# arXiv:0805.1756v2 [nucl-th] 3 Jul 2008 [arXiv:0805.1756v2 \[nucl-th\] 3 Jul 2008](http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.1756v2)

# M ultiplicity scaling in idealand viscous hydrodynam ics

H uichao Song<sup>1</sup>' and U lrich H einz<sup>1,2</sup>

 $1D$  epartm ent of Physics, The O hio State U niversity, C olum bus, O H 43210, U SA  $2C$  ERN, Physics D epartm ent, T heory D ivision, C H -1211 G eneva 23, Switzerland

(D ated: A pril18,2013)

U sing num erical results from ideal and viscous relativistic hydrodynam ic sim ulations w ith three dierent equations of state, for A u+ A u and C u+ C u collisions at dierent centralities and initial energy densities, we explore the dependence of the eccentricity-scaled elliptic  $\alpha$ ,  $v_2$ =", and the produced entropy fraction, S=S 0, on the nalcharged hadron multiplicity density dN  $_{ch}$ =dy per unit transverse overlap area S , (1=S )dN  $_{ch}$  =dy . The viscous hydrodynam ic sim ulations are perform ed w ith two dierent versions of the Israel-Stewart kinetic evolution equations, and in each case we investigate the dependence of the physical observables on the kinetic relaxation tim e. W e nd approxim ate scaling of  $v_2$ =" and S=S  $_0$  w ith (1=S)dN  $_{ch}$ =dy, w ith scaling functions that depend on the EOS and, in particular, on the value of the specic shear viscosity  $=$ s. Sm all scaling violations are seen even in ideal hydrodynam ics, caused by a breaking of the scale invariance of ideal uid dynam ics by the freeze-out condition. V iscous hydrodynam ics show s som ew hat larger scale-breaking e ects that increase w ith increasing =s and decreasing system size and initial energy density. W e propose to use precision studies of these scaling violations to help constrain the shear viscosity =s of the quark-gluon plasm a created in relativistic heavy ion collisions.

PACS num bers: 25.75.-q, 12.38 M h, 25.75.Ld, 24.10.N z

# I. IN T R O D U C T IO N

R ecent num erical studies of relativistic hydrodynam  $ics$  for dissipative uids  $[1\{4\}]$  have con  $m$  ed earlier estim ates [5, 6] that the \elliptic" anisotropic collective ow observed in non-centralheavy-ion collisions is very sensitive to the shear viscosity of the m atter form ed in such collisions. Since ideal uid dynam ics (i.e. the assum ption that viscosity can be neglected) provides a phenom enologically quite successful description of much of the soft hadron data collected from A u+ A u collisions at the R elativistic H eavy Ion C ollider (R H IC ) [7{9], this im plies strong constraints on the shear viscosity to entropy ratio =s  $[6, 10]$  and the therm alization time scale [11, 12] of the m atter in the collision reball. The conclusion is that the quark-gluon plasm a (Q G P) created at R H IC is a strongly coupled plasm a w ith alm ost perfect liquid collective behavior [13{15]w hose speci c shear viscosity is lower than that of any previously known real uid and consistent w ith a postulated lower bound of  $=$ s  $\sim=(4 \text{ k}_B)$  derived from the study of in nitely strongly coupled conform al eld theories  $[16, 17]$  using the A dS/C FT correspondence and corroborated by earlier quantum m echanicalargum ents based on the uncertainty relation [18].

On the other hand, heavy-ion data at RHIC, SPS and A G S also show that idealhydrodynam ics gradually breaksdown at larger in pact param eters, for sm aller collision system s, at low er collision energies, and away from m idrapidity (see [9] for a review ). M uch of this can be attributed to strong viscouse ects in the late collision stage after the QGP has converted to hadrons [19]. However, due to uncertainties in the initial conditions for the reball deform ation, there is som e room left for a non-zero value of the Q G P viscosity [19]. To study this further requires a viscous hydrodynam ic approach because the toolused to describe the non-equilibrium late hadronic dynam ics (a classical cascade) is not well suited for the rapidly evolving,very dense m atter in the early collision stages

T he m otivation for the present paper is provided by the well-known system atic comparison of Voloshin et al. [20, 21]of elliptic 
ow data w ith ideal
uid dynam ical predictions w hich suggests that the elliptic 
ow param eter  $v_2$  scaled by the initial source eccentricity ",  $v_2 =$ ", w hilestrongly deviating from idealhydrodynam icsatlow multiplicities, still scales w ith the nalmultiplicity per unit overlap area:

$$
\frac{v_2}{\pi} / \frac{1}{S} \frac{dN_{ch}}{dy} : \tag{1}
$$

For ideal
uids the right hand side is a direct m easure of the initial entropy density  $[22]$ . The scaling  $(1)$  im plies that all dependence on im pact param eter, collision energy and system size can be, to good approximation, absorbed by sim ply taking into account how these control param eters change the nalhadron multiplicity density.  $W$  e w ill call this observation  $\sin py \in \text{Liplicity scaling}$ of the elliptic ow", where \elliptic ow" is a shorthand for the eccentricity-scaled elliptic ow  $v_2 =$ " and  $\mu$ tiplicity" stands for  $(1= S)$ dN  $_{ch} = dy$ .

Such a scaling is expected for ideal uid dynamics w hose equations of m otion are scale invariant and w here the eccentricity-scaled elliptic 
ow is therefore predicted  $[23, 24]$  to depend only on the squared speed of sound,  $c_{\rm s}^2 = \frac{\ell \, {\rm p}}{\ell \, {\rm e}}$ , which describes the stiness of the equation

C orrespond to song@mps.ohio-state.edu

of state  $(EO S)$  or \pushing power" of the hydrodynam ically expanding m atter. It has been know n, how ever, for m any years [25] that this ideal- uid scaling is broken by the nal freeze-out of the m atter: if hadron freeze-out is controlled by hadronic cross sections (m ean free paths) or sim ply param etrized by a criticaldecoupling energy density  $e_{\text{dec}}$  or tem perature  $T_{\text{dec}}$ , this introduces and additionalscale into the problem w hich is independent of (or at least not directly related to) the initialgeom etry of the reball. T his breaks the above argum ent based on scale invariance ofthe ideal
uid equationsofm otion. We will show here that this also leads to a breaking of the m ultiplicity scaling of  $v_2=$ " not only in the m ost peripheral or low est energy collisions, w here freeze-out obviously cuts the hydrodynam ic evolution short since the freeze-out density is reached before the 
ow anisotropy can fully build up  $[25]$ , but even in the m ost central collisions at R H IC w here freeze-out still term inates the hydrodynam ic evolution before the elliptic 
ow can fully saturate (see also [19]).

The m ore interesting aspect of the experim entally observed scaling is, how ever, its apparent validity in regions where ideal uid dynam ics does not work (these encompass m ost of the available data  $[20]$ ). M any years ago, sim ple scaling law s for the centrality dependence of elliptic 
ow werederived from kinetic theory in thedilute gas lim it, w here the particles in the m edium su er at m ost one rescattering before decoupling  $[26, 27]$ ; these can be reinterpreted in term s of multiplicity scaling for  $v_2 =$ ". The dilute gas lim it is expected to hold for very small collision system s, very large im pact param eters or very low collision energies. M ore recently, a successfulattem pt was m ade to phenom enologically connect the dilute gas and hydrodynam ic  $\lim$  its w ith a 1-param eter  $t$  involving the K nudsen num ber [28]. This tworks very well for  $A u + A u$  and  $Cu + Cu$  data from  $R H I C$ , but predicts that even in the m ost centralA u+ A u collisions at R H IC the ideal
uid dynam icallim ithasnotyetbeen reached and ism issed by at least 25% [28]. In the present paper we use viscous relativistic hydrodynam ics to explore the m ultiplicity scaling of  $v_2$ =" in the phenom enologically relevant region. W e conclude (not surprisingly since m uch ofthe availabledata isfrom regionsw here the viscoushadronic phase plays a large role [19]) that the multiplicity scaling data [20, 21] require signi cant shear viscosity for the m edium , but also that viscous hydrodynam ics predicts subtle scaling violations w hich seem to be qualitatively consistent w ith trends seen in the data (even if the experim entalevidence for scaling violations is presently not statistically robust) and w hose m agnitude is sensitive to the specic shear viscosity =s. This gives hope that  $fi$ ture m ore precise data can help constrain the Q G P shear viscosity through exactly such scaling violations.

We should caution the reader that,  $\sin$  ilar to R ef. [28] w hich used a constant (tim e-independent) K nudsen num ber,ourviscoushydrodynam iccalculationsaredonew ith a constant (tem perature-independent) speci c shear vis- $\cos i y = s$ . N either assum ption is realistic, and we expect

=s in particular to show strong tem perature dependence near T<sub>c</sub> (the critical tem perature for the quark-hadron phase transition) and em erge from the phase transition w ith m uch larger values than in the Q G P phase. C om parisons between the results presented here and experim ental data are therefore, at best, indicative of qualitative trends, and im proved calculations, which in particular m atch viscous hydrodynam ics to a realistic hadron cascade below  $T_c$ , are required before an extraction of =s from experim entaldata can be attem pted.

# II. D ISSIPA T IV E F LU ID D Y N A M IC S

In this section we brie
y review the viscous hydrodynam ic equations that we solve, focussing on som e dierences in the form ulations used in previously published papers  $[1{4}]$  w hich we investigate here further. For technical details we ask the reader to consult these earlier papers.

W e focus on system s with exact longitudinal boostinvariance and use the code VISH2+1 [3] to solve num erically for the expansion in the two dim ensions transverse to the beam direction. As in  $[1{4}]$  we consider only shear viscosity,neglecting bulk viscosity and heat conduction. (Bulk viscosity m ay becom e large near the Q C D phase transition [29{31]and should thusbe included in the future before com paring viscous hydrodynam ics with experim entaldata.) VISH2+1 solves the conservation law s for energy and m om entum,  $d_m T^{m n} = 0$  (where  $d_m$  is the covariant derivative in our curvilinear ( $;x;y;$ ) coordinate system  $[32]$ , w ith the decom position

$$
T^{m n} = e u^m u^n \t p^{m n} + {m n \atop m} i^{m n} = g^{m n} u^m u^n ; (2)
$$

together w ith evolution equations for the viscous shear pressure tensor com ponents  $m n$  :

D 
$$
m n = \frac{1}{2} (m n 2 m n) (u^m n k + u^n m k) D u_k
$$
  

$$
\frac{1}{2} m n \frac{T}{2} d_k \frac{1}{T} u^k \qquad a^{(m+1)n} \qquad (3)
$$

Here  $D = u^m d_m$  is the time derivative in the local com oving fram e,r  $^{\mathfrak{m}}$  =  $^{\mathfrak{m} \text{-} \mathfrak{d}}$  is the spatialgradient in that fram e, and  $m^{n} = r^{lm} u^{ni} = \frac{1}{2} (r^{m} u^{n} + r^{n} u^{m}) - \frac{1}{3}$  m n (with the scalar expansion rate  $k = r_k u^k$  ) is the sym m etric and traceless velocity shear tensor.  $!_{m,n}$  =  $r_n u_m$   $r_m u_n$  is the vorticity tensor, and  $a^{(m)}b^{n}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$  (a<sup>m</sup> b<sup>n</sup> + a<sup>n</sup> b<sup>m</sup>) denotes symmetrization. Even though several com ponents of the sym m etric shear pressure tensor  $m n$  are redundant [32] on account of its tracelessness and transversality to the ow velocity  $u^m$  , VISH2+1 propagates all 7 non-zero com ponents and uses the tracelessness and transversality conditions as checks of the num ericalaccuracy [3].

For a conform ally symmetric uid such as a m assless quark-gluon gas, the tem perature T is the only scale in the problem and therefore  $s$   $T^3$  and  $1=T$ ,

 $T<sup>5</sup>$ . In this lim it the rst term in the hence  $T =$ second line of Eq. (3) can be written as [33]

$$
\frac{1}{2} \pi n \frac{T}{2} d_k \frac{1}{T} u^k = + \frac{1}{2} \pi n 5D (\ln T) \qquad ; \quad (4)
$$

This is the form used in Ref. [1].

It has recently been argued [34{37] that the r.h.s. of Eq. (3) should contain even m ore term s, at least for conform al uids in the strong coupling lim it. We will not pursue this possibility here.

Equations (3) are known as \Israel-Stew art (I-S) equations" and based on an expansion of the entropy production rate to second order [33, 38{41] (m acroscopic approach) or, in a m icroscopic kinetic approach using G rad's 14-m om ent m ethod, of the phase-space distribution function to rst order in small deviations from local them alequilibrium  $[38, 41, 42]$  (see also  $[43]$ ). By introducing a nite and su ciently large relaxation time for the evolution of the shear pressure tensor tow ards its N avier-Stokes  $\lim_{m \to \infty}$  = 2  $^{m \infty}$ , these equations elim inate problem s with acausal signal propagation at short w avelengths and the resulting num erical instabilities that fam ously plague the relativistic N avier-Stokes equation. A som ew hat dierent approach to solving these problem s was developed by 0 ttinger and G  $m$  ela (0  $-$ G) [44] and has been used in [4]; because a comparison of results obtained with the I-S and 0-G equations is non-trivial, we w ill leave that for a later study.

Refs. [1{3] use di erent versions of Eqs. (3). P. & U. R om atschke [1] use the full set of term s displayed in (3) which we label as \full I-S equation". The last term in the second line of  $Eq. (3)$  involving the vorticity cannot be obtained from them acroscopic approach [32,40] since it does not contribute to entropy production, but it follow s from the m icroscopic kinetic approach [38, 42]. For longitudinally boost-invariant system swith initially vanishing transverse ow it is zero initially and was found in [1] to rem ain tiny throughout the reball evolution. We can therefore rem ove it from consideration when comparing published results from the di erent approaches. The

rst term in the second line of (3) arises in this form from the m acroscopic approach  $(2^{nd}$  order entropy production [32, 40]) but was neglected in our previous work [3], follow ing an argum ent in [32] that it is of second order in sm all quantities and therefore subdom inant compared to the rst two terms on the r.h.s. of Eq.  $(3)$ . A similar argum ent can be m ade for the last term in line 1 of (3) (which does not contribute to entropy production either), but Baier et al. [42] pointed out that this term is needed to preserve the transversality of  $m n$  during kinetic evolution. In Ref. [3] we therefore kept all term s in the rst line of Eq. (3) but dropped those in the second line; we call this here the \simplied I-S equation". In Ref. [34] Baier et al. argued that for a conform ally invariantmedium, such as a classicalmassless quark-gluon gas, the rst term in the second line is needed to preserve the conform alinvariance of the kinetic evolution equation and hence should not be dropped. We now understand

that the argum ents presented in [32] to neglect all but the rst term on the right hand side of Eq. (3) were at best super cialsince this term involves the di erence between two rst-order quantities and thus presum ably needs to be counted as an all of second order.

Chaudhuri followed in his work [2] the approach advertised in [32]; as a result, in his procedure  $m n$  must be expected to evolve away from transversality. He circum vents this problem by evolving only the three linearly independent components of  $m n$  and computing the rest from the tracelessness and transversality conditions [2]. The problem resurfaces, how ever, since now the results for all components of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in ust be expected to depend on the choice of independent components which are evolved dynam ically w ith the truncated equation (3). W hile these questions aw ait quantitative study we note that Chaudhuri's results [2] appear to di er signi cantly from ours [3].

In the present paper we show many comparisons between solutions obtained by using the \full I-S equations" w ith those from the \simplied I-S equations". A lthough at su ciently long wavelengths both have to agree in the Navier-Stokes lim it ! 0 (up to issues of num erical stability), as inspection of Eq. (3) readily shows, they and will be seen to exhibit di erdi er for non-zero ent degrees of sensitivity to . This is of phenom enological in portance since for the  $QGP$  is not known, and a strong sensitivity to this unknown parameter will negatively in pact our ability to extract the QGP shear  $viscosity = s$  from experimental data.

# III. IN IT IAL COND IT IONS, FREEZE-OUT, AND EQUATION OF STATE

For the present study, we initialize the expanding reball in the same way as in Ref.  $[3]$ , i.e. with vanishing initial transverse ow and with an initial energy density pro le proportional to the transverse density of wounded nucleons, calculated from a Saxon-W oods nuclear density pro lew ith radius and surface thickness param eters  $R_0 = 4.2$  fm, = 0.596 fm for Cu and  $R_0 = 6.37$  fm, = 0.56 fm for Au nuclei. The energy density pro le is nom alized by a param eter  $e_0 = e(0; r = b = 0)$  giving the peak energy density in the center of the reball for central collisions (in pact parameter  $b = 0$ ).  $e_0$  is related to the peak wounded nucleon density in the same collisions by a factor which is assumed to depend on energy but not on the size of the colliding nuclei. We here consider  $e_0$ values that lead to nalmultiplicities covering the range accessible at RHIC and beyond, albeit perhaps not all the way to the Large Hadron Collider (LHC).

A s of now, the energy dependence of cannot be calculated and m ust be determ ined empirically from the nal charged hadron multiplicity dN ch=dy. Since dN ch=dy counts the nal entropy per unit of rapidity, including any entropy generated by viscous e ects during the expansion, the value of  $dN_{ch} = dy$  corresponding to a given

will depend on the viscosity =s. We will see that the am ount of entropy produced by viscous e ects additionally depends on system size, in pact parameter and collision energy, but that all these dependences can, to good approxim ation, be absorbed in a single scaling function, with parametric dependence on  $=s$ , that depends only on them ultiplicity density (1=S)dN ch=dy: sim ilar to  $v_2 =$ ", entropy production S=S  $_0$  exhibits approximate \multiplicity scaling". However, this scaling function turns out to be non-linear. It therefore modies the centrality dependence of the produced charged multiplicity, softening the observed increase with collision centrality of the produced charged multiplicity per pair of wounded nucleons,  $2\frac{dN_{\rm ch}=dy}{N_{\rm part}}$  . Exploration of this in portant issue requires an accurate m odeling of the impact parameter dependence of the initial entropy density pro le using, say, the G lauber or color glass condensate models. This is beyond the scope of the present article and will be left for a future study.

Follow ing them a prity of previous studies  $[2{4, 45, 46}]$ , the viscous shear pressure tensor is initialized with its N avier-Stokes value  $m n = 2$   $m n$ . O ther initial conditions were studied in  $[1, 3]$ , but the nal observables were found to be insensitive to such variations [3]. The kinetic relaxation time for the kinetic evolution of the  $=$  c  $B$  oltz where shear pressure tensor is taken as  $B \circ \text{ltz} = \frac{6}{\pi}$  is the kinetic theory value for a classical gas of m assless B oltzm ann particles [38] and c is varied between  $\frac{1}{4}$  and 1.

Decoupling from the hydrodynamic uid is implem ented by following the same procedure as described in [3]. We use the AZHYDRO algorithm [47] to nd the freeze-out surface at constant decoupling temperature  $T_{dec}$  = 130M eV and calculate the nal hadron spectra from the Cooper-Frye integral over this surface [48], w ith a distribution function that accounts for the rem aining sm alldeviations from local therm alequilibrium along that surface [3, 6]. Resonance decays are neglected, and only the elliptic ow of directly em itted pions is shown. To estimate the total charged hadron multiplicity, we take the directly em itted positive pions, multiply by 1.5 to roughly account form ultiplication by resonance decays at  $T_{dec}$ , then multiply by another factor 2 1:2 = 2:4 to account for the negatives and roughly 20% of nal charged hadrons that are not pions. A proper calculation of the resonance decay chain is computationally expensive and, for a system atic study like the one presented here that requires hundreds of runs of VISH2+1, beyond our presently available resources.

Figure 1 shows the three equations of state (EOS) explored in the present study. EOS I describes a noninteracting gas of m assless particles,  $e = p=3$ . EOSQ is a frequently em ployed equation of state [25] thatm atches a non-interacting quark-gluon gas above  $T_c$  in a rst-order transition (M axwell construction) to a realistic hadron resonance gas (HRG) in chem ical equilibrium below  $T_c$ , using a bag parameter B to adjust  $T_c$  to  $T_c = 164M$  eV. SM  $\pm$  0 S Q is a slightly sm oothed version of EO S Q , see



FIG.1: (Cobronline) The equation of state. Panel (a) shows the pressure p as a function of energy density e and (in the inset) the squared speed of sound  $c_s^2 = \frac{\ell p}{\ell e}$  as a function of tem perature T. Panel (b) shows  $c_s^2$  as a function of energy density e.

[3] for details. Since the discontinuities in the function  $c_{\rm s}^2$  (e) for EOS Q cause num erical problem s in VISH2+1 due to large velocity gradients near the interfaces between  $QGP$ , m ixed phase and HRG, we here use SM -EOSQ.

EOS L matches the same hadron resonance gas below  $T_c$  sm oothly in a rapid cross-over transition to lattice QCD data [49] above  $T_c$ . For the t, the lattice data were plotted in the form  $p(e)$ , interpolated and then smoothly pined to the p(e) curve of the HRG. As can be seen in the upper panel of Fig. 1 in the inset, our procedure is not fully them odynam ically consistent and leads to a som ew hat di erent tem perature dependence of  $c_s^2$  below  $T_c$  than for EOS Q and SM  $\pm$ OS Q. Since this only a ects the ow dynam ics below our decoupling tem perature of  $T_{dec} = 130M$  eV, we have not put any e ort into correcting this. For future com parisons of viscous hydrodynam ic calculations with experim ental data, the chemical equilibrium hadron resonance gas below  $T_c$ em ployed here must be replaced by a chemically nonequilibrated hadron gasw hose particle ratios are frozen in

at the chem ical decoupling tem perature  $T_{chem}$  $T_c$ ; this has well-known consequences for the nal hadron spectra and elliptic ow which can not be neglected [50]. We postpone this, together with a m ore careful and them odynam ically fully consistentmatching to the lattice QCD data, to a future study. We note, how ever, that EOS L shown in Fig. 1 is quite similar to \EOS qp" studied in Ref. [51].

# IV. EVOLUTION OF MOMENTUM AN ISOTROPIES: SIMPLIFIED VS. FULL I-S EQUATIONS

It has been previously observed that the results of Refs. [1] and [3] for the dierential elliptic ow  $v_2(p_T)$ , although both based on the Israel-Stew art 2<sup>nd</sup> order for– malism, seemed to disagree, our work [3] show ing much stronger viscous suppression of  $v_2$  than that of P. & U.Romatschke [1]. The resolution of this discrepancy was made di cult by the fact that the two groups not only used di erent versions of the Israel-Stewart equation (3) as described in Sec. II, but also di erent initial conditions, di erent equations of state, and system sizes (Cu+Cu [3] vs. Au+Au [1]). In [3] we noted in a footnote that the main reason for the observed di erences seem ed to be the dierent I-S equations used by the two groups. A swewill see, this is only part of the story. In this sections we explore this question further and lead it to a complete resolution.

Figure 2 show s the tem poralevolution of the totalm om entum anisotropy  $\mathbf{v}_p = \frac{hT^{xx}T^{yy}i}{hT^{xx}+T^{yy}i}$  averaged over the transverse plane<sup>1</sup> for two collision system s (Cu+Cu at  $b = 7$  fm on the left,  $Au + Au$  at  $b = 7$  fm on the right) and three equations of state (EOS I (top), SM  $\pm$  OS Q (m iddle), and EOS L (bottom )). The blue dashed lines at the top indicate the result from ideal uid dynamics, the black and orange lines below show viscous uid dynam ical results. The black lines show solutions of the full I-S equations, the orange ones for the simplied I-S approach; in each case several values of the kinetic are explored. Note that our full Irelaxation time S equations (3) do not use the identity (4) used in  $[1]$ which strictly holds only for conform al uids (i.e. for the case of EOS I in Fig. 2). We have, how ever, tested the two expressions on the left and right of Eq.  $(4)$  against each other also for the other two equations of state (SM -

 $EOSQ$  and  $EOSL$ ) which are not conform ally invariant, and found no discemible di erences. Only for a very long relaxation time =  $12 = sT$  (not shown in Fig. 2) did we see for EOS L a di erence larger than the line w idth, w ith our result for  $\mathbf{r}_p$  lying slightly above the one obtained with the conform alapproximation (4).

Comparison of the black and red lines in Fig. 2 shows that the sensitivity of the m om entum anisotropy  $"$ <sub>p</sub> to the relaxation time is signi cantly larger for the simpli ed I-S equations (red) than for the full I-S equations, and that the  $-$ dependence of  $"p$  even has the opposite sign for the two sets of equations. W ith the full I-S equations,  $\mathbf{v}_p$  m oves slow ly towards the ideal uid lim it as increases whereas with the simplied I-S equations " $_{p}$  m oves aw ay from the ideal uid lim it, at a m ore rapid rate, resulting in a larger viscous suppression of the m om entum anisotropy. In the lim it  $\cdot$  0, both formulations approach the same N avier-Stokes lim it. The di erence between full and simplied I-S equations is largest for EOS I which is the sti est of the three studied equations of state, causing the m ost rapid expansion of the reball. For this EOS, the simplied I-S equations allow for the largest excursions of  $m n$  away from its N avier-Stokes lim it, causing a signi cant and strongly

-dependent increase of all viscous e ects, including the suppression of them om entum anisotropy (Fig. 2) and elliptic ow (see Fig. 4 below) and the amount of viscous entropy production (see Sec. VI).

For the other two equations of state, SM  $\pm$  O S Q and EOSL, the dierence between full and simplied I-S dynam ics is much sm aller, ranging from 5% for Au+Au to  $15$ ° for  $Cu+Cu$  for the largest value of  $6 = ST$ studied here. Note that the viscous suppression of  $\mathbf{r}_p$  is much stronger for the smaller Cu+Cu collision system than for Au+Au. For SM EOS Q and EOS L (which yield rather similar results for  $\mathbf{v}_p$ , with di erences not exceeding 10%), the results from the full I-S equations (black lines) are almost completely independent of , even for the small Cu+ Cu system.

The insets in the two upper panels of Fig. 2 illustrate the dierent -dependences for  $\mathbf{r}_p$  in the full and sim pli ed I-S formulations, by plotting the value of "p for  $_0$  = 4 dm / c as a function EOS Iata xed time of . One sees that, for the investigated range of relaxation times, the dependence is linear, but that the slope has dierent signs for the full and simplied I-S equations and is much smaller for the full I-S system. Even though VISH2+1 cannot be run for much smaller

values, due to num erical instabilities that develop as the N avier-Stokes  $\lim_{x \to 0} \pm 0$  is approached, the lines corresponding to the full and the simplied I-S equations are seen to nicely extrapolate to the sam e N avier-Stokes point, as they should. For SM EOS Q and EOS L, the corresponding linesm ay no longer be linear, due to phase transition e ects, but are still characterized by opposite slopes for the simplied and full I-S approaches, with alm ost vanishing slope in the full I-S case. This agrees with ndings reported in [1,52].

 $1$  N ote that "<sub>p</sub> as dened here includes the eects from both ow velocity and shear pressure anisotropies [3]. In Ref. [3] we denoted it by  $\binom{n}{p}$  in order to distinguish it from the ow-induced m om entum anisotropy  $\frac{\ln \frac{x}{\delta} x + \frac{y}{\delta} y_i}{\ln \frac{x}{\delta} x + \frac{y}{\delta} y_i}$  which is based only on the ideal uid part of the energy m om entum tensor and neglects anisotropies in the local uid rest frame caused by the shear pressure tensor  $m^n$ . In the present work we drop the prime for convenience.



FIG . 2: (Color online) T im e evolution of the total m om entum anisotropy  $\frac{n_0}{p}$  for two collision systems (left: Cu+ Cu; right: A u+ A u), three equations of state (top: EOS I;m iddle: SM  $\pm$  OS Q ; bottom: EOS L), and three values of the kinetic relaxation tim e as indicated (dotted, dashed and solid curves, respectively). The insets in the two top panels show the -dependence of the m om entum anisotropy  $v_{\rm p}$  at xed time  $0 = 4$  fm /c. See text for discussion.

In Fig. 3 the eects of changing the system size,  $EOS$ , and form of I-S equations on the dierential elliptic ow  $v_2(p_T)$  for directly em itted pions is shown. The largest viscous suppression of elliptic 
ow (by alm ost 70% below the ideal uid value at  $p_T = 2G eV/c$ ) is seen for

the  $sm$  all  $Cu$  +  $Cu$  system, evolved with SM  $\pm$  0 S  $Q$  and the sim plied I-S equation. T his is the result reported by us in [3]. T he m iddle panelofFig.3 show s that this large  $v_2$  suppression is alm ost cut in half by going from  $Cu+Cu$  to  $Au+Au$ , the system studied in  $[1]$ , even w ith-

7



FIG . 3: (Color online) D i erential elliptic ow  $v_2(p_T)$  for directly em itted pions (i.e. without resonance decay contributions), com paring results for dierent collisions systems and equations of state. (a) Cu+ Cu at  $b = 7$  fm with SM  $\pm$  OS Q. (b) Au+ Au at  $b = 7$  fin with SM  $\pm$  OS Q. (c) Au+ Au at  $b = 7$  fin with EOS L. Dashed (solid) lines correspond to ideal (viscous) uid dynam ics, with param eters as indicated.

out modifying the EOS or the form of the I-S equations. Changing the EOS from SM EOS Q [3] to EOS L (which is close to the one used in  $[1]$ ) reduces the viscous  $v_2$ suppression by another quarter, from about 40% to less than 30% below the ideal uid lim it at  $p_T = 2$ . Finally, replacing the simplied I-S equations used in [3] by the full I-S equations em ployed by R om atschke [1] further reduces the suppression from about 28% below the ideal uid to 25% at  $p_T = 2$ G eV /c. This is consistent with the results obtained [1].

We conclude that the biggest contribution to the large di erence between the results reported in Refs. [3] and [1] arises from the di erent collisions system s studied, with much larger viscous e ects seen in the smaller Cu+Cu system than in Au+Au collisions. The next most important sensitivity is to the EOS; for the most realistic EOS studied here, EOS L, the di erences between using the full or simplied I-S equations with  $= 3 = ST$  are only about 10% on a relative scale, or about 3% on the absolute scale set by the elliptic ow from ideal uid dynam ics. For sm aller , this last dierence would shrink even further.

The sensitivity to details of the EOS docum ented by the m iddle and right panels of Fig. 3 gives an idea of how well one needs to know the EOS if one wants to extract the specic shear viscosity  $=$ s from experimental data using viscous hydrodynam ics. O nem ight arque that the dierence between a rst order phase transition in plem ented through SM + EOS Q and a sm ooth crossover as in EOS L should be su ciently extreme to cover the m axim al theoretical uncertainty. In this case, F ig 3 tells us that the m axim al theoretical uncertainty on the viscous suppression of  $v_2$  (and therefore on =s) should be about 25-30%. This should be compared to the theoreticalerror introduced by our present uncertainty of the initial spatial source eccentricity ": " di ers by about 30% between initializations based on the G lauber and color glass condensate (CGC) m odels [19, 52{54], resulting in 30% uncertainty of the totalm agnitude of the ellip- $\overline{a}$ tic ow in ideal uid dynamics. We further caution that

recent discussions about the value of the critical tem perature for the quark-hadron transition [55, 56] introduce an additionalm om ent of uncertainty which is perhaps not covered by the range between SM EOS Q and EOS L studied here. Therefore, while we agree with the authors of R ef. [52] that the uncertainty about the initial source eccentricity dom inates over uncertainties related to different in plem entations of the I-S form alism, we think that the EOS should not be discounted prem aturely as a possible source of signi cant additional theoretical uncertainty in the extraction of  $=s$ .

# V. MULTIPLICITY SCALING OF  $v_2 =$ " IN IDEAL AND VISCOUS HYDRODYNAM ICS

In this section we explore the multiplicity scaling (as de ned in the Introduction) of the eccentricity-scaled elliptic ow  $v_2 =$ ", comparing ideal uid dynamics with that of near-m inimally viscous uids with specic shear vis- $\cos \frac{1}{3} = 0 \frac{1}{4}$ .

### A. EOS I: conform al uids with e = 3p

We begin with the simple case of a conformal uid w ith the equation of state  $e = 3p$  (EOS I), w ithout phase transition. In this case the speed of sound is a constant, independent of temperature T,  $c_s^2 = \frac{1}{3}$ . For the ideal uid case, naive scaling argum ents based on the scale invariance of the ideal uid equations of motion would thus predict a constant v<sub>2</sub>=", independent of multiplicity density (1=S)dN ch<sub>7</sub>dy. (The nuclear overlap area S is com  $hx^2$  ihy<sup>2</sup> i w here h:: i denotes the energy puted as  $S =$ density weighted average over the transverse plane.) The left panel in Fig. 4 clearly contradicts this expectation. Freeze-out at  $T_{dec}$  = 130M eV cuts the hydrodynam ic evolution of the  $m$  om entum anisotropy  $"_p$  short before the elliptic ow has fully saturated. As the left panel of



FIG . 4: (C obr online) The eccentricity-scaled elliptic ow  $v_2$ =" as a function of charged multiplicity density, (1=S)dN ch =dy, for a conform al uid w ith EOS I.R esults for Cu+ Cu and Au+ Au collisions w ith two dierent initial energy densities at a variety of in pact param eters, as indicated in the legend, are superim posed. R esults from ideal uid dynam ics (a) are com pared with those from viscous hydrodynam ics, using the full (b) and the simplied (c) Israel-Stewart equations, respectively. In all cases approxim ate, but not perfect m ultiplicity scaling is observed (see text for discussion). In panels (b) and (c), the ideal
uid results from the left panelare reproduced as gray sym bols for com parison.

the qure show s, this not only causes a strong suppression of  $v_2$ =" at low m ultiplicity densities, w here the time between beginning of the hydrodynam ic expansion and freeze-out becom es very short, but it also breaks the multiplicity scaling at high multiplicity density, albeit more weakly. A ta xed value of  $(1=S)$ dN  $_{ch}$ =dy, one sees larger  $v_2$ =" form ore central collisions initiated at lower collision energies (corresponding to  $\sin$  aller  $e_0$  param eters) than form ore peripheral collisions between the sam e nuclei at higher beam energies, and also for m ore central Cu+ Cu collisions (w ith a rounder shape) than form ore peripheral  $A u + A u$  collisions (with a m ore deform ed initial shape). We nd that the larger  $v_2 =$ " values can be traced directly to som ew hat longer lifetim es of the corresponding reballs, i.e. to the availability of m ore time to approach the saturation values of the m om entum anisotropy and elliptic 
ow before reaching freeze-out. T hese freeze-out induced scaling violations in ideal
uid dynam ics disappear at su ciently high collision energies (i.e. large e  $_0$ ) w here the m om entum anisotropy has tim e to fully saturate in allcollision system s and at all impact param eters, before freezing out.

The m iddle and right panels in Fig. 4 show the analogous results for a m in in ally viscous uid with  $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}$ and kinetic relaxation time  $\frac{3}{\text{sT}}$ . Consistent with the discussion in the preceding section, the viscous  $sup$ pression of the elliptic ow is seen to be stronger if the sim plied I-S equations are used (right panel) than for the full I-S equation. (A lthough not shown, the curves in the right panelalso show stronger sensitivity to the value of than those in the m iddle panel.) A long w ith the suppression of  $v_2=$ " by shear viscosity we see the appearance of scale-breaking e ects that increase in proportion to the overall suppression of elliptic ow: they are larger in the right than in the m iddle panel. Shear viscosity breaks the m ultiplicity scaling of  $v_2$ =" because (as shown in the preceding section) viscous e ects are larger in sm aller collision reballs. C onsequently, if we compare

dierent collision system sthat produce the same charged m ultiplicity density (1=S)dN  $_{ch}$ =dy, we nd sm allerv<sub>2</sub>=" for  $Cu + Cu$  than for  $Au + Au$  collisions, and for peripheralA u+ A u collisions at higher collision energy than for m ore centralA u+ A u collisions at lower collision energy.

V iscouse ects also generate entropy, i.e. they increase the nalcharged m ultiplicity dN  $_{ch}$ =dy. C om paring in the m iddle and right panels of F ig. 4 the gray (shaded) sym bols from ideal
uid dynam ics w ith the colored (solid) sym bols for viscous hydrodynam ics, points corresponding to the sam e collision system and im pact param eter are seen to be shifted to the right. T his enhances the scaling violations: for a given collision system , im pact param eter and collision energy, viscosity decreases the eccentricity scaled elliptic ow  $v_2 =$ ", pushing the corresponding point dow nw ard in the diagram, and simultaneously increases the entropy, pushing the corresponding point horizontally to the right. The com bination of these two e ects separates the curves for dierent collision system s and energies farther in viscous hydrodynam ics than in ideal
uid dynam ics.

### B. Phase transition e ects: EOSQ and EOSL

Figure 5 show s the analogous results if the uid evolves under the in uence of an equation of state with a quarkhadron phase transition, EOS Q (top row) or EOS L (bottom row ). A gain approxim ate m ultiplicity scaling of  $v_2$ =" is observed, but sm all scale-breaking eects are visible in both ideal and viscous hydrodynam ics. For the equations of state w ith a phase transition, the scalebreaking e ects are actually larger in the idealthan in the viscous case, i.e. in viscous hydrodynam ics  $v_2 = "$  shows better m ultiplicity scaling than in ideal 
uid dynam ics! We interpret the large scale-breaking eects in the ideal uid case asa com plication arising from interference between the freeze-outprocessand theweak acceleration of



FIG.5: (Color online) Same as Fig. 4, but for SM  $\pm$  OS Q (top row) and EOS L (bottom row). For the ideal uid case (a,d) an extended range of  $e_0$  values up to  $e_0 = 120$  G eV /fm<sup>3</sup> was studied, in order to show that v<sub>2</sub>=" eventually increases again at higher collision energies [25].

m atter in the phase transition region. This interpretation is supported by a com parison between SM -EO S Q w ith its rst-order phase transition (upper left panel in  $F$  ig. 5) and the sm ooth crossover transition in EO S L (lower left panel): for ideal uids, the scale-breaking e ects are obviously larger for SM  $\pm$  0 S Q than for EO S L.A salready observed in  $[3]$ , shear viscosity e ectively sm ears out the phase transition and reduces its e ect on the dynam ics. In Fig. 5 this is clearly seen on the left side of each panel (i.e. at sm all values of  $\frac{1}{S} \frac{dN_{ch}}{dy}$ ) where for the ideal uid  $v_2$ =" show s a non-m onotonic peak structure [25] that is com pletely gone in the viscous case.

C om paring Figs. 4 and 5, we see m uch sm aller dierences between the full (m iddle panels) and sim pli ed I-S equations (right panels) for SM  $\pm$  0 S Q and EO S L than for EO S I. T his is consistent w ith our observations in Sec. IV where the largest dierences between full and sim plied I-S equations was also seen for the rapidly evolving reballs w hose expansion is pushed by the very sti EO S I.

It is interesting to observe that, for ideal uids, EO S L leads to about 10% m ore elliptic 
ow under R H IC conditions than SM  $\pm$  O S Q. The reason is that in the phase transition region EO S L is stier than SM -EO S Q .T his plays an im portant role at R H IC because the softness of the EOS near  $T_c$  inhibits the buildup of elliptic ow exactly under RHIC conditions [25]. A s a corollary we note that, if RH IC elliptic ow data exhaust ideal uid predictionsm ade w ith SM  $\pm$  O S Q [8], they w illnot exhaust ideal uid predictions based on EOSL, thus leaving som e room for shear viscous e ects.

### C. V iscous suppression of  $v_2$ : system atics

Even at the highest collision energies (or  $e_0$  values) studied in Figs. 4 and 5, the slope of  $v_2=$ " as a function of  $\frac{1}{S} \frac{dN_{ch}}{dy}$  rem ains positive, i.e.  $v_2 =$ " continues to increase and evolve in direction of the asymptotic ideal uid lim it. T his im plies that at higher collision energies the importance of viscous e ects decreases. This observations parallels the one m ade in [3], nam ely that w ith increasing collision energy the  $p_T$  range increases over w hich viscous hydrodynam ic predictions for the singleparticle m om entum spectra can be trusted. T he reason is in both cases that w ith increasing collision energy the  $t$ im e until freeze-out grow s, and that (at least for constant  $=$ s as assum ed here and in  $[3]$ ) during the later stages of the expansion shear viscouse ects are sm all.

Figure 6 show s this m ore quantitatively. W e plot the fractional decrease of the elliptic ow relative to its ideal uid dynam icalvalue,  $(v_2^{\text{ideal}} - v_2^{\text{viscous}}) = v_2^{\text{ideal}}$ , as a function ofm ultiplicity density. Largerm ultiplicity densities lead to sm aller viscous suppression e ects. Larger viscosity results in stronger suppression of the elliptic ow. The suppression e ects are weaker if the full I-S equa-



FIG. 6: (Cobr online) V iscous suppression of elliptic ow,  $(v_2^{\text{ideal}}$   $(v_2^{\text{viscous}}) = v_2^{\text{ideal}}$ , as a function of (1=S)dN ch =dy for  $A u+A u w$  ith EOS L, = 3 = sT and two values of = s as indicated. Solid (dashed) lines correspond to using the full (sim pli ed) I-S equations, respectively.

tions are used than in the simpli ed approach of R ef. [3] (w hich, as discussed in Sec. IV, also su ers from strong sensitivity to ). For m inim al viscosity,  $=s = 1=4$ , the  $p_T$ -integrated elliptic ow  $v_2$  in A u+ A u collisions at RH IC is suppressed by about 20%. The suppression is larger at low er energies but w ill be less at the LHC.

# D . A look at experim entaldata

Figure 7(a) show s the fam ous experim ental plot by Voloshin [21]w ith provides em piricalevidence for m ultiplicity scaling of  $v_2 =$ ". The lines labelled  $\H$  Y D R O " are sketches for expectations from ideal 
uid dynam ics, based on the calculations presented in  $[25]$  for  $v_2$ in  $A u + A u$  collisions at xed im pact param eter  $b = 7$  fm as a function of multiplicity (param etrized by  $e_0$ ). They should be replaced by the curves shown in the left panels ofFig.5.

In Fig. 7(b) we present multiplicity scaling curves for  $v_2$ =" obtained from viscous hydrodynam ics w ith the full I-S equations. On a super cial level, the theoretical curves show qualitative sim ilarity w ith the experim entaldata, giving correct ball-park num bers if one assum es  $=$ s 0:24 3=4. Interestingly, ignoring experimental error bars, one can see evidence for sm all scaling violations in the experim entaldata w hose pattern agrees w ith the theoretical predictions from viscous hydrodynam ics (see discussion at the end of Sec. V A ): the  $62.5$  A G eV A u+ A u data lie slightly above the 200A G eV A u+ A u points, and the 200A G eV Cu+ Cu points fall slightly below the 62:5A G eV Au+ Au data. Of course, these ne features of the experim ental data are presently not statistically signi cant; much m ore precise data are needed

to con m or disprove the theoretical predictions, but upcom ing high-statistic runs at R H IC should be able to deliver them .

C loser inspection of the two panels in Fig. 7 show s, however, that the theoretical scaling curves have the w rong slope: on the left side of the plot, i.e. for sm all m ultiplicity densities, the data seem to point towards larger speci c shear viscosities  $\frac{1}{s}$  > 3  $\frac{1}{4}$  whereas on the right side of the plot, for  $\frac{1}{S} \frac{dN_{ch}}{dy}$  > 20 fm  $^2$ , the experim ental data require sm aller shear viscosities,  $\frac{1}{s}$  < (1 2)  $\frac{1}{4}$ . But this is not at all unexpected: Collisions represented by points in the right half of the plot correspond to high collision energies and large initial energy densities w hose expanding reballs spend the largest fraction of their life in the Q G P phase. Fireballs created in collisions represented by points in the left part of the diagram have sm aller initial energy densities and thus spend m ost of their time in the much m ore viscous hadronic phase [19]. A m eaningful com parison between theory and experim ent thusm ust necessarily account for the tem perature dependence of  $=$ s and its dram atic increase during the quark-hadron phase transition [50]. T his would lead to scaling curves in Fig.7(b) w ith a larger slope that can better reproduce the data. W hat one can say already now is that the high-energy end of Fig. 7 requires very an all speci c shear viscosity = s for the  $Q G P$ , of the sam e order as the m inim alvalue postulated in [16, 17] (unless the initial source eccentricity " was strongly underestim ated in the experim entaldata).

# VI. MULT IPLIC ITY SCALING OF ENTROPY PRODUCTION IN VISCOUS HYDRODYNAMICS

In the absence of shock waves, ideal 
uid dynam ics conserves entropy. Correspondingly, the nalmultiplicity per unit rapidity is directly determ ined by the to- $\text{ta}^{\text{initial entropy}}_{\text{new}} \text{ per unit rapidity: } \frac{dN}{dy} = \text{const:}$ 0  $dx dy s(x; y; 0)$ . N um erical discretization of the hydrodynam ic evolution equations introduces a sm all am ountof\num ericalviscosity",however,w hich can not be fully avoided. To m in im ize num erical viscosity  $e$  ects, the 
ux-corrected transport algorithm SH A STA used in the num ericalsolution ofboth the idealand viscous
uid equations [3,47] em ploys an \antidiusion step" involving a param eter called \antidiusion constant" [57]. Num ericalviscosity eects are m axim alifthis param eter is set to zero. In all our simulations we used 0.125 for the antidiusion constant [57], resulting in about 0.3% entropy production by num ericalviscosity in the ideal uid case. In com parison w ith the O (10 15% ) entropy production in a viscous 
uid w ith m inim alshear viscosity (see below) this can be neglected<sup>2</sup>.

 $2 E$  ects from num erical viscosity depend on the spacing of the space-tim e grid used in the sim ulation. For the results presented



FIG .7: (C olor online) (a) The experim ental observation of multiplicity scaling for  $v_2$ =", with data from A u+ A u,P b+ Pb, and Cu+ Cu collisions at RH IC, SPS and AGS [21]. (b) Theoretical prediction of approximate multiplicity scaling from viscous hydrodynam ics using the full I-S equations, for three dierent (constant) specic entropy values =  $s = 0.08;0.16;0.24$ .

Sim ilar to the second paper of R ef.  $[46]$ , we com pute entropy production by exploiting the proportionality of nalentropy to nalcharged m ultiplicity. W e com pute

the nalm ultiplicity  $dN_{ch} = dy$  for both idealand viscous hydrodynam ics and then equate the fractional increase in dN  $_{ch}$ =dy w ith the fractional increase in dS=dy. This ignores a sm all negative correction due to the viscous deviation of the distribution function on the freeze-out hypersurface from localequilibrium [38,41]w hich slightly reduces the entropy per nally observed particle in the viscous case. The realentropy production is thus slightly sm aller than calculated w ith our prescription. H owever, since on the freeze-out surface the viscous pressure com ponents are  $\text{sn}$  all  $\lceil 3 \rceil$ , this correction should be negligible.

We checked the above procedure by also directly integrating the viscous entropy production rate  $\theta$  s =

=2 over the space-tim e volum e enclosed between the initial condition C auchy surface and the nal freezeout surface. T his m ethod results in slightly larger entropy production, the relative dierence am ounting to about 0.7% (or about 0.07% in the absolute value of  $S=S_0$ ) for central A u+ A u collisions. Since the estim ate from the nal m ultiplicity gives a lower entropy production value even w ithout accounting for the som ewhat sm aller entropy per particle in the viscous case, we conclude that entropy production due to num erical viscosity m ust be a bit sm aller in the viscous 
uid than in the ideal one. This is not unreasonable, given the observation in [3] that, compared to the ideal uid case, the physical viscosity sm oothens the strong velocity gradients near the quark-hadron phase transition, thereby presum ably also reducing the eects of num erical viscosity.

W e note that our viscous evolution starts earlier (at  $_0$  = 0:6 fm /c) than that of Ref. [46] (who use  $_0$  = 1fm /c). T his earlier start results in larger entropy production fractions. A sthe inset in Fig.8(b) show s,m ost of the entropy is produced during the early stage of the ex-

in this paper we used  $x = y = 0:1$  fm and  $y = 0:04$  fm /c. To check the e ects of num erical viscosity in the ideal uid case w e also perform ed sim ulations w ith A ZH Y D R O w here w e either set the antidiusion constant to zero or increased and decreased the grid spacings ( $x; y;$ ) by a factor 2 to 4. To m axim ize num ericalviscosity eects, we used EOSQ with a strong rst order phase transition w hich generates shocks and associated large velocity gradients. W e found that decreasing the grid spacing by a factor 2 has no visible e ect on the average radial ow of the 
uid in centralA u+ A u collisions but increases the m om entum anisotropy of the ideal uid in peripheral Au+ Au collisions at  $b = 7$  fm by 2  $3\frac{8}{3}$ . A further reduction by another factor 2 doesn't even a ect the m om entum anisotropy any m ore, indicating that num erical viscosity e ects have been basically reduced to zero. If we increase the grid spacing by a factor two, the effects are a bit larger: the totalentropy production by num erical viscosity increases by about  $1\%$ , the average radial ow at  $b = 0$ changes by 0.5% , and the m om entum anisotropy experiences a relative suppression of about 5%. The largest e ects are seen w hen m axim izing the num erical viscosity at xed grid spacing by setting the antidiusion constant to zero. In this case the average radial
ow in centralA u+ A u collisions again changes only by 0.5% (w hich is negligible com pared to the strong increase in transverse acceleration that we see in the viscous uid), but the m om entum anisotropy isreduced by about10% . In the nalpion  $v_2$  this is re ected by a  $p_T$ -dependent reduction that increases w ith  $p_T$ , just like eects from real shear viscosity [1{4, 6}; the  $p_T$  -integrated pion elliptic ow is reduced by less than 4% even in this extrem e case. We conclude that num erical viscosity does not increase transverse acceleration but suppresses m om entum anisotropy sim ilar to real shear viscosity. For the param eters used in this paper, and for the equations of state studied here w hich do not have a sharp phase transition, num erical viscosity e ects on the elliptic ow do not exceed 1 2% and can thus be neglected relative to e ects from real shear viscosity.



FIG . 8: (Color online) Entropy production S, norm alized by the initial entropy S<sub>0</sub>, as a function of charged multiplicity density  $\frac{1}{S}\frac{dN_{ch}}{dy}$  . Calculations with VISH2+1 were perform ed for A u+ A u and C u+ C u collisions at various im pact param eters and collision energies, using =s =  $0.08$ , =  $3$  =sT, and three dierent equations of state (EOS I, SM  $\pm$  OS Q, and EOS L). (a) Sim pli ed I-S equations. (b) Full I-S equations. The inset in panel (b) show s the entropy production as a function of tim e, for centralA u+ A u collisions w ith param eters as indicated in the legend.

pansion. We have con mmed that the dierence between R ef. [46] and the work here is quantitatively reproduced by the entropy generated during the time interval from 0.6 to 1.0fm /c,w hich can be calculated to excellent approxim ation analytically  $[18]$  (using Eq. (D 3) in R ef. [3]) by assum ing boost-invariant longitudinal expansion w ithout transverse 
ow during this period.

Figure 8 show s the viscous entropy production S, as a fraction of the initial entropy  $S_0$ , for Cu+ Cu and A u+ A u collisions at various im pact param eters and collision energies, as a function of multiplicity density. One observes approxim ate m ultiplicity scaling of the fractional entropy production, w ith scaling functions that depend on the equation of state and, for non-zero kinetic relaxation time, on the form of the Israel-Stewart equations used in the simulation. As with  $v_2 = "$  we see sm all scale-breaking e ects, but generally the produced entropy fraction show s better m ultiplicity scaling than elliptic ow. The scale breaking e ects for the viscous entropy production rate go in the sam e direction asw ith elliptic ow insofaras, at the same value of  $\frac{1}{\text{s}}\frac{\text{dN}}{\text{d}y}$  , larger collision system sand m orecentralcollisionsproducefractionally m ore entropy than sm aller or m ore peripheral collisions,due to their longer lifetim es before freeze-out.

For  $= 3 = ST$ , Figure 8 shows that the simplied I-S equations (left panel) cause alm ost tw ice as much entropy production as the full I-S system ! Figure 9 clari es that, w hen the simplied I-S equations are used, entropy production depends very sensitively on the kinetic relaxation time, approaching the much smaller and almost com pletely -independent entropy production rates of the full I-S fram ework in the  $\lim$  it  $\lim$  . The large am ount of extra entropy production for non-zero in



FIG . 9: (Color online) Sensitivity of the entropy production ratio  $S=S_0$  show n in Fig. 8 to the kinetic relaxation time for the A u+ A u collision system with  $e_0 = 30$  G eV / fm<sup>3</sup> (correbut the A u+ A u collision system  $w_{\text{full}}$  by = 30 G eV ) in (corre-<br>sponding to a collision energy of  $\overline{S}$  200 A G eV ). The three red curves (upper set) are for the simplied Israel-Stewart equations, the three black curves (lower set) for the full I-S equations. Solutions w ith the full I-S equations produce less entropy and show very little sensitivity to .

the sim pli ed I-S approach must thus be considered as unphysical. This is in portant because this articial extra entropy production (caused by unphysically large excursions of the viscous shear pressure tensor  $m n$  aw ay from its N avier-Stokes value  $m = 2$   $m = 1$ ) m anifests itself as additional charged hadron m ultiplicity in the observed

nal state (seen as a shift of all points in the left panel of Fig. 8 towards larger values of  $\frac{1}{s} \frac{dN_{ch}}{dy}$ ). Since the nal multiplicity is used to norm alize the initial energy density  $e_0$ , this causes a signi cant distortion of the initial conditions corresponding to a given set of experimental data, a ecting their physical interpretation.

We conclude that using the full I-S equations is m andatory if one wants to m inimize articiale ects of shear viscosity on entropy production and elliptic ow in the realistic situation of non-zero kinetic relaxation times.  $(W$  e note that, while the value of for the QGP created at RHIC is presently unknown, it can obviously not be zero.) From the right panel in Fig. 8 we see that this rem oves m ost of the large di erence in entropy production between the rapidly exploding EOS I reballs and their m ore leisurely expanding cousins that evolve under the in uence of EOS Q or EOS L. Still, even for the full I-S equations we see 15 25% di erences between the entropy production rates for EOSQ (rst order phase transition) and EOS L (rapid crossover transition). The di erences are largest for them ost central A u+ A u and C u+ C u collisions at top RHIC energies. The som ewhat sti er nature of EOS L near T<sub>c</sub> causes the reball to expand faster and w ith higher acceleration, leading to larger viscouse ects than for  $EOSQ$ . The dierences in entropy production caused by this variation of the EOS is of similar maqnitude as its e ect on the viscous suppression of  $v_2 =$ " discussed at the end of Sec. IV.

An important comment relates to the negative overall slope of the scaling curves for entropy production shown in Figs. 8 and 9: Since peripheral collisions produce relatively m ore entropy than central collisions, and the produced entropy is re ected in the nal charged hadron m ultiplicity, the collision centrality dependence of hadron multiplicities is altered by viscous e ects. W hen viscous e ects are accounted for, the charged multiplicity dN ch=dy will risem ore slow ly as a function of the num ber of participant nucleons N <sub>part</sub> than for an ideal uid with the same set of initial conditions. In a G lauber model param etrization of the initial conditions [8] this tem pering e ect will have to be compensated for by increasing the \hard" component in the initial entropy production. ie. the component that scales with the density of binary collisions and is thus responsible for the non-linear increase of dN  $_{ch}$ =dy w ith N  $_{part}$ . In the color glass condensate approach [58] this non-linear rise is controlled by the centrality dependence of the saturation m om entum scale  $Q_{\rm s}$ , with no free param eters to tune. It rem ains to be seen whether the success of the CGC model in describing the centrality dependence of  $dN_{ch} = dy$  [59] survives the inclusion of entropy (or multiplicity) producing e ects resulting from shear viscosity during the evolution from the initialCGC to the nally observed state.

# VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The main motivation for the work presented in this paper was provided by the experim entally observed multiplicity scaling of the elliptic ow, shown in Fig. 7a, and its deviation at low multiplicities from ideal uid dynamical predictions. We saw that m any of the observed features are qualitatively consistent with viscous hydrodynam ic calculations as presented in this paper, and that the sam e calculations also predict approximatemultiplicity scaling for viscous entropy production. Our studies revealed, how ever, that even for ideal uid dynam ics the multiplicity scaling of the elliptic ow is not perfect, with sm all scaling violations introduced by the freeze-out process which cuts the evolution of elliptic ow short. Even at R H IC energies, where the elliptic ow alm ost saturates before freeze-out, kinetic decoupling truncates the mom entum anisotropy at values slightly below their asymptotic saturation value, and the deviations depend on the size of the colliding nuclei and the deform ation of the reball created in the collision through the time available for building elliptic ow before freeze-out.

Shear viscosity strongly suppresses the build-up of mom entum anisotropy and elliptic ow, especially for low multiplicity densities, i.e. at large in pact parameters, low collision energies or for small sizes of the colliding nuclei. This changes the slope of the multiplicity scaling curve for  $v_2$ =" but preserves, to good approximation, its general scaling with  $\frac{1}{S}\frac{dN_{ch}}{dv}$ . V iolations of multiplicity scaling for  $v_2$ =" are som ewhat larger for the viscous expansion than for the ideal uid (especially with EOS I), but rem ain sm allenough to be consistent, within statisticalerrors, with the experimental observation of approxim ate scaling. The slope of the approxim ate scaling curve and the spread around this curve caused by scaling violation increase with the value of the speci c shear viscosity  $=$ s and can thus be used to constrain it.

Speci cally, the observed scaling violations have the following features: At xed multiplicity density  $\frac{1}{S}\frac{dN_{ch}}{dv}$ , viscous hydrodynam ics predicts slightly larger elliptic ow  $v_2$ =" for larger collision system s or m ore central collisions than for sm aller nuclei colliding at similar energy or m ore peripheral collisions between similar-size nuclei colliding at higher energy. Larger  $v_2 =$ " values are associated with longer lifetimes of the corresponding reballs before freeze-out and thus also with larger relative entropy production. This correlates the scaling violations for  $v_2$ =" observed in Figs. 5 and 7 with those for the relative entropy production  $S=S_0$  seen in Fig. 8. The pattem of the predicted scaling violations show squalitative agreem ent w ith experim ent, although higher quality data are required to render this agreem ent statistically robust and quantitative.

For a xed (i.e. tem perature independent) ratio =s, the slope of the multiplicity scaling curve for  $v_2$ =" does not agree with experiment { the curves predicted by viscous hydrodynam ics are too at. The slope can be in-

creased by allow ing =s to increase at lower tem peratures: For sm all m ultiplicity densities (very peripheral collisions or low collision energies), the data seem to require  $\frac{1}{s}$  > 3  $\frac{1}{4}$ , whereas at large multiplicity densities they appear to constrain the specic shear viscosity to values of  $\frac{1}{s}$   $\left(1 \ 2\right)$   $\frac{1}{4}$ . W hile this is qualitatively consistent w ith the idea that in high-m ultiplicity events the dynam ics is dom inated by the Q G P phase (w hose viscosity would thus have to be sm all, of order 1=4 ) w hereas low -m ultiplicity events are predom inantly controlled by hadron gas dynam ics (w hich is highly viscous [19]), much additional work is needed to turn this observation into quantitative constraints for the function  $\frac{1}{s}$  (T ).

The present study also resolves questions that arose from several recent publications of viscous hydrodynam ic calculations w hich seem ed to yield dierent results. W e explored the eects of using dierent im plem entations of Israel-Stewart second order theory for causal relativistic viscous hydrodynam ics, by com paring the \sim plied Israel-Stewart equations" previously used by us [3] w ith the \full Israel-Stewart equations" in plem ented by P. & U. Rom atschke [1]. For the \simplied" approach we found a strong sensitivity of physical observables on the presently unknow n kinetic relaxation tim e

for the viscous shear pressure tensor  $m n$ , in contrast to a m uch weaker and basically negligible -dependence in the  $\text{full}$  approach. For non-zero the  $\sin \pi$  is ed I-S equations" allow for large excursions of  $m n$  away from its N avier-Stokes  $\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{m n}{n} = 2$  m n . These excursions are articial and disappear in the N avier-Stokes lim it ! 0 w hich can, how ever, not be stably simulated num erically. They cause large viscous suppression e ects for the elliptic ow and large am ounts of extra entropy production (i.e. extra nalhadron multiplicity). From our study we conclude that the \sim pli ed I-S approach" should be avoided, and that a reliable extraction of  $=$ s from experim ental data m andates the use of the \full Israel-Stewart equations" [1, 52]. (It is, however, perm issible to use the conform al uid approximation [1,34] for the \full I-S equations" even if the uid's EOS is not conform ally invariant since the dierences were found to

- [1] P.R om atschke and U.R om atschke, Phys.R ev.Lett.99, 172301 (2007).
- [2] A . K . Chaudhuri, arX iv:0704.0134 [nucl-th]; arX iv:0708.1252 [nucl-th];arX iv:0801.3180 [nucl-th];and arX iv:0803.0643 [nucl-th].
- [3] H. Song and U. Heinz, Phys. Lett. B 658, 279 (2008); and Phys.R ev.C 77,064901 (2008).
- [4] K . D usling and D . Teaney, Phys. R ev. C 77, 034905 (2008)
- [5] U .H einz and S.M .H .W ong,Phys.R ev.C 66,014907 (2002).
- [6] D .Teaney,Phys.R ev.C 68,034913 (2003).
- [7] T he experim ental situation is sum m arized in I. A rsene

be negligible.)

In comparing our previous work  $[3]$  w ith that of others we also identi ed other factors that signi cantly in uence the creation of elliptic 
ow and thus help to account for the observed di erences. For a realistic equation of state that im plem ents a quark-hadron transition (here SM  $\pm$  O S Q and EOS L), it turns out that a m uch m ore im portant e ect than using the correct version of Israel-Stewart theory is the size of the colliding nuclei.  $At R H IC$  energies and for a realistic  $EO S$ , the viscous suppression e ects for  $v_2=$ " in C u+ C u collisions are alm ost tw ice as large as for the larger A u+ A u collision system. Non-negligible dierences in the amount of viscous  $v_2$  suppression arise also from details in the EO S, w ith a sm ooth crossover as im plem ented in EO S L giving 25-30% less suppression than a rst-order transition as in SM  $\pm$  O S Q. C om pared to system size eects and EO S uncertainties, the dierences between  $\sinphi$  ed" and  $\ln$ " I-S theory are relatively sm all, a ecting the viscous  $v_2$  suppression at the 10% level relative to each other. (The quoted percentages are for a uid with m inim alviscosity  $=s = 1=4$  and m ay be larger for higher viscosity.) The largest uncertainty, in any case, is contributed by our present lack of know ledge of the initial source eccentricity w hich contributes a theoreticalerror band of up to 30% on an absolute scale for  $v_2$  [19,52{54].

We nally comment that the multiplicity dependence of viscous entropy production predicted by viscous hydrodynam ics (see Fig. 8) will modify the centrality dependence of charged hadron production. This issue will be studied m ore quantitatively in a forthcom ing paper.

### A cknow ledgm ents

 $W$  e thank  $K$ . Dusling,  $E$ . Froderm ann,  $P$ . Huovinen, M. Lisa, P. R om atschke, and D. Teaney for fruitful discussions and usefulcom m ents on the m anuscript. This work was supported by the  $U.S.D$  epartm ent of Energy under contract D E + G 02-01ER 41190.

et al. [B R A H M S C ollaboration] N ucl. Phys. A 757, 1 (2005); B .B .B ack et al. [PH O B O S C ollaboration], N ucl. Phys. A 757, 28 (2005); J. A dam s et al. [STAR C ollaboration], N ucl. Phys.A 757, 102 (2005); K . A dcox et al. [PH EN IX C ollaboration], N ucl. Phys. A 757,184 (2005).

- [8] R ecent theoretical review s include: P. H uovinen, in Q uark G luon Plasm a 3,edited by R .C .H wa and X .N . W ang (W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 2004), p. 600 [nucl $th/0305064$ ];  $P.F.K$  olb and U.H einz, ibid.,  $p.634$  [nuclth/0305084]; P. H uovinen and P. V . R uuskanen, A nn. R ev.N ucl.Part.Sci.56,163 (2006).
- [9] U .H einz,J.Phys.G :N ucl.Part.Phys.31,S717 (2005);
- [10] R.A.Lacey et al., Phys. R ev. Lett. 98,092301 (2007).
- [11] U .H einz and P.F.K olb N ucl.Phys.A 702,269 (2002).
- [12] U.Heinz, A IP C onf. Proc. 739, 163 (2005) [arX iv:nuclth/0407067].
- [13] M . G yulassy, in Structure and dynam ics of elem entary m atter, edited by W. G reiner et al., NATO Science Series II: M athem atics, Physics and C hem istry, Vol. 166 (K luwer A cadem ic, D ordrecht, 2004), p. 159-182 [arX iv: nucl-th/0403032].
- [14] M. G yulassy and L. M cLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 750, 30 (2005).
- [15] E.V .Shuryak,N ucl.Phys.A 750,64 (2005).
- [16] G.Policastro, D.T.Son and A.O.Starinets, Phys.R ev. Lett.87,081601 (2001);JH EP 0209,043 (2002).
- [17] P.K ovtun, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, Phys. Rev. Lett.94,111601 (2005).
- [18] P. D anielew icz and M. G yulassy, Phys. R ev. D 31, 53 (1985).
- [19] T .H irano,U .H einz,D .K harzeev,R .Lacey and Y .N ara, Phys. Lett. B 636, 299 (2006); J. Phys. G 34, S879 (2007);and Phys.R ev.C 77,044909 (2008).
- [20] C . A lt et al. [N A 49 C ollaboration], Phys. R ev. C 68, 034903 (2003);
- [21] S. A. Voloshin, J. Phys. G 34, S883 (2007); and A IP C onf. Proc. 870, 691 (2006) [arX iv:nuclex/0610038].
- [22] R.C. Hwa and K.Kajantie, Phys. Rev. D 32, 1109 (1985).
- [23] J.Y .O llitrault,Phys.R ev.D 46,229 (1992).
- [24] R.S.Bhalerao, J.P.B laizot, N.B orghiniand J.Y.O llitrault,Phys.Lett.B 627,49 (2005).
- [25] P.F.K olb,J.Sollfrank and U .H einz,Phys.Lett.B 459, 667 (1999);and Phys.R ev.C 62,054909 (2000).
- [26] H . H eiselberg and A . M . Levy, Phys. R ev.C 59, 2716 (1999);
- [27] S.A.Voloshin and A.M.Poskanzer, Phys.Lett.B 474, 27 (2000);
- $[28]$  H.J.D rescher, A.D um itru, C.G om beaud and J.Y.O  $\perp$ litrault,Phys.R ev.C 76,024905 (2007).
- [29] K .Paech and S.Pratt,Phys.R ev.C 74,014901 (2006).
- [30] D . K harzeev and K . Tuchin, arX iv:0705.4280 [hep-ph]; F. K arsch, D . K harzeev and K . Tuchin, Phys. Lett. B 663,217 (2008).
- [31] H .B .M eyer,Phys.R ev.Lett.100,162001 (2008).
- [32] U.Heinz, H.Song and A.K.Chaudhuri, Phys. Rev.C 73,034904 (2006).
- [33] A.M uronga and D.H.R ischke, arX iv:nucl-th/0407114.
- [34] R .B aier,P.R om atschke,D .T .Son,A .O .Starinets and M .A .Stephanov,JH EP 0804,100 (2008).
- [35] S. B hattacharyya, V . E. H ubeny, S. M inwalla and M .R angam ani,JH EP 0802,045 (2008).
- [36] M. Natsuum e and T. O kam ura, Phys. Rev. D 77, 066014 (2008); and M . N atsuum e and T . O kam ura, arX iv:0801.1797 [hep-th].
- [37] R.Loganayagam, JH EP 0805, 087 (2008).
- [38] W . Israel, A nn.Phys. (N Y ) 100 (1976) 310; W . Israel and J.M .Stewart,Phys.Lett.58A ,213 (1976);W .Israel and J.M .Stewart,A nn.Phys.(N Y )118 (1979) 341.
- [39] A.M uronga, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 062302 (2002) [Erratum: ibid.89,159901 (2002)];
- [40] A .M uronga,Phys.R ev.C 69,034903 (2004);
- [41] A.M uronga, Phys.R ev.C 76, 014909 and 014910 (2007).
- [42] R.B aier, P.R om atschke and U.A.W iedem ann, Phys. R ev.C 73,064903 (2006).
- [43] I.M uller, Z.Phys.198,329 (1967).
- [44] M .G rm ela,H .C .O ttinger,Phys.R ev.E 56,6620 (1997); H.C.Ottinger, M.G m ela, Phys. R ev.E 56, 6633 (1997); H .C .O ttinger,Phys.R ev.E 57,1416 (1998).
- [45] A.K.C haudhuriand U.Heinz, J.Phys.: Conf. Ser. 50, 251 (2006);
- [46] R. B aier and P. R om atschke, Eur. Phys. J. C 51, 677 (2007);P.R om atschke,Eur.Phys.J.C 52,203 (2007).
- [47] T he (2+ 1)-d ideal
uid codeAZHYDRO can be dow nloaded from U R L
- http://nt3.phys.colum bia.edu/people/m olnard/O SC A R /.
- [48] F.C ooper and G .Frye,Phys.R ev.D 10,186 (1974).
- [49] S.D .K atz,N ucl.Phys.A 774,159 (2006).
- [50] T . H irano and M . G yulassy, N ucl. Phys. A 769, 71 (2006).
- [51] P.H uovinen,N ucl.Phys.A 761,296 (2005).
- [52] M .Luzum and P.R om atschke,arX iv:0804.4015 [nucl-th].
- [53] A .A dil,H .J.D rescher,A .D um itru,A .H ayashigakiand Y .N ara,Phys.R ev.C 74,044905 (2006);H .J.D rescher and Y .N ara,Phys.R ev.C 75,034905 (2007).
- [54] T .Lappiand R .Venugopalan,Phys.R ev.C 74,054905 (2006).
- [55] Y .A oki, Z.Fodor, S.D .K atz and K .K .Szabo, Phys. Lett.B 643,46 (2006).
- [56] M .C heng etal.,Phys.R ev.D 74,054507 (2006).
- [57] H. Von Gersdor, L. D. M cLerran, M. Kata $\dot{\tau}$ and P.V .R uuskanen,Phys.R ev.D 34,794 (1986).
- [58] D . K harzeev and M . N ardi, Phys. Lett. B 507, 121 (2001);D .K harzeev,E.Levin and M .N ardi,Phys.R ev. C 71,054903 (2005).
- [59] D .K harzeev and E.Levin,Phys.Lett.B 523,79 (2001).