C ausal viscous hydrodynam ics in 2+1 dim ensions for relativistic heavy-ion collisions

Huichao Song¹' and U lrich Heinz^{1,2}

 $1D$ epartm ent of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA 2 CERN, Physics Department, Theory Division, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

(Dated: April 13, 2013)

We explore the e ects of shear viscosity on the hydrodynam ic evolution and nalhadron spectra of Cu+ Cu collisions at ultrarelativistic collision energies, using the new ly developed (2+1)-dim ensional viscous hydrodynam ic code V ISH 2+1. B ased on the causal Israel-Stew art form alism, this code describes the transverse evolution of longitudinally boost-invariant system swithout azimuthal symmetry around the beam direction. Shear viscosity is shown to decelerate the longitudinal and accelerate the transverse hydrodynam ic expansion. For xed initial conditions, this leads to a longer quarkgluon plasm a (QGP) lifetime, larger radial ow in the nalstate, and atter transverse m om entum spectra for the em itted hadrons compared to ideal uid dynam ic simulations. We nd that the elliptic ow coe cient v_2 is particularly sensitive to shear viscosity: even the lowest value allowed by the AdS/CFT conjecture = s 1=4 suppresses v_2 enough to have signi cant consequences for the phenom enology of heavy-ion collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider. A comparison between our num erical results and earlier analytic estimates of viscous e ects within a blast-wave m odel param etrization of the expanding reball at freeze-out reveals that the full dynam ical theory leads to much tighter constraints for the speci c shear viscosity $=s$, thereby supporting the notion that the quark-gluon plasm a created at RHIC exhibits alm ost \perfect uidity".

PACS numbers: 25.75.-q, 12.38 M h, 25.75 Ld, 24.10 N z

I. INTRODUCTION

Hydrodynamics is an e cient tool to describe the expansion of the reballs generated in relativistic heavy-ion collisions. As a m acroscopic description that provides the 4-dim ensional space-time evolution of the energy m om entum tensor T (x) it is much less dem anding than m icroscopic descriptions based on kinetic theory that evolve the (on-shell) distribution function $f(x;p)$ in 7dim ensional phase-space.

Ideal uid dynamics is even more e cient since it reduces the number of independent elds needed to describe the symmetric energy-momentum tensor from 10 to 5: the local energy density $e(x)$, pressure $p(x)$ and the normalized ow 4-velocity $u(x)$ (which has 3 independent com ponents). The equation of state (EOS) p(e) provides a further constraint which closes the set of four equations @ T $(x) = 0.$

Ideal uid dynamics is based on the strong assumption that the uid is in local them al equilibrium and evolves isentropically. W hile local m om entum isotropy in the com oving frame is su cient for a unique decomposition of the energy-m om entum tensor in term s of e, p and u, it does not in general guarantee a unique relationship $p(e)$. G enerically, the equation of state $p(e)$ (a key ingredient for closing the set of hydrodynam ic equations) becom es unique only after entropy m aximization, i.e. after a locally them alized state, with M axwellian (or Bose-Einstein and Ferm i-D irac) m om entum distributions in the com oving frame, has been reached. For

this assumption to be valid, the m iscroscopic collision time scale must be much shorter than the macroscopic evolution time scale. Since the reballs created in relativistic heavy-ion collisions are sm all and expand very rapidly, applicability of the hydrodynam ic approach has long been doubted.

It cam e therefore as a surprise to m any that the bulk of the matter produced in Au+Au collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) was found to behave like an almost ideal uid. Speci cally, ideal uid dynam ic m odels correctly reproduce the hadron transverse m om entum spectra in central and sem i-peripheral collisions, including their anisotropy in non-central collisions given by the elliptic ow coe cient y (p_T) and its dependence on the hadron rest m ass, for transverse m om enta up to about 1.5{2G eV /c [1] w hich covers m ore than 99% of the em itted particles. This observation has led to the conclusion that the quark-qluon plasm a (QGP) created in RHIC collisions them alizes very fast and must therefore be strongly (non-perturbatively) interacting [2], giving rise to the notion that the QGP is a strongly coupled plasm a [3, 4, 5] that behaves like an alm ost perfect uid $[6]$.

At RHIC energies, the almost perfect ideal nid dynam ical description of experimental data gradually breaks down in more peripheral collisions, at high transverse m om enta, and at forw ard and backward rapidities; at lower energies it lacks quantitative accuracy even in the most central collisions at m idrapidity [13]. Most of these deviations from ideal uid dynamical predictions can be understood as the result of strong viscous e ects during the late hadronic stage of the reball expansion $[14]$ after the QGP has hadronized. As the initial energy density of the reball decreases, the dissipative dynam-

Correspond to song@mps.ohio-state.edu

ics of the hadronic stage takes on increasing im portance, concealing the perfect
 uidity ofany quark-gluon plasm a possibly created at the beginning of the collision. How ever, as also pointed out in $[14]$, persisting uncertainties about the initial conditions in heavy-ion collisions leave room for a sm all am ount of viscosity even during the early $Q G P$ stage. Furtherm ore, the observed deviations of the elliptic ow parameter $y(p_T)$ at large p_T even in the largest collision system s at the highest available collision energies are consistent w ith viscous e ects during the early epoch of the reball $[15, 16]$ $[15, 16]$. D uring this epoch, the m atter is so dense and strongly interacting that a m icroscopic description based on classicalkinetic theory of on-shell partons [\[15](#page-26-2)]m ay be questionable. We therefore develop here a dissipative generalization of the m acroscopic hydrodynam ic approach, viscous relativistic

uid dynam ics.

T he need for such a fram ework is further highlighted by the recent insight that, due to quantum m echanical uncertainty [\[17\]](#page-26-4), no classical uid can have exactly vanishing viscosity (as is assum ed in the idealhydrodynam ic approach). Even in the lim it of in nitely strong coupling, the Q G P m ust hence m aintain a nonzero viscosity. R ecent calculations of the shear viscosity to entropy ratio (the \speci c shear viscosity" =s) in a variety of conform algauge eld theories w hich share som e properties w ith QCD, using the AdS/CFT correspondence, suggest a lowerlim it of $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}$ [\[18](#page-26-5)[,19](#page-26-6)[,20\]](#page-26-7). This is much sm aller than the value obtained from weak coupling calculations in QCD [\[21\]](#page-26-8) (although close to a recent rst num erical result from lattice QCD [\[22](#page-26-9)]) and m ore than an order of m agnitude below the lowestm easured values in standard

uids [9]. Som e alternative ideashow sm alle ective viscosities could be generated by anom alouse ects (chaotic-ity) in anisotropically expanding plasm as [\[23\]](#page-26-10) or by negative eddy viscosity in 2-dim ensional turbulent ows $[24]$ have also been proposed.

Initial attem pts to form ulate relativistic dissipative uid dynam ics as a relativistic generalization of the N avier-Stokes equation [\[25](#page-26-12), [26\]](#page-26-13) ran into di culties because the resulting equations allowed for acausalsignal propagation, and their solutions developed instabilities. These di culties are avoided in the \2nd order form alism " developed 30 years ago by Israeland Stewart [\[27](#page-26-14)] w hich expands the entropy current to 2nd order in the dissipative ow s and replaces the instantaneous identi cation of the dissipative
 ow s w ith their driving forces m ultiplied by som e transport coe cient (as is done in N avier-Stokes theory) by a kinetic equation that evolves the dissipative
 ow s rapidly but sm oothly towards their N avier-Stokeslim it. T his procedure elim inates causality and stability problem s at the expense of num erical com plexity: the dissipative ow s become independent dynam icalvariables w hose kinetic equations ofm otion are coupled and m ust be solved sim ultaneously w ith the hydrodynam icevolution equations.T hisleadse ectively to m ore than a doubling of the num ber of coupled partial di erential equations to be solved 28].

O nly recently com putershavebecom epowerfulenough to allow e cient solution of the Israel-Stewart equations. The last 5 years have seen the developm ent of num ericalcodes w hich solve these equations (or slight variations thereof $[27, 28, 29, 30, 31]$ $[27, 28, 29, 30, 31]$) num erically, for system swith boost-invariant longitudinalexpansion and transverse ex-pansion in zero [\[29](#page-26-16), 31], one [\[30,](#page-26-17) [32](#page-26-19), 33, 34] and two dim ensions[\[35](#page-26-22)[,36](#page-26-23)[,37](#page-26-24)[,38](#page-26-25)](seealso R ef.[\[39](#page-26-26)]fora num erical study of the relativistic N avier-Stokes equation in 2+ 1 dim ensions). The process of veri cation and validation of these num erical codes is still ongoing: W hile di erent initial conditions and evolution param eters used by the di erent groups of authors render a direct com parison of their results di cult, it seem s unlikely that accounting for these di erences w ill bring all the presently available num erical results in line w ith each other.

We here present results obtained with an independently developed (2+ 1)-dim ensional causal viscous hydrodynam ic code, V ISH 2+ 1 [\[40\]](#page-26-27). W hile a short account of som e of our m ain  ndings has already been published [\[37](#page-26-24)], we here report m any m ore details, including extensive tests of the num erical accuracy of the code: W e checked that (i) in the lim it of vanishing vis- \cos ity, it accurately reproduces results obtained w ith the $(2+1)$ -d ideal uid code AZHYDRO $[41]$; (ii) for hom ogeneous transverse density distributions (i.e. in the absence of transverse density gradients and transverse ow) and vanishing relaxation tim e it accurately reproduces the known analytic solution of the relativistic Navier-Stokes equation for boost-invariant 1-dim ensional longitudinalexpansion [\[42](#page-26-29)]; (iii) for very short kinetic relaxation tim es our Israel-Stewart code accurately reproduces results from a separately coded (2+ 1)-d relativistic N avier-Stokes code, under restrictive conditions w here the latter produces num erically stable solutions; and (iv) for sim ple analytically param etrized anisotropic velocity pro les the num erical code correctly com putes the velocity shear tensor that drives the viscous hydrodynam ic e ects.

In its present early state, and given the existing open questions about the m utual com patibility of various num erical results reported in the recent literature, we believe that it is prem ature to attem pt a detailed com parison of V ISH 2+ 1 w ith experim ental data, in order to em pirically constrain the speci c shear viscosity of the Q G P. Instead, we concentrate in this paper on describing and trying to understand the robustness of a variety of
 uid dynam icale ects generated by shearviscosity in a relativistic QGP uid. We report here only results for $Cu + Cu$ collisions, w ith initial entropy densities exceeding signi cantly those that can be reached in such collisions at RH IC . The reasons for doing so are purely technical: Initially our num ericalgrid was not large enough to accom odate A u+ A u collision  reballs w ith su cient resolution, and w hile this restriction has been lifted in the m eantim e, a large body of instructive num erical results had already been accum ulated w hich would have been quite expensive to recreate for the A u+ A u system .

The unrealistic choice of initial conditions was driven by the w ish to allow for a su ciently long lifetim e of the Q G P phase even in peripheral C u+ C u collisions such that shear viscous e ects on elliptic ow are still dominated by the quark-gluon plasm a stage. The m ain goals of the present paper are: (i) to quantitatively establish shear viscous e ects on the evolution of the energy and entropy density, of the ow pro le, source eccentricity, and totalm om entum anisotropy, on the nalhadron spectra, and on the elliptic ow in central and non-central heavy-ion collisions, under the in uence of di erent equations of state; and (ii) to explore in detail and explain physically how these e ects arise, trying to extract generalrules and generic features w hich should also apply for other collision system s and collision energies. W e note that recent calculations for $A u + A u$ collisions [\[43\]](#page-26-30) have show n that viscous e ects are som ew hat bigger in the sm aller C u+ C u studied here than in the larger A u+ A u system for w hich the largest body of experim ental data exists. T he reader m ust therefore apply caution w hen trying to com pare (in his or her m ind's eye) our results w ith the well-know n R H IC A u+ A u data.

The paper is organized as follow s: Section [II](#page-2-0) gives a brief review of the Israel-Stewart form alism for causal relativistic hydrodynam ics for dissipative uids, lists the speci c form of these equations for the $(2+1)$ -dim ensional evolution of non-central collision  reballs w ith boostinvariant longitudinal expansion w hich are solved by V ISH 2+ 1, and details the initial consditions and the equation of state (EOS) em ployed in our calculations. In Section [III](#page-6-0) we report results for central $(b= 0)$ C u+ C u collisions. Section [IV](#page-11-0) gives results for non-central collisions, including a detailed analysis of the driving forces behind the strong shear viscous e ects on elliptic
 ow observed by us. In Section [V](#page-17-0) we explore the in
 uence of di erent initializations and di erent relaxation tim es for the viscous shear pressure tensor on the hydrodynam ic evolution and establish the lim its of applicability for viscoushydrodynam ics in the calculation of hadron spectra. Som e technicaldetails and the num ericaltests perform ed to verify the accuracy of the com puter code are discussed in [A](#page-21-0) ppendices $A - D$, and in A ppendix [E](#page-24-0) we compare our hydrodynam ic results w ith analytical estim ates of shear viscouse ects by Teaney [6] that were based on N avier-Stokes theory and a blast-wavem odelparam etrization of the reball.

II. ISRAEL-STEW ART THEORY OF CAUSAL V ISC O U S H Y D R O D Y N A M IC S

In this section, we review brie y the 2nd order Israel-Stewart form alism for viscous relativistic hydrodynam ics and the specic set of equations solved by V ISH 2+ 1 for anisotropic transverse expansion in longitudinally boostinvariant reballs. D etails of the derivation can be found in [\[28\]](#page-26-15), with a sm all correction pointed out by Baier et al. in [\[31\]](#page-26-18). For simplicity, and in view of the intended ap3

plication to R H IC collisionsw hose reaction  reballshave alm ost vanishing net baryon density, the discussion will be restricted to viscous e ects, neglecting heat conduction and working in the Landau velocity fram e [\[26\]](#page-26-13).

A . B asics of Israel-Stew art theory

T he general hydrodynam ic equations arise from the local conservation of energy and m om entum,

$$
\begin{array}{cccc} \n\text{C} & \text{T} & \text{(x)} & = & 0 \\ \n\text{C} & \text{T} & \text{(x)} & = & 0 \\ \n\end{array} \tag{1}
$$

where the energy-m om entum tensor is decom posed in the form

$$
T = e u u (p+) +
$$
 : (2)

H ere e and p are the localenergy density and therm al equilibrium pressure, and u is the (tim elike and norm alized, $u = 1$) 4-velocity of the energy ow. is the bulk viscous pressure; \pm com bines w ith the therm al pressure p to the total bulk pressure. In Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-1) it is m ultiplied by the projector $= q$ u u transverse to the ow velocity, i.e. in the local uid rest fram e the bulk pressure is diagonal and purely spacelike, $(p+)_{ii}$.

 is the traceless shear viscous pressure tensor, also transverse to the 4-velocity $(u = 0)$ and thus purely spatial in the local uid rest fram e.

For ideal uids, and vanish, and the only dynam ical elds are $e(x)$, $p(x)$ and $u(x)$, with e and p related by the equation of state $p(e)$. In dissipative u ids w ithout heat conduction, and the 5 independent com ponents of enter as additional dynam ical variables w hich require their ow n evolution equations. In relativistic N avier-Stokes theory, these evolution equations degenerate to instantaneous constituent equations,

$$
= \qquad \text{r} \qquad \text{u}; \qquad = 2 \qquad \text{r}^{\text{h}} \qquad \text{u}^{\text{i}}; \tag{3}
$$

w hich express the dissipative
ows and directly in term s of their driving forces, the local expansion rate r u and velocity shear tensor r^{h} uⁱ, m ultiplied by phenom enological transport coe cients $;$ 0 (the bulk and shear viscosity, respectively). Here r θ is the gradient in the local uid rest fram e, and $r^h u^i \frac{1}{2}(r^u + r u)$ $\frac{1}{3}(r u)$, show ing that, like , the velocity shear tensor is traceless and transverse to u . The instantantaneous identication β) leads to causality problem s through instantaneous signal propagation, so that this straightforward relativistic generalization of the N avier-Stokes form alism turns out not to be a viable relativistic theory.

The Israel-Stewartapproach [\[27\]](#page-26-14) avoids these problem s by replacing the instantaneous identi cations β) with the kinetic evolution equations

$$
D = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + r \quad \mu \tag{4}
$$

$$
D = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int 2 \, r^h \, u^{-1}
$$

$$
u + u \quad Du ; \quad (5)
$$

 w here $D = u \in \mathbb{R}$ is the time derivative in the local uid rest fram e, and the last term in the bottom equation ensures that the kinetic evolution preserves the tracelessness and transversality of [\[45\]](#page-26-31). and are relaxation tim es and related to the 2nd order expansion coe cients in the entropy current $[27, 29]$ $[27, 29]$. The fact that in the Israel-Stewart approach the dissipative
 ow s and only on longer respond to the corresponding therm odynam ic forces r u and $\frac{h}{L}$ u $\frac{1}{L}$ instantaneously, but on nite albeit short kinetic tim e scales, restores causality of the theory [\[27\]](#page-26-14).

W e should caution that the form of the kinetic evolution equations $(4,5)$ is not generally agreed upon, due to unresolved am biguities in their derivation [\[27](#page-26-14), 28, 29, 30, [31](#page-26-18)[,32](#page-26-19)[,35](#page-26-22)[,36](#page-26-23)[,37](#page-26-24)[,38\]](#page-26-25).W e w illhere use the form given in Eqs. $(4,5)$ and comment on di erences with other work w hen we discuss our results.

In the follow ing calculations we further sim plify the problem by neglecting bulk viscosity. Bulk viscosity vanishes classically for a system of m assless partons, and quantum corrections arising from the trace anom aly of the energy-m om entum tensor are expected to be sm all, rendering bulk viscous e ects m uch less im portant than those from shear viscosity. T his expectation has been con m ed by recent lattice calculations $46, 47$ $46, 47$] which yield very sm all bulk viscosity in the QGP phase. The sam e calculations show , however, a rapid rise of the bulk viscosity near the quark-hadron phase transition [\[47\]](#page-26-33), consistent w ith earlier predictions $[48, 49]$ $[48, 49]$. In the hadronic phase it is again expected to be sm all [\[48](#page-26-34)]. W e leave the discussion of possible dynam icale ects of bulk viscosity near the quark-hadron phase transition for a future study. Bulk viscous pressure e ects can be easily restored by substituting p! p+ everyw here below and adding the kinetic evolution equation (4) for .

B . V iscous hydrodynam ics in 2+ 1 dim ensions

In the present paper we elim inate one of the three spatialdim ensions by restricting our discussion to longitudinally boost-invariant system s. T hese are conveniently described in curvilinear $x^m = ($;x;y;) coordinates, where $=$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ is the longitudinal proper time, $=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{t+z}{t-z}$ is the space-time rapidity, and (x,y) are the usualC artestsian coordinates in the plane transverse to the beam direction z . In this coordinate system, the transport equations for the full energy m om entum tensor T are w ritten as [\[28\]](#page-26-15)

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{(e)} \quad & \mathbf{P} \quad + \mathbf{G}_x \left(\mathbf{v}_x \mathbf{P} \right) + \mathbf{G}_y \left(\mathbf{v}_y \mathbf{P} \right) = \mathbf{S} \\
\text{(f)} \quad & \mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{G}_x \left(\mathbf{v}_x \mathbf{P} \right) + \mathbf{G}_y \left(\mathbf{v}_y \mathbf{P} \right) = \mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{X} \\
\text{(g)} \quad & \mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{G}_x \left(\mathbf{v}_x \mathbf{P} \right) + \mathbf{G}_y \left(\mathbf{v}_y \mathbf{P} \right) = \mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{Y} \\
\text{(h)} \quad & \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{G}_x \left(\mathbf{v}_x \mathbf{P} \right) + \mathbf{G}_y \left(\mathbf{v}_y \mathbf{P} \right) = \mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{Y} \\
\text{(i)} \quad & \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{G}_x \left(\mathbf{V}_x \mathbf{P} \right) + \mathbf{G}_y \left(\mathbf{V}_y \mathbf{P} \right) = \mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{Y} \\
\text{(ii)} \quad & \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{G}_x \left(\mathbf{V}_x \mathbf{P} \right) + \mathbf{G}_y \left(\mathbf{V}_y \mathbf{P} \right) = \mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{Y} \\
\text{(iii)} \quad & \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{G}_y \left(\mathbf{V}_y \mathbf{P} \right) + \mathbf{G}_y \left(\mathbf{V}_y \mathbf{P} \right) = \mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{Y} \\
\text{(iv)} \quad & \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{G}_y \left(\mathbf{V}_y \mathbf{P} \right) + \mathbf{G}_y \left(\mathbf{V}_y \mathbf{P} \right) = \mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{Y} \\
\text{(v)} \quad & \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{G}_y \left(\mathbf{V}_y \mathbf{P} \right) + \mathbf{G}_y \left(\math
$$

H ere $\mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{m} \; \mathrm{n}}$ $\mathbb{I}_{0}^{m n}$ + $^{m n}$), $T_{0}^{m n}$ = eu^m uⁿ p^{m n} being the ideal uid contribution, $\mathbf{u}^{\text{m}} = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{\text{x}} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{\text{y}} \cdot \mathbf{0}) =$ $\frac{1}{2}$ (1;v_x;v_y;0) is the ow pro le (with $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\frac{v_x^2}{x^2}}$),

and $g^{m n} = diag(1; 1; 1; 1 = 2)$ is the metric tensor for our coordinate system. The source term s $S^{m,n}$ on the right hand side of Eqs. (6) are given explicitly as

S = p ² @x(pvx+ ^x v^x) @y(pvy+ ^y v^y) p ² @x(pvx) @y(pvy); (7)

 S^{-x} $Q(p+$ xx v^x \mathbb{R}) $\oint (X^Y - Y)$ ^x)

$$
S^Y = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}(p+^{XX}) & (8) \\ \mathcal{Q}(^{XY} & \mathcal{Q} & Y) & \mathcal{Q}(p+^{YY} & \mathcal{Y}) \\ \mathcal{Q}(p+^{YY}) & \mathcal{Q}(p+^{YY}) & (9) \end{pmatrix}
$$

 W e w ill see later (see the right panel of F ig. 13 below) that the indicated approxim ations of these source term s isolate the dom inant drivers of the evolution and provide a su ciently accurate quantitative understanding of its dynam ics.

The transport equations for the shear viscous pressure tensor are

$$
(\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbb{Q}}}_{\mathbf{x}}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbb{Q}}}_{\mathbf{y}}) \sim^{m} n = \frac{1}{2} (\sim^{m} n) 2 \mathbf{w}^{n}
$$

$$
u^{m} \sim^{n} j + u^{n} \sim^{m} j (\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbb{Q}}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbf{y}}) u^{j} \text{ : } (10)
$$

The expressions for $\sim^{m n}$ and $\sim^{m n}$ are found in Eqs. $(A 1, A 2)$ $(A 1, A 2)$; they di er from $m n$ in Eqs. [\(7-9\)](#page-3-1) and $m n$ given in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-26-15) by a Jacobian 2 factor in the ()com ponent: \sim = 2 , \sim = 2 . This factor arises from the curved m etric w here the local time derivative $D = u^m d_m$ must be evaluated using covariant derivatives d_m [\[50](#page-26-36)]. Since $u = 0$, no such extra Jacobian term s arise in the derivative D u^j in the second line of Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-2).

The algorithm requires the propagation of \mathbf{x}_{i}

 \mathbb{Y}_1 , and with Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-3) even though one of the rst three is redundant (see [\[37](#page-26-24)] and A ppendix [B\)](#page-22-2). In addition, we have chosen to evolve severalm ore, form ally redundant com ponents of $m n$ using Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-3), and to use them for testing the num erical accuracy of the code, by checking that the transversality conditions u_m ^{m n} = 0 and the tracelessness $_m^m = 0$ are preserved over time. We nd them to be satis ed w ith an accuracy of better than 1 2% everyw here except for the reball edge w here the $m n$ are very sm all and the error on the transversality and tracelessness constraints can becom e as large as 5%.

C . Initial conditions

For the idealpart T₀ $\,$; T₀ $^{\rm x}$; T₀ $^{\rm x}$ of the energy m om entum tensor we use the sam e initialization schem e as for ideal hydrodynam ics. For sim plicity and ease of com parison w ith previous ideal
 uid dynam ical studies we here use a simple G lauber model initialization with zero initial transverse
 ow velocity w here the initial energy density in the transverse plane is taken proportional to

the wounded nucleon density [44]:

$$
e_0(x; y; b) = K n_{W N} (x; y; b)
$$
\n
$$
= K T_A x + \frac{b}{2}; y) 1 1 \frac{T_B x}{B} y
$$
\n
$$
+ T_B x \frac{b}{2}; y 1 1 \frac{T_A x + \frac{b}{2}; y}{A} ;
$$
\n(11)

H ere is the total inelastic nucleon-nucleon cross section for which we take = 40mb. $T_{A,B}$ is the nuclear thickness function of the incoming nucleus A or B, $T_A(x; y) = \int_1^1 dz_A(x; y; z);$ $A(x; y; z)$ is the nuclear density given by a Woods-Saxon pro le: $_{A}$ (r) = $\frac{0}{1+\exp[(r_{A})-]}$. For Cu+Cu collisions we take $R_{Cu} = 4.2$ fm, = 0.596 fm, and $_0 = 0.17$ fm 3 . The proportionality constant K does not depend on collision centrality but on collision energy; it xes the overall scale of the initial energy density and, via the associated entropy, the nalhadron multiplicity to which it must be tted as a function of collision energy. We here x it to give e_0 $e(0;0; b=0) = 30$ GeV/fm³ for the peak energy density in central Cu+ Cu collisions, at an initial $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ for the hydrodynam is evolution that we set as $_0 = 0$:6 fm /c. A s already m entioned in the Introduction, this exceeds the value reached in Cu+Cu collisions at RHIC (it would be appropriate for central Au+ Au collisions at \overline{s} = 200 A G eV [1]). It ensures, how ever, a su ciently long lifetime of the QGP phase in Cu+Cu collisions that m ost of the nalm om entum anisotropy is generated during the QGP stage, thereby perm itting a m eaningful investigation of QGP viscosity on the elliptic

ow generated in the collision.

Lacking a m icroscopic dynam ical theory for the early pre-equilibrium stage, initializing the viscous pressure tensor^{m n} requires som equess-work. The e ect of di erent choices for the initial $m n$ on viscous entropy production during boost-invariant viscous hydrodynam ic evolution without transverse expansion was recently studied in [51]. We will here explore two options: (i) we set $_{0}^{m n}$ = 0 initially [36]; or (ii) we assume that at time $_{0}$ one has $\binom{m}{0}$ = 2 $\binom{m}{0}$ where the shear tensor $\binom{m}{0}$ is calculated from the initial velocity pro le $\mathbf{u}^{\mathsf{n}} = (1,0,0,0)$ [33, 35, 38, 39]. The second option is the default choice for most of the results shown in this paper. It gives 2 ₀ = $2 \frac{xx}{0}$ = $2 \frac{yy}{0}$ = $\frac{4}{3}$, i.e. a negative contribution to the longitudinal pressure and a positive contribution to the transverse pressure.

We here present results only for one value of the speci c shear viscosity_{$r_s = \frac{1}{4}$} \prime 0.08, corresponding to its con jectured lower lim it [18]. The kinetic relaxation time

will be taken as $=$ $\frac{3}{5T}$ except were otherwise mentioned. This value is half the one estimated from classicalkinetic theory for a Boltzm ann gas of non-interacting m assless partons [27, 31] { we did not use the twice larger classical value because it led to uncom fortably large viscous pressure tensor components $m n$ at early times, caused by large excursions from the N avier-Stokes lim it. To study the sensitivity to di erent relaxation times and

the approach to N avier-Stokes theory, we also did a few calculations with $=$ $\frac{1:5}{sT}$ in Section V B.

D. EOS

Through its dependence on the Equation of State (EOS), hydrodynam ic ow constitutes an important probe for the existence and properties of the quarkhadron phase transition which softens the EOS near T_c . To isolate e ects induced by this phase transition from generic features of viscous uid dynam ics we have perform ed calculations with two dierent equations of state, EOS I and SM EOS Q. They are described in this subsection.

EO S Im odels a non-interacting gas of m assless quarks and gluons, with $p = \frac{1}{3}e$. It has no phase transition. W here needed, the temperature is extracted from the energy density via the relation e= $16 + \frac{21}{2}N_f = \frac{2}{30} \frac{T^4}{(\approx c)^3}$, corresponding to a chemically equilibrated QGP with $N_f = 2.5$ e ective m assless quark avors.

FIG.1: The equations of state EOSQ (dashed line) and SM- $EOS Q$ (\modied $EOS Q$ ", solid line).

SM EOS Q is a sm oothed version of EOS Q [44] which connects a noninteracting QGP through a rst order phase transition to a chem ically equilibrated hadron resonance gas. In the QGP phase it is de ned by the relation $p = \frac{1}{3}e \frac{4}{3}B$ (i.e. $c_s^2 = \frac{\theta p}{\theta e} = \frac{1}{3}$). The vacuum energy (bag constant) $B^{1=4} = 230M$ eV is a param eter that is adjusted to yield a critical temperature $T_c =$ 164M eV. The hadron resonance gas below T_c can be approximately characterized by the relation $p = 0:15e$ (i.e. $c_s^2 = 0.15$) [44]. The two sides are m atched through a M axwell construction, yielding a relatively large latent heat $e_{lat} = 1.15 \text{ GeV/m}^3$. For energy densities between $e_{H} = 0.45$ G eV / fm³ and $e_{0} = 1.6$ G eV / fm³ one has a m ixed phase with constant pressure (i.e. $c_s^2 = 0$). The discontinuous jum ps of c_{s}^{2} from a value of 1/3 to

0 at e_0 and back from 0 to 0.15 at $e_{\rm H}$ generate propagating num erical errors in VISH 2+1 which grow with time and cause problems. We avoid these by smoothing the function $c_{\rm s}^2$ (e) with a Ferm i distribution of width $e = 0.1$ G eV / fm³ centered at $e = e_0$ and another one of width $e = 0.02$ GeV/fm³ centered at $e = e_H$. Both the original EOS Q and our sm oothed version SM EOS Q are shown in Figure 1. A comparison of simulations using ideal hydrodynam ics w ith EOSQ and SM EOSQ is given in Appendix D 1. It gives an idea of the m agnitude of smoothing e ects on the ideal uid evolution of elliptic OW .

Another similarly smoothed EOS that matches a hadron resonance gas below T_c with lattice QCD data above T_c has also been constructed. Results using this lattice based EOS will be reported elsewhere.

E. Freeze-out procedure: Particle spectra and v₂

Final particle spectra are computed from the hydrodynam ic output via a m odi ed Cooper-Frye procedure [52]. We here compute spectra only for directly emitted particles and do not include feeddown from resonance decay after freeze-out. We rst determ ine the freeze-out surface (x) , by postulating (as common in hydrodynam ic studies) that freeze-out from a therm alized uid to free-stream ing, non-interacting particles happens suddenly when the tem perature drops below a critical value. As in the ideal uid case with EOS Q 44 | we choose T_{dec} = 130M eV. The particle spectrum is then computed as an integral over this surface,

$$
E \frac{d^{3}N_{i}}{d^{3}p} = \frac{q_{i}}{(2)^{3}} \int_{Z} P \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x) f_{i}(x;p)
$$
 (12)

$$
= \frac{q_{i}}{(2)^{3}} \int_{Q} P \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x) [f_{eq;i}(x;p) + f_{i}(x;p)];
$$

where q_i is the degeneracy factor for particle species i. d^3 (x) is the outward-pointing surface norm alvector on the decoupling surface (x) at point x,

$$
p \quad d(x) = m_T \cosh(y) \quad p \quad p_f(r) \tag{13}
$$
\n
$$
f(r) \text{ rdrd d}
$$

(with $r = (x, y) = (r \cos r \sin r)$ denoting the transverse position vector), and $f_i(x;p)$ is the local distribution function for particle species i, computed from hydrodynam ic output. Equation (12) generalizes the usual Cooper-Frye prescription for ideal uid dynam ics 52] by accounting for the fact that in a viscous uid the local distribution function is never exactly in local equilibrium, but deviates from local equilibrium form by small terms proportional to the non-equilibrium viscous ows [6,31]. Both contributions can be extracted from hydrodynam ic output along the freeze-out surface. The equilibrium contribution is

$$
f_{\text{eq},i}(p_{j}x) = f_{\text{eq},i} \frac{p u(x)}{T(x)} = \frac{1}{e^{p u(x) - T(x)}} i \quad (14)
$$

where the exponent is computed from the temperature $T(x)$ and hydrodynamic ow velocity $u = \frac{1}{2}$ (cosh ; $v_2 \cos y$; $v_3 \sin y$; sinh) along the surface (x) :

$$
p \quad u(x) = \t{?} \quad [m_T \cosh(y \quad) \quad p v_? \cos(\begin{bmatrix} p & v \end{bmatrix}) \t{?} \t(15)
$$

Here $m_T = \frac{p}{p_T^2 + m_{\perp}^2}$ is the particle's transverse m ass. The viscous deviation from local equilibrium is given by $[16, 31]$

$$
f_{\text{i}}(x;p) = f_{\text{eq},\text{i}}(p;x) \ 1 \ f_{\text{eq},\text{i}}(p;x) \ \frac{p \ p}{2T^2(x) (e(x) + p(x))}
$$
\n
$$
f_{\text{eq},\text{i}} \ \frac{1}{2} \frac{p \ p}{T^2 \text{ef p}}.
$$
\n(16)

The approximation in the second line is not used in our num erical results but it holds (within the line thickness in all of our corresponding plots) since $(1 - f_{eq})$ deviates from 1 only when p T where the last factor is sm all. W ith it the spectrum (12) takes the instructive form

$$
E \frac{d^{3}N_{i}}{d^{3}p} = \frac{q_{i}}{(2)^{3}}^{Z} p \quad \text{d}(x) f_{eq,i}(x;p) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p p}{T^{2}} \frac{1}{e+p} \quad \text{(17)}
$$

The viscous correction is seen to be proportional to

(x) on the freeze-out surface (norm alized by the equilibrium enthalpy e+p) and to increase quadratically with the particle'sm om entum (norm alized by the tem perature T). At large p_T , the viscous correction can exceed the equilibrium contribution, indicating a breakdown of viscous hydrodynam ics. In that dom ain, particle spectra can not be reliably computed with viscous uid dynamics. The lim it of applicability depends on the actual value $=(e+p)$ and thus on the speci c dynam ical condi- $\cap f$ tions encountered in the heavy-ion collision.

The viscous correction to the spectrum in Eq. (17) reads explicitly

$$
p p = m_{T}^{2} \cosh^{2}(y) + \sinh^{2}(y) + \sinh^{2}(y)
$$

$$
2m_{T} \cosh(y) p_{x} + p_{y}^{y}
$$

+
$$
p_{x}^{2} \xrightarrow{x x} + 2p_{x} p_{y} \xrightarrow{xy} + p_{y}^{2} \xrightarrow{yy} : (18)
$$

We can use the expressions given in Appendix 2 of Ref. [28] (in particular Eqs. (A22) in that paper) to reexpress this in term s of the three independent components of mⁿ for which we choose

$$
z = {2 \t ; \t z = {xx + yyt } = {xx - yyt } (19)
$$

This choice is motivated by our num erical nding (see Fig. 2 in [37] and Sec. IV C) that \sim , ^{xx} and ^{yy} are about an order of m agnitude larger than all other com ponents of $m \, n$, and that in the azimuthally symmetric lim it of central (b= 0) heavy-ion collisions the azimuthal average of vanishes (see Eq. $(C 2)$): h i = 0. We nd

$$
pp = \sim m_{\rm T}^2 2 \cosh^2(y) + 1 \t C_{\gamma_2}^{\frac{p_{\rm T}}{p_{\rm T}}} m_{\rm T} \cosh(y) + \frac{\sin((p_{\rm T} + v)}{\sin(2 v)} + \frac{p_{\rm T}^2 \sin(2 p)}{\nu_2^2 \sin(2 v)} + m_{\rm T}^2 \cosh^2(y) + \frac{p_{\rm T}^2 \cosh^2(y)}{\nu_2^2} \cosh(y) + \frac{\sin((p_{\rm T} + v)}{\nu_2^2} - \frac{\sin((p_{\rm T} + v)}{\nu_2^2}) + \frac{p_{\rm T}^2 \cosh^2(y)}{2 \tan(2 v)} + \frac{p_{\rm T}^2 \cosh(2 p)}{2 \sin(2 v)} + \frac{p_{\rm T}^2 \sin(2 p_{\rm T}) \sin(2 p_{\rm T})}{2 \sin(2 v)} \sinh(2 v)
$$
\n
$$
+ \text{Pr}_{\rm T} m_{\rm T} \cosh(y) + \text{Pr}_{\rm T} m_{\rm T} \cosh(y) + \text{Pr}_{\rm T} m_{\rm T} \sin(2 v) + \frac{p_{\rm T}^2 \sin(2(p_{\rm T} - v)}{2 \sin(2 v)} \sin(2 v)) + \text{Pr}_{\rm T} m_{\rm T} \cosh(y) + \text{Pr}_{\rm T} m_{\rm T} \cosh(y) + \text{Pr}_{\rm T} m_{\rm T} \cosh(y) + \text{Pr}_{\rm T} m_{\rm T} \sin(2 v) + \text{Pr}_{\rm T} m_{\rm T} \cosh(y) + \text{Pr}_{\rm T} m_{\rm T} \sin(2 v) + \text{Pr}_{\rm T} m_{\rm T} \cosh(y) + \text{Pr
$$

D ue to longitudinal boost-invariance, the integration over space-tim e rapidity in Eq.[\(12\)](#page-5-0) can be done analytically, resulting in a series of contributions involving m odi ed Bessel functions $[34, 53]$ $[34, 53]$ $[34, 53]$. V ISH 2+ 1 does not exploit this possibility and instead perform s this and all other integrations for the spectra num erically.

O nce the spectrum (12) has been com puted, a Fourier decom position w ith respect to the azimuthal angle $_{\text{p}}$ yields the anisotropic ow coe cients. For collisions between equal spherical nuclei followed by longitudinally boost-invariant expansion of the collision  reball, only even-num bered coe cients contribute, the \elliptic ow" v_2 being the largest and m ost im portant one:

$$
E \frac{d^{3}N_{i}}{d^{3}p} (b) = \frac{dN_{i}}{dy p_{T} dp_{T} d_{p}} (b)
$$
 (21)
= $\frac{1}{2} \frac{dN_{i}}{dy p_{T} dp_{T}}$ 1 + 2v₂ (p_T; b) cos(2_p) + ... :

In practice it is evaluated as the $cos(2 p)$ -m om ent of the  nalparticle spectrum ,

$$
v_{2}(p_{T}) = \text{h} \cos(2 p) \text{i} \frac{R_{p} \cos(2 p) \frac{dN}{dy p_{T} dp_{T} d p_{T}}}{R_{p} \frac{dN}{dy p_{T} dp_{T} d p_{T}}}, (22)
$$

where, according to Eq. (12) , the particle spectrum is a sum ofa localequilibrium and a non-equilibrium contribution (to be indicated sym bolically as $N = N_{eq} + N$).

III. C E N T R A L C O LLISIO N S

A . H ydrodynam ic evolution

Even without transverse ow initially, the boostinvariant longitudinal expansion leads to a non-vanishing initial stress tensor $m n$ w hich generates non-zero target values for three com ponents of the shear viscous pressure tensor: 2 $\frac{4}{3}$, $x = y = \frac{2}{3}$. Inspection of the source term s in Eqs. [\(7-9\)](#page-3-1) then reveals that the initially negative 2^2 reduces the longitudinal pressure, thus reducing the rate of cooling due to work done by the latter, while the initially positive values of X^X and ^{yy} add to the transverse pressure and accelerate the devolpm ent of transverse ow in x and y directions. A s the reball evolves, the stress tensor $m n$ receives additional

contributions involving the transverse ow velocity and its derivatives (see Eq.[\(A 2\)](#page-22-1)) w hich render an analytic discussion of its e ects on the shear viscous pressure in practical.

Figure [2](#page-7-0) show sw hat one gets num erically. Plotted are the source term s [\(7\)](#page-3-1) and [\(8\)](#page-3-1), averaged over the transverse plane w ith the energy density as weight function, as a function of tim e, for evolution of central C u+ C u collisions w ith two di erent equations of state, EO S I and SM \pm O S Q . (In central collisions h $\frac{x}{b}$ $\frac{y}{b}$ = h $\frac{y}{b}$) O ne sees that the initially strong viscous reduction of the (negative) source term S , which controls the cooling by longitudinalexpansion,quickly disappears. T his is due to a combination of e ects: while the magnitude of 2 decreases with time, its negative e ects are further com pensated by a grow ing positive contribution $\mathfrak{g}_x(pv_x)+\mathfrak{g}_y(pv_y)$ arising from the increasing transverse ow gradients. In contrast, the viscous increase of the (positive) transverse source term S^x persists much longer, until about 5 fm $/c$. A fter that time, how ever, the viscous correction sw itches sign (clearly visible in the upper inset in the right panel of F ig. 2b) and turns negative, thus reducing the transverse acceleration at late tim es relative to the ideal
 uid case. W e can sum m arize these ndings by stating that shear viscosity reduces longitudinalcooling m ostly at early tim es w hile causing initially increased but later reduced acceleration in the transverse direction. D ue to the general sm allness of the viscous pressure tensor com ponents at late t im es, the last-m entioned e ect (reduced acceleration) is not very strong.

The phase transition in SM \pm 0 S Ω is seen to cause an interesting non-m onotonic behaviour of the time evolution of the source term s (right panel in $Fig. 2$), leading to a transient increase of the viscouse ects on the longitudinal source term w hile the system passes through the m ixed phase.

T he viscous slow ing of the cooling process at early tim es and the increased rate of cooling at later tim es due to accelerated transverse expansion are show n in Figure 3. The upper set of curves show sw hat happens in the centerofthe reball.Forcom parison wealso show curves for boost-invariant longitudinal B jorken expansion w ithout transverse ow, labeled $(0+1)-d$ hydro". These are obtained w ith
 at initial density pro les for the sam e value e_0 (no transverse gradients). The dotted green

FIG . 2: (C olor online) T im e evolution of the hydrodynam ic source term s [\(7-9\)](#page-3-1), averaged over the transverse plane, for central Cu+ Cu collisions, calculated w ith EOS I in the left panel and w ith SM -EOS Q in the right panel. The sm aller insets blow up the vertical scale to show m ore detail. The dashed blue lines are for ideal hydrodynam ics with $e_0 = 30$ GeV/fm³ and $_0 = 0.6$ fm /c. Solid red lines show results from viscous hydrodynam ics with identical initial conditions and $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}$ 0.08, $=$ $\frac{3}{sT}$ 0:24 $\left(\frac{200 \text{ N eV}}{T}\right)$ fm /c. The positive source term s drive the transverse expansion while the negative ones a ect the longitudinalexpansion.

FIG . 3: (Color online) T in e evolution of the local tem perature in central Cu+ Cu collisions, calculated with EOS I (left) and SM \pm O S Q (right), for the center of the reball (r = 0, upper set of curves) and a point near the edge (r = 9 fm, lower set of curves). Sam e param eters as in Fig[.2.](#page-7-0) See text for discussion.

line in the left panel show s the well-know n T $1 = 3$ behaviour of the B jorken solution of ideal uid dynam -ics [\[54](#page-26-41)], m odi ed in the right panel by the quark-hadron phase transition w here the tem perature stays constant in the m ixed phase. The dash-dotted purple line show s the slow ercooling in the viscous $(0+1)$ -dim ensionalcase [\[17\]](#page-26-4), due to reduced work done by the longitudinal pressure. The expansion is still boost-invariant a la Bjorken [\[54](#page-26-41)] (as it is for all other cases discussed in this paper), but viscouse ects generate entropy, thereby keeping the tem perature at all tim es higher than for the adiabatic case. T he dashed blue (ideal) and solid red (viscous) lines for the azim uthally symmetric $(1+1)$ -dim ensional case show

the additional cooling caused by transverse expansion. A gain the cooling is initially slower in the viscous case (solid red), but at later tim es, due to faster build-up of transverse
 ow by theviscously increased transversepressure, the viscous expansion is seen to cool the reball center faster than idealhydrodynam ics. (N ote also the drastic reduction of the lifetim e of the m ixed phase by transverse expansion; due to increased transverse
 ow and continued acceleration in the m ixed phase from viscous pressure gradients, it is even m ore dram atic in the viscous than the idealcase.) The curves for $r=9$ fm corroborate this, show ing that the tem perature initially increases w ith tim e due to hot m atter being pushed from

FIG. 4: (Color online) T in e evolution of the local entropy density for central Cu+Cu collisions, calculated with EOS I (left) and SM \pm 0 S Q (right), for the center of the reball (r = 0, upper set of curves) and a point at r = 3 fm (lower set of curves). Sam e param eters and color coding as in Fig. 3. See text for discussion.

the center tow ards the edge, and that this tem perature increase happens m ore rapidly in the viscous uid (solid red lines), due to the faster outward transport of matter in this case.

Figure 4 show show the features seen in Fig. 3 m anifest them selves in the evolution of the entropy density. (In the QGP phase s T^3 .) The double-logarithm is presentation emphasizes the e ects of viscosity and transverse expansion on the power law $s()$: One sees that 1 scaling of the ideal B jorken solution is attened the by viscous e ects, but steepened by transverse expansion. A s is well-know, it takes a while (here about $3 \text{ fm } /c$) until the transverse rarefaction wave reaches the reball center and turns the initially 1-dim ensional longitudinal expansion into a genuinely 3-dim ensionalone. W hen this happens, the power law s() changes from $= 1$ in the ideal uid case to $>$ 3 \llbracket . Here 3 is the dimensionality of space, and the fact that becomes larger than 3 re ects relativistic Lorentz-contraction e ects through the transverse- ow-related, $\frac{1}{2}$ -factor that keeps increasing even at late times. In the viscous case, changes from 1 to 3 sooner than for the ideal uid, due to the faster grow th of transverse ow. At late times the $s()$ curves for ideal and viscous hydrodynam ics are almost perfectly parallel, indicating that very little entropy is produced during this late stage.

In Figure 5 we plot the evolution of temperature in space, in the form of constant-T surfaces. Again Υ the two panels compare the evolution with EOS I (left) to the one with SM \pm OS Q (right). In the two halves of each panelwe directly contrast viscous and ideal uid evolution. (The light gray lines in the right halves are re-

ections of the viscous tem perature contours in the left halves, to facilitate com parison of viscous and ideal uid dynam ics.) Beyond the already noted fact that at $r = 0$ the viscous uid cools initially m ore slow ly (thereby giving som ew hat longer life to the QGP phase) but later

m ore rapidly (thereby freezing out earlier), this gure also exhibits two other noteworthy features: (i) M oving from $r = 0$ outward, one notes that contours of larger radial ow velocity are reached sooner in the viscous than in the ideal uid case; this show s that radial ow builds up m ore quickly in the viscous uid. This is illustrated m ore explicitly in Fig. 6 which shows the time evolution of the radial velocity hv_? i, calculated as an average over the transverse plane with the Lorentz contracted energy density $\frac{1}{2}$ e as weight function. (ii) C om paring the two sets of tem perature contours shown in the right panel of Fig. 5, one sees that viscouse ects tend to sm oothen any structures related to the (rst order) phase transition in SM EOS Q. The reason for this is that, with the discontinuous change of the speed of sound at either end of them ixed phase, the radial ow velocity pro ledevelops dram atic structures at the QGP-MP and MP-HRG interfaces [44]. This leads to large velocity gradients across these interfaces (as can be seen in the right panel of F ig. 5 in its low er right comer which show s rather twisted contours of constant radial ow velocity), inducing large viscous pressures which drive to reduce these gradients (as seen in lower left comer of that panel). In e ect, shear viscosity softens the rst-order phase transition into a sm ooth but rapid cross-over transition.

These same viscous pressure gradients cause the uid to accelerate even in the m ixed phase where all them odynam ic pressure gradients vanish (and where the ideal

uid therefore does not generate additional ow). As a result, the lifetime of the m ixed phase is shorter in viscous hydrodynam ics, as also seen in the right panel of Figure 5.

FIG .5: (C obr on line) Surfaces of constant tem perature T and constant transverse ow velocity v_? for central C u+ C u collisions, evolved w ith EOS I (left panel) and SM -EOS Q (right panel). In each panel, results from viscous hydrodynam ics in the left halfare directly com pared w ith the corresponding ideal
uid evolution in the right half. (T he thin isotherm contours in the right halves of each panel are re ected from the left halves, for easier com parison.) The lines of constant v_? are spaced by intervals of 0.1, from the inside outward, as indicated by the num bers near the top of the gures. The right panel contains two isotherm s for $T_c = 164M$ eV, one separating the m ixed phase (M P) from the QGP at energy density $e_Q = 1.6$ GeV/fm³, the other separating it from the hadron resonance gas (HRG) at energy density $e_{H} = 0.45$ GeV /fm³. See text for discussion.

FIG . 6: (Color online) T im e evolution of the average radial ow velocity $h\nu_T$ i $h\nu_T$ i in central Cu+ Cu collisions, calculated w ith EOS I (left panel) and SM -EOS Q (right panel). Solid (dashed) lines show results from ideal (viscous) uid dynam ics. The initially faster rate of increase re ects large positive shear viscous pressure in the transverse direction at early tim es. The sim ilar rates of increase at late tim es indicate the gradual disappearance of shear viscous e ects. In the right panel, the curves exhibit a plateau from 2 to 4 fm $/c$, re ecting the softening of the EOS in the m ixed phase.

B . F inalparticle spectra

A fter obtaining the freeze-out surface, we calculate the particle spectra from the generalized C ooper-Frye for m ula [\(12\)](#page-5-0), using the AZHYDRO algorithm [\[41\]](#page-26-28) for the integration over the freeze-out surface . For calculations w ith EO S I w hich lacks the transition from m assless partons to hadrons, we cannot com pute any hadron spectra.For illustration we instead com pute the spectra

ofhypotheticalm asslessbosons(\gluons").T hey can be com pared w ith the pion spectra from SM -EO S Q w hich can also, to good approxim ation, be considered as m assless bosons.

T he larger radial
 ow generated in viscous hydrodynam ics, for a  xed set of initial conditions, leads, of course, to atter transverse m om entum spectra β 0[,33](#page-26-20), [34](#page-26-21)] (at least at low p_T w here the viscous correction f_i to the distribution function can be neglected in [\(12\)](#page-5-0)). This is seen in Figure [7,](#page-10-0) by comparing the dotted and

FIG . 7: (Color online) M id-rapidity particle spectra for central Cu+ Cu collisions, calculated with EOS I (left, gluons) and with SM -EO S Q (right, $\,$, K $^+$ and p). The solid blue (red dashed) lines are from ideal (viscous) hydrodynam ics. The purple dotted lines show viscous hydrodynam ic spectra that neglect the viscous correction f_i to the distribution function in Eq. [\(12\)](#page-5-0), ie. include only the e ects from the larger radial ow generated in viscous hydrodynam ics.

solid lines. T his com parison also show s that the viscous spectra lie system atically above the idealones, indicating larger  naltotalm ultiplicity. T his re
 ects the creation of entropy during the viscous hydrodynam ic evolution. A s pointed out in [\[33](#page-26-20), [34\]](#page-26-21), this requires a retuning of initial conditions (starting the hydrodynam ic evolution later w ith sm aller initial energy density) if one desires to

t a given set of experim entalp -spectra. Since we here concentrate on investigating the originsand detailed m echanics of viscous e ects in relativistic hydrodynam ics, we will not explore any variations of initial conditions. A ll com parisons between ideal and viscous hydrodynam ics presented here w illuse identical starting times $_0$ and initial peak energy densities e_0 .

The viscous correction f_i in Eqs. [\(12](#page-5-0)[,16\)](#page-5-2) depends on the signs and m agnitudes of the various viscous pressure tensor com ponents along the freeze-out surface, weighted by the equilibrium part $f_{eq,i}$ of the distribution function. Its e ect on the nalp-spectra (even its sign!) is not a priori obvious. Teaney [\[16](#page-26-3)], using a blast-wave m odel to evaluate the velocity stress tensor $=$ $=(2)$, found that the correction is positive, grow ing quadratically with p_T . R om atschke et al. [\[34](#page-26-21), [36](#page-26-23)] did not break out separately the contributions from larger radial ow in $f_{\alpha,i}$ and from f_i . Dusling and Teaney [\[38\]](#page-26-25), solving a slightly di erent set of viscous hydrodynam ic equations and using a di erent (kinetic) freeze-out criterium to determ ine their decoupling surface, found a (sm all) positive e ect from f on the nal pion spectra, at least up to $p_T = 2G eV/c$, for freeze-out around T_{dec} 130M eV, turning weakly negative when their effective freeze-out tem perature was lowered to below 100 M eV. The dashed lines in Figure [7](#page-10-0) show that in our calculations for $p_T > 2$ G eV /c the e ects from f have an overall negative sign, leading to a reduction of the p_T spectra at large p_T relative to both the viscous spectra

FIG. 8: (Color online) Ratio of the viscous correction N, resulting from the non-equilibrium correction f , Eq. [\(16\)](#page-5-2), to the distribution function at freeze-out, to the equilibrium spectrum N_{eq} dN $_{eq}$ = (dyd²p_T) calculated from Eq. [\(12\)](#page-5-0) by setting $f = 0$. The gluon curves are for evolution with EOS I, the curves for $,K$ ⁺ and p are from calculations with SM -EO S Q .

w ithout f_i and the ideal hydrodynam ic spectra. This is true for all particle species, irrespective of the EOS used to evolve the uid.

It turns out that, when evaluating the viscous correction f in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-5-2) w ith the help of Eq. [\(20\)](#page-6-1), large cancellations occur between the  rst and second line in $Eq. (20)$ $Eq. (20)$. [A fter azim uthal integration, the contribution to f from the third line vanishes identically for centralcollisions.] These cancellations cause the nalresult

12

stability is achieved by trading 2 for 2 $+$ and using instead of Eq. (20) the follow ing expression:

to be quite sensitive to sm all num erical errors in the calculation of 2 and $=$ xx + yy . Increased num erical

$$
p p = m_{\text{T}}^{2} 2 \cosh^{2}(y) + 1 \frac{p_{\text{T}}^{p}}{v_{\text{T}}} m_{\text{T}} \cosh(y) + \frac{\sin(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{y})}{\sin(2 \frac{1}{y})} + \frac{p_{\text{T}}^{2}}{v_{\text{T}}^{2}} \frac{\sin(2 \frac{1}{p})}{\sin(2 \frac{1}{y})} + \frac{m_{\text{T}}^{2} \sinh^{2}(y) + p m_{\text{T}} \cosh(y) + \frac{\sin(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{y})}{\tan(2 \frac{1}{y})} + \frac{p_{\text{T}}^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{\sin(2 \frac{1}{y})} + \frac{\sin(2 \frac{1}{p})}{\sin(2 \frac{1}{y})} + \frac{m_{\text{T}} \cosh(y) + \frac{\sin(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{y})}{\sin(2 \frac{1}{y})} + \frac{p_{\text{T}}^{2} \sin(2(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{y}))}{2} \tag{23}
$$

The rst and second lines of this expression are now much sm aller than before and closer in m agnitude to the  nal net result for p p . This im provem ent carries over to non-centralcollisionsasdiscussed in Sec[.IV D ,](#page-16-0)w here we also show the individual contributions from $\qquad \qquad$, and

to the particle spectra. To be able to use Eq. (23) , the num erical code should directly evolve, in addition to γ , α , and γ which are needed for the velocity nding algorithm (see A ppendi[xB\)](#page-22-2), the com ponents X xx and YY . O therw ise these last two com ponents m ust be com puted from the evolved $m n$ com ponents using the transversality and tracelessness constraints w hich necessarily involves the amplication of num erical errors by division w ith sm allvelocity com ponents.

In Figure [8](#page-10-1) we explore the non-equilibrium contribution to the  nalhadron spectra in greater detail. T he gure show s that the non-equilibrium e ects from if are largest for m assless particles and, at high p_T , decrease in m agnitude w ith increasing particle m ass. T he assum ption j fj f_{eq} , w hich underlies the viscous hydrodynam ic form alism , is seen to break down at high p_T , but to do so later for heavier hadrons than for lighter ones.O nce the correction exceedsO (50%)(indicated by the horizontal dashed line in $Fig.8$), the calculated spectra can no longer be trusted.

In contrast to viscous hydrodynam ics, ideal uid dynam ics has no intrinsic characteristic that w ill tell us when it starts to break down. C om parison of the calculated elliptic ow v from ideal uid dynam ics with the experim entaldata from RHIC [\[1\]](#page-25-0) suggests that the ideal

uid picture begins to break down above $\frac{1}{9}$ / 1:5G eV /c for pions and above p_T ' 2G eV /c for protons. This phenom enological hierarchy of thresholds w here viscous effects appear to becom e essential is qualitatively consistentw ith them asshierarchy from viscoushydrodynam ics shown in Fig. 8.

In the region $0 < p_T < 1.5$ GeV/c, the interplay between m_T -and p_T -dependent term s in Eq. [\(20\)](#page-6-1) is subtle, causing sign changes of the viscous spectral correction depending on hadron m ass and p_T (see inset in Fig. 8). The fragility of the sign of the e ect is also obvious from Fig. 8 in the work by D usling and Teaney [\[38\]](#page-26-25) where it

is shown that in this p_T region the viscous correction changes sign from positive to negative w hen freeze-out is shifted from earlier to later tim es (higher to lower freezeout tem perature). O verall,we agree w ith them that the viscous correction e ects on the p -spectra are weak in this region [\[38](#page-26-25)]. We will see below that a similar statem ent does not hold for the elliptic ow.

IV . N O N -C E N T R A L C O LLISIO N S

A . H ydrodynam ic evolution

We now take full advantage of the ability of V ISH 2+ 1 to solve the transverse expansion in 2 spatialdim ensions to explore the anisotropic reballevolution in non-central heavy-ion collisions. Sim ilar to Fig. 5 for b= 0, Figure [9](#page-12-0) show s surfaces of constant tem perature and radial ow for C u+ C u collisions at $b=7$ fm, for the equation of state $SM \pm OS \ Q$. The plots show the dierent evolution into and perpendicular to the reaction plane and com pare idealw ith viscous uid dynam ics. A gain, even a m inim al am ount of shear viscosity $\left(\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}\right)$ is seen to dram atically sm oothen all structures related to the existence of a rstorder phase transition in the EOS. However, in distinction to the case of central collisions, radial ow builds up at a weaker rate in the peripheral collisions and never becom es strong enough to cause faster central cooling at late tim esthan seen in ideal
 uid dynam ics(bottom row in Fig. [9\)](#page-12-0). The viscous reball cools m ore slow ly than the ideal one at all tim es and positions, lengthening in particular the lifetime of the QGP phase, and it grow s to larger transverse size at freeze-out. [N ote that this does not in ply larger transverse H BT radiithan for ideal hydrodynam ics (som ething that $\{in$ view of the \R H IC H BT Puzzle" [\[1\]](#page-25-0){ would be highly desirable): the larger geom etric size is counteracted by larger radial
 ow such that the geom etric grow th, in fact, does not lead to larger transverse hom ogeneity lengths [\[34\]](#page-26-21).]

W hile Figure [9](#page-12-0) gives an im pression of the anisotropy of the  reball in coordinate space, we study now in Fig. 10 the evolution of the ow anisotropy hig j \ddot{y} , \ddot{y} .

FIG . 9: (C obr online) Surfaces of constant tem perature T and constant transverse ow velocity $v_?$ for sem i-peripheral C u+ C u collisions at b= 7fm , evolved w ith SM -EO S Q .In the top row we contrast ideal (left panel) and viscous (right panel)
uid dynam ics, w ith a cutalong the x axis (in the reaction plane) shown in the right half while the left half show s a cutalong the y axis (perpendicular to the reaction plane). In the bottom row we com pare ideal and viscous evolution in the same panel, with cuts along the x (y) direction show n in the left (right) panel. See Fig[.5](#page-9-0) for com parison w ith centralC u+ C u collisions.

In central collisions this quantity vanishes. In ideal hydrodynam ics it is driven by the anisotropic gradients of the therm odynam ic pressure. In viscous uid dynam ics, the source term $s(8,9)$, w hose di erence is shown in the bottom row of Fig. 10, receive additional contributions from gradients of the viscous pressure tensor w hich contribute their own anisotropies. F ig. 10 dem onstrates that these additionalan isotropies increase the driving force for anisotropic ow at very early times ($_0$ < 1 fm /c), but reduce this driving force throughout the later evolution. At times $0 > 2$ fm /c the anisotropy of the e ective transverse pressure even changes sign and turns negative, working to decrease the ow anisotropy. As a consequence of this, the buildup of the ow anisotropy stalls at $_0$ 2:5 fm /c (even earlier for SM \pm 0 S Q w here the ow buildup stops as soon as the reballm edium enters the m ixed phase) and proceeds to slightly decrease

therafter. This happens during the crucial period w here ideal uid dynam ics still show s strong grow th of the ow anisotropy. By the time the reball matter decouples, the average
 ow velocity anisotropy ofviscoushydro lags about 20-25% behind the value reached during ideal uid dynam icalevolution.

These features are m irrored in the time evolution of the spatial eccentricity $x = \frac{hx^2}{hx^2 + v^2i}$ $\frac{nx-y-1}{nx^2+y^2}$ (calculated by averaging over the transverse plane w ith the energy density $e(x)$ as weight function $[44]$ and shown in the top row of F ig. 11) and of the m om entum anisotropies $_{\text{p}}$ and $_{\text{p}}^0$ (shown in the bottom row). The m om entum anisotropy $_{\text{p}} =$ hT_0^{XX} T $_0^{YY}$ i $\frac{m_{0}}{\ln x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{5}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ [\[55\]](#page-26-42)m easures the anisotropy of the transverse m om entum density due to anisotropies in the col-lective ow pattern, as shown in top row of F ig[.10;](#page-13-0) it includes only the ideal uid part of the energy m om entum

FIG .10: (C olor online) T in e evolution of the transverse ow anisotropy hj_v j j_v ji (top row) and of the anisotropy in the transverse source term h $5x$ j $5y$ i(bottom row). B oth quantities are averaged over the transverse plane, w ith the Lorentzcontracted energy density $_2$ as weight function. The left (right) column shows results for EOS I (SM \pm OS Q), with solid (dashed) lines representing ideal(viscous)
uid dynam icalevolution.

tensor. The totalm om entum anisotropy $p = \frac{hT^{xx}T^{yy}i}{hT^{xx}+T^{yy}i}$ $\frac{m}{hT} \frac{1+1}{x}$, sim ilarly de ned in term softhe totalenergy m om entum $tensor T = T_0 +$, additionally counts anisotropic m om entum contributions arising from the viscous pressure tensor. Since the latter quantity includes e ects due to the deviation f of the local distribution function from its therm al equilibrium form w hich, according to Eq. [\(12\)](#page-5-0), also a ects the  nal hadron m om entum spectrum and elliptic ow, it is this total momentum anisotropy that should be studied in viscous hydrodynam ics if one wants to understand the evolution of hadron elliptic ow. In other words, in viscous hydrodynam ics hadron elliptic
 ow is not sim ply a m easure for anisotropies in the collective ow velocity pattern, but additionally re ects anisotropies in the local rest frame m om entum distributions, arising from deviations of the localm om entum distribution from therm alequilibrium and thus being related to the viscous pressure.

Figure [11](#page-14-0) correlates the decrease in tim e of the spatial eccentricity x w ith the buildup of the m om entum anisotropies $\frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{0}{p}$. In viscous dynam ics the spatial eccentricity is seen to decrease initially faster than for ideal uids. This is less a consequence of anisotropies in the large viscous transverse pressure gradients at early tim es than due to the faster radialexpansion caused by their large overall m agnitude. In fact, it was found a

w hile ago [\[56\]](#page-26-43) that for a system of free-stream ing partons the spatialeccentricity falls even faster than the viscous hydrodynam iccurves (solid lines) in the upper row of Figur[e11.](#page-14-0)T hee ectsofearly pressuregradientanisotropies is re ected in the initial grow th rate of the ow-induced m om entum anisotropy $_{p}$ w hich is seen to slightly exceed thatobserved in the ideal
 uid attim esup to about 1fm /c after the beginning of the transverse expansion (bottom panels in Fig. [11\)](#page-14-0). T his parallels the slightly faster initial rise of the ow velocity anisotropy seen in the top panels ofFig[.10.](#page-13-0) Figure [10](#page-13-0) also show s that in the viscous
 uid the
 ow velocity anisotropy stallsabout 2 fm /c after start and rem ains about 25% below the nalvalue reached in ideal
 uid dynam ics. T his causes the spatial eccentricity of the viscous reball to decrease m ore slow ly at later times than that of the ideal uid (top panels in Fig.[11\)](#page-14-0) w hich, at late tim es, features a signi cantly larger di erence between the horizontal (x) and vertical (y) expansion velocities.

It is very instructive to com pare the behaviour of the ow-induced ideal-uid contribution to the m om entum anisotropy, $_{p}$, w ith that of the total m om entum anisotropy \int_{p}^{0} . At early times they are very di erent, w ith \int_{p}^{0} being much sm aller than \int_{p} and even turning slightly negative at very early tim es (see insets in the lower panels of Fig.[11\)](#page-14-0). T his re
 ects very large nega-

FIG .11: (Cobronline) T in e evolution for the spatial eccentricity $_{\times}$, m om entum anisotropy $_{\text{p}}$ and totalm om entum anisotropy $\frac{0}{R}$ (see text for de nitions), calculated for b= 7 fm Cu+Cu collisions with EOSI (left column) and SM \pm OSQ (right column). D ashed lines are for ideal hydrodynam ics while the solid and dotted lines show results from viscous hydrodynam ics. See text for discussion.

tive contributions to the anisotropy of the total energy m om entum tensor from the shear viscous pressure whose gradients along the out-of-plane direction y strongly exceed those within the reaction plane along the x direction. At early times this e ect alm ost compensates for the larger in-plane gradient of the them alpressure. The negative viscous pressure gradient anisotropy is responsible for reducing the grow th of ow anisotropies, thereby causing the ow-induced m om entum anisotropy $_{\text{p}}$ to signi cantly lag behind its ideal uid value at later times. The negative viscous pressure an isotropies responsible for the di erence between $_{\rm p}$ and $_{\rm p}^0$ slow ly disappear at later tim es, since all viscous pressure com ponents then becom e very sm all (see Fig. 13 below).

The net result of this interplay is a total m om entum anisotropy in Cu+Cu collisions (i.e. a source of elliptic

ow χ) that for a \m in im ally" viscous uid with $\frac{1}{\pi} = \frac{1}{4}$ is 40-50% lower than for an ideal uid, at all except the earliest times (where it is even smaller). The origin of this reduction changes with time: Initially it is dom inated by strong m om entum anisotropies in the local rest frame, with momenta pointing preferentially out-of-plane, induced by deviations from local them al equilibrium and associated with large shear viscous pressure. At later times, the action of these anisotropic viscous pressure

gradients integrates to an overall reduction in collective ow anisotropy, while the viscous pressure itself becomes sm all; at this stage, the reduction of the totalm om entum anisotropy is indeed mostly due to a reduced anisotropy in the collective ow pattern while m om entum isotropy in the local uid rest fram e is approximately restored.

B. Elliptic ow v₂ of nalparticle spectra

The e ect of the viscous suppression of the totalmom entum anisotropy $\frac{0}{n}$ on the nalparticle elliptic ow is shown in Figure 12. Even for the \mathbb{m} in im all' viscosity $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}$ considered here one sees a very strong suppression of the di erential elliptic ow $y(p_T)$ from viscous evolution (dashed lines) compared to the ideal uid (solid lines). Both the viscous reduction of the collective ow anisotropy (whose e ect on γ is shown as the dotted lines) and the viscous contributions to the anisotropy of the localm om entum distribution (em bodied in the term

f in Eq. (12)) play big parts in this reduction. The runs with EOS I (which is a very hard EOS) decouple m ore quickly than those with SM \pm O S Q ; correspondingly, the viscous pressure com ponents are still larger at freeze-out and the viscous corrections f to the distribution func-

FIG .12: (Color online) D i erential elliptic ow $v_2(p_T)$ for Cu+ Cu collisions at b= 7fm . Left panel: G luons from evolution w ith EOS I. R ight panel: , K $^+$, and p from evolution with SM \pm O S Q . D ashed lines: ideal hydrodynam ics. Solid lines: viscous hydrodynam ics. D otted lines: viscous hydrodynam icsw ithoutnon-equilibrium distortion f ofdistribution function at freeze-out.

FIG .13: (C obr online) Left panel: T im e evolution of the various com ponents of the shear viscous pressure tensor, norm alized by the enthalpy and averaged in the transverse plane over the therm alized region inside the freeze-out surface [\[59](#page-26-44)]. N ote that the norm alizing factor $\rm e+p-T^{4}$ decreases rapidly with tim $\rm e$. R ight panel: C om parison of the full viscous hydrodynam ic source term s S $^{\mathfrak{m}}$ n ,averaged over the transverse plane, w ith their approxim ations given in Eqs. [\(7-9\)](#page-3-1), as a function of tim e. The thin gray lines indicate the corresponding source term s in ideal
uid dynam ics.

tion play a bigger role. W ith SM \pm OS Q the reball doesn't freeze out until $m n$ has becom e very sm all (see Fig[.13](#page-15-0) below), resulting in m uch sm aller corrections from f (di erence between dashed and dotted lines in F ig 12) [\[57\]](#page-26-45). On the other hand, due to the longer reball lifetim e the negatively anisotropic viscous pressure has more tim e to decelerate the buildup of anisotropic ow, so \vee is strongly reduced because of the much smaller owinduced m om entum anisotropy $_{\text{p}}$.

The net e ect of all this is that, for Cu+ Cu collisions and in the soft m om entum region $p_T < 1.5$ G eV /c, the viscous evolution with $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}$ leads to a suppression of v_2 by about a factor 2 [\[58\]](#page-26-46), in both the slope of its p_T dependence and $its p_T$ -integrated value. (D ue to the $at-$ ter p_T -spectra from the viscous dynam ics, the e ect in the p_T -integrated v_2 is not quite as large as for $v_2(p_T)$ at $x \in \mathbb{R}$.)

C . T im e evolution of the viscous pressure tensor com ponents and hydrodynam ic source term s

In Figure [13](#page-15-0) we analyze the time evolution of the viscous pressure tensor com ponents and the viscous hydro-dynam ic source term s on the r.h.s. of Eqs. [\(6\)](#page-3-0). As already m entioned, the largest components of $m n$ are 2^2 , x^2 and y^2 (see Fig. 2 in [\[37\]](#page-26-24) and left panel of Fig. 13 [\[59](#page-26-44)]). At early times, both 2^2 and the sum

 $=$ $^{xx}+$ yy reach (w ith opposite signs) alm ost 20% of the equilibrium enthalpy e+ p. At this stage all other com ponents of are at least an order of m agnitude sm aller (see inset). T he largest of these sm all com ponents is the di erence $=$ $\frac{xx}{y}$ which we choose as the variable describing the anisotropy of the viscous pressure tensor in non-central collisions. At late tim es $(0, 0)$ 5 fm /c), w hen the large com ponents of $m n$ have strongly decreased, becom es com parable to them in m agnitude. A s a fraction of the therm alequilibrium enthalpy $e+p$ T⁴ which sets the scale in ideal uid dynam ics and w hich itself decreases rapidly with time, all viscous pressure com ponents are seen to decrease w ith time. In a uid with a set ratio =s, viscous e ects thus become less important with time. In real life, however, the ratio = sdepends itself on tem perature and rises dram atically during the quark-hadron phase transition and below [\[60,](#page-26-47) [61](#page-27-0)]. Shear viscous e ects w ill therefore be larger at late tim es than considered here. T he consequences of this w ill be explored elsew here.

The observation that m any components of $m n$ are very sm all throughout the reball evolution underlies the validity of the approxim ation of the hydrodynam ic source term s given in the second lines of Eqs. $(7-9)$. The excellent quality of this approxim ation is illustrated in the right panel of Fig. 13.

D . V iscous corrections to nal pion spectra and elliptic
ow

The large viscous reduction of the elliptic ow seen in Fig. 12 warrants a m ore detailed analysis of the viscous corrections to the particle spectra and v_2 . In Fig. [14](#page-16-1) we show, for $Cu + Cu$ collisions at $b = 7$ fm evolved with SM \pm 0 S Q, the time evolution of the independent com ponents , and of the viscous pressure tensor $m n$, norm alized by the equilibrium enthalpy $e+p$, along the T_{dec} = 130M eV decoupling surface plotted in the upper right panelofFig[.9.](#page-12-0) Solid (dashed) lines show the behaviour along the x (y) direction (right (left) half of the upper right panel in Fig. 9). We see that generically all three of these viscous pressure com ponents are of similar m agnitude, except for which strongly dom inates over the other two during the  rst 2fm /c after the beginning of the expansion stage. H ow ever, since m ost particle production, especially that of low $-p_T$ particles, occurs at late tim es (> 4 fm /c for b= 7 fm /c C u + C u, see F ig. 9 and the discussion around $Fig. 27$ in Ref. $[1]$), the regions w here is large do not contribute m uch. A s far as the non-equilibrium contribution to the spectra is concerned, we can thus say that the viscous pressure at freeze-out is of the order of a few percent of $e+p$. The anisotropy term is even sm aller, due to cancellations between the in-plane (x) and out-of-plane (y) contributions w hen integrating over the azim uthalangle in Eq. (12) .

T hese viscous pressure com ponents generate the nonequilibrium contribution f to the distribution func-

FIG.14: Time evolution of , , and , as well as that of the transverse velocity, along the decoupling surface for $b= 7$ fm $Cu + Cu$ collisions in viscous hydrodynam ics with SM -EO S Q , as show n in Fig. 9. Solid (dashed) lines represent cuts along the x (= 0) and y (= $\frac{1}{2}$) directions.

tion on the freeze-out surface according to Eqs. [\(16\)](#page-5-2) and [\(23\)](#page-11-1), resulting in a corresponding viscous correction to the azimuthally integrated particle spectrum
 $R = \frac{d^3W}{dt^3}$ N d_p $\frac{d^3N}{d^3p}$. Figure [15](#page-17-1) show s these nonequilibrium contributions for pions, norm alized by the azim uthally averaged equilibrium part N_{eq} d $p \frac{d^3N_{eq}}{d^3p}$ $\frac{N_{eq}}{d^3p}$. We show both the total viscous correction and the individual contributions arising from the three independent pressure tensor com ponents used in Eq.[\(23\)](#page-11-1) and show n in Fig[.14.](#page-16-1)

In the viscous correction, the term [\(23\)](#page-11-1) (norm alized by T² (e+ p)) is weighted by particle production via the equilibrium distribution function $f_{eq}(x;p)$. It is well-known (see Fig. 27 in Ref. [\[1\]](#page-25-0)) that for low- p_T particles this weight is concentrated along the relatively
 at top part of the decoupling surface in F ig. 9 , corresponding to $>$ 5 6 fm /c in Fig[.14.](#page-16-1) In this m om entum range, the contributions from \blacksquare , and to $N = N_{eq}$ are of sim i-larm agnitude and alternating signs (see Fig[.15\)](#page-17-1), m aking the sign of the overall viscous correction to the spectra hard to predict.

H igh- p_T particles, on the other hand, come from those regions in the reballw hich feature the largest transverse ow velocity at freeze-out. Fig[.9](#page-12-0) show sthat this restricts their em ission m ostly to the time interval $3 <$ \lt 6 fm /c. In this region is negative, see Fig[. 14.](#page-16-1) A detailed study of the dierent term sin Eq. (23) reveals that (after azim uthal integration) the expression m ultiplying is positive, hence the negative sign of explains its negative contribution to $N = N_{eq}$ at high p_T , as seen in Fig[.15.](#page-17-1) Figure [15](#page-17-1) also show s that in the region $p_T > 1$ G eV /c the

rst line \ln Eq. [\(23\)](#page-11-1) com pletely dom inates the viscous correction to the spectra. W e found that this involves additional cancellations between term s of oppo-

FIG.15: (Color on line) V iscous corrections to the azimuthally averaged pion spectrum resulting from individual components of the viscous pressure tensor $m n$ as indicated, as well as the total correction $N = N_{eq}$, for Cu+ Cu collisions at b= 7 fm with SM EOSQ. The horizontal dashed line at -50% indicates the lim it of validity.

site sign (after azimuthal integration) inside the square brackets multiplying and in the second and third line of Eq. (23) . Furtherm ore, the term is the only contribution whose m agnitude grows quadratically with p_T . For the contributions involving and, the apparent quadratic m om entum dependence seen in Eq. (23) is tem pered by the integrations over space-time rapidity

and azimuthal angle in (12), resulting in only linear grow th at large p_T .

In the absence of higher-order m om entum anisotropies v_n , n > 2, the elliptic ow v_p (p_T) can be easily computed from the m om entum spectra in x ($_{p}=0$) and y ($_{p}=\frac{1}{2}$) directions:

$$
2v_2 (p_T) = \frac{N_x - N_y}{N}
$$

= $\frac{(N_{x,eq} - N_{y,eq}) + (N_x - N_y)}{N_{eq} + N}$; (24)

where $N = N_{eq} + N$ is shorthand for the azimuthally averaged spectrum $dN = (2 \, dy \, p_T \, dp_T)$, and N_{XX} denote the p_T spectra along the x and y directions, respectively: N_x N_x $_{eq}$ + N_x $\frac{d^3N}{dy p_r dp_r d_p}$ ($_p = 0$), and similarly for N_y with $p = \frac{1}{2}$. Equation (24) show sthat v_2 receives contributions from anisotropies in the equilibrium part of the distribution function f_{eq} , which re ect the hydrodynam is

ow anisotropy along the freeze-out surface, and from the viscous correction f, which re ects non-equilibrium momentum anisotropies in the local uid rest frame. The dashed line in Figure 16 shows the relative magnitude of these two anisotropy contributions, $\frac{N_x}{N_{x,\text{req}}} \frac{N_y}{N_{y,\text{req}}}$ $\frac{N_x - N_y}{N_x}$, and com pares it with the relative m agnitude $\frac{N}{N_{eq}}$ of the nonequilibrium and equilibrium contributions to the total,

FIG.16: (Color on line) Ratio of non-equilibrium and equilibrium contributions to particle production (solid line) and to its m om entum anisotropy (dashed line), as a function of p_T for pions from $Cu + Cu$ collisions at $b = 7$ fm with SM \pm 0 S Q.

 p -integrated pion spectrum for Cu+ Cu at b= 7 fm. We see that the non-equilibrium contribution to the m om entum anisotropy v_2 is always negative and larger in relative m agnitude than the non-equilibrium contribution to the azimuthally averaged spectrum. Since v_2 is a sm all quantity re ecting the anisotropic distortion of the single-particle spectrum, it reacts m ore sensitively than the spectrum itself to the (anisotropic) non-equilibrium contributions caused by the sm all viscous pressure \sqrt{m} n on the decoupling surface. Furthem ore, the viscous corrections to the p -integrated spectrum change sign as a function of p_T , the corrections to v_2 are negative everywhere, decreasing $v_2(p_T)$ at all values of p_T , but especially at large transverse m om enta.

V. SENSITIVITY TO INPUT PARAMETERS AND LIM ITS OF APPLICABILITY

A. Initialization of mⁿ

Lacking input from a m icroscopic model of the preequilibrium stage preceding the (viscous) hydrodynam ic one, one must supply initial conditions for the energy m om entum tensor, including the viscous pressure $m n$. The most popular choice has been to initialize $m \cdot n$ with its N avier-Stokes value, i.e. to set initially $m n = 2$ $m n$. Up to this point, this has also been our choice in the present paper. Ref. [36] advocated the choice $m n = 0$ at time $_0$ in order to m in in ize viscous e ects and thus obtain an upper lim it on =s by com parison with experin ental data. In the present subsection we explore the sensitivity of the nal spectra and elliptic ow to these di erent choices of initialization, keeping all other model param eters unchanged.

Figure 17 shows the time evolution of the viscous pres-

FIG . 17: (Color online) Sim ilar to Figure [13,](#page-15-0) but now com paring runs with dierent initial conditions. The thick lines reproduce the results from Figure [13,](#page-15-0) obtained with $m = 2$ $m n$ at initial time 0, while thin lines of the same type show the corresponding results obtained by setting initially $m = 0$. The right panel show s the full viscous source term s, w ithout approxim ation: h $\frac{x}{\mu}$ (dashed), h $\frac{y}{\mu}$ (dotted), and hS i (dash-dotted).

sure tensor and viscous hydrodynam ic source term s for the two di erent initializations. Di erences with respect to the results show n Fig. [13](#page-15-0) (w hich are reproduced in Fig[. 17](#page-18-1) for com parison) are visible only at early tim es $_0$ < 5 1 fm /c. A fter $0:2$ fm /c, the initial difference $\frac{m}{2}$ n 2^{mn} has decreased by roughly a factor 1=e, and after several kinetic scattering tim es the hydrodynam ic evolution has apparently lost allm em ory how the viscous term s were initialized.

Correspondingly, the nal spectra and elliptic own show very little sensitivity to the initialization of $m n$, as seen in Fig[.18.](#page-18-2) W ith vanishing initialviscous pressure, viscous e ects on the nal ow anisotropy are a little weaker (dotted lines in F ig. 18), but this di erence is overcom pensated in the total elliptic ow by slightly stronger anisotropies of the local rest fram e m om entum distributions at freeze-out (dashed lines in Fig[.18\)](#page-18-2). For shorter kinetic relaxation times, the di erences resulting from di erent initializations of $m n$ would be sm aller still.

B . K inetic relaxation tim e

W hile the nite relaxation time for the viscous pressure tensor in the Israel-Stewart form alism elim inates problem s w ith superlum inal signal propagation in the relativistic N avier-Stokes theory, it also keeps the viscous pressure from ever fully approaching its N avier-Stokes $\lim_{m \to \infty}$ = 2 m n. In this subsection we explore how far, on average, the viscous pressure evolved by V ISH 2+ 1 deviates from its N avier-Stokes lim it, and how this changes if we reduce the relaxation time by a factor 2.

In Figure [19](#page-19-0) we com pare, for central C u+ C u colli-

Cu+Cu, b=7 fm, SM-EOSQ, π ⁻ $V₂$ viscous hydro 0.4 viscous hydro (flow anisotropy only) ideal hydro initialized by π^{mn} =2ησ $^{\text{mn}}$ 0.3 initialized by $\pi^{\text{mn}}=0$ 0.2 a artistica de la f 0.1 0 0 1 2 3 p_T (GeV)

FIG. 18: (Color online) D i erential elliptic ow $v_2(p_T)$ for pions from $b = 7$ fm $Cu + Cu$ collisions w ith SM \pm 0 S Q. Thick lines reproduce the pion curves from Figur[e 12,](#page-15-2) obtained with $m n = 2$ $m n$ at initial time 0, while thin lines of the same type show the corresponding results obtained by setting initially $m n = 0$.

sions, the time evolution of the scaled viscous pressure tensor, averaged in the transverse plane over the therm alized region inside the freeeze-out surface, w ith its N avier-Stokes $\text{Im} \pm$, for two values of , = 3 = sT = $\text{class}=2$ and = $=$ $\text{class}=4$. For the larger relaxation tim e, the deviations from the N avier-Stokes lim it reach 25-30% at early tim es, but this fraction gradually decreases at later tim es. For the tw ice shorter relaxation tim e, the fractionaldeviation from N avier-Stokes decreases by som ew hat m ore than a factor 2 and never exceeds a value of about10% .

Figure [20](#page-19-1) show sthat, sm allas they m ay appear, these

FIG. 19: (Cobr online) Time evolution of the two independent viscous pressure tensor components and $=$ $x^2 + y^y$ for central Cu+ Cu collisions (solid lines), com pared with their N avier-Stokes lim its 2 and 2 ($X^X + Y^Y$) (dashed lines), for two values of the relaxation time, $= 3$ =sT (thick lines) and $= 1:5$ =sT (thin lines). All quantities are scaled by the them alequilibrium enthalpy e+ p and transversally averaged over the them alized region inside the decoupling surface.

deviations of m n from its N avier-Stokes lim it 2 m n (especially on the part of the decoupling surface correspond- $_0$) still play an important role for ing to early times the viscous reduction of elliptic ow observed in our calculations. W hile a decrease of the relaxation time by a factor 2 leads to only a sm all reduction of the viscous suppression of ow anisotropies (dotted lines in Fig.20), the contribution to $v_2(p_T)$ resulting from the viscous correc p_n , p_n ^{m, n} to the nalparticle spectra is reduced tion by about a factor 2, too, leading to a signi cant overall increase of $v_2(p_T)$ in the region $p_T > 1$ GeV/c. To avoid strong sensitivity to the presently unknown value in the QGP , future extractions of the relaxation time of the speci c shear viscosity = s from a comparison between experimentaldata and viscous hydrodynamic simulations should therefore be perform ed at low transverse

FIG.20: (Cobronline) Dierential elliptic ow $v_2(p_T)$ for from $b = 7$ fm $Cu + Cu$ collisions with SM \pm 0 S Q, calculated from viscous hydrodynam ics with two dierent values for the relaxation time . Thick lines reproduce the pion curves from Figure 12, thin lines show results obtained with a twice shorter relaxation time. For the standard (twice larger) classical relaxation time value $= 6 = ST$ [27, 31] deviations from ideal hydrodynam ics would exceed those seen in the thick lines.

m om enta, $p_T < 1$ G eV /c, where our results appear to be reasonably robust against variations of .

C. Breakdown of viscous hydrodynamics at high pr

As indicated by the horizontal dashed lines in Figs. 8 and 15, the assumption $j f_j$ f_{eq} junder which the viscous hydrodynam ic fram ew ork is valid breaks down at su ciently large transverse m om enta. For a quantitative assessment we assume that viscous hydrodynamic predictions become unreliable when the viscous corrections to the particle spectra exceed 50%. Fig. 8 shows that the characteristic transverse m om entum p_r where this occurs depends on the particle species and increases with particle m ass. To be speci c , we here consider p for pions $|$ the values for protons would be about 15% higher. The discussion in the preceding subsection of the

-dependence of viscous corrections to the nal spectra m akes it clear that reducing will also push p_r to larger values. Since we do not know we refrain from a quantitative estimate of this e ect.

In Fig. 21 we show the breakdown m om entum p_r for pions as a function of the peak initial energy density in the reball center (i.e. indirectly as a function of collision energy), for both central and peripheral Cu+ Cu collisions. (The initial time was held xed at $_0 = 0.6$ fm.) G enerically, p_r rises with collision energy. The anomaly at low values of $e(r=0)$ results, as far as we could as-

FIG. 21: (Color online) Characteristic transverse m om entum $p_{\rm r}$ where the viscous corrections to the nalpion spectrum become so large (> 50%) that the spectrum becomes unreliable, as a function of the initial energy density in the center of the reball. Stars are for central Cu+ Cu collisions, open circles for peripheral Cu+ Cu collisions at b= 7 fm. Note that $\mathrm{identical}\,\mathrm{e}\,\mathrm{(r=0)}$ values correspond to higher collision energies in peripheral than in central collisions. p_T values are higher for m ore m assive hadrons (see Fig. 8), and they also increase for sm aller relaxation times (see discussion of F ig. 20).

certain, from e ects connected with the phase transition in SM \pm 0 S Q. The rise of p_T with increasing e(r= 0) re-

ects the grow ing reball lifetime which leads to smaller viscous pressure com ponents at freeze-out. This lifetime e ect is obviously stronger for central than for peripheral collisions, leading to the faster rise of the stars than the open circles in Fig. 21. Taking further into account that a given beam energy leads to higher $e(r=0)$ values in central than in peripheral collisions such that, for a given experiment, the peripheral collision points are located farther to the left in the gure than the central collision points, we conclude that in central collisions the validity of viscous hydrodynam ics extends to signi cantly larger values of p_T than in peripheral collisions: V iscouse ects are m ore serious in peripheral than in central collisions.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSTONS

In this paper, we num erically studied the shear viscouse ects to the hydrodynam ic evolution, nalhadron spectra, and elliptic ow γ , using a $(2+1)$ -dimensional causal viscous hydrodynam ic code, V ISH 2+ 1, based on the 2nd order Israel-Stew art form alism. U sing a xed set of initial and nalconditions, we explored the e ects of shear viscosity for a \m in im ally" [19] viscous uid with $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{4}$ in central and peripheral Cu+ Cu collisions, com paring the evolution with two dierent equations of state, an idealm assless parton gas (EOS I) and an EOS with a sem irealistic param etrization of the quark-hadron phase

transition (SM \pm OS Q). Final hadron spectra and their elliptic ow were calculated from the hydrodynam ic output using the Cooper-Frye prescription.

We found that shear viscosity decelerates longitudinal expansion, but accelerates the build-up of transverse

ow. This slow s the cooling process initially, leading to a longer lifetim e for the QGP phase, but causes accelerated cooling at later stages by faster transverse expansion. V iscous pressure gradients during the m ixed phase increase the acceleration during this stage and slightly reduce its lifetime. They counteract large gradients of the radialvelocity pro le that appear in ideal uid dynamics as a result of the softness of the EOS in the m ixed phase, thereby de facto an oothing the assum ed rst-order phase transition into a rapid cross-over transition. In the end the larger radial ow developing in viscous hydrodynam ics leads to atter transverse m om entum spectra of the nally em itted particles, while their azimuthal anisotropy in non-central heavy-ion collisions is found to be strongly reduced.

A lthough the viscous hardening of the hadron p_T spectra can be largely absorbed by retuning the initial conditions, starting the transverse expansion later and with lower initial entropy density [31, 34], this only acerbates the viscous e ects on the elliptic ow y which in this case is further reduced by the decreased reball lifetime. The reduction of the elliptic ow y by shear viscouse ects is therefore a sensitive and robust diagnostic tool for shear viscosity in the uid $[62]$.

O ur results indicate that in sem iperipheralCu+Cucollisions even a \m inim al" am ount of shear viscosity [19] causes a reduction of v₂ by alm ost 50% relative to ideal

uid dynam ical simulations. In the present paper we explored the origin of this reduction in great detail. The e ects observed by us for Cu+ Cu collisions [7] are larger than those recently reported in Refs. [36, 38] for Au+ Au collisions. W hile some of these di erences can be attributed to an increased in portance of viscous e ects in smaller systems [58], the bulk of the di erence appears to arise from the fact that the di erent groups solve som ew hat di erent sets of viscous hydrodynam ic equations [43, 63]. (See also the recent interesting suggestion by Pratt [64] for a phenom enologicalm odi cation of the Isreal-Stew art equations for system swith large velocity gradients.) This raises serious questions: if theoretical am biguities in the derivation of the viscous hydrodynam ic equations re ect them selves in large variations of the predicted elliptic ow, any value of the QGP shear viscosity extracted from relativistic heavy-ion data will strongly depend on the speci chydrodynam icm odel used in the comparison. A reliable quantitative extraction of = s from experimentaldata will thus only be possible if these am biquities can be resolved.

Our studies show that shear viscous e ects are strongest during the early stage of the expansion phase when the longitudinal expansion rate is largest. At later tim es the viscous corrections becom e sm all, although not negligible. Sm all non-zero viscous pressure com ponents

along the hadronic decoupling surface have signi cant e ects on the  nalhadron spectra that grow w ith transverse m om entum and thus lim it the applicability of the viscoushydrodynam iccalculation to transversem om enta below 2-3G eV /c, depending on im pact param eter, collision energy and particle m ass. V iscous e ects are m ore im portant in peripheral than in central collisions, and larger for light than for heavy particles. T hey increase w ith the kinetic relaxation tim e for the viscous pressure tensor. Since the breakdow n of viscous hydrodynam ics is signalled by the theory itself, through the relative m agnitude of the viscous pressure, the applicability of the theory can be checked quantitatively case by case and during each stage of the expansion.

For the kinetic relaxation tim es considered in the present work, sensitivities to the initial value of the viscous pressure tensor were found to be sm alland practically negligible. Sensitivity to the value of was found for the hadron spectra, especially the elliptic ow, at large transverse m om enta. T his leads us to suggest to restrict any com parison between theory and experim ent w ith the goal of extracting the shear viscosity $=$ s to the region $p_T < 1$ G eV /c w here the sensitivity to is su ciently weak.

The dynam icalanalysis of shear viscouse ects on the m om entum anisotropy and elliptic
 ow in non-central collisions reveals an interesting feature: T he total m om entum anisotropy receives two types of contributions, the  rst resulting from the anisotropy of the collective

 ow pattern and the second arising from a localm om entum anisotropy of the phase-space distribution function in the local uid rest fram e, re ecting viscous corrections to its local therm al equilibrium form. During the early expansion stage the latter e ect (i.e. the fact that large viscouspressure e ectsgenerate m om entum anisotropies in the local uid rest fram e) dom inate the viscouse ects on elliptic
 ow . A t later tim es, these localm om entum anisotropies get transferred to the collective ow pro \mathbb{E}_r m anifesting them selves as a viscous reduction of the collective
 ow anisotropy. T he tim e scale for transferring the viscous correction to v_2 from the local rest fram e m om entum distribution to the collective ow pattern appears to be of the sam e order as that for the evolution of the totalm om entum anisotropy itself.

Several additional steps are necessary before the work presented here can be used as a basis for a quantitative interpretation of relativistic heavy-ion data. First, the abovem entioned am biguity of the detailed form of the kinetic evolution equations for the viscous pressure m ust be resolved. Second, the equation of state m ust be

ne-tuned to lattice QCD data and other available inform ation, to m ake it as realistic as presently possible. The hydrodynam ic scaling of the nal elliptic ow y with the initial source eccentricity $_{x}$ [\[65\]](#page-27-4) and its possible violation by viscouse ects need to be explored $[43]$, in order to assess the sensitivity of the scaled elliptic ow $y = x$ to details of the m odel used for initializing the hydrody-nam ic evolution [\[14](#page-26-1)]. The tem perature dependence of the

speci c shear viscosity $=$ s, especially across the quark-hadron phase transition [\[60,](#page-26-47) [61\]](#page-27-0), m ust be taken into account, and bulk viscous e ects, again particularly near T_c , m ust be included. To properly account for the highly viscous nature of the hadron resonance gas during the last collision stage it m ay be necessary to m atch the viscoushydrodynam ic form alism to a m icroscopic hadronic cascade to describe the last part of the expansion until hadronic decoupling [\[60\]](#page-26-47). W e expect to report soon on progress along som e of these fronts.

N ote added: Just before subm itting this work for publication we becam e aware of R ef. [\[68](#page-27-5)] w here the form of the kinetic evolution equations for the viscous pressure is revisited and it is argued that Eqs. $(4,5)$ m ust be am ended by additionalterm sw hich reduce the strong viscous suppression of the elliptic ow observed by us [\[63\]](#page-27-2). While details of the num erical results will obviously change if these term s are included (cf. Refs. [\[36](#page-26-23), [38\]](#page-26-25)), our discussion of the driving forces behind the nally observed viscous corrections to ideal uid results and of the evolution of these corrections w ith time is generic, and the insights gained in the present study are expected to hold, at least qualitatively, also for future im proved versions of V ISH 2+ 1 that properly take into account the new ndings reported in $[68]$.

A cknow ledgm ents

T he viscous hydrodynam ic code V ISH 2+ 1 em ploys several subroutines from $A ZHYD RO$, the $(2+1)-d$ ideal hydrodynam ic code developed by P.K olb [\[41](#page-26-28), [44\]](#page-26-37), especially the
 ux-corrected SH A STA transportalgorithm $[66]$ for evolving the hydrodynam ic equations. We thank R . Baier, E. Froderm ann, P. K olb, S. Pratt, P. R om atschke,D .Teaney,and U .W iedem ann forfruitfuldiscussions. This work was supported by the $U.S.D$ epartm ent of Energy under contract D E + G 02-01ER 41190.

A P P E N D IX A : E X P R E S S IO N S F O R $\sim^{\text{m n}}$ A N D $\sim^{\text{m n}}$

The expressions for \sim^{m} n and \sim^{m} n Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-2) are

$$
\sim^{m n} = \begin{matrix} 0 & x & y & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & x & xx & xy & 0 & C \\ 0 & y & xy & yy & 0 & A & ; \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z & \end{matrix}
$$
 (A1)

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n0 & & \theta & u^x & \theta & u^y & \theta & v^y & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & & 0 \\
0 & & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
0 & & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
0 & & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & D & (u & u^y) & D & (u & u^y) & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & D & (u & u^y) & D & (u^x)^2 & D & (u^xu^y) & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & D & (u & u^y) & D & (u^xu^y) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & & & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & & & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & & & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & u^x & (u^x)^2 + 1 & u^xu^y & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & u^x & (u^x)^2 + 1 & u^xu^y & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & u^y & u^xu^
$$

Here D = u θ + u^x θ_x + u^y θ_y and θ u = θ u + θ_x u^x + $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{y}} + \frac{\mathfrak{u}}{\mathfrak{v}}$.

APPENDIX B: VELOCITY FINDING

A s shown in [28], since we evolve all three components μ , $\frac{x}{\mu}$ and $\frac{y}{\mu}$ (one of which is redundant due to the constraint $m u_m = 0$, the ow velocity and energy density can be found from the energy-m om entum tensor components with the same e cient one-dimensional zerosearch algorithm employed in ideal hydrodynamics [67]. This is in portant since this step has to be performed after each time step at all spatial grid points in order to evaluate the $EOSp(e)$.

U sing the output from the num erical transport algorithm, one de nes the two-dim ensional vector $M =$ $(M_x;M_v)$ $(T^x$ $X;T^y$ $Y)$. This is the ideal uid part of the transverse m om entum density vector; as such it is parallel to the tranverse ow velocity $v_i = (v_x, v_y)$. Introducing further M_0 T , one can w rite the energy density as

$$
e = M_0 \t v_2 \t M = M_0 \t v_2 M ; \t (B1)
$$

where $v_2 = \frac{q}{v_x^2 + v_y^2}$ is the transverse ow speed and $M_x^2 + M_y^2$. One sees that solving for e requires $\mathbb M$ only the magnitude of v_2 which is obtained by solving the in plicit relation [28,67]

$$
v_? = \frac{M}{M_0 + p(e=M_0 \quad v_? M)}:
$$
 (B2)

by a one-dim ensional zero-search. The ow velocity com ponents are then reconstructed using

$$
v_x = v_2 \frac{M_x}{M}; \qquad v_y = v_2 \frac{M_y}{M}:
$$
 (B3)

N ote that this requires direct num erical propagation of all three components $(,$ x and $^y)$ since the ow velocity is not known until after the velocity nding step has been completed. Hence the transversality constraint $m_{\rm u_m} = 0$ cannot be used to determine, say, from x and y . However, it can be used after the fact to test the num erical accuracy of the transport code.

APPENDIX C: "" IN TRANSVERSE POLAR COORD IN ATES

A lthough V ISH 2+ 1 uses C artesian (x,y) coordinates in the transverse plane, polar $(r;)$ coordinates may be convenient to understand some of the results in the lim it of zero im pact parameter where azimuthal symmetry is restored. In (;r; ;) coordinates the ow velocity takes the form $u^m = ?(1; v_r; v_r; 0)$, with $P_2 = 1 = \frac{p}{1 + \sqrt{2}} = 1 = \frac{q}{1 + \sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{q}{r^2}$. The polar coordinate com ponents of the shear pressure tensor com ponents m n are obtained from those in $(x, y; y)$ coordinates by the transform ations

$$
r = x \cos + y \sin ;
$$

\n
$$
r = x \sin + y \cos ;
$$

\n
$$
r = x \cos^{2} + 2^{xy} \sin \cos + y^{yy} \sin^{2} ;
$$

\n
$$
r^{2} = x \sin^{2} + 2^{xy} \sin \cos + y^{yy} \cos^{2} ;
$$

\n
$$
r^{r} = (y^{y} + xy^{xy}) \sin \cos + y^{yy} (\cos^{2} \sin^{2} ;)
$$

with $\cos = x=r$ and $\sin = y=r$. In term s of these the independent components and of Eqs. (19,20) are given as

$$
= \text{ tr } + \text{ r}^2 ;
$$

= cos(2) tr r^2 2 sin(2) tr r^2 (C2)

from which we easily get

$$
2^{xx} = {rr (1 + \cos(2) + r^2) (1 - \cos(2))};
$$

$$
2^{yy} = {rr (1 - \cos(2) + r^2) (1 + \cos(2))}
$$
 (2.3)

Note that azimuthal symmetry at b= 0 implies $r = 0$ and a vanishing azimuthal average for : h i = 0 or $h^{xx}i = h^{yy}i$.

APPENDIX D: TESTS OF THE VISCOUS HYDRO CODE VISH 2+1

1. Testing the ideal hydro part of V ISH 2+1

W hen one sets $m n = 0$ initially and takes the lim it $= 0$, V ISH 2+ 1 simulates the evolution of an ideal uid, and its results should agree with those of the well-tested and publicly available (2+1)-dim ensionalideal uid code AZHYDRO [41]. Since VISH 2+1 was written independently, using only the ux-corrected SHASTA transport algorithm from the AZHYDRO package [41, 66] in its evolution part, this is a useful test of the code. The left panel in Fig. 22 shows that, for identical initial and

FIG. 22: (Color online) Left: Dierential elliptic ow $v_2(p_T)$ for from b= 4 fm Cu+ Cu collisions and b= 7 fm Au+ Au collisions, using EOS Q. Results from V ISH 2+ 1 for = 0 and $m = 0$ (dashed lines) are compared with the ideal uid code A ZH Y D R O (solid lines). R ight: v_2 (p_T) for from C u+ C u collisions at im pact param eters $b=4$ and 7 fm , com paring V ISH 2+ 1 evolution w ith EOS Q (dashed) and SM \pm OS Q (solid) in the ideal uid lim it = 0, $m n = 0$.

 nal conditions as described in Sec[.II,](#page-2-0) the two codes indeed produce alm ost identical results. The sm all difference in the $A u + A u$ system at $b = 7$ fm is likely due to the slightly better accuracy of $A ZHYD RO$ which, in contrast to V ISH $2+1$, invokes an additional tim esplitting step in its evolution algorithm .

W hen com paring our V ISH 2+ 1 results with A ZH Y -DRO we initially found som ew hat larger discrepancies w hich, how ever, could be traced back to di erent versions of the EOS used in the codes: EOS Q in AZHYDRO, the sm oothed version SM \pm OS Q in V ISH 2+ 1. In the left panel of Fig. 22 this di erence has been rem oved, by running also V ISH $2+1$ with $EOSQ$. In the right panelwe com pare V ISH 2+ 1 results for EO S Q and for SM \pm O S Q , show ing that even the tiny rounding e ects resulting from the sm oothing procedure used in SM \pm 0 S Q (w hich renders the EO S slightly sti er in the m ixed phase) lead to di erences in the elliptic ow for peripheral collisions of sm allnucleiw hich exceed thenum ericalerrorofthecode.

2. C om parison w ith analytical results for $(0+1)-d$ boost-invariant viscous hydro

For boost-invariant longitudinal expansion w ithout transverse ow, the relativistic N avier-Stokes equations read [\[17\]](#page-26-4)

$$
\frac{\theta e}{\theta} + \frac{e + p + \alpha^2}{\theta} = 0; \quad (D1)
$$

$$
^{2} = \frac{4}{3} -: \qquad (D 2)
$$

For an idealgas EOS $p = \frac{1}{3}e$ T⁴ this leads to the follow –

FIG. 23: (Color online) C om parison between the analyticaltem perature evolution for (0+ 1)-d boost-invariant N avier-Stokes viscous hydrodynam ics (solid line) and num erical results from V ISH 2+ 1 w ith hom ogeneous transverse initialenergy density pro les (dashed line).

ing analytic solution for the tem perature evolution [\[17](#page-26-4)]:

$$
\frac{T(1)}{T_0} = \frac{0}{T_0} \left[1 + \frac{2}{3s_0T_0} \right] 1 + \frac{0}{s_0T_0} \left[1 + \frac{0}{s_0T_0} \right] \tag{D.3}
$$

To test our code against this analytical result we initialize V ISH 2+ 1 w ith hom ogeneous tranverse density distributions (not transverse pressure gradients and
 ow) and use the N avier-Stokes identication $m n = 2$ m n in the hydrodynam ic part of the evolution algorithm, sidestepping the part of the code that evolves $m \, n$ kinetically. It turns out that in this case the relativistic N avier-Stokes evolution is num erically stable. Fig. 23 com pares

the num erically computed temperature evolution from V ISH 2+ 1 w ith the analytic form ula $(D 3)$, for = $s = 0.08$ and $T_0 = 360$ M eV at $_0 = 0.6$ fm /c. They agree perfectly.

3. Reduction of V ISH 2+ 1 to relativistic N avier-Stokes theory for sm all and

H aving tested the hydrodynam ic part of the evolution algorithm in Appendix D 1, we would like to demonstrate also the accuracy of the kinetic evolution algorithm that evolves the viscous pressure tensor com ponents. A straightforw ard approach would be to take V ISH 2+1, set the relaxation time as close to zero as possible, and compare the result with a similar calculation as in Appendix D 1 where we sidestep the kinetic evolution algorithm and instead insert into the hydrodynam ic evolution code directly the N avier-Stokes identity $m n = 2$ m n.

FIG. 24: (Color online) D i erential elliptic ow $v_2(p_T)$ for gluons from b= 7 fm Cu+ Cu collisions, calculated with ideal hydrodynam ics (blue dashed line), relativistic N avier-Stokes (NS) hydrodynam ics (light blue lines), and Israel-Stewart (IS) viscous hydrodynam ics with $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{2 \text{ GeV}}$ and $= 0.03 \text{ fm /c}$ (red lines), using EOS I. The lines for NS and IS viscous hydrodynam ics are alm ost indistinguishable. Solid lines show the full results from viscous hydrodynamics, dotted lines neglect viscous corrections to the spectra and take only the ow anisotropy e ect into account.

Unfortunately, this naive procedure exposes us to the well-known instability and acausality problem s of the relativistic N avier-Stokes equations. The suggested procedure only works if a set of initial conditions and transport coe cients can be found where these instabilities don't kick in before the freeze-out surface has been reached.

We found that su ciently stable evolution of the relativistic N avier-Stokes algorithm (i.e. of V ISH 2+ 1 with the identication $m n = 2$ $m n$) can be achieved for standard initial density pro les in Cu+Cu collisions and the simple ideal gas equation of state EOS I by choosing a very sm all and tem perature dependent speci c shear viscosity $\frac{1}{s}$ = 0.01 $\frac{1}{200 \text{ M eV}} = \frac{1}{2.6 \text{ eV}}$. For the Israel-Stew art

evolution we use a relaxation time which is correspondingly short: $= \frac{3}{5T} = 0.03$ fm /c.

Figure 24 shows the dierential elliptic ow $y(p_T)$ for q luons in $b = 7$ fm $Cu + Cu$ collisions evolved with these param eters. The dashed line gives the ideal uid result. The solid and dotted lines show the total elliptic ow and the anisotropic ow contribution to $\Psi(p_T)$, respectively, sim ilar to the left panel Fig. 12. There are two solid and dotted lines with di erent colors, corresponding to Israel-Stew art and N avier-Stokes evolution; they are indistinguishable, but clearly di erent from the ideal uid result. We conclude that, for small shear viscosity =s and in the lim it : 0, the second-order Israel-Stew art algorithm reproduces the Navier-Stokes lim it and that, therefore, V ISH 2+1 evolves the kinetic equations for $m n$ accurately.

APPENDIX E: HYDRODYNAM ICSVS. BLAST WAVE MODEL

A s discussed in Sec. IIIB, the viscous corrections to the nalpion spectra from the hydrodynamic model have a di erent sign (at least in the region $p > 1$ GeV) than those originally obtained by Teaney [16]. In this Appendix we try to explore the origins of this discrepancy. Wewillsee that the sign and magnitude of viscous corrections to the (azimuthally averaged) particle spectra are fragile and depend on details of the dynam ical evolution and hydrodynam ic properties on the freeze-out surface. Fortunately, they same caveat does not seem to apply to the viscous corrections to elliptic ow where hydrodynam ic and blast wave m odel calculations give qualitatively sin ilar answers.

Follow ing Teaney's procedure, we calculate $m \cdot n$ in the Navier-Stokes $\lim_{m \to \infty}$ $\frac{m n}{n} = 2$ $\lim_{m \to \infty}$ We do this both in the blast wave m odel and using the results for $m \, n$ from VISH 2+1. For the blast wave model we assume like Teaney freeze-out at constant with a box-like density pro le $e(r) = \theta_{\text{ec}}$ (R₀ r), where $\theta_{\text{ec}} = 0.085$ GeV/fm³ is the same freeze-out energy density as in the hydrodynam ic model for EOS I, and $R_0 = 6$ fm. The velocity pro le in the blast wave model is taken to be linear, $u_r(r) = a_0 \frac{r}{R_0}$ (R₀ r), with $a_0 = 0.5$; freeze-out is assumed to occur at $_{\text{dec}} = 4.1 \text{ fm } / \text{c}$. R₀, a₀ and $_{\text{dec}}$ are som ewhat sm aller than in Ref. [16] since we study Cu+Cu instead of Au+Au collisions. We concentrate here on a discussion of ^{rr} for illustration; the expression for Γ is found in Ref. [28], Eq. (A 11c). While Γ from VISH 2+1 di ers from 2^{rr} due to the nite relaxation (see Sec. V C), we have checked that the signs time of these two quantities are the same on the freeze-out surface so that our discussion provides at least a qualitatively correct analysis of the viscous spectra corrections in the two models.

In Fig. 25 we compare the freeze-out pro les for the radial ow velocity and 2 ^{rr} from the blast wave model. In spite of qualitative similarity of the velocity pro les,

FIG .25: (C olor on line) Top row: Velocity pro les from the blast wavem odel (left) and from the hydrodynam icm odelw ith EOS I at xed tim es (m iddle) and along the decoupling surface (right). Bottom row: The corresponding pro les for the transverse shear viscous pressure ^{rr} in the N avier-Stokes lim it, $r = 2 r h u^{-1}$. C alculations are for central Cu+ Cu collisions, and the curves in the m iddle panels correspond to the times = $1, 2, 4$, and 6 fm /c. See text for discussion.

the freeze-out pro les of 2 ^{rr} are entirely di erent and even have the opposite sign in the region w here m ost of the hydrodynam ic particle production occurs (left and right colum ns in Fig. [25\)](#page-25-6). T he m iddle colum n show s that at xed times, the hydrodynam ic pro le for 2^{rr} show s som e sim ilarity w ith the blast wave model in that 2 ^{rr} is positive throughout m ost of the interior of the  reball. W hat m atters for the calculation of the spec-

tra via Eq. (12) , how ever, are the values of 2^{rr} on the freeze-out surface where they are negative, m ostly due to radialvelocity derivatives. This explains the opposite sign of the viscous correction to the spectra in the hydrodynam ic m odel and show s that, as far as an estim ate of these viscous corrections goes, the blast wave m odel has serious lim itations.

- [1] P. F. K olb and U. H einz, in Quark-G luon Plasm a 3, edited by R.C. Hwa and X.N. W ang (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 2004), p. 634 [\[arX iv:nucl-th/0305084\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0305084).
- [2] U .H einz and P.F.K olb,N ucl.Phys.A 702,269 (2002).
- [3] M . G yulassy, in Structure and dynam ics of elem entary matter, edited by W. G reiner et al., NATO science series II: M athem atics, physics and chem istry, Vol. 166 (K luwer A cadem ic, D ordrecht, 2004), p. 159-182 [\[arX iv:nucl-th/0403032\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0403032).
- [4] M .G yulassy and L.M cLerran,N ucl.Phys.A 750 (2005) 30.
- [5] E.V .Shuryak,N ucl.Phys.A 750 (2005) 64.
- [6] In an attem pt to soften this conclusion it has been noted that the $Q G P$ is special in that for any gas of m assless particles the trace of the energy m om entum tensor always vanishes (at least at the classical level) [\[7\]](#page-25-7). Localm om entum isotropy is then indeed su cient to ensure a unique relationship e= 3p between energy density and pressure (EO S), irrespective of the particular form of the local (isotropic)m om entum distribution.Ithasbeen suggested that this simple factm ay extend the validity of ideal uid

dynam icaldescriptions to earlier tim es [\[7](#page-25-7)], after localm om entum isotropy has already been reached but before com plete therm alization, characterized by local entropy m axim ization, has been achieved $[8, 9, 10, 11, 12]$. This argum ent ignores, how ever, the observation from Lattice Q CD that quantum e ects signi cantly violate the identity e= 3p even in therm alequilibrium for tem peratures up to about $2T_c$ (i.e. in the tem perature regim e probed by heavy-ion collisions at R H $\mathbb E$), and the novel fact, to be exposed later in this paper, that viscouse ects caused by rapid longitudinalexpansion atearly tim esactagainst rapid local isotropization of the m om entum distribution. Idealhydrodynam ics becom es valid only after these viscouse ects have died away, and this happens su ciently quickly only in strongly coupled plasm as.

- [7] P.H uovinen, arX iv:0710.4379 [nucl-th].
- [8] J.B erges,S.B orsanyiand C .W etterich,Phys.R ev.Lett. 93,142002 (2004); and N ucl. Phys. B 727, 244 (2005).
- [9] S. M rowczynski, Eur. Phys. J. A 31, 875 (2007), and references therein.
- [10] P. A mold, J. Lenaghan, G. D. M oore and L. G. Yae,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 072302 (2005); P. A mold, G .D .M oore and L.G .Yae,Phys.R ev.D 72,054003 (2005) ; P. A mold and G.D. Moore, Phys. Rev. D 73, 025006 and 015013 (2006).

- [11] M . Strickland, J. Phys. G : Nucl. Part. Phys. 34, S429 (2007), and references therein.
- [12] Y . V . K ovchegov and A . Taliotis, Phys. R ev. C 76, 014905 (2007).
- [13] U .H einz,J.Phys.G :N ucl.Part.Phys.31,S717 (2005).
- [14] T.H irano, U.H einz, D.K harzeev, R.Lacey and Y.N ara, Phys.Lett.B 636,299 (2006).
- [15] D . M olnar and M . G yulassy, N ucl. Phys. A 697, 495 (2002) [Erratum -ibid.A 703,893 (2002)].
- [16] D .Teaney,Phys.R ev.C 68,034913 (2003).
- [17] P. D anielew icz and M. G yulassy, Phys. Rev. D 31, 53 (1985).
- [18] G. Policastro, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, Phys. Rev. Lett.87,081601 (2001);JH EP 0209,043 (2002).
- [19] P.K ovtun, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, Phys. Rev. Lett.94,111601 (2005).
- [20] R .A .Janik,Phys.R ev.Lett.98,022302 (2007).
- [21] P. A mold, G. D. M oore and Y. G. Yae, JH EP 0011, 001 (2000);JH EP 0305,051 (2003).
- [22] H .B .M eyer[,arX iv:0704.1801v](http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.1801)1 [hep-lat].
- [23] M.A sakawa, S.A.B ass and B.M uller, Phys.R ev.Lett. 96, 252301 (2006); Prog. Theor. Phys. 116, 725 (2007).
- [24] P.R om atschke, arX iv:0710.0016 [nucl-th].
- [25] C .Eckart,Phys.R ev.58,919 (1940).
- [26] L.D .Landau and E.M .Lifshitz,Fluid M echanics (Pergam on, 0 xford, 1963), Sec. 127.
- [27] W .Israel,A nn.Phys.(N .Y .)100,310 (1976);W .Israel and J.M. Stewart, ibid. 118, 341 (1979).
- [28] U .H einz,H .Song and A .K .C haudhuri,Phys.R ev.C 73,034904 (2006).
- [29] A.M uronga, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88,062302 (2002) [Erratum : ibid.89,159901 (2002)];Phys.R ev.C 69,034903 (2004);ibid.76,014909 and 014910 (2007).
- [30] D .A .Teaney,J.Phys.G 30,S1247 (2004).
- [31] R.B aier, P.R om atschke and U.A.W iedem ann, Phys. R ev.C 73,064903 (2006).
- $[32]$ A.M uronga and D.H.R ischke, arX iv:nucl-th/0407114.
- [33] A.K.Chaudhuriand U.Heinz, J.Phys.Conf.Ser.50, 251 (2006);
- [34] R. B aier and P. R om atschke, Eur. Phys. J. C 51, 677 (2007);P.R om atschke,ibid.52,203 (2007).
- [35] A. K. Chaudhuri, [arX iv:0704.0134](http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.0134) [nucl-th]; and [arX iv:0708.1252](http://arxiv.org/abs/0708.1252) [nucl-th].
- [36] P.R om atschke and U.R om atschke, Phys.R ev.Lett.99, 172301 (2007).
- [37] H. Song and U. Heinz, Phys. Lett. B (2007), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2007.11.019 [\[arX iv:0709.0742](http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.0742) [nucl-th]].
- [38] K.D usling and D.Teaney, arX iv:0710.5932 [nucl-th].
- [39] A .K .C haudhuri,Phys.R ev.C 74,044904 (2006).
- [40] The acronym stands for \V iscous Israel-Stewart H ydrodynam ics in 2+ 1 space-tim e dim ensions".
- [41] A ZH Y D RO can be down loaded from URL [http://nt3.phys.colum bia.edu/people/m olnard/O SC A R /.](http://nt3.phys.columbia.edu/people/molnard/OSCAR/)
- [42] P. D anielew icz and M. G yulassy, Phys. Rev. D 31, 53 (1985).
- [43] H. Song and U. Heinz, in preparation.
- [44] P.F.K olb,J.Sollfrank and U .H einz,Phys.Lett.B 459, 667 (1999);and Phys.R ev.C 62,054909 (2000).
- $[45]$ T he last term in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-3) does not contribute to entropy

production and thus was m issed in the derivation given in R ef. [\[28\]](#page-26-15) w hich was based on an expansion of the entropy production rate to second order in the dissipative

ow s. Its im portance for guaranteeing the preservation of tracelessness and transversality of under kinetic evolution was pointed out in R ef. [\[31](#page-26-18)]. It happens to vanish identically for the case of azim uthally sym m etric (1+ 1)-dim ensionaltransverse expansion ifone usesas independent dynam ical elds those selected in Ref. [\[28](#page-26-15)] (nam ely \qquad and \qquad); hence these (1+1)-d equations preserve tracelessness and transversality autom atically. The same is not true for the set of $(1+1)-d$ evolution equations studied in [\[33](#page-26-20)] w hich instead of evolves

 $^{\mathrm{rr}}$, and for azim uthally asymmetric transverse expansion in 2+ 1 dim ensions as discussed in the present paper the last term in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-3) m ust also be kept explic-itly. (N ote that this term was not included in R ef. [\[35\]](#page-26-22), w ith unknow n consequences.) W e found that dropping the last term in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-3) leads to problem s w ith the velocity nding algorithm (see A ppendix [B \)](#page-22-2), causing the code to crash after som e tim e: A s the shear pressure tensor evolves away from transversality to u , the identity e= T $v_x T^{-x} v_y T^{-y}$ (see Eq. [\(B 1\)](#page-22-5)) gets broken, leading eventually to unphysicalsolutions fore and (through Eqs. [\(B 2](#page-22-6), B 3)) for the ow velocity.

- [46] H.B.M eyer, arX iv: 0704.1801 [hep-lat];
- [47] H . B . M eyer, arX iv: 0710.3717 [hep-lat].
- [48] K .Paech and S.Pratt,Phys.R ev.C 74,014901 (2006).
- [49] D .K harzeev and K .Tuchin[,arX iv:0705.4280](http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.4280) [hep-ph].
- [50] T his subtlety was overlooked in Eq. (5.6) of R ef. [\[28\]](#page-26-15); correspondingly, the left hand sides of Eqs. $(5.16a)$ and (5.21a) in [\[28](#page-26-15)] were w ritten down incorrectly. They should be corrected to read $\frac{1}{2}$ ($\theta + v_x \theta_x + v_y \theta_y$)(θ) = :::.
- [51] A.D um itru, E.M olnar and Y.N ara, Phys.R ev.C 76, 024910 (2007).
- [52] F.C ooper and G .Frye,Phys.R ev.D 10,186 (1974).
- [53] U . H einz, in 2003 C ER N -C LA F School of H igh-Energy Physics, San M iguel Regla, M exico 1-14 June 2003, edited by N . Ellis, C ER N Yellow R eport C ER N -2006- 001,p.165-238 [\[arX iv:hep-ph/0407360\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0407360).
- [54] J.D .B jorken,Phys.R ev.D 27,140 (1983).
- [55] J.Y .O llitrault,Phys.R ev.D 46,229 (1992).
- [56] T.H irano, U.H einz, unpublished notes (2004).
- [57] The right panel of Fig. 12 seem s to indicate that $v_2(p_T)$ can even becom e negative at su ciently large p_T { an observation rst m ade in R ef. [\[16](#page-26-3)]. H owever, this only happens in the region w here the viscous correction f to the distribution function becom es com parable to or larger than the equilibrium contribution so that this feature cannot be trusted.
- [58] R ecently com pleted rstsim ulationsofA u+ A u collisions indicate that the viscous suppression e ects or not quite as big in this larger collision system than for the sm aller Cu+ Cu reball studied here [\[43\]](#page-26-30).
- [59] N ote that Fig. 2 in [\[37\]](#page-26-24) show s averages over the entire 10fm 10fm transverse grid used in V ISH 2+ 1 w hile the averages in Figs[. 13a](#page-15-0), [17a](#page-18-1), and [19](#page-19-0) have been restricted to the therm alized region inside the freeze-out surface . This elim inates a dependence of the average on the total volum e covered by the num erical grid and m ore accurately re
ects the relevant physics since hydrodynam ics applies only inside the decoupling surface.
- [60] T . H irano and M . G yulassy, N ucl. Phys. A 769, 71 (2006).
- [61] L.P.Csemai, J.I.Kapusta and L.D.McLerran, Phys. Rev.Lett. 97, 152303 (2006).
- [62] U.Heinz and S.M.H.Wong, Phys.Rev.C 66, 014907 (2002) .
- [63] P.R om atschke, private com m unication.
- [64] S.Pratt, arX iv: 0711.3911 [nucl-th].
- [65] H. Heiselberg and A.M. Levy, Phys. Rev. C 59, 2716 (1999); S. A. Voloshin and A. M. Poskanzer, Phys. Lett. B 474, 27 (2000); C. Alt et al. NA49 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C 68, 034903 (2003); R.S. Bhalerao, J. P. Blaizot, N. Borghini and J. Y. O llitrault, Phys. Lett. B 627, 49 (2005); R.S. Bhalerao and J.Y.Olli-

trault, Phys. Lett. B 641, 260 (2006); G. Torrieri, Phys. Rev. C 76, 024903 (2007); H.J. Drescher, A.Dum itru, C. G om beaud and J. Y. O llitrault, Phys. Rev. C 76, 024905 (2007).

- [66] J. P. Boris and D. L. Book, J. Com put. Phys. 11, 38 (1973) .
- [67] D.R ischke, S.Bemard, and J.A.M aruhn, Nucl. Phys. A 595, 346 (1995).
- [68] R.Baier, P.Romatschke, D.T.Son, A.O.Starinets and M.A.Stephanov, arX iv:0712.2451 [hep-th].