STRUCTURE OF PARTON SHOW ERS INCLUD ING QUANTUM IN T E R F E R E N C E

D AV ISO N E.SO PER Institute of Theoretical Science, U niversity of 0 regon, Eugene, O regon 97403 U SA and Theory G roup, CERN, CH 1211 G eneva 23, Switzerland

ZO LTAN NAGY

Theory G roup, CERN, CH 1211 G eneva 23, Switzerland

It is useful to describe a leading order parton shower as the solution of a linear equation that speci es how the state of the partons evolves. This description involves an essential approxim ation ofa strong ordering ofvirtualities as the shower progresses from a hard interaction to softer interactions. If this is to be the only approximation, then the partons should carry color and spin and quantum interference graphs should be included. W e explain how the evolution equation for this kind of a shower can be form ulated. We discuss brie y our e orts to im plem ent this evolution equation num erically.

1 Introduction

T heevolution ofa parton showercan beunderstood asa num ericalsolution ofa linearevolution equation of the form $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$

$$
(t) = U(t; t_0) (t_0)
$$
 (1)

w ith

$$
U(t; t^0) = N (t; t^0) + \begin{cases} Z_t \\ d \\ d \\ d \end{cases} U(t;) H_I()N (; t^0) : \qquad (2)
$$

H ere (t) describes the system at \shower time" t, w here increasing t denotes increasingly soft interactions. The state at tim e t is related to the state at an earlier tim e t_0 by a linear evolution operator U(t;t₀). The evolution equation [\(2\)](#page-0-0) is written using an operator N (t;t⁰) that represents the probability for the system to go from time t^0 to time twith no splitting and another operator H $_I$ () that represents a parton splitting to two partons. Thus the system either goes from t_0 to tw ith no splitting or else it goes from t_0 to an interm ediate time with no splitting, then splits at time ϵ , then evolves from to twith the full evolution operator, possibly involving further splittings.

In the sim plest sort of shower, each parton carries a m om entum p , so that m partons carry m om enta fp₁;p₂;:::;p_m g fpg_m. We can denote the state in which there m partons with m om enta fpg_m by fpg_m. Then a general state is a linear com bination of basis states fpg_m , w ith fpg_m representing the probability for there to be m partons w ith m om enta fpg_m. W hen the splitting operator H_I() acts on an m-parton state fpg_m, it produces an $m + 1$ parton state w ith a de nite probability

$$
\texttt{fpg}_{m+1} \ \texttt{H}_I(\)\ \texttt{fpg}_m\quad:
$$

T here is a certain am ount of freedom in specifying H $_I$ (), but one is constrained by the structure of the underlying quantum theory in the lim it that the virtuality of the new pair of daughter partons approaches zero. In this simplest sort of shower, the basis states fpg_m are eigenstates of the no-splitting operator N $(t; t^0)$,

$$
N(t; t^{0}) fpg_{m} = (t; t^{0}; fpg_{m}) fpg_{m} : \qquad (3)
$$

The eigenvalue $(t; t^0; f \propto)$ is the Sudakov factor

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\n(t, t_0, f \cap g_m) = & \exp & \frac{Z_t}{t_0} & \frac{1}{(m+1)!} & \text{d} f \hat{p} g_{m+1} & f \hat{p} g_{m+1} & H_I() & f \cap g_m & \text{ : } & (4)\n\end{array}
$$

T he integrand

$$
\frac{1}{(m + 1)!} \frac{d}{dt} \phi_{m + 1} f \phi_{m + 1} H_{I}(\) f \phi_{m}
$$

is the total probability for the given state to split at time \blacksquare . Then $(t; t_0; f \wp q_m)$ is the probability for the state not to split between times t_0 and t.

2 T he parton show er in quantum chrom odynam ics

 Z

So far, I have described a fairly general structure for a parton shower. This description m ight apply, for exam ple, to P y thin $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ T he style of the description sketched above em phasizes that we are using a de nite linear evolution equation, so that we can bring the full power of linear algebra to bear on the problem as needed. At this point, we need to expand the description so that it can encom passes quantum interference, spin, and color in quantum chrom odynam ics.¹

To include quantum interference, the description should be based on the quantum am plitude. The quantum am plitude depends on the spins and colors of the partons, so we start with

$$
\text{M (fp;f)} \mathbb{G}_m \big\|_{S_a}^{C_a, C_b, C_1, \ldots, C_m}_{S_b, S_1, \ldots, S_m} \quad \text{.}
$$

H ere, for hadron-hadron scattering, the partons carry labels $a; b; 1;$ \ldots and each parton has a m om entum p, a avor f, a spin index s and a color index c. The array M can be thought of as a vector in spin and color space,

 M (fp;fg_m) :

The cross section for a possibly spin and color dependent observable F , including the proper factors for the parton distribution functions and for the num ber of color states n_c of each parton, is

$$
[F] = \begin{array}{c} X & \frac{1}{m} \\ \frac{1}{m} & \frac{1}{m} \end{array} \quad \text{dfp;} \quad \text{fg}_{m} \quad \frac{f_{a=A} \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & \frac{2}{F} \end{array} \right) f_{b=B} \left(\begin{array}{cc} b & \frac{2}{F} \end{array} \right)}{4n_{c}(a)n_{c}(b)2 \quad a \quad bPa \quad B} \quad \text{(5)}
$$
\n
$$
M \quad \text{(fp;} f g_{m} \text{)} \quad F \quad \text{(fp;} f g_{m} \text{)} \quad M \quad \text{(fp;} f g_{m} \text{)} \quad \text{.}
$$

We rew rite $[F]$ in the form of a trace over the color spin space,

$$
[F] = \sum_{m=1}^{X} \frac{1}{m!} \text{dfp;} f g_m \text{Trf (fp;} f g_m) F (fp; f g_m) g ; \qquad (6)
$$

w here

$$
(fp;fg_m) = M (fp;fg_m) \frac{f_{a=A} (a; \frac{2}{F}) f_{b=B} (b; \frac{2}{F})}{4n_c(a)n_c(b)2 a bPa} M (fp;fg_m) : \t(7)
$$

Thus is the density operator in color spin space. We can expand (fp; $f q_m$) in basis states $f s; c g_m$ for the color spin space,

$$
\text{(fp;fg}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{sp} \ s\mathfrak{p} \ s\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{X}} \text{fs;cg}_{\mathfrak{m}} \quad \text{(fp;f;s0;c0;s;cg_{\mathfrak{m}}) \ fs0;c0g_{\mathfrak{m}} \quad \text{:} \tag{8}
$$

Thus (fp;f;s⁰;c⁰;s; α_m) is the density m atrix. It is this m atrix, w ith variable numbers of partons m, that is the basic object that evolves in a parton shower. In analogy with the notation used in the introduction, we consider to be a vector with

$$
(fp; f; s^0; c^0; s; cg_m) = fp; f; s^0; c^0; s; cg_m
$$
 (9)

N otice that each parton is described by its m om entum, its avor, two spin indices, and two color indices.

W ith this form ulation, we can de net a splitting operator H_I(t) based on the behavior of the am plitude w hen two partons becom e collinear or one becom es soft. T his gives a shower evolution equation of the form [\(2\)](#page-0-0). H owever, in general the no-splitting operator N (t;t⁰) is now a m atrix in the color space.

3 Issues of im plem entation

A parton shower program like P y thia^{[2](#page-3-1)} starts with a state (t_0) with just a few partons and produces states $f \hat{p}$; $\hat{f} q_m$ w ith m any partonsata nalshower time ϵ . A parton shower program could also report a weight w for the state. T he weight tim es the probability to produce state f \hat{p} ; \hat{f} q_m is

$$
\hat{\text{f}}\hat{\text{p}}\hat{\text{f}}\hat{\text{g}}_{m} \ \text{U}\left(\text{t}_{\hat{\text{f}}}\text{;}\text{t}_{0}\right) \quad \text{(t}_{0}) \quad : \tag{10}
$$

H ow can the evolution equation discussed above be im plem ented as a com puter program ? T he evolution equation, solved iteratively, produces results expressed as integrals, so one could sim ply perform the integrations by num ericalM onte C arlo integration, producing events and accom panying weights. However, for a large num ber of splittings it is likely that the uctuations in the weights are too large for this m ost straightforward m ethod to be practical.

To proceed, we need to m ake som e further approxim ations, w ith the understanding that any approxim ations should allow one to approach the exact solution ofthe evolution equation by using a sequence of approxim ations that become m ore and m ore exact as one proceeds, presum ably at the cost of requiring m ore and m ore com puter power.

The base approxim ation is to average over spins and take the leading color approxim ation, $1=N_c^2$! 0, where $N_c = 3$ is the number of colors. W ith these approximations, we nd³ that the evolution equation has the proper form to be im plem ented as a M arkov process. In term s of num erical integration, this m eans that the integrals are nested and one can take the weights to be 1.

Next, we need to put spin back.⁴ We assume that the nalmeasurem ent function does not m easure parton spin. H ow ever, the spin states of interm ediate partons can in uence the angular distributions of splittings. If we use the spin-averaged shower to generate events, then the probability to generate a given shower history is wrong by the ratio of the splitting probabilities with spin to those without spin. We can take that ratio to be a weight that accompanies the event. Follow ing an insight of Collins, θ we nd that the spin weight factor can be calculated e ciently, using com putational resources that are linear in the number of partons. (Herw ig incorporates som e spin e ects using a related method⁶.) Possibly, for reasons of numerical convergence, one should include the spin exactly for the rst N splittings, then average over spins for further splittings. Then the exact result is approximated more and more closely as we take N to be large.

Finally, we need to put color back. This is more complicated than putting spin back. We expect to use a base approximation that is much less restrictive than the leading color approximation but that still allows e cient computation. There is a di erence between the exact H_I and the approximate one. This dierence would be included perturbatively at whatever order is needed to obtain an accurate result. Our work on color is in progress.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported in part the United States Departm ent of Energy and by the Hungarian Scienti c Research Fund grant O T K A T -60432.

R eferences

- 1. Z. Nagy and D. E. Soper, JHEP 0709 (2007) 114 [arX iv 0706.0017 [hep-ph]].
- 2. T. Sjostrand, S. M renna and P. Skands, JHEP 0605 (2006) 026 [arX iv hep-ph/0603175]; arX iv:0710.3820 [hep-ph].
- 3. Z. Nagy and D. E. Soper, JHEP 0803 (2008) 030 [arX iv 0801.1917 [hep-ph]].
- 4. Z. Nagy and D. E. Soper, arX iv:0805.0216 [hep-ph].
- 5. J.C.Collins, Nucl. Phys. B 304 (1988) 794.
- 6. P.R.idnardson, JHEP 0111 (2001) 029 [arX iv hep-ph/0110108].