complex elds ; $_{m\,n}$; $_{m}$ are truly mapped onto a Dirac spinor . However, the twisted and untwisted actions do not depend on the complex conjugate elds and the path integral can be dened as counting only four real degrees of freedom 2 . The twist also maps the four N = 1 supersymmetry generators onto a (0,0)-scalar , a (0,1)-vector $_{m}$ and a (2,0)-tensor $_{m\,n}$ generators. For formulating the \holom orphic superspace", we will only retain 3 of the four generators, the scalar one and the vector one $_{m}$. The

 $^{^{2}}$ In the Euclideanization procedure, one also gives up herm iticity of the action, but a \form alcom plex conjugation" can be de ned and extended in the twisted component form alism that restores herm iticity [7]

invariance under and $_{\rm m}$ has been shown to completely determ ine the supersymmetric action [7]. Moreover, the absence of anomaly for the tensor symmetry implies that this property can be conserved at the quantum level (at least at any given nite order in perturbation theory) [9].

1.1 Pure N = 1 super{Y ang{M ills theory

The bosonic elds content of the N = 1 pure super{Yang{M ills theory is made of the Yang{M ills eld $A = A_m dz^m + A_m dz^m$, and an auxiliary scalar eld T, while the ferm ionic elds are one scalar , one (1;0)-form $_m$ and one (0;2)-form $_{m\,n}$. The transformation laws of the various elds in twisted representations are

$$A_{m} = M_{m} \qquad M_{n} = M_{m} \qquad M_{n} = M_{m} \qquad M_{n} = M_{m} \qquad M_{n} = M_{n} \qquad M_{n$$

The three equivariant generators $\,$ and $_{\rm m}$ verify the following o -shell supersym m etry algebra

$$^{2} = 0$$
; f; $_{m} g = Q_{m} + ^{gauge}(A_{m})$; f; $_{m} r g = 0$ (5)

The action for the pure N = 1; d = 4 super{Yang{M ills is completely determined by the ;_m invariance. It is given by [5,7]

$$S_{YM}^{N=1} = d^{4}x^{p} \overline{g} Tr \frac{1}{2} F^{mn} F_{mn} + T (T + i J^{mn} F_{mn}) \qquad {}^{mn} D_{m} + D^{m} \qquad (6)$$

The W ess and Zum ino matter multiplet and its coupling to pure N = 1 super{Yang-Mills will only be discussed in the fram ework of superspace.

1.2 Elim ination of gauge transform ations in the closure relations

The algebra (5) closes on gauge transform ations, due to the fact that in superspace, where supersymmetry is linearly realized, one breaks the super-gauge invariance to get the transformation laws of the component elds (4). To be consistent with supersymmetry, this

in turn implies to modify the supersymmetry transformations by addinged dependent gauge transformations, resulting in nonlinear transformation laws. This super-gauge is analogous to the Wess and Zumino gauge in ordinary superspace and such an algebra is usually referred as an algebra of the Wess and Zumino type. In this section, we show how the use of shadowelds makes it possible to remove these gauge transformations, by applying the general formalism of [9] to the N=1; d=4 case. This in turn permits one to make contact with the general solution to the superspace constraints given in the next section.

To introduce the shadows, one replaces the knowledge of the $;_m$ generators by that of graded dierential operators Q and Q, which represent supersymmetry in a nilpotent way. Let! and m be the commuting scalar and (0;1)-vector supersymmetry parameters, respectively. The actions of Q and Q on the (classical) elds are basically supersymmetry transformations as in (4) minus a eld dependent gauge transformation, that is

Q !
$$gauge(!c); Q$$
 $gauge(i_1)$ (7)

with m m and i is the contraction operator along m . These operators obey $Q^{2}=0$; $Q^{$

$$(d+Q !i)(A + !c + i_1) + (A + !c + i_1)^2 = F + !_{(1,0)} + g() + i$$
 (8)

together with its Bianchi identity

$$(d+Q !i)(F+!_{(1,0)}+g()+i)+[A+!c+i_1;F+!_{(1,0)}+g()+i]=0$$
 (9)

im plied by $(d+Q \ ! \ i \)^2 = 0$. Here and elsewhere g() $g_{n\,m}^{\ \ m} dz^m$. The transform ation laws (4) can indeed be recovered from these horizontality equations by expansion over from degree and U (1)_R number, modulo gauge transform ations with parameters ! c or i _1. The auxiliary T scalar eld is introduced in order to solve the degenerate equation involving Q g() + Q ! , with Q = !T [! c;]. Moreover, the elds in the rhs of (8) can be interpreted as curvature components.

Let us turn to the action of Q on the shadow elds. For the sake of notational simplicity, we will omit from now on the dependence on the scalar parameter!. To

recover its dependence, it is su cient to rem em ber that Q increases the $U(1)_R$ number by one unit. The horizontality conditions imply three equations for the shadow elds

$$Qc = c^{2}; Q(i_{1}) + Qc + [c; i_{1}] = iA; Q(i_{1}) = (i_{1})^{2}$$
 (10)

Due to the nilpotency of i , the third equation is de ned modulo a contracted (0;2) even form $_2$ of U (1) $_R$ number 2, that is Q $_1$ = i $_2$ + $\frac{1}{2}$ [$_1$;i $_1$]. To solve the second equation, we introduce an odd (0;1)-form c_1 of U (1) $_R$ number zero. This gives Q $_1$ = c_1 [c; $_1$] and Q $_2$ = i $_3$ + i A . Since we must have Q $_3$ = L on all elds, we nd

$$Q_{1} = c_{1} \quad [c;_{1}]$$

$$Q_{2} = c_{2} \quad [c;_{2}] \quad \frac{1}{2}[c_{1};_{1}]$$

$$Q_{2} = c_{2} \quad [c;_{2}] \quad \frac{1}{2}[c_{1};_{1}]$$

$$Q_{2} = c_{2} \quad [c;_{2}] \quad c_{1}^{2}$$

$$Q_{3} = c_{2} \quad [c;_{2}] \quad c_{1}^{2}$$

$$Q_{4} = c_{2} \quad [c;_{2}] \quad c_{1}^{2}$$

$$Q_{5} = c_{2} \quad [c;_{2}] \quad c_{1}^{2}$$

$$Q_{5} = c_{2} \quad [c;_{2}] \quad c_{1}^{2}$$

and

$$Q = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = i c_{2} + i A$$

$$Q = i c_{2} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{1} = i c_{2} + \mathcal{L}_{1}$$

$$Q = c_{2} = \mathcal{L}_{2} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{2} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{2} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{2} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q = c_{2} = i c_{1} + i A$$

$$Q =$$

with \mathscr{L} [i ;d_A].

2 N = 1; d = 4 holom orphic superspace

2.1 De nition of holom orphic superspace

We now de neal twisted holomorphic "superspace for N=1 theories by extending the z_m , z_m bosonic space with three G rassmann coordinates, one scalar and two (0;1) $\#^p$ (m; p=1;2). The supercharges are given by

$$Q = \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \#^{m} \theta_{m}; \qquad Q_{m} = \frac{\theta}{\theta \#^{m}}$$

$$Q^{2} = 0; \qquad fQ; Q_{m} g = \theta_{m}; \qquad fQ_{m}; Q_{n} g = 0 \qquad (13)$$

The covariant superspace derivatives and their anticomm uting relations are

$$r = \frac{\theta}{\theta}$$
 $r_{m} = \frac{\theta}{\theta^{m}}$ q_{m} $r_{m} = 0$ (14)

They anticom mutewith the supersymmetry generators. They can be gauge-covariantized by the introduction of connection super elds A (C; Γ_m ; A_m ; A_m) valued in the adjoint of the gauge group of the theory

$$\hat{r}$$
 r + C; \hat{r}_m r_m + Γ_m ; \hat{e}_m \hat{e}_m + A_m ; \hat{e}_m \hat{e}_m + A_m (15)

The associated covariant superspace curvatures are de ned as (M = m; m)

$$F_{M N} \quad [\hat{e}_{M}; \hat{e}_{N}] \qquad \Sigma \quad \hat{r}^{2}$$

$$\Psi_{M} \quad [\hat{r}; \hat{e}_{M}] \qquad L_{m} \quad f\hat{r}; \hat{r}_{m}g + \hat{e}_{m}$$

$$\chi_{m N} \quad [\hat{r}_{m}; \hat{e}_{N}] \qquad \Sigma_{m n} \quad \frac{1}{2}f\hat{r}_{m}; \hat{r}_{n}g$$

$$(16)$$

so that

$$F_{M N} = Q_{M} A_{N} \qquad Q_{N} A_{M} + [A_{M} ; A_{N}] \qquad \qquad \Sigma = r C + C^{2}$$

$$\Psi_{M} = r A_{M} \qquad Q_{M} C \qquad [A_{M} ; C] \qquad \qquad L_{m} = r \Gamma_{m} + r_{m} C + f \Gamma_{m} ; C g + A_{m} \qquad (17)$$

$$\chi_{m N} = r_{m} A_{N} \qquad Q_{N} \Gamma_{m} \qquad [A_{N} ; \Gamma_{m}] \qquad \qquad \Sigma_{m n} = r_{f m} \Gamma_{n g} + \Gamma_{f m} \Gamma_{n g}$$

Bianchi identities are given by F = [A ; F], where and F denote collectively $(r ; r_m ; Q_m ; Q_m)$ and the superspace curvatures. The super-gauge transform ations of the super-connection A and super-curvature F are

A !
$$e^{\alpha}(\Delta + A)e^{\alpha}$$
; F ! $e^{\alpha}Fe^{\alpha}$ (18)

where the gauge superparam eter α can be any given general super eld valued in the Lie algebra of the gauge group. The \in nitesim all gauge transform ation is $A = \Delta \alpha + [A; \alpha]$.

2.2 Constraints and their resolution

The super eld interpretation of shadow elds is that they param etrize the general α -dependance of the solution of the superspace constraints, while in components they provide dierential operators with no gauge transform ations in their anticommutation relations. To elim inate super uous degrees of freedom and make contact with the component formulation, we must impose the following gauge covariant superspace constraints

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_{m n} = L_m = 0; \quad \chi_{m n} = \frac{1}{2} g_{m n} \chi_p^p \quad g_{m n}$$
 (19)

They can be solved in terms of component elds as follows. The super-gauge symmetry (18) allows one to choose a super-gauge so that every antisymmetric as well as the rst

component of Γ_m is set to zero. We also x the rst component C $j_0=0$, so that we are left with the ordinary gauge degree of freedom corresponding to αj_0 . The constraint $\Sigma_{m\,n}=0$ then implies that the whole Γ_m super-connection is zero. The constraint $\Sigma=0$ implies that one must have

$$C = \mathcal{X} \qquad \mathcal{X}^2; \quad \mathcal{X}_{\bar{D}} = 0 \tag{20}$$

$$A_{m} = r_{m} C = \frac{\theta}{\theta \#^{m}} \tilde{A} + \theta_{m} \tilde{A} - \frac{\theta}{\theta \#^{m}} \tilde{A}^{2}$$
 (21)

Then, with $(\frac{\varrho}{\varrho \#^m} - \frac{\varrho}{\varrho \#^n} \tilde{A})j_0 = m_n$, we have

$$\chi_{mn} = r_m A_n = m_n \qquad F_{mn}$$
 (22)

It follows that

$$C = \#^{m} A_{m} \frac{1}{2} \#^{m} \#^{n} m_{m} \frac{1}{2} \#^{m} \#^{n} [A_{m}; A_{n}]$$
 (23)

It only remains to determ ine the eld component content of A_m . We de ne A_m j A_m , $(\frac{\theta}{\theta}A_m)_j$ m and j . The trace constraint on $\chi_{mn}=r_mA_n$ implies

$$A_{m} = A_{m} + \#^{p}g_{pm} + \#^{p}(\theta_{p}A_{m} + g_{pm}T) + \#^{p}\#^{q}g_{m}[p\theta_{q}]$$
 (24)

We see that the whole physical content in the component elds stand in the $\,$ independant part of the curvature super eld Ψ_{m} ,

$$\Psi_{\rm m} \ j_{=0} = {}_{\rm m} + \#^{\rm p}(F_{\rm pm} \ g_{\rm pm} \ T) + \frac{1}{2} \#^{\rm p} \#^{\rm q}(2g_{\rm m} \ [pD_{\rm q}] \ D_{\rm m} \ pq)$$
 (25)

The general solution to the constraints can be obtained by a super-gauge transform ation, whose super eld parameter has vanishing rst component. It can be parametrized in various manners. The following one allows one to recover the transformation laws that we computed in components in the section (1.2) for the full set of elds, including the scalar and vectorial shadows

$$e^{\alpha} = e^{\#^{m} \cdot \mathbb{Q}_{m}} e^{\tilde{c}} = e^{\tilde{c}} + (c + e^{\tilde{m}} \cdot \mathbb{Q}_{m} e^{\tilde{c}})$$
 (26)

where \sim and c are respectively commuting and anticommuting functions of $\#^m$ and the coordinates z^m ; z^m , with the condition $\sim j=0$. These elds appear here as the longitudinal degrees of freedom in superspace. The transformation laws given in Eqs. (4) are recovered for \sim = c=0, modulo eld-dependent gauge-restoring transformations.

2.3 Pure $N = 1; d = 4 \text{ super-Y ang}\{M \text{ ills action}\}$

To express the pure super{Yang{M ills action in the twisted superspace, we observe that the B ianchi identity $r_m + [C;_m]$ implies that the gauge invariant function $Tr_m _n$ is independent. Its component in $\#^m \#^n$ can thus be used to write an equivariant action as an integral over the full superspace

Berezin integration is de ned as $d^{m}d^{m}X_{mn} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{e}{e^{\#}_{m}}X_{mn}$, where X_{mn} is a (2;0)-form super eld. By use of the identity $Tr(\frac{1}{2}F^{m}_{n}F^{n}_{m} + \frac{1}{2}F^{m}_{m}F^{n}_{n}) = Tr(\frac{1}{2}F_{mn}F^{mn}) + Tr(\frac{1}{2}F^{m}_{n}F^{n}_{m}) = Tr(\frac{1}{2}F_{mn}F^{mn}) + Tr(\frac{1}{2}F^{m}_{m}F^{n}_{m}) = Tr(\frac{1}{2}F^{m}_{$

Here, the constraints (19) have been solved in terms of component elds without using a prepotential. They must be implemented directly in the path integral when one quantizes the theory, which run over the unconstrained potentials. This is performed by the following superspace integral depending on Lagrange multipliers super elds

$$S_{C} = d^{m} d^{m} d^{m} d \sum_{m n} Tr B \Sigma + B^{m n} \Sigma_{m n} + K^{m} L_{m} + \Psi^{m n} \chi_{m n}$$
 (28)

where $B^{m\,n}$ is sym m etric and $\Psi^{m\,n}$ is traceless. The resolution of the constraints is such that the form all integration over the above auxiliary super elds gives rise to the non-manifestly supersym metric formulation of the theory in components, without introducing any determinant contribution in the path-integral. However, due to the Bianchi identities, B, $B^{m\,n}$ and $\Psi^{m\,n}$ admit a large class of zero modes that must be considered in the manifestly supersym metric superspace Feynman rules. They can be summarized by the following invariance of the action

$$^{\text{zero}} B = \hat{r} \lambda;$$
 $^{\text{zero}} B^{m n} = \hat{r}_{p} \lambda^{(m n p)}$ $e_{p} \lambda^{pm n};$ $^{\text{zero}} \Psi^{m n} = \hat{r}_{p} \lambda^{m n p}$ (29)

where $\lambda^{(m \, np)}$ is completely symmetric and $\lambda^{m \, np}$ is traceless in its mn indices and symmetric in np. This feature is peculiar to twisted superspace and the appearance of this in nitely degenerated gauge symmetry was already underlined in [4] and is detailed in [9]. We will not go in further details in this paper, and let the reader see in [9] how it may be possible to deal with this technical subtelty by use of suitable projectors in superspace.

One needs a gauge xing-action S_{GF} . It is detailed for the analogous N=2 twisted superspace in [4,9] as a superspace generalization of the Landau gauge xing action in components. One also needs a gauge—xing part S_{CGF} for the action of constraints (28), and the total action for N=1; d=4 super-Y ang $\{M\}$ ills in holomorphic superspace reads

$$S_{SYM}^{N=1} = S_{EQ} + S_C + S_{GF} + S_{CGF}$$
 (30)

2.4 Wess and Zum ino model

We then turn to the matter content of the theory and consider as a rst step the Wess and Zum ino super eld formulation. We introduce two scalar super elds Φ and Φ , and one (2;0)—super eld $\chi_{\text{m n}}$. These super elds correspond to the scalar chiral and anti-chiral super elds of ordinary superspace. They take their values in arbitrary representations of the gauge group. The chirality constraints of the super-Poincare superspace are replaced by the following constraints

$$r \Phi = 0; \quad r_m \Phi = 0; \quad r_p \chi_{mn} = 2 g_{pm} \theta_n \Phi$$
 (31)

We de ne the following component elds corresponding to the unconstrained components of the super elds as $\chi_{m\,n}$ j $_{m\,n}$; $(\frac{\varrho}{\varrho}\chi_{m\,n})$ j $_{m\,n}$; $(\frac{\varrho}{\varrho}\chi_{m\,n})$ j $_{m\,n}$; $(\frac{\varrho}{\varrho}\Phi)$ j ; $(\frac{\varrho}{\varrho}\Phi)$ j ; $(\frac{\varrho}{\varrho}\Phi)$ j $_{m\,n}$. We then deduce

The free W ess and Zum ino action can be written as

$$S_{WZ} = d^{m} d^{m} d^{m} d \Phi \chi_{mn}$$

$$= d^{4}x^{p} \overline{g} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{2} T^{mn} T_{mn} \qquad ^{mn} Q_{mn} + Q_{mm} \qquad Q_{mm} Q^{m} \qquad (33)$$

2.5 Gauge coupling to matter

In order to get the matter coupling to the pure super $\{Y \text{ ang } \{M \text{ ills action, } w \text{ e covariantize } the constraints. This can be shown to be consistent with those of (19). We thus have$

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} \Phi = 0; \quad \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{m} \Phi = 0; \quad \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{p} \chi_{mn} = 2 g_{pm} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{n} \Phi$$
 (34)

In order to ful 1 these new constraints, we modify the matter super elds as follows

$$\Phi = \#^{m} _{m} \frac{1}{2} \#^{m} \#^{n} T_{m n} + \#^{m} A_{m} + \#^{m} \#^{n} (\frac{1}{2} _{m n} A_{m n})$$

$$\chi_{m n} = _{m n} + 2 \#_{[m} D_{n]} + \#_{m} \#_{n} + T_{m n} + \#^{m} (@_{m m n} + 2 g_{m [m} D_{n]})$$

$$2 g_{m [m n]}) + \#_{m} \#_{n} (@_{p} D^{p} + h)$$
 (35)

$$S_{SYM+M atter} = d^{m}d^{n}d Tr(A_{m} r A_{n} CF_{mn}) \Phi \chi_{mn}$$
 (36)

which matches that of [7]. A W Z superpotential can be added in the twisted superspace formalism as the sum of two terms, one which is written as an integral over d and the other as an integral over $d\#^m d\#^n$.

3 Prepotential

We now turn to the study of a twisted superspace formulation for the pure N = 1 super-Y ang {M ills theory that involves a prepotential. It is su cient to consider here the abelian case. The super-connections (C ; Γ_m ; A_m ; A_m) count altogether for (1+2+2+2) $\hat{2}$ = 56 degrees of freedom , 8 of which are longitudinal degrees of freedom associated to the gauge invariance in superspace (18). The constraints (19) for Σ and Σ_m can be solved by the introduction of unconstrained prepotentials as

$$C = rD$$
; $\Gamma_m = r_m \Delta$ (37)

which reduces the 16 degrees of freedom in $\Gamma_{\rm m}$ to the 8 degrees of freedom in Δ . Gauge invariance for the prepotentials now reads

D! D +
$$\alpha$$
; Δ ! Δ + α (38)

Owning to their de nition, the prepotentials are not uniquely de ned. Indeed, they can be shifted by the additional transform ations D ! D + S and Δ ! Δ T , where S, T obey r S = 0 respectively r m T = 0. The constraint $L_m = 0$ implies that A_m can be expressed in terms of the prepotentials, $A_m = r r_m \Delta r_m r D$. Its gauge invariance is given by

$$A_{m} : r_{m} (\Delta + \alpha T) r_{m} r (D + \alpha + S) = A_{m} + \theta_{m} \alpha$$
 (39)

We now perform a gauge choice and choose $\alpha=\Delta$ so that we $x\Gamma_m=0$. The remaining gauge invariance is then given by D ! D + S + T . The last constraint $\chi_{m\,n}=g_{m\,n}$ is then solved by introducing

$$A_{m} = r^{n} P_{nm}$$
 (40)

so that $\chi_{m\,n}=r_m\,A_n=\frac{1}{2}g_{m\,n}r_pr_qP^{pq}$. Although the residual gauge invariance is well established in the case when we consider the theory with the full set of generators, it is still unclear how exactly it happens in reduced superspace. But as a matter of fact, we are left with the two unconstrained prepotentials D and $P_{m\,n}$, counting for 16 degrees of freedom , which perm its one to write the classical action. We consider the curvature Ψ_m , which reads in terms of the prepotentials as

$$\Psi_{m} = r r^{n} P_{mn} \quad Q_{m} r D \tag{41}$$

It obeys trivially the B ianchi identity r $\Psi_m=0$, and its rst component is a (1;0)-vector of canonical dimension 3=2, that we identify with $_m$ θ_m c of the previous section, when the super-gauge invariance is restored. Since the rst component of the super eld (41) is the same as that of Ψ_m in the previous section, both super elds are equal. It follows that the classical N = 1;d = 4 super-Y ang {M ills action can also be written as an integral over the full superspace, in function of an unconstrained prepotential

$$Z \qquad \qquad Z \\ S_{EQ} = d^{m} d^{m} Tr \Psi_{m} \Psi_{n} = d^{m} d^{m} d^{m} Tr P_{mp} r^{p} r^{p} r^{q} P_{nq} + P_{mp} e_{n} r^{p} r^{p} D$$

$$(42)$$

In fact, it corresponds to the twisted version of the super-Poincare superspace action, once the tensorial coordinate has been eliminated. We are currently studying how this formulation could be extended to a N = 2; d = 8 superspace in a SU (4) formulation [9].

4 N = 2;d = 4 holom orphic Yang{M ills supersym - m etry

We now de ne the holomorphic formulation of the N=2; d=4 Yang{M ills supersym-m etry and see how it can be decomposed into that of the N=1 supersymmetry. We rst focus on the component formulation and afterwards we give its superspace version. The latter will involve 5 fermionic coordinates, as compared to the 3 fermionic coordinates of the N=1 twisted superspace.

4.1 Component form ulation

The component formulation of N = 2;d = 4 super-Yang{M ills in terms of complex representations has been discussed in [6,12,13]. We consider a reduction of the euclidean rotation group SU(2)_L SU(2)_R to SU(2)_L U(1)_R, with U(1)_R SU(2)_R. The two dimensional representation of SU(2)_R decomposes under U(1)_R as a sum of one dimensional representations with opposite charges. In particular, the scalar and vector supersymmetry generators decompose as δ = + and δ _R = + , where is the complex conjugate of , so that j \hat{j} = i g(). The subsets (;) and (;) form two N = 1 subalgebras of the N = 2 supersymmetry, (;) being related to those of the previous sections

$$^{2} = 0$$
; f; $g = ^{gauge}()$; $^{2} = 0$
 $f_{m};_{n}g = 0$; $f_{m};_{m}g = g_{mm} ^{gauge}()$; $f_{m};_{n}g = 0$ (43)

Quite concretely, the transformation laws for pure N=2 super-Yang{Mills can be obtained from the holomorphic and antiholomorphic decomposition of the horizontality equation in SU(2) SU(2) twisted formulation [7]. This equation involves the graded dierential operator

$$Q \qquad Q + Q_K \tag{44}$$

which verify $(d + Q + Q_K + S_K)^2 = 0.0$ ne de nes

$$A = A_{(1,0)} + A_{(0,1)} + \$ C + i_{K-1}$$
(45)

where c is a scalar shadow eld and $_1=_{1\,(1;0)}+_{1\,(0;1)}$ involves \holom orphic" and \antiholom orphic" vector shadow elds. Q and Q $_{\rm K}$ are constructed out of the ve δ , and supersymm etry generators with shadow dependent gauge transform ations

$$Q S \delta$$
 gauge (S C); $Q_K K$ gauge (i_{K-1}) (46)

An antiselfdual 2-form splits in holomorphic coordinates as ! ($_{(2,0)}$; $_{(0,2)}$; $_{(1,1)}$) where $_{(1,1)}$ is subjected to the condition $_{m\,n}=\frac{1}{2}J_{m\,n}J^{pq}_{pq}$. We thus de nea scalar as $_{m\,n}=g_{m\,n}$ and the holomorphic horizontality equation can be written as

$$F QA + AA = F_{(2;0)} + F_{(1;1)} + F_{(0;2)} + \$ + g()(+) + g()() + i_{(2;0)} + i_{(0;2)} + \$^2 + j_{j}^2 (47)$$

with Bianchi identity

$$QF = [A;F]$$
 (48)

By expansion over form degrees and U (1)_R number, one gets transform ation laws for N = 2 super{Yang{M ills in holomorphic and antiholomorphic components. In order to recover the transformation laws for N = 1 supersymmetry (4) together with those of the matter multiplet in the adjoint representation ' = $(T_{m\,n};T_{m\,n};_{m\,i};_{m\,n};_{m\,n};_{i})$, one can proceed as follows. One rst derive from (46,47,48) the transformation laws for the physical elds under the equivariant operator $_{K}$. One then obtains the action of the N = 1 vector generator by restricting the constant vector K to its antiholomorphic component $_{K}$, so that $_{K}$! $_{M}$ $_{M}$. Finally, the action of the holomorphic component of the scalar operator δ on the various elds is completely determined by the requirement that it satis es the N = 1 subalgebra $_{K}$ = 0 and f ; $_{M}$ g = $_{M}$ + $_{M}$ $_{M}$ + $_{M}$ $_{M}$ = $_{M}$ $_{M}$ + $_{M}$ $_{M}$ = $_{M}$ + $_{M}$ = $_{M}$ = $_{M}$ + $_$

4.2 N = 2 holomorphic superspace

We extend the superspace of the N = 1 case into one with complex bosonic coordinates z_m , z_m and ve G rassmann coordinates, one scalar , two \holomorphic" $\#^m$ and two \antiholomorphic" $\#^m$ (m; m = 1; 2). The supercharges are now given by

$$Q = \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \#^{m} \theta_{m} + \#^{m} \theta_{m}; \qquad Q_{m} = \frac{\theta}{\theta \#^{m}}; \qquad Q_{m} = \frac{\theta}{\theta \#^{m}}$$
(49)

They verify

$$Q^2 = 0;$$
 fQ_M $g = Q_M;$ fQ_M $Q_N g = 0$ (50)

with M = m; m. The covariant derivatives and their anticom muting relations are

$$r \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta} \qquad \qquad r_{M} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta \#^{M}} \quad \theta_{M}$$

$$r^{2} = 0 \qquad \text{fr ; } r_{M} g = \quad \theta_{M} \qquad \text{fr } _{M} ; r_{N} g = 0 \qquad (51)$$

They anticom mutewith the supersymmetry generators. The gauge covariant superderivatives are

$$\hat{r}$$
 r + C; \hat{r}_{M} r_M + Γ_{M} ; \hat{e}_{M} e_{M} + A_{M} (52)

from which we de ne the covariant superspace curvatures

$$F_{M N} \quad [\hat{e}_{M}; \hat{e}_{N}] \qquad \Sigma \quad \hat{r}^{2}$$

$$\Psi_{M} \quad [\hat{r}; \hat{e}_{M}] \qquad L_{M} \quad f\hat{r}; \hat{r}_{M}g + \hat{e}_{M} \qquad (53)$$

$$\chi_{M N} \quad [\hat{r}_{M}; \hat{e}_{N}] \qquad \Sigma_{M N} \quad \frac{1}{2}f\hat{r}_{M}; \hat{r}_{N}g$$

They are subjected to Bianchi identities and the super-gauge transformations of the various connections and curvatures follow analogously to the N=1 case.

The constraints for the N = 2 case are

$$L_{M} = 0; \quad \Sigma_{m n} = \Sigma_{m n} = 0; \quad \Sigma_{m n} = \frac{1}{2} g_{m n} \Sigma_{p}^{p}; \quad \chi_{m n} = \frac{1}{2} g_{m n} \chi_{p}^{p}$$
 (54)

Their solution can be directly deduced from [4,9], by decomposition into holomorphic and antiholomorphic coordinates. The full physical vector supermultiplet now stands in the scalar odd connexion, which in the Wess (Zum ino-like gauge is

$$C = A^{c} + (^{c} A^{c})$$
 (55)

w here

$$\mathcal{K} = \#^{m} A_{m} \#^{m} A_{m} \frac{1}{2} \#^{m} \#^{n} _{mn} \frac{1}{2} \#^{m} \#^{n} _{mn} \#^{m} \#_{m} + \frac{1}{2} \#^{n} \#_{n} (\#^{m} D_{m} + \#^{m} D_{m}) \#^{m} \#_{m} \#_{m} [;] (56)$$

There is an analogous decom position for \sim in [4]. The general solution to the constraints is recovered by the following super-gauge-transform ation

$$e^{\alpha} = e^{(\#^m \, \mathbb{Q}_m \, + \, \#^m \, \mathbb{Q}_m)} \hat{e} e^{e} = e^{-1} + (e + e^{-(\#^m \, \mathbb{Q}_m \, + \, \#^m \, \mathbb{Q}_m)} e^{-1})$$
 (57)

where \sim and c are respectively commuting and anticommuting functions of $\#^m$; $\#^m$ and the coordinates z^m ; z^m , with the condition $\sim j=0$. Transformation laws in components can then be recovered, which match those in (47) and (48).

The action is then given by

$$S_{\text{SYM}}^{N=2} = d^4 \# d \text{ Tr CrC} + \frac{2}{3}C^3$$
 (58)

To recover the previous results of the N = 1 super{Yang{M ills theory with matter in the adjoint representation, one rst integrates (58) over the variable, which gives

$$S_{\text{SYM}}^{N=2} = d^4 \# \text{Tr} \Sigma \Sigma$$
 (59)

Further integration over the $\#^m$ variables, or equivalently derivation with r_m , yields two terms which are both invariant under the N=1 scalar supersymmetry generator. In turn, they can be expressed as an integral over the full twisted N=1 superspace, which yields the superspace action given in (36) with matter in the adjoint representation.

A cknow ledgm ents

We thank very much G.Bossard form any useful discussions on the subject. This work has been partially supported by the contract ANR (CNRS-USAR), 05-BLAN-0079-01. A.M. has been supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, grant PBSK2-119127.

R eferences

- [1] A.Galperin, E. Ivanov, S.Kalitsyn, V.Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, Unconstrained o -shell N = 3 supersymmetric Yang (Mills theory, Class. Quant. Grav. 2 (1985) 155
- [2] F. Delduc et J. McCabe, The Quantization Of N=3 Superyang-Mills O -Shell In Harmonic Superspace, Class. Quant. Grav. 6 (1989) 233
- [3] L. Baulieu, N. Berkovits, G. Bossard and A. Martin, Ten-dimensional super-Yang (Mills with nine o -shell supersymmetries, Phys. Lett. B658 (2008) 249 [hep-th/0705.2002]
- [4] L.Baulieu, G.Bossard and A.Martin, Twisted superspace, Phys. Lett. B663 (2008) 275 [hep-th/0802.1980]
- [5] A. Johansen, Twisting of N=1 SUSY Gauge Theories and Heterotic Topological Theories, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 10 (1995) 4325 [hep-th/9403017]
- [6] E.W itten, Supersymm etric Yang-Mills Theory On A Four-Manifold, J.Math. Phys. 35 (1994) 5101 [hep-th/9403195]
- [7] L. Baulieu, G. Bossard, Reconstruction of N=1 supersymmetry from topological symmetry, Phys. Lett. B632 (2005) 138 [hep-th/0507004]
- [8] H. Nicolai, A Possible Constructive Approach To (Super ³) In Four-Dimensions. 1. Euclidean Formulation Of The Model, Nucl. Phys. B140 (1978) 294
- [9] L. Baulieu, G. Bossard and A. Martin, in preparation
- [10] L. Baulieu, G. Bossard and S. P. Sorella, Shadow elds and local supersymmetric gauges, Nucl. Phys. B 753 (2006) 273 [hep-th/0603248]
- [11] A.G. alperin and O.O. gievetsky, Holonomy groups, complex structures and D = 4 topological Yang (Mills theory, Comm. Math. Phys. 139 (1991) 377

- [12] M \cdot M arino, The geometry of supersymmetric gauge theories in four dimensions, Ph.D \cdot Thesis, [hep-th/9701128]
- [13] J.S.Park, N=2 topologicalY ang-M ills theory on compactK ahler surfaces, Commun. M ath.Phys. 163 (1994) 113 [hep-th/9304060]