# PARTON D ISTR IBUTION FUNCTION UNCERTAINTIES & NUCLEAR CORRECTIONS FOR THE LHC $^{\rm y}$

I. SCH ENBE N, <sup>a,b</sup> J.Y.YU, <sup>a</sup> C.KEPPEL, <sup>c,d</sup> J.G.MORFN, <sup>e</sup>F.OLNESS, <sup>a,g</sup> and JF.OW ENS<sup>f</sup> <sup>a</sup>Southern M ethodist University, Dallas, TX 75206, USA, <sup>b</sup>LPSC, Universite Joseph Fourier G renoble, France <sup>c</sup>Thom as Je erson National A coelerator Facility, N ewport News, VA 23602, USA, <sup>d</sup>H am pton University, H am pton, VA, 23668, USA, <sup>e</sup>Ferm ilab, B atavia, IL 60510, USA, <sup>f</sup>F brida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-4350, USA <sup>g</sup>Theoretical Physics D ivision, Physics D epartm ent, CERN, CH 1211 G eneva 23, Switzerland



W e study nuclear e ects of charged current deep inelastic neutrino-iron scattering in the fram ework of a  $^2$  analysis of parton distribution functions (PDFs). W e extract a set of iron PDFs which are used to compute  $x_{\rm B~j}$ -dependent and Q $^2$ -dependent nuclear correction factors for iron structure functions which are required in global analyses of free nucleon PDFs. W e compare our results with nuclear correction factors from neutrino-nucleus scattering m odels and correction factors for '-iron scattering. W e nd that, except for very high  $x_{\rm B~j}$ , our correction factors di er in both shape and m agnitude from the correction factors of them odels and charged-lepton scattering.

# 1 Im pact of N uclear C orrections on PDFs

The high statistics measurements of neutrino deeply inelastic scattering (DIS) on heavy nuclear targets has generated signicant interest in the literature since these measurements provide valuable information for global ts of parton distribution functions (PDFs). The use of nuclear targets is unavoidable due to the weak nature of the neutrino interactions, and this complicates the extraction of free nucleon PDFs because model-dependent corrections must be applied to the data. In early PDF analyses, the nuclear corrections were static correction factors without any

Talk given at the XLIIIth Rencontres de Moriond QCD and High Energy Interactions, La Thuile, March 8-15, 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>Presented by Fred 0 lness.

Talk given at the 16th International W orkshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering (D IS2008),

<sup>7-11</sup> A pril 2008, U niversity C ollege London.





Figure 1: Nuclear correction factor R according to Eq.1 Figure 2: Predictions (solid and dashed line) for the for the di erential cross section  $d^2 = dx dQ^2$  in charged structure function ratio  $F_2^{Fe} = F_2^{D}$  using the iron PDFs current neutrino-Fe scattering at  $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$ . Results extracted from ts to NuTeV neutrino and anti-neutrino are shown for the charged current neutrino (solid lines) data. The SLAC /NMC param eterization is shown with and anti-neutrino (dashed lines) scattering from iron. the dot-dashed line. The structure function  $F_2^D$  in the The upper (low er) pair of curves show s the result of our denom inator has been com puted using either the Base-2 analysis with the Base-2 (Base-1) free-proton PDFs.

(solid line) or the Base-1 (dashed line) PDFs.

(signi cant) dependence on the energy scale Q, the atom ic num ber A, or the speci c observable. The increasing precision of both the experim ental data and the extracted PDFs dem and that the applied nuclear correction factors be equally precise as these contributions play a crucial role in determ ining the PDFs. In this study we reexam ine the source and size of the nuclear corrections that enter the PDF global analysis, and quantify the associated uncertainty. Additionally, we provide the foundation for including the nuclear correction factors as a dynam ic component of the global analysis so that the full correlations between the heavy and light target data can be exploited.

A recent study  $^1$  analyzed the impact of new data sets from the NuTeV  $^3$ , Chorus, and E-866 Collaborations on the PDFs. This study found that the NuTeV data set (together with the m odel used for the nuclear corrections) pulled against several of the other data sets, notably the E-866, BCDMS and NMC sets. Reducing the nuclear corrections at large values of x reduced the severity of this pull and resulted in in proved  $^2$  values. These results suggest on a purely phenom enological level that the appropriate nuclear corrections for -D IS m ay well be sm aller than assumed.

To investigate this question further, we use the high-statistics -D IS experiments to perform a dedicated PDF t to neutrino { iron data? Our methodology for this t is parallel to that of the previous global analysis, but with the di erence we use only Fe data and that no nuclear corrections are applied to the analyzed data; hence, the resulting PDFs are for a bound proton in an iron nucleus. Speci cally, we determ ine iron PDFs using the recent NuTeV di erential neutrino (1371 data points) and anti-neutrino (1146 data points) D IS cross section data,  $3^{3}$  and we include NuTeV/CCFR dimuon data (174 points) which are sensitive to the strange quark content of the nucleon. We impose kinematic cuts of  $Q^2 > 2 \text{ GeV}$  and W > 3:5 GeV, and obtain a good twith a  $^2$  of 1.35 per data point.

#### 2 Nuclear Correction Factors

We now compare our iron PDFs with the free proton PDFs (appropriately scaled) to infer the proper heavy target correction which should be applied to relate these quantities. Within the



Figure 3: Nuclear correction factor R for the structure function F<sub>2</sub> in a) neutrino and b) anti-neutrino scattering from Fe. The solid curve shows the result of our analysis of NuTeV data; the uncertainty from the t is represented by the shaded (yellow) band. For comparison we show the correction factor from the Kulagin {Pettim odel (dashed-dotted line), <sup>4</sup> HKN 07 (dashed-dotted line), <sup>5</sup> and the SLAC/NMC parametrization (dashed line).

parton model, a nuclear correction factor R [0] for an observable 0 can be de ned as follows:

$$R [0] = \frac{O [NPDF]}{O [free]}$$
(1)

where O [NPDF] represents the observable computed with nuclear PDFs, and O [free] is the same observable constructed out of the free nucleon PDFs. In addition to the kinematic variables and the factorization scale, R can depend on the observable under consideration simply because di erent observables may be sensitive to di erent combinations of PDFs. This means that the nuclear correction factor R for  $F_2^A$  and  $F_3^A$  will, in general, be di erent. Additionally, the nuclear correction factor for  $F_2^A$  will yield di erent results for the charged current {Fe process (W exchange) as compared with the neutral current ' {Fe process ( exchange). Because we have extracted the iron PDFs from only iron data, we do not assume any particular form for the nuclear A-dependence; hence the extracted R [O] ratio is essentially m odel independent.

We begin by computing the nuclear correction factor R for the neutrino di erential cross section  $d^2 = dx dQ^2$ , as this represents the bulk of the NuTeV data included in our t, cf., Fig. 1. We have computed R using two separate proton PDFs denoted as Base-1 and Base-2; the di erence of these curves, in part, re ects the uncertainty introduced by the proton PDF.<sup>2</sup> W e also observe that the neutrino and anti-neutrino results coincide in the region of large x where the valence PDFs are dom inant, but di er by a few percent at sm all x due to the di ering strange and charm distributions.

We next display the nuclear correction factors for  $F_2^{Fe}$  and  $F_2^{Fe}$  in Fig.3. The SLAC/NMC curve has been obtained from an A and Q<sup>2</sup>-independent parameterization of calcium and iron charged (lepton D IS data<sup>1</sup>. Due to the neutron excess in iron, both our curves and the KP curves di er when comparing scattering for neutrinos and anti-neutrinos. For our results (solid lines), the di erence between the neutrino and anti-neutrino results is relatively sm all, of order 3% at x = 0.6. Conversely, for the KP m odel (dashed-dotted lines) the { di erence reaches 10% at x = 0.7, and rem ains sizable at low er values of x.

C om paring the nuclear correction factors for the  $F_2$  structure function (Fig. 3) with those obtained for the di erential cross section (Fig. 1), we see these are quite di erent, particularly at sm allx. This is because the cross section  $d^2$  is comprised of a di erent combination of PDFs than the  $F_2$  structure function. Again, we emphasize that it is important to use an appropriate nuclear correction factor which is matched to the particular observable.

Our results have general features in common with the KP model and the SLAC/NMC

param eterization, but the magnitude of the e ects and the x-region where they apply are quite di erent. Our results are noticeably atter than the KP and SLAC/NMC curves, especially at moderate-x where the di erences are signi cant. The general trend we see when examining these nuclear correction factors is that the anti-shadow ing region is shifted to smaller x values, and any turn-over at low x is minimal given the PDF uncertainties. In general, these plots suggest that the size of the nuclear corrections extracted from the NuTeV data are smaller than those obtained from charged lepton scattering (SLAC/NMC) or from the set of data used in the KP model.

Since the SLAC/NMC param eterization was t to  $F_2^{Fe} = F_2^{D}$  for charged-lepton D IS data, we can perform a more balanced comparison by using our iron PDFs to com pute this same quantity. The results are shown in Fig. 2 where we have used our iron PDFs to compute  $F_2^{Fe}$ , and the Base-1 and Base-2 PDFs to compute  $F_2^{D}$ . A sbefore, we nd our results have some gross features in common while on a more remed level them agnitude of the nuclear corrections extracted from the charged current iron data di ers from the charged lepton data. In particular, we note that the so-called \anti-shadow ing" enhancement at x [0:06 0:3] is not reproduced by the charged current (anti-)neutrino data. Exam ining our results among all the various R [0] calculations, we generally nd that any nuclear enhancement in the small x region is reduced and shifted to a lower x range as compared with the SLAC /NMC param eterization. Furtherm ore, in the lim it of large x (x > 0:6) our results are slightly higher than the data, including the very precise SLAC-E139 points; how ever, the large theoretical uncertainties on  $F_2^{D}$  in this x-region make it di cult to extract m conclusions.

### 3 Conclusions

W hile the nuclear corrections extracted from charged current  $\{F e \text{ scattering have sim ilar general characteristics as the neutral current 1 <math>\{F e \text{ charged-lepton results, the detailed x and Q^2 behavior is quite di erent. There is a priori no requirem ent that these be equal; in fact, given that the <math>\{F e \text{ process involves the exchange of a W and the ' \}$  F e process involves the exchange of a we necessarily expect this will lead to di erences at som e level.

These results raise the deeper question as to whether the charged current and neutral current correction factors m ay be substantially di erent. A com bined analysis of neutrino and charged-lepton data sets, for which the present study provides a foundation, will shed m ore light on these issues. Resolving these questions is essential if we are to reliably use the plethora of nuclear data to obtaining free-proton PDFs which form the basis of the LHC analyses.

We thank Tim Bolton, Javier Gomez, Shunzo Kumano, Eric Laenen, Dave Mason, W. Mehitchouk, Donna Naples, Mary HallReno, Voica A. Radescu, and Martin Tzanov for valuable discussions, and BNL, CERN, and Ferm ilab for their hospitality. This work was supported by U.S. DoE DE-FG 02-04ER 41299, DE-FG 02-97 IR 41022, DE-AC 05-060 R 23177, NSF grant 0400332, Lightner-Sam s Foundation, & Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft (YU 118/1-1).

# R eferences

- 1. J.F.Owens et al., Phys. Rev. D 75, 054030 (2007) [arX iv:hep-ph/0702159].
- 2. A s space is lim ited in this conference report, we refer the reader to the following for analysis details and a full set of references. I. Schienbein, J.Y.Yu, C.Keppel, J.G.Morn, F.O lness and J.F.Owens, Phys. Rev. D 77, 054013 (2008) [arXiv:0710.4897 [hep-ph]].
- 3. M. Tzanov et al. [NuTeV Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 74, 012008 (2006).
- 4. S.A.Kulagin and R. Petti, Nucl. Phys. A 765, 126 (2006) [arX iv:hep-ph/0412425].
- 5. M. Hirai, S. Kum ano and T. H. Nagai, Phys. Rev. C 76, 065207 (2007) [arX iv:0709.3038 [hep-ph]].