T in e-separated oscillatory elds for high-precision m ass m easurem ents on short-lived Aland Ca nuclides

S.George^{1,2} ^(a), G.Audi³, B.Blank⁴, K.Blaum^{1,2,5}, M.Breitenfeldt⁶, U.Hager⁷ ^(b), F.Herfurth¹, A. Herlert⁸, A.Kellerbauer⁵, H.-J.Kluge^{1,9}, M.Kretzschmar², D.Lunney³, R.Savreux¹, S.Schwarz¹⁰, L.Schweikhard⁶ and C.Yazid jian¹

- GSI, Planckstra e 1, 64291 Darm stadt, Germany
- Institut fur Physik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universitat, 55099 Mainz, Germany
- CSNSM IN 2P 3-CNRS, 91405 Orsay-Campus, France
- Centre d'Etudes Nucleaires de Bordeaux-Gradignan, 33175 Gradignan Cedex, France
- Max-Planck-Institut fur Kernphysik, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany
- Institut fur Physik, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-Universitat, 17487 Greifswald, Germany
- Department of Physics, University of Jyvaskyla, P.O. Box 35 (YFL), 40014 Jyvaskyla, Finland
- CERN, Physics Department, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
- Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universitat, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
- ¹⁰ NSCL, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824–1321, USA

PACS 07.75.+h { M ass spectrom eters PACS 21.10.Dr { Binding energies and masses

- PACS 27.30.+t { Properties of speci c nuclei between m ass 20 and m ass 38
- PACS 27.40.+z { Properties of speci c nucleibetween m ass 39 and m ass 58
- PACS 32.10.Bi { A tom ic m asses, m ass spectra, abundances, and isotopes

A bstract. - High-precision Penning trap mass measurements on the stable nuclide ²⁷A las well as on the short-lived radionuclides ²⁶ A land ^{38,39} C a have been performed by use of radiofrequency excitation with time-separated oscillatory elds, i.e. Ram sey's method, as recently introduced for the excitation of the ion motion in a Penning trap, was applied. A comparison with the conventional method of a single continuous excitation demonstrates its advantage of up to ten tim es shorter m easurem ents. The new m ass values of 26,27 A l clarify con icting data in this speci c mass region. In addition, the resulting mass values of the superallowed -emitter ³⁸Ca as well as of the groundstate of the -em itter ^{26}A I^m con rm previous measurements and corresponding theoretical corrections of the ft-values.

sensitive probes for testing fundam ental concepts of weak rections. Including these modi cations the corrected ftinteraction. Hardy and Towner [1] addressed 20 such de- value can be written in the form cays covering the nuclear chart from ${}^{10}C$ to ${}^{74}Rb$ and showed a consistent picture allowing a con mation of the conserved-vector-current (CVC) hypothesis. Three properties of the nuclear transitions are m erged in the com parative half-life ft: the transition energy Q $_{\rm E\,C}$, the half-life of the ${\tt m}$ other nuclei ${\tt t}_{{\tt l}={\tt 2}}$, and the branching ratio ${\tt R}$. Since the Ferm i-type -decays are only e ected by the vector part of the hadronic weak interaction, the CVC hypothesis claim s identical ft-values for all transitions between

Introduction. { Superallow d^{+} ! 0^{+} -decays are isospin T = 1 analog states irrespective of theoretical cor-

Ft ft(1 +
$$\frac{0}{R}$$
)(1 + $_{NS}$ c)
= $\frac{K}{2G_{V}^{2}(1 + \frac{V}{R})} = const.;$ (1)

where K is a num erical constant and G_V is the vector coupling constant. c denotes the isospin-sym m etry-breaking correction, 0_R and $_{NS}$ are the transition-dependent parts of the radiative correction, while $\frac{V}{R}$ is transitionindependent [2]. M oreover, $_{\rm C}$ and $_{\rm N \; S}$ depend on the nuclear structure of the speci c nuclei. In [1] the averaged

⁽a) E-m ail: george@uni-mainz.de

^(b) present address: TRIUMF, Vancouver, B.C., V6T, 2A3

F t-value of the 12 best know n-cases was determ ined to be



Fig. 1: Section of the nuclear chart in the region of interest for the Alm ass measurements indicating the reactions connecting the nuclides. G rey boxes denote stable isotopes.

Recently Savard et al. [3] published a new Q_{EC} value of the superallowed decay of ⁴⁶V measured with the Canadian Penning trap facility. Their Q-value di ered by 2.19 keV corresponding to 2.5 standard deviations from a combination of two contradictory data [1,4,5]. The high F t-value that resulted was conspicious with respect to the average Ft-value of the 12 best-known superallowed transitions. Together with an updated data set of these 12 transition it is leading to a new average value of Ft = 3073:66(75)s. This triggered a careful rem easurem ent of the Canadian Penning trap result as well as a search for possible system atic di erences between Penning trap m easurem ents and Q -values from reaction m easurem ents as perform ed by H ardy and cow orkers [6]. The result of Savard et al. has meanwhile been con med by the Penning trap facility JY FLTRAP [7]. O by jously, there is a strong dem and to rem easure the reaction Q -values of superallowed decays with high-precision Penning trap facilities.

The Penning trap mass spectrom eter ISOLTRAP has previously already addressed three superallowed em itters of the 12 best-known cases: ^{22}Mg [8], ^{34}Ar [9], and ⁷⁴Rb [10]. In the present paper, we contribute with mass m easurem ents of ²⁶A L, itself a daughter in a superallow ed decay, and ³⁸Ca. For the mass measurem ents Ram sey's m ethod of separated oscillatory elds for excitation of the ion motion in a Penning trap was applied. In [11{15] the adaption of R am sey's method to Penning trap mass spectrom etry has been derived and experim entally dem onstrated. The precision of the frequency determination could be improved by more than a factor of three compared to the conventional excitation m ethod and scan procedure. This allows one to reach a given precision up to ten tim es faster than before.



Fig. 2: Experimental setup of the mass spectrometer ISOLTRAP. The RFQ trap, the preparation and precision Penning traps as well as the reference ion source are shown. Monitoring the ion transfer as well as the time-of-ight measurem ents for the determ ination of the cyclotron frequency are done with micro-channel-plate (MCP) detectors or a channeltron [20]. In the inset a cyclotron frequency resonance for ²⁶Al ions with an excitation time of 1.5 s is given.

²⁷Aladdress a problem of con icting data derived from various reaction Q -value m easurem ents [16]: The m asses of the stable nuclides ^{24;26}M g [17] and ²⁸Si [18,19] have all been m easured with Penning trap facilities. They are also related via reaction Q -values, namely ^{24;26}M q by a pair of $(n_{,})$ reactions through the stable isotopes ^{25}Mq and ^{26}Mg , and $^{28}Siby$ a pair of (p,) reactions through the isotope 27 Al. In addition, the masses of 25 Mg and 26 Mg are also related by a sequence of a (p,) reaction and a (p_n) reaction through the isotope ²⁶Al (see g.1). The two most precise values of the ${}^{25}Mq(n,){}^{26}Mq$ reaction agree neither with each other nor with the results from the combined $^{25}Mg(p,)^{26}Aland {}^{26}Mg(p, n)^{26}Alre$ actions. A similar inconsistency occurs for the nuclide ²⁷Al in relation to the magnesium isotopes and ²⁸Si. Thus we perform ed direct m ass m easurem ents of 26;27 A l to resolve this unsatisfactory situation.

Experimental Setup and measurement procedure. { All measurements reported here have been performed with the triple-trap mass spectrometer ISOLTRAP [21{23] (see g. 2) at the online mass separator ISO LD E / C E R N [24]. The experim ental procedure is as follows: The 60-keV continuous ISOLDE ion beam is accumulated in a linear gas-lled RFQ ion beam cooler and buncher [25]. After a few milliseconds the accumulated ions are transferred in a bunch to the st Penning trap [26], which is used for mass-selective bu er-gas cooling [27] to rem ove isobaric contam inations. In the second Penning trap the actualm ass m easurem ents are per-Furtherm ore, the direct m ass m easurem ents of 26 A l and form ed. ³⁹K⁺ ions from the stable alkali reference ion

source for the m easurem ents of the calcium isotopes and ²³Na⁺ ions from ISO LDE for the alum inium isotopes were used for calibration of the magnetic eld strength.

The measurements are based on the determination of



Fig. 3: (a) Conventional excitation scheme with a continuous rf pulse of = 1.5 s, (b) excitation with two 100-m s R am sey pulses $_1$ interrupted by a $_0 = 1.3$ swaiting period.

the ions cyclotron frequency $_{c} = qB = (2 \text{ m})$, which is proportional to the charge-to-mass ratio q=m as well as to the magnetic eld strength B [28]. The ion motion is excited by an azim uthal quadrupolar radiofrequency eld via the four-fold segmented ring electrode of the Penning trap [29] before the ions are extracted and transported to a detector. The frequency of the excitation eld is varied around the expected value to record a time-of-ight ion cyclotron resonance (TOF-ICR) [30] (see inset of q.2) a well-established method in use at several facilities [31].

The alum inium isotopes were produced by bom barding a silicon carbide target with 3 $1b^3$ 1.4-G eV protons per pulse from the CERN proton synchrotron booster. A hot plasm a source ionized the atom s released from the heated target. Isotopic separation was perform ed with the general purpose separator [24] with a resolving power of typically 1000.

For the calcium isotopes a heated titanium foil target was used in combination with a hot tungsten surface for ionization. The high-resolution separator [24] served for mass separation with a resolving power of about 3000. In order to suppress isobaric contam inations by ³⁸K ions, CF_4 was added in the ISO LDE ion source and the ions were delivered to ISOLTRAP in form of the molecular sidebands ${}^{38}Ca^{19}F$ and ${}^{39}Ca^{19}F$. The mass of the ion is obtained by m easuring the frequency ratio to a well-known reference ion.

The Ram sey technique. { In order to improve the precision of the frequency determ ination, separated oscillatory elds, as introduced by Ram sey to nuclearm agnetic-resonance experim ents, were adopted [11,12] and used for the rst time in an online mass measure- their total uncertainties derived from the ISO LTRAP mea-



Fig. 4: T in e-of- ight cyclotron resonance of $^{\rm 27}{\rm A~l}^{\rm t}$. A R am sey excitation scheme was chosen with two excitation pulses of 100m s duration interrupted by a 1.3 s waiting period. The solid line is a t of the theoretically expected line shape to the data [11,13].

m ent [13]. Instead of exposing the ions continuously to the external radio frequency eld [32], two excitation pulses interrupted by a waiting period are used to excite the radial ion motion (see q.3). The shape of the TOF-ICR curve (see q. 4) diers signi cantly from the conventional one (see inset of q.2). The smaller width of the central resonance peak and the more pronounced sidebands allow a m ore precise or faster frequency m easurem ents [12,13].

Penning trap m assmeasurem ents on the isotopes²⁶;²⁷Al aswellason ${}^{38}Ca^{19}F^+$ and ${}^{39}Ca^{19}F^+$ have been performed with conventional excitation of the ion motion as well as with Ram sey's method of separated oscillatory elds. The number of recorded ion events per resonance is approximately 3000, which allows a comparison of system atic uncertainties between the two methods as well as a dem onstration of the advantage of the new technique. In q. 5 the cyclotron-frequency ratios and their uncertainties are shown (see also tab. 1). For sim plicity the average ratio of each ion species is subtracted. M easurem ents with the conventional excitation (lled symbols) and with R am sey-type excitation (em pty sym bols) can be directly compared. The total excitation time used for the aluminium isotopes was 1.5 s. During the Ram sey-type excitation two 100 m s excitation pulses were interrupted by a 1.3 s waiting period, so that the total excitation cycle rem ained 1.5 s.

Due to the short half-life of 39 Ca (T₁₌₂ = 859.6(1.4)m s) the excitation time was reduced to 1.2 s and for 38 Ca ($T_{1=2}$ = 440(8)m s) to 900m s, respectively. A s before the duration of the Ram sey excitation pulses was 100m s and the total cycle length has been kept constant.

First, for all ion species, no signi cant di erence of the extracted frequency ratios (g.5) and thus for the derived mass excess values (g. 6) is found between conventional and Ram sey excitation. All nalm ass excess values with

Table 1: Ratios of the cyclotron frequencies of the isotopes investigated in this work.

Ion	R eference	Frequency ratio, $\frac{100}{ref}$
²⁶ Al	²³ Na	1.1303707761(104)
²⁷ Al	²³ Na	1.1736365541(108)
³⁸ C a ¹⁹ F	³⁹ K	1.4622576087(162)
³⁹ C a ¹⁹ F	³⁹ K	1.4877789303(165)



Fig. 5: Di erence between the measured cyclotron frequency ratios R and their average value R_{ave}. Each data point contains the sam e num ber of recorded ions. D ata points with lled sym – bols have been taken with the conventional excitation m ethod, while em pty sym bols denote data points where the R am sey m ethod was used. In (a,b) the di erences for the ratios R – R_{ave} for ^{26;27} A I to ²³Na⁺ are shown. In (c,d) the same is plotted for the ratios of the m olecular sidebands ^{38;39} C a¹⁹ F⁺ com pared to ³⁹K⁺. The grey band indicates the uncertainty of the average ratio.

surem ents are presented in tab. 2. Second, the statistical uncertainties of the ^{38,39}C a m easurem ents, which are less than 2 10⁹ (shown separately in g. 6), show clearly that with R am sey-type excitation only the system atic uncertainties are limiting the precision of the mass values. The system atic uncertainties are dom inated by undetected magnetic eld drifts during the measurements [33]. How ever, there is no di erence in the statistical uncertainty between the continuous 1.5s excitation and a Ram seytype excitation of equal length in the measurements of the alum inium isotopes. Thus, the Ram sey-type excitation is favorable for shorter excitation cycles: It allows for a 900m s excitation a frequency determ ination which is three times more precise than using the conventional excitation. This gain in precision in dependence of the overall excitation cycle has been observed for a constant num ber of collected ions [12], com parable to the data of the mass measurements presented here.

Table 2: M ass excesses of the m easured nuclides.

Ion	T ₁₌₂	M ass excess / keV
²⁶ Al	717 (24)ky	-12210.18 (22)
²⁷ Al	stable	-17196.92 (23)
³⁸ Ca	440(8)ms	-22058.11 (60)
³⁹ Ca	859 . 6(1.4)ms	-27282.59 (61)



Fig. 6: M ass excesses of all four radionucides derived from the ISO LTRAP m easurem ents (full dots). In addition the mass excesses derived from m easurem ents using the conventional excitation m ethod (squares) as well as using the R am sey excitation (triangles) are presented. The error bars next to the data points represent the statistical uncertainties.

The masses of ²⁶Al and ²⁷Al. { Five di erent measurements of four types of reactions are contributing to the mass value of ²⁶Al as published in the Atom ic-Mass Evaluation AM E2003 [34]. All of them are shown in g. 7 (a). The highest impact on the nalAM E2003 mass have two independent measurements of the reaction ²⁵M g(p,)²⁶Al [35,36]. In addition Q -values of the reactions ²⁶M g(p, n)²⁶Al [37], ²⁶M g(³He,t)²⁶A l¹⁴N ()¹⁴O [38], and ⁴²C a(³He,t)⁴²Sc⁻²⁶M g()²⁶Al [38] contribute to the mass of ²⁶Al. The value derived from the reaction ²⁶M g(p, n)²⁶Al disagrees with form erm easurements of the sam e group [39,40], as well as also disagrees with the four other measurements by more than three standard deviations.

A new atom ic-m ass evaluation was perform ed with the ISO LTRAP data determ ined in this work which are the rst derived from a Penning trap mass measurement. The data from the $^{26}\text{M}\,g(p,n)^{26}\text{A}\,l$ reaction is not taken into account anylonger for a new AME value. Instead the ISO LTRAP value is now contributing with 8.3% to the new atom ic-m ass evaluation, whereas the reaction Q-values of $^{26}\text{M}\,g(p,n)^{26}\text{A}\,l,\,^{26}\text{M}\,g(^3\text{H}\,e,t)^{26}\text{A}\,l^{-14}\text{N}\,()^{14}\text{O}$, and $^{42}\text{C}\,a(^{3}\text{H}\,e,t)^{42}\,\text{Sc}^{-26}\text{M}\,g()^{26}\text{A}\,l$ are contributing with 78.4%, 8.3%, and 5.0%, respectively (see g.7(b)).

A ltogether ve reaction Q -value m easurem ents of the reactions ${}^{26}M$ g(p,) ${}^{27}A$ land ${}^{27}A$ l(p,) ${}^{28}S$ i have contributed to the m ass value of ${}^{27}A$ l. Together with som e other reactions Q -values they are displayed in g.8 (a). The three in-

dependent data points of the reaction ${}^{26}M q(p,){}^{27}A l con$ tributed 16.0% and the two measurements of the reaction 27 Al(p,)²⁸Si contributed 84.0% to the mass value. The



Fig. 7: (a) M ass di erence of ²⁶Albetween various reaction experiments and the average value of the atom ic-mass evaluation from 2003 [34], here denoted as zero line. The boxes m ark the m easurem ents which contribute to the accepted value. The im pact of the measurem ents is rejected by the percentage of the contribution to the nalvalue. (b) Same as graph (a) but including the recent ISO LTRAP m easurem ent. Note that the zero line is shifted due to the new average value in the new atom ic-m ass evaluation.

mass measurement of ISOLTRAP is contributing 20.0% to the new average value (g.8(b)). Therefore the in uence of the reaction m easurem ents of ${}^{26}M$ g(p,) ${}^{27}A$ l and ²⁷Al(p,)²⁸Si is reduced to 12.9% and 67.2%, respectively. All contributing data points agree within their uncertainties. Note that no Q-value measurement of the reaction 27 Al(p,)²⁴M q contributes to the m ass value, even though them ost precise data point has an uncertainty of only 0.21 keV [41]. However, this measurement has been rejected since it deviates by more than four standard deviations from the accepted mean value and disagrees with earlier published values by the sam e group.

The masses of ³⁸Ca and ³⁹Ca. { Until Bollen et al. perform ed a m ass m easurem ent with the Penning trap m ass spectrom eter LEBIT [42], the m ass of ³⁸C a was determ ined from the most precise of three Q -value measurem ents of the reaction ${}^{40}Ca(p,t){}^{38}Ca$. The uncertainty of the $^{\rm 38}{\rm Ca}$ m ass value was 5 keV . The LEBIT measurem ent reduced the uncertainty by m ore than an order of by our system atic uncertainties, since statistical uncerm agntitude to $0.28 \, \text{keV}$ and low ered the value by $3.3 \, \text{keV}$. tainties of less than 2 We con med this new value by measuring the mass of shows the potential for future mass measurem ents on the



Fig. 8: Same as g. 7 but for ²⁷Al.

³⁸Caat ISO LTRAP.O urm assuncertainty is 0.59 keV [12], whereas both values dier only by 0.43 keV. The reason for our larger uncertainty despite using the favorable R am sey excitation technique is the factor of ve higher cyclotron frequency at M SU, since they measured doubly charged $^{38}Ca^{2+}$ ions in a 9.4T magnetic eld while we measured the singly charged, heavier molecular sideband ${}^{38}Ca^{19}F^+$ in a 5.9T magnetic eld. The higher frequency leads to a smaller relative uncertainty, since the absolute uncertainty of the frequency determ ination is identical. In the new atom ic-mass evaluation the ISO LTRAP value contributes nevertheless 17.7%. The main contribution of 82.3% com es from the LEBIT m easurem ent (see q.9(a)). In total the mass value is increased by 0.08 keV com pared to the LEBIT value.

TwoQ-value measurements of the reaction 39 K (p,) 39 Ca have so far been performed (see g.9(b)). Despite their relative sm all uncertainties of 1.8 keV [43] and 6 keV [44], they dier by more than 12 keV. Until now the value of Rao et al. [43] was used as the AME value. The new ISOLTRAP value disagrees with this value by more than four standard deviations, but is in perfect agreem ent with the value of K em per et al. [44]. Since their uncertainty is a factor of ten larger, the ISO LTRAP value determ ines in the new atom ic-m assevaluation the value by 100% .

Conclusion. { We have dem onstrated that separated oscillatory elds are an excellent tool for high-precision mass measurements. The nalmass values are limited 10⁹ have been achieved. This



Fig. 9: (a) Mass di erences of ³⁸Ca derived from two Penning trap measurements by the LEBIT facility [42] and ISO LTRAP [12]. Analog denotes the zero line the new value of the atom ic-m ass evaluation. (b) M ass di erence of $^{\rm 39}{\rm C\,a}$ derived from two reaction m easurem ents com pared to the Penning trap m easurem ent of ISO LTRAP.

level of 10⁹, which is especially important for tests of the CVC hypothesis, as discussed above. Therefore, it is required to reduce signi cantly the presently lim iting system atic uncertainties. Because the measurem ent is up to ten tim es faster, the R am sey technique is of special interest for short-lived radionuclides which are generally produced with very low yield. Here, further in provem ents by optim izing the frequency scan range and step size are presently in progress. For longer excitation cycles the uncertainty of the frequency determ ination is expected to be dom inated by the statistical uncertainty of the measured time of ight, when the number of recorded ions is kept constant. A further detailed investigation is ongoing. Penning trap m ass m easurem ents on superallow ed

-em itters are needed to test and im prove older reactionbased Q -value m easurem ents. Here, the m asses of $^{26;27}$ A l and ³⁸Ca have been con med and for ³⁹Ca a new mass value has been established.

This work was supported by the German BMBF under contracts 06G F151,06G F186I and 06M Z215, by the EU under contracts HPM T-CT-2000-00197 (Marie Curie Fellow ship) and RII3-CT-2004-506065 (TRAPSPEC), by the European Union Sixth Framework through RII3-EURONS (contract no. 506065), and by the Helm holtz association of national research centers (HGF) under contract VH-NG-037. We also thank the ISOLDE Collaboration as well as the ISO LDE technical group for their assistance.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.C Hardy and I.S.Towner, Phys. Rev. C, Vol. 71 2005, p.055501.
- [2] J.C. Hardy and I.S. Towner, Phys. Rev. C, Vol. 66 2002, p.035501.

- [4] G.T.A. Squier et al, Phys. Lett. B, Vol. 65 1976, p. 122.
- [5] H. Vonach et al, Nucl. Phys. A, Vol. 278 1977, p. 189.
- [6] J.C Hardy, I.S. Towner and G. Savard, Int. J. Mass Spectrom ., Vol. 251 2006, p. 95.
- [7] T.Eronen etal, Phys. Rev. Lett., Vol. 97 2006, p. 232501.
- [8] M. Mukherjee et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., Vol. 93 2004, p.150801.
- [9] F.Herfurth et al, Eur. Phys. J. A, Vol. 15 2002, p. 17.
- [10] A.Kellerbauer et al, Phys. Rev. Lett., Vol. 93 2004, p.072502.
- [11] M. Kretzschmar, Int. J. Mass. Spectrom., Vol. 264 2007, p. 122.
- [12] S.George et al., Int. J. M ass Spectrom ., Vol. 264 2007, p.110.
- [13] S. George et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., Vol. 98 2007, p.162501.
- [14] M .Suhonen et al., J. Inst., Vol. 2 2007, p. P06003.
- [15] T. Eronen et al., submitted to EM IS Conf. Proc. 2007
- [16] A.H.Wapstra, G.Audiand C.Thibault, Nucl. Phys. A,Vol.729 2003,p.129.
- [17] I.Bergstrom etal, Eur. Phys. J.D, Vol. 22 2003, p. 41.
- [18] F. DiFililippo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., Vol. 73 1994, p.1481.
- [19] F.D iF ililippo et al., Phys. Scr., Vol. 48 1993, p. 399.
- [20] C. Yazidjian et al., Hyper ne Interact., Vol. 173 2007, p.181.
- [21] F.Herfurth et al., J. Phys. B, Vol. 36 2003, p. 931.
- [22] K.Blaum et al., J. Phys. G, Vol. 31 2005, p. 1775.
- [23] M.Mukherjee et al., submitted, Eur. Phys. J. A 2007
- [24] E.Kugler, Hyper ne Interact., Vol. 129 2000, p. 23.
- [25] F. Herfurth et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A, Vol. 469 2001, p. 254.
- [26] G.Bollen et al., Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A, Vol. 368 1996, p.675.
- [27] G. Savard et al., Phys. Lett. A, Vol. 158 1991, p. 247.
- [28] K.Blaum, Phys. Rep., Vol. 425 2005, p.1.
- [29] G.Bollen et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B, Vol. 70 1992, p.490.
- [30] G.Graff and H.Kalinowsky and J.Traut, Z.Phys. A,Vol.297 1980,p.35.
- [31] L.Schweikhard and G.Bollen (eds.), \U ltra-accurate m ass spectrom etry and related topics", special issue of Int. J. M ass Spectrom ., Vol. 251 (2/3) 2006
- [32] K.Blaum et al., J. Phys. B, Vol. 36 2003, p. 921.
- [33] A.Kellerbauer et al, Eur. Phys. J. D, Vol. 22 2003, p.53.
- [34] G.Audiand O.Bersillon and J.Blachot and A.H. W apstra, Nucl. Phys. A, Vol. 729 2003, p. 3.
- [35] F.J. Bergmeister et al., Z. Phys. A, Vol. 320 1985, p.693.
- [36] S.W. Kikstra etal, Nucl. Phys. A, Vol. 529 1991, p. 39.
- [37] S.A. Brindhaban and P.H. Barker, Phys. Rev. C, Vol.49 1994, p.2401.
- [38] V.T. Koslowsky et al., Nucl. Phys. A, Vol. 472 1987, p.419.
- [39] P.H. Barker et al., Proc. 7th Int. Conf. Atom ic Masses and Fundam entalConstants AM CO-7 1984
- [40] P.H. Barker and S.A. Brindhaban, Proc. 9th Int. Conf. A tom ic M asses and Fundam ental Constants AM CO-9, and 6th Int. Conf. Nuclei far from Stability NUFAST-6 1992
- [3] G.Savard et al, Phys. Rev. Lett., Vol. 95 2005, p. 102501. [41] J.W. Maas et al, Nucl. Phys. A, Vol. 301 1978, p. 213.

- [42] G. Bollen et al, Phys. Rev. Lett., Vol. 96 2006, p.152501.
- [43] G.R.Rao et al, Phys.Rev.C, Vol. 8 1978, p. 1085.
- [44] K.W. Kemper and C.M. McKenna and J.W. Nelson, Phys.Rev.C, Vol.2 1970, p. 213.