

Neutrino-Mass Hierarchies and Non-linear Representation of Lepton-Flavour Symmetry

Thorsten Feldmann^a and Thomas Mannel^{a;b}

^a Theoretische Physik 1, Fachbereich Physik, Universität Siegen, D-57068 Siegen, Germany.

^b CERN, Department of Physics, Theory Unit, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland.

Abstract

Lepton-flavour symmetry in the Standard Model is broken by small masses for charged leptons and neutrinos. Introducing neutrino masses via dimension-5 operators associated to lepton-number violation at a very high scale, the corresponding coupling matrix may still have entries of order 1, resembling the situation in the quark sector with large top Yukawa coupling. As we have shown recently, in such a situation one may introduce the coupling matrices between lepton and Higgs fields as non-linear representations of lepton-flavour symmetry within an effective-theory framework. This allows us to separate the effects related to the large mass difference observed in atmospheric neutrino oscillations from those related to the solar mass difference. We discuss the cases of normal or inverted hierarchical and almost degenerate neutrino spectrum, give some examples to illustrate minimal lepton-flavour violation in radiative and leptonic decays, and also provide a systematic definition of next-to-minimal lepton-flavour violation within the non-linear framework.

1 Introduction

The gauge sector of the Standard Model (SM) is symmetric under independent unitary transformations between the three family members of each fermion multiplet (left-handed quarks and leptons, right-handed up-, down-quarks and charged leptons). The Yukawa couplings between fermion fields and the scalar Higgs field break the flavour symmetry, giving rise to fermion masses and quark mixing.

New Physics (NP) models generically introduce new sources of flavour symmetry breaking, which are already highly constrained by precision data on B-meson and kaon decays. To account for this observation, the concept of minimal flavour violation (MFV) has been proposed, which can be introduced in an elegant way by considering the Yukawa matrices of the SM as vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of spurion fields [1,2] (for earlier, phenomenological definitions of MFV, see also [3,4]). NP effects can then be encoded in terms of higher-dimensional operators in an effective theory (ET), where all flavour coefficients are proportional to SM masses and mixing parameters.

In a recent paper [5], we have pointed out the particular role of the top quark in the ET construction. Being the only fermion in the SM with Yukawa couplings of order 1, the top quark breaks the flavour symmetry already at the cut-off scale of the ET. Therefore it is preferable to represent flavour symmetry in a non-linear way in terms of Goldstone modes for broken flavour symmetry generators and spurion fields which transform under the residual symmetry.

At first glance, the lepton sector in the SM does not contain large Yukawa couplings, and therefore the usual (linear) representation of lepton flavour symmetry could be applied to introduce minimal lepton flavour violation (MLFV) [2]. However, in a scenario with minimal field content (i.e. potential right-handed neutrinos having been integrated out), the observed small neutrino masses have to be generated by higher-dimensional lepton-number (LN) violating operators (see below). The small size of neutrino masses is naturally explained by the large scale Λ_{LN} associated to LN violation, while some of the flavour coefficients of LN-violating operators (related to the largest eigenvalue in the neutrino mass matrix) may still be of order 1.

The physical picture that we have in mind is illustrated in Fig. 1: Lepton number is assumed to be broken at a very high scale (say, for instance, near the GUT scale). For hierarchical neutrino masses, we assume the large atmospheric neutrino mass differences to be generated by a spurion VEV at the scale Λ_{LN} (for almost degenerate neutrino masses, the situation Λ_{LN} should be considered). The original lepton flavour symmetry $G_F = U(1)_{LN}$ is thus broken to a subgroup G_F^0 whose structure, as we will show, depends on the assumed neutrino mass pattern. The solar neutrino mass difference is related to the further breaking of G_F^0 which is assumed to happen at a lower scale Λ_E . (In this picture, the scale Λ_E related to the generation of Yukawa couplings for charged leptons always obeys $\Lambda_E < \Lambda_{LN}$, but $\Lambda_E > \Lambda_{LN}$, $\Lambda_E < \Lambda_{EW}$ or $\Lambda_E = \Lambda_{EW}$ are possible.) At (or slightly above) the electroweak scale (below Λ_{EW} and Λ_E), the physics is described in terms of an ET sharing the gauge symmetry of the SM, with the flavour structure of higher-dimensional operators being dictated by the VEVs of spurion fields.




Figure 1: Tower of scales and associated symmetries, see text. The scenario where the breaking of LN and the generation of neutrino mass differences takes place at different scales, Λ_{LN} , is not shown.

In the following, we are going to construct the non-linear representation of lepton-avour symmetry. We distinguish between different scenarios for the neutrino mass hierarchy ("normal", "inverted", "degenerate"). A few examples to illustrate the construction of ET operators below the scale Λ^0 for radiative lepton-avour transitions and 4-lepton processes are discussed in section 3. Finally, section 4 is devoted to systematic extensions beyond MLFV (in the context of the non-linear representation of lepton-avour symmetry) along the lines proposed in [6]. We conclude with a brief summary in section 5.

2 Non-linear representation

Throughout this work, we assume that the possible right-handed neutrinos have masses of the order Λ_{LN} or higher, so that we can stick to a scenario with minimal field content, where right-handed neutrinos are assumed not to be part of the physical spectrum in the ET below the scale Λ_{LN} . In this case, the complete lepton-avour symmetry is described by,

$$G_F \quad U(1)_{LN} \quad U(1)_{PQ} = SU(3)_L \quad SU(3)_{E_R} \quad U(1)_L \quad U(1)_{E_R};$$

where the Peccei-Quinn symmetry $U(1)_{PQ}$ distinguishes right-handed up-quark fields U_R from down-quark fields D_R and charged leptons E_R [7]. In the following discussion, we may ignore the $U(1)_{E_R}$ factor and concentrate on

$$G_F \quad U(1)_L = SU(3)_L \quad SU(3)_{E_R} \quad U(1)_L; \quad (2.1)$$

The breaking of this symmetry may be described by two spurion fields¹

$$\hat{Y}_E = V_L^Y \text{diag}(y_e; y_\mu; y_\tau); \quad (2.2)$$

$$\hat{g} \quad \frac{g}{\Lambda_{LN}} = \frac{\Lambda_{LN}}{v^2} V_L^T U_{PMNS} \text{diag}(m_1; m_2; m_3) U_{PMNS}^Y V_L; \quad (2.3)$$

¹Here and in the following, unhatted quantities denote scalar spurion fields with canonical mass dimension 1, whereas hatted quantities denote dimensionless (Yukawa) couplings.

where $V_L \in SU(3)_L \times U(1)_L$, with $V_L = 1$ corresponding to the mass eigenbasis for the charged leptons, while $V_L = U_{PMNS}$ (the PMNS mixing matrix [8,9]) defines the mass eigenbasis for neutrinos (right-handed transformations are not observable in the SM and set to unity). The matrix \hat{Y}_E describes the SM Yukawa couplings of the charged leptons,

$$L_{\text{Yuk}} = L \hat{Y}_E H E_R + \text{h.c.}; \quad (2.4)$$

and transforms as $\hat{Y}_E \in (3\beta)_1$, where the numbers in brackets refer to representations of G_F , and the index denotes the $U(1)_L$ charge associated to left-handed lepton number. The matrix $g \in (6;1)_2$ appears in the higher-dimensional operator,

$$L_{Maj} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Lambda_{LN}} N^T \hat{g} N - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Lambda_{LN}^2} N^T g N + \text{h.c.} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\Lambda_{LN} = \Lambda_{LN}$, and

$$N = H^c Y_L \quad (2.6)$$

has vanishing quantum numbers under the complete SM gauge group. Notice that the operator in (2.5) is formally to be counted as $\dim -6$ when the coupling matrix \hat{g} is promoted to a (scalar) spurion field g with canonical mass dimension 1.

If the scale Λ_{LN} , associated with lepton-number violation, is sufficiently large, $\Lambda_{LN} \gg v$, the resulting neutrino masses $m_{Maj} \sim v^2 / \Lambda_{LN}$ are small, even if the spurion \hat{g} has generic entries of order unity, i.e. $hg_i = O(1)$. Following the same strategy that led us to identify the large top-Yukawa coupling in the quark sector, we may thus assume that the large value $hg_i = O(1)$ is related to the largest eigenvalue in the neutrino mass matrix. The remaining discussion depends on the assumed hierarchy among the neutrino masses. The experimental data on neutrino mixing (see e.g. [12] and references therein), with the two measured mass-squared differences $m_{sol}^2 - m_{atm}^2$, allows for "normal" and "inverted" hierarchy among the neutrino masses,

$$\text{normal: } m_1 < m_2 < m_3; \quad (2.7)$$

$$\text{inverted: } m_1 > m_2 > m_3; \quad (2.8)$$

or even an almost degenerate case if the absolute mass scale for the neutrinos is sufficiently large. Notice that $m_{sol}^2 - m_{atm}^2 \approx 1 = 25 \sin^2 \theta_{12} m_b = m_t$, and thus the expansion parameter in the lepton sector is of similar size as in the quark sector [5].

2.1 Normal neutrino-mass hierarchy

Let us first discuss the case of normal hierarchy, for which the leading structure of the mass matrix g in the neutrino eigenbasis follows as,²

$$\text{normal: } g \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_{LN}; \quad (2.9)$$

²The same result could be obtained in see-saw models, where the matrix g may be constructed by integrating out heavy right-handed neutrinos, interacting with left-handed neutrinos and SM Higgs fields

with

$$g = \frac{LN}{v^2} m_{\nu}^2, \quad \frac{LN}{v^2} \frac{p}{m_{atm}^2} = O(1): \quad (2.10)$$

The matrix (2.9) breaks the original flavour symmetry as

$$G_F = U(1)_L \times G_F^0 = SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_{E_R} \times U(1)_{L^{(2)}} \times Z_2: \quad (2.11)$$

Here, the combination

$$L^{(2)} = \frac{2}{3} L + \frac{2}{3} T_L^8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.12)$$

is the generator for (left-handed) lepton number in the 2-generation sub-space, and the discrete Z_2 symmetry is represented by a particular group element of $U(3)_L$,

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^i \end{pmatrix};$$

which commutes with $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_{L^{(2)}}$ transformations and leaves the VEV for g in (2.9) invariant.

The Goldstone modes \hat{g}_L^a ($a = 4 \dots 8$) associated to the 5 broken generators of the continuous $SU(3)_L$ symmetry define the non-linear representation of the spurion g ,

$$\hat{g} = U(L) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g \end{pmatrix} = U(L) U^Y(L): \quad (2.13)$$

They are introduced in the standard parameterization [13],

$$U(L) = \exp \left[\frac{i}{LN} \sum_{a=4}^8 X^a T_L^a \right]; \quad (2.14)$$

and transform under the full flavour symmetry group G_F in a non-linear way [5]. The remaining spurion $\hat{g}^{(2)}$ has canonical mass dimension, carries the charge $L^{(2)} = -2$, and

through Yukawa matrices \hat{Y} ,

$$\frac{1}{LN} \hat{g} / (\hat{Y}) (M_R)^{-1} \hat{Y}^Y:$$

Assuming that, analogously to the Yukawa matrix in the up-quark sector [5], the neutrino Yukawa matrix has one large entry, that without loss of generality may be chosen in the lower right corner, one recovers (2.9).

transforms trivially under Z_2 . It is represented by a complex symmetric 2×2 matrix $g^{(2)}$ which can be decomposed as

$$g^{(2)} = i_2 (i_1 + i_2) = i_2 \sum_{a=1}^X (i_1^a + i_2^a) a; \quad (2.15)$$

where $i_{1,2}$ are traceless hermitian matrices transforming both as triplets under $SU(2)_L$. At the scale μ^0 the field $g^{(2)}$ acquires a VEV, and the eigenvalues of this VEV determine the two small neutrino masses $m_{1,2}$, defining m_{sol}^2 in the normal hierarchy scenario. The 5 Goldstone modes, the large eigenvalue g , and the six real parameters in $g^{(2)}$ add up to 12 degrees of freedom describing the complex symmetric matrix g . Following [5], we introduce the projections P_L and $U_L^{(2)}$ via

$$U_L^{(2)} = (P_L)_{ij} = (P_L)_i j + \sum_{k=1,2}^X (U_L^{(2)})_{ik} k; \quad (2.16)$$

The neutrino mass operator (2.5) in the non-linear representation can then be written as

$$L_{Maj} = \frac{g}{2} \frac{1}{L_N} N^T P_L Y_L N + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{L_N^2} N^T U_L^{(2)} g^{(2)} U_L^{(2)Y} N + \text{h.c.}; \quad (2.17)$$

where the neutrino mass hierarchy is now manifest, with m_{atm}^2 arising from a dim -5 term, and m_{sol}^2 from a dim -6 operator.

Analogously, the Yukawa matrix for the charged leptons may be decomposed into

$$\hat{Y}_E = \frac{1}{L_N} U_L^{(2)} Y_E^{(2)} + \frac{1}{L_N} U_L^{(2)} Y_E^{(2)} + P_L Y_{E_R}; \quad (2.18)$$

where we introduced the G_F^0 irreducible spurions $Y_E^{(2)} = (2\mathcal{B})_{1,\rho}$ and $Y_{E_R} = (1\mathcal{B})_{0,\mu}$, with the first index referring to the $U(1)_{L(2)}$ charge, and the second index to the Z_2 representation ($0 = \text{trivial}$, $1 = \text{fundamental}$). For every charged lepton, the Yukawa terms are thus already dim -5,

$$L_{\text{yuk}} = \frac{1}{L_N} L U_L^{(2)} Y_E^{(2)} H_{E_R} + \frac{1}{L_N} L P_L Y_{E_R} H_{E_R} + \text{h.c.}; \quad (2.19)$$

and the PMNS matrix is identified as

$$U_{\text{PMNS}}^Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ V_L^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A U^Y(P_L) V_L^Y = \begin{pmatrix} V_L^{(2)} U_L^{(2)Y} \\ Y_L \\ V_L^Y \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2.20)$$

where $V_L^{(2)} \in U(2)_L$ diagonalizes $g^{(2)}$.

Mass eigenbasis for charged leptons. Often, the structure of the neutrino mass matrix is considered in the mass eigenbasis for the charged leptons. Let us approximate the PMNS matrix by the so-called tribimaximal mixing form [10],

$$U_{\text{PMNS}} \simeq R_{23} \left(\frac{1}{4} R_{12} \arcsin \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} A \quad (2.21)$$

and ignore Dirac and Majorana phases. In the limit $\theta_{\text{LN}} \rightarrow 1$, the leading term for the neutrino mass matrix (2.9) in the charged-lepton eigenbasis then reads

$$\langle \hat{h} | U_{\text{PMNS}} \langle \hat{h} | U_{\text{PMNS}}^T \simeq \frac{g}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A : \quad (2.22)$$

In this basis the neutrino matrix exhibits an apparent $U(1)$ symmetry, where lepton number in the electron sector (L_e) is still (approximately) conserved (see, for instance, the discussion in [11] and references therein). In our framework, the L_e symmetry is realized by a particular linear combination of $L^{(2)}$, T_L^1 and T_L^3 (and E_R). We should stress at this point, that our approach of identifying the residual flavour symmetry G_F^0 in the limit $\theta_{\text{LN}} \rightarrow 1$ is basis independent and more general than finding approximately conserved lepton-flavour charges in the charged-lepton eigenbasis.

2.2 Inverted neutrino-mass hierarchy

Similarly, in the case of inverted hierarchy, the leading structure of the flavour matrix g in the neutrino eigenbasis reads,

$$\text{inverted: } g \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_{\text{LN}} ; \quad (2.23)$$

with

$$g = \frac{\theta_{\text{LN}}}{v^2} m_{1,2} \simeq \frac{\theta_{\text{LN}}}{v^2} \sqrt{m_{\text{atm}}^2} = \mathcal{O}(1) : \quad (2.24)$$

It breaks the original flavour symmetry,

$$G_F \supset U(1)_L \times G_F^0 = SO(2)_L \times SU(3)_{L_R} \times U(1)_{L_3} : \quad (2.25)$$

Here, the unbroken $SO(2)_L$ generator is given by T^2 from $SU(3)_L$, and the $U(1)_{L_3}$ transformations are generated by the linear combination

$$L_3 = \frac{1}{3} L + \frac{2}{3} T_L^8 : \quad (2.26)$$

The remaining 7 Goldstone modes are then introduced by the exponential

$$U(L) = \exp \frac{i}{LN} \sum_{a \in 2} X_a T^a_L ;$$

and the representation of the flavour matrix g reads

$$\hat{g} = U(L) \left(g 1 + \mathfrak{g}^{(2)} \right) U^Y(L) ; \quad (2.27)$$

Here the new spurion $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)}$ is a real symmetric traceless 2×2 matrix transforming as $(2; 1)_0$ under G_F^0 . At the scale Λ $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)}$ acquires a VEV, whose eigenvalue determines the mass splitting between m_1 and m_2 giving rise to m_{sol}^2 . The complex spurion \mathfrak{g}_3 is a singlet under $SO(2)_L$ with $L_3 = 2$, and its absolute value determines the small neutrino mass m_3 . The large eigenvalue g , the 7 Goldstone modes and the four real parameters for $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)}, \mathfrak{g}_3$ add up to 12 parameters necessary to describe the complex symmetric 3×3 matrix g . The remaining discussion is completely analogous to the case of normal neutrino mass hierarchy with the appropriate changes from $U(2)_L \times Z_2$ to $SO(2)_L \times U(1)_3$ transformations. In particular, the residual spurions for the charged-lepton Yukawa matrix now transform as $Y_E^{(2)} = (2\beta)_0$, and $Y_R = (1\beta)_1$.

2.3 Almost degenerate neutrino masses

Degenerate neutrino masses are obtained from

$$\text{degenerate: } g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g & 0 \end{pmatrix} U(L) ; \quad g = \frac{LN}{v^2} m = O(1) ; \quad (2.28)$$

This breaks the original flavour symmetry,

$$G_F = U(1) \times G_F^0 = SO(3)_L \times SU(3)_{E_R} ; \quad (2.29)$$

The remaining 6 Goldstone modes are introduced by the exponential

$$U(L) = \exp \frac{i}{LN} \sum_{a \in 2,5,7} X_a T^a_L ;$$

and the representation of the flavour matrix g reads

$$\hat{g} = U(L) \left(g 1 + \frac{1}{LN} g \right) U^Y(L) ; \quad (2.30)$$

Here the new spurion g is represented by a real symmetric traceless 3×3 matrix transforming as $(5;1)$ under G_F^0 , whose eigenvalue determine the neutrino mass splittings. The residual spurion for the charged-lepton Yukawa matrix transforms as $Y_E(3\beta)$.

Now, the largest neutrino mass difference m_{atm}^2 has to be assigned to a VEV for the spurion g at a scale Λ_{LN} , such that

$$m_{\text{atm}}^2 = m^2 = O(\Lambda_{LN}^2);$$

whereas m_{sol}^2 would be generated at even smaller scales, $\Lambda_{\text{sol}}^2 \ll \Lambda_{LN}^2$. Taking

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2g \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.31)$$

the flavour symmetry is further broken,³

$$G_F^0 \rightarrow G_F^{\text{fl}} = SO(2)_L \times SU(3)_{E_R} \times Z_2 \quad (2.32)$$

Two new Goldstone modes are introduced by the exponential

$$U(L) = \exp \left[\frac{i}{f} \sum_{a=5,7} X^a T^a_L \right];$$

and the representation of the flavour matrix g reads

$$\underline{g} = U(L) \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2g \end{pmatrix} U^Y(L) \quad (2.33)$$

Here the eigenvalues of the new spurion $g^{(2)}(2;1)$ determine m_{sol}^2 . The residual spurions for the charged-lepton Yukawa matrix transform as $Y_E^{(2)}(2\beta)_0$ and $Y_{E_R}^Y(1\beta)_1$ under G_F^{fl} .

3 Effective theory at Λ_{LN} and M LFV

In the following, we discuss a few examples of how to construct M LFV operators in the ET, starting with the non-linear representation of spurion fields.⁴ We pay particular attention on how to obtain the effective operators at or slightly below the intermediate scales, $\Lambda_{\text{sol}}^2; \Lambda_E^2; \dots$, in terms of the spurion fields which have been introduced close to the high-energy scale, Λ_{LN} .

³Notice that the same symmetry breaking in the left-handed sector could be obtained from a VEV for the charged lepton Yukawa spurion $h_{Ei} = \text{diag}(0;0;y)$ (which also breaks $SU(3)_{E_R}$). One could even speculate that in the scenario with degenerate neutrino spectrum, the generation of the Yukawa coupling and the atmospheric neutrino mass difference are related such that $m_{\text{atm}}^2 = m^2 = m_{\text{VSM}}^2$ implying $m \approx 0.5 \text{ eV}$, which happens to be close to the present upper experimental bound.

⁴We remind the reader that we stick to the case of minimal (SM) field content, here. For a more general discussion of M LFV, see also [14].

3.1 Example: radiative decays $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$

The discussion of radiative LFV decays ($\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$, $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$) is very similar to the analogous quark decays, see [5]. Let us concentrate on the case of normal neutrino hierarchy, first, and assume for simplicity that we only have one intermediate scale Λ_{E} , where the residual spurions of G_F^0 acquire their VEVs. A typical M LFV operator in the effective Lagrangian above the scale Λ_{E} would read

$$\mathcal{O}_e = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\text{LN}}} (L_L H \frac{Y_{E_R}}{\Lambda_{\text{LN}}} E_R) F + \text{h.c.} \quad (3.1)$$

where F is the field strength tensor for the gauge field B associated to hypercharge in the SM (a similar term with the $SU(2)_L$ field strength W^3 is also present). It contributes at tree-level to $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$, when $\langle Y_{E_R} \rangle = h_{E_R} i$ and $\langle H \rangle = v$, however, with a very small pre-factor of order $(v/\Lambda_{\text{LN}})^3$.

On the other hand, below the scale Λ_{E} , the heavy scalar degrees of freedom in the spurion field E_R have to be integrated out. Taking into account scalar couplings⁵ between E_R and H together with the dim-5 Yukawa term involving E_R in (2.19), one can generate loop diagrams as shown in Fig. 2, which below the scale Λ_{E} induce effective operators of the form

$$\mathcal{O}_e = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} (L_L H \frac{h_{E_R}^Y i}{\Lambda_{\text{LN}}} E_R) F + \text{h.c.} \quad (3.2)$$

which have the same flavour structure as (3.1), but a somewhat larger pre-factor of order $v/(4\pi)^2 \Lambda_{\text{LN}}$, where $\Lambda_{\text{LN}} = m = v$.

After changing to the mass eigenbasis for the charged leptons, using (2.18, 2.20), the effective operator (3.2) exhibits the flavour structure

$$V_{L_i L_j} \frac{Y_{E_R}}{\Lambda_{\text{LN}}} = U_{i3} U_{j3} (Y')_{j E} ; \quad (3.3)$$

which reproduces the leading term for the result discussed in [2], in the limit $m_{\text{sol}}^2 \ll m_{\text{atm}}^2$. The expression can be traced back to the effective quantity

$$\hat{g} = V_L g^Y g V_L^Y \quad \text{Tr}(\hat{g} \hat{g}) = \frac{2}{V^4} U_{\text{PMNS}} \text{diag}[m^2] U_{\text{PMNS}}^Y \quad \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}[m^2] ; \quad (3.4)$$

⁵ Focusing on the relevant part of the scalar potential which involves E_R and the SM Higgs, we may write

$$V = \frac{1}{2} Y_{E_R}^2 E_R + \frac{1}{2} (Y_{E_R} E_R)^2 + (Y_{E_R} E_R) (H^Y H)$$

which is minimized for $Y_{E_R} = v^2 + h_{E_R} i$. Parameterizing the remaining heavy degree of freedom as $Y_{E_R} = h_{E_R}^Y i = (0; 0;)$, we obtain the effective potential,

$$V = (v + h_{E_R}^Y i)^2 (H^Y H) + \frac{1}{2} (v + 2h_{E_R}^Y i)^2 (v + 2h_{E_R}^Y i)^2 v^2$$

which, among others, contains the coupling $(Y_{E_R} E_R) (H^Y H)$ used in Fig. 2.




Figure 2: Example for 1-loop matching contribution to O_e in (3.2). Here ρ is the heavy scalar degree of freedom appearing in the spurion \mathbb{E}_R , which interacts with the SM Higgs and charged leptons through the scalar potential and the dimension-5 Yukawa terms (2.19).

which in the limit $m_3 \gg m_{1,2}$ is given by, cf. (2.9),

$$\hat{\Delta}_{ij}^{m_3} \stackrel{m_{1,2}}{\sim} g^2 U_{i3} U_{j3} \frac{ij}{3}, \quad \frac{g^2}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & A \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & ij \end{pmatrix}; \quad (3.5)$$

where in the last line we inserted the approximation of the PMNS matrix for tribimaximal mixing (2.21). Sub-leading effects are induced by $g^{(2)}$, which can be seen by either including the corresponding 1-loop corrections in (3.4), or by directly inserting additional powers of $g^{(2)}$ in effective operators like (3.2) as allowed by flavour symmetry. The discussion for the inverted hierarchy is completely analogous with, cf. (2.23),

$$\hat{\Delta}_{ij}^{m_3} \stackrel{m_{1,2}}{\sim} g^2 \frac{ij}{3} U_{i3} U_{j3}, \quad \frac{g^2}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & A \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & ij \end{pmatrix}; \quad (3.6)$$

Finally, for degenerate neutrino masses we obtain $\hat{\Delta} \neq 0$.

3.2 Example: 4-lepton processes

Flavour-violating 4-lepton processes are interesting, because different chirality structures can be experimentally constrained by a Dalitz-plot analysis and/or angular distributions [15{17], and this information may be used to distinguish between different NP models.

In the linear version of M LFV, see [2,15], besides the effective quantity $\hat{\Delta}_{ij}$ discussed in the previous subsection, additional flavour structures arise, which can be expressed in terms of the tensor G_{ij}^{k1} , describing the 27-plet in the reduction of $(6;1) \otimes (6;1) = 1 + 8 + 27$,

$$\hat{G}_{ij}^{k1} = (V_L^{\dagger} \hat{g} V_L^Y)_{ij} (V_L^{\dagger} \hat{g} V_L^T)^{k1} \frac{1}{12} \left(\delta_{ij}^k + \delta_{ij}^k + \delta_{ij}^k \right) \text{tr}(\hat{g}^Y \hat{g})$$

$$\frac{1}{5} \left(\delta_{ij}^k + \delta_{ji}^k + \delta_{ij}^k + \delta_{ji}^k \right) \hat{\Delta}_a^b; \quad (3.7)$$

Here $\hat{G}_{ij}^{k1} = \hat{G}_{ji}^{k1} = \hat{G}_{ij}^{lk}$, and $\sum_i \hat{G}_{ij}^{i1} = 0$. The 27-plet appears in purely left-handed operators as

$$G_{ij}^{k1} (L_k L^i) (L_1 L^j) :$$

In the non-linear version of M LFV, the discussion is somewhat different. The elementary flavour-symmetry invariant building blocks for the leading left-handed operators are

$$(L L); \quad (L L); \quad (\frac{Y}{L} L) : \quad (3.8)$$

After changing to the mass eigenbasis, the first term remains flavour diagonal, whereas

$$\begin{aligned} (L L) & \rightarrow (L V_L L) = L_i U_{i3} ; \\ (\frac{Y}{L} L) & \rightarrow (\frac{Y}{L} V_L^Y L) = U_{j3} L_j ; \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

generate the same flavour factors as in \hat{G}_{ij} in (3.3). At tree level, the leading flavour coefficients in purely left-handed 4-lepton operators are thus determined by structures like

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{L_N} (L L) (L L) (\frac{Y}{L} L) ; \\ & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{L_N} (L L) (\frac{Y}{L} L) (L L) (\frac{Y}{L} L) : \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Loop diagrams, contributing to flavour-violating 4-lepton processes and involving the heavy degrees of freedom associated with the breaking of G_F^0 at the scale Λ^0 , require at least two insertions of $1 = \frac{1}{L_N}$ suppressed operators. For instance, in the case of normal hierarchy, we obtain terms like

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(4 - \epsilon)^2} (L L) (L U_L^{(2)} \frac{h g^{(2)Y} g^{(2)} i}{2 L_N} U_L^{(2)Y} L) ; \\ & \frac{1}{(4 - \epsilon)^2} (L L) (L U_L^{(2)} \frac{h Y_E^{(2)} Y_E^{(2)Y} i}{2 L_N} U_L^{(2)Y} L) ; \text{ etc.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Including right-handed fields, the leading tree-level flavour structures are obtained from 4-lepton operators of the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{L_N} (E_R E_R) (L L) (\frac{Y}{L} L) : \quad (3.12)$$

Again, insertions of sub-leading operators in loop diagrams with heavy spurion degrees of freedom lead to additional structures, like

$$\frac{1}{(4 - \epsilon)^2} (E_R \frac{h_{E_R}^Y i}{2 L_N} E_R) (L L) \text{ etc.,} \quad (3.13)$$

and similarly for the inverted and degenerate case.

4 Beyond M LFV

A systematic procedure to include deviations from the MFV assumption within the EFT framework (next-to-minimal flavour violation, nMFV) has been proposed in [6] (for alternative approaches, see [18,20]). However, the formalism has been worked out for quark decays in the linear formulation of MFV, only. In the following, we are going to apply the nMFV ansatz to the lepton sector within the non-linear formulation of lepton-flavour violation (nMLFV).

4.1 Normal hierarchy

	E_R	$(U_L^{(2)Y} L)$	$(\begin{smallmatrix} Y \\ L \end{smallmatrix} L)$	$(U_L^{(2)Y} L)$
E_R	$Z_E \quad (1;8)_{\rho}$	$Y_E^{(2)Y} \quad (2;3)_{1\rho}$	$E_R \quad (1;3)_{\rho i}$	$X_E^{(2)Y} \quad (2;3)_{1\rho}$
$(L U_L^{(2)})$		$Z_L^{(2)} \quad (3;1)_{\rho}$	$L \quad (2;1)_{\rho i}$	$g^{(2)} \quad (3;1)_{\rho}$
$(L L)$			$(1;3)_{\rho}$	$L \quad (2;1)_{\rho i}$
$(L U_L^{(2)})$				$Z_L^{(2)} \quad (3;1)_{\rho}$

Table 1: Possible bi-linear combinations of fundamental fermion fields and the associated spurion fields (normal hierarchy, $G_F^0 = SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_{E_R} \times U(1)_{L^{(2)}} \times Z_2$).

The basic idea of nMLFV is to introduce additional spurion fields that can couple to fundamental fermion bi-linears appearing in higher-dimensional gauge-invariant operators. Let us discuss the case of normal neutrino mass hierarchy, first. The basic fermion fields with definite transformations under G_F^0 are

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_R & \quad (1;3)_{\rho} ; & (U_L^{(2)Y} L) & \quad (2;1)_{1\rho} ; \\
 (\begin{smallmatrix} Y \\ L \end{smallmatrix} L) & \quad (1;1)_{\rho i} ; & (U_L^{(2)Y} L) & \quad (2;1)_{1\rho} :
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Out of these four fields, we can construct all possible bi-linear flavour structures, as shown in Table 1. In nMLFV each of these combinations corresponds to an independent spurion field. Besides the spurions $g^{(2)}$, $\begin{smallmatrix} Y \\ E_R \end{smallmatrix}$ and $Y_E^{(2)}$, appearing in the non-linear formulation of MFV, we also obtain new spurion fields $Z_L^{(2)}$, $X_E^{(2)}$, $Z_L^{(2)}$ and Z_E . In nMLFV, we may thus consider new operators like, for instance,

$$\frac{1}{2} (L U_L^{(2)})_L \begin{smallmatrix} Y \\ L \end{smallmatrix} (H^Y D H) ; \quad \frac{1}{2} (L U_L^{(2)})_L H X_E^{(2)} E_R B ; \quad \text{etc.} \tag{4.2}$$

Insertions of the spurion $Z_L^{(2)}$ induce significant contributions to $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$, where the leading M LFV contribution vanishes, because $U_{13} \neq 0$, see (3.3,3.5). The spurions Z_E and X_E induce new lepton flavour-violating structures involving right-handed leptons. The set of new spurions can thus be used to parameterize deviations from the correlations between different LFV observables as one would predict in MFV [21,22].

As explained in [6], the new spurion fields can also appear in operators whose gauge structure is already present in M LFV, for instance

$$\frac{1}{3} N^T U_L^{(2)} \left(\begin{array}{c} Y \\ L \end{array} \right) U_L^{(2)Y} N : \quad (4.3)$$

A minimal constraint on the new spurion fields then follows from self-consistency relations for those combinations of old and new spurion fields that transform as the original M LFV spurions. As a consequence, the power-counting for the new spurion fields is limited from above by the phenomenology of lepton masses and mixing. In this context, an advantage of the non-linear formulation of M LFV is that products of spurion fields in the effective Lagrangian are always suppressed by higher powers of $1=\$ compared to single spurion insertions. Therefore, we can safely restrict the discussion to products of two spurion fields. In the case of normal hierarchy this yields the following set of inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g^2}{ij} &< \frac{Y_L}{2} ; \frac{X_E^{(2)} Y_E^{(2)Y}}{2} = \\ \frac{Y_{E_R}}{ij} &< \frac{Y_L Y_E^{(2)}}{2} ; \frac{X_E^{(2)}}{2} = \\ \frac{Y_E^{(2)}}{ij} &< \frac{Y_{E_R}}{2} ; \frac{X_E^{(2)}}{2} = \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where we have not quoted "trivial" inequalities involving $Z_L^{(2)}$ and Z_E . The relations (4.4) are understood to hold order-of-magnitude-wise for a generic basis (i.e. where the off-diagonal entries of rotation matrices to the mass eigenbasis are of natural size).

4.2 Inverted hierarchy

	E_R	$(U_L^{(2)Y} L)$	$(\begin{array}{c} Y \\ L \end{array} L)$
E_R	$Z_E (1; 8)_0$	$Y_E^{(2)Y} (2; 3)_0$	$E_R (1; 3)_1$
$(L U_L^{(2)})$		$g^{(2)} (2; 1)_0$	$L (2; 1)_1$
$(L L)$			$(1; 1)_0$

Table 2: Possible bi-linear combinations of fundamental fermion fields and the associated spurion fields (inverted hierarchy, $G_F^0 = SO(2)_L \times SU(3)_{E_R} \times U(1)_{L_3}$).

The situation is slightly simpler in the case of inverted hierarchy, where the basic fermion fields with definite transformations under G_F^0 are

$$E_R (1; 3)_0 ; (U_L^{(2)Y} L) (2; 1)_0 ; (\begin{array}{c} Y \\ L \end{array} L) (1; 1)_0 : \quad (4.5)$$

This implies the nM L F V spurion representations in Table 2, which only introduces two new spurion elds, Z_L and Z_E . In this case, the non-trivial inequality constraints for Z_L are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{g^{(2)}}{ij} &< \frac{Y_L^Y + Y_L^T}{2} ; \frac{(Y_E^{(2)} Y_E^{(2)Y}) + (Y_E^T)^2}{2} \text{tr}(g^{(2)}) ; \\
 \frac{Y_{E_R}^Y}{ij} &< \frac{Y_L^T Y_E^{(2)}}{2} ; \frac{Y_E^{(2)}}{ij} &< \frac{Y_{E_R}^L}{2} ;
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

4.3 Degeneracy

Finally, for the case of degenerate neutrino masses, before the breaking of $G_F^0 \rightarrow G_F^0$, the nM L F V scheme reads

	E_R (1;3)	$(U_L^Y L)$ (3;1)
E_R ($L U_L$)	Z_E (1;8)	Y_E^Y (3;3) g (5;1) + Z_L (3;1)

which introduces the new spurions Z_E and Z_L with "trivial" inequality constraints. Applying the nM L F V construction to the ET after the breaking of G_F^0 , we obtain

	E_R (1;3)	$(U_L^{(2)Y} L)$ (2;1)	$(Y_L^Y L)$ (1;1)
E_R ($L U_L^{(2)}$) ($L L$)	Z_E (1;8)	$Y_E^{(2)Y}$ (2;3) $g^{(2)}$ (2;1)	E_R (1;3) Z_L (2;1) (1;1)

which has a similar form as for the inverted hierarchy case, only the $U(1)_{L_3}$ quantum numbers are replaced by Z_2 ones.

As a final remark, we should also point out that the nM F V framework would allow for spurion elds that transform under both, the quark and the lepton-avour symmetry group, and could be a remnant of lepto-quark interactions which typically appear in grand-unified theories. A detailed discussion of the potential consequences and phenomenological constraints is beyond the scope of this work.

5 Summary

Non-linear realizations of flavour symmetry are advantageous in cases where very distinct eigenvalues of Yukawa or Majorana mass matrices appear. For the quarks, it is the large Yukawa coupling of the top which breaks the $SU(3)^3$ flavour symmetry down to $SU(3) \times SU(2)^2 \times U(1)$, where the latter symmetry is only weakly broken by the remaining small Yukawa couplings. In this paper we have used the same reasoning to discuss the flavour symmetries of leptons. Here the hierarchy in the neutrino mass differences, m_{sol}^2

m_{atm}^2 , can be used to construct a parameterization of the effective Majorana mass matrix (entering the dim-5 operator in a scenario with only left-handed neutrinos) which reflects the non-linear realization of lepton flavour symmetry.

We have considered the various possible scenarios for neutrino-mass hierarchies, and for each case we have determined the residual symmetries, after the largest entries in the Majorana mass matrix have been identified. The remaining entries are parameterized in terms of Goldstone modes for the broken generators, and spurion fields which eventually break the residual flavour symmetry. Based on the minimal flavour violation hypothesis, we may then construct the flavour structure of possible New Physics operators which mediate e.g. lepton-flavour violating decays of charged leptons. Within the same framework, we have also considered possible parameterizations of "next-to-minimal lepton flavour violation" along the lines proposed in [6].

Besides offering a systematic model-independent⁶ framework to discuss deviations from the Standard Model in lepton-flavour violating processes, our approach also provides some new perspectives on the flavour puzzle within the Standard Model and beyond. In particular, it is interesting to note that the different possible realizations of mass hierarchies for neutrinos and charged leptons is unambiguously linked to different sequences of flavour symmetry breaking, $G_F \rightarrow G_F^0 \rightarrow G_F^{00} \rightarrow \dots$ (A similar statement holds for the quark sector, which is going to be explored in a future publication).

Acknowledgements

We thank Wolfgang Kilian and Werner Rodejohann for helpful discussions. This work is partially supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG, Contract No. MA 1187/10-1) and by the German Ministry of Research (BMBF, Contract No. 05HT6PSA).

References

- [1] G. D'Ambrosio, G.F. Giudice, G. Isidori and A. Strumia, Nucl. Phys. B 645, 155 (2002) [hep-ph/0207036].
- [2] V. Cirigliano, B. Grinstein, G. Isidori and M.B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. B 728 (2005) 121 [hep-ph/0507001]; V. Cirigliano and B. Grinstein, Nucl. Phys. B 752 (2006) 18 [hep-ph/0601111].
- [3] M. Ciuchini, G. Degrassi, P. Gambino and G.F. Giudice, Nucl. Phys. B 534, 3 (1998) [hep-ph/9806308].
- [4] A.J. Buras, P. Gambino, M. Gorbahn, S. Jager and L. Silvestrini, Phys. Lett. B 500, 161 (2001) [hep-ph/0007085].

⁶In this paper, we only discussed a set-up with minimal field content, i.e. without right-handed neutrinos and with only one Higgs doublet.

- [5] Th. Feldmann and Th. Mannel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100, 171601 (2008) [[arXiv:0801.1802 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [6] Th. Feldmann and Th. Mannel, *JHEP* 0702, 067 (2007). [[hep-ph/0611095](#)].
- [7] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, *Phys. Rev. D* 16, 1791 (1977).
- [8] B. Pontecorvo, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 26 (1968) 984 [*Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 53 (1967) 1717].
- [9] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 28 (1962) 870.
- [10] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins and W. G. Scott, *Phys. Lett. B* 530 (2002) 167 [[hep-ph/0202074](#)].
- [11] S. Choubey and W. Rodejohann, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 40 (2005) 259 [[hep-ph/0411190](#)].
- [12] M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, M. A. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, *New J. Phys.* 6 (2004) 122 [[hep-ph/0405172](#)]; M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and M. Maltoni, [arXiv:0704.1800 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [13] S. R. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Phys. Rev.* 177, 2239 (1969); C. G. Callan, S. R. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Phys. Rev.* 177, 2247 (1969).
- [14] S. Davidson and F. Paltrin, *Phys. Lett. B* 642 (2006) 72 [[hep-ph/0607329](#)].
- [15] B. M. Dassinger, Th. Feldmann, Th. Mannel and S. Turczyk, *JHEP* 0710 (2007) 039 [[arXiv:0707.0988 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [16] A. Matsuzaki and A. I. Sanda, *Phys. Rev. D* 77 (2008) 073003 [[arXiv:0711.0792 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [17] M. Giel, J. Kallarackal, M. Kramer, B. O'Leary and A. Stahl, *Phys. Rev. D* 77 (2008) 073010 [[arXiv:0802.0049 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [18] K. Agashe, M. Papucci, G. Perez and D. Pirjol, [hep-ph/0509117](#).
- [19] A. L. Fitzpatrick, G. Perez and L. Randall, [arXiv:0710.1869 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] S. Davidson, G. Isidori and S. Uhlig, [arXiv:0711.3376 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [21] V. Cirigliano and B. Grinstein, *Nucl. Phys. B* 752 (2006) 18 [[hep-ph/0601111](#)].
- [22] G. C. Branco, A. J. Buras, S. Jager, S. Uhlig and A. Weiler, *JHEP* 0709 (2007) 004 [[hep-ph/0609067](#)].