Secondary Cosm ic Ray particles due to GCR interactions in the Earth's atm osphere

G.Battistoni¹, F.Cerutti², A.Fasso³, A.Ferrari², M.V.Garzelli¹, ^x, M.Lantz⁴, S.Muraro¹, L.S.Pinsky⁵, J.Ranft⁶, S.Roesler², P.R.Sala¹

¹University of Milano, Department of Physics, and INFN, Milano, Italy ²CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

³SLAC, Stanford, CA, US

⁴C halm ers University, D epartm ent of Fundam ental Physics, G oteborg, Sweden ⁵University of Houston, D epartm ent of Physics, Houston, TX, US ⁶Siegen University, Fachbereich 7 - Physik, Siegen, G erm any

A bstract

Prim ary GCR interact with the Earth's atm osphere originating atm ospheric showers, thus giving rise to uxes of secondary particles in the atm osphere. Electrom agnetic and hadronic interactions interplay in the production of these particles, whose detection is performed by means of complementary techniques in di erent energy ranges and at di erent depths in the atm osphere, down to the Earth's surface.

Monte Carlo codes are essential calculation tools which can describe the complexity of the physics of these phenomena, thus allowing the analysis of experimental data. However, these codes are a ected by important uncertainties, concerning, in particular, hadronic physics at high energy. In this paper we shall report some results concerning inclusive particle uxes and atmospheric shower properties as obtained using the FLUKA transport and interaction code. Some emphasis will also be given to the validation of the physics models of FLUKA involved in these calculations.

Keywords: GCR EAS, inclusive uxes of secondary particles, Monte Carlo models and codes PACS:98.70.Sa, 13.85-t, 13.75-t

1 Introduction: EAS developm ent and detection

GCR interactions in the Earth's atm osphere, induced by particles with energies high enough ($E > 10^{14} - 10^{15}$ eV), m ay originate Extended A ir Showers (EAS). Both the EM and the component of EAS can be detected and used to infer properties concerning GCR primary spectrum energy and composition. At present, a special emphasis is put in the investigation of Very High and Ultra High Energy Cosm ic Rays (VHECR and UHECR), through dedicated experiments devoted to the detection of EAS originated by these particles, due to the still open questions concerning the shape of the spectrum (2nd knee, ankle), the composition (, p, heavy ions), and the origin of cosm ic rays (galactic / extragalactic), their transport, acceleration and the GZK cut-o .

^x Corresponding author, e-m ail: Maria.Garzelli@mi.infn.it { Invited talk presented at CSSP07, Carpathian Sum m er SchoolofPhysics, Exotic Nuclei& Nuclear/Particle A strophysics, Sinaia, R om ania, August 20 - 31 2007

Inform ation on G C R prim ary ux and com position are inferred from the experim ental observables by using M onte C arlo (M C) simulations. In particular, while the upressence technique, used by m any experiments, allow s with some uncertainties to estimate the EM energy deposited in the atm osphere, the total primary energies can be obtained only after estimating the m issing energy carried by other shower components (, nucleons...), and this requires indeed M C simulations. Even the search for particular estimators, such as the S variable, allows to obtain primary energy information in a way nearly independent of composition [1], but needs M C for calibrations.

In particular, in the AUGER experiment [2] information on primary energies are inferred by hybrid measurements and the uorescence technique as far as the EM sector is concerned (with an uncertainty amounting to 20%), whereas the number of s at the Earth's surface, N , is still related to total primary energies by means of MC simulations. On the other hand, in the KASCADE and KASCADE-G rande experiments [3] information on primary energies are inferred from measures of and ewith the aid of MC shower simulations. Both in AUGER and in KASCADE / KASCADE-G rande, as well as in many other EAS experiments, MCs are heavily needed to analyse data in terms of GCR mass composition. At present there are how ever still in portant uncertainties, since di erent MC models produce important di erences on the interpretation of the same data. The critical ingredients in these MC codes are the physical models for hadronic and nuclear interaction, and their implementation.

In this work we sum marize some of the results which can be obtained by MC simulation of EAS, giving examples taken from the use of the FLUKA code.

2 M C simulation of EAS

EAS simulations can be performed by means of MC codes, which include hadronic and EM modules. This method leads, in a straightforward way, to account for uctuations in the evolution of di erent showers initiated by primaries of the same type and energy.

The GCR prim ary spectrum covers a wide energy range, extending on m any orders of m agnitude. This fact im plies that it is very di cult to have in a MC code a single hadronic m odelable to describe prim ary and secondary hadron interactions in the Earth's atm osphere. Therefore in m any cases di erent m odels, di ering according to the energy range under study, have to be m erged together.

At present the most di used MC package for GCR induced shower simulation is CORSIKA [4]. As far as its hadronic sector is concerned, it distinguishes between high energy (E > 100 - 200 GeV) and low energy (E < 100 - 200 GeV) hadronic models. In the present version, CORSIKA o ers the choice, at high energy, am ong models like QGSJET,QGSJET-II,SIBYLL,DPMJET-2.55,NEXUS,EPOS,whereas, at low energy, GHEISHA,UrQMD,FLUKA are available. O ther packages for GCR EAS simulation also exist. We just mention, am ong the others, the AIRES [5] and the Cosm os [6] codes.

In this paper, we focus instead on the performances of the FLUKA multipurpose code [7]. FLUKA is a fully integrated, high precision, transport and interaction code, without the need of invoking other external packages. Besides its use in the CORSIKA package at energies < 100 - 200 GeV, FLUKA has recently been used also as a standalone code, com plemented by the DPM JET-III code [8], for the simulation of EAS at energies

< 10¹⁶ eV.FLUKA simulations can, in principle, lead to results di erent from the ones m ade by FLUKA + CORSIKA, due to the implementation of di erent EM and hadronic m odels.FLUKA contains a highly accurate EM interaction m odel [9,10], not included in CORSIKA.As far as the hadronic sector is concerned, the following m odels are available in FLUKA:

- low energy (E < 20 TeV) h + A reactions can be simulated by means of the PEANUT (PreEquilibrium Approach to NU clear Therm alization) module, originally worked out for energies < tens of G eV [11], and recently improved and extended to higher energies [12]. This extension has superseded a previously existing D PM + INC model, working at E > 5 G eV.

-high energy (E >~20 TeV) h + A reactions can be described by m eans of the interface to the DPM JET -2.5 or III codes.

-A + A reactions can be simulated by means of an interface to the RQMD2.4 code, written in Frankfurt and modi ed for insertion in FLUKA [13], for E < 5 G eV /A, and by means of the interface to the DPM JET-2.5 or III codes for E > 5 G eV /A.A lternatively, the superposition model is also available to roughly describe heavy-ion interactions.

Constraints on theoretical models for particle interactions can (or will) be obtained by data collected at collider experiments, but, unfortunately, di ractive cross-sections are di cult to be measured at colliders. Furthermore, present and near future accelerators do not and will not allow to test the physics of particles at the highest GCR energies ($E > 1.5 \ 10^{18} \text{ eV}$, GCR spectrum tail). Thus, MC models used in the analysis of EAS data are still a ected by in portant uncertainties, concerning in particular the hadronic sector. O ther constraints/checks on low energy models, besides the ones from accelerator data, can come from astrophysical measurements of inclusive particle uxes at di erent atm ospheric depths, as performed by balloon-borne experiments and satellites. W e em – phasize that for an accurate description of VHECR and UHECR EAS also low energy physics is important, e.g. the larger is the distance from the shower core and the shower inclination, the stronger is the required accuracy of the adopted low energy models.

2.1 Validation of the FLUKA models at low energies

Validation of the FLUKA models at low energies has been performed by means of com – parisons of their theoretical predictions with data collected at the accelerators. Data concerning inclusive particle uxes in the atm osphere down to the Earth's surface, have been then used to assess the FLUKA performance on cosm ic ray physics.

W hile the rst issue can be addressed by using the version of the code o cially distributed and available on the web, the second one requires the inclusion of further (geom etrical, geom agnetical, astrophysical) assumptions and information. These elements have been inserted by means of the FLUKA user routines.

Validation of FLUKA using accelerator data Data recently collected by the HARP and the NA 49 experiments have been nicely reproduced by means of FLUKA [10], Many others validations of the code, referred to previously published data, can be found in literature. Furthermore, data from the SPS collider, Tevatron and RHIC have been used to successfully validate the DPM JET 2.5 and III codes [14].



Figure 1: Calculated inclusive uxes of (left panel) and ⁺ (right panel) at the top of Mt. Norikura (2700 m a.s.l.) as obtained by FLUKA simulations (open symbols) vs. exp. data [15] from BESS'99 (full symbols). O ther exam ples of FLUKA benchmarks in GCR physics can be found in [16].



Figure 2: Calculated inclusive uxes of (left panels) and + (right panels) at atm o-spheric depths of 11.9 g/cm² (odd panels) and 26.4 g/cm² (even panels), respectively, as obtained by FLUKA simulations (open symbols) vs. exp. data [17] from BESS 2001 (full symbols).

Validation of FLUKA using astrophysical data from satellites and balloonborne experiments Inclusive particle uses in the Earth's upper and lower atmosphere, induced by GCR propagation and interactions, have been measured by means of satellites and balloon-bome experiments such as BESS. Dierent sets of data, taken in different atm ospheric and geom agnetic conditions, have been analyzed and reproduced by FLUKA, under proper assumptions concerning GCR primary spectrum, solarm odulation, atm ospheric and geom agnetic m odels. A s exam ples, data concerning collected at the top (2700 m a.s.l.) of M t. Norikura (geomagnetic cut-o 11.2 GV) in 1999, and at two di erent atm ospheric depths (11.9 and 26.4 g/cm²) in a balloon ight cam paign over Ft. Sum ner (geomagnetic cut-o 4.2 GV) in 2001, are presented in g. 1 and 2, respectively, and compared to the theoretical uxes expected on the basis of FLUKA simulations. The agreement between the experimental and theoretical results is quite nice and increases at increasing energies. Furtherm ore, atm ospheric charge ratios in 20 G eV up to 3 TeV have been detected by the L3+ C expethe energy range from riment at CERN (450 m a.s.l.), that has provided also arrival directions. FLUKA has successfully reproduced also these data [18].

Proton and heavier ion inclusive uxes have also been obtained by FLUKA theoretical simulations and compared to experimental data available from BESS. In these cases, the results of the simulations turn out to be very sensitive to a correct treatment of the geomagnetic cut-o, of the transport of particles in the upper layers of the atm osphere (trapping of particles and their recirculation), and to the accuracy of the theoretical modelling of low-energy A-A interactions.

2.2 Predictions of the FLUKA models at high energies: EAS

In the following we shall report about some tests of EAS simulation at energies $10^{14} - 10^{15}$ eV, performed by means of FLUKA, complemented by DPM JET-III for the description of A-A reactions at E > 5 G eV/A and h-A reactions at E > 20 TeV. Vertical showers, induced by primaries of dimensions (p and Fe ions), have been considered.

The X_{max} position, i.e. the atm ospheric depth where the shower reaches its maximum development (in terms of EM energy deposition), is a good probe of GCR composition. In fact, since the inelastic scattering cross-section for p-A ir interactions is low er than the A-A ir ones, EAS induced by Fe primaries have, on the average, vertical pro le maxima shifted towards lower atmospheric depths than p induced showers. e and uences as a function of the atm ospheric depth ~ for p and Fe induced showers at 10^{14} and $10^{15}~{\rm eV}$, as calculated with FLUKA + DPM JET-III, are shown in ref. [10]. In general, di erent codes, based on di erentm odels, due to di erentm odelings of hadronic and EM processes, give di erent results for X max. A nyway, to disentangle the e ects of di erent models and di erent prim ary com position, also more speci c variables can be considered. Am ong the others, the study of X_{max} uctuations [19] for primaries at a xed energy has been proposed for this purpose. As an example, X_{max} uctuations for Fe induced showers have been computed from our simulations, considering the e, , charged hadron and neutral hadron vertical show er pro les, and are shown in the central and right panels of g.3. In general, the average m uon X_{max} is located at larger s with respect to the electron one, since spenetrate more deeply. The charged hadrons undergo strong absorption e ects, thus their X_{max} are located at lower swith respect to the ones of neutral hadrons.



Figure 3: Left panel: uctuations in the distribution of e X_{max} for a few hundred vertical showers induced by p (dot-dashed green line) and Fe ions (dotted red line) at 10^{14} eV energy. Central and Right panels: uctuations in the distribution of e X_{max} (central upper panel), X_{max} (central lower panel), charged hadron X_{max} (right upper panel) and neutral hadron X_{max} (right lower panel) for a few hundred vertical showers induced by Fe ions at 10^{14} eV (dotted red line) and 10^{15} eV (dashed blue line) energies.

Increasing energies lead, in general, to a shift of X_{max} to higher s for all considered proles, even if, in all cases, the luctuation proles at 10^{14} and 10^{15} eV overlap. That means that reconstructing the energy of GCR primaries out of thism ethod is not possible, since showlers of energies that diler even by a factor 10 can give rise, in some cases, to the same position of X_{max} . An example from our simulations of the sensitivity of X_{max} uctuations to the GCR primary composition is shown in the left panel of g. 3. Not only the position of maxima but also the shape and broadness of the luctuation proles change according to the GCR masses, as can be seen by comparing the cases of p and F e induced EAS at a xed energy (10^{14} eV for the cases considered in the gure).

Besides the vertical spreads of particle distribution, also lateral spreads can be calculated. In particular, in case of EM particles, the lateral spread is due to the e ect of multiple C oulom b scattering, while, in case of s, the lateral spread is mainly correlated with the p_T distribution of charged and K, from the decay of whom s originate (we are not mentioning here s from the decay of short-lived charmed particles, which are also considered in FLUKA and other codes). Detailed maps of e and uences in the atm osphere, down to the Earth's surface, which allow to appreciate both the average vertical prole and the lateral spread of each component, as calculated by FLUKA for Fe induced showers, can be found in [10].

R eferences

- [1] H.Rebelet al., Proc. ICRC 2005 6 (2005), 297{300;
 IM .Brancus et al., Proc. ICRC 2005 6 (2005), 361{364;
 G.Tom a for the KASCADE-G rande collaboration, these proceedings
- [2] T. Suom ijarvi, these proceedings
- [3] G. Navarra, these proceedings
- [4] D. Heck et al, Report FZKA 6019 (1998), 1{90; talks presented at the V inkos CORSIKA School 2005, Lauterbad, G erm any, M ay 31 - June 5 2005, available on the web
- [5] SJ.Sciutto, http://www.fisica.unlp.edu.ar/auger/aires/
- [6] K.Kasahara, http://cosmos.n.kanagawa-u.ac.jp/cosmosHome/index.html
- [7] A.Ferrari et al., CERN Yellow Report 2005{10 (2005), INFN/TC_05/11,1{387;
 A.Fasso et al., Proc.CHEP 2003 Conference, La Jolla, CA, US, M arch 24 28,2003, (paper M OM T 005) eC onf C 0303241 (2003), hep-ph/0306267;
 F.Ballarini et al., Adv. Space Res. 40 (2007), 1339{1349
- [8] S.Roesler et al. Proc. MonteCarlo 2000 Conference, Lisboa, October 23 26 2000, Springer Verlag eds. (2001), 1033 (1038)
- [9] A. Fasso et al., Proc. M onteC arb 2000 Conference, Lisboa, O ctober 23 26 2000, Springer Verlag eds. (2001), 159{164
- [10] G.Battistoni et al., Proc. ISV H ECR I 2006 Sym posium, W eihai, China, August 15 -22 2006, to be published in Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.), hep-ph/0612075
- [11] A. Ferrari and P.R. Sala, Proc. W orkshop on Nuclear Reaction Data and Nuclear Reactors Physics, Design and Safety, Trieste, Italy, April 1996, A. Gandini and G.Re o eds., 2 (1998), 424{532
- [12] G.Battistonietal, Proc.11th Int.Conf.on NuclearReaction Mechanism s, Varenna, Italy, June 12 - 16 2006, Ric.Scient.ed Ed.Perm.Suppl. 126, E.Gadiolied. (2006), 483 (495)
- [13] H. Sorge et al., Nucl. Phys. A 498 (1989), 567{576;
 G.Battistoniet al., Braz. J. Phys. 34 (2004), 897{900;
 V.Andersen et al. Adv. Space Res. 34 (2004), 1302{1310
- [14] J. Ranft, Proc. HSS06 W orkshop, September 6 8 2006, FNAL, Illinois, US, A IP Conf. Proc. 896 (2007), 102{111
- [15] K.Abe et al. Phys. Lett. B 564 (2003), 8{20; erratum in Phys. Lett. B 645 (2007), 472{475
- [16] S.M uraro, Ph.D. Thesis (2006), M ilano;G.Battistoni et al., A stropart. Phys. 12 (2000), 315{333.

- [17] M.Motokietal.Astropart.Phys.19 (2003), 113{126, astro-ph/0205344
- [18] G. Battistoni et al., Proc. NOW 2006 W orkshop, Septem ber 9 16 2006, Conca Speechiulla, Italy, to be published in Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.).
- [19] R. Engel, Summary Talk at the ICRC 2007 Conference, Merida, Mexico, July 3-11 2007, to be published in the Conf. Proc.