CERN {PH {EP / 2007 { 029

DAPN TA {07{150

9 July 2007

H iggs boson searches in CP -conserving and CP -violating scenarios w ith the DELPH I M SSM detector

DELPHICollaboration

A bstract

This paper presents the nal interpretation of the results from DELPHI on the searches for H iggs bosons in the M inim al Supersym m etric extension of the Standard M odel (M SSM). A few representative scenarios are considered, that include CP conservation and explicit CP violation in the Higgs sector. The experim ental results encom pass the searches for neutral H iggs bosons at $L \, E \, P$ 1 and LEP2 in nalstates as expected in the M SSM, as well as LEP2 searches for charged H iggs bosons and for neutral H iggs bosons decaying into hadrons independent of the quark avour. The data reveal no signi cant excess with respect to background expectations. The results are translated into excluded regions of the parameter space in the various scenarios. In the CP-conserving case, these lead to lim its on the m asses of the lightest scalar and pseudoscalar H iggs bosons, h and A, and on \tan . The dependence of these \ln its on the top quark m ass is discussed. A llow ing for CP violation reduces the experimental sensitivity to H iggs bosons. It is shown that this e ect depends strongly on the values of the param eters responsible for CP violation in the H iggs sector.

JAbdallah²⁶, PAbreu²³, WAdam⁵⁵, PAdzic¹², TA Drecht¹⁸, RA lem any Femandez⁹, TA llm endinger¹⁸, PPA llport²⁴, U Am akli³⁰, N Am apane⁴⁸, S Am ato⁵², E Anashkin³⁷, A Andreazza²⁹, S Andringa²³, N Anjos²³, P Antilogus²⁶, W -D Apel¹⁸, Y A moud¹⁵, S A sk²⁷, B A sm an⁴⁷, J E Augustin²⁶, A Augustinus⁹, P Baillon⁹, A Ballestrero⁴⁹, P.B.am bade²¹, R.Barbier²⁸, D.Bardin¹⁷, G.J.Barker⁵⁷, A.Baroncelli⁴⁰, M.Battaglia⁹, M.Baubillier²⁶, K.H.Becks⁵⁸, M Begalli⁷, A Behm ann⁵⁸, E Ben-Hain²¹, N Benekos³³, A Benvenuti⁵, C Berat¹⁵, M Berggren²⁶, L Bemtzon⁴⁷, D Bertrand², M Besancon⁴¹, N Besson⁴¹, D B loch¹⁰, M B lom³², M B lu⁵⁶, M B onesini³⁰, M B oonekam p⁴¹, PSLBooth^{y24}, GBorisov²², OBotner⁵³, BBouquet²¹, TJVBowcock²⁴, IBoyko¹⁷, MBracko⁴⁴, RBrenner⁵³, E Brodet³⁶, P Bruckm an¹⁹, J M Brunet⁸, B Buschbeck⁵⁵, P Buschm ann⁵⁸, M C akri³⁰, T C am poresi⁹, V C anale³⁹, F.C.arena⁹, N.C.astro²³, F.C.avallo⁵, M.C.hapkin⁴³, Ph.C.harpentier⁹, P.C.hecchia³⁷, R.C.hierici⁹, P.C.hliapnikov⁴³, $J.C$ hudoba⁹, SJ Chung⁹, K C ieslik¹⁹, P C ollins⁹, R C ontri¹⁴, G C osm e^{21} , F C ossutti⁵⁰, M J C osta⁵⁴, D C rennell³⁸, J.Cuevas³⁵, J.D.Hondt², J.D.alm au⁴⁷, T.da Silva⁵², W.D.a Silva²⁶, G.D.ella Ricca⁵⁰, A.D.e Angelis⁵¹, W.D.e Boer¹⁸, C De C leroq², B De Lotto⁵¹, N De M aria⁴⁸, A De M in³⁷, L de Paula⁵², L D i C iaccio³⁹, A D i S in one⁴⁰, K D oroba⁵⁶, JD rees⁵⁸¹⁹, G E igen⁴, T E kelof⁵³, M E llert⁵³, M E lsing⁹, M C E spirito Santo²³, G Fanourakis¹², D Fassouliotis¹²³, M Feindt¹⁸, J.Femandez⁴², A.Ferrer⁵⁴, F.Ferro¹⁴, U.F. lagm eyer⁵⁸, H.Foeth⁹, E.Fokitis³³, F.Fukla-Quenzer²¹, J.Fuster⁵⁴, M G andelm an⁵², C G arcia⁵⁴, Ph G avillet⁹, E G azis³³, R G okieli^{9,56}, B G olob^{44,46}, G G om ez-C eballos⁴², P G oncalves²³, E G raziani⁴⁰, G G rosdidier²¹, K G rzelak⁵⁶, J G uy³⁸, C H aaq¹⁸, A H allgren⁵³, K H am acher⁵⁸, K H am ilton³⁶, S H aug³⁴, F.Hauler¹⁸, V.Hedberg²⁷, M.Hennecke¹⁸, H.Herr^{y9}, J.Homan⁵⁶, S-O.Holmgren⁴⁷, P.J.Holt⁹, M.A.Houblen²⁴, JN Jackson²⁴, G Jarlskog²⁷, P Jarry⁴¹, D Jeans³⁶, E K Johansson⁴⁷, P D Johansson⁴⁷, P Jonsson²⁸, C Joram⁹, L Jungem ann¹⁸, F K apusta²⁶, S K atsanevas²⁸, E K atsou s³³, G K emel⁴⁴, B P K ersevan^{44,46}, U K erzel¹⁸, B T K ing²⁴, N J K $\#er^9$, P K μ i \pm^{32} , P K okkin i as¹², C K ourkoum elis³, O K ouznetsov¹⁷, Z K rum stein¹⁷, M K ucharczyk¹⁹, J L am sa¹, G Leder⁵⁵, F Ledroit¹⁵, L Leinonen⁴⁷, R Leitner³¹, J Lemonne², V Lepeltier²¹, T Lesiak¹⁹, W Liebig⁵⁸, D Liko⁵⁵, A Lipniacka⁴⁷, J.H Lopes⁵², J.M Lopez³⁵, D Loukas¹², P Lutz⁴¹, L Lyons³⁶, J.M acN aughton⁵⁵, A M alek⁵⁸, S.M altezos³³, F M and 15^5 , J M arco⁴², R M arco⁴², B M arecha 15^2 , M M argon 13^7 , J-C M arin⁹, C M ariotti⁹, A M arkou¹², C M artinez-R ivero⁴², J M asik¹³, N M astroyiannopoulos¹², F M atorras⁴², C M atteuzzi³⁰, F M azzucato³⁷, M Mazzucato³⁷, R M c Nulty²⁴, C M eroni²⁹, E M igliore⁴⁸, W M itaro ⁵⁵, U M permm ark²⁷, T M oa⁴⁷, M M och¹⁸, K M oenig^{9;11}, R M onge¹⁴, J M ontenegro³², D M oraes⁵², S M oreno²³, P M orettini¹⁴, U M ueller⁵⁸, K M uenich⁵⁸, M Mulders³², LMundim⁷, W Murray³⁸, B Muryn²⁰, G Myatt³⁶, T Myklebust³⁴, M Nassiakou¹², F Navarria⁵, K Naw rock $\rm i^{56}$, R N icolaidou $\rm ^{41}$, M N ikolenko $\rm ^{17,10}$, A O blakow ska-M ucha $\rm ^{20}$, V O braztsov $\rm ^{43}$, A O lshevsk $\rm i^{17}$, A O nofre $\rm ^{23}$, R O rava¹⁶, K O sterberg¹⁶, A O uraou⁴¹, A O yanguren⁵⁴, M Paganoni³⁰, S Paiano⁵, J P Palacios²⁴, H Palka¹⁹, Th D Papadopoulou³³, L Pape⁹, C Parkes²⁵, F Parodi¹⁴, U Parzefall⁹, A Passeri⁴⁰, O Passon⁵⁸, L Peralta²³, V Perepelitsa⁵⁴, A Perrotta⁵, A Petrolini¹⁴, J Piedra⁴², L Pieri⁴⁰, F Pierre⁴¹, M P in enta²³, E Piotto⁹, T Podobnik^{44;46}, V Poireau⁹, M E Pol⁶, G Polok¹⁹, V Pozdniakov¹⁷, N Pukhaeva¹⁷, A Pullia³⁰, J R am es¹³, A R ead³⁴, P R ebecchi⁹, JRehn¹⁸, DReid³², RReinhardt⁵⁸, PRenton³⁶, FRichard²¹, JRidky¹³, MRivero⁴², DRodriguez⁴², ARomero⁴⁸, PR onchese³⁷, PR oudeau²¹, TR ovelli⁵, VR uhlm ann-K leider⁴¹, DR yabtchikov⁴³, A Sadovsky¹⁷, LSalm¹⁶, JSalt⁵⁴, C Sander¹⁸, A Savoy-N avarro²⁶, U Schwickerath⁹, R Sekulin³⁸, M Siebel⁵⁸, A Sisakian¹⁷, G Sm ad p²⁸, O Sm imova²⁷, A Sokolov⁴³, A Sopczak²², R Sosnow ski⁵⁶, T Spassov⁹, M Stanitzki¹⁸, A Stocchi²¹, J Strauss⁵⁵, B Stugu⁴, M Szczekowski⁵⁶, M Szeptycka⁵⁶, T Szumlak²⁰, T Tabarelli³⁰, A C Ta ard²⁴, F Tegenfieldt⁵³, J T immermans³², L.T. katchev¹⁷, M.T. obin²⁴, S.T. odorovova¹³, B.T. om e²³, A.T. onazzo³⁰, P.T. ortosa⁵⁴, P.T. ravnicek¹³, D.T. reille⁹, G.T. ristram⁸, M .Trochin czuk⁵⁶, C .Troncon²⁹, M -L .Turluer⁴¹, IA .Tvapkin¹⁷, P .Tvapkin¹⁷, S .T zam arias¹², V .U varov⁴³, G .V alenti⁵, P.Van Dam³², J.Van E.klik⁹, N.van Remortel¹⁶, I.Van Vulpen⁹, G.Vegni²⁹, F.Veloso²³, W.Venus³⁸, P.Verdier²⁸, V Verzi³⁹, D V ilanova⁴¹, L V itale⁵⁰, V V iba¹³, H W ahlen⁵⁸, A J W ashbrook²⁴, C W eiser¹⁸, D W icke⁹, J W ickens², G W ilkinson³⁶, M W inter¹⁰, M W itek¹⁹, O Yushchenko⁴³, A Zalew ska¹⁹, P Zalew ski⁵⁶, D Zavrtanik⁴⁵, V Zhuravlov¹⁷, N.IZ in in^{17} , A.Z intchenko¹⁷, M.Zupan¹²

 11 N ow at DESY-Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15735 Zeuthen, Gem any

- 13 FZU, Inst. of Phys. of the CAS. High Energy Physics Division, NaSlovance 2, CZ-180 40, Praha 8, Czech Republic
- 14 D ipartin ento di Fisica, U niversita di G enova and INFN, V ia D odecaneso 33, IT-16146 G enova, Italy
- ¹⁵ Institut des Sciences Nucleaires, IN 2P 3-C NR S, Universite de G renoble 1, FR -38026 G renoble C edex, France
- 16 H elsinki Institute of Physics and Department of Physical Sciences, P.O. Box 64, F IN -00014 University of Helsinki, F in land
- ¹⁷ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Head Post 0 ce, P.O. Box 79, RU-101 000 Moscow, Russian Federation ¹⁸ Institut fur Experim entelle K emphysik, U niversitat K arlsruhe, Postfach 6980, D E-76128 K arlsruhe, G em any

¹⁹ Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN U l. Radzikow skiego 152, PL-31142 K rakow, Poland

- 20 Faculty of Physics and Nuclear Techniques, University of M ining and M etallurgy, PL-30055 K rakow, Poland
- ²¹Universite de Paris-Sud, Lab. de l'Accelerateur Lineaire, IN 2P3-CNRS, Bât. 200, FR-91405 O rsay Cedex, France 22 School of Physics and Chem istry, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA 1 4Y B, UK
- 23 L IP, IST, FCU L -Av. E lias G arcia, 14-1°, PT-1000 L isboa C odex, Portugal
-
- 24 D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of L iverpool, P Ω . Box 147, L iverpool L 69 3B X , U K
- 25 D ept. of Physics and A stronom y, K elvin Building, U niversity of G lasgow, G lasgow G 12 8Q Q, U K
- ²⁶LPNHE, N 2P3-CNRS, Univ. Paris V I et V II, Tour 33 (RdC), 4 place Jussieu, FR-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
- $^{27}{\rm D}$ epartm ent of Physics, U niversity of Lund, Solvegatan 14, SE-223 63 Lund, Sweden

 28 U niversite C laude B emard de Lyon, IPN L, IN 2P 3-C NR S, FR -69622 V illeurbanne C edex, France

- 29 D ipartin ento di Fisica, U niversita di M ilano and IN FN -M ILANO , V ia C eloria 16, IT -20133 M ilan, Italy
- 30 D ipartim ento di Fisica, Univ. di Milano-Bicocca and INFN-M ILANO , Piazza della Scienza 3, IT-20126 Milan, Italy
- 31 IPNP of MFF, Charles Univ., A real MFF, V Holesovickach 2, CZ-18000, Praha 8, Czech Republic
- $^{32}{\rm N}$ IK H EF, Postbus 41882, N L-1009 D B Am sterdam, T he N etherlands
- ³³N ational Technical U niversity, Physics D epartm ent, Z ografou C am pus, G R -15773 A thens, G reece
- ³⁴Physics Department, University of Oslo, Blindem, NO-0316 Oslo, Norway
- ³⁵D pto. F isica, U niv. O viedo, A vda. C alvo Sotelo s/n, ES-33007 O viedo, Spain
-
- 37 D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Padova and INFN, V ia M arzolo 8, IT-35131 Padua, Italy
- $38R$ utherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot 0 X 11 0 Q X, U K
- ³⁹D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Rom a II and INFN, Tor Vergata, IT-00173 Rom e, Italy
- 40 D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Rom a III and IN FN, V ia della Vasca Navale 84, IT-00146 Rom e, Italy
- 41 D A PN IA / Service de Physique des Particules, C EA -Saclay, FR -91191 G if-sur-Y vette C edex, France
- 42 Instituto de F isica de C antabria (C S IC -U C), A vda. los C astros s/n, E S-39006 Santander, Spain
- ⁴³ Inst. for H igh Energy Physics, Serpukov P.O. Box 35, Protvino, (M oscow R egion), Russian Federation
- 44J. Stefan Institute, Jam ova 39, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

⁴⁵Laboratory for A stroparticle Physics, U niversity of Nova G orica, K ostan jeviska 16a, SI-5000 Nova G orica, S lovenia

- ⁴⁶D epartm ent of Physics, University of L jublana, SI-1000 L jublana, Slovenia ⁴⁷ Fysikum, Stockholm University, Box 6730, SE-113 85 Stockholm, Sweden
- 48 D ipartim ento di Fisica Sperim entale, Universita di Torino and INFN, Via P. Giuria 1, IT-10125 Turin, Italy
- 49 N FN Sezione di Torino and D ipartin ento di Fisica Teorica, U niversita di Torino, V ia G iuria 1, IT-10125 Turin, Italy

- 51 Istituto di Fisica, Universita di U dine and IN FN, IT -33100 U dine, Italy
- 52 U niv. Federal do R io de Janeiro, C.P. 68528 C idade U niv., Ilha do Fundao BR-21945-970 R io de Janeiro, Brazil
- ⁵³D epartm ent of R adiation Sciences, U niversity of U ppsala, P O . Box 535, SE-751 21 U ppsala, Sweden
- 54 F C, Valencia-CSIC, and D F A M N., U. de Valencia, Avda. Dr. Moliner 50, ES-46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain
- 55 Institut fur Hochenergiephysik, Osterr. A kad. d. Wissensch., Nikolsdorfergasse 18, AT-1050 Vienna, Austria
- 56 Inst. Nuclear Studies and University of W arsaw, U l. H oza 69 , PL-00681 W arsaw, Poland
- $^{57}{\rm N}$ ow $\,$ at U niversity of W arw ick , C oventry C V 4 $\,7{\rm A}$ L , U K
- ⁵⁸ Fachbereich Physik, University of W uppertal, Postfach 100 127, D E-42097 W uppertal, G em any
- ^y deceased

³⁶D epartm ent of Physics, University of 0 xford, K eble Road, 0 xford 0 X 1 3R H, UK

 1 D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, Iowa State U niversity, A m es IA 50011-3160, U SA

 2 IIH E, ULB -V UB, P lein laan 2, B -1050 B russels, B elgium

 3 Physics Laboratory, U niversity of A thens, Solonos Str. 104, G R -10680 A thens, G reece

 4 D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of B ergen, A llegaten 55, N O -5007 B ergen, N orway

 $5D$ ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Bologna and INFN, V ia Imerio 46, IT-40126 Bologna, Italy

 6 C entro Brasileiro de Pesquisas F sicas, rua X avier Sigaud 150, BR-22290 R io de Janeiro, Brazil

 7 Inst. de F sica, Univ. Estadual do R io de Janeiro, rua Sao Francisco X avier 524, R io de Janeiro, Brazil ⁸C ollege de France, Lab. de Physique C orpusculaire, IN 2P 3-C NR S, FR -75231 Paris C edex 05, France

 9 CERN, CH-1211 G eneva 23, Sw itzerland

 10 Institut de R echerches Subatom iques, IN 2P 3 - C N R S/U LP - B P 20, FR -67037 Strasbourg C edex, France

 12 Institute of Nuclear Physics, N C SR. D em okritos, PO. Box 60228, GR-15310 A thens, G reece

 50 D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Trieste and INFN, V ia A. Valerio 2, IT-34127 Trieste, Italy

1 Introduction

This paper presents the nal interpretation of the H iggs boson search results from D E LP H I in the fram ework of representative scenarios of the M inim al Supersymm etric Standard M odel (M SSM). W ith respect to the previous M SSM interpretation published in R ef. [1], this analysis uses an enlarged set of experim ental results, updated calculations ofM SSM radiative corrections and covers m ore scenarios, including m odels with C P violation in the H iggs sector.

A scom pared with the Standard M odel, the M SSM has an extended H iggs sector with two doublets of complex H iggs elds, leading to vephysical H iggs bosons, of which three are neutral.

Figure 1: M ain production processes of M SSM neutral H iggs bosons at LEP. Left: associated production of a Z and a H iggs boson, which m ust be one of the C P-even scalars (h or H) if CP is conserved or any H iggs boson (H_1, H_2, H_3) in the contrary case. $A t L E P 1$, the interm ediate Z is on-shell and the nalZ is o -shell, while it is the reverse at LEP 2. R ight: pair-production of neutral H iggs bosons. If CP is conserved, one of them must be CP -even (h or H) and the other one is the CP -odd pseudo-scalar A. If CP is not conserved, the pair can be any couple of dierent scalars am ong H₁,H₂ and H_3 . The interm ediate Z is on-shell at LEP 1.

If CP is conserved, two of the three neutral H iggs bosons are CP -even. They are denoted h, for the lighter one, and H. The third one is a C P-odd pseudo-scalar, denoted A. In e^+e^- collisions, the dom inant production m echanism s are the s-channel processes described in Fig. 1, that is the associated production of a Z and a C P-even H iggs boson and the pair production of either C P-even boson together with the C P-odd scalar. These processes are complem ented by additional t-channel diagram s in the nal states where a C P-even H iggs boson is produced with neutrinos or electrons, which proceed through W + W and ZZ fusions, respectively. These diagram s and their interference with the H_iZ process have an im pact on the production cross-section at m asses around the H_iZ kinem atic threshold. $A \cup E P 2$ energies, the only signi cantee cot is from W^+W^- fusion which doubles the neutrino H_iZ cross-section at the kinem atic threshold. Finally, charged H iggs bosons, H^+ and H , are produced in pairs through a diagram similar to that in Fig. 1, right, via exchange of a Z boson or a photon.

A lthough CP is conserved at tree level in the M SSM, radiative corrections can introduce C P violation through stop and sbottom loops, leading to changes in the neutral H iggs boson sector [2]. If CP is not conserved, the three neutral H iggs bosons are no longer pure C P eigenstates but m ixtures of C P -even and C P -odd com ponents. They are

usually denoted H₁, H₂ and H₃, in increasing m ass. The m ain production m echanism s are the sam e as in the CP conserving case, except that, a priori, any scalar can be produced in association with a Z boson or through $W + W$ and ZZ fusions, and any couple of dierent H iggs bosons can be pair-produced. The m ain phenom enologicaldierence with respect to the C P-conserving case lies in the strength of the couplings of the Z boson to the H iggs scalars. In signi cant regions of the param eter space, C P violation turns o the otherwise dom inant coupling between the Z boson and the lightest H iggs boson. In that case, if none of the other processes of $Fig.1$ are possible (due e.g. to kinem atics), the dom inant H iggs boson production m echanism atLE P becom es the Yukawa process of Fig. 2. Of the two phases of LEP, only LEP1 has a signi cant sensitivity to this process. In the Standard M odel, the corresponding cross-sections are negligible, e.g. a fraction of a pb for a few G eV = c^2 H iggs boson. In the M SSM, these can be enhanced by up to three orders of m agnitude with respect to their Standard M odelvalues, leading to detectable signals which become valuable in the case of CP violation.

Figure 2: A dditional production process of M SSM neutral H iggs bosons at LEP. The radiation ofa H iggs boson o a Z boson decay ferm ion gives a detectable signalonly at LEP1. This signal is exploited in the case of CP violation.

The decay properties of the H iggs bosons are m oderately a ected by C P violation, at least in the range of m asses accessible at LEP, that is up to m asses around 100 G eV = c^2 [2]. In m ost of the M SSM param eter space of the scenarios studied hereafter, the three neutral H iggs bosons decay m ainly into the pair of heaviest ferm ions kinem atically perm itted, even if CP is not conserved. Below the $+$ threshold, a H iggs boson would decay into or e^+e^- pairs with a signi cant lifetime. A bove the $+$ threshold, the lifetime is negligible and H iggs bosons decay at the prim ary vertex. Up to a m ass of 3 G eV = c^2 the m ain decays are into $+$ pairs and also into hadronic channels with a large proportion of two-prong nal states. A bove 3 G eV = c^2 the dom inant decays are successively into ∞ , and nally bb pairs for H iggs boson m asses above 12 G eV = c^2 . Besides these decays into ferm ions, there are also regions of the param eter space where one neutral H iggs boson can undergo cascade decays to a pair of H iggs bosons, as for exam ple h ! A A if CP is conserved or H_2 ! H_1H_1 in the contrary case. In some cases, especially if C P is not conserved, this m ode dom inates over the decays into SM particles. In the scenarios considered in this paper, charged H iggs bosons have a m ass above 60 G eV = c^2 and decay either into the pair of heaviest ferm ions allowed by kinem atics, that is into cs or pairs, or into a W and a light H iggs boson, e.g. into a W A pair if CP is conserved. Finally, these scenarios do not allow neutral or charged H iggs boson decays

into supersymm etric particles such as sferm ions, charginos or invisible neutralinos. Note that searches for neutral H iggs bosons decaying into invisible products were performed at LEP, as reported in Ref. [3].

The di erent decay channels de ne the topologies that were searched for to cover the M SSM param eter region kinem atically accessible at LEP energies. These topologies are described in Section 2. Section 3 summarizes the denition and techniques related to con dence levels used in the statistical interpretation of the searches. The eight CP conserving M SSM benchm ark scenarios studied in this analysis are presented in Section 4 and the results obtained in these scenarios when combining all searches are given in Section 5. Sim ilarily, the C P -violating scenarios and the corresponding results are covered in Sections 6 and 7. The top quark m ass has a signi cant in pact on the properties of the H iggs bosons (e.g. m ass spectrum of the neutral H iggs bosons, CP -violating e ects). R esults are thus derived for several values of thism ass, nam ely: $m_{top} = 169.2$, 174.3, 179.4 and 183.0 G eV = c^2 , which were de ned after the measurem ent of the top quark m ass at the Tevatron, run I [4]. Of the two values close to the present experim entalm easurem ent of $m_{\text{top}} = 170.9$ 1.1 1.5 G eV = c^2 [5], 174.3 G eV = c^2 gives the most conservative results and thus was chosen as a reference in m ost of the exclusion plots and to quote absolute m ass and tan lim its. Readers interested in sim ilar analyses at LEP are referred to Ref. [6,7].

\mathcal{P} Search channels

The dierent analyses performed to search for neutral and charged Higgs bosons in the whole LEP1 and LEP2 DELPHI data samples are summarized in Table 1 which lists the nal states, m ass ranges, integrated lum inosities and the references for m ore details about the selections and their performance. Two channels, the \pm bb signal at LEP1 and the $(h \, | \, A)$! ccc) $(Z \, | \, qq)$ signal at A m asses below the bb threshold, were analysed for this paper, using selections already published. The e ciencies and the references for the selections can be found in the Appendices 1 and 2 of this paper. In the Table, the notations h and A which label the di erent analysis channels must be understood as generic notations for any pair of neutral H iggs bosons that could be produced in each of the production processes listed in the Table. As an example, the hZ analyses, originally designed to search for the CP-even h boson in CP-conserving scenarios, can be applied to search for the second CP-even H iggs boson, H, as well as for any of the three H iggs scalars in CP -violating scenarios. It must be noted that the kinem atic properties of the signal processes are only slightly a ected by CP-violation, since, when CP is not conserved, the production processes still proceed through the CP even and CP-odd com ponents of the neutral H iggs bosons, as explained in Ref. [7]. The sam e topological searches can thus be applied whether CP is conserved or not.

A s com pared w ith our previous publication [1], the follow ing changes were introduced in the experimental results used. The MSSM interpretation in Ref. [1] relied only on searches perform ed at LEP 2 at m asses above 12 G eV = c^2 in m_b in the hZ process, with either direct or cascade decays, and above 40 G eV = c^2 in m_h , m_A in the hA channels, w ith only direct decays of the H iggs bosons. The corresponding channels have their $\frac{1}{5}$ values in bold characters in Table 1. Scans of the M SSM parameter space were thus restricted to m_A above 12 G eV = c^2 and assumed the published LEP1 limits¹ to be valid. Including all LEP1 results, which have a sensitivity starting from vanishing h and A m asses, and the additional LEP 2 searches of Ref. [21], whose sensitivity in the hA m ode

 $\frac{1}{2}$ m_b > 44 (46) G eV = c² when m_b is above (below) the AA threshold [14]

S	nal state	range $(G eV = c^2)$	L (pb^1)	disc.	ref.		
(G eV)				info.			
	hZ with direct decays						
91	e^+e , Z ! V^0) (Z ! any)	< 0:21	2.5	no	[8]		
91	$(h$!	< 0:21	2.5	no	[8]		
91	(h ¹) 2 prongs) $(2 \mid qq)$	0:21 2:	0.5	no	[9]		
91	\dot{P} (Z ! e^+e , f (h ¹) λ	20: 1:	0.5	no	[9]		
91	jet jet) $(Z I I)$ (h ¹) \mathcal{E}	> 12:	3.6	no	$[10]$		
91	$\text{jet } \text{jet}$) (Z ! e^+e , (h ₁) λ \mathbf{r}	> 35:	33.4	no	$[11]$		
161,172	$^{+}$ bb) $(Z$! any), (h) ! $)(Z$! (h ¹) qq)	> 40:	19.9	1 _d	$[17]$		
183	$^{+}$ bb) $(Z$! any), (h) ! $)(Z$! (h ₁) qq)	> 55:	52.0	1 _d	$[18]$		
189	$^{+}$ bb) $(Z \, ! \, any)$, $(h \, !$ $)(Z$! (h ₁) qq)	> 65:	158.0	2d	$[19]$		
192-208	bb $)(Z$! any) $(h$!	> 12:	452.4	2d	[20,1]		
192-208	$+$ $)(Z \t{1} qq)$ (h ¹)	> 50:	452.4	2d	[20,1]		
189-208	hadrons) $(Z \mid$ any but $^+$ (h ¹)	> 4:	610.4	m ix	$[22]$		
	hA with direct decays						
91	4 prongs	> 0:4	5.3	no	$[12]$		
91	$^{+}$ hadrons	> 8:	0.5	no	$[13]$		
91	$\frac{1}{2}$ jet jet	> 50	3.6	no	$[10]$		
91	bbbb, bbcc	> 30:	33.4	no	$[14]$		
91	$\frac{1}{2}$ bb	>16:	79.4	no	$\hbox{\AA}$.1		
91	bbbb	> 24:	79.4	no	$[21]$		
133	bbbb	> 80:	$6.0\,$	no	$[16]$		
161,172 bbbb,	\overline{a} bb	> 80:	20.0	1 _d	$[17]$		
183	bbbb, bb Ť	>100:	54.0	1 _d	$[18]$		
189	bbbb, \overline{a} bb	>130:	158.0	2d	$[19]$		
192-208	$+$ bb	> 120:	452.4	2d	[20,1]		
192-208	bbbb	> 80:	452.4	2d	[20,1]		
189-208	$+$ $+$	> 8:	570.9	1 _d	$[21]$		
189-208	bbbb	> 24:	610.2	no	$[21]$		
	189-208 hadrons	> 8:	610.4	m ix	$[22]$		
	hZ orhA with h ! AA cascade						
91	Z ! qq	$\sqrt{0.21}$	16.2	no	$[15]$		
91	(AA ! V^0V^0) (Z ! any but $+$ λ	< 0:21	9.7	no	$[15]$		
91	$)(Z$! any or A ! $(A A$! \rightarrow	< 0:21	12.5	no	$[15]$		
91	4 prongs) (Z ! any or A ! 2 prongs) $(A A$!	> 0:21	12.9	no	$[15]$		
91	hadrons) (Z ! $or A$! hadrons) (AA !	> 0:21	15.1	no	$[15]$		
91	$+$ $+$ $)(Z)$ $(A A)$! \rightarrow	> 3.5	15.1	no	$[15]$		
161,172	(A A) any) (Z ! qq , or A ! any $)$	> 20:	20.0	1d	$[17]$		
183	$bbbb)$ (Z $!$ qq) (A A)	> 12:	54.0	1 _d	$[18]$		
192-208	(A A) bbbb, bbcc, $ccccc$ (Z ! qq)	> 12:	452.4	2d	[20,1]		
192-208	ccc) (Z ! qq) (A A)	> 4:	452.4	2d	A 2		
189-208	$(A A$! bbbb) $(Z$! qq or A ! bb)	> 12:	610.2	no	$[21]$		
	h or A Yukawa production						
91	,bbb(A) \rightarrow $+$ bb(h)! λ	50: 4:	79.4	no	$[21]$		
91	bb(h ! bb), bb(A ! bb)	50: 11:	79.4	no	$[21]$		
91	(h ! $^+$), $^+$ (A ! $^+$) $\frac{1}{2}$	4: 50:	79.4	no	$[21]$		
H^+ H							
189-208	CSCS, CS , W A ΑW Α , W	> 40:	610.4	2d	[23]		
189-208		> 40:	570.8	1 _d	[23]		

Table 1: List of signals expected from M SSM H iggs bosons that were searched for in the D ELP H I data sam ple. Indicated for each signalare the centre-of-m assenergy, nalstate, analysed m ass range, integrated lum inosity, level of discrim inant inform ation included in the con dence level estim ates (none, one- or two-dim ensional) and the reference where details of the analysis are published. H ereh and A denote any neutralH iggs boson allowed to be produced in each of the indicated production processes. The m ass range applies to m_h for hZ production, to $m_h + m_A$ for hA production, to m_A for h! AA processes, to the H iggs boson m ass for either Yukawa process and to m_H for H^+H^- production. W hen no upper bound is given, the lim it im posed by kinem atics or vanishing branching fractions m ust be understood.

com plem ents that of the two other sets of results, allows scans of the M SSM param eter space to be perform ed with no restriction on m asses. M oreover, some of the analyses of Ref. $[21]$ cover production processes which are negligible if CP is conserved but are enhanced by CP violation, such as Yukawa processes or the production of $+$

nal states. A dding the searches for neutral H iggs bosons decaying into hadrons of any avour [22] is expected to provide sensitivity in scenarios where the H iggs boson decays into bb would vanish. A s their m ass coverage starts at low m ass, these analyses also increase the experim ental sensitivity to H iggs bosons below the bb threshold, a region otherw ise covered only by analyses of subsets of the $LEP1$ data. Finally, the charged H iggs boson searches [23] help in a few C P-conserving scenarios in the low m_A region where the charged bosons are kinem atically accessible at LEP 2.

M oreover, our previous interpretation was dealing only with the production of the two lightest H iggs bosons, the h and A scalars in C P-conserving scenarios. In this analysis, the production of the third boson, if kinem atically accessible, is also accounted for, which can lead to a signi cant gain in sensitivity in restricted areas of the param eter space. In CP -conserving scenarios, this leads to including the H Z and H A signals besides the usualhZ and hA processes, while in CP-violating m odels, the H₂Z and H₁H₃ signals are taken into account in addition to the dom inant H_1Z and H_1H_2 channels (the two other processes, H_3Z and H_2H_3 being out of reach).

3 Tools for the statisticalanalysis

W hen scanning over the param eter space of a m odel, con dence levels are com puted at each point to test the compatibility of data with the hypothesis of background only and with that of background plus signal as expected from the m odel. Throughout this section, the notations h , H and A m ust be understood as generic notations for the three neutralH iggsbosonsofany type ofM SSM scenario.

3.1 C ondence leveldenitions and calculations

The condence levels are calculated using a m odied frequentist technique based on the extended m axim um likelihood ratio [24]which has also been adopted by the LE P H iggs working group. The basis of the calculation is the likelihood ratio test-statistic, Q:

$$
\ln Q = S + \sum_{i}^{X} h \frac{s_i + b_i}{b_i}
$$

where S is the total signal expected and s_i and b_i are the signal and background densities for event i. These densities are constructed using either expected rates only or also additional discrim inant inform ation, which can be one- or two-dim ensional. Table 1 presents the levelofdiscrim inant inform ation for each channel: LE P 1 results rely on rates only, while $LEP2$ results m ix channels without or with discriminant information. A s an exam ple, in neutral H iggs boson channels with discrim inant inform ation, the rst variable is the reconstructed H iggs boson m ass in the hZ analyses and the sum of the reconstructed h and A m asses in the hA analyses, while the second variable, if any, is channel-dependent, as specied in the references listed in the Table. Charged H iggs analyses use discrim inant inform ation in a sim ilar way [23]. The searches for H iggs bosons decaying hadronically encom pass analyses without or with 1d discrim inant inform ation together with analyses whose selections vary with the m ass hypothesis [22].

The observed value of Q is compared with the expected Probability Density Functions (PDFs) for Q , which are built using M onte C arlo sam pling under the assum ptions that background processes only or that both signal and background are present. The con dence levels CL_b and CL_{s+b} are their integrals from 1 to the observed value of Q. System atic uncertainties in the rates of signal or background events are taken into account in the calculation of the PDFs for Q by random ly varying the expected rates while generating the distribution [25], which has the e ect of broadening the expected Q distribution and therefore m aking extrem e events seem m ore probable.

 CL_b is the probability of obtaining a result as background-like orm ore so than the one observed if the background hypothesis is correct. Sim ilarly, the con dence level for the hypothesis that both signal and background are present, CL_{s+b} , is the probability, in this hypothesis, to obtain m ore background-like results than those observed. The quantity C L_s is de ned as the ratio of these two probabilities, CL_{s+b}/CL_b . It is not a true con dence level, but a conservative pseudo-con dence level for the signal hypothesis. A llexclusions discussed hereafter use CL_s and require it to be 5% for an exclusion con dence of 95%. As using CL_s instead of CL_{s+b} is conservative, the rate of fake exclusions is ensured to be below 5% when CL_s is equal to 5%.

3.2 Estimation of expected signal and background densities

The expected signal and background densities, which are required to check the consistency of the data with the background and signal processes have two com ponents: the overall nom alization which sets the expected rates and the Probability D ensity Functions (PDF) of the additional discriminant information, if any.

The expected background and signal rates were calculated from the number of simulated events passing the cuts. For the signal the e ciencies derived from simulations at given m ass points had to be interpolated to estimate e ciencies at H iggs boson m asses which were not simulated. In most cases this was done using one polynomial or if necessary two polynom ials, one to describe the slow rise, and a second to handle the kinem atic cut-o, which can be much more abrupt. For the cases where two signalm asses must be allowed, a two-dimensional parameterization was used.

The shapes of the PDFs were derived using histogram s which are taken from the simulated events. In the case of two-dim ensionalPDFs these distributions were smoothed using a two-dimensional kemel, which consists of a G aussian distribution with a sm all component of a longer tail [26]. The global covariance of the distribution was used to determ ine the relative scale factors of the two axes. The width of the kernel varied from point to point, such that the statistical error on the estimated background processes was constant at 20%. Finally multiplicative correction factors (each a one-dimensional distribution for one of the two dimensions of the PDF) were derived such that when projected onto either axis the PDF has the same distribution as would have been observed if it had been projected onto the axis rst and then sm oothed. This makes better use of the simulation statistics if there are features which are essentially one-dimensional, such as m ass peaks. The error parameter xed to 20% was an important choice. It was set by dividing the background simulation into two subsamples, generating a PDF with one and using the other to test for over-training by calculating the CL_b obtained from simulation of background events. This should be 0.5 if the results are not to be biased, and a value of 20% for the error gave the closest approximation to 0.5 in all channels. Examples of sm oothed two-dimensional PDFs are given in Fig. 3.

The signal simulations were m ade at xed H iggs boson m asses, but in order to test a continuous range of m asses, interpolation software [27] was used to create signalPD Fs at arbitrary m asses. In the last year of operation, LE P energy was varied continuously while simulations were m ade at xed beam energies. The same interpolation software was used to create signal and background PDFs at the correct centre-of-m ass energies [1]. The interpolation was done by linearly interpolating the cum ulative distributions taking as a param eter the signalm ass or the centre-of-m ass energy. The procedure has been tested over ranges up to 40 G eV = c^2 in m ass while the actual shifts in the simulations were up to 0.3 G eV in $\frac{p}{p}$ to 40 G ev = c 2 in m ass while the actual shifts in the simulations were up to $\frac{p}{s}$, and 5 G eV = c² in m ass for the hZ signals overall, but less than 0.5 G eV = c² for H iggs boson m asses between 113.5 and 116.5 G eV = c^2 . For the hA channels, the actual shifts were 5 G eV = c^2 in either m ass for H iggs boson m asses between 80 and 95 G eV = c^2 and up to 20 G eV = c^2 elsewhere. Com parisons of simulated and interpolated distributions for a given m ass were m ade in all channels and showed good agreem ent.

3.3 The case of non-independent channels

W hen combining the results in all channels to derive con dence levels, only independent channels m ust be included, which requires som e special treatm ent for a few non-independent cases.

Table 2: a) list of signals from the two lightest H iggs bosons h and A treated by a single analysis: the signal expectations are com bined (rates added, PD Fs sum m ed with weights according to the rates) prior to the con dence level calculations. b) list of dierent analyses of the same nal state: only one analysis is selected at each point in the scans, based on the best expected perform ance for exclusion. In this Table, h and A denote any neutralH iggsboson allowed to be produced in the indicated production processes.

The rst case is that of dierent signals covered by the same analysis. The treatm ent of this depended upon whether the analyses were them selves independent of the m ass hypothesis for the H iggs bosons. The set of search channels (see Table 1) contains m ostly analyses of this kind. In that case, all signals selected by one analysis were combined into one global channel prior to the condence level computation. Expected rates were added together and PD Fs were sum m ed with weights given by the expected rates of the individual signals. A s an illustration, Table 2-a gives the list of these signals and analyses on the exam ple of the production of the lightest H iggs bosons, h and A , through the hZ and hA processes. W hen extending the combination to the third H iggs boson, H , the sam e procedure was followed, rst for the various signals from that boson in the H Z and HA processes, and then to combine hZ and HZ signals or hA and HA signals. The PDF com bination in such a case is illustrated in Fig. 3.

A dierent procedure was applied in the case of dierent signals covered by the same analysis whose selections do depend on the m ass hypothesis, as m ost searches of R ef. [22] do. D i erent signals are covered by these analyses only when including signals from the third H iggs boson, H . In that case, in each analysis only one signal (from either h or H) was selected at each point in the scanned param eter space and at each centre-of-m ass energy, on the basis of the sm allest expected CL_s from experim ents with no signal (that is, on the basis of the strongest average exclusion if no signal is present).

The second case of non-independent channels is that of a large overlap in the events selected by dierent analyses sensitive to the same nalstate. The list of such analyses and nal states is detailed in Table $2-b$. A gain, for each nal state, only that analysis with the strongest expected exclusion power was retained at each test point. This is not optim albut ensures that the channels which are then combined in the global condence level com putations are independent.

W hen the two cases just described (di erent signals covered by one analysis, di erent analyses sensitive to the same nalstate) were present simultaneously, the signal addition was perform ed before the nalanalysis selection. Then if that step involved m ore than two analyses, the nal selection was m ade in successive iterations. To quote the four- \pm nal state as an exam ple, at energies above 190 G eV, the total hZ and hA signals were rst com puted in each of the three four-jet analyses of Ref. [1] and in the four-b analysis ofR ef.[21]. This sum m ed three signals in the low and high m ass hZ dedicated four-jet analyses ((h ! qq) (Z ! qq), (h ! AA) (Z ! qq) and hA ! bbbb), two signals in the hA dedicated four-jet analysis (hA ! bbbb and (h ! qq) (Z ! qq)) and three signals in the four-b analysis $((h \tA A)A, (h \tA A)(Z \tC qq)$ and hA $!$ bbbb). The signals due the third H iggs boson, H, were computed in the same way and added to those from the h boson. Then, a choice was m ade between the low and high m ass hZ dedicated four-jet analyses. The result of this selection was compared with the hA dedicated four-jet analysis, and the best of these was confronted with the four-b analysis. A choice was m ade between the rem aining analysis and the best between the various

avour-blind m ulti-jet analyses, that is the low m ass and high m ass hZ dedicated avourblind analyses, and the three and four-jet hA dedicated avour-blind analyses [22]. As m ulti-jet avour-blind analyses usem ass-hypothesis dependent criteria, selecting the best one im plied also a choice between the h and H signals for each ofthem . The analysis retained was nally compared with the result of the selection between the two charged H iggs multi-jet analyses, the cscs and W AW A dedicated analyses [23].

Figure 3: A n exam ple of two-dim ensional PD Fs from the analysis of the hZ $!$ qq $+$ channelat \overline{s} = 206.6 GeV [1]. The rst discriminant variable is built from the reconstructed H iggs boson m ass while the second is the event b-tagging variable. Top, left: PDF for a hZ signal with $m_h = 102$ G eV = c². Top, right: PDF for a HZ signal with m_H = 115 G eV = c^2 . Bottom: PD F expected from the occurrence of both signals in a scenario where the expectations for the two signals are similar (cross-sections 32 and 42 fb, branching fractions into bb 92% and 91%, selection e ciencies 69% and 66% for hZ and H Z, respectively) leading to a double peak in the combined PDF.

 $\overline{5}$

 -2 $\boldsymbol{0}$ 2 4 6

5

 $10 \t 15 \t 10$

 (GeV)

 \sqrt{s} -91- $m_{h,H}$

4 T he C P-conserving M SSM scenarios

In m ost of the param eter space of the C P-conserving M SSM scenarios, only hZ and hA productions are kinem atically possible at LEP energies. These processes have com plem entary cross-sections since the hZZ and hZ couplings are proportional to $sin($) and $cos($), respectively, where tan is the ratio of the doublet vacuum expectation values and is the H iggs doublet m ixing angle which enters the denition of the two CP even H iggs eigenstates as a m ixture of the real, neutral com ponents of the initial H iggs eld doublets $[2,28]$. If kinem atically allowed, hZ production dom inates at low tan or at large m_A , while in the rest of the param eter space, it is suppressed with respect to hA pair-production. The third neutral H iggs boson, H, in som e scenarios and in lim ited regions of the param eter space, is light enough and can be produced with a large H Z or HA cross-section. A s the H ZZ coupling is proportional to $\cos($), and the HAZ one is proportional to $sin($), H Z production, when allowed by kinem atics, plays a role at large tan , and HA production at low tan . Sim ilarily, charged H iggs bosons kinem atically accessible atLE P 2 energies are predicted in lim ited regions ofthe param eter space, typically when A is light, whatever tan . The m inim alvalue of the m ass of such charged H iggs bosons is 60 G eV = c^2 in the scenarios under study. The coverage of the region of the M SSM param eter space kinem atically accessible at LEP is then assured prim arily by the hZ and hA searches, with the help of the $H Z$, $H A$ and to a lesser extent H ⁺ H channels.

At tree level, the production cross-sections and the H iggs branching fractions in the M SSM depend on two free param eters, usually chosen as tan and one H iggs boson m ass, or, alternatively, two H iggs boson m asses, e.g. m $_A$ and m $_h$. R adiative corrections introduce additional param eters related to supersym m etry breaking $[2,28]$. H ereafter, the usual assum ption that som e of them are equal at a given energy scale is m ade: hence, the SU (2) and U (1) gaugino m ass param eters are assum ed to be uni ed at the so-called GUT scale, while the sferm ion m ass param eters or the squark trilinear couplings are taken to be equal at the EW α scale. W ithin these assumptions, the param eters beyond tree level are: the top quark m ass, the H iggs m ixing param eter, , which de nes the H iggsino m ass param eter at the EW scale, the com m on sferm ion m ass param eter at the EW scale, M $_{\text{susy}}$, the SU (2) gaugino m ass param eter at the EW scale, M $_2$, the gluino m ass, m_{σ} , and the comm on squark trilinear coupling at the EW scale, A. The U (1) gaugino m ass term at the EW scale, M₁, is related to M₂ through the GUT relation M $_1$ = (5=3)tan 2 _W M $_2$. The radiative corrections a ect the H iggs boson m asses and couplings, with the largest contributions arising from loops involving the third generation quarks and squarks (top/stop and, at large values of tan, bottom /sbottom). As an exam ple, the h boson m ass, which is below that of the Z boson at tree level, increases by a few tens of G eV = c^2 in som e regions of the M SSM param eter space due to radiative corrections.

4.1 T he benchm ark scenarios

In the following, eight benchm ark scenarios are considered, as suggested in R ef. $[29]$. The values of their underlying param eters are quoted in Table 3. The rst three scenarios are those usually studied at LEP. They have been proposed to test the sensitivity of LEP to H iggs bosons with either m asses close to the kinem atic lim it or decays dicult to detect. Sim ilarly, the ve other scenarios are aim ed at testing the sensitivity of the

scenario	M _{susy}	M ₂	m_{σ}		X_{+}
				(G eV = c ²)	
m_h^{max}	1000	200	800	-200	2 M $_{\rm susy}$
no m ixing	1000	200	800	-200	
large	400	400	200	1000	-300
$m h^{max}$, > 0	1000	200	800	200	$2 M_{\text{susy}}$
m_{h}^{max} , > 0, X $_{t}$ < 0	1000	200	800	200	-2 M $_{\rm susy}$
nom ixing, > 0 , large M susy	2000	200	800	200	$\left(\right)$
gluophobic	350	300	500	300	-750
sm all	800	500	500	$2.5 M$ _{susy}	-1100

Table 3: Values of the underlying param eters for the eight representative M SSM scenarios scanned in this paper. Note that $X_t = A$ cot. These scenarios have been studied for several values of the top quark m ass, $m_{top} = 169.2$, 174.3, 179.4 and 183.0 G eV = c^2 .

H iggs boson searches at hadron colliders. It is thus interesting to establish the LE P constraints in such m odels too.

The rst two scenarios, called the $m \frac{m}{h}$ ax scenario and the no m ixing scenario, dier only by the value of $X_t = A$ cot, the param eter which controls the m ixing in the stop sector (through the product m $_{top}X_t$). This param eter has the largest im pact on the m ass of the h boson. The m $\frac{m}{h}$ axim aximum aximum possible h m ass as a function of tan. The no m ixing scenario is its counterpart with vanishing m ixing, leading to theoretical upper bounds on m_h which are at least 15 G eV = c^2 lower than in the m $_{\rm h}^{\rm m\,ax}$ schem e.

The third scenario is called the large scenario to account for a large, positive value of . A sa consequence of the low value of M_{susy} and them oderatem ixing in the stop sector, this scenario predicts at least one CP-even H iggs boson with a m ass within kinem atic reach $at \, LEP\,2$ in each point of the M SSM param eter space. H owever, there are regions forwhich detecting such a H iggs boson is dicult because of vanishing branching fractions into b-quarks. The values chosen for and X $_t$ are indeed such that, in these regions,</sub> radiative corrections lead to suppressed couplings to b-quarks for one or the other CPeven H iggs boson. The dom inant decays in these regions being still into hadrons, the m ain analysis channels suer from large backgrounds. This scenario was designed to test the sensitivity of LEP through analyses that could not bene t from the b-tagging capabilities of the experim ents.

Among the veother benchm ark scenarios, three are variants of the m $_{\rm h}^{\rm max}$ and no m ixing scenarios. The sign of and that of the m ixing param eter have been reversed in the two scenarios derived from the LEP $m \frac{m}{h}$ ax scenario. The changes in the H iggs boson m ass spectrum and properties are sm all. The sign of has been reversed and the value of M susy has been doubled in the scenario derived from the nomixing scenario of LEP. The higher M susy scale leads to a few G eV = c^2 increase of the theoretical upper bound on m_h . The last two scenarios have been proposed to test potentially dicult cases for the searches at hadron colliders. H ence, the gluophobic scenario presents regions where the m ain production channel at the $L H C$, gluon fusion, is suppressed due to cancellations between the top quark and stop quark loops in the production process. Finally, in the sm all scenario, im portant decay channels at the Tevatron and at the LH C, h! bb and h! $^+$, are suppressed at large tan and m oderate m $_A$. In these regions, the radiatively corrected m ixing angle is low, resulting in suppressed couplings

Table 4: M axim alvalue of m h (in G eV = c^2) in the eight bend m ark M SSM scenarios studied in this paper, as a function of m_{top} . R adiative corrections include all dom inant second-order loop term s [30]. The m axim um value of m_h corresponds approxim ately to them inim um value of them ass of the third H iggs boson, H.Bold values indicate scenarios where this boson is kinem atically accessible at LEP.

of the ligthest CP-even H iggs boson to down-type ferm ions since these couplings are proportionalto sin /cos .

In all scenarios, the radiative corrections have been com puted in the Feynm andiagram m atic approach with all dom inant two-loop order term s included, using version 2.0 of the FeynH iggs code [30]. A sa rst illustration of the dierent scenarios, Table 4 gives the m aximum value of m_h allowed by theory in each of them, for the four values of m_{top} studied in this paper. At a given m_{top} value, the three $m_{h}^{m \text{ ax}}$ scenarios give the highest upper bounds on m_h , the positive scenario leading to the m axim alvalue. The large scenario presents the low est upper bound, followed in increasing order by the no m ixing scenario, the gluophobic one, the no m ixing scenario with positive and the sm all

schem e. Them aximum value of m_h increases signi cantly with m_{top} . The eectism ost im portant in the three $m \frac{m}{h}$ scenarios, and is much sm aller in the others, especially in the large schem e. It m ust be noted that the m axim um value of m $_h$ corresponds approxim ately to the m inimum value of m $_H$ in regions of large H ZZ couplings (see Fig.4). Thus, there are a few scenarios where the H signal is expected to contribute to the experim ental sensitivity. These are indicated in bold characters in Table 4.

To illustrate further the H iggs boson phenom enology at LEP , a few properties are com pared in Fig. 4 in the case of the nomixing and $m \frac{m}{h}$ ax scenarios for a top quark m ass of 174.3 G eV = c^2 . The gures show ing m asses and cross-sections underline the im portance of the signal from the heavy scalar, H , which can be kinem atically accessible at $LEP2$ energies with a large H Z production cross-section at large tan and m oderate m $_A$, up to about 100 G eV = c^2 . The width curves dem onstrate that, at large tan , neutral H iggs bosons can have a width exceeding the experim entalresolution which is ofthe order of 1 to 3 G eV = c^2 depending on the search channel. At m oderate m_A, this a ects the h and A bosons and thus the hA production mode, but not the HZ one. At large m_A , width e ects becom e negligible for the h boson so that the hZ production m ode, which is the only possible dom inant m ode in that region, is not a ected. The qures showing branching fractions compare the nomiting and m_h^{max} scenarios at low tan. In both scenarios, the h branching fraction into bb decreases to the pro t of that into AA at very low tan , but the residual b b branching ratio is signi cantly higher in the $m \frac{m}{h}$ ax scenario.

Figure 4: Properties of the three neutral H iggs bosons of the C P-conserving M SSM in the nomixing and $m \frac{m}{h}$ ^{ax} scenarios with $m \frac{top}{p} = 174.3$ G eV =c². Top: H and h m asses and H, h and A production cross-sections at $\sqrt{5}$ = 206 G eV, at various tan values. M iddle: h, H and A widths as a function of m_A and tan . Bottom: h branching fractions as a function of m_A at low to m oderate values of tan . D ecays into bb (solid lines) and A A (dashed lines) are com pared. A lldom inant two-loop orderradiative corrections are

Finally, it should be noted that our previous M SSM interpretation of Ref. [1] relied on partial two-bop order radiative corrections [31]. In the present paper, these have been updated to include all dom inant two-loop order corrections [30]. This leads to signi cant changes in the H iggs boson m asses and properties. The m ain e ect is an increase of the m axim um (resp. m in im um) allowed value of the h (resp. H) boson m ass at xed tan. As a consequence, the experim ental sensitivity in tan and that in m_H are expected to decrease. A review of the changes induced by the m ore complete corrections on the experim entalsensitivity of D E LP H I is given in R ef. [32,33] in the fram ework of the three LEP scenarios, keeping identical experim ental inputs.

4.2 Scan procedure

In each scenario, a scan was perform ed over the M SSM param eters tan and m $_{\text{A}}$. The range in m $_{\text{A}}$ spans from 0.02 G eV = c^2 up to 1 TeV/ c^2 . Values of m $_{\text{A}}$ leading to unphysical negative m ass squared values were rem oved from the scans. Such points are rather rare, except in the large , gluophobic and sm all scenarios (see section 5). The range in tan extends from them inim alvalue allowed in each scenario² up to 50, a value chosen in the vicinity of the ratio of the top-and b-quark m asses, above which the H iggsbottom Yukawa coupling is expected to become unreliable (see e.g. $[2]$). The scan steps were 1 G eV = c^2 in m_A and 0.1 in tan in the regions where m_b varies rapidly with these param eters. At low m_A , where the decay m odes change rapidly with the H iggs boson m ass, values tested were 0.02 , 0.1 , 0.25 , 0.5 , 1.5 and 3 G eV = c^2 .

At each point of the param eter space, the neutral and charged H iggs cross-sections and their branching fractions were taken from databases provided by the LEP H iggs working group $[7]$, on the basis of the theoretical calculations in Ref. $[30]$, com pleted by that in R ef. [34] for the charged H iggs boson branching fractions. The signals from the third H iggs boson, H, were included in the channel com bination at each point where m_H was found to be below 120 G eV = c^2 , the ultim ate sensitivity of LEP. The signal expectations in each channel were then derived from the theoretical cross-sections and branching fractions, the experim ental lum inosity and the e ciencies. If necessary, a correction was applied to account for dierent branching fractions of the H iggs bosons between the test point and the $\sin u$ lation (e.g. for the hZ and H Z processes, the $\sin u$ lation was done in the SM fram ework).

A s stated in the previous section, neutral H iggs bosons can have non-negligible widths at large tan when m $_A$ is above a few tens of G eV = c^2 . In this region, the experim ental sensitivity is dom inated by the LE P 2 hA analyses dedicated to standard M SSM nal states. To account for width e ects in these channels, e ciencies derived from simulations with h and A widths below $1 \text{ GeV} = c^2$ (see e.g. Ref. [1]) were applied for tan < 30 only. A bove that value, e ciencies were linearly interpolated in tan between the e ciencies from these simulations and those from simulations at tan $= 50$ where the H iggs boson widths exceed the experim ental resolution. As the H iggs boson widths grow approxim ately linearly with tan above 30_l a linear interpolation is valid. The same holds for the discrim inant inform ation, for which the same interpolation software was used as discussed in section 3.2 for the PDF interpolation in m ass or centre-of-m ass energy. The e ect of the H iggs boson widths on the PDFs of the hA signals and the interpolation in tan of these PD Fs are illustrated in Fig.5. Note that the hZ and H Z channels at large tan are not a ected by such an e ect since in m ost of the regions where they possibly contribute, their widths are below the experim ental resolution, as shown in Fig. 4 .

Figure 5: Two-dim ensional PDFs used in the analysis of the hA! bbbb channel at \overline{s} = 206.6 GeV [1]. The rst discriminant variable is the sum of the reconstructed H iggs boson m asses while the second is a neural network output. Top, left: PDF for a hA signalwith m $_A$ = m $_h$ = 90 G eV = c² and h and A widths below 1 G eV = c². Top, right: PDF for a hA signalwith $m_A = m_h = 90$ G eV = c² and tan = 50. The H iggs boson widths in that case are 5 and 9 G eV = c^2 for A and h, respectively. Bottom: PDF linearily interpolated in tan at a value of 37.

5 Results in CP-conserving MSSM scenarios

The regions of the M SSM param eter space excluded at 95% C L or m ore by combining the searches of Table 1 are hereafter discussed in turn for each scenario. The exclusion is dom inated by the searches for neutral H iggs bosons in standard M SSM nalstates. The searches for neutral H iggs bosons decaying into hadrons of any avour and the charged H iggs boson searches com plete the exclusion in restricted regions of the param eter space. In addition, the $\lim_{t \to \infty}$ on the Z partial width that would be due to new physics [35], new < 6.6 M eV = c^2 is used as an external constraint on the hA process at LEP1. A detailed account of the in pact of these auxiliary constraints can be found in Ref. [33,36].

The m_h^{max} scenario 5.1

The excluded regions in the m_h^{max} scenario are presented in the $(m_h, \tan h, m_A, \tan h)$ tan) and (m_h, m_A) planes in Fig. 6 for a top m ass value of 174.3 G eV = c^2 . B asizally, the exclusion is m ade by the results in the hZ (hA) channels in the low (large) tan region while they both contribute at interm ediate values. The searches for the heavy scalar, H , brings no additional sensitivity since H is not kinematically accessible in this scenario (see Table 4). The above results establish the following 95% CL lower limits on m_h and m_A for $m_{\text{top}} = 174.3 \text{ G eV} = c^2$:

$$
\rm m_{h} > 89.7 \, \rm{GeV} = \rm{c}^{2} \qquad \rm m_{A} > 90.4 \, \rm{G} \, \rm{eV} = \rm{c}^{2}
$$

for any value of tan between 0.4 and 50. The expected m edian lim its are 90.6 G eV = c^2 for m_h and 90.8 G eV = c^2 form α . The observed lim it in m_A (m $_h$) is reached at tan around 20 (10), in a region where both the hZ and hA processes contribute. Form $_{\text{top}} = 174.3 \text{ G eV} = c^2$ the range in tan between 0.7 and 1.9 (expected $[0.7-1.9]$) is excluded for any value of m_A between 0.02 and 1000 G eV = c^2 . These lim its and exclusions, as well as those for all the CP-conserving scenarios, are summ arized in Table 5.

Them $_{\text{top}}$ dependence of the above Im its was also studied, as reported in Table 5. The m ass lim its rem ain unchanged when varying m_{top} , for m_h is insensitive to m_{top} in the region of large tan and interm ediate m_A where the lim its are set. On the other hand, the excluded range in tan is governed by the m axim all value of m $_h$, which is reached at large m_A where m_h is very sensitive to m_{top} , as illustrated in the top left-hand plot in Fig. 6: hence the variation of the \lim its in tan as reported in Table 5 and Fig. 14. An exclusion in tan exists for a top m ass up to 179.4 G eV = c^2 which is about three standard deviations higher than the current average m_{top} m easurem ent. The exclusion would vanish for a top m ass as high as 183.0 G eV = c^2 .

The m_h^{max} scenario but with positive and either sign for X_t 5.2

The excluded regions for a top m ass value of 174.3 G eV = c^2 are presented in F ig. 7 for the m_h^{max} scenario with positive , keeping X $_t$ positive as in the original m_h^{max} scenario, and in Fig. 8 for the m_h^{max} scenario with positive and negative X_t. The results are quite similar to those in the original m^{m ax} scenario. M ass limits are within 200 M eV = c^2 of those in the previous section and do not vary signi cantly with m_{top} , as reported in Table 5.

To compare observed and m edian limits, the 95% CL lower limits on m_h and m_A in the m^{m ax} scenario with positive for m $_{top}$ = 174.3 G eV = c² are:

Figure 6: M SSM $\,$ m $_{\rm h}^{\rm max} \,$ scenario for a top m ass of 174.3 G eV = c^2 : regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sam ple (light-grey). The dashed curves show the m edian expected lim its. The m edium -grey areas are the regions not allowed by theory. The dash-dotted lines in the top left-hand plot are the theoretical upper bounds for a top m ass of 169.2, 179.4 and 183.0 G eV = c^2 (from left to right).

Figure 7: M SSM $\,$ m $^{\text{m ax}}_{\text{h}}\,$ scenario with positive $\,$ for a top m ass of 174.3 G eV = c 2 : regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sam ple (light-grey). The dashed curves show the m edian expected lim its. The m edium -grey areas are the regions not allowed by theory. The dash-dotted lines in the top left-hand plot are the theoretical upper bounds for a top m ass of 169.2, 179.4 and 183.0 G eV = c^2 (from left to right).

Figure 8: M SSM $\,$ m $_{\rm h}^{\rm max}$ scenario with positive and negative X $_{\rm t}$ for a top m ass of 174.3 G eV = c^2 : regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sam ple (light-grey). The dashed curves show the m edian expected lim its. The m edium -grey areas are the regions not allowed by theory. The dash-dotted lines in the top left-hand plot are the theoretical upper bounds for a top m ass of 169.2 , 179.4 and 183.0 G eV = c^2 (from left to right).

$$
m_h > 89.6 \text{ GeV} = c^2 \qquad m_A > 90.3 \text{ GeV} = c^2
$$

for any value of tan between 0.4 and 50. The expected m edian lim its are 90.3 G eV = c^2 for m_h and 90.4 G eV = c^2 for m_A . The 95% C L lower lim its on m_h and m_A in the m_h^{max} scenario with positive and negative X $_{\rm t}$ for m $_{\rm top}$ = 174.3 G eV = c² are:

$$
m_h > 89.6 \text{ GeV} = c^2 \qquad m_A > 90.4 \text{ GeV} = c^2
$$

for any value of \tan between 0.4 and 50. The expected m edian lim its are 90.4 G eV = c^2 for m_h and 90.6 G eV = c^2 for m_A .

The excluded ranges in tan are dierent in the three $m \frac{m}{h}$ ax scenarios, since they have dierent theoreticalupper bounds on m $_h$. For m $_{top}$ = 174.3 G eV = c^2 the excluded range in the m_h^{max} scenario with positive lies between 0.7 and 2.0 (expected $[0.8-2.0]$), while in the m_h^{max} scenario with positive and negative X $_t$ it spans from 0.6 to 2.5 (expected [0.6-2.4]). These lim its are valid for any value of m_A between 0.02 and 1000 G eV = c^2 . N ote that despite the higher m axim alvalue of m $_{\rm h}$ in the m $_{\rm h}^{\rm m\,ax}$ scenario with positive , the m ost conservative $\lim_{n \to \infty}$ in tangent are still derived in the original m $\frac{m}{h}$ ax scenario (see Section 5.1), re ecting the dierences in the theoretical upper bounds at tan around 1 (see top left-hand plots in Fig. 6, 7 and 8). The m_{top} dependence of the above lim its is presented in Table 5 and Fig.14. For a top m ass as high as 183 G eV = c^2 , there would be no longer any exclusion in tan in the m $_n^{\text{max}}$ scenario with positive , while there is still one in the scenario with positive and negative X $_t$ due to the lower m axim alvalue of</sub> m_h in that scenario.

5.3 T he no m ixing scenario

The excluded regions in the no m ixing scenario are presented in Fig.9 for a top m ass value of 174.3 G eV = c^2 . In this scenario, if the top is not too heavy, the heavy scalar, H, is kinem atically accessible at large tan and m oderate m $_A$, the region where the m ass lim its in m_A and m_h are set. Thus, allowing for its production increases the sensitivity of the searches.

The zoom at low m_A in the (m_h, m_A) projection shows that the direct searches leave three unexcluded regions below 12 G eV = c^2 in m_A. The thin strip along the theoretical lower bound on m_h at very low m_A (hardly visible in the gure) is excluded by the lim it on the Z partialwidth that would be due to new physics [35], $new < 6:6$ M eV = c^2 , which, when applied to the hA process, translates into an excluded region that encom passes that area. This is not the case for the two other unexcluded regions. These have tan below 1.0 and m_h between 59 and 82 G eV = c^2 . In that region, m_A is below the kinem atic threshold $m_h = 2m_A$, the decay h ! A A opens and supplants the h ! bb m ode, as can be seen in Fig. 4. O urLEP 2 h! A A searches, covering A m asses above the cc threshold (see Table 1), have no sensitivity below $4 \text{ G eV} = c^2 \text{ in m A}$. Sim ilarly, charged H iggs bosons, although kinem atically accessible with a m ass between 57 and 82 G eV = c^2 , have a large branching fraction into W A in this region. A sour charged H iggs boson searches in these channels assum e m $_A$ above 12 G eV = c^2 (see Table 1), the overall experim ental sensitivity in these regions rem ains weak and no exclusion at 95% C L can be derived, in agreem ent with the expected perform ance. The largest value of CL_s is 7% in the unexcluded region around 12 G eV = c^2 in m $_A$ and 33% in the unexcluded hole below 4 G eV = c^2 . N ote that the nearby region with m $_h$ from 82 G eV = c^2 to the theoretical upper bound on m $_h$ is excluded

Figure 9: M SSM no m ixing scenario for a top m ass of 174.3 G eV = c^2 : regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sam ple (light-grey). Am ong the three unexcluded regions at low m_A , the strip at low m_h is fully excluded by the lim it on the Z partial width that would be due to new physics [35]. The dashed curves show the m edian expected lim its. The m edium -grey areas are the regions not allowed by theory. The dash-dotted lines in the top left-hand plot are the theoretical upper bounds for a top m ass of 169.2, 179.4 and 183.0 G eV = c^2 $(from$ left to right).

at 95% C L by the charged H iggs boson searches through their ferm ionic decays which dom inate the W A m ode there.

The above results establish the following 95% C L lower lim its on m_h and m_A for $m_{\rm top} = 174.3$ G eV = c^2 :

$$
m_h > 90\,7\, \text{G eV} = c^2 \qquad m_A > 91\,2\, \text{G eV} = c^2
$$

for any value of \tan between 1.0 and 50. The expected m edian lim its are 91.1 G eV = c^2 for both m_h and m_A . The observed lim its in m_A and m_h are reached at tan around 15, in a region where both the hZ and hA processes contribute. For $m_{\text{top}} = 174.3 \text{ GeV} = c^2$, two ranges in tan are excluded for any value of θ _A between 0.02 and 1000 G eV = c^2 , the largest interval being between 1.0 and 9.7 (expected $[0.9-7.7]$).

Them $_{\text{top}}$ dependence of the above lim its was studied, as shown in Table 5 and Fig. 14. In this scenario, both them ass lim its and the excluded range in tan changewhen varying m_{top} . Indeed, as already m entioned, them asslim its in m_A and m_h rely on the searches for H, whose m ass is very sensitive to m $_{\text{top}}$ in the region where the lim its are set. Sim ilarly, the m axim alvalue of m_h,which governs the lim its in tan , is reached at large m_A where m_h is very sensitive to m_{top} (see Table 4). Note that for a top mass of 169 G eV = c^2 , m_H decreases by 3 G eV = c^2 in the region where the m ass lim its are set, m aking the H signalm ore within the sensitivity of $LEP2$: the whole param eter space of the nom ixing scenario is then accessible and found to be excluded at 95% C L, apart from two holes at tan below 1.0, one at m_A around 12 G eV = c^2 , which is excluded at 92% C L, and a larger one below $4 \text{ G eV} = c^2$, which is disfavoured at 69% C L only.

5.4 The nomixing scenario but with positive and large M $_{\text{slsw}}$

The excluded regions in the nomixing scenario with positive and large M_{susy} are presented in Fig. 10 for a top m ass value of 174.3 G eV = c^2 . The larger M $_{\text{susv}}$ m akes the im pact of the H signal, and hence the exclusion lim its, weaker than in the previous scenario. On the other hand, the results in the low m ass region, at m $_A$ below 12 G eV = c^2 , are sim ilar to those in the nom ixing scenario. The direct searches leave a tiny unexcluded strip at low m_h and very low m_A which is excluded by the lim it on n_{em} . Three other regions, at m $_{\rm h}$ between 56 and 72 G eV = c^2 , rem ain unexcluded even when charged H iggs boson searches are included, due to the large branching fraction into W A decays, which are not covered by these searches at such low A m asses. The holes around 8 and 12G eV = c^2 in m $_A$ are how ever excluded at 93% and 91% C L, respectively, while the larger area below 4 G eV = c^2 in m_A is disfavoured at 60% C L only.

The above results establish the following 95% C L lower lim its on m_h and m_A for $m_{\text{top}} = 174.3 \text{ G eV} = c^2$:

$$
m_h > 89.8 \text{ GeV} = c^2 \qquad m_A > 90.6 \text{ GeV} = c^2
$$

for any value of tan between 1.0 and 50. The expected m edian lim its are 90.5 G eV = c^2 form $_h$ and 90.6 G eV = c^2 m $_A$. Form $_{top}$ = 174.3 G eV = c^2 the range in tan between 1.0 and 4.5 (expected [1.0-4.3]) is excluded for any value of m_A between 0.02 and 1000 G eV = c^2 .

The m_{top} dependence of the above lim its is presented in Table 5 and Fig. 14. The m ass lim its vary only slightly with m $_{top}$, since in the region where these are set, m h is insensitive to m $_{\text{top}}$ while m $_{\text{H}}$, although sensitive to m $_{\text{top}}$, is very close to the kinem atic lim it. Contrary to the case of the nomixing scenario, the param eter space of this scenario does not becom e fully accessible for a top m ass of 169 G eV = c^2 , due to too high an upper

Figure 10: M SSM no m ixing scenario with positive and large M $_{\text{susy}}$ for a top m ass of 174.3 G eV = c^2 : regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sam ple (light-grey). A m ong the four unexcluded regions at low m_A , the strip at low m_h is fully excluded by the lim it on the Z partialwidth that would be due to new physics [35]. The dashed curves show the m edian expected lim its. The m edium -grey areas are the regions not allowed by theory. The dash-dotted lines in the top left-hand plot are the theoretical upper bounds for a top m ass of 169.2 , 179.4 and 183.0 G eV = c^2 (from left to right).

(resp. lower) bound on m_h (resp. m_H). The exclusion is thus much weaker than in the no m ixing scheme but stronger than in the m $_{\rm h}^{\rm m\,ax}$ scenarios.

5.5 T he large scenario

The excluded regions in the large scenario are presented in the (m $_h$, tan) and (m $_A$, tan) planes in Fig. 11 for values of the top quark m ass of 174.3 and 179.4 G eV = c^2 . In these gures, the contribution of the H signal and that of the searches for neutral H iggs bosons decaying into hadrons of any avour are highlighted.

A large fraction of the allowed dom ain is excluded by the searches for the h, A and H H iggs bosons into standard M SSM nalstates. In particular, since the theoretical upper bound on the h boson m ass in this scenario is low (around 110.0 G eV = c^2 , see Table 4), the sensitivity of the hZ channels is high even at large tan , which explains why the excluded region reaches the theoretically forbidden area for large values of tan . As the value of the upper bound on m h is also the theoretical lower bound on m $_H$ at large tan , allow ing for the production of H translates into a signi cant gain in exclusion, nam ely at tan above 8. The searches for neutral Higgs bosons decaying into hadrons of any avour bring an additional exclusion in regions left unexcluded by the standard searches at tan around 14. Atm oderatem $_A$, hZ and hA productions are low due to weak hZZ couplings for hZ and to kinem atics for hA. On the other hand, HZ production is large but H is decoupled from bb. At larger m_A , hA and H Z productions are kinem atically forbidden, hZ production is large but the h! bb branching fraction vanishes. In both cases, the H iggs boson whose production is allowed (H or h) has a large branching fraction into hadrons and a m ass close to the sensitivity of our searches for a neutral H iggs boson decaying into hadrons and fully coupled to the Z.This explains why these searches lead to an additional but only partial exclusion in these regions. Note that increasing the top quark m ass from 174.3 to 179.4 G eV = c^2 leads to a larger unexcluded area. There are indeed m ore points with vanishing h or H branching fractions into bb and, as m_h and m_H increase with m_{top} , the im pact of the searches for hadronically decaying H iggs bosons also becom es weaker. H owever, when com bining the four LEP experim ents, the sensitivity ofthese searches increases and becom es high enough to cover alm ost entirely these regions of vanishing branching fractions into bb [7].

Below 3 G eV = c^2 in m $_A$, the direct searches leave two unexcluded holes at tan around 1. The one at tan above 1 is fully excluded by the $\lim_{m \to \infty}$ for either value of m_{top} . The hole at tan below 1 rem ains unexcluded. The largest value of CL_s in this area is 12% for $m_{\text{top}} = 174.3$ G eV = c and 6% for $m_{\text{top}} = 179.4$ G eV = c.

The above results establish the following 95% C L lower lim its on m_h and m_A for $m_{\text{top}} = 174.3 \text{ G eV} = c^2$:

$$
m_h > 94.2 \text{ G eV} = c^2 \qquad m_A > 96.6 \text{ G eV} = c^2
$$

for any value of tan between 0.9 and 50. The expected m edian lim its are 90.3 G eV = c^2 for m_h and 92.8 G eV = c^2 for m_A . The observed lim its in m_A and m_h are reached at tan around 14 , in a region where the hZ , HZ and hA processes contribute. For m_{top} = 174.3 G eV = c^2 , two ranges in tan are excluded for any value of m_A between 0.02 and 1000 G eV $=c^2$, the largest interval being between 0.9 and 13.7 (expected [0.9-12.9]).

The m $_{\text{top}}$ dependence of the above lim its is presented in Table 5 and Fig. 14. Except for m $_{top}$ = 174.3 G eV = c^2 , the m ass lim its vary only slightly with m $_{top}$ and are in agreem ent with the expected ones. The dierence at m $_{top}$ = 174.3 G eV = c^2 has been

Figure 11: M SSM large scenario: regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the H iggs boson searches in the whole D ELP H I data sam ple (light-grey area and em bedded dom ains in m edium - and dark-grey). R esults are shown for two values of the top m ass, 174.3 and 179.4 G eV = c^2 . The dom ains embedded in the light-grey area at large tan are excluded by the searches for the heavy scalar H iggs boson, H (m edium -grey or green) and by the
avour-blind searches (dark-grey or dark-blue). O f the two unexcluded holes at low m_A , the one at tan above 1 is excluded by the lim it on the Z partialwidth [35] that would be due to new physics. The dashed curves show the m edian expected lim its. The m edium -grey areas with bold contours are the regions not allowed by theory. Note in particular the large region forbidden at low m_A in the $(m_A$, tan) projections, which is due to points leading to unphysical h m asses.

traced back to the decit in data with respect to background expectations which was observed in the
avour-blind searches applied to the H iggsstrahlung process [22]when testing m asses above 100 G eV = c^2 , which corresponds to the range of m_H values in the region where them ass lim its are obtained in the large scenario. In this region, the set of independent channels which are selected to be statistically com bined (see Sec. 3.3) varies strongly from one top m ass value to the other, due to still large H branching fractions into bb at $m_{top} = 169.2$ G eV = c^2 and to m_H values increasing with m_{top} (see Table 4). At $m_{\text{top}} = 174.3$ GeV = c^2 , the weight of the avour-blind H Z searches is m axim aland the de cit in data of these searches translates into a dierence between the observed and m edian lim its.

5.6 T he gluophobic scenario

For the gluophobic scenario the excluded regions in the (m_h, \tan) , (m_A, \tan) and (m_h, m_A) planes are presented in Fig. 12 for a top m ass value of 174.3 G eV = c^2 . A lthough this scenario was designed to test H iggs boson searches at hadron colliders, with a phenom enology very dierent from that of LEP , results are similar to those derived in the previous scenarios. The exclusion is de ned by the results in the hZ (hA) channels in the low (large) tan region while they both contribute at interm ediate values. The direct searches leave several unexcluded holes below 4 G eV = c^2 in m_A and at tan below 2 , which are all excluded by the $\lim_{n \to \infty}$ in $\lim_{n \to \infty}$.

The above results establish the following 95% C L lower lim its on m_h and m_A for $m_{\text{top}} = 174.3 \text{ G eV} = c^2$:

$$
m_h > 87.0 \text{ GeV} = c^2 \qquad m_A > 92.9 \text{ GeV} = c^2
$$

for any value of \tan between 0.4 and 50. The expected m edian lim its are 87.0 G eV = c^2 form $_h$ and 93.0 G eV = c^2 form $_A$. The observed lim its in m $_A$ and m $_h$ are reached at tan around 50, in a region where only the hA process contributes. Contrary to the other scenarios, the h and A bosons are not degenerate in m ass at large tan , which re ects in the signi cant dierence between the h and A m ass limits. For m $_{\text{top}} = 174.3$ G eV = c^2 , the range in tan between 0.4 and 5.2 (expected [0.4-4.8]) is excluded for any value of m_A between 0.02 and 1000 G eV = c^2 .

The m $_{\text{top}}$ dependence of the above lim its is shown in Table 5 and Fig. 14. As already m entioned, the h and A bosons are not degenerate at large tan and m oderate m $_A$, the region where the m ass $\lim_{h \to 0}$ its are set. As a consequence, the value of m_h at xed m_A and tan is observed to vary signi cantly with m_{top} in that region. This is the m ain reason for the variations of the m ass $\lim_{n \to \infty}$ in $\lim_{n \to \infty}$, an additionale ect being the variations ofm $_H$ which is kinem atically accessible at low m $_{\text{top}}$ in this scenario (see Table 4). On the other hand, the variation of the excluded range in tan is due, as in the other scenarios, to the change in the m axim alvalue of m_h which is very sensitive to m_{top} .

5.7 T he sm all scenario

The excluded regions in the sm all scenario are presented in Fig. 13 for a top m ass value of 174.3 G eV = c^2 . The sm all schem e is the second exam ple of a scenario aim ing at testing potentially dicult cases for the H iggs boson searches at hadron colliders. A s m entioned in section 4 , this scenario presents regions of the param eter space where the h ! bb and h ! + decays vanish, which could be a problem at LEP too. The results in Fig. 13, sim ilar to those derived in the previous scenarios, show that this is not the case.

Figure 12: M SSM gluophobic scenario for a top m ass of 174.3 G eV = c^2 : regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sam ple (light-grey). The unexcluded holes at low m_A are fully excluded by the lim it on the Z partial width [35] that would be due to new physics (dark-grey). The dashed curves show the m edian expected lim its. The m edium -grey areas are the regions not allowed by theory. Note in particular the large forbidden region in the $(m_A, \tan \theta)$ projection, which is due to points leading to unphysical h m asses. The dash-dotted lines in the top left-hand plot are the theoretical upper bounds for a top m ass of 169.2, 179.4 and 183.0 G eV = c^2 (from left to right).

Figure 13: M SSM sm all scenario for a top m ass of 174.3 G eV = c^2 : regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sam ple (light-grey). There is one unexcluded hole at low m_h and tan around 20 which is excluded by the lim it on the Z partial width [35] that would be due to new physics (dark-grey). The dashed curves show the m edian expected lim its. The m edium -grey areas are the regions not allowed by theory. Note in particular the large forbidden region in the $(m_A$, tan) projection, which is due to points leading to unphysicalh m asses. The dash-dotted lines in the top left-hand plot are the theoreticalupper bounds for a top m ass of 169.2 , 179.4 and 183.0 G eV = c^2 (from left to right).

At large tan , in the region accessible at LEP , the h! bb branching fraction, although reduced, rem ains high enough (e.g. above 70% in the region where the m ass lim its are set) to ensure a good sensitivity. At low m_h , the direct searches leave one unexcluded island that is fully excluded by the \lim it on n^{new} .

The above results establish the following 95% C L lower lim its on m_h and m_A for $m_{\text{top}} = 174.3 \text{ G eV} = c^2$:

$$
m_h > 83.5 \text{ G eV} = c^2
$$
 $m_A > 95.8 \text{ G eV} = c^2$

for any value of \tan between 0.4 and 50. The expected m edian lim its are 82.6 G eV = c^2 for m_h and 95.0 G eV = c^2 for m_A . The observed lim its in m_A and m_h are reached at tan around 50 , in a region where only the hA process contributes. A s in the previous scenario, the h and A bosons are not degenerate in m ass at large tan , which re ects in the signicant dierence between the h and A m ass limits. For m $_{\text{top}} = 174.3 \text{ GeV} = c^2$, the range in tan between 0.4 and 4.0 (expected $[0.5-3.9]$) is excluded for any value of m_A between 0.02 and 1000 G eV = c^2 .

The m $_{\text{top}}$ dependence of the above lim its is shown in Table 5 and Fig. 14. As in the previous scenario, the value of m_h at xed m_A and tan varies signi cantly with m_{top} in the region where the m ass lim its are set, which explains the variations of the latter. The H signal, being kinem atically inaccessible form ost values of m_{top} (see Table 4) plays no role in this scenario. Finally, the variation of the excluded range in tan is due to the change in the m axim alvalue of m_h which is very sensitive to m_{top} .

5.8 Sum m ary

The lower bounds in m ass and excluded ranges in tan obtained in the eight CP conserving benchm ark scenarios presented in the previous sections are sum m arized in Table 5. The variation with m_{top} of the excluded ranges in tan is further illustrated in Fig. 14. A ll lower bounds in m ass are at the 95% CL , as well as each individual (either lower or upper) bound in tan . In all scenarios, the radiative corrections on the H iggs boson m asses and couplings have been com puted in the Feynm an-diagram m atic approach with all dom inant two-loop order term s included, using version 2.0 of the FeynH iggs code [30].

Table 5: 95% C L lower bounds on m_h and m_A in G eV = c² and excluded ranges in tan obtained in the dierent M SSM CP-conserving benchm ark scenarios, as a function of m_{top} . Except for the two nomixing and the large scenarios, the exclusions in m ass are valid for all values of tan between 0.4 and 50, and the exclusions in tan hold for all values of m_A between 0.02 and 1000 G eV = c^2 . In the three other scenarios, part of the interval in tan is excluded only for m_A above a few G eV = c^2 threshold: this sub-interval is indicated in a fourth line together with the threshold in m_A . As a consequence, the m ass bounds in these scenarios are valid only for values of tan outside the quoted sub-interval.

Figure 14: Variation with m_{top} of the ranges in tan excluded by $D E L P H I$ in the C P-conserving M SSM benchm ark scenarios. Note that each bound in tan is a lim it (either upper or lower) at 95% CL. These bounds hold for the whole interval of m_A between 0.02 and 1000 G eV = c^2 , except in the hatched intervals, where the exclusion is valid above 12 G eV = c^2 in the two no m ixing scenarios and above 2.4 G eV = c^2 in the large scenario.

6 T he C P-violating M SSM scenarios

In m ost of the param eter space of the C P-violating M SSM scenarios studied in this paper, only the two lightest neutral H iggs bosons, H_1 and H_2 are kinem atically accessible at LEP energies. If their couplings to the Z boson are not strongly suppressed by CP violation, the m ain production processes are the H₁Z, H₂Z and H₁H₂ processes, with H₁Z dom inating at low tan , H₁H₂ at large tan and H₂Z contributing over the whole range of tan values allowed in each scenario. In restricted areas of the param eter space, the second pair-production process, H_1H_3 , can add a non-negligible signal and has also been considered in the searches. On the other hand, in m ost scenarios, charged H iggs bosons have a m ass above 100 G eV = c^2 , and thus have not been included.

A salready m entioned, C P violation in the M SSM H iggs sector is introduced through radiative corrections. Besides the two param eters used to de ne the scenarios at tree level, chosen as tan and m_H , radiative corrections introduce additional parameters. A sin the C P-conserving case, these are prim arily m_{top} and the set of param eters related to supersym m etry breaking: , M $_{\text{susy}}$, M $_2$, m $_{\text{g}}$ and A, as de ned in section 4 [2,28]. In addition, C P violation introduces phases. The uni cation assum ptions m ade for the supersym m etry breaking param eters, and the global sym m etries that govern the dim ension-four operators of the M SSM Lagrangian, can be used to reduce the num ber of $CP -$ violating phases to only two $[37]$. In the scenarios studied hereafter, these phases are taken as the phase of the gluino m ass, $\arg(m_{\sigma})$ and the phase of the com m on stop and sbottom trilinear coupling, $arg(A)$.

6.1 T he benchm ark scenarios

The dom inant C P-violating e ects on the neutral H iggs boson m asses and couplings to gauge bosons are proportional to

$$
\frac{m \frac{4}{\text{top}} \text{Im} (A)}{v^2 \frac{M \frac{2}{\text{susy}}}{M \frac{2}{\text{susy}}}}
$$

where v^2 is the quadratic sum of the vacuum expectation values of the two H iggs $\,$ eld doublets [38]. Sizeable e ects are thus expected form oderate values of M $_{\text{susy}}$, large values of and phases $\arg(A)$ around 90. A strong dependence on the value of m_{top} is also to be expected.

A long these lines, R ef. [38] proposed a benchm ark scenario with m axim alC P-violation, the C P X scenario, as an appropriate schem e for direct searches at LEP and other ∞ liders. The values of its underlying param eters are quoted in Table 6. A sexpected from the above discussion, the value of M $_{\text{susy}}$, a few hundred G eV = c^2 , is m oderate, and $\ddot{\phi}$ j take large values, 2 and 1 TeV = c^2 respectively, and the C P-violating phase arg(A) is set at 90. A lthough the gluino-mass phase has a sm all impact on the CP-violating e ects, these appear to be reinforced at $90\quad [37]$, a value which was thus retained for arg(m_{σ}). The values listed in Table 6 ful 11 the existing constraints from m easurem ents of the electron and neutron electric dipole m om ents, by m aking the rst two generations of squarks suciently heavy, with m asses above 1 TeV = c^2 . In the following, the CPX scenario has been studied for four values of the top quark m ass, m $_{top}$ = 1692, 1743, 179.4 and 183.0 G eV = c^2 .

In addition to the CPX scenario, a few variants have also been considered in order to study the dependence of the C P-violation e ects on the values of phases, and M $_{\text{susy}}$. The values tested are quoted in Table $6.$ The two C P-violating phases, still taken to be

scenario	M_{susv}	M ₂	\mathbb{I}^n _o \mathbb{I}		7A T	$\arg(m_{\alpha}) = \arg(A)$
	$(G eV = c^2)$	(G $\acute{e}V = c^2$	$(G eV = c^2)$	$(G eV = c^2)$	$(G \text{ eV} = c^2)$	(degrees)
CPX	500	200	1000	2000	1000	90
phase study	500	200	1000	2000	1000	0,30,60,135,180
study	500	200	1000	500,1000,4000	1000	90
$M_{\text{susy}} = 1 \text{ TeV}/c^2$	1000	200	1000	2000	1000	90
M $_{\text{susy}} = 1 \text{ TeV}/c^2$, scaled	1000	200	2000	4000	2000	90

Table 6: Values of the underlying param eters for the representative C P-violating M SSM scenarios scanned in this paper, nam ely the C P X scenario and its ten variants.

equal, were varied from 0 to 180, keeping all other param eters as in the CPX scenario. Values of below and above 2 TeV = c^2 were studied in the same way. Finally, the value of M susy was increased from 500 G eV = c^2 to 1 T eV = c^2 , keeping the phases at 90, and either keeping all other param eters to their C P X values, or scaling the other param eters in such a way that the relation between $jn_{\sigma}j$, \bar{A} jand is as in the CPX scenario. In the following, these ten variants have been studied for $m_{\text{top}} = 174.3 \text{ G eV} = c^2 \text{ only.}$

In all scenarios, theoretical databases provided by the LEP H iggs working group were used [7]. In these, radiative corrections have been com puted in two dierent approaches, the Feynm an-diagram m atic approach of R ef. [30], already selected in the C P-conserving case (see Section 4), and the renorm alization group approach of Ref. [39]. As in Section 4, the Feynm an-diagram m atic calculations use version 2.0 of the FeynH iggs code. The renorm alization group corrections rely on the CP-violating version CPH of the SU B H P O LE \c{code}^3 C ontrary to the C P-conserving case, where the calculations in the Feynm an-diagram m atic approach were the m ost com plete due to the inclusion of all dom inant two-loop order term s, in the case of CP -violation neither of the two calculations can be preferred on theoretical grounds. Both contain one and two-loop corrections, but the CPH code has a m ore com plete phase dependence at the two-loop order while FeynH iggs containsm ore corrections at the one-loop order with the full complex phase dependence and m ore corrections at the two-loop order but without the full phase dependence. This m ay result in large dierences when convoluted with the experim ental inputs. W e thus present our results in the two fram eworks separately. A com parison between the two calculations in the C P-conserving case can be found in Ref. [42].

The phenom enology of the three neutral H iggs bosons of the C P-violating M SSM is illustrated in Fig.15 in the case of the CPX scenario for a top quark m assof174.3G eV = c^2 , with radiative corrections com puted in the renorm alization group approach. The top gures show that the two lightest scalars, H_1 and H_2 , are likely to be kinem atically accessible at $LEP2$ in wide regions of the param eter space, in which their widths rem ain lower than 1 G eV = c^2 , that is below the experim ental resolution. The cross-section curves show that at low and large tan , the dom inant production processes are the H $_1$ Z and H_1H_2 processses, respectively, as in the C P-conserving case (see Fig. 4). On the other hand, at interm ediate tan and m oderate m $_{H_1}$, the H₁Z cross-section is signi cantly weakened, as a result of the suppressed H_1ZZ coupling due to C P-violation. In the sam e region, the H₂Z process com pensates only partly for this loss. Finally, the gures showing branching fractions com pare the dom inant H_1 and H_2 branching fractions for dierent values of tan . For all values of tan , decays into b b and $+$ saturate the width of the lightest H iggs boson, H₁, in the m ass range above the bb threshold up to the m axim al sensitivity of LEP. In the same m ass range, the second lightest H iggs boson, H₂, decays

Figure 15: Properties of the three neutral H iggs bosons of the C P-violating M SSM in the C P X scenario with $m_{top} = 174.3$ G eV = c^2 . Top: H₁, H₂ and H₃ m asses and H₁, H₂ widths at various tan values. Middle: production cross-sections at $\sqrt{5}$ = 206 GeV, as a function of m_{H_1} and \tan . Bottom : H₁ and H₂ dom inant branching fractions as a function of m_{H_1} and tan . H₁ and H₂ decays into bb (solid and dash-dotted lines) are compared with H₁ decays into $^+$ (dotted lines) and H₂ decays into H₂ H₂ (dashed

predom inantly into bb at large tan only. At low and intermediate tan, the cascade decay H₂! H₁H₁ dom inates over the bb nal state at m asses up to 50 G eV = c^2 or so. A loss in experimental sensitivity can thus be anticipated in regions where the H_1Z crosssection is negligible and the H₂Z signals are not signi cant with respect to background, due to too weak H_2Z cross-sections or H_2 branching fractions into ferm ions.

6.2 Scan procedure

The scan procedure is similar to that described in Section 4.2 for the CP-conserving scenarios. The only changes are the following. The scan was performed over the M SSM param eters tan and m_H. The range in m_H spans from $4 \text{ GeV} = c^2$ up to $1 \text{ TeV}/c^2$. Values of m_H below about 100 G eV = c^2 were noticed to give unphysical negative m ass squared values in most scenarios and thus were removed from the scans. The range in tan extends from the m inimal value allowed in each scenario up to 40 , a value above which the H iggs-bottom Yukawa coupling calculation becomes unreliable in the CP violating M SSM scenarios. Theoretical points were generated random ly in both tan and m_{H} with a granularity which is sucient to m ap the general features of the exclusion regions.

The signal expectations in each channel were then computed as outlined in Section 4.2 , except for the width e ects. In the CP-violating MSSM scans, the widths rem ain well below the experimental resolution for tan up to 40 and m_H, below 120 GeV = c^2 (see Fig. 15). Signale ciencies and PDFs were thus exclusively determ ined from simulations w ith H iggs boson w idths below $1 \text{ G eV} = c^2$.

Results in CP-violating MSSM scenarios 7

The regions of the M SSM parameter space excluded at 95% C L orm ore by combining the neutral H iggs boson searches of Table 1 are hereafter discussed in turn for each scenario. The additional constraint from the lim it on the Z partial width that would be due to new physics $[35]$ (used as described in Section 3.2 of $[7]$) brings no gain in sensitivity in any of the scenarios tested. Results are presented only in the $(m_{H_1}, tan$) plane, which is the only one relevant at LEP since them inimal values of m_{H_2} and tan in m ost scenarios are such that the region accessible at LEP is much reduced in the other pro jections.

7.1 Dependence on the phases

The excluded regions in the (m_{H_1}, \tan) plane for the CPX scenario and its variants with di erent phase values are presented in Fig. 16 for the renom alization group approach [39] and in Fig. 17 for the Feynm an-diagram m atic calculations [30]. The top m ass value is 174.3 G eV = c^2 in all plots.

Going from 0 to 180, the excluded dom ain varies signi cantly. The qualitative trend, valid in the two theoretical approaches, is as follows. The extreme values (0 and 180) correspond to scenarios with no CP violation, and hence to a large excluded region. M oreover, at 180 , the theoretically allowed region is reduced, especially at large tan due to unphysical values of the H iggs-bottom Yukawa coupling. At phases between 60 and 135, losses in sensitivity are observed at large tan and m_{H_1} above 50 G eV = c^2 , as well as in the interm ediate tan range form $_{H_1}$ below 60 G eV = c². This is the consequence of the

Figure 16: C P-violating M SSM scenarios with corrections as in Ref. [39] for dierent values of the phases: regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the neutral H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sample (light-grey). The dashed curves

Figure 17: C P-violating M SSM scenarios with corrections as in Ref. [30] for dierent values of the phases: regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the neutral H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sample (light-grey). The dashed curves

strong suppression of the H_1ZZ coupling due to C P-violation, as already encountered in Fig. 15 in the case of the CPX scenario. M ore generally [37], the H₁ZZ coupling decreases slowly (by a few tens of $\frac{1}{6}$) with phases below about 75 and is strongly suppressed (by three to four orders of m agnitude) for phases around 90 . For phases above 100 , the coupling is partially restored, mostly at low tan . This explains the evolution of the upper bound of the experim entally excluded area as a function of phases in Figs. 16 and 17. The changes are m oderate for phases up to 60 and signi cant for the 90 and 135 phases, where the experim ental sensitivity relies m ainly on the H $_2$ Z process at low tan and on the H₁H₂ production at large tan , both giving large signals at m oderate m_{H_1} only, typically below 60 G eV = c^2 (see F ig. 15).

At the 90 and 135 phases, there are also unexcluded areas at m asses lower than 60 G eV = c^2 in the interm ediate tan range, between about 4 and 16. These are related to weakened sensitivities in the H₂Z or H₁H₂ searches. To take the CPX scenario as an exam ple, at m asses below 15 G eV = c^2 , the dom inant nal state is the $(H_2 : H_1H_1)Z$ channel. The lack of experim ental searches at $LEP2$ for such nal states with m_{H_1} below the bb threshold (see Tab. 1) explains the unexcluded area which is observed at these m asses, in agreem ent with the expected sensitivity. The largest value of CL_s in this region is 52% in the renorm alisation group fram ework and 50% in the Feynm andiagram m atic approach. Still in the CPX scenario, the hole atm $_{\text{H}_{1}}$ around 50 G eV = c^{2} arises in the region where the decays H_2 ! H_1H_1 and H_2 ! bb becom e approxim ately equal, leading to a loss of signi cance of the H₂ signals, as pointed out in Section 6.1 (see Fig.15). The largest value of CL_s in this region is 17% (expected 4%) in the renorm alisation group fram ework and 37% (expected 11%) in the Feynm an-diagram m atic approach. In both fram eworks, these CL_s values are observed at tan 4, m $_{\rm H_1}$ 50 G eV = c^2 and m_{H_2} 105/107 G eV = c^2 . The observed exclusion in this region is weaker than expected, which is due to a slight excess of data over the expected background. The value of $1-CL_b$ at the point of weakest exclusion is indeed 15% (corresponding to a 1.4 sigm a deviation) in the renorm alisation group fram ework and 12% (1.5 sigm a deviation) in the Feynm an-diagram m atic approach. Conversely, the largest deviation in the whole hole has a value of $1-CL_b$ of 3.3% (2.1 sigm a deviation) in the two approaches. This value is observed at tan 16, m_{H_1} 45 G eV = c^2 and m_{H_2} 107 G eV = c^2 in the renorm alisation group fram ework and tan $11/m_{H_1}$ 52 G eV = c^2 and m $_{H_2}$ 111 G eV = c^2 in the Feynm andiagram m atic approach. At this point, the CL_s values are 5.4 % (expected 0.1 %) and 6.5 % (expected 0.2 %) in the two fram eworks, respectively. The combined LEP data show also deviations in this region [7].

Finally, dierences between the two theoretical fram eworks are visible m ainly at large tan , where the Feynm an-diagram m atic calculations predict signi cantly higher H $_1Z$ residual cross-sections (e.g. a factor about 4 in the C P X scenario for m_{H_1} between 40 and 80 G eV = c^2), leading to a better experim ental sensitivity. D i erences in the phase dependence of the results are also visible, which are likely to re ect the di erent phase treatm ent between the two calculations.

7.2 D ependence on and M $_{\text{SUSY}}$

The excluded regions in the (m_{H_1}, \tan) plane for the C P X scenario and its variants with dierent values of and M $_{\text{susy}}$ are presented in Fig.18 for the renorm alization group approach [39] and in Fig.19 for the Feynm an-diagram m atic calculations [30]. In allplots, the com m on C P-violating phase is 90 and the top m ass value is $174.3 \text{ G eV} = c^2$.

Figure 18: CP-violating M SSM scenarios with corrections as in Ref. [39] for dierent values of and M $_{\text{susy}}$: regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the neutral H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sam ple (light-grey). The dashed curves show the m edian expected lim its. The m edium -grey areas are the regions not allowed by

Figure 19: CP-violating M SSM scenarios with corrections as in Ref. [30] for dierent values of and M $_{\text{susy}}$: regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the neutral H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sam ple (light-grey). The dashed curves

The dependence of the results on the value of is as expected from the scaling of the dom inant CP -violating e ects with Im (A) (see Section 6.1). The exclusion is alm ost entirely restored for values of lower than 2 TeV = c^2 , the value in the CPX scenario, and gets weaker at 4 TeV = c^2 . In the rst two variants, despite the CP-violating phase being at 90 , there are always two production processes with signi cant rates in every point of the kinem atically accessible param eter space. In the variant at 4 TeV = c^2 , due to the large value of and the CP-violating phase at 90, the H₁H₂ and H₂Z processes are suppressed for all values of tan , as well as the H $_1$ Z process at interm ediate and large tan values. In the Feynm an-diagram m atic approach, the H $_1$ Z cross-sections are partly restored at large tan which explains the dierence between the results in the two theoretical fram eworks in that region. Note also that the theoretically allowed region is much reduced at large tan in this scenario due to unphysical values of the bottom Yukawa coupling.

The dependence on the value of M $_{\text{susy}}$ is presented in the two bottom plots of F igs. 18 and 19. The rst scenario corresponds to setting M $_{\text{susy}}$ at 1 TeV = c^2 , twice its value in the CPX scenario. As the dom inant CP-violating e ects are proportional to M $_{\text{susy}}^2$, the exclusion is restored in this variant. The reason is as in the case of the two variants with low values of , i.e. there are always two production processes with signicant rates in every point of the kinem atically accessible param eter space. In the second scenario, M susy is still set at 1 TeV = c^2 but the values of $jn_{\alpha}j_{\alpha}$, and $j_{\alpha}j_{\alpha}$ jare also scaled by a factor 2, leaving the C P-violating e ects alm ost unchanged (see Section 6.1) with respect to the C P X scenario. This explains why the exclusion region in this variant is close to that in the CPX scenario. The few dierences between the excluded regions in these two scenarios are due to di erent cross-sections for some of the processes which contribute m ost to the experim ental sensitivity, that is the H₁H₂ and H₂Z processes at m asses below 60 G eV = c^2 , and the H₁Z process at higher m asses. A s an exam ple, the better coverage of the low m ass region at interm ediate tan values in the scaled variant is explained by slightly higher H_1H_2 cross-sections.

7.3 D ependence on m_{top}

The excluded regions in the (m_{H_1}, \tan) plane for the CPX scenario with dierent m_{top} values are presented in Fig. 20 for the renorm alization group approach and in Fig. 21 for the Feynm an-diagram m atic calculations.

The results show a strong dependence on the value of m_{top} , as expected since the dom inant C P -violating e ects scale with m $_{\rm top}^4$. In the two theoretical approaches, the exclusion in the interm ediate tan range is gradually reduced as m_{top} increases and eventually vanishes for tan between about 3 and 5 and a top m ass of 183 G eV = c^2 . This can be traced to the suppression of the H₂Z and H₁H₂ cross-sections with increasing values of m_{top}, leaving no signi cant rate in any of the three possible production channels. At large tan , as m_{top} increases, the H₁H₂ cross-section is reduced and the exclusion gets weaker in the renorm alization group approach while it is alm ost unchanged in the Feynm an-diagram m atic fram $ew \circ rk$. As already m entioned, this is a consequence of the higher H_1Z residual cross-sections predicted by the latter calculations at large tan \blacksquare .

7.4 Sum m ary

Scans of the C P X scenario and its variants revealed that C P violation in the H iggs sector can have a signi cant in pact on the experim ental sensitivity of LEP. The strong

Figure 20: C P-violating M SSM scenarios with corrections as in Ref. [39] for dierent values of m_{top} : regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the neutral H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sam ple (light-grey). The dashed curves show them edian expected lim its. Them edium -grey areas are the regions not allowed by theory.

Figure 21: C P-violating M SSM scenarios with corrections as in Ref. [30] for dierent values of m_{top} : regions excluded at 95% C L by com bining the results of the neutral H iggs boson searches in the whole D E LP H I data sam ple (light-grey). The dashed curves show them edian expected lim its. Them edium -grey areas are the regions not allowed by theory.

suppression of the neutral H iggs boson couplings to the Z boson translates into a loss of redundancy in the dierent search channels, and hence leads to a reduced coverage of the param eter space. The m ost signi cant reduction is observed in the interm ediate tan region, typically between 3 and 10, down to the lowest H_1 m asses. It occurs for phases between 90 and 135, top m ass values equal to 174.3 G eV = c^2 or larger, and values of the ratio jA $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$ equalto 8 or larger. As a consequence, no absolute m ass limits can be derived in these scenarios. On the other hand, the low tan region appears still disfavoured, as in the C P-conserving m odels. Scans were perform ed using two dierent theoretical approaches for the radiative correction calculations. A lthough the two sets of results show large dierences, they both lead to the same qualitative conclusions.

8 C onclusions

Searches for H iggs bosons in the whole data sam ple of the D E LP H I experim ent have been com bined to derive constraints on M SSM benchm ark scenarios, including m odels with C P-violation in the H iggs sector. Experim ental results encom pass searches for neutral H iggs bosons in dom inant nal states expected in m ost M SSM m odels, as well as searches for charged H iggs bosons and for neutral H iggs bosons decaying into hadrons ofany
avour,which bring a gain in sensitivity in restricted regions ofthe param eter space. An additional in provem ent is obtained by applying the experim ental results to m ore production processes than the two expected m ain channels,nam ely the associated production of the lightest H iggs boson w ith a Z boson and the pair-production of the two lightest H iggs bosons. In the CP-conserving M SSM, the experim ental sensitivity at LEP relies on the hZ , hA and HZ channels, the last leading to a signi cant gain in sensitivity in scenarios where the third neutralH iggs boson, H, is kinem atically accessible. In the C P-violating M SSM, the total signal at LEP is spread m ainly over the H₁Z, H₂Z and H₁H₂ channels. A ccounting for the sim ultaneous production of all possible signals is essential in this type of scenario where C P-violating e ects can lead to strong suppression of one channel or another.

In all C P-conserving scenarios, the experim ental results allow a large fraction of the param eter space to be excluded, even in scenarios designed to test potentially dicult cases (e.g. vanishing production cross-sections or decay branching fractions) either at LEP or at hadron colliders. Lim its on m asses of the h and A bosons were deduced as well as upper and lower exclusion bounds in tan. The dependence of these lim its on m_{top} was studied in a range between 169.2 to 183.0 G eV = c^2 . To quote but one result, the following lim its at 95% of CL have been established in the fram ework of the m $\frac{m}{h}$ ax scenario with m $_{\text{top}} = 174.3 \text{ G eV} = c^2$:

 m_h > 89.7 G eV = c² and m_A > 90.4 G eV = c² for any tan between 0.4 and 50, $tan < 0.72$ or $tan > 1.96$ for any m_A between 0.02 and 1000 G eV = c^2 .

These m ass lim its are insensitive to variations of the top quark m ass. The excluded range in tan decreases with increasing m_{top} and would vanish if m_{top} was as large as 183.0 G eV = c^2 . This scenario provides the m ost conservative bounds on tan am ong the eight C P-conserving scenarios tested.

In the C P-violating scenarios, large dom ains of the kinem atically accessible param e ter space rem ain unexcluded due to strong suppressions ofthe couplings between the Z

and the H iggs bosons induced by CP-violation. Hence no absolute lim its can be set on the H iggs boson m asses in these scenarios. The unexcluded areas arise in the intermediate tan range, typically between 3 and 10. Their contours vary considerably with the value of m_{top} and the M SSM parameters which govern the CP-violating e ects, $jA j$, M $_{\text{susv}}$ and the phase arg(A). These scenarios have been studied in two di erent theoretical fram eworks for the radiative correction calculations. The impact of CP-violation is observed to be qualitatively the same in the two approaches.

A cknow ledgem ents

We are greatly indebted to our technical collaborators, to the m embers of the CERN-SL D ivision for the excellent perform ance of the LEP collider, and to the funding agencies for their support in building and operating the DELPH I detector. We acknowledge in particular the support of A ustrian FederalM inistry of Education, Science and Culture, G Z 616 364/2-III/2a/98, FNRS{FWO, Flanders Institute to encourage scienti c and technological research in the industry (IW T) and Belgian Federal 0 ce for Scienti c, Technical and Cultural a airs (OSTC), Belgium, FINEP, CNPq, CAPES, FUJB and FAPERJ, Brazil, Czech M inistry of Industry and Trade, GA CR 202/99/1362, Comm ission of the European Communities (DG XII), D irection des Sciences de la M atiere, CEA, France, Bundesm inisterium fur Bildung, W issenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, Germany, G eneral Secretariat for R esearch and Technology, G reece, National Science Foundation (NWO) and Foundation for Research on Matter (FOM), The Netherlands, Norwegian Research Council, State Committee for Scientic Research, Poland, SPUB + /CERN/PO 3/D Z296/2000, SPUB-M/CERN/PO3/DZ297/2000, 2P03B 104 19 and 2P03B 69 23(2002-2004) FCT - Fundacao para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Portugal, Vedecka grantova agentura M S SR, Slovakia, N r. 95/5195/134, M inistry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia, CICYT, Spain, AEN 99-0950 and AEN 99-0761, The Swedish Research Council, Particle Physics and A stronom y R esearch Council, UK, Department of Energy, USA, DE-FG 02-01ER 41155, EEC RTN contract HPRN-CT-00292-2002.

A ppendix 1

W e give hereafter e ciencies of the Yukawa $+$ bb analysis published in Ref. [21] and applied here to the hA ! \overline{a} and \overline{a} and \overline{a} .

Table 7: hA ! $^+$ qq channel: e ciencies of the selection (in $\frac{1}{2}$) at LEP 1 as a function of them asses of the A and h bosons. The analysis, described in R ef. [21], was designed to search for Yukawa production in the ⁺ bb nalstate. The quoted errors are statistical only.

A ppendix 2

W e give hereafter e ciencies of the h ! qq analyses published in Ref. [20,1] and applied to $(h! AA! cocc)(Z! qq)$ signals with low A m asses.

Table 8: (h ! A A) (Z ! qq) channelwith A ! ∞ : e ciencies of the selection (in $\frac{1}{6}$) at $s = 199.6$ and 206.5 G eV as a function of the m asses of the A and h bosons, for m $_A$ between the cc and bb thresholds. E ciencies at higherm asses can be found in R ef. [20,1]. We refer the reader to Ref. [1] for the de nition of the two operational periods of the 2000 data taking cam paign. The quoted errors are statistical only.

R eferences

- [1] D ELPH I Collaboration, J. A bdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 32 (2004) 145.
- [2] M . Carena and H . H aber, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 50 (2003) 63.
- [3] D ELPH I Collaboration, J. A bdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 32 (2004) 475;
	- A LEPH Collaboration, A.H eister et al., Phys. Lett. B 526 (2002) 191;
	- L3 Collaboration, P. A chard et al., Phys. Lett. B 609 (2005) 35;
	- O PA L Collaboration, G. A bbiendiet al., CERN -EP-2007-018, subm itted to Phys. Lett.B;

A LEPH, D ELPH I, L3, O PA L Collaborations and the LEP working group for H iggs boson searches, Searches for invisible H iggs bosons: prelim inary com bined results using LEP data collected at energies up to 209 G eV, LHW G note/2001-06, hepex/0107032.

- [4] D.E.G room et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 15 (2000) 1.
- [5]The Tevatron electroweak working group for the CD F and D 0 collaborations, A com bination of CDF and D0 results on the m ass of the top quark, hep-ex/0703034.
- [6] O PA L Collaboration, G. A bbiendiet al., Eur. Phys. J. C 37 (2004) 49.
- [7]A LEPH ,D ELPH I,L3,O PA L Collaborationsand the LEP working group forH iggs boson searches, Eur. Phys. J. C 47 (2006) 547.
- [8]D ELPH ICollaboration,P.A breu etal., Z.Phys.C 51 (1991)25.
- [9] D ELPH I Collaboration, P. A breu et al., N ucl. Phys. B 342 (1990) 1.
- [10] D ELPH I Collaboration, P. A breu et al., N ucl. Phys. B 373 (1992) 3.
- [11] D ELPH I Collaboration, P. A breu et al., N ucl. Phys. B 421 (1994) 3.
- [12] S.D agoret, PhD thesis, U niversite de Paris-Sud, centre d'O rsay, LA L-preprint 91-12 (M ay 1991).
- [13] D ELPH I Collaboration, P. A breu et al., Phys. Lett. B 245 (1990) 276.
- [14] D ELPH I Collaboration, P. A breu et al., Z. Phys. C 67 (1995) 69.
- [15] D ELPH I 92-80 D allas PH Y S 191, N eutral H iggs Bosons in a Two D oublet M odel, contribution to the 1992 ICHEP conference; quoted by G $\mathbb W$ orm ser, in proc. of the XXVI ICH EP conference (D allas, A ugust 1992), Vol. 2, pages 1309-14, ref. 4.
- [16]D ELPH ICollaboration,W .A dam etal., Z.Phys.C 73 (1996)1.
- [17] D ELPH I Collaboration, P. A breu et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 2 (1998) 1.
- [18] D ELPH I Collaboration, P. A breu et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 10 (1999) 563.
- [19]D ELPH ICollaboration,P.A breu et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 17 (2000)187,addendum Eur. Phys. J. C 17 (2000) 549.
- [20] D ELPH I Collaboration, J. A bdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 23 (2002) 409.
- [21] D ELPH I Collaboration, J. A bdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 38 (2004) 1.
- [22] D ELPH I Collaboration, J. A bdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 44 (2005) 147.
- [23] D ELPH I Collaboration, J. A bdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 34 (2004) 399.
- $[24]$ A \perp . Read, M odi ed Frequentist Analysis of Search Results (The CL_s M ethod), in CERN R eport $2000-005$, p. 81 (2000), edited by F Jam es, L Lyons and Y .Perrin.
- $[25]$ R D . Cousins and V L. H ighland, Nucl. Instr. and M eth. A 320 (1992) 331.
- [26]D ELPH I 2000-067-PRO G -240,Estim ation of probability density functions for the H iggs search,
	- http://delphiwww.cem.ch/pubxx/delnote/public/2000_067_prog_240.ps.gz.
- [27] A L.R ead, Nucl. Instr. and M eth. A 425 (1999) 357.
- [28] S.H einem eyer, Int.J.M od.Phys.A 21 (2006) 2659.
- [29]M. Carena, S. Heinem eyer, C. W agner and G. W eiglein, Suggestions for im proved benchm ark scenarios for H iggs boson searches at LEP2, CERN -TH /99-374, DESY

99-186 or hep-ph/9912223;

M .Carena,S.H einem eyer,C.W agner and G .W eiglein, Eur.Phys.J.C 26 (2003) 601.

[30]G .D egrassi,S.H einem eyer,W .H ollik,P.Slavich and G .W eiglein, Eur.Phys.J. $C 28$ (2003) 133;

see also R ef.[28]and references therein.

- [31] S. Heinem eyer, W. Hollik and G. Weiglein, Eur. Phys. J. C 9 (1999) 343.
- [32]D ELPH I 2003-045-CO N F-665, D ELPH I results on neutral H iggs bosons in M SSM benchm ark scenarios, contribution to the 2003 sum m er conferences, http://delphiwww.cem.ch/pubxx/delnote/public/2003_045_conf.665.ps.qz.
- [33]D ELPH I 2004-012-CO N F-688, U pdated D ELPH I results on neutral H iggs bosons in M SSM benchm ark scenarios, contribution to the 2004 sum m er conferences, http://delphiwww.cem.ch/pubxx/delnote/public/2004_012_conf_688.ps.qz.
- $[34]$ A G . A keroyd, A. A rhrib and E. Naim i, Eur. Phys. J. C 20 (2001) 51.
- [35] D ELPH I Collaboration, P. A breu et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 16 (2000) 371.
- [36] D ELPH I 2005-020-CO N F-740, F inal results from D ELPH I on neutral H iggs bosons in M SSM benchm ark scenarios, contribution to the 2005 sum m er conferences, http://delphiwww.cem.ch/pubxx/delnote/public/2005 020 conf.740.ps.qz.
- [37] M. Carena, J. Ellis, A. Pilaftsis, C.E.M. W agner, Nucl. Phys. B 586 (2000) 92 and N ucl. Phys. B 625 (2002) 345.
- $[38]$ M. Carena, J. Ellis, A. Pilaftsis, C.E.M. W agner, Phys. Lett. B 495 (2000) 155.
- $[39]$ M. Carena, M. Q uiros and C.E.M. W agner, Nucl. Phys. B 461 (1996) 407; $H.E.Haber, R.Hem p inq and A.H.Hoang, Z.Phys. C 75 (1997) 539;$ M. Carena, S.M renna and C.E.M. W agner, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 075010; see also R ef. [2] and references therein.
- [40] J.S. Lee, A. Pilaftsis, M. Carena, S.Y. Choi, M. Drees, J. Ellis and C.E.M. Wagner, Com p. Phys. Com m . 156 (2004) 283.
- [41]M . Frank, T. H ahn, S. H einem eyer, W . H ollik, H . R zehak and G . W eiglein, J. H igh Energy Phys. 02 (2007) 047; S.H einem eyer, W.Hollik, H.R zehak and G.Weiglein, The Higgs sector of the com plex M SSM attwo-loop order: Q CD contributions,arX iv:0705.0746 [hep-ph].
- [42] M. Carena, H. E. H aber, S. H einem eyer, W. H ollik, C. E. M. W agner and G. W eiglein, Nucl. Phys. B 580 (2000) 29; M. Carena, S. Heinem eyer, C.E.M. W agner and G. W eiglein, Eur. Phys. J. C 45 (2006) 797 (see section 2.1 for a com parison between the two approaches); see also section 2.4 ofR ef.[28].