N.Bree<sup>1</sup>, I.Stefanescu<sup>1</sup>, P.A.Butler<sup>2</sup>, J.Cederkall<sup>3</sup>, T.Davinson<sup>4</sup>, P.Delahaye<sup>3</sup>, J.Eberth<sup>5</sup>, D.Fedorov<sup>6</sup>, V.N.Fedosseev<sup>3</sup>, L.M.Fraile<sup>3</sup>, S.Franchoo<sup>7</sup>, G.Georgiev<sup>3,8</sup>, K.Gladnishki<sup>9</sup>, M.Huyse<sup>1</sup>, O.Ivanov<sup>1</sup>, J.Iwanicki<sup>10</sup>, J.Jolie<sup>5</sup>, U.Koster<sup>3,11</sup>, Th. Kroll<sup>12</sup>, R.Krucken<sup>12</sup>, B.A.Marsh<sup>3</sup>, O.Niedermaier<sup>13</sup>, P.Reiter<sup>5</sup>, H.Scheit<sup>13</sup>, D.Schwalm<sup>13</sup>, T.Sieber<sup>14</sup>, J.Van de Walle<sup>1</sup>, P.Van Duppen<sup>1</sup>, N.Warr<sup>5</sup>, D.Weisshaar<sup>5</sup>, F.Wenander<sup>3</sup>, and S.Zem Iyanoy<sup>15</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituut voor Kern- en Stralingsfysica, K.U. Leuven, Celestijnen laan 200D, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

<sup>2</sup>O liver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup> ISO LD E, C ER N, C H-1211 G eneva 23, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, University of Edinburgh, United K ingdom

<sup>5</sup> IK P , U niversity of Cologne , D -50937 Cologne , G erm any

<sup>6</sup>Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, 188300 Gatchina, Russia

IPN Orsay, F-91406 Orsay Cedex, France

<sup>8</sup>CSNSM, CNRS/IN2P3; Universite Paris-Sud, UMR8609, F-91405 ORSAY-Campus, France

<sup>9</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Camerino, I-62032 Camerino, Italy

 $^{10}\mathrm{H\,eavy}$  Ion Laboratory, W arsaw University, Pasteura 5A , 02–093 W arsaw, Poland

<sup>11</sup>Institut Laue Langevin, 6 rue Jules Horowitz, F-38042 G renoble Cedex 9, France

<sup>12</sup>Technische Universitat M unchen, Jam es-Franck-Str., D-85748 G arching, G erm any

<sup>13</sup>M ax-P lanck-Institut fur K emphysik, H eidelberg, G em any

<sup>14</sup>Ludwig M axim ilians U niversitat M unchen, Am C oulom bwall 1, D -85748 G arching, G erm any <sup>15</sup>Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980, D ubna, M oscow Region, Russia

The B (E 2;0<sup>+</sup> ! 2<sup>+</sup>) value in <sup>68</sup>N i has been measured using C oulom b excitation at safe energies. The <sup>68</sup>N i radioactive beam was postaccelerated at the CERN on-line isotope mass separator (ISO LD E) facility to 2.9 M eV /u and directed to a <sup>108</sup>Pd target. The emitted rays were detected by the M IN IBALL detector array. Not only directly registered but also indirectly deduced information on the nucleus emitting the ray was used to perform the D oppler correction, leading to a larger center-ofm ass angular range to infer the excitation cross section. The obtained value of  $2.8^{+1:2}_{-1:0}$  10<sup>2</sup> e<sup>2</sup>fm<sup>4</sup> is in good agreement with the value measured at intermediate energy C oulom b excitation, con ming the low 0<sup>+</sup> ! 2<sup>+</sup> transition probability.

PACS num bers: 25.70 De, 23.20.-g, 21.60.Cs, 27.50.+e

The structure of <sup>68</sup>Niwith a closed proton shell at Z = 28 and a subshell closure at N = 40 has been investigated in decay [1], deep inelastic reactions [2], Coulom b excitation [3] and m ass m easurem ents [4]. The latter show s no evidence for a large energy gap at N = 40that separates the unique parity  $g_{9=2}$  orbital from the  $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}1f_{\frac{5}{2}}2p_{\frac{1}{2}}$  orbitals. M ore recent m ass m easurem ents do show a local weak discontinuity in the two-neutron separation energy, thus con m ing the very weak N = 40 subshellgap [5]. Still, the <sup>68</sup>N inucleus possesses nuclear properties that are characteristic for a doubly m agic nucleus: a high  $2^+$  energy and a low B (E 2;  $0^+$  !  $2^+$ ) value [6,7,8,9,10]. In recent work it has been advocated that the high 2<sup>+</sup> energy is largely due to the opposite parity of the  $2p_{\frac{3}{2}} 1f_{\frac{5}{2}} 2p_{\frac{1}{2}}$  orbitals and the  $g_{9=2}$  orbital and that a m a jor part of the B (E 2) strength resides at high energy [11, 12]. Although the high energy of the rst  $2^+$  state at 2033 keV has been measured by dierent experiments [1, 2, 3], the low B (E 2; 0<sup>+</sup> ! 2<sup>+</sup>) value has been obtained from one Coulomb excitation experiment performed in inverse kinem atics and using a <sup>68</sup>N i beam at interm ediate energy (produced from the fragm entation of a  $^{70}{
m Zn}$ beam with an energy of  $65.9 \, \text{MeV} / \text{u}$ ). The value obtained was 255 60  $e^2$  fm 4 [3], approximately 2 times lower than the B (E 2;  $0^+$  !  $2^+$  ) in  ${}^{56}$ N i, with Z = N = 28. As this low B (E2; $0^+$  !  $2^+$ ) value is crucial for understanding the structure of  ${}^{68}N$  i, a new experiment aimed at measuring this value was performed using a postaccelerated  ${}^{68}N$  i beam from the CERN on-line isotope mass separator (ISO LDE) facility.

In this note, we report on a determination of the B (E 2;0<sup>+</sup> !  $2^+$ ) value of <sup>68</sup>N i using safe C oulom b excitation where the contribution of nuclear e ects in the excitation process is limited because the separation between the surfaces of the colliding nuclei does not drop below 5 fm over the detected scattering range [13]. The  $^{68}$ Ni(T<sub>1=2</sub> = 29 s) ion beam was produced at the ISO LDE radioactive-beam facility by bom barding a 1.4-G eV proton beam, produced by the PS booster accelerator, on a UC  $_{\rm x}$  target of 52 g/cm  $^2$ . A fter di usion of the ssion products from the target and transport to the ion source, the nickel atom swere selectively laser ionized [14, 15, 16] and mass separated, yielding an average beam intensity of approximately 2:5  $10^6$  particles per second at 60 keV [17]. Subsequently, the beam was postaccelerated by REX-ISOLDE [18] up to an energy of 2.9 MeV/u. Coulom b excitation was induced by directing the postaccelerated <sup>68</sup>Nibeam at v=c 0:08 to a 2 mg/cm<sup>2 108</sup>Pd target. The scattered nucleiwere detected by a double sided silicon strip detector (DSSSD) [19], consisting of

four quadrants, mounted at a distance of 32 mm behind the target position. Each quadrant was divided in 16 annular strips at the front side and 24 sector strips at the back side. The detection range in the laboratory fram e covered angles from 16 up to 53. Eight M IN IBALL [20] clusters, consisting of three sixfold segmented HPG e crystals, were placed around the target cham ber at a distance of approximately 12 cm from the target position to detect the emitted rays. An add-back procedure was applied, sum m ing up the rays simultaneously incident on crystals of the sam e cluster and therefore correcting for Compton scattering within the same cluster. At an energy of 1332 keV the absolute full energy peak e ciency after applying the add-back procedure was 7.1% and at 2033 keV it was 5.6% . The totalm easuring time was 41 hours, the beam intensity of <sup>68</sup>N ion the <sup>108</sup>Pd target throughout the experim ent being 10<sup>4</sup> particles per second on average, using the production sequence as explained below. Sim ilar Coulom b excitation experiments with this setup at comparable energies and masses have been successfully perform ed for the study of neutron-rich Cu and Zn isotopes [21, 22].

The only isobaric contam inant present in the beam was  $^{68}$ Ga (T<sub>1=2</sub> = 67.63 m), which, due to its relatively low ionization potential, was ionized at the high-tem perature surface of the ion source. M oreover, the release time of <sup>68</sup>G a from the target and ion source system (in the range of a few seconds) is much shorter than that of <sup>68</sup>N i (in the range of a few m inutes) [17]. To reduce the overwhelm ing contam ination, considerations about the time structure of the beam are of crucial in portance. The proton beam from the PS booster com es in supercycles consisting of 12 proton pulses per cycle. The individual pulses (3 10<sup>13</sup> protons per pulse) are separated by a time interval of 1.2 s. Having protons on the UC x prim ary target while acquiring data would cause the beam to consist mostly of the dom inant <sup>68</sup>G a contam inant, and <sup>68</sup>N i would be a rather small component of the beam incident on the secondary <sup>108</sup>Pd target.

Due to the strong di erence in release time and the pulsed proton beam structure, a speci c technique can be used. For the present experiment the rst ve pulses of the supercycle were taken to produce the radioactive nuclei, and the ion beam gate was kept closed up to this moment (see Fig. 1). Only 800 ms after the fth pulse the beam gate was opened, causing a considerable reduction of the rapidly releasing  $^{68}$ Ga, whereas the  $^{68}$ Ni isotopes were still di using out of the primary target ion source system with a constant rate. A sim ilar technique has been applied in the heavy lead region [23]. To determ ine the beam composition, scattering data in the DSSSD detector were compared between periods when the laser beam swere on  $({}^{68}$ Ga and  ${}^{68}$ Ni) and o  $({}^{68}$ Ga only). A shutter was periodically shifted in front of the laser beam to prevent the laser light from reaching the ion source during every second supercycle. Hence, \laser on" and \lasero " data have been subsequently acquired. Figure 1 shows the number of elastically scattered par-



FIG.1: R elease curves for  $^{68}$ N iand the  $^{68}$ G a isobaric contam – inant as a function of the time di erence with the start of the supercycle. 800 m s after the fth proton pulse the beam gate is opened, and the produced ions are sent to the experimental setup.

ticles in the DSSSD detector as a function of the time di erence with the start of the 14.4 seconds long supercycle. The <sup>68</sup>G a inform ation has been obtained using the laser o data, whereas subtracting the laser o from the laser on data results in a pure <sup>68</sup>N i curve. A lthough the <sup>68</sup>G a contam inant is released follow ing a quasiexponential function, <sup>68</sup>N i is com ing out alm ost constantly, due to the long release time of the element. The timing sequence of the proton pulses and the status of the beam gate are shown in Fig. 1 as well. This setting resulted in a <sup>68</sup>Nito total beam intensity ratio of 24 1%. The actualm easuring time when the <sup>68</sup>N i beam was impinging on the target am ounted to 8.8 seconds per supercycle (14.4-5.6 seconds). W hen only selecting the last 5 s of the supercycle, the <sup>68</sup>G a contam ination is low ered, enhancing the ratio of the <sup>68</sup>N ibeam component to the full beam intensity to 68 1%.

Figure 2 shows the -ray spectra coincident with a particle detected in the DSSSD and Doppler corrected for detection of the  $^{108}$ Pd target recoil (a) and the  $^{68}$ N i scattered projectile (b), respectively, with data taken during the 8.8 s of the supercycle. The latter w as zoom ed around the energy of the rst excited 2<sup>+</sup> state at 2033 keV. The Doppler-corrected spectrum for  $^{108}$ Pd clearly shows the

lines from the population of the  $2_1^+$ ,  $2_2^+$ , and  $4_1^+$  states in the target. Two smeared out photo peaks from the transitions in the  ${}^{68}$ G a contam inant are also visible. The events shown in both spectra are in prom pt coincidence with the detection of a particle in the silicon strip detector, which can be the projectile or the target or both. The energy deposited in the DSSSD detector as a function of the laboratory angle allows the projectile ( ${}^{68}$ N i



FIG. 2: -ray spectrum with particle coincidence D oppler corrected for  $^{108}$  Pd target excitation (a) and part of the -ray spectrum with particle coincidence D oppler corrected for  $^{68}$  N i projectile excitation (b) focused around 2033 keV.

and  $^{68}$ Ga) and target ( $^{108}$ Pd) ions to be discriminated. The following procedure was used to perform the Doppler correction (see Fig. 3). In case a Doppler-shifted 2033ray is em itted by the 68N i projectile, know ledge keV on the direction and the energy of the scattered ion is required to perform the Doppler correction. If the  $^{68}$ N i nucleus is detected in the DSSSD detector, these quantities are registered in a direct way. This occurs when the projectile has been scattered between 16 and 53 in the laboratory system (26 and 83 in the center-of-m ass fram e). In case a <sup>108</sup>Pd target particle was detected in the silicon strip detector, the <sup>68</sup>N i projectile was scattered between 46 and 112 in the laboratory system (73 and 148 in the center-of-m ass fram e). Only in the small range between 46 and 53 (73 and 83 in the center-of-m ass fram e) the projectile was detected as well, and this happens of course in opposite quadrants. If the angle of the projectile is higher, the registered position and energy of the associated target recoil of the collision were used to infer the direction and the energy of the scattered <sup>68</sup>N i projectile, assuming inelastic scattering in two-body kinem atics, hereby correcting for the energy loss in the target. Applying this method enlarges substantially the center-of-m assangular range used to integrate the cross section, increasing the statistics in the particle-coincident -ray spectrum with a factor of 2.7 for <sup>68</sup>Niand 2.6 for <sup>108</sup>Pd. Figure 3 shows how the scattering angles of projectile and target are correlated in the laboratory fram e as a function of the angle in the centerof-m ass fram e, indicating the angular range covered by the DSSSD detector. Parts of the angular range outside the detection range of the DSSSD are now included by indirectly deriving direction and energy of the nondetected nucleus em itting the ray. All events registered occur at a center-of-m ass angle low er than 150, insuring the safe nature of the C oulom b excitation process. W hen exceeding this angle, nuclear e ects can no longer be excluded, as the distance between the surfaces of the two colliding nuclei becom es sm aller than 5 fm .



FIG. 3: Scattering angles of the  $^{68}$ N iprojectile and the  $^{108}$ Pd target particles in the laboratory fram e versus the scattering angle in the center-of-m ass fram e (a). From the angular range of the DSSSD detector it can be seen where the inform ation on the -em itting nucleus was registered directly and where it was deduced indirectly. A loo the di erential cross sections for both nuclei are shown (b and c). All detected events occur in the angular range of safe C oulom b excitation, where the distance between the nuclear surfaces never exceeds 5 fm.

In Fig. 2(b) a peak structure of 11 counts is visible around 2 M eV on a constant background of 1 count per 16 keV energy bin. The photo peak energy 2050 65 keV corresponds well to the known value of 2033 keV. This peak is therefore assigned to the  $2^+$  !  $0^+$  transition in  $^{68}$ N i resulting in a total number of counts  $11^{+5}_{-4}$ . The asymmetry in the error bar is due to the low number of counts, im plying the use of Poisson statistics [24]. As the gure shows only the high-energy events, the strongest C oulom b excitation lines of  $^{68}$ G a, which are for this odd-



FIG.4: Particle-coincident -ray spectrum D oppler corrected for  $^{68}\rm N$  i. O nly the events occurring within the last 5 s of the proton pulse supercycle are included.

odd nucleus mainly of low energy nature, are not visible. A separate article will discuss the Coulom b excitation information on the G a contam inant.

A consistency check was performed by selecting the -ray events occurring within the last 5 s of the supercycle, resulting in a suppression of the <sup>68</sup>G a lines, and therefore decreasing the background. Figure 4 shows the -ray events with the sam e conditions as the <sup>68</sup>N i spectrum in Fig. 2, but with this time selection in the supercycle. Integrating the photo peak at 2033 keV in this <sup>68</sup>N i-enhanced spectrum results in 7 prompt counts and 1 count in the background, yielding a rate of  $6^{+3}_{2}$  counts. The time selection (of the last 5 s) covers 57% of the total time of the supercycle when the beam was incident on the  $^{108}$ Pd target (8.8 s). Due to the fact that  $^{68}$ Ni is released constantly, the amount of excitations in the nucleus should decrease by approxim ately 57% when using the time selection. The counting rate of  $6^{+3}_{2}$  in the <sup>68</sup>N i-enhanced spectrum is therefore consistent with the  $11^{+5}_{4}$  de-excitations seen in the -ray spectrum without a time selection in the supercycle. The amount of <sup>68</sup>Ga

within this supercycle time selection is 8% compared to the full statistics, as can be calculated from the elastically scattered particles in the DSSSD detector during laser on/o data (Fig. 1). Comparing the -ray intensity of  $2^+$ !  $1^+$  transition in  ${}^{68}$ G a results in a value of 7%, again con rm ing the consistency of this m ethod.

Of the  $11^{+5}_{4}$  counts in the de-excitation photo peak, the cross section for exciting <sup>68</sup>Ni to its rst 2<sup>+</sup> state was determined using the program GOSIA2 [25], which calculates the -ray yields after integration over the scattering ranges and energy loss in the target, taking into account the angular distribution of the rays. Hereby the quadrupole m om ent of the  $2^+$  state was assumed to be small and the reorientation e ect was neglected. The amount of de-excitations in the photo peak of the known 2<sup>+</sup> ! 0<sup>+</sup> transition in <sup>108</sup>Pd [26] was used for norm alization, correcting it for <sup>68</sup>G a-induced excitation. The uncertainty on the count rate in the target deexcitation photo peak contributed only 3% to the total error. The experim entally measured B (E 2) value in <sup>68</sup>Ni was  $2.8^{+1:2}_{1:0}$  10<sup>2</sup> e<sup>2</sup> fm<sup>4</sup>. This result is less accurate but in good agreem ent with the value m easured using C oulom b excitation at intermediate energy (255 60  $e^2$  fm<sup>4</sup>), conm ing the low transition probability of 260 50  $e^2$  fm  $^4$ from a weighted average.

Studies involving safe C oulom b excitation experiments on more neutron-rich nickel isotopes are not hindered by the in-target production using proton-induced ssion but by the slow release out of the target matrix and the strong contam ination of the gallium isobars. V igorous research is needed to bring down this delay time to the required seconds range and to reduce the gallium contam ination to extend this study tow ard the doubly magic <sup>78</sup>N inucleus. W ork in this direction is underway at ISO LD E [16].

This work was supported by EU Sixth Fram ework through No. EURONS-506065, BM BF under Contract No. 06KY205I and No. 06M T238, BriX IAP Research Program No. P6/23, and FW O-V laanderen (Belgium). W e acknowledge fruitful discussions with K.R iisager.

- [1] W .M ueller et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3613 (1999).
- [2] R.Broda et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 74,868 (1995).
- [3] O.Sorlin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 092501 (2002).
- [4] C.Guenaut et al, Phys.Rev.C 75,044303 (2007).
- [5] S.Raham an et al., Eur. Phys. J.A 34, 5 (2007).
- [6] M .Bernas et al., Phys. Lett. B 113, 279 (1982).
- [7] T. Ishii et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 39 (2000).
- [8] J.Van Roosbroeck et al., Phys. Rev. C 69,034313 (2004).
- [9] O.Perru et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 232501 (2006).
- [10] K.Kaneko et al., Phys.Rev.C 74,024321 (2006).
- [11] H.Grawe and M. Lewitowicz, Nucl. Phys. A 693, 116 (2001).
- [12] K.Langanke et al, Phys. Rev. C 67, 044314 (2003).
- [13] D.Cline, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 36, 683 (1986).
- [14] V.M ishin et al., Nucl. Instr. and M eth. B 73, 550 (1993).
- [15] U.Koster et al., Spectrochim ica A cta B.58, 1047 (2003).

- [16] V. Fedoseyev et al., H yp. Inter. 127, 109 (2000).
- [17] U.Koster, Ph.D. thesis, T.U.Munchen (2000).
- [18] D.Habsetal, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B 139, 128 (1998).
- [19] A. N. O strow skiet al, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 480, 448 (2002).
- [20] J. Eberth et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 46, 389 (2001).
- [21] I. Stefanescu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 122701 (2007).
- [22] J. Van de W alle et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 142501 (2007).
- [23] P.Van Duppen et al., Nucl. Instr. and M eth. B 134, 267 (1998).
- [24] W . A. Rolke et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 458, 745 (2001).
- [25] T.Czosnyka, com puter code GOSIA 2, University of Warsaw (2005).
- [26] L.Svensson et al., Nucl. Phys. A 584, 547 (1995).