Causal viscous hydrodynam ics in 2+1 dim ensions for relativistic heavy-ion collisions

Huichao Song¹' and Ulrich Heinz¹'

¹Departm ent of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA ²CERN, Physics Departm ent, Theory Division, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland (Dated: April 13, 2013)

W e explore the e ects of shear viscosity on the hydrodynam ic evolution and nalhadron spectra of C u+ C u collisions at ultrarelativistic collision energies, using the new ly developed (2+1)-dimensional viscous hydrodynam ic code V ISH 2+1. B ased on the causal Israel-Stewart form alism, this code describes the transverse evolution of longitudinally boost-invariant system s without azim uthal sym metry around the beam direction. Shear viscosity is shown to decelerate the longitudinal and accelerate the transverse hydrodynam ic expansion. For xed initial conditions, this leads to a longer quark-gluon plasm a (QGP) lifetime, larger radial ow in the nal state, and atter transverse momentum spectra for the emitted hadrons com pared to ideal uid dynam ic simulations. We nd that the elliptic ow coe cient v₂ is particularly sensitive to shear viscosity: even the low est value allowed by the AdS/CFT conjecture =s 1=4 suppresses v₂ enough to have signi cant consequences for the phenom enology of heavy-ion collisions at the Relativistic H eavy Ion Collider. A com parison between our num erical results and earlier analytic estim ates of viscous e ects within a blast-wave m odel param etrization of the expanding reball at freeze-out reveals that the full dynam ical theory leads to m uch tighter constraints for the speci c shear viscosity =s, thereby supporting the notion that the quark-gluon plasm a created at R H IC exhibits alm ost \perfect uidity".

PACS num bers: 25.75.-q, 12.38 M h, 25.75 Ld, 24.10 N z

I. IN TRODUCTION

Hydrodynam ics is an e cient tool to describe the expansion of the reballs generated in relativistic heavy-ion collisions. As a macroscopic description that provides the 4-dimensional space-time evolution of the energy-momentum tensor T (x) it is much less demanding than microscopic descriptions based on kinetic theory that evolve the (on-shell) distribution function f(x;p) in 7-dimensional phase-space.

Ideal uid dynamics is even more e cient since it reduces the number of independent elds needed to describe the symmetric energy-momentum tensor from 10 to 5: the local energy density e(x), pressure p(x) and the normalized ow 4-velocity u(x) (which has 3 independent components). The equation of state (EOS) p(e)provides a further constraint which closes the set of four equations (T = x) = 0.

Ideal uid dynamics is based on the strong assum ption that the uid is in local therm al equilibrium and evolves isentropically. While local momentum isotropy in the comoving frame is su cient for a unique decom position of the energy-momentum tensor in terms of e, p and u , it does not in general guarantee a unique relationship p(e). Generically, the equation of state p(e) (a key ingredient for closing the set of hydrodynamic equations) becomes unique only after entropy maximization, i.e. after a locally thermalized state, with Maxwellian (or Bose-Einstein and Ferm i-Dirac) momentum distributions in the com oving frame, has been reached. For this assumption to be valid, the miscroscopic collision time scale must be much shorter than the macroscopic evolution time scale. Since the reballs created in relativistic heavy-ion collisions are small and expand very rapidly, applicability of the hydrodynam ic approach has long been doubted.

It cam e therefore as a surprise to m any that the bulk of the matter produced in Au+Au collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) was found to behave like an almost ideal uid. Speci cally, ideal uid dynam ic models correctly reproduce the hadron transverse momentum spectra in central and sem i-peripheral collisions, including their anisotropy in non-central collisions given by the elliptic ow coe cient $v_2(p_T)$ and its dependence on the hadron rest mass, for transverse momenta up to about 1.5{2G eV /c [1] which coversm ore than 99% of the em itted particles. This observation has led to the conclusion that the quark-gluon plasm a (QGP) created in RHIC collisions therm alizes very fast and must therefore be strongly (non-perturbatively) interacting [2], giving rise to the notion that the QGP is a strongly coupled plasm a [3, 4, 5] that behaves like an alm ost perfect uid [6].

At RHIC energies, the almost perfect ideal uid dynam ical description of experimental data gradually breaks down in more peripheral collisions, at high transverse momenta, and at forward and backward rapidities; at lower energies it lacks quantitative accuracy even in the most central collisions at midrapidity [13]. Most of these deviations from ideal uid dynamical predictions can be understood as the result of strong viscous e ects during the late hadronic stage of the reball expansion [14] after the QGP has hadronized. As the initial energy density of the reball decreases, the dissipative dynam -

Correspond to song@mps.ohio-state.edu

ics of the hadronic stage takes on increasing importance, concealing the perfect uidity of any quark-gluon plasm a possibly created at the beginning of the collision. How ever, as also pointed out in [14], persisting uncertainties about the initial conditions in heavy-ion collisions leave room for a small amount of viscosity even during the early QGP stage. Furtherm ore, the observed deviations of the elliptic ow parameter $v_{Z}(p_{T})$ at large p_{T} even in the largest collision systems at the highest available collision energies are consistent with viscous e ects during the early epoch of the reball [5, 16]. During this epoch, the matter is so dense and strongly interacting that a microscopic description based on classical kinetic theory of on-shell partons [15] m ay be questionable. W e therefore develop here a dissipative generalization of the m acroscopic hydrodynam ic approach, viscous relativistic uid dynam ics.

The need for such a fram ework is further highlighted by the recent insight that, due to quantum mechanical uncertainty [17], no classical uid can have exactly vanishing viscosity (as is assumed in the ideal hydrodynamic approach). Even in the limit of in nitely strong coupling, the QGP must hence maintain a nonzero viscosity. Recent calculations of the shear viscosity to entropy ratio (the \speci c shear viscosity" =s) in a variety of conformal gauge eld theories which share some properties with QCD, using the AdS/CFT correspondence, suggest a low er limit of $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}$ [18,19,20]. This is much smaller than the value obtained from weak coupling calculations in QCD [21] (although close to a recent rst num erical result from lattice QCD [22]) and more than an order of magnitude below the low est measured values in standard

uids [9]. Som e alternative ideas how smalle ective viscosities could be generated by anom alous e ects (chaoticity) in an isotropically expanding plasm as [23] or by negative eddy viscosity in 2-dimensional turbulent ow s 24] have also been proposed.

Initial attempts to formulate relativistic dissipative uid dynamics as a relativistic generalization of the Navier-Stokes equation [25, 26] ran into di culties because the resulting equations allowed for acausal signal propagation, and their solutions developed instabilities. These di culties are avoided in the \2nd order form alism " developed 30 years ago by Israel and Stewart [27] which expands the entropy current to 2nd order in the dissipative ows and replaces the instantaneous identi cation of the dissipative ows with their driving forces multiplied by some transport coe cient (as is done in Navier-Stokes theory) by a kinetic equation that evolves the dissipative ows rapidly but sm oothly towards their Navier-Stokes lim it. This procedure elim inates causality and stability problem s at the expense of num erical com plexity: the dissipative ows become independent dynam ical variables whose kinetic equations of motion are coupled and must be solved simultaneously with the hydrodynam ic evolution equations. This leads e ectively to more than a doubling of the number of coupled partial di erential equations to be solved 28].

Only recently computers have become powerful enough to allow e cient solution of the Israel-Stew art equations. The last 5 years have seen the developm ent of num ericalcodes which solve these equations (or slight variations thereof [27, 28, 29, 30, 31]) num erically, for system s with boost-invariant longitudinal expansion and transverse expansion in zero [29, 31], one [30, 32, 33, 34] and two dim ensions [35, 36, 37, 38] (see also R ef. [39] for a num erical study of the relativistic Navier-Stokes equation in 2+1 dimensions). The process of veri cation and validation of these num erical codes is still ongoing: W hile di erent initial conditions and evolution parameters used by the di erent groups of authors render a direct com parison of their results di cult, it seems unlikely that accounting for these di erences will bring all the presently available num erical results in line with each other.

We here present results obtained with an independently developed (2+1)-dimensional causal viscous hydrodynamic code, VISH2+1 [40]. While a short account of some of our main ndings has already been published [37], we here report many more details, including extensive tests of the num erical accuracy of the code: W e checked that (i) in the lim it of vanishing viscosity, it accurately reproduces results obtained with the (2+1)-d ideal uid code AZHYDRO 41]; (ii) for hom ogeneous transverse density distributions (i.e. in the absence of transverse density gradients and transverse ow) and vanishing relaxation time it accurately reproduces the known analytic solution of the relativistic Navier-Stokes equation for boost-invariant 1-dim ensional longitudinal expansion [42]; (iii) for very short kinetic relaxation tim es our Israel-Stewart code accurately reproduces results from a separately coded (2+1)-d relativistic N avier-Stokes code, under restrictive conditions where the latter produces num erically stable solutions; and (iv) for simple analytically param etrized an isotropic velocity pro les the num erical code correctly com putes the velocity shear tensor that drives the viscous hydrodynam ic e ects.

In its present early state, and given the existing open questions about the mutual com patibility of various num erical results reported in the recent literature, we believe that it is prem ature to attem pt a detailed com parison of VISH2+1 with experimental data, in order to empirically constrain the speci c shear viscosity of the QGP. Instead, we concentrate in this paper on describing and trying to understand the robustness of a variety of uid dynamical e ects generated by shear viscosity in a relativistic QGP uid. We report here only results for Cu+Cu collisions, with initial entropy densities exceeding signi cantly those that can be reached in such collisions at R H IC . The reasons for doing so are purely technical: Initially our num erical grid was not large enough to accom odate Au+Au collision reballs with su cient resolution, and while this restriction has been lifted in the meantime, a large body of instructive numerical results had already been accumulated which would have been quite expensive to recreate for the Au+Au system .

The unrealistic choice of initial conditions was driven by the wish to allow for a su ciently long lifetime of the QGP phase even in peripheral Cu+Cu collisions such that shear viscous e ects on elliptic ow are still dom inated by the quark-gluon plasm a stage. The main goals of the present paper are: (i) to quantitatively establish shear viscous e ects on the evolution of the energy and entropy density, of the ow pro le, source eccentricity, and totalm om entum anisotropy, on the nalhadron spectra, and on the elliptic ow in central and non-central heavy-ion collisions, under the in uence of di erent equations of state; and (ii) to explore in detail and explain physically how these e ects arise, trying to extract general rules and generic features which should also apply for other collision systems and collision energies. We note that recent calculations for Au+Au collisions [43] have shown that viscous e ects are som ewhat bigger in the smaller Cu+Cu studied here than in the larger Au+Au system for which the largest body of experim ental data exists. The reader must therefore apply caution when trying to compare (in his or her m ind's eye) our results with the well-known RHIC Au+Au data.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II gives a brief review of the Israel-Stewart form alism for causal relativistic hydrodynam ics for dissipative uids, lists the speci c form of these equations for the (2+1)-dim ensional evolution of non-central collision reballs with boostinvariant longitudinal expansion which are solved by VISH2+1, and details the initial consditions and the equation of state (EOS) em ployed in our calculations. In Section III we report results for central (b= 0) Cu+Cu collisions. Section IV gives results for non-central collisions, including a detailed analysis of the driving forces behind the strong shear viscous e ects on elliptic ow observed by us. In Section V we explore the in uence of di erent initializations and di erent relaxation tim es for the viscous shear pressure tensor on the hydrodynam ic evolution and establish the lim its of applicability for viscous hydrodynam ics in the calculation of hadron spectra. Som e technical details and the num erical tests perform ed to verify the accuracy of the com puter code are discussed in Appendices A-D, and in Appendix E we compare our hydrodynam ic results with analytical estim ates of shear viscouse ects by Teaney [6] that were based on Navier-Stokes theory and a blast-wavem odel param etrization of the reball.

II. ISRAEL-STEWART THEORY OF CAUSAL VISCOUS HYDRODYNAM ICS

In this section, we review brie y the 2nd order Israel-Stewart form alism for viscous relativistic hydrodynam ics and the speci c set of equations solved by V ISH 2+1 for anisotropic transverse expansion in longitudinally boostinvariant reballs. D etails of the derivation can be found in [28], with a sm all correction pointed out by Baier et al. in [31]. For sim plicity, and in view of the intended application to R H IC collisions whose reaction reballs have alm ost vanishing net baryon density, the discussion will be restricted to viscous e ects, neglecting heat conduction and working in the Landau velocity fram e [26].

A. Basics of Israel-Stewart theory

The general hydrodynamic equations arise from the local conservation of energy and momentum,

$$Q T (x) = 0;$$
 (1)

where the energy-m om entum tensor is decomposed in the form $% \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{e}}$

$$T = eu u (p+) + : (2)$$

Here e and p are the local energy density and therm al equilibrium pressure, and u is the (tim elike and normalized, u u = 1) 4-velocity of the energy ow. is the bulk viscous pressure; it com bines with the therm al pressure p to the total bulk pressure. In Eq. (2) it is multiplied by the projector = g u u transverse to the ow velocity, i.e. in the local uid rest frame the bulk pressure is diagonal and purely spacelike, (p+) ij.

is the traceless shear viscous pressure tensor, also transverse to the 4-velocity (u = 0) and thus purely spatial in the local uid rest fram e.

For ideal uids, and vanish, and the only dynamical elds are e(x), p(x) and u(x), with e and p related by the equation of state p(e). In dissipative uids without heat conduction, and the 5 independent components of enter as additional dynamical variables which require their own evolution equations. In relativistic N avier-Stokes theory, these evolution equations degenerate to instantaneous constituent equations,

$$r u; = 2 r^{n} u^{1};$$
 (3)

which express the dissipative ows and directly in terms of their driving forces, the local expansion r^h u ⁱ, rate r u and velocity shear tensor multiplied by phenomenological transport coe cients 0 (the bulk and shear viscosity, respectively). Here ; @ is the gradient in the local uid rest fram e, r and $r^{h} u^{i} \frac{1}{2}(r u + r u) \frac{1}{3}(r u)$, showing , the velocity shear tensor is traceless and that, like transverse to u. The instantantaneous identication β) leads to causality problem s through instantaneous signal propagation, so that this straightforw and relativistic generalization of the Navier-Stokes form alism turns out not to be a viable relativistic theory.

The Israel-Stew art approach [27] avoids these problem s by replacing the instantaneous identi cations β) with the kinetic evolution equations

$$D = \frac{1}{2} + r \psi \qquad (4)$$

$$D = \frac{1}{2} 2 r^{h} u^{i}$$

where D = u @ is the time derivative in the local uid rest frame, and the last term in the bottom equation ensures that the kinetic evolution preserves the tracelessness and transversality of [45]. and are relaxation times and related to the 2nd order expansion coe cients in the entropy current [27, 29]. The fact that in the Israel-Stewart approach the dissipative ows no longer respond to the corresponding therm oand dynam ic forces r u and ^h u ⁱ instantaneously, but on nite albeit short kinetic tim e scales, restores causality of the theory [27].

W e should caution that the form of the kinetic evolution equations (4,5) is not generally agreed upon, due to unresolved am biguities in their derivation [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 35, 36, 37, 38]. W e will here use the form given in Eqs. (4,5) and comment on di erences with other work when we discuss our results.

In the following calculations we further simplify the problem by neglecting bulk viscosity. Bulk viscosity vanishes classically for a system of massless partons, and quantum corrections arising from the trace anom aly of the energy-momentum tensor are expected to be small, rendering bulk viscous e ects much less in portant than those from shear viscosity. This expectation has been con med by recent lattice calculations 46, 47] which yield very small bulk viscosity in the QGP phase. The same calculations show, however, a rapid rise of the bulk viscosity near the quark-hadron phase transition [47], consistent with earlier predictions [48, 49]. In the hadronic phase it is again expected to be sm all [48]. W e leave the discussion of possible dynamical e ects of bulk viscosity near the quark-hadron phase transition for a future study. Bulk viscous pressure e ects can be easily restored by substituting p! p+ everywhere below and adding the kinetic evolution equation (4) for .

B. Viscous hydrodynam ics in 2+1 dim ensions

In the present paper we eliminate one of the three spatial dimensions by restricting our discussion to longitudinally boost-invariant systems. These are conveniently described in curvilinear $x^m = (\ ;x;y;\)$ coordinates, where $= \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{1}{z^2}$ is the longitudinal proper time, $= \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{t+z}{tz}$ is the space-time rapidity, and (x;y) are the usual Cartestsian coordinates in the plane transverse to the beam direction z. In this coordinate system, the transport equations for the fullenergy momentum tensor T are written as [28]

$$\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{0} \quad \textcircled{P} \quad + \quad \textcircled{Q}_{x} \left(v_{x} \, \textcircled{P} \quad \right) + \quad \textcircled{Q}_{y} \left(v_{y} \, \textcircled{P} \quad \right) = \quad S \quad ; \\ \textcircled{0} \quad \fbox{P} \quad \overset{x}{+} \quad \textcircled{Q}_{x} \left(v_{x} \, \textcircled{P} \quad \overset{x}{*} \right) + \quad \textcircled{Q}_{y} \left(v_{y} \, \textcircled{P} \quad \overset{x}{*} \right) = \quad S \quad \overset{x}{*} ; \\ \textcircled{0} \quad \vcenter{P} \quad \overset{y}{+} \quad \operatornamewithlimits{Q}_{x} \left(v_{x} \, \textcircled{P} \quad \overset{y}{*} \right) + \quad \operatornamewithlimits{Q}_{y} \left(v_{y} \, \textcircled{P} \quad \overset{y}{*} \right) = \quad S \quad \overset{y}{*} ; \\ \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{P}^{m n}$ $(\mathbb{T}^{m n}_{0} + {}^{m n}_{0}), \mathbb{T}^{m n}_{0} = eu^{m} u^{n} p^{m n} be$ ing the ideal uid contribution, $u^{n} = (u ; u^{x}; u^{y}; 0) =$? $(1; v_{x}; v_{y}; 0)$ is the ow pro le $(w \text{ ith }_{?} = \frac{p - 1}{1 | v_{s}^{2} | v_{s}^{2}}),$ and $g^{m n} = diag(1; 1; 1; 1^{2})$ is the m etric tensor for our coordinate system. The source term s $S^{m n}$ on the right hand side of Eqs. (6) are given explicitly as

$$S = p^{2} \qquad (pv_{x} + x \quad v_{x})$$

$$(pv_{y} + y \quad v_{y})$$

$$p^{2} \qquad (pv_{x}) \quad (pv_{y}); \qquad (7)$$

x = ((p + xx y x)) + ((xy y x))

S

$$S^{Y} = ((x^{Y} - y^{Y})) + (y^{Y} - y^{Y}) +$$

W e will see later (see the right panel of Fig. 13 below) that the indicated approximations of these source terms isolate the dom inant drivers of the evolution and provide a su ciently accurate quantitative understanding of its dynamics.

T he transport equations for the shear viscous pressure tensor are

$$(\theta + v_{x} \theta_{x} + v_{y} \theta_{y})^{m n} = \frac{1}{?} (^{m n} 2 ^{m n})$$
$$u^{m} ^{n}_{j} + u^{n} ^{m}_{j} (\theta + v_{x} \theta_{x} + v_{y} \theta_{y}) u^{j} : (10)$$

The expressions for $\sim^{m n}$ and $\sim^{m n}$ are found in Eqs.(A1A2); they di er from $^{m n}$ in Eqs.(7-9) and $^{m n}$ given in Ref. [28] by a Jacobian ² factor in the ()-component: $\sim = 2^{2}$, $\sim = 2^{2}$. This factor arises from the curved metric where the local time derivative D = u^m d_m must be evaluated using covariant derivatives d_m [50]. Since u = 0, no such extra Jacobian terms arise in the derivative D u^j in the second line of Eq.(10).

The algorithm requires the propagation of , x

^y, and with Eq. (5) even though one of the rst three is redundant (see [37] and Appendix B). In addition, we have chosen to evolve several more, form ally redundant components of m^n using Eq. (5), and to use them for testing the numerical accuracy of the code, by checking that the transversality conditions $u_m \ m^n = 0$ and the tracelessness m = 0 are preserved over time. We not them to be satisfied with an accuracy of better than 1 2% everywhere except for the reballedge where the m^n are very sm all and the error on the transversality and tracelessness constraints can become as large as 5%.

C. Initial conditions

For the idealpart T_0 ; T_0^{x} ; T_0^{x} of the energy m om entum tensor we use the same initialization scheme as for ideal hydrodynamics. For simplicity and ease of com – parison with previous ideal uid dynamical studies we here use a simple G lauber model initialization with zero initial transverse ow velocity where the initial energy density in the transverse plane is taken proportional to the wounded nucleon density [44]:

$$e_{0}(x;y;b) = K n_{W N}(x;y;b)$$
(11
= K T_A x + $\frac{b}{2};y$) 1 1 $\frac{T_{B} x \frac{b}{2};y}{B}$
+ T_B x $\frac{b}{2};y$ 1 1 $\frac{T_{A} x + \frac{b}{2};y}{A}$:

Here is the total inelastic nucleon-nucleon cross section for which we take = 40 mb. $T_{A,B}$ is the nuclear thickness function of the incoming nucleus A or B, $T_A(x;y) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} dz_A(x;y;z); A(x;y;z)$ is the nuclear density given by a Woods-Saxon pro le: A (r) = $\frac{0}{1 + \exp[(r R_A) = 1]}$. For Cu+Cu collisions we take $R_{Cu} = 4.2 \text{ fm}$, = 0.596 fm, and $_0 = 0.17 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. The proportionality constant K does not depend on collision centrality but on collision energy; it xes the overall scale of the initial energy density and, via the associated entropy, the nal hadron multiplicity to which it must be tted as a function of collision energy. We here x it to give $e_0 = e(0;0;b=0) = 30 \text{ GeV} / \text{fm}^3$ for the peak energy density in central Cu+Cu collisions, at an initial time $_0$ for the hydrodynam ic evolution that we set as $_0 = 0.6 \text{ fm} / \text{c.} \text{ As already m entioned in the Introduction}$ this exceeds the value reached in Cu+Cu collisions at RHIC (it would be appropriate for central Au+Au collisions at 5 = 200 A G eV [1]). It ensures, how ever, a su ciently long lifetime of the QGP phase in Cu+Cu collisions that most of the nalmomentum anisotropy is generated during the QGP stage, thereby permitting a m eaningful investigation of QGP viscosity on the elliptic

ow generated in the collision.

Lacking a m icroscopic dynam ical theory for the early pre-equilibrium stage, initializing the viscous pressure tensor ^{m n} requires som eguess-work. The electofdi erent choices for the initial ^{m n} on viscous entropy production during boost-invariant viscous hydrodynam ic evolution w ithout transverse expansion was recently studied in [51]. We will here explore two options: (i) we set $\binom{m n}{0} = 0$ initially [36]; or (ii) we assume that at time $\binom{m n}{0} = 2$ $\binom{m n}{0}$ where the shear tensor $\binom{m n}{0}$ is calculated from the initial velocity pro le $t^m = (1;0;0;0)$ [33, 35, 38, 39]. The second option is the default choice for most of the results shown in this paper. It gives $\binom{2}{0} = 2\binom{3}{0} = 2\binom{9}{0} = \frac{4}{30}$, i.e. a negative contribution to the longitudinal pressure and a positive contribution to the transverse pressure.

We here present results only for one value of the species c shear viscosity, $r_s = \frac{1}{4}$ ' 0:08, corresponding to its conjectured lower limit [18]. The kinetic relaxation time

will be taken as $=\frac{3}{sT}$ except were otherwise mentioned. This value is half the one estim ated from classicalkinetic theory for a Boltzm ann gas of non-interacting massless partons [27,31] { we did not use the twice larger classical value because it led to uncom fortably large viscous pressure tensor components ^{m n} at early times, caused by large excursions from the Navier-Stokes limit. To study the sensitivity to di erent relaxation times and the approach to Navier–Stokes theory, we also did a few calculations with $=\frac{1:5}{sT}$ in Section V B.

D. EOS

Through its dependence on the Equation of State (EOS), hydrodynamic ow constitutes an important probe for the existence and properties of the quark-hadron phase transition which softens the EOS near $T_{\rm c}$. To isolate e ects induced by this phase transition from generic features of viscous uid dynamics we have performed calculations with two di erent equations of state, EOS I and SM -EOS Q. They are described in this subsection.

EOS Im odels a non-interacting gas ofm assless quarks and gluons, with $p = \frac{1}{3}e$. It has no phase transition. W here needed, the tem perature is extracted from the energy density via the relation $e = 16 + \frac{21}{2}N_{\rm f} \frac{2}{30} \frac{T^4}{(\sim c)^3}$, corresponding to a chemically equilibrated QGP with N_f = 2:5 e ective massless quark avors.



FIG.1: The equations of state EOS Q (dashed line) and SM – EOS Q ($\mbox{modi ed EOS Q}$ ", solid line).

SM -EOS Q is a smoothed version of EOS Q [44] which connects a noninteracting QGP through a rst order phase transition to a chem ically equilibrated hadron resonance gas. In the QGP phase it is dened by the relation $p = \frac{1}{3}e - \frac{4}{3}B$ (i.e. $c_s^2 = \frac{\theta p}{\theta e} = \frac{1}{3}$). The vacuum energy (bag constant) B¹⁼⁴ = 230M eV is a parameter that is adjusted to yield a critical temperature $T_c = 164M$ eV. The hadron resonance gas below T_c can be approximately characterized by the relation p = 0.15e (i.e. $c_s^2 = 0.15$) [44]. The two sides are matched through a M axwell construction, yielding a relatively large latent heat $e_{lat} = 1.15G$ eV/fm³. For energy densities between $e_H = 0.45G$ eV/fm³ and $e_Q = 1.6G$ eV/fm³ one has a mixed phase with constant pressure (i.e. $c_s^2 = 0$). The discontinuous jumps of c_s^2 from a value of 1/3 to

0 at e_0 and back from 0 to 0.15 at e_H generate propagating numerical errors in V ISH 2+1 which grow with time and cause problems. We avoid these by smoothing the function c_s^2 (e) with a Ferm idistribution of width $e = 0.1 \text{ GeV} / \text{fm}^3$ centered at $e = e_0$ and another one of width $e = 0.02 \text{ GeV} / \text{fm}^3$ centered at $e = e_H$. Both the original EOS Q and our smoothed version SM -EOS Q are shown in Figure 1. A comparison of simulations using ideal hydrodynam ics with EOS Q and SM -EOS Q is given in Appendix D 1. It gives an idea of the magnitude of smoothing elects on the ideal uid evolution of elliptic ow.

Another similarly smoothed EOS that matches a hadron resonance gas below $T_{\rm c}$ with lattice QCD data above $T_{\rm c}$ has also been constructed. Results using this lattice based EOS will be reported elsewhere.

E . Freeze-out procedure: Particle spectra and $v_{\rm 2}$

Final particle spectra are computed from the hydrodynam ic output via a modi ed Cooper-Frye procedure [52]. We here compute spectra only for directly em itted particles and do not include feeddown from resonance decay after freeze-out. We rst determ ine the freeze-out surface (x), by postulating (as common in hydrodynamic studies) that freeze-out from a thermalized uid to free-stream ing, non-interacting particles happens suddenly when the tem perature drops below a critical value. As in the ideal uid case with EOS Q [44] we choose $T_{dec} = 130M \text{ eV}$. The particle spectrum is then computed as an integral over this surface,

$$E \frac{d^{3}N_{i}}{d^{3}p} = \frac{g_{i}}{(2)^{3}} \sum_{Z}^{Z} p^{3} d(x) f_{i}(x;p)$$
(12)
$$= \frac{g_{i}}{(2)^{3}} p^{3} d(x) [f_{eq;i}(x;p) + f_{i}(x;p)];$$

where g_i is the degeneracy factor for particle species i, d^3 (x) is the outward-pointing surface norm alvector on the decoupling surface (x) at point x,

(with $r = (x;y) = (r\cos ;r\sin)$) denoting the transverse position vector), and $f_i(x;p)$ is the local distribution function for particle species i, computed from hydrodynam ic output. Equation (12) generalizes the usual C ooper-Frye prescription for ideal uid dynam ics [2] by accounting for the fact that in a viscous uid the local distribution function is never exactly in local equilibrium, but deviates from local equilibrium form by sm all terms s proportional to the non-equilibrium viscous ows 16,31]. B oth contributions can be extracted from hydrodynam ic output along the freeze-out surface. The equilibrium contribution is

$$f_{eq;i}(p;x) = f_{eq;i} \frac{p u(x)}{T(x)} = \frac{1}{e^{p u(x)=T(x)} - 1}; \quad (14)$$

where the exponent is computed from the tem – perature T(x) and hydrodynamic ow velocity $u = \frac{1}{2} (\cosh i v_2 \cos v_3 i v_2 \sin v_3 \sinh a)$ along the surface (x):

$$p u(x) = {}_{?} [m_{T} \cosh(y) pv_{?} \cos(p_{v})]: (15)$$

Here m_T = $p \frac{p}{p_T^2 + m_i^2}$ is the particle's transverse mass. The viscous deviation from local equilibrium is given by [16, 31]

$$f_{i}(x;p) = f_{eq;i}(p;x) \ 1 \quad f_{eq;i}(p;x) \ \frac{p \ p \ (x)}{2T^{2}(x) (e(x) + p(x))}$$
$$f_{eq;i} \ \frac{1}{2} \frac{p \ p}{T^{2}} \ \frac{1}{e+p}:$$
(16)

The approximation in the second line is not used in our numerical results but it holds (within the line thickness in all of our corresponding plots) since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & f_{eq} \end{pmatrix}$ deviates from 1 only when p T where the last factor is small. W ith it the spectrum (12) takes the instructive form

$$E \frac{d^{3}N_{i}}{d^{3}p} = \frac{g_{i}}{(2)^{3}} p^{3} d(x) f_{eq;i}(x;p) 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{T^{2}} \frac{p}{e+p}$$
(17)

The viscous correction is seen to be proportional to

(x) on the freeze-out surface (norm alized by the equilibrium enthalpy e+ p) and to increase quadratically with the particle'sm om entum (norm alized by the tem perature T). At large p_T , the viscous correction can exceed the equilibrium contribution, indicating a breakdown of viscous hydrodynam ics. In that dom ain, particle spectra can not be reliably computed with viscous uid dynam – ics. The lim it of applicability depends on the actual value of $=(e\!+\,p)$ and thus on the speci c dynam ical conditions encountered in the heavy-ion collision.

The viscous correction to the spectrum in Eq. (17) reads explicitly

$$p p = m_{T}^{2} \cosh^{2}(y) + \sinh^{2}(y)^{2}$$

$$2m_{T} \cosh(y) p_{x}^{x} + p_{y}^{y}$$

$$+ p_{x}^{2}^{xx} + 2p_{x}p_{y}^{xy} + p_{y}^{2}^{yy} : (18)$$

We can use the expressions given in Appendix 2 of Ref. [28] (in particular Eqs. (A 22) in that paper) to reexpress this in terms of the three independent components of mn for which we choose

$$\sim = {}^{2}$$
; $= {}^{xx} + {}^{yy}$; $= {}^{xx} {}^{yy}$: (19)

This choice is motivated by our numerical nding (see Fig. 2 in [37] and Sec. IV C) that ~ , ^{xx} and ^{yy} are about an order of magnitude larger than all other com – ponents of ^{mn}, and that in the azim uthally symmetric limit of central (b= 0) heavy-ion collisions the azim uthal average of vanishes (see Eq. (C 2)): h i = 0. We nd

$$p p = \sim m_{T}^{2} 2 \cosh^{2}(y) 1 2 \frac{p_{T}}{v_{2}} m_{T} \cosh(y) \frac{\sin(p+v)}{\sin(2v)} + \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{v_{2}^{2}} \frac{\sin(2p)}{\sin(2v)} + \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{2} \frac{\sin(2p)}{\sin(2v)} + m_{T}^{2} \cosh^{2}(y) \frac{p_{T}}{2} m_{T} \cosh(y) \frac{\sin(p+v)}{\sin(2v)} \frac{v_{2}^{2}}{2} \frac{\sin(p+v)}{\tan(2v)} + \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{2} + \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_{2}^{2}}{2} \frac{\sin(2p)}{\sin(2v)} + p_{T} m_{T} \cosh(y) \frac{\sin(p+v)}{\sin(2v)} + \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{2} \frac{\sin(2p)}{\sin(2v)} + \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{2} \frac{\sin(2p)}{\sin(2v)} \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{\sin(2v)} \frac{\sin(2p)}{\sin(2v)} \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{\sin(2v)} \frac{\sin(2p)}{\sin(2v)} + \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{2} \frac{\sin(2p)}{\sin(2v)} \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{2} \frac{\sin(2p)}{\sin(2v)} \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{\sin(2v)} \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{\sin(2v)}$$

Due to longitudinal boost-invariance, the integration over space-time rapidity in Eq. (12) can be done analytically, resulting in a series of contributions involving modi ed Bessel functions $\beta4$, 53]. V ISH 2+1 does not exploit this possibility and instead performs this and all other integrations for the spectra num erically.

O noe the spectrum (12) has been computed, a Fourier decomposition with respect to the azimuthal angle $_{\rm p}$ yields the anisotropic ow coe cients. For collisions between equal spherical nuclei followed by longitudinally boost-invariant expansion of the collision reball, only even-num bered coe cients contribute, the <code>\elliptic ow "</code> v₂ being the largest and m ost in portant one:

$$E \frac{d^{3}N_{i}}{d^{3}p}(b) = \frac{dN_{i}}{dy p_{T} dp_{T} d_{p}}(b)$$
(21)
= $\frac{1}{2} \frac{dN_{i}}{dy p_{T} dp_{T}} 1 + 2v_{2}(p_{T};b)\cos(2_{p}) + \dots$:

In practice it is evaluated as the $\cos(2_{\rm p}){}\text{-m}$ om ent of the nalparticle spectrum ,

$$v_{2}(p_{T}) = h\cos(2_{p})i \quad \frac{\underset{p}{\overset{R}{\underset{p}{\xrightarrow{}}}} d_{p}\cos(2_{p})\frac{dN}{dy p_{T} dp_{T} d_{p}}}{d_{p} \frac{dN}{dy p_{T} dp_{T} d_{p}}}; (22)$$

where, according to Eq. (12), the particle spectrum is a sum of a local equilibrium and a non-equilibrium contribution (to be indicated symbolically as $N = N_{eq} + N$).

III. CENTRAL COLLISIONS

A. Hydrodynam ic evolution

Even without transverse ow initially, the boostinvariant longitudinal expansion leads to a non-vanishing initial stress tensor ^{m n} which generates non-zero target values for three components of the shear viscous pressure tensor: ² = $\frac{4}{3_0}$, ^{xx} = ^{yy} = $\frac{2}{3_0}$. Inspection of the source term s in Eqs. (7-9) then reveals that the initially negative ² reduces the longitudinal pressure, thus reducing the rate of cooling due to work done by the latter, while the initially positive values of ^{xx} and ^{yy} add to the transverse pressure and accelerate the devolpment of transverse ow in x and y directions. A s the reball evolves, the stress tensor ^{m n} receives additional contributions involving the transverse ow velocity and its derivatives (see Eq. (A 2)) which render an analytic discussion of its e ects on the shear viscous pressure im – practical.

Figure 2 shows what one gets num erically. P lotted are the source terms (7) and (8), averaged over the transverse plane with the energy density as weight function, as a function of time, for evolution of central Cu+Cu collisions with two di erent equations of state, EOS I and SM -EOSQ. (In central collisions $h^{x}_{j} = h^{y}_{j}$.) One sees that the initially strong viscous reduction of the (negative) source term S , which controls the cooling by longitudinal expansion, quickly disappears. This is due to a combination of e ects: while the magnitude of ² decreases with time, its negative e ects are further compensated by a growing positive contri- $Q_x(pv_x) + Q_v(pv_v)$ arising from the increasing bution transverse ow gradients. In contrast, the viscous increase of the (positive) transverse source term S x persists much longer, until about 5 fm /c. A fter that time, how ever, the viscous correction sw itches sign (clearly visible in the upper inset in the right panel of Fig. 2b) and turns negative, thus reducing the transverse acceleration at late times relative to the ideal uid case. We can sum marize these ndings by stating that shear viscosity reduces longitudinal cooling mostly at early times while causing initially increased but later reduced acceleration in the transverse direction. Due to the general sm allness of the viscous pressure tensor components at late times, the last-mentioned e ect (reduced acceleration) is not very strong.

The phase transition in SM \pm OSQ is seen to cause an interesting non-monotonic behaviour of the time evolution of the source terms (right panel in Fig. 2), leading to a transient increase of the viscous elects on the longitudinal source term while the system passes through the mixed phase.

The viscous slowing of the cooling process at early times and the increased rate of cooling at later times due to accelerated transverse expansion are shown in Figure 3. The upper set of curves show sw hat happens in the center of the reball. For comparison we also show curves for boost-invariant longitudinal B jorken expansion without transverse ow, labeled (0+1)-d hydro". These are obtained with at initial density pro les for the same value e_0 (no transverse gradients). The dotted green



FIG. 2: (Color online) T in e evolution of the hydrodynam ic source terms (7-9), averaged over the transverse plane, for central Cu+Cu collisions, calculated with EOS I in the left panel and with SM-EOS Q in the right panel. The smaller insets blow up the vertical scale to show more detail. The dashed blue lines are for ideal hydrodynam ics with $e_0 = 30 \text{ GeV}/\text{fm}^3$ and $_0 = 0.6 \text{ fm}/\text{c}$. Solid red lines show results from viscous hydrodynam ics with identical initial conditions and $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}$ 0.08, $= \frac{3}{sT}$ 0.24 $\left(\frac{200 \text{ MeV}}{T}\right)$ fm/c. The positive source terms drive the transverse expansion while the negative ones a ect the longitudinal expansion.



FIG. 3: (Color online) T in e evolution of the local tem perature in central Cu+Cu collisions, calculated with EOS I (left) and SM \pm EOS Q (right), for the center of the reball (r = 0, upper set of curves) and a point near the edge (r = 9 fm, lower set of curves). Sam e parameters as in Fig. 2. See text for discussion.

line in the left panel shows the well-known T $^{1=3}$ behaviour of the B pirken solution of ideal uid dynamics [54], m odi ed in the right panel by the quark-hadron phase transition where the tem perature stays constant in the m ixed phase. The dash-dotted purple line shows the slower cooling in the viscous (0+1)-dimensional case [17], due to reduced work done by the longitudinal pressure. The expansion is still boost-invariant a la B pirken [54] (as it is for all other cases discussed in this paper), but viscouse ects generate entropy, thereby keeping the tem – perature at all times higher than for the adiabatic case. The dashed blue (ideal) and solid red (viscous) lines for the azim uthally symmetric (1+1)-dimensional case show

the additional cooling caused by transverse expansion. A gain the cooling is initially slower in the viscous case (solid red), but at later times, due to faster build-up of transverse ow by the viscously increased transverse pressure, the viscous expansion is seen to cool the reball center faster than ideal hydrodynamics. (Note also the drastic reduction of the lifetime of the mixed phase by transverse expansion; due to increased transverse ow and continued acceleration in the mixed phase from viscous pressure gradients, it is even m ore dram atic in the viscous than the ideal case.) The curves for r = 9 fm corroborate this, showing that the tem perature initially increases with time due to hot matter being pushed from



FIG. 4: (Cobronline) T in e evolution of the local entropy density for central Cu+Cu collisions, calculated with EOS I (left) and SM-EOS Q (right), for the center of the reball (r = 0, upper set of curves) and a point at r = 3 fm (lower set of curves). Same parameters and color coding as in Fig. 3. See text for discussion.

the center towards the edge, and that this tem perature increase happens more rapidly in the viscous uid (solid red lines), due to the faster outward transport of matter in this case.

Figure 4 shows how the features seen in Fig.3m anifest them selves in the evolution of the entropy density. (In the QGP phases T³.) The double-logarithm ic presentation emphasizes the e ects of viscosity and transverse expansion on the power law s(): One sees that ¹ scaling of the ideal B jorken solution is attened the by viscous e ects, but steepened by transverse expansion. A s is well-know, it takes a while (here about 3 fm/c) until the transverse rarefaction wave reaches the reball center and turns the initially 1-dim ensional longitudinal expansion into a genuinely 3-dim ensional one. W hen this happens, the power law s() changes from = 1 in the ideal uid case to > 3 []. Here 3 is the dimensionality of space, and the fact that becomes larger than 3 re ects relativistic Lorentz-contraction e ects through the transverse- ow-related 2 -factor that keeps increasing even at late times. In the viscous case, changes from 1 to 3 sooner than for the ideal uid, due to the faster grow th of transverse ow . At late times the s() curves for ideal and viscous hydrodynam ics are alm ost perfectly parallel, indicating that very little entropy is produced during this late stage.

In Figure 5 we plot the evolution of tem perature in r space, in the form of constant-T surfaces. Again the two panels compare the evolution with EOS I (left) to the one with SM-EOS Q (right). In the two halves of each panel we directly contrast viscous and ideal uid evolution. (The light gray lines in the right halves are re-

ections of the viscous tem perature contours in the left halves, to facilitate comparison of viscous and ideal uid dynam ics.) Beyond the already noted fact that at r = 0 the viscous uid cools initially more slow ly (thereby giving somewhat longer life to the QGP phase) but later

more rapidly (thereby freezing out earlier), this gure also exhibits two other noteworthy features: (i) Moving from r = 0 outward, one notes that contours of larger radial ow velocity are reached sooner in the viscous than in the ideal uid case; this shows that radial ow builds up more quickly in the viscous uid. This is illustrated m ore explicitly in Fig. 6 which shows the time evolution of the radial velocity hv? i, calculated as an average over the transverse plane with the Lorentz contracted energy density 2 e as weight function. (ii) C om paring the two sets of tem perature contours shown in the right panel of Fig. 5, one sees that viscous e ects tend to sm oothen any structures related to the (rst order) phase transition in SM-EOSQ. The reason for this is that, with the discontinuous change of the speed of sound at either end of the mixed phase, the radial ow velocity pro le develops dram atic structures at the QGP-MP and MP-HRG interfaces [44]. This leads to large velocity gradients across these interfaces (as can be seen in the right panel of Fig. 5 in its low er right corner which shows rather twisted contours of constant radial ow velocity), inducing large viscous pressures which drive to reduce these gradients (as seen in lower left corner of that panel). In e ect, shear viscosity softens the storder phase transition into a sm ooth but rapid cross-over transition.

These same viscous pressure gradients cause the uid to accelerate even in the mixed phase where all therm odynamic pressure gradients vanish (and where the ideal

uid therefore does not generate additional ow). As a result, the lifetime of the mixed phase is shorter in viscous hydrodynamics, as also seen in the right panel of Figure 5.



FIG.5: (Color online) Surfaces of constant temperature T and constant transverse ow velocity v_2 for centralCu+Cu collisions, evolved with EOSI (left panel) and SM-EOSQ (right panel). In each panel, results from viscous hydrodynamics in the left half are directly compared with the corresponding ideal uid evolution in the right half. (The thin isotherm contours in the right halves of each panel are rejected from the left halves, for easier comparison.) The lines of constant v_2 are spaced by intervals of 0.1, from the inside outward, as indicated by the numbers near the top of the gures. The right panel contains two isotherms for $T_c = 164$ MeV, one separating the mixed phase (MP) from the QGP at energy density $e_0 = 1.6$ GeV/fm³. See text for discussion.



FIG.6: (Cobr online) T in e evolution of the average radial ow velocity $hv_T i hv_2 i$ in central Cu+Cu collisions, calculated with EOSI (left panel) and SM-EOSQ (right panel). Solid (dashed) lines show results from ideal (viscous) uid dynamics. The initially faster rate of increase relects large positive shear viscous pressure in the transverse direction at early times. The similar rates of increase at late times indicate the gradual disappearance of shear viscous elects. In the right panel, the curves exhibit a plateau from 2 to 4 fm /c, relecting the softening of the EOS in the mixed phase.

B. Final particle spectra

A fter obtaining the freeze-out surface, we calculate the particle spectra from the generalized Cooper-Frye formula (12), using the AZHYDRO algorithm [41] for the integration over the freeze-out surface . For calculations with EOS I which lacks the transition from massless partons to hadrons, we cannot com pute any hadron spectra. For illustration we instead com pute the spectra of hypothetical massless bosons (\gluons"). They can be compared with the pion spectra from SM -EOSQ which can also, to good approximation, be considered as massless bosons.

The larger radial ow generated in viscous hydrodynamics, for a xed set of initial conditions, leads, of course, to atter transverse momentum spectra $\beta 0, 33$, 34] (at least at low p_T where the viscous correction f_i to the distribution function can be neglected in (12)). This is seen in Figure 7, by comparing the dotted and



FIG. 7: (Color online) M id-rapidity particle spectra for central Cu+Cu collisions, calculated with EOS I (left, gluons) and with SM EOS Q (right, ,K⁺ and p). The solid blue (red dashed) lines are from ideal (viscous) hydrodynamics. The purple dotted lines show viscous hydrodynamic spectra that neglect the viscous correction f_i to the distribution function in Eq. (12), i.e. include only the e ects from the larger radial ow generated in viscous hydrodynamics.

solid lines. This comparison also shows that the viscous spectra lie system atically above the idealones, indicating larger nal total multiplicity. This recets the creation of entropy during the viscous hydrodynam ic evolution. As pointed out in [33, 34], this requires a retuning of initial conditions (starting the hydrodynam ic evolution later with sm aller initial energy density) if one desires to

t a given set of experim ental p-spectra. Since we here concentrate on investigating the origins and detailed mechanics of viscous e ects in relativistic hydrodynamics, we will not explore any variations of initial conditions. All com parisons between ideal and viscous hydrodynam - ics presented here will use identical starting times _0 and initial peak energy densities e_0 .

The viscous correction f_i in Eqs. (12,16) depends on the signs and m agnitudes of the various viscous pressure tensor com ponents along the freeze-out surface, weighted by the equilibrium part $f_{eq;i}$ of the distribution function. Its e ect on the nalp-spectra (even its sign!) is not a priori obvious. Teaney [16], using a blast-wave model to evaluate the velocity stress tensor = =(2),found that the correction is positive, growing quadratically with p_T . Romatschke et al. [34, 36] did not break out separately the contributions from larger radial ow in $f_{eq;i}$ and from f_i . Dusling and Teaney [38], solving a slightly di erent set of viscous hydrodynam ic equations and using a di erent (kinetic) freeze-out criterium to determ ine their decoupling surface, found a (small) positive e ect from f on the nal pion spectra, at least up to $p_T = 2 \text{ G eV} / c$, for freeze-out around 130M eV, turning weakly negative when their ef-T_{dec} fective freeze-out tem perature was low ered to below 100 MeV. The dashed lines in Figure 7 show that in our calculations for $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV} / \text{c}$ the e ects from f have an overall negative sign, leading to a reduction of the p_T spectra at large p_T relative to both the viscous spectra



FIG.8: (Color online) Ratio of the viscous correction N, resulting from the non-equilibrium correction f, Eq. (16), to the distribution function at freeze-out, to the equilibrium spectrum N_{eq} dN_{eq}=(dyd²p_T) calculated from Eq. (12) by setting f = 0. The gluon curves are for evolution with EOS I, the curves for ,K⁺ and p are from calculations with SM – EOS Q.

without f_i and the ideal hydrodynam ic spectra. This is true for all particle species, irrespective of the EOS used to evolve the uid.

It turns out that, when evaluating the viscous correction f in Eq. (16) with the help of Eq. (20), large cancellations occur between the rst and second line in Eq. (20). [A fter azim uthal integration, the contribution to f from the third line vanishes identically for central collisions.] These cancellations cause the nal result

12

stability is achieved by trading 2 for $= ^2 +$ and using instead of Eq. (20) the following expression:

to be quite sensitive to small num erical errors in the calculation of 2 and $= {}^{xx} + {}^{yy}$. Increased num erical

$$p p = m_{T}^{2} 2\cosh^{2}(y) 1 2\frac{p_{T}}{v_{2}}m_{T}\cosh(y) \frac{\sin(p+v)}{\sin(2v)} + \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{v_{2}^{2}}\frac{\sin(2p)}{\sin(2v)} + m_{T}^{2}\sinh^{2}(y) + p_{T}m_{T}\cosh(y) \frac{\sin(p+v)}{\tan(2v)} + \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{2} 1 \frac{\sin(2p)}{\sin(2v)} + p_{T}m_{T}\cosh(y) \frac{\sin(p+v)}{\sin(2v)} \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{2}\frac{\sin(2(p+v))}{\sin(2v)} :$$
(23)

The rst and second lines of this expression are now much smaller than before and closer in magnitude to the nal net result for p p. This improvement carries over to non-central collisions as discussed in Sec. IV D, where we also show the individual contributions from , and

to the particle spectra. To be able to use Eq. (23), the numerical code should directly evolve, in addition to , x, and y which are needed for the velocity nding algorithm (see A ppendixB), the components xx and yy. O therwise these last two components must be computed from the evolved mn components using the transversality and tracelessness constraints which necessarily involves the amplication of numerical errors by division with small velocity components.

In Figure 8 we explore the non-equilibrium contribution to the nal hadron spectra in greater detail. The gure shows that the non-equilibrium e ects from $_{\rm i}f$ are largest for massless particles and, at high $p_{\rm T}$, decrease in magnitude with increasing particle mass. The assumption j f j $f_{\rm eq}$, which underlies the viscous hydrodynamic form alism, is seen to break down at high $p_{\rm T}$, but to do so later for heavier hadrons than for lighter ones. Once the correction exceeds O (50%) (indicated by the horizontal dashed line in Fig.8), the calculated spectra can no longer be trusted.

In contrast to viscous hydrodynam ics, ideal uid dynam ics has no intrinsic characteristic that will tell us when it starts to break down. C om parison of the calculated elliptic ow $\sqrt[4]{2}$ from ideal uid dynam ics with the experim entaldata from RHIC [1] suggests that the ideal

uid picture begins to break down above p ' 1.5 GeV/c for pions and above p_T ' 2 GeV/c for protons. This phenom enological hierarchy of thresholds where viscous effects appear to become essential is qualitatively consistent with them asshierarchy from viscous hydrodynam ics shown in Fig. 8.

In the region $0 < p_T < 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c$, the interplay between m_T-and p_T -dependent terms in Eq. (20) is subtle, causing sign changes of the viscous spectral correction depending on hadron m ass and p_T (see inset in Fig.8). The fragility of the sign of the elect is also obvious from Fig.8 in the work by Dusling and Teaney [38] where it is shown that in this p_T region the viscous correction changes sign from positive to negative when freeze-out is shifted from earlier to later times (higher to lower freezeout temperature). O verall, we agree with them that the viscous correction elects on the p_T -spectra are weak in this region [38]. We will see below that a similar statement does not hold for the elliptic ow.

IV . NON-CENTRAL COLLISIONS

A. Hydrodynam ic evolution

W e now take full advantage of the ability of V ISH 2+1 to solve the transverse expansion in 2 spatial dim ensions to explore the anisotropic reballevolution in non-central heavy-ion collisions. Sim ilar to Fig. 5 for b= 0, Figure 9 shows surfaces of constant tem perature and radial ow for Cu+Cu collisions at b= 7 fm, for the equation of state SM -EOSQ. The plots show the di erent evolution into and perpendicular to the reaction plane and compare idealwith viscous uid dynamics. Again, even am in im al am ount of shear viscosity $\left(\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}\right)$ is seen to dram atically sm oothen all structures related to the existence of a storder phase transition in the EOS. However, in distinction to the case of central collisions, radial ow builds up at a weaker rate in the peripheral collisions and never becom es strong enough to cause faster central cooling at late times than seen in ideal uid dynamics (bottom row in Fig. 9). The viscous reball cools more slow ly than the ideal one at all times and positions, lengthening in particular the lifetime of the QGP phase, and it grows to larger transverse size at freeze-out. [Note that this does not im ply larger transverse HBT radii than for ideal hydrodynamics (som ething that { in view of the \RHIC HBT Puzzle" [1] { would be highly desirable): the larger geom etric size is counteracted by larger radial ow such that the geom etric grow th, in fact, does not lead to larger transverse hom ogeneity lengths [34].]

W hile Figure 9 gives an impression of the anisotropy of the reball in coordinate space, we study now in Fig. 10 the evolution of the ow anisotropy hj_k j j_k ji.



FIG.9: (Color online) Surfaces of constant temperature T and constant transverse ow velocity v_2 for sem i-peripheralCu+Cu collisions at b= 7 fm, evolved with SM \pm OSQ. In the top row we contrast ideal (left panel) and viscous (right panel) uid dynamics, with a cut along the x axis (in the reaction plane) shown in the right half while the left half shows a cut along the y axis (perpendicular to the reaction plane). In the bottom row we com pare ideal and viscous evolution in the same panel, with cuts along the x (y) direction shown in the left (right) panel. See Fig.5 for com parison with centralCu+Cu collisions.

In central collisions this quantity vanishes. In ideal hydrodynam ics it is driven by the anisotropic gradients of the therm odynam ic pressure. In viscous uid dynam ics, the source term s (8,9), whose di erence is shown in the bottom row of Fig. 10, receive additional contributions from gradients of the viscous pressure tensor which contribute their own an isotropies. Fig. 10 dem on strates that these additional an isotropies increase the driving force for anisotropic ow at very early times ($_0 < 1 \text{ fm} / c$), but reduce this driving force throughout the later evolution. At times $_0 > 2 \text{ fm} / \text{c}$ the anisotropy of the e ective transverse pressure even changes sign and turns negative, working to decrease the ow anisotropy. As a consequence of this, the buildup of the ow anisotropy stalls 0 2:5 fm/c (even earlier for SM-EOS Q where at the ow buildup stops as soon as the reballm edium enters the mixed phase) and proceeds to slightly decrease

therafter. This happens during the crucial period where ideal uid dynam ics still shows strong grow th of the ow anisotropy. By the time the reball matter decouples, the average ow velocity anisotropy of viscous hydro lags about 20-25% behind the value reached during ideal uid dynam ical evolution.

These features are mirrored in the time evolution of the spatial eccentricity $x = \frac{hx^2 y^2 i}{hx^2 + y^2 i}$ (calculated by averaging over the transverse plane with the energy density e(x) as weight function [44] and shown in the top row of F ig. 11) and of the momentum anisotropies p and p^0 (shown in the bottom row). The momentum anisotropy $p = \frac{hT_0^{\times \times} T q^{\times 1}}{hT_0^{\times \times} + T_0^{\times 1} i}$ [55]m easures the anisotropy of the transverse momentum density due to anisotropies in the collective ow pattern, as shown in top row of F ig.10; it includes only the ideal uid part of the energy momentum



FIG.10: (Color online) Time evolution of the transverse ow anisotropy $hj_x j j_y j$ (top row) and of the anisotropy in the transverse source term $h\beta$ ^x j β ^y j (bottom row). Both quantities are averaged over the transverse plane, with the Lorentz-contracted energy density _? as weight function. The left (right) column shows results for EOS I (SM -EOS Q), with solid (dashed) lines representing ideal (viscous) uid dynam ical evolution.

tensor. The total momentum anisotropy $p = \frac{hT \times T T YY i}{hT \times X + T YY i}$, similarly de ned in terms of the total energy momentum tensor $T = T_0 +$, additionally counts anisotropic momentum contributions arising from the viscous pressure tensor. Since the latter quantity includes e ects due to the deviation f of the local distribution function from its thermal equilibrium form which, according to Eq. (12), also a ects the nal hadron momentum spectrum and elliptic ow, it is this total momentum anisotropy that should be studied in viscous hydrodynam ics if one wants to understand the evolution of hadron elliptic ow . In other words, in viscous hydrodynam ics hadron elliptic ow is not simply a measure for anisotropies in the collective ow velocity pattern, but additionally re ects an isotropies in the local rest fram e momentum distributions, arising from deviations of the local m om entum distribution from therm al equilibrium and thus being related to the viscous pressure.

Figure 11 correlates the decrease in time of the spatial eccentricity $_{\rm x}$ with the buildup of the momentum anisotropies $_{\rm p}$ and $_{\rm p}^{0}$. In viscous dynamics the spatial eccentricity is seen to decrease initially faster than for ideal uids. This is less a consequence of anisotropies in the large viscous transverse pressure gradients at early times than due to the faster radial expansion caused by their large overall magnitude. In fact, it was found a

while ago [56] that for a system of free-stream ing partons the spatial eccentricity falls even faster than the viscous hydrodynam ic curves (solid lines) in the upper row of Figure 11. The e ects of early pressure gradient an isotropies is re ected in the initial grow th rate of the ow-induced momentum anisotropy p which is seen to slightly exceed that observed in the ideal uid at tim es up to about 1 fm /c after the beginning of the transverse expansion (bottom panels in Fig. 11). This parallels the slightly faster initial rise of the ow velocity anisotropy seen in the top panels of Fig. 10. Figure 10 also shows that in the viscous uid the ow velocity an isotropy stalls about 2 fm /c after start and rem ains about 25% below the nal value reached in ideal uid dynamics. This causes the spatial eccentricity of the viscous reball to decrease more slowly at later times than that of the ideal uid (top panels in Fig. 11) which, at late times, features a signi cantly larger di erence between the horizontal (x) and vertical (y) expansion velocities.

It is very instructive to compare the behaviour of the ow-induced ideal- uid contribution to the momentum anisotropy, $_{p}$, with that of the total momentum anisotropy $_{p}^{0}$. At early times they are very dierent, with $_{p}^{0}$ being much smaller than $_{p}$ and even turning slightly negative at very early times (see insets in the lower panels of Fig. 11). This rejects very large nega-



FIG.11: (Color online) T in e evolution for the spatial eccentricity $_x$, m on entum anisotropy $_p$ and totalm on entum anisotropy $_p^{0}$ (see text for de nitions), calculated for b= 7 fm Cu+Cu collisions with EOS I (left column) and SM -EOS Q (right column). D ashed lines are for ideal hydrodynamics while the solid and dotted lines show results from viscous hydrodynamics. See text for discussion.

tive contributions to the anisotropy of the total energy m om entum tensor from the shear viscous pressure whose gradients along the out-of-plane direction y strongly exceed those within the reaction plane along the x direction. At early times this e ect almost compensates for the larger in-plane gradient of the therm alpressure. The negative viscous pressure gradient anisotropy is responsible for reducing the grow th of ow anisotropies, thereby causing the ow-induced momentum anisotropy $_{\rm p}$ to significantly lag behind its ideal uid value at later times. The negative viscous pressure anisotropies responsible for the di erence between $_{\rm p}$ and $_{\rm p}^0$ slow ly disappear at later times, since all viscous pressure components then become very sm all (see Fig. 13 below).

The net result of this interplay is a total momentum anisotropy in Cu+Cu collisions (i.e. a source of elliptic

ow \underline{v}) that for a \minimally" viscous uid with $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}$ is 40-50% lower than for an ideal uid, at all except the earliest times (where it is even smaller). The origin of this reduction changes with time: Initially it is dominated by strong momentum anisotropies in the local rest frame, with momenta pointing preferentially out-of-plane, induced by deviations from local thermal equilibrium and associated with large shear viscous pressure. At later times, the action of these anisotropic viscous pressure

gradients integrates to an overall reduction in collective ow anisotropy, while the viscous pressure itself becomes sm all; at this stage, the reduction of the totalm om entum anisotropy is indeed m ostly due to a reduced anisotropy in the collective ow pattern while m om entum isotropy in the local uid rest fram e is approximately restored.

B. Elliptic ow v_2 of nalparticle spectra

The e ect of the viscous suppression of the total momentum anisotropy $\frac{0}{p}$ on the nalparticle elliptic ow is shown in Figure 12. Even for the \minimal" viscosity $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}$ considered here one sees a very strong suppression of the di erential elliptic ow $y(p_T)$ from viscous evolution (dashed lines) compared to the ideal uid (solid lines). Both the viscous reduction of the collective ow anisotropy (whose e ect on $\frac{1}{2}$ is shown as the dotted lines) and the viscous contributions to the anisotropy of the localm om entum distribution (embodied in the term f in Eq. (12)) play big parts in this reduction. The runs

with EOS I (which is a very hard EOS) decouple more quickly than those with SM -EOSQ; correspondingly, the viscous pressure components are still larger at freeze-out and the viscous corrections f to the distribution func-



FIG.12: (Color online) Dierential elliptic ow $v_2(p_T)$ for Cu+Cu collisions at b=7 fm. Left panel: G luons from evolution with EOS I.Right panel: , K⁺, and p from evolution with SM-EOS Q.D ashed lines: ideal hydrodynamics. Solid lines: viscous hydrodynamics without non-equilibrium distortion for distribution function at freeze-out.



FIG.13: (Color online) Left panel: T in e evolution of the various components of the shear viscous pressure tensor, normalized by the enthalpy and averaged in the transverse plane over the thermalized region inside the freeze-out surface [59]. Note that the normalizing factor e+ p T^4 decreases rapidly with time. R ight panel: C om parison of the full viscous hydrodynam ic source term s S^{m n}, averaged over the transverse plane, with their approximations given in Eqs. (7-9), as a function of time. The thin gray lines indicate the corresponding source term s in ideal uid dynam ics.

tion play a bigger role. W ith SM EOS Q the reball doesn't freeze out until ^{m n} has become very small (see Fig.13 below), resulting in much smaller corrections from f (di erence between dashed and dotted lines in Fig12) [57]. On the other hand, due to the longer reball lifetime the negatively anisotropic viscous pressure has more time to decelerate the buildup of anisotropic ow, so y is strongly reduced because of the much smaller ow induced momentum anisotropy p.

The net e $\,$ ect of all this is that, for Cu+Cu collisions and in the soft momentum region $p_T < 1.5\,G\,eV/c$, the viscous evolution with $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}$ leads to a suppression of v_2 by about a factor 2 [58], in both the slope of its p_T -dependence and its p_T -integrated value. (Due to the $\,$ at-

ter p_T -spectra from the viscous dynamics, the e ect in the p_T -integrated v_2 is not quite as large as for v_2 (p_T) at xed p_T .)

C . T im e evolution of the viscous pressure tensor com ponents and hydrodynam ic source term s

In Figure 13 we analyze the time evolution of the viscous pressure tensor components and the viscous hydrodynamic source terms on the r.h.s. of Eqs. (6). As already mentioned, the largest components of $^{\rm mn}$ are 2 , $^{\rm xx}$ and $^{\rm yy}$ (see Fig. 2 in [37] and left panel of Fig. 13 [59]). At early times, both 2 and the sum

= xx + yy reach (with opposite signs) almost 20% of the equilibrium enthalpy e+p. At this stage all other are at least an order of magnitude com ponents of smaller (see inset). The largest of these small com ponents is the di erence = xx yy which we choose as the variable describing the anisotropy of the viscous pressure tensor in non-central collisions. At late times $_0 > 5 \text{ fm} / \text{c}$), when the large components of ^{m n} have (becomes comparable to them in strongly decreased, magnitude. As a fraction of the therm al equilibrium enthalpy e+p T⁴ which sets the scale in ideal uid dynam ics and which itself decreases rapidly with time, all viscous pressure components are seen to decrease with time. In a uid with a set ratio =s, viscous e ects thus become less important with time. In real life, how ever, the ratio =s depends itself on tem perature and rises dram atically during the quark-hadron phase transition and below [60, 61]. Shear viscous e ects will therefore be larger at late times than considered here. The consequences of this will be explored elsewhere.

The observation that many components of m^n are very small throughout the reball evolution underlies the validity of the approximation of the hydrodynamic source terms given in the second lines of Eqs. (7-9). The excellent quality of this approximation is illustrated in the right panel of Fig. 13.

D. V iscous corrections to nalpion spectra and elliptic ow

The large viscous reduction of the elliptic ow seen in Fig. 12 warrants a m ore detailed analysis of the viscous corrections to the particle spectra and v_2 . In Fig. 14 we show, for Cu+Cu collisions at b= 7 fm evolved with SM -EOSQ, the time evolution of the independent com -, and of the viscous pressure tensor ^{mn}, ponents normalized by the equilibrium enthalpy e+p, along the T_{dec} = 130M eV decoupling surface plotted in the upper right panel of Fig. 9. Solid (dashed) lines show the behaviour along the x (y) direction (right (left) half of the upper right panel in Fig. 9). We see that generically all three of these viscous pressure com ponents are of sim ilar m agnitude, except for which strongly dom inates over the other two during the st 2 fm /c after the beginning of the expansion stage. How ever, since most particle production, especially that of low $-p_T$ particles, occurs at late times (> 4 fm/c for b= 7 fm/c Cu+Cu, see Fig.9 and the discussion around Fig. 27 in Ref. [1]), the regions is large do not contribute much. As far as the where non-equilibrium contribution to the spectra is concerned, we can thus say that the viscous pressure at freeze-out is of the order of a few percent of e+p. The anisotropy is even smaller, due to cancellations between the term in-plane (x) and out-of-plane (y) contributions when integrating over the azim uthal angle in Eq. (12).

These viscous pressure components generate the nonequilibrium contribution f to the distribution func-



FIG.14: Time evolution of ,, and , as well as that of the transverse velocity, along the decoupling surface for b= 7 fm Cu+Cu collisions in viscous hydrodynam ics with SM - EOSQ, as shown in Fig.9. Solid (dashed) lines represent cuts along the x (= 0) and y ($= -\frac{1}{2}$) directions.

tion on the freeze-out surface according to Eqs. (16) and (23), resulting in a corresponding viscous correction to the azim uthally integrated particle spectrum N d p E $\frac{d^3N}{d^3p}$. Figure 15 shows these non-equilibrium contributions for pions, normalized by the azim uthally averaged equilibrium part N eq d p $\frac{d^3N}{d^3p}$. We show both the total viscous correction and the individual contributions arising from the three independent pressure tensor components used in Eq. (23) and show n in Fig. 14.

In the viscous correction, the term (23) (norm alized by T²(e+p)) is weighted by particle production via the equilibrium distribution function $f_{eq}(x;p)$. It is well-known (see Fig. 27 in R ef. [1]) that for low-p_T particles this weight is concentrated along the relatively at top part of the decoupling surface in Fig.9, corresponding to $> 5 \ 6 \ fm/c$ in Fig.14. In this momentum range, the contributions from , and to N=N_{eq} are of sin i-larm agnitude and alternating signs (see Fig.15), m aking the sign of the overall viscous correction to the spectra hard to predict.

High- p_T particles, on the other hand, come from those regions in the reballwhich feature the largest transverse ow velocity at freeze-out. Fig 9 shows that this restricts their em ission mostly to the time interval 3 < < 6 fm /c. In this region is negative, see Fig. 14. A detailed study of the di erent terms in Eq. (23) reveals that (after azim uthal integration) the expression multiplying is positive, hence the negative sign of explains its negative contribution to $N=\!N_{eq}$ at high p_T , as seen in Fig.15. Figure 15 also shows that in the region $p_T > 1 \, \text{GeV} / \text{c}$ the

rst line in Eq. (23) com pletely dom inates the viscous correction to the spectra. We found that this involves additional cancellations between terms of oppo-



FIG .15: (C olor on line) V iscous corrections to the azim uthally averaged pion spectrum resulting from individual components of the viscous pressure tensor $^{\rm m\ n}$ as indicated, as well as the total correction $N=N_{\rm eq}$, for C u+ C u collisions at b= 7 fm w ith SM -EOSQ. The horizontal dashed line at -50% indicates the lim it of validity.

site sign (after azim uthal integration) inside the square brackets multiplying and in the second and third line of Eq. (23). Furtherm ore, the term is the only contribution whose magnitude grows quadratically with p_T . For the contributions involving and , the apparent quadratic momentum dependence seen in Eq. (23) is tem pered by the integrations over space-time rapidity and azim uthal angle in (12), resulting in only linear

In the absence of higher-order m om entum anisotropies v_n , n > 2, the elliptic ow v_2 (p_T) can be easily computed from the momentum spectra in x ($_p=0$) and y ($_p=\frac{1}{2}$) directions:

grow that large p_{T} .

$$2v_{2}(p_{T}) = \frac{N_{x} N_{y}}{N}$$
$$= \frac{(N_{x,peq} N_{y,peq}) + (N_{x} N_{y})}{N_{eq} + N}; \quad (24)$$

where N = N $_{eq}$ + N is shorthand for the azim uthally averaged spectrum dN =(2 dy $p_T dp_T$), and N $_{x\,y}$ denote the p_T spectra along the x and y directions, respectively: N $_x$ N $_{x\,zeq}$ + N $_x$ $\frac{d^3N}{dy\,p_T\,dp_T\,d}$ ($_p$ =0), and sim ilarly for N $_y$ with $_p$ = $\frac{}{2}$. Equation (24) shows that v_2 receives contributions from an isotropies in the equilibrium part of the distribution function f_{eq} , which relates the hydrodynamic

ow anisotropy along the freeze-out surface, and from the viscous correction f, which re ects non-equilibrium momentum anisotropies in the local uid rest frame. The dashed line in Figure 16 shows the relative magnitude of these two anisotropy contributions, $\frac{N_x - N_y}{N_{x,req} - N_{y,req}}$, and compares it with the relative magnitude $\frac{N}{N_{eq}}$ of the non-equilibrium and equilibrium contributions to the total,



FIG.16: (Color online) Ratio of non-equilibrium and equilibrium contributions to particle production (solid line) and to its momentum anisotropy (dashed line), as a function of p_T for pions from Cu+Cu collisions at b= 7 fm with SM-EOSQ.

 $_{\rm p}\mbox{-integrated pion spectrum for Cu+Cu at b= 7 fm . We see that the non-equilibrium contribution to the momentum anisotropy <math display="inline">v_2$ is always negative and larger in relative m agnitude than the non-equilibrium contribution to the azim uthally averaged spectrum . Since v_2 is a small quantity relative main to the anisotropic distortion of the single-particle spectrum , it reacts more sensitively than the spectrum itself to the (anisotropic) non-equilibrium contributions caused by the small viscous pressure $^{m\ n}$ on the decoupling surface. Furtherm ore, the viscous corrections to the $_p\mbox{-integrated spectrum}$ change sign as a function of p_T , the corrections to v_2 are negative everywhere, decreasing v_2 (p_T) at all values of p_T , but especially at large transversem on enta.

V. SENSITIVITY TO INPUT PARAMETERS AND LIM ITS OF APPLICABILITY

A. Initialization of ^{mn}

Lacking input from a microscopic model of the preequilibrium stage preceding the (viscous) hydrodynamic one, one must supply initial conditions for the energy momentum tensor, including the viscous pressure mn. The most popular choice has been to initialize mn with its N avier-Stokes value, i.e. to set initially mn = 2 mn. Up to this point, this has also been our choice in the present paper. Ref. [36] advocated the choice mn = 0at time $_0$ in order to minimize viscous elects and thus obtain an upper limit on =s by comparison with experimental data. In the present subsection we explore the sensitivity of the nall spectra and elliptic ow to these dierent choices of initialization, keeping all other model parameters unchanged.

Figure 17 shows the time evolution of the viscous pres-



FIG. 17: (Color online) Similar to Figure 13, but now comparing runs with different initial conditions. The thick lines reproduce the results from Figure 13, obtained with $m^n = 2 m^n$ at initial time 0, while thin lines of the same type show the corresponding results obtained by setting initially $m^n = 0$. The right panel shows the full viscous source terms, without approximation: hfs xji (dashed), hfs yji (dotted), and hs i (dash-dotted).

sure tensor and viscous hydrodynam ic source terms for the two dierent initializations. Dierences with respect to the results shown Fig. 13 (which are reproduced in Fig. 17 for comparison) are visible only at early times $_0 < 5$ 1 fm/c. After 0.2 fm/c, the initial difference mn 2 mn has decreased by roughly a factor 1=e, and after several kinetic scattering times the hydrodynam ic evolution has apparently lost all memory how the viscous terms were initialized.

Correspondingly, the nal spectra and elliptic ow show very little sensitivity to the initialization of mn , as seen in Fig. 18. W ith vanishing initial viscous pressure, viscous e ects on the nal ow anisotropy are a little weaker (dotted lines in Fig. 18), but this di erence is overcom pensated in the total elliptic ow by slightly stronger anisotropies of the local rest fram e m om entum distributions at freeze-out (dashed lines in Fig. 18). For shorter kinetic relaxation times , the di erences resulting from di erent initializations of mn would be sm aller still.

B. K inetic relaxation tim e

W hile the nite relaxation time for the viscous pressure tensor in the Israel-Stewart form alism eliminates problems with superluminal signal propagation in the relativistic Navier-Stokes theory, it also keeps the viscous pressure from ever fully approaching its Navier-Stokes limit $^{mn} = 2 \ ^{mn}$. In this subsection we explore how far, on average, the viscous pressure evolved by V ISH 2+1 deviates from its Navier-Stokes limit, and how this changes if we reduce the relaxation time by a factor 2.

In Figure 19 we compare, for central Cu+Cu colli-

Cu+Cu, b=7 fm, SM-EOSQ, π^{-1} v_{2} 0.4 - viscous hydro \cdots viscous hydro (flow anisotropy only) - ideal hydro 0.3 - initialized by $\pi^{mn}=2\eta\sigma^{mn}$ - initialized by $\pi^{mn}=0$ 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 -

FIG.18: (Color online) Dierential elliptic ow $v_2 (p_T)$ for pions from b= 7 fm Cu+Cu collisions with SM -EOSQ.Thick lines reproduce the pion curves from Figure 12, obtained with $^{m\,n} = 2 \, ^{m\,n}$ at initial time $_0$, while thin lines of the same type show the corresponding results obtained by setting initially $^{m\,n} = 0$.

sions, the tim e evolution of the scaled viscous pressure tensor, averaged in the transverse plane over the therm alized region inside the freeze-out surface, with its N avier-Stokes lim it, for two values of $, = 3 = \mathrm{sT} = ^{\mathrm{class}}=2$ and $= ^{\mathrm{class}}=4$. For the larger relaxation time, the deviations from the N avier-Stokes lim it reach 25-30% at early times, but this fraction gradually decreases at later times. For the twice shorter relaxation time, the fractional deviation from N avier-Stokes decreases by som ewhat more than a factor 2 and never exceeds a value of about 10%.

Figure 20 shows that, sm allas they may appear, these



FIG. 19: (Color online) Time evolution of the two independent viscous pressure tensor components and $= x^{x} + y^{y}$ for central Cu+Cu collisions (solid lines), com – pared with their N avier-Stokes lim its 2 and 2 ($x^{x} + y^{y}$) (dashed lines), for two values of the relaxation time, = 3 =sT (thick lines) and = 1:5 =sT (thin lines). All quantities are scaled by the therm alequilibrium enthalpy e+p and transversally averaged over the therm alized region inside the decoupling surface.

deviations of ^{mn} from its Navier-Stokes lim it 2 ^{mn} (especially on the part of the decoupling surface corresponding to early tim es 0) still play an important role for the viscous reduction of elliptic ow observed in our calculations. W hile a decrease of the relaxation time by a factor 2 leads to only a sm all reduction of the viscous suppression of ow an isotropies (dotted lines in Fig.20), the contribution to $v_2(p_T)$ resulting from the viscous correc $p_n p_n {}^{m n}$ to the nalparticle spectra is reduced tion by about a factor 2, too, leading to a signi cant overall increase of $v_2(p_T)$ in the region $p_T > 1 \text{ GeV}/\text{c}$. To avoid strong sensitivity to the presently unknown value in the QGP, future extractions of the relaxation time of the speci c shear viscosity =s from a com parison between experim ental data and viscous hydrodynam ic sim ulations should therefore be perform ed at low transverse



FIG.20: (Color online) D i erentialelliptic ow v₂ (p_T) for from b= 7 fm Cu+Cu collisions with SM-EOSQ, calculated from viscous hydrodynam ics with two di erent values for the relaxation time . Thick lines reproduce the pion curves from Figure 12, thin lines show results obtained with a twice shorter relaxation time. For the standard (twice larger) classical relaxation time value = 6 =sT [27,31] deviations from ideal hydrodynam ics would exceed those seen in the thick lines.

m om enta, $p_T < 1\,G\,eV\,/c$, where our results appear to be reasonably robust against variations of ~ .

C. Breakdown of viscous hydrodynam ics at high p_T

As indicated by the horizontal dashed lines in Figs. 8 and 15, the assumption j fj f_{eq} junder which the viscous hydrodynam ic fram ework is valid breaks down at su ciently large transverse momenta. For a quantitative assessment we assume that viscous hydrodynam ic predictions become unreliable when the viscous corrections to the particle spectra exceed 50%. Fig. 8 shows that the characteristic transverse momentum p_T where this occurs depends on the particle species and increases with particle mass. To be species (, we here consider p_T for pions | the values for protons would be about 15% higher. The discussion in the preceding subsection of the

-dependence of viscous corrections to the nal spectra makes it clear that reducing will also push ${\rm p}_{\rm T}$ to larger values. Since we do not know we refrain from a quantitative estimate of this elect.

In Fig. 21 we show the breakdown momentum p_T for pions as a function of the peak initial energy density in the reball center (i.e. indirectly as a function of collision energy), for both central and peripheral Cu+Cu collisions. (The initial time was held xed at $_0 = 0.6$ fm.) G enerically, p_T rises with collision energy. The anomaly at low values of e(r=0) results, as far as we could as-



FIG.21: (Color online) Characteristic transverse momentum p_T where the viscous corrections to the nalpion spectrum become so large (> 50%) that the spectrum becomes unreliable, as a function of the initial energy density in the center of the reball. Stars are for central Cu+Cu collisions, open circles for peripheral Cu+Cu collisions at b= 7 fm. Note that identical e(r= 0) values correspond to higher collision energies in peripheral than in central collisions. p_T values are higher for more massive hadrons (see Fig.8), and they also increase for sm aller relaxation times (see discussion of Fig.20).

certain, from e ects connected with the phase transition in SM -EOSQ. The rise of $\rm p_{T}$ with increasing e(r=0) re-

ects the growing reball lifetim e which leads to smaller viscous pressure components at freeze-out. This lifetime e ect is obviously stronger for central than for peripheral collisions, leading to the faster rise of the stars than the open circles in Fig.21. Taking further into account that a given beam energy leads to higher e(r=0) values in central than in peripheral collisions such that, for a given experiment, the peripheral collision points are located farther to the left in the gure than the central collision points, we conclude that in central collisions the validity of viscous hydrodynam ics extends to signi cantly larger values of p_T than in peripheral collisions: V iscous e ects are more serious in peripheral than in central collisions.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we numerically studied the shear viscous e ects to the hydrodynam ic evolution, nalhadron spectra, and elliptic ow $\sqrt[4]{2}$, using a (2+1)-dimensional causal viscous hydrodynam ic code, V ISH 2+1, based on the 2nd order Israel-Stewart form alism . U sing a xed set of initial and nal conditions, we explored the e ects of shear viscosity for a \m inim ally" [19] viscous uid with $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}$ in central and peripheral Cu+Cu collisions, com – paring the evolution with two di erent equations of state, an idealm assless parton gas (EOS I) and an EOS with a sem irealistic param etrization of the quark-hadron phase

transition (SM \pm OSQ). Final hadron spectra and their elliptic ow were calculated from the hydrodynam ic output using the Cooper-Frye prescription.

W e found that shear viscosity decelerates longitudinal expansion, but accelerates the build-up of transverse

ow. This slows the cooling process initially, leading to a longer lifetim e for the QGP phase, but causes accelerated cooling at later stages by faster transverse expansion. V iscous pressure gradients during the m ixed phase increase the acceleration during this stage and slightly reduce its lifetim e. They counteract large gradients of the radial velocity pro le that appear in ideal uid dynam ics as a result of the softness of the EOS in the m ixed phase, thereby de facto sm oothing the assumed rst-order phase transition into a rapid cross-over transition. In the end the larger radial ow developing in viscous hydrodynam ics leads to atter transverse m om entum spectra of the nally em itted particles, while their azim uthalan isotropy

in non-central heavy-ion collisions is found to be strongly reduced.

A lthough the viscous hardening of the hadron p_T - spectra can be largely absorbed by retuning the initial conditions, starting the transverse expansion later and with low er initial entropy density [31, 34], this only acerbates the viscous e ects on the elliptic ow y which in this case is further reduced by the decreased reball lifetime. The reduction of the elliptic ow y by shear viscous e ects is therefore a sensitive and robust diagnostic tool for shear viscosity in the uid §2].

O ur results indicate that in sem iperipheralCu+Cu collisions even a m in in al" amount of shear viscosity [19] causes a reduction of v_2 by alm ost 50% relative to ideal

uid dynam ical sim ulations. In the present paper we explored the origin of this reduction in great detail. The e ects observed by us for Cu + Cu collisions β 7] are larger than those recently reported in R efs. [36, 38] for Au+Au collisions. W hile some of these di erences can be attributed to an increased importance of viscous e ects in smaller systems [58], the bulk of the di erence appears to arise from the fact that the di erent groups solve som ew hat di erent sets of viscous hydrodynam ic equations [43, 63]. (See also the recent interesting suggestion by Pratt [64] for a phenom enologicalm odi cation of the Isreal-Stew art equations for system swith large velocity gradients.) This raises serious questions: if theoretical am biguities in the derivation of the viscous hydrodynam ic equations re ect them selves in large variations of the predicted elliptic ow, any value of the QGP shear viscosity extracted from relativistic heavy-ion data will strongly depend on the speci c hydrodynam ic m odel used in the comparison. A reliable quantitative extraction of =s from experim entaldata will thus only be possible if these am biguities can be resolved.

Our studies show that shear viscous e ects are strongest during the early stage of the expansion phase when the longitudinal expansion rate is largest. At later tim es the viscous corrections become es mall, although not negligible. Sm all non-zero viscous pressure components along the hadronic decoupling surface have signi cant e ects on the nalhadron spectra that grow with transverse m om entum and thus lim it the applicability of the viscous hydrodynam ic calculation to transverse m om enta below 2-3 G eV /c, depending on im pact param eter, collision energy and particle m ass. V iscous e ects are m ore in portant in peripheral than in central collisions, and larger for light than for heavy particles. They increase with the kinetic relaxation tim e for the viscous pressure tensor. Since the breakdown of viscous hydrodynam ics is signalled by the theory itself, through the relative m agnitude of the viscous pressure, the applicability of the theory can be checked quantitatively case by case and during each stage of the expansion.

For the kinetic relaxation times considered in the present work, sensitivities to the initial value of the viscous pressure tensor were found to be small and practically negligible. Sensitivity to the value of was found for the hadron spectra, especially the elliptic ow, at large transverse momenta. This leads us to suggest to restrict any comparison between theory and experiment with the goal of extracting the shear viscosity =s to the region $p_T \leq 1 \, \text{GeV} / \text{c}$ where the sensitivity to is su - ciently weak.

The dynamical analysis of shear viscous e ects on the momentum anisotropy and elliptic ow in non-central collisions reveals an interesting feature: The total momentum anisotropy receives two types of contributions, the rst resulting from the anisotropy of the collective

ow pattern and the second arising from a local momentum anisotropy of the phase-space distribution function in the local uid rest frame, rejecting viscous corrections to its local therm all equilibrium form. During the early expansion stage the latter elect (i.e. the fact that large viscous pressure elects generate momentum anisotropies in the local uid rest frame) dominate the viscous elects on elliptic ow. At later times, these local momentum anisotropies get transferred to the collective ow prole, manifesting them selves as a viscous reduction of the collective ow anisotropy. The time scale for transferring the viscous correction to v_2 from the local rest frame momentum distribution to the collective ow pattern appears to be of the same order as that for the evolution of the total momentum anisotropy itself.

Several additional steps are necessary before the work presented here can be used as a basis for a quantitative interpretation of relativistic heavy-ion data. First, the abovem entioned am biguity of the detailed form of the kinetic evolution equations for the viscous pressure m ust be resolved. Second, the equation of state m ust be

ne-tuned to lattice QCD data and other available inform ation, to m ake it as realistic as presently possible. The hydrodynam ic scaling of the nal elliptic ow y with the initial source eccentricity x [65] and its possible violation by viscous e ects need to be explored [3], in order to assess the sensitivity of the scaled elliptic ow y=xto details of the m odel used for initializing the hydrodynam ic evolution [14]. The tem perature dependence of the speci c shear viscosity =s, especially across the quarkhadron phase transition [60, 61], must be taken into account, and bulk viscous e ects, again particularly near T_c , must be included. To properly account for the highly viscous nature of the hadron resonance gas during the last collision stage it m ay be necessary to m atch the viscous hydrodynam ic form alism to a m icroscopic hadronic cascade to describe the last part of the expansion until hadronic decoupling [60]. We expect to report soon on progress along som e of these fronts.

Note added: Just before submitting this work for publication we became aware of Ref. [68] where the form of the kinetic evolution equations for the viscous pressure is revisited and it is argued that Eqs. (4,5) must be am ended by additional term swhich reduce the strong viscous suppression of the elliptic ow observed by us [63]. W hile details of the num erical results will obviously change if these terms are included (cf. Refs. [36, 38]), our discussion of the driving forces behind the nally observed viscous corrections to ideal uid results and of the evolution of these corrections with time is generic, and the insights gained in the present study are expected to hold, at least qualitatively, also for future in proved versions of VISH2+1 that properly take into account the ndings reported in 68]. new

A cknow ledgm ents

The viscous hydrodynam ic code VISH 2+1 em ploys several subroutines from AZHYDRO, the (2+1)-d ideal hydrodynam ic code developed by P.Kolb [41, 44], especially the ux-corrected SHASTA transport algorithm [66] for evolving the hydrodynam ic equations. We thank R. Baier, E. Froderm ann, P. Kolb, S. Pratt, P. Rom atschke, D. Teaney, and U.W iedem ann for fruitfuldiscussions. This work was supported by the U.S. Departm ent of Energy under contract DE-FG 02-01ER 41190.

APPENDIX A : EXPRESSIONS FOR $\sim^{m n}$ AND $\sim^{m n}$

The expressions for $\sim^{m n}$ and $\sim^{m n}$ in Eq. (10) are

$$\sim^{m n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & y & 0 & 1 \\ B & x & xx & xy & 0 & C \\ y & xy & yy & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(A1)

$$\sim^{m n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \frac{@ u^{x} @ u^{y}}{2} & \frac{@ u^{y} @ y^{u}}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{@ u^{x} @ u^{u}}{2} & \frac{@ u^{y} @ y^{u}}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{@ u^{y} @ y^{u}}{2} & \frac{@ u^{y} + @ y^{u^{x}}}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{@ u^{y} @ y^{u}}{2} & \frac{@ u^{y} + @ y^{u^{x}}}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{@ u^{y} @ y^{u}}{2} & \frac{@ u^{y} + @ y^{u^{x}}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & B & D & (u^{x})^{2} & D & (u^{x})^{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & B & D & (u^{x})^{2} & D & (u^{x})^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & B & D & (u^{x})^{2} & D & (u^{x})^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (u^{y})^{2} & D & (u^{y})^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (u^{y})^{2} & 1 & u^{x} & u^{y} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & (0 & u^{y})^{2} & 1 & u^{x} & u^{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & (0 & u^{y})^{2} & 1 & u^{x} & u^{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & (0 & u^{y})^{2} & 1 & u^{x} & u^{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & (0 & u^{y})^{2} & 1 & u^{x} & u^{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & (0 & u^{y})^{2} & 1 & u^{x} & u^{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & (0 & u^{y})^{2} & 1 & u^{y} & u^{y} & (u^{y})^{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & (0 & u^{y})^{2} & 1 & u^{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1$$

Here D = u @ + $u^x @_x + u^y @_y$ and @ u = @u + $@_x u^x + @_y u^y + \frac{u}{}$.

APPENDIX B:VELOCITY FINDING

As shown in [28], since we evolve all three components , x, and y (one of which is redundant due to the constraint $m_{u_m} = 0$), the ow velocity and energy density can be found from the energy-momentum tensor components with the same e cient one-dimensional zerosearch algorithm employed in ideal hydrodynam ics [67]. This is important since this step has to be performed after each time step at all spatial grid points in order to evaluate the EOS p(e).

U sing the output from the numerical transport algorithm, one de nes the two-dimensional vector M = $(M_x; M_y)$ $(T^x x; T^y y)$. This is the ideal uid part of the transverse momentum density vector; as such it is parallel to the transverse ow velocity $v_{z} = (v_x; v_y)$. Introducing further M₀ T , one can write the energy density as

$$e = M_0 \quad v_? \quad M = M_0 \quad v_? M;$$
 (B1)

where $v_{?} = \frac{q}{v_{x}^{2} + v_{y}^{2}}$ is the transverse ow speed and M $\frac{q}{M_{x}^{2} + M_{y}^{2}}$. One sees that solving for e requires only the magnitude of $v_{?}$ which is obtained by solving the implicit relation [28, 67]

$$v_{2} = \frac{M}{M_{0} + p(e=M_{0} \quad v_{2}M)}$$
: (B2)

by a one-dimensional zero-search. The ow velocity com ponents are then reconstructed using

$$v_x = v_2 \frac{M_x}{M}$$
; $v_y = v_2 \frac{M_y}{M}$: (B3)

N ote that this requires direct num erical propagation of all three components (, $^{\rm x}$ and $^{\rm y})$ since the ow velocity is not known until after the velocity nding step

has been completed. Hence the transversality constraint ${}^{m} u_{m} = 0$ cannot be used to determ ine, say, from x and y . However, it can be used after the fact to test the num erical accuracy of the transport code.

APPENDIX C: ^{mn} IN TRANSVERSE POLAR COORDINATES

A lthough V ISH 2+1 uses C artesian (x;y) coordinates in the transverse plane, polar (r;) coordinates may be convenient to understand some of the results in the lim it of zero in pact parameter where azim uthal sym – metry is restored. In (;r; ;) coordinates the ow velocity takes the form $u^{m} = {}_{2}(1;v_{r};v;0)$, with ${}_{2} = 1 = 1$ $v_{r}^{2} = 1 = 1$ v_{r}^{2} r²v². The polar coordinate com ponents of the shear pressure tensor com ponents ${}^{m n}$ are obtained from those in (;x;y;) coordinates by the transform ations

$$r = x \cos + y \sin ;$$

$$r = x \sin + y \cos ;$$

$$rr = x \cos^{2} + 2 x \sin \cos + y \sin^{2} ;$$

$$r^{2} = x \sin^{2} 2 x \sin \cos + y \cos^{2} ;$$

$$r = (y x \sin \cos + x \cos^{2} \sin \cos + x \sin^{2} \cos^{2} \sin^{2});$$

with $\cos = x=r$ and $\sin = y=r$. In terms of these the independent components and of Eqs. (19,20) are given as

$$= {}^{rr} + r^{2} ;$$

= cos(2) ${}^{rr} r^{2} 2 sin(2) r^{r} ; (C2)$

from which we easily get

$$2^{XX} = {}^{rr}(1 + \cos(2) + r^2) (1 \cos(2));$$

$$2^{YY} = {}^{rr}(1 \cos(2) + r^2) (1 + \cos(2))(C3)$$

Note that azim uthal sym m etry at b= 0 im plies $\ ^r$ = 0 and a vanishing azim uthal average for $\ :$ h i = 0 or h ^{xx}i = h ^{yy}i .

APPENDIX D:TESTSOFTHEVISCOUS HYDROCODEVISH2+1

1. Testing the ideal hydro part of V ISH 2+ 1

W hen one sets $m^n = 0$ initially and takes the limit = 0,V ISH 2+1 simulates the evolution of an ideal uid, and its results should agree with those of the well-tested and publicly available (2+1)-dimensional ideal uid code A ZHYDRO [41]. Since V ISH 2+1 was written independently, using only the ux-corrected SHASTA transport algorithm from the A ZHYDRO package [41, 66] in its evolution part, this is a useful test of the code. The left panel in Fig. 22 shows that, for identical initial and



FIG. 22: (Color online) Left: Dierential elliptic ow $v_2(p_T)$ for from b= 4 fm Cu+Cu collisions and b= 7 fm Au+Au collisions, using EOSQ.Results from VISH2+1 for = 0 and $m^n = 0$ (dashed lines) are compared with the ideal uid code AZHYDRO (solid lines).Right: $v_2(p_T)$ for from Cu+Cu collisions at in pact parameters b= 4 and 7 fm, comparing VISH2+1 evolution with EOSQ (dashed) and SM-EOSQ (solid) in the ideal uid limit = 0, $m^n = 0$.

nal conditions as described in Sec.II, the two codes indeed produce almost identical results. The small difference in the Au+Au system at b=7 fm is likely due to the slightly better accuracy of AZHYDRO which, in contrast to VISH 2+1, invokes an additional timesplitting step in its evolution algorithm.

W hen com paring our V ISH 2+ 1 results with A ZHY – DRO we initially found som ewhat larger discrepancies which, how ever, could be traced back to di erent versions of the EOS used in the codes: EOSQ in AZHYDRO, the sm oothed version SM \pm OSQ in V ISH 2+ 1. In the left panel of Fig. 22 this di erence has been rem oved, by running also V ISH 2+ 1 with EOSQ. In the right panel we com pare V ISH 2+ 1 results for EOSQ and for SM \pm OSQ, show ing that even the tiny rounding e ects resulting from the sm oothing procedure used in SM \pm OSQ (which renders the EOS slightly sti er in the mixed phase) lead to di erences in the elliptic ow for peripheral collisions of sm all nuclei which exceed the num erical error of the code.

C om parison w ith analytical results for (0+1)-d boost-invariant viscous hydro

For boost-invariant longitudinal expansion without transverse ow, the relativistic Navier-Stokes equations read [17]

$$\frac{e}{e} + \frac{e + p + 2}{e} = 0;$$
 (D1)

$$^{2} = \frac{4}{3} - :$$
 (D 2)

For an ideal gas EOS $p = \frac{1}{3}e$ T⁴ this leads to the follow –



FIG. 23: (Color online) Comparison between the analyticaltem perature evolution for (0+1)-d boost-invariant N avier-Stokes viscous hydrodynamics (solid line) and num erical results from V ISH 2+1 with hom ogeneous transverse initial energy density pro les (dashed line).

ing analytic solution for the tem perature evolution [17]:

$$\frac{T()}{T_0} = -\frac{0}{1}^{1-3h} + \frac{2}{3s_0T_0} - \frac{0}{1}^{2-3} = (D3)$$

To test our code against this analytical result we initialize V ISH 2+ 1 with hom ogeneous tranverse density distributions (not transverse pressure gradients and ow) and use the Navier-Stokes identication mn = 2 mn in the hydrodynamic part of the evolution algorithm, sidestepping the part of the code that evolves mn kinetically. It turns out that in this case the relativistic Navier-Stokes evolution is numerically stable. Fig. 23 com pares the num erically computed temperature evolution from V ISH 2+1 with the analytic form ula (D 3), for =s=0.08 and $T_0 = 360$ MeV at $_0 = 0.6$ fm /c. They agree perfectly.

3. R eduction of V ISH 2+ 1 to relativistic N avier-Stokes theory for sm all and

H aving tested the hydrodynam ic part of the evolution algorithm in Appendix D 1, we would like to demonstrate also the accuracy of the kinetic evolution algorithm that evolves the viscous pressure tensor components. A straightforward approach would be to take V ISH 2+ 1, set the relaxation time as close to zero as possible, and compare the result with a similar calculation as in Appendix D 1 where we sidestep the kinetic evolution algorithm and instead insert into the hydrodynam ic evolution code directly the N avier-Stokes identity $m^n = 2$ m^n .



FIG. 24: (Color online) D i erential elliptic ow $v_2(p_T)$ for gluons from b= 7 fm Cu+Cu collisions, calculated with ideal hydrodynam ics (blue dashed line), relativistic N avier-Stokes (NS) hydrodynam ics (light blue lines), and Israel-Stewart (IS) viscous hydrodynam ics with $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{T}{2 \text{ GeV}}$ and = 0.03 fm /c (red lines), using EOS I. The lines for NS and IS viscous hydrodynam ics are alm ost indistinguishable. Solid lines show the full results from viscous hydrodynam ics, dotted lines neglect viscous corrections to the spectra and take only the ow anisotropy e ect into account.

Unfortunately, this naive procedure exposes us to the well-known instability and acausality problem s of the relativistic Navier-Stokes equations. The suggested procedure only works if a set of initial conditions and transport coe cients can be found where these instabilities don't kick in before the freeze-out surface has been reached.

We found that su ciently stable evolution of the relativistic N avier-Stokes algorithm (i.e. of V ISH 2+1 with the identi cation $m^n = 2 m^n$) can be achieved for standard initial density pro les in Cu+Cu collisions and the simple ideal gas equation of state EOS I by choosing a very sm all and temperature dependent speci c shear viscosity $\frac{T}{s} = 0.01 \frac{T}{200 \text{ MeV}} = \frac{T}{2 \text{ GeV}}$. For the Israel-Stewart

evolution we use a relaxation time which is correspondingly short: $=\frac{3}{sT}=0.03 \text{ fm}/\text{c}.$

Figure 24 shows the di erential elliptic ow $y(p_T)$ for gluons in b= 7 fm Cu+Cu collisions evolved with these param eters. The dashed line gives the ideal uid result. The solid and dotted lines show the total elliptic ow and the anisotropic ow contribution to $y(p_T)$, respectively, sim ilar to the left panel Fig. 12. There are two solid and dotted lines with di erent colors, corresponding to Israel-Stewart and N avier-Stokes evolution; they are in-distinguishable, but clearly di erent from the ideal uid result. We conclude that, for small shear viscosity =s and in the limit ! 0, the second-order Israel-Stewart algorithm reproduces the N avier-Stokes limit and that, therefore, V ISH 2+1 evolves the kinetic equations for mn accurately.

APPENDIX E:HYDRODYNAM ICSVS.BLAST WAVE MODEL

A sdiscussed in Sec.IIIB, the viscous corrections to the nalpion spectra from the hydrodynamic model have a di erent sign (at least in the region p > 1 GeV) than those originally obtained by Teaney [16]. In this Appendix we try to explore the origins of this discrepancy. We will see that the sign and magnitude of viscous corrections to the (azim uthally averaged) particle spectra are fragile and depend on details of the dynamical evolution and hydrodynamic properties on the freeze-out surface. Fortunately, they same caveat does not seem to apply to the viscous corrections to elliptic ow where hydrodynamic and blast wave model calculations give qualitatively sim ilar answers.

Following Teaney's procedure, we calculate ^{mn} in the Navier-Stokes lim it $m^n = 2 m^n$. We do this both in the blast wave model and using the results for $\mbox{\ }^{m\ n}$ from VISH2+1. For the blast wave model we assume like Teaney freeze-out at constant with a box-like density pro le $e(r) = q_{ec}$ (R₀ r), where $q_{ec} = 0.085 \text{ GeV} / \text{fm}^3$ is the same freeze-out energy density as in the hydrodynamic model for EOS I, and $R_0 = 6 \text{ fm}$. The velocity pro le in the blast wave model is taken to be linear, $u_r(r) = a_0 \frac{r}{R_0}$ (R₀ r), with $a_0 = 0.5$; freeze-out is assumed to occur at dec = 4:1 fm/c. R₀, a₀ and decare somewhat smaller than in Ref. [16] since we study Cu+Cu instead of Au+Au collisions. We concentrate here on a discussion of ^{rr} for illustration; the expression for ^{rr} is found in Ref. [28], Eq. (A 11c). W hile ^{rr} from VISH2+1 di ers from 2 rr due to the nite relaxation (see Sec.VC), we have checked that the signs time of these two quantities are the same on the freeze-out surface so that our discussion provides at least a qualitatively correct analysis of the viscous spectra corrections in the two models.

In Fig.25 we compare the freeze-out proles for the radial ow velocity and 2^{rr} from the blast wave model. In spite of qualitative similarity of the velocity proles,



FIG.25: (Coloronline) Top row: Velocity proles from the blast wavem odel (left) and from the hydrodynam icm odelw ith EOSI at xed times (middle) and along the decoupling surface (right). Bottom row: The corresponding proles for the transverse shear viscous pressure ^{rr} in the Navier-Stokes limit, ^{rr} = 2 r^h uⁱ. Calculations are for central Cu+Cu collisions, and the curves in the middle panels correspond to the times = 1, 2, 4, and 6 fm /c. See text for discussion.

the freeze-out pro les of 2 ^{rr} are entirely di erent and even have the opposite sign in the region where most of the hydrodynam ic particle production occurs (left and right columns in Fig. 25). The middle column shows s that at xed times , the hydrodynam ic pro le for 2 ^{rr} shows some sim ilarity with the blast wave model in that 2 ^{rr} is positive throughout most of the interior of the reball. W hat matters for the calculation of the spectra via Eq. (12), how ever, are the values of 2 ^{rr} on the freeze-out surface where they are negative, mostly due to radial velocity derivatives. This explains the opposite sign of the viscous correction to the spectra in the hydrodynam ic model and shows that, as far as an estimate of these viscous corrections goes, the blast wave model has serious limitations.

- P.F.Kolb and U.Heinz, in Quark-Gluon Plasma 3, edited by R.C.Hwa and X.-N.W ang (World Scientic, Singapore, 2004), p. 634 [arXiv:nucl-th/0305084].
- [2] U.Heinz and P.F.Kolb, Nucl. Phys. A 702, 269 (2002).
- [3] M. Gyulassy, in Structure and dynamics of elementary matter, edited by W. Greiner et al., NATO science series II: M athem atics, physics and chem istry, Vol. 166 (K huwer A cadem ic, D ordrecht, 2004), p. 159–182 [arX iv nucl-th/0403032].
- [4] M .G yulassy and L.M cLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 750 (2005) 30.
- [5] E.V.Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. A 750 (2005) 64.
- [6] In an attempt to soften this conclusion it has been noted that the QGP is special in that for any gas of massless particles the trace of the energy momentum tensor always vanishes (at least at the classical level) [7]. Localmomentum isotropy is then indeed su cient to ensure a unique relationship e= 3p between energy density and pressure (EOS), irrespective of the particular form of the local (isotropic) momentum distribution. It has been suggested that this simple factmay extend the validity of ideal uid

dynam icaldescriptions to earlier times [7], after localm omentum isotropy has already been reached but before complete them alization, characterized by local entropy maxim ization, has been achieved [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. This argument ignores, how ever, the observation from Lattice QCD that quantum e ects signi cantly violate the identity e=3p even in them al equilibrium for temperatures up to about $2T_c$ (i.e. in the temperature regime probed by heavy-ion collisions at R H IC), and the novel fact, to be exposed later in this paper, that viscous e ects caused by rapid longitudinal expansion at early times act against rapid local isotropization of the momentum distribution. Ideal hydrodynam ics becomes valid only after these viscous e ects have died away, and this happens su ciently quickly only in strongly coupled plasm as.

- [7] P.Huovinen, arX iv:0710.4379 [nucl-th].
- [8] J.Berges, S.Borsanyiand C.W etterich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 142002 (2004); and Nucl. Phys. B 727, 244 (2005).
- [9] S. M rowczynski, Eur. Phys. J. A 31, 875 (2007), and references therein.
- [10] P. Arnold, J. Lenaghan, G. D. Moore and L. G. Yae,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 072302 (2005); P. Amold, G.D.Moore and L.G.Ya e, Phys.Rev.D 72,054003 (2005); P.Amold and G.D.Moore, Phys.Rev.D 73, 025006 and 015013 (2006).

- [11] M. Strickland, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 34, S429 (2007), and references therein.
- [12] Y. V. Kovchegov and A. Taliotis, Phys. Rev. C 76, 014905 (2007).
- [13] U.Heinz, J.Phys.G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 31, S717 (2005).
- [14] T.Hirano, U.Heinz, D.K harzeev, R.Lacey and Y.Nara, Phys.Lett.B 636, 299 (2006).
- [15] D. Molnar and M. Gyulassy, Nucl. Phys. A 697, 495 (2002) [Erratum -ibid. A 703, 893 (2002)].
- [16] D. Teaney, Phys. Rev. C 68, 034913 (2003).
- [17] P. Danielew icz and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. D 31, 53 (1985).
- [18] G.Policastro, D.T.Son and A.O.Starinets, Phys.Rev. Lett. 87, 081601 (2001); JHEP 0209, 043 (2002).
- [19] P.Kovtun, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 111601 (2005).
- [20] R.A.Janik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 022302 (2007).
- [21] P.Amold, G.D.M oore and Y.G.Ya e, JHEP 0011, 001 (2000); JHEP 0305, 051 (2003).
- [22] H.B.Meyer, arX iv:0704.1801v1 [hep-lat].
- [23] M. Asakawa, S.A. Bass and B. Muller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 252301 (2006); Prog. Theor. Phys. 116, 725 (2007).
- [24] P.Rom atschke, arX iv:0710.0016 [nucl-th].
- [25] C.Eckart, Phys.Rev. 58, 919 (1940).
- [26] L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz, Fluid M echanics (Pergam on, Oxford, 1963), Sec. 127.
- [27] W. Israel, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 100, 310 (1976); W. Israel and J.M. Stewart, ibid. 118, 341 (1979).
- [28] U. Heinz, H. Song and A. K. Chaudhuri, Phys. Rev. C 73,034904 (2006).
- [29] A. Muronga, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 062302 (2002) [Enratum: ibid. 89, 159901 (2002)]; Phys. Rev. C 69, 034903 (2004); ibid. 76, 014909 and 014910 (2007).
- [30] D.A.Teaney, J.Phys.G 30, S1247 (2004).
- [31] R. Baier, P. Rom atschke and U. A. W iedem ann, Phys. Rev.C 73,064903 (2006).
- [32] A .M uronga and D .H .R ischke, arX iv nucl-th/0407114.
- [33] A.K. Chaudhuri and U.Heinz, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 50, 251 (2006);
- [34] R. Baier and P. Rom atschke, Eur. Phys. J. C 51, 677 (2007); P. Rom atschke, ibid. 52, 203 (2007).
- [35] A. K. Chaudhuri, arX iv:0704.0134 [nucl-th]; and arX iv:0708.1252 [nucl-th].
- [36] P.Rom atschke and U.Rom atschke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 172301 (2007).
- [37] H. Song and U. Heinz, Phys. Lett. B (2007), doi:10.1016/jphysletb.2007.11.019 [arX iv:0709.0742 [nucl-th]].
- [38] K. Dusling and D. Teaney, arX iv:0710.5932 [nucl-th].
- [39] A.K.Chaudhuri, Phys.Rev.C 74,044904 (2006).
- [40] The acronym stands for \V iscous Israel-S tewart H ydrodynam ics in 2+1 space-tim e dimensions".
- [41] A Z H Y D R O can be downloaded from U R L http://nt3.phys.columbia.edu/people/molnard/OSCAR/.
- [42] P. Danielewicz and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. D 31, 53 (1985).
- [43] H. Song and U. Heinz, in preparation.
- [44] P.F.Kolb, J.Sollfrank and U.Heinz, Phys.Lett.B 459, 667 (1999); and Phys.Rev.C 62,054909 (2000).
- [45] The last term in Eq. (5) does not contribute to entropy

production and thus was m issed in the derivation given in R ef. [28] which was based on an expansion of the entropy production rate to second order in the dissipative

ow s. Its importance for guaranteeing the preservation of tracelessness and transversality of under kinetic evolution was pointed out in Ref. [31]. It happens to vanish identically for the case of azim uthally symmetric (1+1)-dimensional transverse expansion if one uses as independent dynamical elds those selected in Ref. [28] (namely and); hence these (1+1)-d equations preserve tracelessness and transversality automatically. The same is not true for the set of (1+1)-d evolution equations studied in [33] which instead of evolves

 $^{\rm rr}$, and for azim uthally asym metric transverse expansion in 2+1 dimensions as discussed in the present paper the last term in Eq. (5) must also be kept explicitly. (Note that this term was not included in R ef. [35], with unknown consequences.) We found that dropping the last term in Eq. (5) leads to problem s with the velocity nding algorithm (see Appendix B), causing the code to crash after som e tim e: A s the shear pressure tensor evolves away from transversality to u , the identity e=T $v_{\rm x}$ T $^{\rm x}$ $v_{\rm y}$ T $^{\rm y}$ (see Eq. (B1)) gets broken, leading eventually to unphysical solutions for e and (through Eqs. (B2B3)) for the ow velocity.

- [46] H.B.Meyer, arX iv:0704.1801 [hep-lat];
- [47] H.B.Meyer, arX iv:0710.3717 [hep-lat].
- [48] K. Paech and S. Pratt, Phys. Rev. C 74,014901 (2006).
- [49] D.Kharzeev and K.Tuchin, arX iv:0705.4280 [hep-ph].
- [50] This subtlety was overlooked in Eq. (5.6) of R ef. [28]; correspondingly, the left hand sides of Eqs. (5.16a) and (5.21a) in [28] were written down incorrectly. They should be corrected to read $\frac{1}{2}(0 + v_x \theta_x + v_y \theta_y)(^2) = ::::$
- [51] A.Dum itru, E.M olnar and Y.Nara, Phys. Rev. C 76, 024910 (2007).
- [52] F.Cooper and G.Frye, Phys. Rev. D 10, 186 (1974).
- [53] U. Heinz, in 2003 CERN-CLAF School of High-Energy Physics, San Miguel Regla, Mexico 1-14 June 2003, edited by N. Ellis, CERN Yellow Report CERN-2006-001, p.165-238 [arXiv:hep-ph/0407360].
- [54] J.D.Bjorken, Phys. Rev. D 27, 140 (1983).
- [55] J.Y.Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. D 46, 229 (1992).
- [56] T.Hirano, U.Heinz, unpublished notes (2004).
- [57] The right panel of F ig. 12 seems to indicate that $v_2 (p_T)$ can even become negative at su ciently large p_T { an observation rst made in R ef. [16]. However, this only happens in the region where the viscous correction f to the distribution function becomes comparable to or larger than the equilibrium contribution so that this feature cannot be trusted.
- [58] Recently completed rst simulations of Au+ Au collisions indicate that the viscous suppression elects or not quite as big in this larger collision system than for the smaller Cu+Cu reball studied here [43].
- [59] Note that Fig. 2 in [37] shows averages over the entire 10 fm 10 fm transverse grid used in V ISH 2+ 1 while the averages in Figs. 13a, 17a, and 19 have been restricted to the them alized region inside the freeze-out surface. This elim inates a dependence of the average on the total volume covered by the num erical grid and more accurately re ects the relevant physics since hydrodynam ics applies only inside the decoupling surface.
- [60] T. Hirano and M. Gyulassy, Nucl. Phys. A 769, 71 (2006).

- [61] L.P.C semai, J.I.K apusta and L.D.M cLeman, Phys. Rev.Lett. 97, 152303 (2006).
- [62] U.Heinz and S.M.H.W ong, Phys. Rev. C 66, 014907 (2002).
- [63] P.R om atschke, private com munication.
- [64] S.Pratt, arX iv:0711.3911 [nucl-th].
- [65] H. Heiselberg and A. M. Levy, Phys. Rev. C 59, 2716 (1999); S. A. Voloshin and A. M. Poskanzer, Phys. Lett. B 474, 27 (2000); C. Alt et al. [NA49 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C 68, 034903 (2003); R. S. Bhalerao, J. P. Blaizot, N. Borghini and J. Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Lett. B 627, 49 (2005); R. S. Bhalerao and J. Y. Ollitrault, Phys.

trault, Phys.Lett. B 641,260 (2006); G.Torrieri, Phys. Rev. C 76,024903 (2007); H.J.D rescher, A.Dum itru, C.G om beaud and J.Y.Ollitrault, Phys.Rev.C 76, 024905 (2007).

- [66] J. P. Boris and D. L. Book, J. Comput. Phys. 11, 38 (1973).
- [67] D. R ischke, S. Bernard, and J. A. M aruhn, Nucl. Phys. A 595, 346 (1995).
- [68] R. Baier, P. Romatschke, D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets and M. A. Stephanov, arXiv:0712.2451 [hep-th].