CERN {PH-EP-2007-011

4 M ay 2007

Study of b-quark m ass e ects in multijet topologies with the DELPHI detector at LEP

DELPHICollaboration

A bstract

The e ect of the heavy b-quark m ass on the two, three and four-jet rates is studied using Lep data collected by the D elphi experiment at the Z peak in 1994 and 1995. The rates of b-quark jets and light quark jets (' = uds) in events with n = 2, 3, and 4 jets, together with the ratio of two and four-jet rates of b-quarks with respect to light-quarks, $R_n^{b'}$, have been m easured with a double-tag technique using the C ambridge jet-clustering algorithm . A comparison between experimental results and theory (m atrix element or M onte C arb event generators such as Pythia, H erw ig and A riadne) is done after the hadronisation phase.

U sing the four-jet observable $R_4^{b'}$, a measurement of the b-quark mass using massive leading-order calculations gives:

 $m_{b}(M_{z}) = 3.76$ 0.32 (stat) 0.17 (syst) 0.22 (had) 0.90 (theo) G eV = c^{2} :

This result is compatible with previous three-jet determ inations at the M $_{\rm Z}$ energy scale and with low energy mass measurem ents evolved to the M $_{\rm Z}$ scale using QCD R enormalisation G roup Equations.

arXiv:0804.3883v1 [hep-ex] 24 Apr 2008

(Accepted by Eur. Phys. J.C $\)$

JAbdallah²⁶, PAbreu²³, WAdam ⁵⁵, PAdzic¹², TAlbrecht¹⁸, RAlem any-Fernandez⁹, TAllm endinger¹⁸, PPAllport²⁴, U Am aldi³⁰, N Am apane⁴⁸, S Am ato⁵², E Anashkin³⁷, A Andreazza²⁹, S Andringa²³, N Anjos²³, P Antilogus²⁶, W-DApel¹⁸, YAmoud¹⁵, SAsk⁹, BAsman⁴⁷, JEAugustin²⁶, AAugustinus⁹, PBaillon⁹, ABallestrero⁴⁹, PBambade²¹, RBarbier²⁸, DBardin¹⁷, GJBarker⁵⁷, ABaroncelli⁴⁰, MBattaglia⁹, MBaubillier²⁶, K-HBecks⁵⁸, M Begalli⁷, A Behrm ann⁵⁸, E Ben-Haim²¹, N Benekos³³, A Benvenuti⁵, C Berat¹⁵, M Berggren²⁶, D Bertrand², M Besancon⁴¹, N Besson⁴¹, D Bloch¹⁰, M Blom³², M Bluj⁵⁶, M Bonesini³⁰, M Boonekam p⁴¹, PSLBooth^{y24}, G Borisov²², O Botner⁵³, B Bouquet²¹, T J.V Bow cock²⁴, IBoyko¹⁷, M Bracko⁴⁴, R Brenner⁵³, E Brodet³⁶, PBruckman¹⁹, JM Brunet⁸, BBuschbeck⁵⁵, PBuschmann⁵⁸, M Calvi³⁰, T Camporesi⁹, V Canale³⁹, F Carena⁹, N.Castro²³, F.Cavallo⁵, M.Chapkin⁴³, Ph.Charpentier⁹, P.Checchia³⁷, R.Chierici⁹, P.Chliapnikov⁴³, J.Chudoba⁹, SJChung⁹, KCieslik¹⁹, PCollins⁹, RContri¹⁴, GCosm e²¹, FCossutti⁵⁰, MJCosta⁵⁴, DCrennell³⁸, JCuevas³⁵, JD Hondt², T da Silva⁵², W Da Silva²⁶, G Della R icca⁵⁰, A De Angelis⁵¹, W De Boer¹⁸, C De C lercq², B De Lotto⁵¹, N DeMaria⁴⁸, A DeMin³⁷, LdePaula⁵², LDiCiaccio³⁹, A DiSimone⁴⁰, K Doroba⁵⁶, JDrees^{58;9}, G Eigen⁴, T Ekelof⁵³, M Ellert⁵³, M Elsing⁹, M C Espirito Santo²³, G Fanourakis¹², D Fassouliotis^{12,3}, M Feindt¹⁸, J Fernandez⁴², A Ferrer⁵⁴, F Ferro¹⁴, U F lagm eyer⁵⁸, H Foeth⁹, E Fokitis³³, F Fulda-Quenzer²¹, J Fuster⁵⁴, M G and elm an⁵², C Garcia⁵⁴, Ph Gavillet⁹, E Gazis³³, R Gokiell^{9,56}, B Golob^{44,46}, G Gom ez-Ceballos⁴², P Goncalves²³, E Graziani⁴⁰, G G rosdidier²¹, K G rzelak⁵⁶, J G uy³⁸, C H aaq¹⁸, A H allgren⁵³, K H am acher⁵⁸, K H am ilton³⁶, S H aug³⁴, F H auler¹⁸, V Hedberg²⁷, M Hennecke¹⁸, H Herr^{y9}, J Ho m an⁵⁶, S-O Holm gren⁴⁷, P J Holt⁹, M A Houlden²⁴, J N Jackson²⁴, G Jarlskog²⁷, P Jarry⁴¹, D Jeans³⁶, E K Johansson⁴⁷, P Jonsson²⁸, C Joram⁹, L Jungerm ann¹⁸, F K apusta²⁶, SKatsanevas²⁸, EKatsou s³³, GKernel⁴⁴, BPKersevan^{44;46}, UKerzel¹⁸, B.TKing²⁴, NJKjaer⁹, PKluit³², PKokkinias¹², CKourkoum elis³, OKouznetsov¹⁷, ZKrum stein¹⁷, MKucharczyk¹⁹, JLam sa¹, GLeder⁵⁵, FLedroit¹⁵, L Leinonen⁴⁷, R Leitner³¹, J Lem onne², V Lepeltier^{y21}, T Lesiak¹⁹, W Liebiq⁵⁸, D Liko⁵⁵, A Lipniacka⁴⁷, J H Lopes⁵², JM Lopez³⁵, D Loukas¹², P Lutz⁴¹, L Lyons³⁶, JM acN aughton⁵⁵, A M alek⁵⁸, S M altezos³³, F M and 1⁵⁵, JM arco⁴², R M arco⁴², B M arechal⁵², M M argoni³⁷, J-C M arin⁹, C M ariotti⁹, A M arkou¹², C M artinez-R ivero⁴², J M asik¹³, N M astroyiannopoulos¹², F M atorras⁴², C M atteuzzi³⁰, F M azzucato³⁷, M M azzucato³⁷, R M c N ulty²⁴, C M eroni²⁹, EMigliore⁴⁸, WMitaro⁵⁵, UMjoernmark²⁷, TMoa⁴⁷, MMoch¹⁸, KMoenig^{9;11}, RMonge¹⁴, JMontenegro³², D M oracs⁵², S M oreno²³, P M orettini¹⁴, U M ueller⁵⁸, K M uenich⁵⁸, M M ulders³², L M und in ⁷, W M urray³⁸, B M uryn²⁰, G Myatt³⁶, T Myklebust³⁴, M Nassiakou¹², F Navarria⁵, K Nawrocki⁵⁶, R Nicolaidou⁴¹, M Nikolenko^{17,10}, A O blakow ska-M ucha²⁰, V O braztsov⁴³, A O lshevski¹⁷, A O nofre²³, R O rava¹⁶, K O sterberg¹⁶, A O uraou⁴¹, A Oyanguren⁵⁴, M Paganoni³⁰, S Paiano⁵, J P Palacios²⁴, H Palka¹⁹, Th D Papadopoulou³³, L Pape⁹, C Parkes²⁵, F Parodi¹⁴, U Parzefall⁹, A Passeri⁴⁰, O Passon⁵⁸, L Peralta²³, V Perepelitsa⁵⁴, A Perrotta⁵, A Petrolin¹⁴, J Piedra⁴², L Pierr⁴⁰, F Pierre⁴¹, M Pim enta²³, E Piotto⁹, T Podobnik^{44;46}, V Poireau⁹, M E Pol⁶, G Polok¹⁹, V Pozdniakov¹⁷, N Pukhaeva¹⁷, A Pullia³⁰, JR am es¹³, A Read³⁴, PR ebecchi⁹, JR ehn¹⁸, D R eid³², R R einhardt⁵⁸, PR enton³⁶, FRichard²¹, JRidky¹³, MRivero⁴², DRodriguez⁴², ARomero⁴⁸, PRonchese³⁷, PRoudeau²¹, TRovelli⁵, V Ruhlmann-Kleider⁴¹, D Ryabtchikov⁴³, A Sadovsky¹⁷, L Salm¹⁶, J Salt⁵⁴, C Sander¹⁸, A Savoy-Navarro²⁶, U Schwickerath⁹, R Sekulin³⁸, M Siebel⁵⁸, A Sisakian¹⁷, G Sm ad ja²⁸, O Sm imova²⁷, A Sokolov⁴³, A Sopczak²², R Sosnow ski⁵⁶, T Spassov⁹, M Stanitzki¹⁸, A Stocchi²¹, J Strauss⁵⁵, B Stugu⁴, M Szczekow ski⁵⁶, M Szeptycka⁵⁶, T.Szum lak²⁰, T.Jabarelli³⁰, F.Jegenfeldt⁵³, J.J.im m erm ans³², L.J.katchev¹⁷, M.Jobin²⁴, S.Jodorovova¹³, B.Jom e²³, A.Tonazzo³⁰, P.Tortosa⁵⁴, P.Travnicek¹³, D.Treille⁹, G.Tristram⁸, M.Trochim czuk⁵⁶, C.Troncon²⁹, M.-L.Turluer⁴¹, IA.Tyapkin¹⁷, P.Tyapkin¹⁷, S.Tzamarias¹², V.Uvarov⁴³, G.Valenti⁵, P.Van Dam³², J.Van Eldik⁹, N.van Remortel⁶, IV.an Vulpen⁹, G. Negni²⁹, F. Neloso²³, W. Nenus³⁸, P. Verdier²⁸, V. Verzi³⁹, D. Vilanova⁴¹, L. Vitale⁵⁰, V. Nrba¹³, H W ahlen⁵⁸, A J W ashbrook²⁴, C W eiser¹⁸, D W icke⁹, J W ickens², G W ilkinson³⁶, M W inter¹⁰, M W itek¹⁹, O.Yushchenko⁴³, A.Zalewska¹⁹, P.Zalewski⁵⁶, D.Zavrtanik⁴⁵, V.Zhuravlov¹⁷, N.I.Zimin¹⁷, A.Zintchenko¹⁷, M.Zupan¹²

- 6 C entro B rasileiro de Pesquisas F $\,$ sicas, rua X avier Sigaud $\,150$, B R $-\!\!22290$ R io de Janeiro, B razil
- ⁷ Inst. de F sica, Univ. Estadual do Rio de Janeiro, rua Sao Francisco Xavier 524, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- ⁸College de France, Lab. de Physique Corpusculaire, IN 2P 3-CNRS, FR-75231 Paris Cedex 05, France
- ⁹CERN,CH-1211 Geneva 23,Switzerland

¹⁰ Institut de Recherches Subatom iques, IN 2P3 - CNRS/ULP - BP20, FR-67037 Strasbourg Cedex, France

¹¹Now at DESY-Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15735 Zeuthen, Germany

- ¹² Institute of Nuclear Physics, N.C. S.R. Dem okritos, P.O. Box 60228, G.R-15310 A thens, G reece
- ¹³FZU, Inst. of Phys. of the C A S.H igh Energy Physics Division, Na Slovance 2, CZ-182 21, Praha 8, Czech Republic
- ¹⁴D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Genova and INFN, Via Dodecaneso 33, IT -16146 Genova, Italy
- ¹⁵ Institut des Sciences Nucleaires, IN 2P 3-C N R S, U niversite de G renoble 1, FR -38026 G renoble C edex, France
- ¹⁶Helsinki Institute of Physics and Departm ent of Physical Sciences, P.O. Box 64, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
- ¹⁷Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Head Post O ce, P.O. Box 79, RU-101 000 Moscow, Russian Federation ¹⁸Institut fur Experimentelle Kemphysik, Universitat Karlsruhe, Postfach 6980, DE-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

¹⁹ Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN JJ L. Radzikow skiego 152, PL-31142 K rakow, Poland

- ²⁰Faculty of Physics and Nuclear Techniques, University of M ining and M etallurgy, PL-30055 K rakow, Poland
- ²¹Universite de Paris-Sud, Lab. de l'Accelerateur Lineaire, IN 2P 3-CNRS, Bât. 200, FR -91405 O rsay C edex, France
- ²²School of Physics and Chem istry, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA 1 4YB, UK

²³LIP, IST, FCUL - Av. Elias Garcia, 14-1°, PT - 1000 Lisboa Codex, Portugal

- $^{24}\,\text{D}$ epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of L iverpool, P O . B ox 147, L iverpool L 69 3B X , U K
- ²⁵Dept. of Physics and A stronom y, K elvin Building, U niversity of G lasgow, G lasgow G 12 800, UK

²⁶LPNHE, IN 2P3-CNRS, Univ. Paris VI et VII, Tour 33 (RdC), 4 place Jussieu, FR-75252 Paris C edex 05, France

²⁷D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of Lund, Solvegatan 14, SE -223 63 Lund, Sw eden

²⁸Universite Claude Bernard de Lyon, IPNL, IN 2P3-CNRS, FR-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

- ²⁹D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Milano and INFN-MILANO, Via Celoria 16, IT-20133 Milan, Italy
- ³⁰D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niv. di M ilano-B icocca and IN FN -M ILANO, Piazza della Scienza 3, II -20126 M ilan, Italy

- ³³N ational Technical University, Physics Department, Zografou Campus, GR-15773 Athens, Greece
- ³⁴ Physics D epartm ent, U niversity of O slo, B lindern, N O -0316 O slo, N orw ay

³⁵D pto. Fisica, Univ. O viedo, A vda. C alvo Sotelo s/n, ES-33007 O viedo, Spain

 $^{38}\mathrm{R}$ utherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, D idcot O X 11 O Q X , U K

 42 Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria (CSIC-UC), Avda. los Castros s/n, ES-39006 Santander, Spain

⁴³ Inst. for High Energy Physics, Serpukov P.O. Box 35, Protvino, (M oscow Region), Russian Federation

⁴⁴J.Stefan Institute, Jam ova 39, SI-1000 L jubljana, Slovenia

⁴⁵Laboratory for A stroparticle Physics, U niversity of N ova G orica, K ostanjeviska 16a, SI-5000 N ova G orica, Slovenia

- ⁴⁶D epartm ent of Physics, University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ⁴⁷Fysikum, Stockholm University, Box 6730, SE-113 85 Stockholm, Sweden

⁴⁸D ipartim ento di Fisica Sperim entale, Universita di Torino and INFN, Via P.Giuria 1, II-10125 Turin, Italy

⁴⁹ IN FN ,Sezione di Torino and D ipartim ento di Fisica Teorica, Universita di Torino, V ia G iuria 1, II –10125 Turin, Italy

 50 D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Trieste and IN FN , V ia A . Valerio 2, IT –34127 Trieste, Italy

⁵¹ Istituto di Fisica, Universita di Udine and INFN, IT-33100 Udine, Italy

- ⁵⁴ FC, Valencia-CSIC, and D.F.A.M.N., U. de Valencia, Avda. Dr. Moliner 50, ES-46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain
- ⁵⁵ Institut fur H ochenergiephysik, O sterr. A kad. d. W issensch., N ikolsdorfergasse 18, AT -1050 V ienna, A ustria
- ⁵⁶Inst. Nuclear Studies and University of Warsaw, Ul. Hoza 69, PL-00681 Warsaw, Poland
- $^{57}\mathrm{N}\,\text{ow}\,$ at U niversity of W arw ick, C oventry C V 4 7A L, U K

⁵⁸Fachbereich Physik, University of W uppertal, Postfach 100 127, DE-42097 W uppertal, G erm any

^y deceased

¹D epartm ent of P hysics and A stronom y, Iow a State U niversity, A m es IA 50011-3160, U SA

² IIH E, ULB-VUB, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

 $^{^{3}\}mathrm{P}$ hysics Laboratory, U niversity of A thens, Solonos Str. 104, G R –10680 A thens, G reece

 $^{^4\}text{D}$ epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of B ergen, A llegaten 55, N O –5007 B ergen, N orw ay

⁵D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Bologna and IN FN , V ia Imerio 46, IT -40126 Bologna, Italy

³¹ IPNP of MFF, Charles Univ., A real MFF, V Holesovickach 2, CZ-180 00, Praha 8, Czech Republic

³²N IK H E F, Postbus 41882, N L-1009 D B A m sterdam, T he N etherlands

³⁶Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK

³⁷D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Padova and INFN, Via Marzolo 8, IT -35131 Padua, Italy

³⁹D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di R om a II and IN FN, T or Vergata, IT -00173 R om e, Italy

⁴⁰D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di R om a III and IN FN , V ia della Vasca N avale 84, IT -00146 R om e, Italy

⁴¹ DAPN IA / Service de Physique des Particules, CEA-Saclay, FR-91191 G if-sur-Y vette C edex, France

⁵²Univ. Federal do Rio de Janeiro, C.P. 68528 Cidade Univ., Ilha do Fundao BR-21945-970 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁵³Department of Radiation Sciences, University of Uppsala, P.O. Box 535, SE –751 21 Uppsala, Sweden

1 Introduction

M ass corrections to the Z ! bb coupling are of order (m $_{\rm b}^2$ =M $_{\rm Z}^2$), which is too sm all to be measured at Lep and Slc. For some inclusive observables, like jet-rates, the e ect is enhanced as (m $_{\rm b}^2$ =M $_{\rm Z}^2$)=y_{cut}, where y_{cut} is the jet resolution parameter [1]. The e ect of the b-quark mass in the production of three-jet event topologies at the Z peak has for instance already been measured at Lep and Slc [2{5]. Multi-jet topologies with b-quarks appear both as signal and background in searches and precision measurements at current and future colliders. Their study, together with that of the gluon emission from massive quarks, is an elective tool to probe the fundamental short-distance QCD features of the Standard M odel and is important to test the modelling of b and light-quark jets available in calculations and generators.

This study generalizes the methods described in references [2,6] and presents the measurement of the normalized n-jet production partial widths for Z-decays into b-quark or light quark pairs:

$$R_{n=2;3;4}^{q}(y_{cut}) = \frac{n(y_{cut})}{t_{ot}} \qquad q = b; '(' = uds); \qquad (1)$$

depending on the y_{cut} value of the C ambridge jet-clustering algorithm [7] which is used here.

The e ect of the heavy b-quark m ass on jet rates is studied by m easuring the doubleratio observable:

$$R_{n=2;3;4}^{b'} = R_{n}^{b} = R_{n}^{i} :$$
 (2)

The D elphidata collected during the years 1994 and 1995 at a centre-offm ass energy of $\frac{1}{5}$ M_z have been analysed. Experim ental results are compared to the hadronic nal state simulated by the fragmentation models of Pythia 6.156 [8], Herwig 6.2 [9] and A riadne 4.08 [10] and to matrix element (M E) calculations folded with a hadronisation correction. Therefore, the data are corrected for detector and kinematical elects, while M E calculations, computed at parton level, are corrected for hadronisation.

In order to extract the b-quark m ass inform ation from $R_n^{b'}$ m easurem ents, m assive M E calculations perform ed in terms of both the pole m ass M_b and the running m ass m_b() are used. Jet-rate calculations are only available to O ($\binom{2}{s}$) [11{13}, therefore m assive fourjet observables can only be described to leading-order (LO) accuracy. The b-quark m ass obtained from $R_4^{b'}$ using such LO calculations is compared to the three-jet results [6] and to m ass values at threshold [14] evolved to the M_z scale using R enorm alisation G roup Equations (RGE). An approximate m assless NLO correction is also tried as an improvement.

The precision of b-m ass m easurem ents from three-jet events is limited by system atic uncertainties (hadronisation, b-tagging and theory). The four-jet observable $R_4^{b'}$ has a larger statistical error but its sensitivity to the b-quark mass is higher because, most probably, the emission of two gluons is involved. The four-jet topology thus provides a complementary measurement in which the systematic uncertainties can be expected to be partly dierent. In this analysis, avour jet-rates are measured using a doubletag technique which measures signal and background e ciencies from data in a selfcalibrating way, reducing the systematics and allowing for a useful cross-check of previous measurements [6].

2 The DELPHI detector

Delphi was a herm etic detector located at the Lep accelerator, with a superconducting solenoid providing a uniform magnetic eld of 1:23 T parallel to the beam axis throughout the central tracking device volum e. A detailed description of its design and perform ance is presented in [18,19].

In the Delphi coordinate system, the z axis is oriented along the direction of the electron beam. The polar angle is measured with respect to the z axis, is the azim uthal angle in the plane transverse to the z axis and $R = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2}$ is the radial coordinate.

The main tracking devices in D elphi were the silicon Vertex D etector (VD), a jet cham ber Inner D etector (ID) and a T in e Projection C ham ber (TPC). They were located in the immediate vicinity of the interaction region to reduce the amount of material between the beam and the detector. At a larger distance, the tracking was completed by a drift cham ber O uter D etector (OD) covering the barrel region (40 140) and two sets of drift cham bers, FCA and FCB, located in the endcaps.

The VD was the detector closest to the interaction point. In 1994 and 1995 it consisted of three coaxial cylinders, the inner and outerm ost ones consisting of double-sided detectors with orthogonal strips, allow ing the measurem ent of both R and z coordinates.

Electron and photon identi cation was provided by electrom agnetic calorim eters: the High Density Projection Chamber (HPC) in the barrel and a lead-glass calorim eter (FEMC) in the endcaps. Hadronic energy was measured in the hadronic calorim eter (HCAL).

3 Data analysis

First, the sam ple of Z hadronic decays, i.e. Z ! qq events was selected. Then the di erent jet-topologies were identi ed using the C ambridge jet-clustering algorithm $[7]^1$, and b and light-quark sam ples were separated using the D elphi avour tagging m ethods, based on properties of the long-lived heavy B -hadrons. Experim ental results were then corrected for detector and acceptance e ects in two di erent ways, depending on the observable and topology, as explained in Section 3.3. M atrix elem ent and event generator predictions were corrected for hadronisation e ects from the parton to the hadron level. The parton level is de ned as the nal state of the parton shower (in Pythia and H erw ig) or dipole cascade (in A riadne) in the simulation, before hadronisation. These corrections are discussed in Section 4.

3.1 Event selection

Total numbers of 1484000 and 750000 hadronic Z boson decays, collected at the Z resonance by D elphiduring the years 1994 and 1995, respectively, have been analysed in order to study mass e ects in multi-jet topologies².

Hadronic events were selected in the same way as in reference [2] (see Table 1, left):

Charged and neutral particles were reconstructed as tracks and energy depositions in the detector. A rst selection was applied to ensure a reliable determ ination of their m om enta and energies;

 $^{^{1}}$ In our analysis, the values of the ordering and resolution param eters were taken to be equal, $v_{ij} = y_{ij}$.

 $^{^{2}}$ E arlier data sam ples were not considered as the VD setup was less complete and resulted in a less precise avour identi cation, which is crucial for this analysis.

The inform ation from the accepted tracks was combined event-by-event and hadronic events were selected according to global event properties.

Finally, a total sample of 1150000 Z hadronic decays was selected. Then jets were reconstructed with the C ambridge algorithm. In order to reduce the impact of particle losses and wrong energy-momentum assignment to jets, further kinematical selections were applied, which were slightly di erent for each jet topology (see Table 1, right).

Simulated events were produced with the D elphi simulation program D elsim [19], based on Pythia 7.3 tuned to D elphidata [20], and were then passed through the same reconstruction and analysis chain as the experimental data. The simulated events were reweighted in order to reproduce the measured rates of bb and cc-quark pairs arising from the gluon splitting processes [21] ($g_{b\bar{b}} = 0.0254 \quad 0.00051, g_{c\bar{c}} = 0.0296 \quad 0.0038$), which are signi cantly larger than those in the standard simulation.

			T	
Charrod	p _{ch} 0:1 G eV /c			
Charger			2-jet	45 threat 135
Particle	L 50 cm			10 UIFust 100
Selection	d 5 cm in R plane		3-jet	45 _{thrust} 135
	d 10 cm in z direction			N_j^{an} 1
Noutrol		140		E _j 1GeV
Neutral		140		_{¬ 25 ₁ 155}
C luster	E_{cl}^{FEMC} 0:5 G eV ,8	36		
Selection	E_{al}^{FEMC} 0:5 G eV ,144	172		_{ij} ij 559,1< j
	E^{HAC} 1 GeV 10 1	70	4-jet	32 _{thrust} 148
				N_{i}^{ch} 1
	N _{ch} 5			E 1 GeV.
Event	E _{pph} 15 G eV			25 . 155
Selection	j _; q _i j 6 ; i= 1 ;:::; N _{ch}			20 j 100
	No particle with p_{1} 40 G	aV /c		
1	IN O PULLER MILLI Pch TO O C			

Table 1: (Left) Particle and event selections: p_{ch} is the momentum of charged particles, L their measured track length, d their impact parameter with respect to the interaction point and q_i their charge, E_{cl} is the energy of neutral clusters in the calorim eters, N_{ch} is the number of charged particles and E_{ch} their total energy in the event. (Right) K inem atical selections for jets in accepted events: thrust is the polar angle of the thrust of the event, N_j^{ch} the charged multiplicity in the jet, E_j the jet energy and _j the angle between the jet and the beam axis. For three-jet events, an additional planarity cut is applied on the sum of all jet pair angles, _{ij}.

3.2 b-tagging

The identi cation of b-quark events in D elphi was based on the properties of a B - hadron such as its large m ass and the large in pact parameter of its decay products. A jet estimator variable X _{jet} was built as an optimal combination of ve discriminating variables [22]. The most discriminant one was the probability of having all charged particles in the jet produced at the event interaction point. The use of this variable alone de ned the impact-parameter technique. The additional variables were used only when a secondary vertex (SV) was reconstructed. These variables were, for all particles

attached to the SV: the invariant mass, the fraction of the charged jet energy, the sum of all transverse momenta and the rapidity of each particle. The information from all

ve variables was combined into a single estimator X _{jet} in an almost optimal way which provided discrimination between heavy and light jets with high purity and e ciency. To obtain b(light)-quark enriched samples, jets with an estimator value above (below) a given threshold X _{jet} X ^b_{jet} (X _{jet} < X [']_{jet}) were selected. To tag events, the value of the two highest b-tagging jet variables were combined into an event estimator, X _{ev} = X ¹_{jet} + X ²_{jet}.

In the present analysis, this approach has been associated to a double-tag technique [23], which measures avour-tagging e ciencies directly from data.

Using the two jets with highest b-tagging variables as the avour jets (jets which are expected to contain a prim ary quark) makes no distinction between prim ary quarks originating in the Z decay and secondary production of b and c-quarks from gluons (g! bb;cc), a process referred to as gluon splitting and which constitutes a signi cant part of the system atic uncertainty in multi-jet avour-observables (see Section 4.3).

To reduce the sam ple contam ination from gluon splitting in four-jet events, the avour jets were de ned as follows: the most energetic jet in each event is identified as the rst avour jet. Remaining jets are ordered by angular proximity to it. The closest jet is discarded making the hypothesis that it is a gluon coming from the same primary quark. The second b(light)- avour jet is that with the highest b-tag (lowest b-tag) estimator among the two remaining jets. In this way, energy and angle information is combined to de ne the avour-jets. As an additional selection, an event is not classified as bb if the most b-tagged jet is not among the two most energetic jets; this last selection reduces the uncertainty from $g_{b\bar{b}}$ and $g_{c\bar{c}}$ by a factor two. The elect of the remaining contamination due to gluon splitting is included in the gluon-splitting uncertainty and is well below the statistical uncertainties (Tables 4 and 5).

3.3 Overview of the correction m ethod

3.3.1 Event-tag

To correct the two-jet observable $R_2^{b'}$ for detector e ects and the avour tagging procedure, the event-tag m ethod described in reference [2] was used:

$$R_{2}^{b'} = \frac{[c_{B}^{c}d_{2B}^{c} + R_{2}^{c'}c_{B}^{c}d_{2B}^{c}] [c_{L}^{c}d_{2L}^{c} + R_{2}^{c'}c_{L}^{c}d_{2L}^{c}R_{2}^{b'}]}{c_{L}^{b}d_{2L}^{b}R_{2}^{b'}};$$
(3)

where the measured rate $R_2^{b'}$ ^{det} is corrected by using purities of the inclusive sam ples, $c_Q^q = N_Q^q = N_Q^q$ (the fraction of q events tagged in the Q category), and detector corrections taken from the D elsim simulation, $d_{2Q}^q = R_{2Q}^q = R_2^q$ (where R_{2Q}^q is the two-jet rate of qq events tagged as Q). The factor $R_2^{c'} = R_2^c = R_2^c$ is taken from the simulation. Table 2 sum marizes the number of events selected in the 1994 data in each avour sam ple for the chosen working points of purity $P_B = c_B^b = 98\%$ ($P_L = c_L^c = 73\%$, L = uds) and e ciency of $_B^b = 38\%$ ($_L^1 = 58\%$) for b- avoured (light- avoured) events (where $_Q^q = N_Q^q = N_q$, the ratio of tagged events of a given avour to the total number of events of the same avour), respectively.

The event-tag m ethod has the advantage of applying the avour-tagging procedure only in the inclusive sam ple, before events are classi ed into jet topologies.

3.3.2 Double-jet tag

The event-tag m ethod, if the jet sam ple is topologically very dimension the inclusive one, can introduce important biases. To prevent this, in the $R_4^{b'}$ m easurem ent b-tagging is applied to jets. The observable in Eq.2 is rewritten as:

$$R_{4}^{b'} = \frac{(Z ! '')}{(Z ! bb)} \frac{N_{4}^{b} = N_{4}}{N_{4}^{\prime} = N_{4}} = \frac{1 R_{b} R_{c}}{R_{b}} \frac{N_{4}^{b}}{N_{4}^{\prime}}$$
(4)

The global norm alisation can be obtained directly from the world average values of R $_{\rm b}$ and R $_{\rm c}$ [14]:

$$R_{b} = 0.21629 \quad 0.00066;$$
(5)

$$R_{c} = 0.1721 \quad 0.0030;$$

which implies a 6% uncertainty on $R_4^{b'}$. A double-tag technique is used: the total number of four-jet events, N_4 , the corresponding numbers for a given avour N_4^{q} , q = b; udsc, and the tagging e ciencies B_B^{b} and U_{UDSC}^{udsc} are obtained from comparing the number of four-jet events where two jets are tagged as b or udsc to the number of events where a single jet is tagged. This is done by solving the following set of equations:

$$N_{4} = N_{4}^{b} {}^{b}_{h} + (N_{4} N_{4}^{b}) {}^{non b}_{h} ;$$
 (6)

$$\frac{1}{2}N_{4B} = N_{4hB}^{bbb} + (N_4 N_4^{b})_{hB}^{nonbnonb};$$
(7)

$$N_{4BB} = N_{4 h BB}^{b b b} + (N_4 N_4^b)_{h BB}^{non b non b}; \qquad (8)$$

and equivalent equations for the udsc-tagged sam ples. The left hand side of these equations are the measured quantities. N₄ is the number of measured four-jet events. For each event the two jets which are most likely to contain a prim ary quark (avour jets, see above) are selected and the avour identication is done independently for both jets: N_{4B} is the number of jets tagged as B (with a maximum possible value of 2N₄, two from each event) and N_{4BB} is the number of events where the two avour jets are simultaneously tagged as B. W ith this method, the jet-rates R^b₄ and R[']₄ are measured independently, together with the e ciencies $^{\text{b}}_{\text{B}}$ and $^{\text{udsc}}_{\text{UDSC}}$. To accomplish this, double-jet tagging e – ciencies $^{\text{q}}_{\text{QQ}}$ are related to the single jet-tagging e ciencies through correlation factors de ned from $^{\text{q}}_{\text{QQ}} = N^{\text{q}}_{\text{QQ}} = N^{\text{q}}_{\text{QQ}} = (1 + ^{\text{q}}_{\text{Q}})$. Here, charm -events have been included in the udsc-tagged category: the light-quark content N[']₄ is extracted from N^{udsc}₄ after dividing by a factor $(1 + N^{\text{c}}_{4} = N^{\text{c}}_{4})$ obtained from M onte C arb event generators. Only hadronic event-selection e ciencies for each avour, $^{\text{q}}_{\text{h}} = N^{\text{sel}}_{\text{q}} = N^{\text{q}}_{\text{q}}$, m istagging e ciencies, $^{\text{non q}}_{\text{q}}$ and $^{\text{non q}}_{\text{QQ}}$, and avour correlations for b and light-tagging are computed from the simulation.

This procedure can be easily generalised to cover n = 2;3-jet topologies in order to measure both jet-rates $(R_n^b;R_n)$ and the double-ratios $(R_{2;3}^{b'})$ independently. Due to the 6‰ uncertainty from the global normalisation, the double-tag measurements for $R_{2;3}^{b'}$ are less precise than the corresponding event-tag result. However, they serve as a useful cross-check both of the nal result and on the consistency between data and simulation for the avour-tagging e ciencies.

R esults with thism ethod have a better stability with respect to the value of the avourtagging threshold, and are more consistent with each other³. The avour composition of the 1994 sample is shown as an example in Tables 2 and 3. The stability obtained in the case of the four-jet rates is shown in Figure 1 for the 1994 and 1995 data samples.

³From the relation $N_4 = N_4^b + N_4^{udsc}$, the double-ratio $R_4^{b'}$ can be obtained independently in two ways, starting either from R_4^b or R_4^c .

E vent-tag m ethod									
F lavour	Inclusive	Purity	E ciency						
В	111440	75147	X _{ev}	1:10	98%	38%			
L	678282	414912	X $_{\rm ev}$ <	0 : 40	73%	58%			

Table 2: F lavour composition of the 1994 sample ($y_{cut} = 0.0065$). The number of events in the inclusive and 2-jet samples are shown separately for B and L = uds tagged events for the chosen avour-tagging working points. Purity and e ciency are also shown. Sim ilar numbers were found with the 1995 data.

double-tag m ethod									
Topology	Q	QQ	cut	Purity	E ciency				
2 jets (B)	136228	35187	X _{2j} + 0 : 33	92%	57%				
2 jets (L)	640757	243716	X _{2j} < 0 : 92	95%	78%				
3 jets (B)	66034	15356	X _{3j} + 0:19	87%	53%				
3 jets (L)	362396	147605	X _{3j} < 0 : 64	93%	84%				
4 jets (B)	10720	2191	X _{4j} + 0 : 05	84%	35%				
4 jets (L)	91042	36773	X _{4j} < 0 : 64	89%	86%				

Table 3: F lavour composition of the 1994 sample ($y_{cut} = 0.0065$) tagged as n-jet b-quark (B) and udsc-quark events (L) for the di erent jet topologies analysed, n = 2;3 and 4 jets. Four-jet tagging uses the method described in Section 3.2 for the de nition of avour jets. Sim ilar numbers were found with the 1995 data.

4 Results

The single-avour jet rates R_n^q , n = 2;3;4-jets, and the four-jet observable $R_4^{b'}$, are measured with the double-tag technique, while the two-jet observable $R_2^{b'}$ is measured using the event-tag method described in [2]. A description of the experimental uncertainties considered in the analysis is given in Section 4.3. Theoretical uncertainties, arising in the comparison between ME predictions and the four-jet observable, are discussed in Sections 4.5 and 4.6.

4.1 Single jet-rates, R^q_n

The measured R_n^q rates (n = 2;3;4 jets, q = b or ' = uds) are shown in Figure 2a together with predictions from the Pythia 6.156, H erw ig 6.2 and A riadne 4.08 generators tuned to D elphi data [20] (see Section 4.6 for the choice of the b-quark m ass parameter in the generators). The detailed breakdown of the uncertainties of the measured jet-rates is shown in Table 4. The R_3' measurements in 1994 and 1995 were found to be incompatible with each other at the two standard deviations level, indicating that some system atic e ect was not taken into account in the three-jet light-quark rate. The

system atic tagging uncertainty in R'_3 was increased in order to fully cover this di erence. Only the uncertainty in R'_3 was increased since the b-tagging was developed from 2-jet events yielding reliable R'_2 results, and in 4-jet events the b-tagging applies di erent cuts on angle and energy. The consistency of the experim ental results and the prediction from the three event generators is shown in Figures 2b-c: the H erw ig 6.2 and A riadne 4.08 generators provide a reasonable description of the six observables in the region of y_{cut} between 0:001 and 0:010. Pythia 6.156 gives the best description of R^b_2 , but is inconsistent with the other jet-m easurem ents at the three standard deviations level.

	R ₂ ^b	R_2'	R ^b ₃	R ₃	R ₄ ^b	R ₄
Value	0:6224	0 : 6034	0:3004	0:3150	0 : 0598	0 : 0676
Statistical (data)	0:0019	0:0008	0:0016	0:0006	0:0007	0:0004
Statistical (sim .)	0:0012	0:0005	0:0009	0:0004	0:0006	0:0003
Tagging	0:0004	0:0008	0:0006	0:0025	0:0001	0:0002
N orm alisation	0:0018	0:0030	0:0009	0:0016	0:0002	0:0003
g _{bb}	0:0003	< 0:0001	0:0009	< 0:0001	0:0003	< 0:0001
g _{cc}	0:0006	0:0002	0:0010	< 0:0001	0:0002	< 0:0001
Total system atics	0:0020	0:0031	0:0017	0:0030	0:0004	0:0004
Total statistical	0:0023	0:0009	0:0018	0 : 0007	0:0009	0 : 0005
Totaluncertainty	0:0030	0:0033	0:0025	0:0031	0:0010	0:0006

Table 4: Breakdown of uncertainties for the R_n^q jet-rate measurements at a reference $y_{cut} = 0.0065$. The de nition of each systematic contribution is given in Section 4.3.

4.2 Double-ratios, $R_n^{b'}$

The measured double-ratios $R_n^{b'}$ (n = 2;3;4 jets) are shown in Figures 3-4 together with predictions from the Pythia 6.156, Herw ig 6.2 and Ariadne 4.08 generators tuned to D elphidata [20] (see Section 4.6 for the choice of the b-quark m ass parameter in the generators).

Results for $R_2^{b'}$ and $R_3^{b'}$ from the event-tag and double-tag methods are shown in Figure 3 (event-tag results for $R_3^{b'}$ are taken from [6]). $R_2^{b'}$ is not described well by either of the generators in the full y_{cut} range. In all cases, both methods give consistent results within one standard deviation. A better experimental precision is found with the event-tag, because the global normalisation uncertainty is absent in this case and because avour-tagging uncertainties cancel to rst order in the products $c_Q^q d_{nQ}^q$ (see Eq. 3). Statistical uncertainties in the event-tag result are also smaller, as more data events are considered and as statistical uctuations are partially reduced in the ratios of the jet and inclusive samples. The detailed breakdown of the uncertainties of the measured double-ratios is shown in Table 5 for the event-tag method.

The $R_4^{5'}$ result with the double-tag m ethod is shown in Figure 4a, while the experim ental system atics breakdown is summarized in Table 5. At y_{cut} values above 0.004 the m easurem ent is dominated by statistical uncertainties, while for very low values of y_{cut} the data samples increase and the global norm alisation uncertainty dominates. G luon splitting uncertainties are kept low in the whole y_{cut} range thanks to the dedicated anti-gluon splitting cut (see Section 3.2). Herw ig provides the best description, being com patible

		LO (G $eV = c^2$)	NLO (G	∈V =c ²)		
	$R_2^{b'}$	R ^b ′[6]	$R_4^{b'}$	m $_{\rm b}(M$ $_{\rm Z}$) = M $_{\rm b}$	m $_{\rm b}$ (M $_{\rm Z}$)	M _b
Value	1:0440	0 : 9570	0 : 883	3:76	3 : 46	5 : 07
Statistical (data)	0:0021	•	0:012	0:25	0:27	0:35
Statistical (sim .)	0:0012	•	0:010	0:20	0:22	0:28
Tagging	0:0009	•	0:003	0:07	0 : 08	0:10
Norm alisation	0:0005	-	0:005	0:11	0:12	0:16
g _{bb}	0:0007	•	0:005	0:10	0:10	0:13
9 _{cc}	0:0003	•	0:003	0:06	0:06	0:08
Total system atics	0:0013	0:0027	0:008	0:17	0:19	0:24
Total statistical	0:0024	0 : 0037	0:015	0:32	0:35	0 : 46
Total experim ental	0 : 0027	0:0046	0:017	0:36	0:40	0 : 52
M odelling	_	-	_	0:22	0:24	0:32
T heoretical	_	_	_	0:90	0:44	0 : 57
Totaluncertainty	0:0027	0:0046	0:017	0:99	0:64	0 : 83

Table 5: Breakdown of uncertainties for the $R_n^{b'}$ (n = 2;3;4) double-ratio measurements. The three-jet result is taken from [6] and shown here for completeness. The two and three-jet measurements are based on the event-tag method, while $R_4^{b'}$ uses the double-tag technique as explained in Section 3.3. The bemass values (running and pole) extracted from $R_4^{b'}$ at reference $y_{cut} = 0.0065$ are also shown, both for the massive LO (M $_b = m_b(M_z)$) and approximate NLO calculations. Experimental and modelling uncertainties (experimental tuning and hadronisation model in the simulation) are detailed separately.

with the experimental data in the whole y_{cut} range. However, the Pythia prediction is only 1.5 standard deviations away in the large y_{cut} region; A riadne provides a good description of the data in the region y_{cut} 0:005, while for lower values of y_{cut} it tends to underestimate the mass e ect.

4.3 Experim ental uncertainties

Experim ental uncertainties arise in the process of correcting the detector-level m easurem ent to hadron level, and are due to im perfections in the physics and detector m odelling in the D elsim simulation used in the correction procedure. The following sources have been considered in this analysis:

Statistical: these uncertainties are due to the limited size of the experimental and simulated data samples. They are estimated from a toy simulation based on Poisson statistics. Central values were taken from the data and simulated samples, and correlations between the di erent quantities were accounted for by building up the corresponding covariance matrix.

G luon splitting: the identi cation of prim ary b-quarks is based on the presence of long-lived B and D -hadrons in the nal state. However, light-quark events with gluon radiation splitting into secondary heavy quarks can produce a sim ilar signature. The correction procedure is very sensitive to the gluon splitting rates in the M onte Carlo simulation through the signal and background e ciencies [6]. Their value was varied in the range of their quoted uncertainties [21] and the observed change in the observables was added in quadrature and taken to represent the corresponding uncertainty.

Normalisation: the uncertainty on the global normalisation $R_b=R$, is estimated by varying the world average values of R_b and $R_r = (1 \quad R_b \quad R_c)$ in the range of their quoted uncertainties [14], and taking the maximum variation in the nalobservable as the global normalisation uncertainty. This results in a 6% relative uncertainty and is y_{cut} independent. The uncertainty from the charm -/light-quark normalisation factor ($R_n^{c'} = R_n^{c} = R_n^{c'}$) is estimated as half the maximum difference obtained by using as input to the measurement the prediction from the three event generators used: Pythia 6.156, Herwig 6.2 and Ariadne 4.08.

F lavour-tagging: signale ciencies (${}_{nB}^{b}$ and ${}_{nL}^{udsc}$) are measured from data and therefore do not contribute to the total uncertainty for the double-tag technique. To estim ate the uncertainty due to the in perfect description of background e ciencies and avour correlations (${}_{nQ}^{q}$) in the simulation, the calibration of the b-tagging in the simulation was exchanged with the calibration obtained from data, which gives a poorer description of the lifetim e probability [23]. Twice the observed di erence was conservatively taken as the avour-tagging uncertainty. For the event-tag technique, the related uncertainty was estim ated as in [6] by varying the tagging efciencies within their uncertainties: ${}_{nB}^{b} = {}_{nB}^{b} = 3$ ° and ${}_{nL}^{c} = {}_{nL}^{c} = 8$ ° evaluated in reference [23]. The e ect of m istagging e ciency was estim ated by considering light-tagging as equivalent to antib-tagging, i.e. ${}_{n}^{q} = {}_{nb}^{q}$ for q = b;c; for the sam e cut value.

4.4 Hadronisation corrections

To compare parton-level xed order ME calculations of $R_4^{b' part}$ with experimental results, they must be corrected for hadronisation e ects:

$$R_4^{b'} = H_4^{b'}R_4^{b'}$$
 (9)

The corrections H $_4^{\rm b'}(y_{\rm cut})$ relating parton to hadron observables are taken to be linear bin-to-bin factors.

Three di erent generators, each tuned independently to the D elphi data [20], were used in this analysis: Pythia 6.156, H erw ig 6.2 and A riadne 4.08. It was found that the H erw ig and A riadne event generators are consistent both with the theoretical predictions at the parton level (within the theoretical uncertainty) and the data (see F igure 4) for a large range of y_{cut} . The hadronisation corrections computed with the three generators are shown in F igure 4b. The average of the H erw ig and A riadne predictions was used to correct the massive M E theoretical calculations (in the region of y_{cut} studied here, the hadronisation correction computed from Pythia is contained in the band de ned by the H erw ig and A riadne corrections).

4.5 b-quark mass extraction and approximate NLO ME calculation

For a given avour q, the n-jet rate is de ned as the normalised n-jet cross-section $R_n^q = [n = t_{ot}]^{j \cdot l} q^q$. Theoretically, it is convenient to use the double-ratios $R_n^{b'} = R_n^b = R_n^{c'}$ as in this observable most of the higher-order electroweak corrections, the rst order

dependence on $_{\rm S}$ and, to some extent also neglected higher-order terms in $_{\rm S}$, cancel out. M assive M E theoretical calculations exist up to order $_{\rm S}^2$ [11{13] and describe the 2,3 and 4-jet rates for heavy (b, c) and light quarks (' = uds). Such calculations, when performed in the on shell scheme in terms of the pole mass, M $_{\rm q}$, can be rewritten in terms of the running mass, m $_{\rm q}$, de ned in the M S scheme, using the following order $_{\rm S}$ relation:

$$M_{q}^{2} = m_{q}^{2}() 1 + \frac{s}{2} \frac{8}{3} 2 \log \frac{m_{q}^{2}()}{2} + O(s_{s}^{2}) :$$
(10)

Both mass de nitions are equivalent at LO (see Eq. 10). For $y_{cut} = 0.0065$, a value within a region with good stability, high sensitivity and small hadronisation corrections, the following b-quark mass value was obtained:

$$M_{b} = m_{b}(M_{z}) = 3.76$$
 0.32 (stat) 0.17 (syst) 0.22 (had) 0.90 (theo) $G = V = c^{2}$:

The theoretical uncertainty is estimated as half the dimension between the R $_4^{b'}$ LO prediction for the running and pole b-quark mass de nitions (see Figure 4b).

To extract a meaningful b-quark running mass from the four-jet observable by means of Eq.10, the NLO correction to R_n^q would be needed, which is only available form assless quarks [15,16]. However, an improvement of the LO estimation can be obtained if most of the mass e ect is contained in the LO term and hence the NLO correction to $R_4^{b'}$ can be approximated as massless [17]:

$$R_{4}^{b'} = \frac{A^{b}(m_{b}) \frac{2}{s} + B' \frac{3}{s}}{A' \frac{2}{s} + B' \frac{3}{s}};$$
(11)

where the LO functions A^{b} ; A' are taken from [11{13] and the NLO massless term B' from [15,16]. As for the case of $R_{3}^{b'}$ [24], it was found that:

the NLO corrections using the pole and running mass de nitions were both within the uncertainty band de ned by the two LO curves;

the running mass de nition results in a smaller correction at NLO than the pole mass.

The bm ass values obtained from $R_4^{b'}$ using this approximation are shown in Figure 5b-c. They are found to be stable in the region $y_{cut} > 0.003$ and consistent with mass results obtained from $R_3^{b'}$ (both at LO and NLO) and predicted values from QCD calculations at low energy evolved to M_Z using the RGE. For the running mass calculation, the massless NLO correction is small and results in very little e ect. On the contrary, for the pole mass the NLO correction is about 10%, leading to sizeable e ects.

For the running b-quark m ass de nition, the theoretical prediction of $R_4^{b'}$ is taken to be the central value of the following, in principle equivalent, four calculations: (a) Full ratio as in Eq.11, expressed in term s of the running m ass by m eans of Eq.10 at the scale

= M_Z; (b) Same, but using Eq.10 at an arbitrary scale $_0$ = M_b and evolving the result to = M_Z via the RGE to obtain m_b(M_Z); (c) Series expansion of Eq.11, expressed in terms of m_b(M_Z) as in the rst method; (d) Same, but introducing an arbitrary intermediate scale as in the second method. The pole mass prediction is obtained in a similar way. The resulting predictions for R₄^{b'} are shown in Figure 4b for a reference b-quark mass obtained by evolving the average of low energy measurements m_b(m_b) = 420 0.07 G eV = c² [14] to the M_Z scale, m_b(M_Z) = 2.84 0.06 G eV = c², or by translating it to a pole mass value: M_b = 4.94 0.08 G eV = c². The strong coupling constant value used was $_{s}$ (M_Z) = 0.1202 0.0050 [25].

4.6 Theoretical and modelling uncertainties

The following sources of system atic uncertainty have been considered for the comparison of the corrected four-jet ME calculations with the experimental results:

Theoretical uncertainties, due to m issing higher orders in m atrix element calculations and to the use of m assless next-to-leading corrections for the m assextraction, cannot be rigorously estimated in the case of four-jets. However, following a comparison between the same approximation applied to $R_3^{b'}$ with the full massive calculation available in this case, this uncertainty was conservatively taken to be twice the maximum difference between the four predictions defined in Section 4.5. The theoretical uncertainty is responsible for about 0:4 $0:5 \text{ GeV} = c^2$ in the uncertainty of the nal result, and it is almost independent of y_{cut} . A librough lower than in the case of the LO calculation, it is three times higher than in the completely massive three-jet calculation.

M odelling uncertainties, related to the correction for hadronisation e ects of the theoretical calculations at parton level using M onte C arbo event generators. This includes the uncertainty on the tuned values of the free parameters in each m odel (including the bm assparameter entering in the parton show er [6]) and the m odelling of hadronisation. The size of them odelling uncertainty is estimated as half the dierence between the predictions from H erw ig 6.2 and A riadne 4.08⁴. To include the bm assparameter in H erw ig and A riadne was varied within 0:125 G eV =c² around their central values in order to m axim ize the di erence between both predictions. This was achieved by setting the mass parameter to M _b = 4.85 G eV =c² in both generators. The totalm odelling uncertainty amounts to (1 2)% in the region of $y_{cut} > 0.004$, corresponding to about $0.2 \text{ G eV} = c^2$ in term s of both the running and pole m ass results. The contribution from varying the m ass parameter amounts to about $0.1 \text{ G eV} = c^2$.

The breakdown of the theoretical and modelling uncertainties in the b-quark mass results obtained from $R_4^{b'}$ is detailed in Table 5.

5 Summary and conclusions

A new determ ination of the hadron-level R_n^b and $R_n^{'=uds}$ jet-rates (n = 2;3;4 jets) has been performed, using avour tagging only in each n-jet sample and obtaining the global normalisation of the observables from the world average R_b and R_c measurements [14]. This measurement is based on a double-tag technique which measures the avour-tagging e ciencies directly from data, thereby reducing systematic uncertainties.

D ouble-ratio observables are also studied: $R_4^{b'}$ is obtained from the four-jet rates R_4^{b} and R_4^{c} using this double-tag technique, and $R_2^{b'}$ using the event-tag method de ned in reference [6]. Results from $R_2^{b'}$ (and from the previous measurements of $R_3^{b'}$ in [6]) are also cross-checked.

Results are presented at hadron level, in order to allow for future comparisons without having to unfold hadronisation and detector corrections applied to the data (a sum m ary of jet-rate results as a function of y_{cut} is shown in Tables 6–7). They are compared to three M onte C arb event generators: Pythia 6.156, H erw ig 6.2 and A riadne 4.08, tuned to D elphidata [20]. The H erw ig 6.2 generator gives the best overall description

 4 The result obtained with the Pythia 6.156 event-generator is compatible with the quoted results within the modelling uncertainty.

of avour jet-rates, R_n^b and $R_n^{'}$, but A riadne 4.08 provides the best results for $R_n^{'}$. For double-ratios, H erw ig 6.2 gives also the best description. However, the two-jet observable $R_2^{b'}$ is not satisfactorily described by any of the three generators considered.

A new determ ination of the b-quark m ass in the four-jet topology has been perform ed using the C ambridge jet-clustering algorithm [7]. The m ass is measured by comparing the experimental results of $R_4^{b'}$ at $y_{cut} = 0.0065$ with xed order M E m assive LO calculations assuming the universality of the strong coupling constant, s. The measured value is:

$$m_{\rm b}(M_{\rm Z}) = 3.76$$
 0.32 (stat) 0.17 (syst) 0.22 (had) 0.90 (theo) G eV = c^2 :

A procedure to approximate the NLO corrections with the massless component in order to improve the result has been tested successfully with the three-jet massive calculations. The measured value of the running b-quark mass when applying this method to the four-jet observable is:

 $m_{b}(M_{z}) = 3.46$ 0.35 (stat) 0.19 (syst) 0.24 (mod) 0.44 (theo) G eV = c^{2}

and the corresponding value for the pole m ass is:

 $M_{b} = 5.07$ 0.46 (stat) 0.24 (syst) 0.32 (m od) 0.57 (theo) G eV = c^{2} :

These results agree within the uncertainties with the values obtained evolving the average of low energy measurements $m_b(m_b) = 4.20 \quad 0.07 \text{ GeV} = c^2$ [14] to the M_Z scale using the RGE: $m_b(M_Z) = 2.84 \quad 0.06 \text{ GeV} = c^2$, or by translating it to a pole mass value: $M_b = 4.94 \quad 0.08 \text{ GeV} = c^2$. The values of $m_b(M_Z)$ obtained from the LO and approximate NLO $R_4^{b'}$ calculations are shown in Figure 6 together with results from other measurements at the M_Z scale, in particular the most precise result from $R_3^{b'}$, $m_b(M_Z) = 2.85 \quad 0.32 \text{ GeV} = c^2$ [6], as well as results at low energy from semileptonic B – decays [26] obtained at a lower mass scale. All experimental results are consistent with each other assuming the QCD running prediction from RGE.

The main limitation in the extraction of $m_b(M_z)$ from the $R_4^{b'}$ measurement is theoretical. If a calculation with resummed LL logarithms [27,28] could be used, a larger range of y_{cut} could be exploited. This could potentially lead to a lower uncertainty.

In provem ents to the precision of m_b (M_z) are not expected from combining the di erent m easurem ents because they are largely limited by common system atic uncertainties. O ther m ethods will likely be needed at future colliders in order to obtain m ore precise determ inations of the b-quark m ass at high energy. This will be important to interpret the precise m easurem ents at the Linear Collider in searches for new physics. As an example, a future linear collider operating at $\frac{1}{5} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ will produce Higgs bosons copiously (if they exist). Since the decay branching fraction into b-quarks is expected to be proportional to the m ass squared, m easurem ents of this decay channel would be very sensitive to the exact value of the m ass at that scale.

Ycut	R ^b ₂		R ₂		R ^b ′		R ^b ₃		R ₃	
0.003	0:505	0:003	0:481	0:003	1:062	0:004	0:342	0:002	0:355	0:003
0.004	0:553	0:003	0:527	0:003	1:060	0:003	0:329	0:002	0:345	0:003
0.005	0:585	0:003	0:562	0:003	1:053	0:003	0:317	0:002	0:333	0:003
0.006	0:611	0:003	0:591	0:003	1:046	0:003	0:306	0:003	0:321	0:003
0.007	0 : 633	0:003	0:615	0:003	1:040	0:003	0:295	0:003	0:310	0:003
800.0	0 : 651	0:003	0 : 635	0:003	1:040	0:003	0:286	0:002	0:300	0:003
0.009	0 : 667	0:003	0 : 653	0:003	1:031	0:003	0:277	0:002	0:289	0:003
0.010	0:681	0:003	0:669	0:004	1:027	0:002	0:268	0:002	0:280	0:003

Table 6: Sum m ary of experim ental two and three-jet rates, with their total uncertainty, as a function of y_{cut} [7].

Ycut	R	b · 4	F	4	R ^b ₄	
0.003	0:1148	0:0013	0:1248	0:0009	0 : 920	0:013
0.004	0:0911	0:0012	0:1018	0 : 0007	0:895	0:015
0.005	0 : 0757	0:0012	0:0856	0 : 0007	0 : 885	0:016
0.006	0:0642	0:0011	0:0729	0 : 0007	0:882	0:017
0.007	0:0555	0:0010	0:0628	0:0006	0:884	0:019
0.008	0:0486	0:0010	0:0551	0:0006	0:88	0:03
0.009	0:0432	0:0010	0:0486	0:0005	0:89	0:02
0.010	0:0380	0:0010	0:0431	0 : 0005	0 : 88	0:03

Table 7: Sum m ary of experim ental four-jet rates, with their total uncertainty, as a function of y_{cut} [7].

A cknow ledgem ents

W e are grateful to G.Rodrigo and A.Santam ar a for providing the theoretical input for this measurement. W e are also indebted to T.Sjostrand for his help in understanding how mass e ects are implemented in Pythia.We would also like to thank G.Dissertori for continuous advice and J.Portoles and M.Eidem uller for their information about the b pole mass.

W e are greatly indebted to our technical collaborators, to the m em bers of the $C \equiv R N - SL D$ ivision for the excellent perform ance of the LEP collider, and to the funding agencies for their support in building and operating the DELPHI detector. We acknow ledge in particular the support of

A ustrian Federal M inistry of Education, Science and Culture, GZ 616.364/2-III/2a/98, FNRS {FW O, F landers Institute to encourage scienti c and technological research in the industry (IW T) and Belgian Federal O ce for Scienti c, Technical and Cultural a airs (OSTC), Belgium,

FINEP, CNPq, CAPES, FUJB and FAPERJ, Brazil,

M inistry of Education of the Czech Republic, project LC 527,

A cademy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, project AV 0Z10100502,

Commission of the European Communities (DG XII),

D irection des Sciences de la M atiere, CEA, France,

Bundesm inisterium fur Bildung, W issenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, G erm any,

G eneral Secretariat for R esearch and Technology, G reece,

National Science Foundation (NW O) and Foundation for Research on Matter (FOM), The Netherlands,

Norwegian Research Council,

State Committee for Scienti c Research, Poland, SPUB-M/CERN/PO3/DZ296/2000, SPUB-M/CERN/PO3/DZ297/2000, 2P03B 104 19 and 2P03B 69 23(2002-2004),

FCT - Fundacao para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Portugal,

Vedecka grantova agentura M S SR , Slovakia, Nr. 95/5195/134,

M inistry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia,

CICYT, Spain, AEN 99-0950, AEN 99-0761 and IN 2P3/CYCIT bilateral funding agreement PP01/1,

The Swedish Research Council,

Particle Physics and Astronom y Research Council, UK,

Department of Energy, USA, DE-FG 02-01ER 41155,

EEC RTN contracts HPRN-CT-00292-2002 and RTN 2-2001-00450.

R eferences

- [1] G.Rodrigo, M.Bilenky, A.Santamara, Nucl. Phys. B 439 (1995) 505.
- [2] DELPHIColl., P. Abreu et al., Phys. Lett. B 418 (1998) 430;
- S.Mart iGarc a, J.Fuster and S.Cabrera, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 64 (1998) 376.
- [3] ALEPH Coll., R. Barate et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 18 (2000) 1.
- [4] O PAL Coll., G. Abbiendi et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 21 (2001) 411.
- [5] A. Brandenburg et al., Phys. Lett. B 468 (1999) 168.
- [6] DELPHIColl., J. Abdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 46 (2006) 569.
- [7] Yu.L.Dokshitzer et al., JHEP 9708 (1997) 001.
- [8] T.Sjostrand et al., Comp. Phys. Comm. 135 (2001) 238;
 T.Sjostrand et al., PYTHIA 6.2 Physics and M anual, hep-ph=0108264.
- [9]G.Marchesinietal,Comp.Phys.Comm.67 (1992) 465;
 - G.Corcella et al., JHEP 0101 (2001) 010.
- [10] L.Lonnblad, Com p. Phys. Com m . 71 (1992) 15.
- [11] G.Rodrigo, M.Bilenky, A.Santamara, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 193.
- [12] W. Bernreuther, A. Brandenburg, P. Uwer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 189.
- [13] P.Nason and C.Oleari, Phys. Lett. B 407 (1997) 57.
- [14] Particle Data Group, W. M. Yao et al., J. Phys. G 33 (2006) 1.
- [15] Z.Nagy and Z.Trocsanyi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 3604.
- [16] Z. Nagy and Z. Trocsanyi, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 014020; Erratum -ibid. D 62 (2000) 099902.
- [17] J.D rees and G.R odrigo, private communication.
- [18] DELPHIColl, P. Aamio et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 303 (1991) 233.
- [19] DELPHIColl., P.Abreu et al., Nucl. Instr. and M eth. A 378 (1996) 57.
- [20] DELPHIColl., P.A breu et al., Z.Phys.C 73 (1996) 11; for the tuning of H erw ig 6.2, see [6].
- [21] The LEP/SLD Heavy Flavour Working group, LEPHF/2001-01, http://lepewwgweb.cem.ch/LEPEWWG/heavy/lephf0101.psgz.
- [22] DELPHIColl, Eur. Phys. J.C 32 (2004) 185.
- [23] DELPHIColl., Eur Phys. J.C 10 (1999) 415.
- [24] M.Bilenky et al., Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 114006.
- [25] The LEP QCD W orking G roup, paper in preparation.
- [26] M. Battaglia et al, Phys. Lett. B 556 (2003) 41.
- [27] F.K rauss and G.Rodrigo, Phys. Lett. B 576 (2003) 135.
- [28] S. Cataniet al, Nucl. Phys B 627 (2002) 189.



Figure 1: Four-jet rate and its uncertainty as a function of (a) the b-purity and (b) the light-purity (' = udsc, $y_{cut} = 0.0065$). Chosen working points are marked with arrows, and correspond to e ciencies of $_{\rm B}^{\rm b} = 35\%$ and $_{\rm L}^{\prime} = 86\%$, respectively. The statistical (data and simulation) and total uncertainties are shown.



Figure 2: Comparison between the measured b and ' = uds jet-rates and predictions from the Pythia 6.156, Herwig 6.2 and Ariadne 4.08 generators. b-d) Ratio of data to the di erent generators. The shaded area shows the one standard deviation relative uncertainty (statistical and system atic added in quadrature) of the experimental measurement.



Figure 3: C on parison between the event-tag (en pty circles) and double-tag (full squares) techniques for the measured (a) $R_2^{b'}$ and (b) $R_3^{b'}$ observables. The event-tag result of $R_3^{b'}$ is taken from [6]. The combined statistical (inner bars) and total uncertainty of the experimental data are shown. The results are compared to the predictions from the H erw ig 6.2 (solid), Pythia 6.156 (dashed), and A riadne 4.08 (dotted) event generators. The lower insets of the plots show the ratio of data to the di erent generators. A los shown as the shaded area is the one standard deviation relative uncertainty (statistical and system atic added in quadrature) of the data.



Figure 4: (a) C om parison between the $R_4^{b'}$ m easured with a double-tag technique and predictions from the H erw ig 6.2 (solid), Pythia 6.156 (dashed), and A riadne 4.08 (dotted) event generators. The combined statistical (inner bars) and total uncertainty of the experimental data are shown. The lower inset shows the relative deviation of the models to the data. A lso shown as the shaded area is the total one standard deviation relative uncertainty (statistical and system atic added in quadrature) of the data. Below $y_{cut} = 0.002$, the avour tagging procedure fails and data results from the 1994 and 1995 data samples are not consistent with each other. (b) C om parison between the m easured $R_4^{b'}$ and theoretical predictions: m assive LO predictions and approximate (m assless) NLO corrections for the pole and running b-quark m ass de nitions. R eference b-quark m asses were obtained by evolving the average of low energy m easurem ents $m_b(m_b) = 4.20$ 0.07 G eV = c^2 [14] to the M_Z scale as explained in Section 4.5. H adro-



Figure 5: (a) M assive LO results extracted from $R_4^{b'}$ (data points) compared with the result obtained at LO in the $R_3^{b'}$ analysis [6]: $M_b = m_b(M_z) = 3.29 \quad 0.34 \text{ GeV} = c^2$. In the LO result, no theoretical uncertainties are shown. Results obtained from $R_4^{b'}$ using m assless NLO corrections include theoretical uncertainties estimated as explained in Section 4.5. They are shown for the (b) running and (c) pole mass de nitions and are compared with the results obtained at NLO in the $R_3^{b'}$ analysis [6]: $m_b(M_z) = 2.85 \quad 0.32 \text{ GeV} = c^2$ and $M_b = 4.47 \quad 0.85 \text{ GeV} = c^2$, respectively, shown as 1 shaded band with its central value as a dotted line. Predicted values from the QCD calculations at low energy, described in Section 4.5, are also shown as solid lines.



Figure 6: The energy evolution of the \overline{M} S-running b-quark mass $m_b(Q)$ as measured at Lep. Delphi results from $R_3^{b'}$ [6] at the M_z scale and from semileptonic B-decays [26] at low energy are shown together with results from other experiments (A leph [3], O pal [4] and Sld [5]). The masses extracted from LO and approximate NLO calculations of $R_4^{b'}$ are found to be consistent with previous experimental results and with the reference value $m_b(Q)$ (grey band) obtained from evolving the average $m_b(m_b) = 420$ 0.07 G eV = c^2 from [14] using QCD RGE (with a strong coupling constant value $_s(M_z) = 0.1202$ 0.0050 [25]).