N.Zurlo M.Amoretti C.Amsler G.Bonomi C.Carraro C.L.Cesar M.Charlton M.Doser A . Fontana R.Funakoshi P.Genova R .S. H ayano L.V.J rgensen A.Kellerbauer V.Lagomarsino R.Landua E.LodiRizzini N.M.adsen G.M.anuzio M .M acr D.M itchard P.M ontagna H.Pruys L.G.Posada C.Regenfus A.Rotondi G.Testera D.P.Van der W erf A.Variola L.Venturelli, and Y.Yam azaki

Production of Slow Protonium in Vacuum

Received:date / Accepted:date

N.Zurb (⊠) E.LodiRizzini L.Venturelli Dipartim ento diChim ica e Fisica per l'Ingegneria e per iMateriali, Universita di Brescia, 25133 Brescia, Italy Istituto Nazionale diFisica Nucleare, Gruppo Collegato diBrescia, 25133 Brescia, Italy E-mail: zurb@bs.infn.it

M.Amoretti M.Macr G.Testera A.Variola Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy

C.Amsler H.Pruys C.Regenfus Physik-Institut, Zurich University, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland

G.Bonom i D ipartim ento di Ingegneria M eccanica, U niversita di Brescia, 25123 Brescia, Italy Istituto N azionale di Fisica N ucleare, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy

C.Carraro V.Lagomarsino G.Manuzio Dipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy

C L.Cesar Instituto de Fisica, Universidade Federaldo R io de Janeiro, R io de Janeiro 21945-970, B razil

M.Charlton D.M itchard L.V.J rgensen N.M adsen D.P.Van der W erf Departm ent of Physics, University of W ales Swansea, Swansea SA 2 8PP, United Kingdom

M.Doser A.Kellerbauer R.Landua Physics Department, CERN, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland A bstract W e describe how protonium, the quasi-stable antiproton-proton bound system, has been synthesized following the interaction of antiprotons with the molecular ion H_2^+ in a nested Penning trap environment. From a careful analysis of the spatial distributions of antiproton annihilation events in the ATHENA experiment, evidence is presented for protonium production with sub-eV kinetic energies in states around n = 70, with low angular momenta. This work provides a new 2-body system for study using laser spectroscopic techniques.

Keywords Protonium Exoticatoms Antiprotons PACS 36.10-k 34.80 Lx 52.20 Hv

1 Introduction

The availability of a high-quality low energy antiproton (p) beam delivered by the CERN Antiproton Decelerator (AD) to the ATHENA, ATRAP and ASACUSA experiments has permitted the routine production of stable pure antimatter systems (antihydrogen, H) [1,2] and metastable mixed matter{ antimatter systems (antiprotonic helium or pHe⁺) [3,4], both very important steps towards the goal of testing CPT symmetry.

A nother m etastable exotic atom that is also of great interest is antiprotonic hydrogen (pp), also called protonium (Pn). Its level structure is sim ilar to that of hydrogen, but binding energies are much larger and its sim ple twobody nature allows an independent CPT test. In fact, spectroscopic m easurements on Pn, made in near{vacuum conditions with high-precision laser techniques, would make it possible to im prove the precision of the \(anti)protonic Rydberg" constant or, equivalently, the (anti)proton to electron mass ratio.

Even though Pn has been studied in the past (see e.g. [5,6]), in this paper we report a radically new method to produce Pn resulting in emission with very low kinetic energy (from some meV to 1 eV) in vacuum conditions that could open the way to laser spectroscopic studies. Previous experiments produced Pn by injecting antiprotons into a molecular hydrogen target (H₂), either liquid or gaseous. This results in a Pn lifetime which depends strongly upon the target density due to the elect of collisional detection and which makes spectroscopy in possible. In the ATHENA apparatus Pn has been produced after a \chemical" reaction between p's and molecular hydrogen ions (H₂⁺) trapped together with positrons (e⁺) in a nested Penning trap. Protonium production accompanies the H production described in [1], and

Present address of A.Kellerbauer: Max Planck Institute for Nuclear Research, P Ω .Box 103980,69029 Heidelberg,Germany.

A.Fontana P.Genova P.Montagna A.Rotondi Dipartim ento di Fisica Nucleare e Teorica, Universita di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy

R.Funakoshi R.S.Hayano L.G.Posada Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

Y.Yam azaki Atom ic Physics Laboratory, R IK EN, Saitam a 351-0198, Japan here we describe how the two modes of p annihilation have been distinguished (see also [7]).

2 Experim ental details

The ATHENA apparatus, described extensively in [8], consisted of a multielectrode system of cylindrical Penning traps, 2.5 cm in diameter and 1 m in length kept in an axial magnetic eld of 3 T. In the 15 K cryogenic environment of the trap, only hydrogen and helium were present in gaseous form, giving a residual pressure of 10¹² Torr. Antiprotons from the AD were caught, cooled by electrons and stored in the so{called mixing trap. The latter is a nested Penning trap, approximately 10 cm long, that allowed e⁺ s and p's to be con ned simultaneously. Under typical conditions the trap contained a spheroidal plasma of 3.5 10⁷ e⁺ and 10⁴ p's. The resulting p annihilations were monitored for 60 s by detectors [9] that, recording the passage of the charged pions, allow ed reconstruction of annihilation vertices with an uncertainty of a few mm.

In typical operating conditions, annhilation of p's originate from :

- { H form ation followed by annihilation on the electrode surface [1,10];
- { pannihilation in som e well{de ned \spots" on the electrode walls due to radial transport [11];
- { annihilation following interactions with residual gas atom s or ions present in the trap.

It was shown in [12] that, when the e⁺ cloud was kept at the trap environm ent cryogenic tem perature of 15 K (a situation called \cold mixing" hereafter), annihilations were mainly due to H, even if some annihilations near the trap axis were present (see also Fig.la). On the contrary, when the e⁺ cloud was heated (by a radio{frequency drive applied to an electrode of the trap [13,14]) to a tem perature, T_e, of several thousand K (about 8000 K for the data reported here, which we call \hotm ixing" hereafter) H form ation was strongly suppressed [15] and ps annihilated mainly without form ing H (see also Fig.lb).

In the following, we will study in detail the latter annihilations.

3 R esults and discussion

In Fig.1, we report the x{y scatter plots (both coordinates are in the plane perpendicular to the trap axis) for cold and hotm ixing data. In Fig.2, the corresponding r{z scatter plots are reported (where $r = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2}$ is the radial position, i.e. the distance from the trap axis, and z is the axial coordinate, m easured from the symmetry plane of the trap).

Even though all the distributions are broadened by the uncertainty in the vertex reconstruction, it is clear that two di erent structures are m erged in cold m ixing case, while in hot m ixing just one appears. For the cold m ixing, besides the annihilations on the trap wall situated at r = 1.25 cm due m ainly to H (as shown in [12]) and having a relatively wider z-distribution [10],



Fig. 1 Scatter plot of annihilation vertices projected on a plane perpendicular to the trap axis for (a) cold m ixing, (b) hot m ixing. The dashed line represents the trap wall.

there are some annihilations situated at smaller r and with a very sharp z-distribution (see also Fig.5b). For hot mixing only the latter are present, though their axial distribution is broader, as is the radial distribution (see also Fig.4a,b).

The capability of the ATHENA detector to detect the spatial and tem – poral coincidence between p and e^+ annihilations, and therefore to separate H from other annihilations, allows us to infer that for hot m ixing alm ost all annihilations (even near the wall) are not due to H, while for cold m ixing we have to distinguish between annihilations near the trap axis, that are not due to H (apart from a few poorly reconstructed vertices), and near the wall, where the num ber of non-H annihilations is negligible.

This is clear if we look at Fig.3, where we consider annihilations happening in coincidence with two (and only two) photons detected, and we plot the cosine of the angle between the two detected photons, $\cos($). This distribution should have a peak in $\cos($) = 1 if annihilations are due to H, because of the two back{to{back 511 keV photons produced by the e⁺ annihilation, while we do not expect any peaks for annihilations not related to H. The form er is the case for cold mixing on the wall (Fig.3a), whilst the latter is the case for cold mixing near the trap axis (Fig.3b) and for hot mixing (Fig.3c).

It is notable that the axial extent of the annihilation distributions is small compared with the size of the nested trap, so they cannot be in- ight annihilations of p's on residual gas. However, their radial extent is too large to be explained by in- ight annihilations on positive ions trapped inside the positron well. So, only a process involving p interaction with an ion to form a neutral system that can annihilate in ight, perhaps after leaving the trap, can explain the data.

T in e-of- ight m easurem ents follow ing charged particle ejection from the trap excluded the presence of protons and of ions of atom sm ore m assive than helium .H ow ever, reactions of pw ith helium ions would give distributions that



Fig. 2 Scatter plot of annihilation vertices as a function of r and z for (a) cold m ixing, (b) hot m ixing. The dashed line represents the trap wall. The sem i-ellipse indicates the size and position of the positron cloud.



Fig. 3 Histogram of the cosine of the angle between the two detected photons $(\cos(); \sec text)$ for (a) cold mixing, on the wall (r > 1 cm); (b) cold mixing, near the trap axis (r < 0.5 cm); (c) hot mixing.

do not m atch the data, since $p H e^{++}$ gives rise to a charged system followed by rapid annihilation, and also for $p H e^{+}$ the residual electron would be ejected in less than 10 ns [16], again giving rise to a charged system.

Further in portant inform ation on the p{system form ed can be found by exploiting the num ber of tracks from each annhiliation vertex, corresponding to the num ber of charged pions produced during the annihilation, since this depends on the particle with which the p annihilates (see e.g. [17]). Tab.1 shows the ratios, R_{23} , of the num ber of the reconstructed annihilation vertices having two tracks to those with three tracks, for di erent data sam ples. In order to have a better understanding of these data, a M onte C arlo sin ulation of pp (i.e. Pn) annihilations inside the ATHENA apparatus has been perform ed. C om paring these data, we see that annihilations on wall di er from those originating inside the trap, which are com patible with pp annihilations both for cold m ixing and hot m ixing.

| Data set | Ratio R $_{\rm 23}$ on wall | Ratio R $_{\rm 23}$ at centre |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cold m ixing Hot m ixing psonly (no m ixing) | 1.35 0.01 1.38 0.10 1.40 0.03 | 1.22 0.04 1.17 0.04 |
| M onte Carlo pp | 1.19 | 0.01 |

 $T\,ab\,le\,\,1\,$ Experim ental and M onte C arlo results for the number of charged pion tracks due to p annihilations.

C on bining this inform ation, we infer that the most probable $p\{$ ion reaction is:

$$p + H_2^+$$
 ! Pn(n;1) + H: (1)

where the Pn leaves the trap (because it is neutral), and has an exponentially distributed annihilation lifetime (depending on n and 1). For relatively high n, Pn is formed in a metastable state and its lifetime can be greater than $1 \, \text{s} \, [18]$.

The H_2^+ ions required for reaction (1) m ay have been created during the positron loading procedure [19], due to collisions with the residual H_2 gas, or during p loading (sim ilarly to that reported in [20]). M easurements of charge during the dum p of the trapped particles has indicated that the number of ions could be as high as 10^5 , depending on the vacuum conditions.

In order to check our hypothesis, we perform ed a self-standing M onte C arlo simulation to reproduce the observed annihilation distributions for hot m ixing and cold m ixing in the following way:

- { we used the information we have on the e⁺ plasma shape [13,14] to generate the Pn starting point distributions (in particular, it was found that the e⁺ plasma was approximately a spheroid with radius $r_p = 1 \text{ mm}$ and axial half-length $z_p = 16 \text{ mm}$, rotating with a frequency of 300 kH z; i.e. a surface velocity of about 2000 m s⁻¹).
- { assum ing that Pn is produced in them alequilibrium with the e⁺ plasm a, and know ing that Pn must inherit the drift velocity from its charged components, we generated a velocity distribution summing the them al M axwellian isotropic velocity (with a mean value xed by the measured tem perature of the e⁺ plasm a) with a velocity along the tangential direction (that is the same as inferred by the measured parameters of the e⁺ plasm a, since the drift doesn't depend on either the mass or the charge of the particle).

Starting with hot m ixing (8000 K), the simulation was performed assuming that the Pn was produced on the surface of the e⁺ spheroid (characterized by the parameters above), with a G aussian distribution along z (having = 10 mm and being limited to $jzj < z_p$) or alternatively inside the e⁺ spheroid with a uniform density. The result is nearly independent of the assumed distribution of starting positions, since the system is dom inated by the therm all velocity of 5600 m s⁻¹. The simulated radial and axial distributions for the best tted mean lifetime (1:1 s) are superimposed on the experimental data in Fig.4. The agreement is good, and in particular the simulation



Fig. 4 Distributions of annihilation vertices for hotm ixing (continuous line), with superim posed M onte C arlo simulation results (dashed line). (a) radial distribution; (b) axial distribution, for events near the trap axis (r < 0.5 cm); (c) axial distribution, for events near the trap wall (r > 1 cm).

shows that about 25% of the Pn produced reaches the wall, as observed, and it also predicts that the axial distribution near the wall is slightly wider than that near the axis (Fig.4bc) due to the essentially isotropic spreading.

For cold m ixing the analysis is less straightforward, because the H contribution on the trap wallmust be subtracted. To do so, we considered the di erence between a radial distribution taken in a z-slice where Pn is present (e.g. $\dot{z}_{j} < 0.5$), and one where there is no Pn (e.g. 0.5 cm < $\dot{z}_{j} < 1.5$ cm), normalized on the tail for r > 1.5 cm, which is essentially H only. The result is shown in Fig.5a. For the axial distribution, isolating the Pn signal is much simpler, because only annihilations near the axis of the trap need be considered (Fig.5b).

In order to simulate the cold mixing distributions, the parameters (r_p , z_p , frequency rotation) of the e⁺ plasm a were the same as for hot mixing, and we generated Pn with a thermal velocity corresponding to 15 K (250 m s⁻¹ along each direction). However, in this case it is necessary to assume that Pn is produced in a very narrow region around $r = r_p$ and z = 0 (we generated it with a Gaussian distribution along z with = 2.5 mm). The best tted mean lifetime is 1.1 s, as found for hot mixing.

The agreem ent between the experim ental and M onte Carlo data is good (Fig.5a,b). Furtherm ore, M onte Carlo results show that less than 0.5% of Pn reached the wall in this case, thus con m ing the consistency of our norm alization technique.

For cold m ixing, we were also able to analyze another data sam ple, where the positron plasm a had di erent param eters ($r_p = 2.5 \text{ mm}$, $z_p = 18 \text{ mm}$, rotation frequency of 80 kHz, surface velocity of about 1300 m s⁻¹). Following the



F ig.5 D istributions of annihilation vertices for cold mixing, (continuous line), with superim posed M onte C arb simulation results (dashed line). (a) radial distribution, after rem oving the H contribution as discussed in the text; (b) axial distribution, for events near the trap axis (r < 0.5 cm); (c) radial distribution, for the cold mixing sample with wider positron plasma (in this case, the thick dashed line corresponds to M onte C arb results with the correct plasm a parameters, while the thin dashed line corresponds to M onte C arb results with the parameters of the narrow er positron plasm a; see text).

sam e prescription, we have reproduced the radial distribution of this sam ple (Fig.5c), show ing there is a notable di erence between the distribution of Pn annihilations in this case and that for a narrow er e^+ plasm a.

It has to be stressed that it is in possible to reproduce the experimental results unless Pn is produced in a narrow region straddling the \equator" of the e⁺ spheroid. A possible and quite straightforward way to explain this, is to assume that there is some kind of (partial) separation between the e⁺ and the H_2^+ ions, as expected in case of them al equilibrium (see [21,22]), and as has been observed for a mixture of e⁺ and ⁹Be⁺ in [23,24]. In fact, for our experimental conditions, assuming therm al equilibrium, H_2^+ ions experience a centrifugal potential barrier of the order of 10 m eV meaning that, for 15 K, their therm al energy (1 m eV) is not enough to allow the ions to penetrate the e⁺ plasm a. How ever, for 8000 K, the barrier is negligible com pared to the therm al energy (700 m eV) of the ions such that they will be distributed uniform by inside the plasm a.

A nother constraint from our M onte C arb simulation relates to the Pn kinetic energy. In fact, the hot m ixing and the cold m ixing data cannot be reproduced using the sam e m ean lifetim e for both of them if the system has a recoil kinetic energy of the order of 1 eV or greater. This probably m eans that the $p-H_2^+$ collision happens preferentially as a resonant transfer, i.e. the

dissociation energy of H_2^+ is taken care of by the binding energy of Pn, so that its energy level, n, should be around 70.

Because we nd a mean lifetime of about 1:1 s, it can be inferred [18] that the orbital angular m om entum , l, should be around 10. This could be a consequence of the fact that, with such a slow relative collisional velocity, the H_2^+ m olecular ion will be strongly polarized, giving rise to an alm ost collinear collision.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented evidence for the production of protonium in vacuum . It is form ed in a m etastable state (with a lifetim e of about 1:1 s, independent of the environm ent tem perature) and with near-therm alkinetic energies (varying from some meV to less than 1 eV). The number of produced protonium atom s was about 100 for each m ixing cycle (100 s), in which around 10^4 p's were injected into the mixing trap, while the estim ated num ber of ions trapped with the positrons was typically 10^4 10⁵. Taking into account the recently achieved capability of accumulating $10^8 H_2^+$ and storing 5 10^6 p's in som e m inutes [25,26], our result opens up the possibility of perform ing detailed spectroscopic measurem ents on protonium as a probe of fundam ental constants and sym m etries.

A cknow ledgem ents Thiswork was supported by CNPq, FAPERJ, CCMN/UFRJ (Brazil), INFN (Italy), MEXT (Japan), SNF (Switzerland), SNF (Denmark) and EPSRC (UK).

R eferences

- 1. M . Am orettiet al.: Nature 419, 456 (2002).
- 2. G.Gabrielse et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 213401 (2002).
- 3. T.Yam azakietal: Phys.Rep. 366, 183 (2002).
- 4. M . Horietal: Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 243401 (2006).
- 5. C.J.Batty:Rept.Prog.Phys.52,1165 (1989). 6. L.M ontanet:Nucl.Phys.A 692,383c (2001).
- 7. N. Zurb et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. in press.
- 8. M. Am oretti et al. Nucl. Instrum . Meth. A 518, 679 (2004).
- 9. C.Regenfus: Nucl. Instrum .M eth.A 501,65 (2003).
- 10. N.M adsen et al: Phys.Rev.Lett. 94, 033403 (2005)
- 11. M.C.Fujiwara et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 065005 (2004).
- 12. M .Am orettiet al: Phys. Lett. B 578, 23 (2004).
- 13. M .Am oretti et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 055001 (2003).
- 14. M .Am oretti et al: Phys. Plasm as 10, 3056 (2003).
- 15. M .Am orettiet al: Phys. Lett. B 583, 59 (2004).
- 16. V.I.Korobov: Phys. Rev. A 67,062501 (2003).
- 17. F.Balestra et al.: Nucl. Phys. A 491, 541 (1989).
- 18. R.S.Hayano: Nucl. Phys. A 655, 318c (1999).
- 19. L.V.J rgensen et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 025002 (2005).
- 20. G. Gabrielæ et al.:Phys.Lett. B 455, 311 (1999). 21. D. H. E. Dubin & T. M. O Neil: Rev. M od. Phys. 71, 87 (1999). 22. T. M. O Neil: Phys. Fluids 24, 1447 (1981).

- 23. B.M. Jelenkovic et al.: Phys. Rev. A 67 063406 (2003).
- 24. B.M. Jelenkovic et al.: Nucl. Instrum .M eth.A 192 117 (2002).
- 25. N.Kuroda et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 023401 (2005).
- 26. N.O shim a et al: Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 195001 (2004).