R em odeling the B -m odel

V incent Bouchard^a, A lbrecht K lem m^b, M arcos M arino^c and Sara Pasquetti^d

^a Je erson Physical Laboratory, Harvard University 17 Oxford St., Cambridge, MA 02138

^bPhysikalisches Institut der Universitat Bonn, Nu allee 12 D-53115 Bonn, Germany

> ^cD epartm ent of Physics, CERN G eneva 23, CH-1211 Switzerland

^d Institut de Physique, Universite de Neuchâtel Rue A. L. Breguet 1, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

A bstract

We propose a complete, new formalism to compute unambiguously B-model open and closed amplitudes in local Calabi{Yau geometries, including the mirrors of toric manifolds. The formalism is based on the recursive solution of matrix models recently proposed by Eynard and O rantin. The resulting amplitudes are non-perturbative in both the closed and the open moduli. The formalism can then be used to study stringy phase transitions in the open/closed moduli space. At large radius, this formalism may be seen as a mirror formalism to the topological vertex, but it is also valid in other phases in the moduli space. We develop the formalism in general and provide an extensive number of checks, including a test at the orbifold point of A_p brations, where the amplitudes compute the 't H ooft expansion of W ilson loops in lens spaces. We also use our formalism to predict the disk amplitude for the orbifold C ${}^3=Z_3$.

September 2007

bouchard@physics.harvard.edu, aklemm@physics.wisc.edu, marcos@mail.cern.ch, sara.pasquetti@unine.ch

Contents

1	Introduction					
		Motiv		2		
	1.2	Summ	ary of the results	4		
	13	Outlin	ne	5		
2	Toric Calabi-Yau threefolds with branes					
	2.1	M irro	r symmetry and topological strings on toric Calabi-Yau threefolds .	6		
		2.1.1	A-modelgeometry	6		
		2.1.2	B-modelmirror geometry	8		
		2.1.3	Open string mirror symmetry	9		
		2.1.4	Topological open string am plitudes	10		
	2.2	M odu	lispaces, periods and at coordinates	12		
		2.2.1	Moving in the moduli space	12		
		222	Open string phase structure	15		
	2.3	The o	pen and closed m irror m aps	18		
		2.3.1	Closed at coordinates	18		
		2.3.2	Open at coordinates	20		
		2.3.3	Picard-Fuchs equations	21		
		2.3.4	0 pen phase transitions	22		
		235	Sm all radius regions	23		
		2.3.6	The O (3)! P^2 geometry	24		
3	A new B-model form alism 2					
	3.1	The fa	orm alism of Eynard and O rantin for matrix models	29		
		3.1.1	Ingredients	29		
		3.1.2	Recursion	30		
		3.1.3	Symplectic transformations	31		
		3.1.4	Interpretation	31		
	3.2	0 ur f	brm alism	32		
		3.2.1	Ingredients	33		
		322	Recursion	33		
		323	Symplectic transformations	34		
		3.2.4	Interpretation	34		
	3.3	Comp	utations	36		
		3.3.1	Disk am plitude	36		
		3.3.2	A nnulus am plitude	37		
		3.3.3	Genus 0, three-hole am plitude	38		
		3.3.4	Genus 1, one-hole am plitude	40		

	3.4	3.3.5 H igher am plitudes M oving in the m oduli space	40 43
4	G er 4.1 4.2	tus 0 exam plesThe vertex4.1.1Fram ing4.1.2D isk am plitude4.1.3A nnulus am plitude4.1.4Three-hole am plitude4.1.5The genus one, one hole am plitude4.1.6Fram ed vertex in two legsThe resolved conifold	45 45 46 47 48 49 50 52
5	G er 5.1 5.2	Local P ²	53 54 55 57 58
6	0 rk 6.1 6.2 6.3	ifold points Chem {Sim ons theory and knots in lens spaces	61 62 69 71 74 75
7	Conclusion and future directions		
A	U seful conventions		

1 Introduction

1.1 M otivation

Topological string theory is an important subsector of string theory with various physical and mathematical applications, which has been extensively investigated since it was rst formulated. This has led to many dimensional string am plitudes, based very often on string dualities. Topological strings com e in two types, the A-m odel and the B-m odel, which are related by m irror symmetry. The A-m odel provides a physical form ulation of G rom ov {W itten theory, while the B-m odel is deeply related to the theory of deform ation of com plex structures. Both m odels have an open sector whose boundary conditions are set by topological D-branes.

Them ain advantage of the B-m odel is that its results are exact in the com plex m oduli (i.e. they include all ⁰ corrections), which m akes it possible to study various aspects of stringy geom etry not easily accessible in the A-m odel. One can in particular obtain results for the am plitudes far from the large radius lim it, around non-geom etric phases such as orbifold or conifold points.

Sphere and disk am plitudes are given by holom ophic integrals in the B-m odel geom – etry. In particular, sphere am plitudes are determ ined by period integrals over cycles; those were rst calculated for the quintic C alabi{Y au threefold in [17]. For non-com pact C alabi-Y au threefolds, the m irror geom etry basically reduces to a R iem ann surface, and the disk am plitudes are given by chain integrals directly related to the A bel-Jacobim ap [6, 5]. N ote that disk am plitudes ending on the real quintic inside the quintic threefold have also been calculated [44], using a generalization of the A bel-Jacobim ap [27].

In contrast, B-m odel am plitudes $A_{h}^{(g)}$ at genus g and with h holes, on worldsheets < 1, have an anom alous, non-holom orphic dependence on the com plex m oduli w ith which is captured by the holom orphic anom aly equations. These were st form ulated in the closed sector in [12], and have been recently extended to the open sector in various circum stances [24, 45, 14]. The holom orphic anom aly equations can be solved to determ ine the am plitudes, up to an a priori unknown holom orphic section over the moduli space | the so called holom orphic ambiguity | which puts severe restrictions on their e ectiveness. Modular invariance of the amplitudes completely governs the non-holom orphic terms in the amplitudes [49, 32, 1, 33, 24] and reduces the problem of xing the holom orphic am biguity to a nite set of data for a given g and h. Recently, boundary conditions have been found in the closed sector [32] (the so-called conifold gap condition and reqularity at orbifold point) which fully x these data in m any local geom etries (like the Seiberg {W itten geom etry or local P²) [32, 28]. In the com pact case they allow to calculate closed string am plitudes to high, but nite genus (for example, q = 51 for the quintic) [33].

For open string am plitudes the situation is worse: appropriate boundary conditions are not known, and the constraints coming from modularity are much weaker. In fact,

it is not known how to supplement the holom orphic anomaly equations with su cient conditions in order to x the open string am plitudes.¹

In view of this, it is very important to have an approach to the B-m odel that goes beyond the fram ework of the holom orphic anomaly equations. In the local case (toric or not), such an approach was proposed in [2], which interpreted the string eld theory of the B-m odel (the K odaira (Spencer theory) in terms of a chiral boson living on a \quantum " R iem ann surface. However, the computational fram ework of [2] is only e ective in very simple geometries, and in practice it is not easy to apply it even to backgrounds like local P^2 .

In [40] it was argued that all closed and open topological string am plitudes on local geom etries (including the m irrors of toric backgrounds) could be computed by adapting the recursive m ethod of Eynard and O rantin [23] to the C alabi{Y au case. This m ethod was obtained originally as a solution to the loop equations of m atrix m odels, giving an explicit form for its open and closed am plitudes in terms of residue calculus on the spectral curve of the m atrix m odel. The recursion solution obtained in this way can then be de ned form ally for any algebraic curve em bedded in C². In [40] it was argued that this m ore general construction attached to an arbitrary R iem ann surface com putes the am plitudes of the chiral boson theory described in [2], and in particular that the form alism of [23] should give the solution to the B -m odel form irrors of toric geom etries, providing in this way an elective com putational approach to the K odaira {Spencer theory in the local case. Various nontrivial exam ples were tested in [40] successfully. How ever, m any in portant aspects of the B -m odel, like the phase structure of D -branes, as well as the fram ing phenom enon discovered in [5], were not incorporated in the form alism of [40].

1.2 Sum m ary of the results

In this paper we build on [40] and develop a complete theory of the B-m odel for local Calabi-Yau geometries in the presence of toric D-branes. Our formalism is based on a modi cation of [23] appropriate for the toric case, and it leads to a framework where one can compute recursively and univoquely all the open and closed B-m odel amplitudes in closed form, non-perturbatively in the complex moduli, albeit perturbatively in the string coupling constant. In particular, our formalism incorporates in a natural way the more subtle aspects of D-branes (like framing) which were not available in [40].

¹This applies also to the case in which it has been argued that there is no open string m oduli [44].

M oreover, the proposed form alism is valid at any point in them oduli space. Basically, once one knows the disk and the annulus am plitudes at a given point, one can generate recursively all the other open and closed am plitudes unam biguously. Thus this form alism goes beyond known approaches in open topological string theory, such as the topological vertex, as it allows to study closed and open am plitudes not only in the large radius phase but also in non-geom etric phases such as conifolds and orbifolds phases.

1.3 Outline

In section 2 we review relevant features of m irror sym m etry as well as open and closed topological string theory. We put a special emphasis on phase transitions in the open/closed m oduli spaces, and on the determ ination of the corresponding open and closed m irror m aps. Section 3 is the core of the paper, where we propose our form alism. We also explain how it can be used to compute amplitudes explicitly at various points in the moduli space. In sections 4 and 5 we get our hands dirty and do various checks of our form alism, for local geometries. In section 6 we study open and closed amplitudes in a non-geometric phase corresponding to the blow-down of local P¹ P¹. We check our results against expectation values of the fram ed unknot in Chem-Sim ons theory on lens spaces. We also propose a prediction for the disk amplitude of C³=Z₃, which corresponds to the orbifold phase in the moduli space of local P². Finally, we sum marize and propose various avenues of research in section 7.

A cknow ledgm ents

It is a pleasure to thank R on D onagi, Andy N eitzke, Tony Pantev, C um run Vafa and Johannes W alcher for helpful discussions. V B., A K. and S.P. would also like to thank the T heory G roup at CERN for hospitality while part of this work was com pleted. The work of S.P. was partly supported by the Sw iss N ational Science Foundation and by the European C om m ission under contracts M RTN -CT -2004-005104. The work of V B. was partly supported by an N SERC postdoctoral fellow ship.

2 Toric Calabi-Yau threefolds with branes

In this section we introduce basic concepts of m irror symmetry and topological string theory for non-compact toric Calabi-Yau threefolds with Harvey-Lawson type special Lagrangians. In particular, we discuss the target space geometry of the A – and the B – m odel as well as their m oduli spaces. We also exam ine period integrals on the B-m odel side, which give the at coordinates as well as the closed genus zero and disk am plitudes.

2.1 M irror symmetry and topological strings on toric Calabi-Yau threefolds

2.1.1 A -m odel geom etry

W e consider the A-m odel topological string on a (non-com pact) toric C alabi-Yau three-fold, which can be described as a symplectic quotient $M = C^{k+3} = G$, where $G = U(1)^k$ [20]. A lternatively, M may be viewed physically as the vacuum eld con guration for the com plex scalars X_i, i = 1; :::; k + 3 of chiral super elds in a 2d gauged linear, (2;2) supersymmetric -m odel, transforming as X_i! e^{iQ_i} X_i, Q_i 2 Z, = 1;:::; k under the gauge group U(1)^k [48]. W ithout superpotential, M is determined by the D-term constraints

$$D = \int_{i=1}^{X^{+3}} Q_{i} X_{i} f = r ; = 1; ...; k$$
 (2.1)

m odulo the action of $G = U(1)^k$. The r are the Kahler parameters and r $2 R_+$ de nes a region in the Kahler cone. For this to be true Q_i have to full lladditional constraints and for M to be smooth, eld con gurations for which the dimensionality of the gauge orbits drop have to be excluded.

The Calabi-Yau condition $c_1(TX) = 0$ holds if and only if the chiral U (1) anomaly is cancelled, that is [48]

$$X^{+3}$$

 $Q_i = 0; = 1; \dots; k:$ (2.2)
 $i=1$

Note from (2.1) that negative Q $_{\rm i}$ lead to non-com pact directions in M , so that all toric C alabi-Y au manifolds are necessarily non-com pact.

View the C's with coordinates $X_k = \frac{1}{2} K_k \text{ jexp}(i_k)$ as S^1 - brations over R_+ . Then M can be naturally viewed as a T^3 - bration over a non-compact convex and linearly

bounded subspace B in R³ speci ed by (2.1), where the T³ is param eterized by the three directions in the -space. The condition (2.2) allows an even simpler picture, capturing the geometry of M as a R₊ T² bration over R³. In this picture, the toric threefold M is constructed by gluing together C³ patches. In each patch, with coordinates (z_1 ; z_2 ; z_3), we can de ne, instead of r_i = $jz_i j^2$ | which would lead to the above picture | the three follow ing ham iltonians

$$r = \dot{z}_1 \hat{f} + \dot{z}_2 \hat{f}; \quad r = \dot{z}_3 \hat{f} + \dot{z}_1 \hat{f}; \quad r_R = \text{Im}(z_1 z_2 z_3):$$
 (2.3)

The r_1 parameterize the base R^3 and generate ow s $r_1x_k = fr_1; x_kg_1$, whose orbits denet the ber. It is easy to see that r; r generate S^1 's and r_R , which is only well dened due to (2.2), generates R_+ .

The toric graph $_{M}$ describes the degeneration locus of the S¹ bers. In B, $j_{ij} = 0$, therefore B is bounded by $j_{ij} = 0$. The latter equations de ne two-planes in R³ whose norm alvectors obey

$$\hat{X}^{+k}$$

 $Q n_i = 0:$ (2.4)

C learly, the S¹ parameterized by $_i$ vanishes at $X_i j = 0$; and over the line segments

$$L_{ij} = f_{X_i} j = 0g \setminus f_{X_j} j = 0g; \qquad (2.5)$$

two S¹'s shrink to zero. If L_{ij} is a closed line segment in @B the open S¹ bundle over it make it a P¹ 2 M, while if L_{ij} is half open in @B it represents a non-compact line bundle direction C.

So far we have de ned the planes $j_{ij} = 0$ only up to parallel translation. Their relative location is determ ined by the K ahler parameters, sim ply by the condition that the length of the closed line segments L_{ij} is the area of the corresponding P¹. C ondition (2.2) and the T² bration described obove makes it possible to project all L_{ij} into R² without losing information about the geometry of M. This is how one constructs the two-dimensional toric graph M associated to M.

2.1.2 B-m odelm irror geom etry

The mirror geometry W to the above non-compact toric Calabi-Yau threefold M was constructed by [31], extending [34, 11].

Let w⁺;w 2 C. We further de ne hom ogeneous coordinates $x_i = :e^{y_i} 2 C$, i = 1; :::;k+3 with the property $j_{k_i}j = exp(j_{k_i}j^2)$; they are identified under the C -scaling x_i x_i , i = 1; :::;k+3, 2 C. The minor geometry W is then given by

$$w^{+}w = X_{1}^{2} X_{1};$$
 (2.6)

subject to the exponentiated D -term s contraints, which becom e

$$x_{1}^{\varphi_{1}} x_{1}^{\varphi_{1}} = e^{t} = q; = 1; \dots; k:$$
 (2.7)
i=1

Note that these relations are compatible with the -scaling because of the Calabi-Yau condition. The parameters t = r + i are the complexi cations of the Kahler parameters r, using the -angles of the U $(1)^k$ group.

A fler taking the -scaling and (2.7) into account the right-hand side of the de ning equation (2.6) can be parameterized by two variables $x = \exp(u); y = \exp(v) 2 C$. In these coordinates the m irror geometry W becomes

$$w^+w = H(x;y;t);$$
 (2.8)

which is a conic bundle over C C, where the conic ber degenerates to two lines over the (fam ily of) R iem ann surfaces : fH (x;y;t) = 0g C C². The holom orphic volume form on W is given by

$$= \frac{dw dx dy}{w xy}:$$
(2.9)

As an algebraic curve embedded in C C, the R iem ann surface has punctures, hence is non-compact. The fact that it is embedded in C C rather than C^2 like the usual specialization of a compact R iem ann surface embedded in projective space to an a ne coordinate patch will be crucial for us. Note that the R iem ann surface is most

 $^{^2}N$ ote that for brevity in the follow ing wew illalways tak about the R iem ann surface ; it willalways be understood that $\,$ is in fact a fam ily of R iem ann surfaces parameterized by the K ahler parameters t .

easily visualized by fattening the toric diagram $_{\rm M}$ associated to the mirror manifold M; the genus g of corresponds to the num ber of closed meshes in $_{\rm M}$, and the num ber of punctures n is given by the num ber of sem i-in nite lines in $_{\rm M}$. It is standard to call the R iem ann surface embedded in C C the mirror curve.

It is in portant to note that the reparam eterization group $G \quad \mbox{of the } m \mbox{ irror curve}$ is

$$G = SL(2;Z) \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} ; \qquad (2.10)$$

which is the group of 2 2 integer matrices with determ inant 1. This is the group that preserves the sym plectic form

$$\frac{\mathrm{dx}}{\mathrm{x}} \wedge \frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{y}} \tag{2.11}$$

on C C. The action of G is given by

2.1.3 Open string m irror sym m etry

In this work we are interested in closed and open topological string am plitudes, hence we must consider branes, which are described in the A-m odel by special Lagrangian submanifolds. The Lagrangian submanifolds that we will be interested in were constructed by [6], as a generalization of Harvey-Law son special Lagrangians [30] in C^3 .

Consider a toric Calabi-Yau threefold M constructed as a symplectic quotient as above, and denote by

$$! = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{X^3} d_k \chi_k f^2 d_k$$
(2.13)

the canonical sym plectic form . The idea is to determ ine a non-compact subspace L M of three realdimensions by specifying a linear subspace V in the base

$$\dot{X}^{+3}$$

 $q_{i} \dot{X}_{i} \dot{f} = c ; = 1; :::;r$ (2.14)
 $i=1$

and restricting the $_k$ so that ! j = 0. One shows that L becomes special Lagrangian with respect to $= dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3$ in each patch if and only if

$$q_i = 0; = 1; ...; r:$$
 (2.15)
 $i=1$

The relevant case for us is r = 2, i.e. $V = R_+$ and L is an $S^1 - S^1$ -bundle over it. In a given patch, the restriction ! j = 0 m eans that

$$X^{3}$$

 $_{i}(z_{i}) = 0 \mod :$ (2.16)
 $_{i=1}$

For one value of the -sum the Lagrangian is generically not smooth at the origin of B_{+} . It can be made smooth by \doubling," which is done by allowing for instance $B_{i=1}^{3}(z_{i}) = 0$ and $B_{i=1}^{3}(z_{i}) = [0]$. If V passes through the bocus in the base where one S¹ shrinks to zero, L splits into L , each of which have topology C S¹, where C is a bration of the vanishing S¹ over R_{+} . L_{+} (or L) is the relevant special Lagrangian. To make the notation simpler we denote L_{+} by L henceforth. It has $b_{i}(L) = 1$ and its complex open modulus is given by the size of the S¹ and the W ilson line of the U (1) gauge eld around it. Pictorially, it can be described as \ending on a leg of the toric diagram M of M," since the half open line 1 de ning L must end on a line L_{ij} in M. We refer the reader to the gures in sections 4 and 5 for examples of toric diagram s with branes.

Under m irror symmetry, the brane L introduced above maps to a one complex dimensional holom orphic submanifold of W, given by

$$H(x;y) = 0 = w$$
: (2.17)

That is, it is parameterized by w^+ , and its moduli space corresponds to the mirror curve C $(w^+ = 0 \text{ corresponds to the equivalent brane L}).$

2.1.4 Topological open string am plitudes

Let us now spend a few words on topological open string theory to clarify the objects that we will consider in this paper.

In the A-m odel, topological open string am plitudes can be de ned by counting (in an appropriate way) the number of holom orphic maps from a Riemann surface $_{g,h}$ of genus g with h holes, to the Calabi{Yau target, satisfying the condition that the boundaries map to the brane L. A ssum ing for sim plicity that $b_1(L) = 1$, the topological class of these maps is labeled by genus g, the bulk class $2 H_2(X;L)$ and the winding numbers w_i , i = 1; ;h, specifying how many times the i-th boundary wraps around the one-cycle in L. W e can thus form the generating functionals

$$F_{g,W}(Q) = N_{g,W}; e^{t};$$
(2.18)

where $N_{g_{\mathcal{W}}}$; are open G rom ov {W itten invariants counting the maps in the topological class labeled by $g, w = (w_1; h) w$ and . It is also convenient to group together the dimensional boundary sectors with xed g; h into a single generating functional $A_h^{(g)}(z_1; h)$; zele ned as

$$A_{h}^{(g)}(z_{1}; h); \neq F_{g,w}(Q) z_{1}^{w_{1}} h^{w_{h}} z$$

$$(2.19)$$

Here, the variables z_i are not only form al variables. From the point of view of the underlying physical theory, they are open string parameters which parameterize the moduli space of the brane.

In the B-m odel, as discussed earlier the m oduli space of the brane is given by the m irror curve itself. The open string parameter z hence corresponds to a variable on the m irror curve (take for example the variable x). That is, xing what we mean by open string parameter corresponds to xing a parameterization of the embedding of the R iem ann surface in C C; in other words, it corresponds to xing a projection map ! C (the projection onto the x-axis in our case). D i erent parameterizations will lead to di erent amplitudes. Once the open string parameter x is xed, the disk amplitude is simply given by $\frac{7}{2}$

$$A_1^{(0)} = \log y \frac{dx}{x};$$
 (2.20)

as will be explained in more details in the following sections.

To fully understand open topological strings we need to include the notion of fram ing of the branes. The possibility of fram ing was rst discovered in the context of A -m odel open string am plitudes in [5]. It is an integer choice f 2 Z associated to a brane, which has to be m ade in order to de ne the open am plitudes.

Fram ing has various interpretations. In the A-m odel, it corresponds to an integral choice of the circle action with respect to which the localization calculation is performed [35]. It can also be understood from the point of view of large N duality. A key idea in the large N approach is to relate open (and closed) string am plitudes to knot or link invariants in the Chem-Sim ons theory on a special Lagrangian cycle. As is well known the calculation of the Chem-Sim ons correlation functions requires a choice of the norm al bundle of each knot. The fram ing freedom lies in a twist of this bundle, again specied by an integer f 2 Z.

We also want to understand fram ing from the B-m odel point of view. Recall that the moduli space of the brane is given by the mirror curve . As explained above, xing the location on the brane on the A-m odel corresponds to xing a parameterization of . It turns out that there is a one-param eter subgroup of the reparam eterization group G of which leaves the location of the brane invariant; these transform ations, which depend on an integer f 2 Z, correspond precisely to the B-m odel description of fram ing [5]. M ore precisely, these transform ations, which we will call fram ing transform ations, are given by

$$(x;y)$$
 7 $(xy^{f};y)$; f 2 Z: (2.21)

As a result, xing the location and the fram ing of the brane on the A-m odel side corresponds to xing the param eterization of the m irror curve on the B-m odel side.

2.2 M oduli spaces, periods and at coordinates

In this section we discuss the global picture of the open/closed m oduli space of the A – and the B-m odel. We introduce the periods, which give us the open and closed at coordinates, as well as the disk am plitude and the closed genus zero am plitude.

2.2.1 Moving in the moduli space

M irror symmetry identies the stringy Kahler moduli space of M with the complex structure moduli space of W, which are the A - and B - model closed string moduli spaces. Recall that generically, the stringy Kahler moduli space of M contains various phases corresponding to topologically distinct manifolds. Hence moving in the A - model closed string moduli space implies various topologically-changing phase transitions corresponding to ops and blow ups of the target space. In fact, since we are interested in open topological strings, we want to consider the open/closed string moduli space, which also includes the moduli space associated to the brane.

The B-m odel provides a natural setting for studying transitions in the open/closed string m oduli space. U sually in m irror sym m etry, we identify the A - and B-m odelm oduli spaces locally by providing a m irror map, for exam ple near large radius and for outer branes. However, in the following we will propose a B-m odel form alism to com pute open/closed am plitudes which can be applied anywhere in the open/closed string m oduli space. Hence, to unleash the analytic power of this new B-m odel description one w ishes to extend the identi cation between the m oduli space to cover all regions of the open/closed string m oduli space.

In the B-m odelone simply wants to cover a suitable compati cation of the open/closed string moduli space with patches in which we can de ne convergent expansions of the

topological string am plitudes in local at coordinates. The latter are given by a choice of A-periods integrals, while the dual B-periods can be thought of as conjugated m om enta. The closed string at coordinates are given by integrals over closed cycles, while the open string at coordinates are integrals over chains.

Let us rst discuss the closed string at coordinates. If the genus of the B-m odel m irror curve is greater than one, one has non-trivial m onodrom y of the closed string periods. By the theory of solution to di erential equations with regular singular loci (norm al crossing divisors), which applies in particular to periods integrals, the closed string m oduli space can be covered by hyper-cylinders around the divisors with m onodrom y. The local holom orphic expansion of the am plitudes has to be invariant under the local m onodrom y around the corresponding divisor. In particular, this requires different choices of at coordinates, or A-periods, in di erent regions in m oduli space. These di erent choices of periods are related by sym plectic Sp(2g;C) transform ations, i.e. by changes of polarization. Invariance of the physical topological string am plitudes and forces the closed string param eters to appear in term s of m odular form s.

In contrast there is no monodrom y action on the open string at coordinates. As a consequence, the am plitudes are in general rational functions of the open string parameters, and no non-holom orphic extension is needed to make the results modular. That is, there is no holom orphic anom all equation involving the com plex conjugate of an open string modulus. The situation for the open string moduli is hence sim ilar to the closed string moduli for genus 0 m incor curves (for exam ple the m incor of the resolved conifold $M = O(1) O(1)! P^1$), where there is no non-trivial monodrom y. In such cases the holom orphic anom all equations for the closed string moduli can be trivialized and the am plitudes are rational functions of the moduli.

Let us now discuss the main features of the phase transitions³ between patches in the open/closed string moduli space in order of their complexity. In the easiest case adjacent patches are related by transitions merely in the open string moduli space. In the A-model these are referred to as open string phase transitions and correspond to moving the base of the special lagrangian submanifold over a vertex in the toric diagram, for example from an outer to an inner brane, see below. In the B-model they correspond simply to

 $^{^{3}}$ N ote that the term \phase transitions" is inspired from the classical A-m odel. In the B-m odel, the correlation functions are sm oothly di erentiable except at com plex dimension one loci, so there are strictly speaking no phase boundaries.

reparam eterizations of the m irror curve find by an element in G . More precisely, this type of phase transition is described by the reparam eterization

$$(x;y)$$
 7 $\frac{1}{x};\frac{y}{x}$ (2.22)

of the m irror curve | we will explain this in the next section. Since the am plitudes are rational functions in the open string m oduli, there is no non-trival analytic continuation required and the am plitudes can be readily transform ed.

The next type of transitions consists in closed string transitions between di erent large radius regions. In the A-m odel on non-com pact toric Calabi-Yau threefolds those are all related to ops of P^1 (in our examples they occcur only in the H irzebruch surface F_1). In these cases, the new at closed string coordinates are given linearly in terms of the old ones and in particular the symplectic transform ation in Sp(2g;Z) is trivial, in the sense that it does not exchange the A- and B-periods. The closed string parameters can be xed in each large radius patch by the m ethods of [5], which are reviewed in the next section. The rather m ild changes in the am plitudes can be described by wall crossing form ulas.

The more demanding transitions are the ones between patches which require a non-trival Sp(2g;C) transform ation of the periods. The typical example is the expansion near a conifold point. Here a B-cycle | in the choice of periods at large radius | becomes small and will serve as a at coordinate near the conifold point, while a cycle corresponding to a at coordinate at in nity aquires a logarithm ic term and will serve as dualm omenta. In the A-m odel picture we enter a non-geom etric phase, in which the ⁰-expansion of the -m odel breaks down. In the B-m odel we are faced with the problem of analytic continuation and change of polarization when we transform the am plitudes, which involve modular transform ations.

A nother interesting patch is the one of an orbifold divisor, i.e. one with a nite m onodrom y around it. This is likewise a region where the original geometric description breaks down due to a vanishing volume. However here we have a singular geometric description by a geometric orbifold. For example, for the O (3)! P^2 geometry, in the lim it where the P^2 shrinks to zero size we get simply the $C^3=Z_3$ orbifold. Enumerative A – m odel techniques (orbifold G rom ov-W itten invariants) have been developed to calculate closed string invariants on orbifolds, and in these phases we can still compare the closed B-m odel results with G rom ov-W itten calculations on the A-m odel side. The behavior of the closed string am plitudes under this type of transition has been studied in [1]. In this paper, we will start investigating open am plitudes on orbifolds, which do not have,

as far as we know, a G rom ov-W itten interpretation. In particular, we will calculate the disk am plitude for $C^{3}=Z_{3}$ in section 6.4.

Let us now describe in more detail the st type of phase transitions, involving only open string moduli.

2.2.2 Open string phase structure

Here we introduce classical open string coordinates and discuss the phases of the open string moduli, which arise when we m ove" the Lagrangian submanifold over a vertex in the toric diagram.

First, note that the open string variables generically get corrected by closed string instanton e ects, when the latter are present and have nite volum e; we will study this in the next section. However, the open string phase structure can already be understood directly in the large volum e lim it where the instanton corrections are suppressed. Hence, we will not bother for now with the instanton corrections; our analysis carries over readily to the instanton corrected variables.

Recall from section 2.1.1 that closed line segments in the toric diagram $_{\rm M}$ correspond to compact curves, while half-open lines correspond to non-compact curves. Now, as explained in section 2.1.3, the half open line 1 de ning the Lagrangian submanifold L must end on a line ${\rm L}_{\rm ij}$ in $_{\rm M}$. Phase transitions in the open string moduli space then occur between Lagrangian submanifolds ending on half-open lines and Lagrangian submanifolds ending on closed line segments. One refers to the form er as outer branes and to the latter as inner branes.

Only maps which are equivariant with respect to the torus action contribute to the open string am plitudes. This means that disks must end on a vertex at one end of the line L_{ij} intersecting l. Let this vertex be the bcus where $X_i j = X_j j = X_k j = 0$. Branes ending on the three lines L_{ij} , L_{ik} and L_{jk} meeting up at this vertex correspond to three di erent phases I, II and III in the open string moduli space. The geometry of the open string phase structure is shown in gure 1.

In phase I we can describe 1 by the equations



Figure 1:0 pen string phase structure.

where r is the K ahler parameter of the ${\rm P}^1$ related to ${\rm L}_{\rm ij}$ and

$$C_{r} = \prod_{S^{1}}^{Z} H; \qquad (2.24)$$

where dH = ! parameterizes the size of the disk D , hence the radius of the $S^1 = @D$.

Recall that on the B-m odel side, the choice of location (or phase) of the brane corresponds to a choice of parameterization of them irror curve dened by H (x;y) = 0. Generically, we can not the good parameterization of the curve as follows. We rest use the fact from m irror symmetry (see section 2.1.2) that by denition,

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{i} \mathbf{j} = \exp (\mathbf{X}_{i} \mathbf{j}^{2};$$
 (2.25)

to rewrite the equations (2.23) xing the location of the brane in term softhe C -variables x_i . We then use the C -rescaling to x one of them to 1, and we choose y to be the C -variable which goes to 1 on the brane, and x to be the variable parameterizing the location of the brane on the edge (i.e. $j_x j = e^{c_x}$). x becomes the open string parameter introduced earlier. Note that there is an ambiguity in this choice of parameterization; since y = 1 on the brane, we can reparameterize the variable x 7 xy^f for any integer f 2 Z without changing the discussion above. But since we change the meaning of the

open string parameter x, we in fact change the physical setup and the open am plitudes. This am biguity precisely corresponds to the fram ing of the brane, and the transform ation x 7 xy^{f} is the fram ing transform ation introduced in (2.21).

For example, in phase I, the good choice of parameterization corresponds to rst scaling $x_i = 1$, and then identifying $y \coloneqq x_j = x_j = x_i$ and $x \coloneqq x_k = x_k = x_i$. Indeed, the rst equation in (2.23) says that y = 1 on the brane, while the second equation identi es

$$x = \exp c_r + i A_{S^1}$$
 (2.26)

where we complexied the disk size c_r by the W ilson line. x hence agrees in the large K ahler parameter limit with the open string parameter, which appears in the superpotential. In fact, the superpotential | or disk amplitude | is given by the A bel-Jacobi m ap on H (x;y) = 0, as a curve embedded in C C, with respect to the restriction of the holom orphic volume form to the mirror curve:

$$A_{1}^{(0)}(x) = \int_{x}^{Z} \log y \frac{dx}{x}; \qquad (2.27)$$

i.e. $x \mathfrak{G}_x A_1^{(0)} = \log y(x)$, with y(x) a suitable branch of the solution of H (x;y) = 0. This gives the form ula for the disk am plitudes presented earlier in (2.20). Note that we could also parametrize l in this phase by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$$

which leads to parameters $x^0 = xy^{-1}$ and $y^0 = y^{-1}$.

In phase II the brane 1 can be described by the equation

$$\begin{array}{lll} \chi_{j} \stackrel{2}{J} & \chi_{k} \stackrel{2}{J} = 0; \\ \chi_{i} \stackrel{2}{J} & \chi_{k} \stackrel{2}{J} = c_{r}; & c_{r} > 0: \end{array}$$

$$(2.29)$$

We x the parameterization of the mirror curve by $x_k = 1$, $\hat{y} \coloneqq x_j = x_j = x_k$ and $\hat{x} \coloneqq x_i = x_i = x_k$, so that the open string parameter is \hat{x} and the superpotential is (2.27) with hatted variables. The relation to the previous parameters in phase I is $\hat{x} = x^{-1}$ and $\hat{y} = yx^{-1}$; this is the origin of the phase transform ation proposed in (2.22). Again, we can also parameterize $\hat{1}$ by

and get $\hat{y}^0 = xy^{-1}$ and $\hat{x}^0 = y^{-1}$.

Similarly, in phase III we can parameterize 1 in two dierent ways, and introduce variables $y = x^{-1}$ and $x = x^{-1}y$, or $y^0 = x$ and $x^0 = y$. In this phase, r is the Kahler parameter of the P¹ related to L_{ik} . Note however that dierent L_{nm} can describe P¹'s in the same Kahler class. Standard toric techniques allow to read the equivalences from the charge vectors Q .

2.3 The open and closed m irror m aps

W e discussed in the previous section the phase structure of the open/closed m oduli space. Here we discuss in detail how to nd the at coordinates (or open and closed m irror m aps) in various phases in the m oduli space. As an example we consider $O(3) ! P^2$, which is the sim plest non-com pact toric C alabi-Y au with non-trivial m onodrom y on the closed string m oduli.

2.3.1 Closed at coordinates

The closed string mirror map is given by nding at coordinates T , = 1;:::;k on the complex structure moduli space, which are mapped to the complexied Kahler parameters. The at coordinates are generically dened by

$$T = \frac{X}{X^{0}};$$
 (2.31)

where the X are the A-periods

$$X = (2.32)$$

of the holom orphic volum e form $\$, and (A $\$;B $\$) is a sym plectic basis of three-cycles. Special geom etry guarantees the existence of a holom orphic function F (X $\$) of degree 2 $\$ the so-called prepotential $\$ such that the B-periods are

$$F = \frac{QF}{QX} = \frac{Z}{B} \qquad (2.33)$$

Fixing the at coordinates involves a choice of basis (A ;B); it is well known that the choice of A-periods (and the B-periods, i.e a polarization) is uniquely xed at the point(s) of maximal unipotent monodrom y q = 0, which are mirror dual to the large

radius points in the stringy Kahler moduli space. This xes the closed mirror map at these large radius points.

To be more precise, in the paramerization of the complex moduli $q = e^{t}$ determined by the Moricone | spanned by the charge vectors Q | these periods are singled out by their leading behaviour:

$$X^{0} = 1 + O(q); \quad X(q) = \log(q) + O(q); \quad (2.34)$$

In the non-compact cases there is a further simplication. First, $X^{0} = 1$, and

$$T = X = \frac{1}{2 i} \Big|_{A}^{Z}$$
 : (2.35)

The period F_0 is absent and the dual periods are given by

$$F = \frac{QF}{QT} = \frac{1}{2 i}_{B}^{Z}$$
; (2.36)

where (A ; B) is now a canonical basis of one-cycles on the m irror curve , and is the m erom orphic one form

$$= \log y \frac{\mathrm{dx}}{\mathrm{x}} \tag{2.37}$$

on , which is the local lim it of .

In the A-m odel picture, the at coordinate T is the mass associated with a D 2 brane wrapping the curve C 2 H₂(M;Z). At a large radius point, it is given by the complexied volume Z

$$t = ! + iB:$$
 (2.38)

However, it is well known that it receives closed string worldsheet instanton corrections if the size of C is of the order of the string scale; the corrected volum e

$$T = t + 0 (e^{t});$$
 (2.39)

is the at coordinate, which reduces in the local case to (2.35).

2.3.2 Open at coordinates

The open string modulus is given by $x = e^u$, which is a variable on the mirror curve dened by the equation H (x;y) = 0. In this section we will sometimes use the variables u and v instead of the C -variable x and y, which are dened by the exponentiation $x = e^u$, $y = e^v$. Hopefully no confusion should occur.

It was argued in [5] that in the A-m odel, the open string modulus u measures the tension

$$W = W (x_3 = 1) W (x_3 = 1)$$
 (2.40)

of a dom ain-wallm ade from a D 4-brane wrapping the disk of classical size u and extending at a point on the x_3 -axis, say $x_3 = 0$, over the subspace M _{2,1} of the four-dimensional M inkow ski space M _{3,1}. In the large radius lim it, this can be identified on the B-m odel side with the integral T_2 T_2

$$\frac{1}{2i} \int_{u}^{Z} v(u) du = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{u}^{Z} y(x) \frac{dx}{x}; \qquad (2.41)$$

where $_{u}$ is a not a closed cycle but rather a chain over which v jum ps by 2 i. In analogy with (2.35), one expects that $_{Z}$

$$U = \frac{1}{2 i}$$
(2.42)

is the exact form ula for the at open string parameter U, which includes all instanton corrections.

Note that the above indeed depends on a choice of param eterization of the curve, which de nes the location/phase and fram ing of the brane. In principle, the chain $_{\rm u}$ and the integral (2.42) can be obtained for branes in any phases. However, in practice, it turns out to be easier to start with outer branes, and use the open moduli phase transitions explained in the previous section, which relate the coordinates in various phases, to extract the at open string param eters in other phases. Finally, note also that it is straightforward to show that both (2.35) and (2.42) receive only closed string worldsheet instanton corrections.

The open string disk amplitude $A_1^{(0)}$ can also be written as a chain integral. It is given by the Abel-Jacobim ap

$$A_{1}^{(0)}(q;x) = ;$$
 (2.43)

where u is now the chain u = [u; u]. Note that the disk amplitude has an integrality structure which may be exhibited by passing to the instanton-corrected coordinates

 $X = e^{U}$, $Q = e^{T}$. Then, it can be written in terms of the open BPS numbers $N_{n,m}^{(0)}$ 2 Z as follows:

$$A_{1}^{(0)}(Q;X) = N_{n,m}^{(0)} \operatorname{Li}_{2}(Q^{n}X^{m}) : \qquad (2.44)$$

2.3.3 Picard-Fuchs equations

On the R iem ann surface it is possible to perform the period integrals (2.35), (2.42) and (2.43) directly. However, in practice it is simpler to derive P icard-Fuchs equations for general period integrals, construct a basis of solutions and nd linear combinations of the solutions which reproduce the leading behaviour of the period integrals.

W hen M is a toric threefold, the Picard-Fuchs operators annihilating the closed periods T (2.35) can be de ned in term s of the charge vectors de ning M (see 2.1), as

$$L = \begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ \mathbb{Q}_{x_{i}} & \mathbb{Q}_{x_{i}} \\ \mathbb{Q}_{x_{i}} > 0 & \mathbb{Q}_{x_{i}} > 0 \end{array}$$
(2.45)

The complex structure variables at the points of maximally unipotent monodrom y q = e^{t} are related to the x_i by Y

$$q = (1)^{Q_0} x_{i}^{Q_i} :$$
 (2.46)

Note that there are in general more x^i then q and C -scaling symmetries are used to reduce to the q variables.

Solutions to (2.45) are easily constructed using Frobenius method. De ning

$$w_{0}(\underline{q}; \underline{)} = \sum_{\underline{n}-\underline{}_{i}}^{X} \frac{1}{[Q_{i}(n + \underline{)} + 1]} ((1)^{Q_{0}} \underline{q})^{n}; \qquad (2.47)$$

then

$$X^{0} = w_{0}(\underline{q};\underline{0}); \qquad T = \frac{\underline{0}}{\underline{0}} w_{0}(\underline{q};\underline{1})\underline{j}_{=0} \qquad (2.48)$$

are solutions. Higher derivatives

$$X^{(i_1 \cdots i_n)} = \frac{\underline{0}}{\underline{0}^{i_1}} \cdots \underbrace{\underline{0}}_{\underline{0}^{i_n}} W_0(\underline{q}; \underline{j}) = 0$$
(2.49)

also obey the recursion in posed by (2.45), i.e. they full ll (2.45) up to nitely many terms. However, only nitely many linear combinations of the X $i_1 = i_n$ are actual solutions of the Picard-Fuchs system.

Once the solutions T to (2.45) are given, the period integrals (2.42) dening the at open string parameters can be simply expressed in terms of them :

$$U = u + r_u (t T)$$
: (2.50)

Here $r_u 2 Q$, and most of them are zero. Note that only the combinations (t T) occur, which implies that the open string variables are invariant under the closed string B - eld shift.

Note that one can write down an extended Picard-Fuchs system, such that not only the closed periods but also the open periods (2.42) and (2.43) are annihilated by the di erential operators [37, 25]. The r_u are then related to entries in the charge vectors Q_i in (2.1). These relations are manifest in the extended Picard-Fuchs system and give an easy way to determ ine the r_u .

Finally, in the follow ing we will always use the follow ing notation. We always denote the at, instanton corrected coordinates by uppercase letters, such as T, U and V, with their exponentiated counterparts $Q = e^{T}$, $X = e^{U}$ and $Y = e^{V}$. The classical (or uncorrected) variables will always be denoted by low ercase letters t, u and v, as well as $q = e^{T}$, $x = e^{u}$ and $y = e^{V}$.

2.3.4 Open phase transitions

In the example above we have found the open m irror map in a particular param eterization corresponding to outer branes with zero fram ing. We could have done the same for branes in other phases, but in practice it is easier to simply follow the m irror m ap through the reparam eterizations between dierent phases in order to obtain the m irror in other phases or fram ing.

Here we simply write down an explicit example of such calculation. Let us start with a mirror curve H (x;y;q) in the parameterization corresponding to outer branes with zero framing. Following (2.50), we can write the open string mirror map, in terms of exponentiated coordinates, as

$$X = xe^{u};$$
 (2.51)

where

$$_{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{k}} r_{u} (t T):$$
 (2.52)

Suppose that y is not corrected, that is Y = y, or in the notation above v = 0. Consider now the fram ing transform ation

$$(x;y)$$
 7 $(x;y) = (xy^{f};y)$: (2.53)

In this case, both the open and closed m irror m aps are left unchanged by the fram ing reparam eterization.

Let us now consider a reparam eterization corresponding to a phase transition to an inner brane phase:

$$(x;y)$$
 7 $(x_{1};y_{1}) = \frac{1}{x};\frac{y}{x}$: (2.54)

In this case the open m irror m aps becom es:

$$X = \frac{1}{x}e^{u_{i}} = x_{i}e^{u}; \quad Y = \frac{y}{x}e^{v_{i}} = y_{i}e^{u}:$$
 (2.55)

The fact that y_i also gets renormalized in this phase implies that, under a framing reparameterization

$$(\mathbf{x}_{i};\mathbf{y}_{i})$$
 7 $(\mathbf{x}_{i};\mathbf{y}_{i}) = (\mathbf{x}_{i}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{T};\mathbf{y}_{i});$ (2.56)

the open at coordinates acquire a non-trivial fram ing dependence:

$$X = x_{i} y_{i}^{f} e^{u_{i} + f} = x_{i} e^{(f+1)u}$$

$$Y = y_{i} e^{v_{i}} = y_{i} e^{u} :$$
(2.57)

2.3.5 Sm all radius regions

The more interesting case of phase transitions in the moduli space between patches which require a non-trivial symplectic transform ation of the closed periods can be dealt with as follows.

On the B-m odel side, these transitions simply corrrespond to moving in the complex structure moduli space beyond the radius of convergence of the large radius expansion, or more generally from one region of convergence into another. The at open and closed coordinates in all regions are linear combinations of the closed periods (2.35) and chain integrals (2.42), (2.43). The right linear combinations that yield the at open and closed coordinates in this new region can be found using the following requirem ents:

they should be small enough to be sensible expansion parameters around the singularity; the amplitudes should be monodrom y invariant when expanded in terms of the at coordinates;

the linear combinations giving the at closed coordinates should not involve the chain integrals.

In simple cases this was the at coordinates completely, up to scaling. This was the case, for instance, for the at closed coordinates of the C 3 =Z $_3$ orbifold expansion of O (3)! P², which was considered in [1].

A technical di culty is that one has to nd local expansions of the closed periods and chain integrals at various points in the moduli space. For the closed periods this can be done by solving the Picard-Fuchs system at the new points to obtain a basis of solutions everywhere. For the open periods, one uses the following observations.

First, notice that (2.50) is a chain integral, while the T are periods. Hence there is a linear combination

$$u_{\rm B} = u + r_{\rm u} t$$
; (2.58)

which can be written as an elementary function of the global variables (x;q). Likew ise the analytic continuation of $A_1^{(0)}(q;x)$ is trivial since it is an elementary function in terms of the global variables. Hence, together with the closed string periods, $u_B(q;x)$ and $A_1^{(0)}(q;x)$ form a basis for the at coordinates everywhere in the moduli space.

2.3.6 The O (3)!
$$P^2$$
 geometry

As an example, let us now discuss the open and closed m irror m aps for the O (3)! P^2 geom etry. Local P^2 is de ned by the charge

$$Q = (3;1;1;1):$$
 (2.59)

W e start with the closed periods at large radius. Plugging this charge into (2.45) and changing variables to $q = \frac{x_2 x_3 x_4}{x_1^3}$, we get the Picard-Fuchs di erential equation

$$D = \left[\frac{2}{t} + 3q(3_{t} + 2)(3_{t} + 1)\right]; \qquad (2.60)$$

where $t = q_{\frac{\theta}{\theta}} = \theta_t$. This equation should annihilate the closed periods.



Figure 2: Toric base of 0 (3)! P^2 with an outer brane and the mirror curve with the open cycle de ning the mirror map.

w ith

$$t_{t}(q) = \frac{X^{t}}{n} \frac{(1)^{n}}{n} \frac{(3n)!}{(n!)^{3}} q^{n}; \qquad (2.62)$$

are solutions. It is easy to check that

$$F_{T} = \frac{1}{6}X^{(t,t)} + \frac{1}{6}T + \frac{1}{12}$$
(2.63)

is a third solution, which corresponds to the integral $F_T = \frac{R}{B}$ over the B-cycle. Note that the particular combination of the Picard-Fuchs solutions giving the B-period is determined by classical topological data of the A-m odel geom etry. The expression for the at closed parameter (2.61) can be inverted to

$$q = Q + 6Q^{2} + 9Q^{3} + 56Q^{4} \quad 300Q^{5} + 3942Q^{6} + ; \qquad (2.64)$$

with $q = e^{t}$ and $Q = e^{T}$.

We now consider an outer brane in this geom etry. Applying (2.8) and the discussion in section 2.2.2 we see that the parameterization of the mirror curve H (x;y;q) relevant for the outer brane with zero fram ing is

H
$$(x;y;q) = y^2 + y + yx + qx^3 = 0$$
: (2.65)

The derivative of the superpotential is then given by $x \mathcal{Q}_x A_1^{(0)} = \log(y)$, with

$$y = \frac{1+x}{2} - \frac{1^{p}}{2} (1+x)^{2} - qx^{3}$$
: (2.66)

The special Lagrangian L in the A-m odel becomes a point on the Riemann surface; the exact domain-wall tension is then given by the period integral over the cycle (2.42) depicted in gure 2. The integral was performed in [5] and yields

$$U = \upsilon \quad \frac{t \quad T}{3}; \tag{2.67}$$

or

$$X = x e^{\frac{1}{3} t^{(q)}}; (2.68)$$

which de nes the open at coordinate at large radius. There is no m irror m ap for y, that is, Y = y.

Consider now the fram ing transform ation,

$$(x;y) \ \ (x;y) = (xy^{f};y):$$
 (2.69)

Following this transformations, we get that the open mirrormap for framed outer branes is still given by:

$$X = xe^{\frac{1}{3} t(q)}; \quad Y = y;$$
 (2.70)

and its inversion reads

$$x = X \ 1 \ 2Q + 5Q^2 \ 32Q^3 \ 286Q^4 +$$
: (2.71)

We now move to inner branes. The phase transition from outer branes to inner branes consists in the transform ation

$$(x; y)$$
 7 $(x_i; y_i) = \frac{1}{x}; \frac{y}{x};$ (2.72)

which gives the curve

$$H (x_{i}; y_{i}; q) = y_{i}^{2} x_{i} + y_{i} x_{i}^{2} + y_{i} x_{i} + q; \qquad (2.73)$$

param eterizing an inner brane with zero fram ing. Follow ing the transform ation (2.72), we get that the inner brane m irror m ap reads

$$X = x_i e^{\frac{1}{3} t(q)}; \quad Y = y_i e^{\frac{1}{3} t(q)}:$$
 (2.74)

In term s of the fram ed variables $(x_i; y_i)$, the m irror m ap becom es

$$X = x_{i} e^{\frac{1}{3}(1+f)} Y = y_{i} e^{\frac{1}{3} t(q)}; \qquad (2.75)$$

which can be inverted to

$$x_{i} = X \quad 1 + 2 (1 + f)Q + 1 + f + 2f^{2}Q^{2} + \frac{2 (30 + 25f \quad 3f^{2} + 2f^{3})Q^{3}}{3} + (2.76)$$

3 A new B-m odel form alism

In this section we would like to propose a complete method for solving the open and closed B-model topological string on a Calabi-Yau threefold W which is the mirror of a toric Calabi-Yau threefold M. The method builds on and extends the proposal in [40], and it lies entirely in the B-model. It provides in this way a mirror formalism to the A-model topological vertex for toric Calabi-Yau threefolds [3].

However, our form alism di ers from the topological vertex in one crucial aspect. The topological vertex is non-perturbative in g_s , the string coupling constant, but it is a perturbative expansion in $Q = e^{\frac{t}{s}}$ around the large radius point Q = 0 of the moduli space. In the computation of open amplitudes, the vertex is also perturbative in the open moduli z_i appearing for example in (2.19), and it provides an expansion around $z_i = 0$. As mentioned earlier, the B-model is perfectly suited for studying the amplitudes at various points in the open/closed moduli space. In fact, our form alism provides a recursive method for generating all open and closed amplitudes at any given point in the moduli space. Basically, once one knows the disk and the annulus amplitude at this point, one can generate all the other open and closed amplitudes unam biguously. In particular, not only can we solve topological string theory at large radius points corresponding to sm ooth threefolds, but also at other points in the moduli space such as orbifold and conifold points. This is in contrast to the topological vertex, which is de ned only for sm ooth toric Calabi-Yau threefolds.

Our method is recursive in the genus and in the number of holes of the amplitudes, which is reminiscent of the holom orphic anomaly equations of [12]. However, a crucial

point is that in contrast with the holom orphic anom aly equations, our equations are fully determ ined, that is, they do not su er from the holom orphic am biguities appearing genus by genus when one tries to solve the holom orphic anom aly equations. Our equations are also entirely di erent in nature from the holom orphic anom aly equations, although it was shown in [24] that the form er in ply the latter. More precisely, the resulting am plitudes adm it a non-holom orphic extension xed by modular invariance (as in [1]) which satis es the holom orphic anom aly equations of [12] in the local case.

The main ingredient that we will make use of is the fact that when W is mirror to a toric Calabi-Yau threefold, most of its geometry is captured by a Riemann surface, which is the mirror curve in the notation of the previous section. We will construct recursively an in nite set of merom orphic di erentials and invariants living on the mirror curve, and show that the merom orphic di erentials correspond to open topological string amplitudes, while the invariants give closed topological string amplitudes. The initial conditions of the recursion are xed by simple geometric objects associated to the Riemann surface, which encode the inform ation of the disk and the annulus amplitudes.

Our method is in fact a generalization of the formalism proposed by Eynard and O rantin [23] for solving matrix models. Given a matrix model, one can extract its spectral curve, which is an a ne curve in C². Eynard and O rantin used the bop equations of the matrix model to construct recursively an in nite set of meromorphic di erentials and invariants on the spectral curve, which give, respectively, the correlation functions and free energies of the matrix model. However, the insight of Eynard and O rantin was that one can construct these objects on any a ne curve, whether it is the spectral curve of a matrix model or not. The obvious question is then: what do these objects com pute in general?

As a rst guess, one could try to apply directly Eynard and O rantin to the m irror curve and see what the objects correspond to in topological string theory. However, this would not be correct, since the m irror curve is embedded in C C rather than C^2 ; this is a crucial di erence which must be taken into account. But after suitably modifying the form alism such that it applies to curves in C C, it turns out that the objects constructed recursively correspond precisely to the open and closed am plitudes of topological string theory. As argued in [40] and as we mentioned in the introduction, this is because the form alism of [23] gives the am plitudes of the chiral boson theory on a \quantum " R iem ann surface constructed in [2], which should describe as well the B-m odel on m irrors of toric geom etries (once the form alism is suitably modi ed).

So let us rst start by brie y reviewing the form alism of Eynard and Orantin.

3.1 The form alism of Eynard and Orantin for matrix models

Take an a ne plane curve

$$C : fE(x;y) = 0g C^{2};$$
 (3.1)

where E(x;y) is a polynom ial in (x;y). Eynard and O rantin construct recursively an in nite set of invariants F_g of C, g 2 Z⁺, which they call genus g free energies, by analogy with matrix models. The formalism involves taking residues of merom orphic di erentials $W_k^{(g)}(p_1;:::;p_k)$ on C, which are called genus g, k hole correlation functions.

3.1.1 Ingredients

The recursion process starts with the following ingredients:

the ram i cation points $q \ge C$ of the projection map $C \ge C$ onto the x-axis, i.e., the points $q_i \ge C$ such that $\frac{@E}{@y}(q_i) = 0$. Note that near a ram i cation point q_i there are two points $q_i q \ge C$ with the same projection x(q) = x(q);

the m erom orphic di erential

$$(p) = y(p)dx(p) \tag{3.2}$$

on C, which descends from the symplectic form dx^{2} ;

the Bergm ann kernel B (p;q) on C , which is the unique merom orphic di erential with a double pole at p = q with no residue and no other pole, and norm alized such that I

where $(A_I; B^I)$ is a canonical basis of cycles for C⁴. The Bergm ann kernel is related to the prime form E (p;q) by

$$B (p;q) = @_p@_qE (p;q):$$
(3.4)

W e will also need the closely related one-form

$$dE_{q}(p) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{q}^{2} B(p;); \qquad (3.5)$$

which is de ned locally near a ram i cation point q_i .

⁴N ote that the de nition of the Bergm ann kernel involves a choice of canonical basis of cycles; hence the Bergm ann kernel is not invariant under m odular transform ations | we will come back to that later.

For example, if C has genus 0, its $B\,\mathrm{erg}m$ ann kernel is given, in local coordinate w , by

$$B (p;q) = \frac{dw (p)dw (q)}{(w (p) - w (q))^2}:$$
(3.6)

Note that the Bergm ann kernel is de ned directly on the Riemann surface, and does not depend on a choice of embedding in C^2 , i.e. on the choice of parameterization of the curve. In contrast, by de nition the ram i cation points q_i and the di erential (p) depend on a choice of parameterization of the curve.

Given these ingredients, we can split the recursion process into two steps. First, we need to generate the merom orphic di erentials W $_{k}^{(g)}(p_{1};:::;p_{k})$, and then the invariants F_g.

3.1.2 R ecursion

Let $\mathbb{W}_{h}^{(g)}(p_{1};:::;p_{n}),g;h 2 Z^{+},h = 1$, be an in nite sequence of merom orphic di erentials on C. We rst x

$$W_{1}^{(0)}(p_{1}) = 0; \quad W_{2}^{(0)}(p_{1};p_{2}) = B(p_{1};p_{2}); \quad (3.7)$$

and then generate the remaining di erentials recursively by taking residues at the ram - i cation points as follows:

$$W_{h+1}^{(g)}(p;p_{1}:::;p_{h}) = X_{q=q_{i}}^{R} \underset{q=q_{i}}{\operatorname{Res}} \frac{dE_{q}(p)}{(q)} W_{h+2}^{(g-1)}(q;q;p_{1};:::;p_{h}) + X_{q}^{g} X_{jj \neq 1}^{q} W_{jj \neq 1}^{(g-1)}(q;p_{J})W_{jH j j \neq 1}^{(l)}(q;p_{H nJ}) :$$

$$= 0 J H W_{jJ \neq 1}^{(g-1)}(q;p_{J})W_{jH j j \neq 1}^{(l)}(q;p_{H nJ}) :$$
(3.8)

Here we denoted H = 1; ;h, and given any subset $J =_1$ fi $_j$ g; i H we de ned $p_J = fp_{i_1}$; $_{i_j}$ gp This recursion relation can be represented graphically as in Fig. 3.

Now, from these correlation functions we can generate the invariants F_g . Let (p) be an arbitrary anti-derivative of (p) = y(p)dx(p); that is, d (p) = (p). We generate an in nite sequence of numbers F_g , $g \ge Z^+$, g = 1 by

$$F_{g} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2g} X_{q_{i}} R_{q=q_{i}} (q) W_{1}^{(g)}(q):$$
(3.9)

W e refer the reader to [23] for the form ula for the invariant F_0 , which will not be needed in this paper.



Figure 3: A graphic representation of the recursion relation (3.8).

3.1.3 Sym plectic transform ations

As an a necurve in C 2 , the reparameterization group G $_{\rm C}$ of C is given by

$$G_{\rm C} = SL(2;C) \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array};$$
 (3.10)

that is the group of complex 2 2 m atrices with determ inant 1, acting on the coordinates (x;y) by

$$(x;y)$$
 7 $(ax + by;cx + dy);$
 $c d$
 $c d$
 (3.11)

This is the group that preserves the sym plectic form $\,$ jdx ^ dy jon C $^2.$

It was shown in [23] that the free energies F_g constructed as above are invariants of the curve C , in the sense that they are invariant under the action of G_c . However, the correlation functions $W_k^{(g)}$ (p_1 ;:::; p_k) are not invariant under reparam eterizations, since they are di erentials.

3.1.4 Interpretation

The de nition of these objects was inspired by matrix models. When C is the spectral curve of a matrix model, the merom orphic di erentials $W_k^{(g)}(p_1;:::;p_k)$ and the invariants F_g are respectively the correlation functions and free energies of the matrix model. To be precise, this is true for all free energies with g 1, and all correlation functions with $(g;k) \in (0;1);(0;2)$. We refer the reader to [23] for the de nition of the genus 0

free energy F_0 . In the case of matrix models, the one-hole, genus 0 correlation function $W_1^{(0)}(p)$ is also known as the resolvent and depends on both the potential of the model and the spectral curve,

$$W_{1}^{(0)}(p) = \frac{1}{2} (V^{0}(p) \quad y(p)) dx(p)$$
(3.12)

while the two-hole, genus 0 correlation function $W_2^{(0)}(p_1;p_2)$ is given by subtracting the double pole from the Bergm ann kernel:

$$W_{2}^{(0)}(p_{1};p_{2}) = W_{2}^{(0)}(p_{1};p_{2}) \frac{dp_{1}dp_{2}}{(p_{1} p_{2})^{2}} = B(p_{1};p_{2}) \frac{dp_{1}dp_{2}}{(p_{1} p_{2})^{2}}:$$
 (3.13)

3.2 Our form alism

As noted earlier, when W is mirror to a toric Calabi-Yau threefold, there is a natural R iem ann surface that pops out of the B-m odel geom etry, which is the mirror curve. It is always given by an algebraic curve in C C. Our strategy, extending the proposal in [40], will be to apply a recursive process analog to the above to generate free energies and correlation functions living on the mirror curve. W e will then check extensively that these objects correspond precisely to the open and closed topological string am plitudes.

W e start with an algebraic curve

$$:fH(x;y) = 0g 2 C C ;$$
 (3.14)

where H (x;y) is a polynom ial in (x;y), which are now C -variables. One can think of them as exponentiated variables (x;y) = (e^u;e^v), and this is how they appeared for example in the derivation of m incor symmetry in [31]. The only dimensioned with Eynard-Orantin's geometric setup is that our R iem ann surfaces are embedded in C C rather than C². As such, their reparameterization group is the G of (2.12) (the group of integral 2 2 matrices with determinant 1), which acts multiplicatively on the C - coordinates of , rather than the G_C of (3.11). Consequently, we want to modify the recursive formulae such that the free energies F_g constructed from our curve are invariant under the action of G given by (2.12). As such, they will be invariants of the R iem ann surface embedded in C C.

3.2.1 Ingredients

The recursion process now starts with the following ingredients:

the ram i cation points q_2 of the projection map ! C onto the x-axis, i.e., the points $q_i 2$ such that $\frac{@H}{@y}(q_i) = 0$. Near a ram i cation point, there is again two points $q_i q_2$ with the same projection x(q) = x(q);

the m erom orphic di erential

$$(p) = \log y(p) \frac{\mathrm{dx}(p)}{x(p)} \tag{3.15}$$

on , which descends from the symplectic form

$$\frac{\mathrm{dx}}{\mathrm{x}} \wedge \frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{y}} \tag{3.16}$$

on C $\,$ C $\,$. Note that the one-form $\,$ (p) controls complex structure deform ations for the B-m odel.

the Bergm ann kernel B (p;q) on , and the one-form $dE_q(p)$ de ned earlier.

The main di erence is in the merom orphic di erential (p), which di ers from the previous di erential (p) because of the sym plectic form on C C. Again, both the ram i cation points q_i and the di erential (p) depend on a choice of parameterization for the curve , while the Bergm ann kernel is de ned directly on the Riem ann surface.

3.2.2 R ecursion

As before, the recursion process is given in two steps by (3.8) and (3.9); however, we replace the di erential (p) by the new di erential (p), to make the form alism suitable for algebraic curves in C C. Accordingly, in (3.9) (p) is replaced by an arbitrary anti-derivative (p) of (p) as de ned in (3.15); that is, d (p) = (p).

3.2.3 Sym plectic transform ations

As a curve in C C, the reparam eterization group of is given by the group G of integral 2 m atrices with determ inant 1, acting on the coordinates (x;y) by

$$(x;y)$$
 7 $(x^{a}y^{b};x^{c}y^{d});$ a b 2 G : (3.17)
c d

We claim that the F_g 's constructed above are invariant under the action of this group, hence are invariants of the m incor curve . Computationally speaking, a direct consequence of this statem ent is that we can use the G reparam eterizations above to write down the \sim plest" embedding of the R iem ann surface in C C, and use this embedding to calculate the free energies. We will use this fact extensively in our computations. Note how ever again that the correlation functions are not invariant under G, which will turn out to be crucial.

3.2.4 Interpretation

Suppose now that is the mirror curve of a toric Calabi-Yau threefold M . Our rst claim is:

1. The free energies F_g constructed above are equal to the A-m odel closed topological string am plitudes on the m irror threefold M , after plugging in the closed m irror m ap.

Our second claim is a little bit subtler. Recall that xing the location and fram ing of a brane in the A-m odel corresponds to xing the G parameterization of the m irror curve \cdot Hence, the open am plitudes should depend on the parameterization of \cdot W e claim :

2. The integrated correlation functions $A_k^{(g)} = {}^R W_k^{(g)}(p_1; :::; p_k)$ are equal to the A – m odel fram ed open topological string am plitudes on the m irror threefold M, after plugging in the closed and open m irror m aps.

This statem ent m eans that given a param eterization of $\$, one can compute the correlation functions, integrate them , plug in the m irror m aps, and one obtains precisely the A-m odel open am plitudes for a brane in the location and fram ing corresponding to this particular param eterization.

Note that as for matrix models, these claims are true for closed am plitudes with g 1, and open am plitudes with $(g;k) \in (0;1);(0;2)$. The disk am plitude, that is (g;k) = (0;1), is given by [5,6]

$$A_{1}^{(0)} = \sum_{x=1}^{Z} \log y \frac{dx}{x};$$
 (3.18)

while the annulus am plitude, (g;k) = (0;2), is given by removing the double pole from the Bergm ann kernel:

$$A_2^{(0)} = B(p_1; p_2) \frac{dp_1 dp_2}{(p_1 p_2)^2}$$
: (3.19)

The one-hole am plitude (3.18) can be interpreted as the one-point function of a chiral boson living on [2], and the Bergm ann kernel (3.19) it just its two-point function [38], as expected from the identi cation of the recursive procedure with the theory of the \quantum " chiral boson on the m irror curve. W e will not be concerned with the genus 0, closed am plitude in this paper.

As a result, we get a complete set of equations, directly in the B-m odel, that generate unam biguously all genus (fram ed) open/closed topological string am plitudes for toric Calabi-Yau threefolds. These equations can be understood as some sort of gluing procedure in the B-m odel, with the building blocks corresponding basically to the disk and the annulus am plitudes. In other words, one only needs to know the disk and the annulus am plitudes, and every other am plitude can be com puted exactly using the recursion solution.

Let us nally point out that the approach of [40] is a particular case of our more general form alism in the case that the curve can be written as

$$y(x) = \frac{a(x) + (x)}{c(x)};$$
 $(x) = \frac{Y^{2s}}{x}(x - x_i);$ (3.20)

The choice of x, x is as usual a choice of sign in the square root, hence the di erential (3.15) is given by

(x) (x) =
$$\frac{2}{x} \tanh^{-1} \frac{p}{a(x)} dx$$
: (3.21)
Therefore, in this particular parameterization, our form alism could be regarded as identical to the form alism of [23], albeit for a nonpolynom ial curve given by

$$y_{EO}(x) = \frac{1}{x} \tanh^{-1} \frac{P(x)}{a(x)}$$
: (3.22)

This was the point of view advocated in [38] (see for example equation (2.17) of that paper, where the extra factor of 2 com es from the contribution of x). Therefore, the results of [38] for outer branes with trivial fram ing are also a consequence of our form alism. A s it will become clear in the following, curves of the form (3.20) describe only a very sm all class of D-branes, and the right point of view to work in general is precisely the one we are developing here. However, and as we will elaborate later on, the curve (3.20) is still a useful starting point to compute closed string am plitudes due to sym plectic invariance.

3.3 Computations

Let us now spend some time describing how we will carry out calculations to provide various checks of our claim s. We also present a more algorithm ic version of this form alism that could be applied to compute higher genus/num ber of holes am plitudes. It could in principle be implemented in a computer code, which we hope to do in the near future.

M ost of our calculations will focus on open am plitudes; m ore precisely, on genus 0, one-hole (disk), two-hole (annulus) and three-hole am plitudes, and genus 1, one-hole am plitudes. Let us explain the general idea behind our com putations.

From m irror sym m etry, we are given an algebraic curve :fH(x;y) = 0g in C C, with a G group of reparam eterizations acting as in (2.12). These reparam eterizations correspond physically to changing the location and fram ing of the brane.

3.3.1 Disk am plitude

To compute the disk amplitude, which is given by

$$A_1^{(0)} = = \log y \frac{dx}{x};$$
 (3.23)

all we need to do is to write down y as a function of x; that is, we need to solve H(x;y) = 0 for y. This can be done, as a power series in x, in any parameterization of , and after plugging in the m irror m ap for the open string parameter x in a given parameterization we obtain the framed disk am plitudes for branes ending on any leg of the toric diagram of the m irror manifold. This case was studied in detail in [6, 5].

3.3.2 Annulus am plitude

To compute the annulus amplitude, we need to compute the Bergm ann kernel of . This is trickier. Our strategy, which extends the analysis perform ed in [40], goes as follows.

We rst use the G reparam eterizations to write down the curve in a simple form, such as hyperelliptic. This was the case considered in [40]. Generally, this will correspond physically to a brane ending on an outer leg of the toric diagram, with zero fram ing (but it does not have to be so). In such a param eterization, there exists explicit form ulae to write down the Bergm ann kernel of the curve, at least for curves of genus 0 and 1.

For a curve of genus 0, the Bergm ann kernel is simply given by

B
$$(x_1; x_2) = \frac{dy_1 dy_2}{(y_1 \quad y_2)^2};$$
 (3.24)

where the y_i are de ned implicitly in terms of the x_i by $y_i \coloneqq y(x_i)$, with the function y(x) determined by solving the curve H(x;y) = 0.

W hen has genus 1, there is a form ula, due to A kem ann [7], which expresses the Bergm ann kernel of an hyperelliptic curve of genus 1 in terms of the branch points of the projection m ap ! C onto the x-axis. Let $_i 2 C$, i = 1; ...; 4 be the four branch points of the projection m ap. That is, if $q_i 2$, i = 1; ...; 4 are the ram i cation points, then $_i : x(q_i)$. Then the Bergm ann kernel is given by

$$B(x_{1};x_{2}) = \frac{E(k)}{K(k)} \frac{q(1 \ 3)(4 \ 2)}{4^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{Q_{4}}{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} (x_{1 \ 1} \ 1)(x_{2 \ 1} \ 1)}}{s} + \frac{1}{4(x_{1} \ x_{2})^{2}} \frac{(x_{1 \ 1} \ 1)(x_{1 \ 2} \ 1)(x_{1 \ 4} \ 1)(x_{2 \ 1} \ 1)(x_{2 \ 2} \ 1)}{(x_{1 \ 3} \ 1)(x_{1 \ 4} \ 1)(x_{2 \ 1} \ 1)(x_{2 \ 2} \ 1)} + \frac{(x_{2 \ 3} \ 1)(x_{1 \ 4} \ 1)(x_{2 \ 1} \ 1)(x_{1 \ 2} \ 1)}{(x_{2 \ 3} \ 1)(x_{2 \ 4} \ 1)(x_{1 \ 4} \ 1)} + 2; (3.25)$$

where K (k) and E (k) are elliptic functions of the rst and second kind with modulus

$$k^{2} = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}};$$
(3.26)

Note that this expression involves an ordering of the branch points, which corresponds to choosing a canonical basis of cycles for the R iem ann surface.

Using these explicit formulae, we can integrate the two-point correlation function to get the bare genus 0, two-hole amplitudes $A_2^{(0)}(x_1;x_2)$ in terms of the open string parameters x_1 and x_2 . We then plug in the open m informap for that particular parameterization to obtain the open amplitude.

However, this was done in a particular param eterization, or embedding, which exhibited in a simple form, such as hyperelliptic. To obtain the full fram ed annulus am plitude for branes in other locations, we need to be able to calculate the Bergm ann kernel for other param eterizations. But we have seen that the Bergm ann kernel is in fact de ned directly on the R iem ann surface, and does not depend on the particular embedding of the R iem ann surface. Hence we can use our result above and sim ply reparam eterize it to obtain the Bergm ann kernel of the curve in another param eterization.

For instance, suppose we are given the Bergm ann kernelB $(x_1;x_2)$ for a curve H (x;y) = 0, and that we reparameterize the curve with the framing transformations $(x;y) = (xy^f;y)$, f 2 Z introduced earlier. We obtain a new embedding H (x;y) = 0 of the Riemann surface. To obtain its Bergm ann kernel, we rst compute x = x(x) as a power series in x, and then reparameterize the Bergm ann kernel to get B $(x_1;x_2) = B(x_1(x_1);x_2(x_2))$.

In this way, we are able to compute the bare genus 0, two-hole amplitude for any fram ing and brane. To obtain the full result we must then plug in the open m irror m ap for the open string parameters, in the particular parameterization we are looking at.

3.3.3 Genus 0, three-hole am plitude

To compute the genus 0, three-hole am plitude, we use the recursion form ula (3.8). We can also use the simpler form ula for the three-point correlation function proved by Eynard and O rantin in [23], which reads, for curves embedded in C C:

$$\mathbb{W}_{3}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}_{1};\mathbf{x}_{2};\mathbf{x}_{3}) = \frac{X}{\underset{\mathbf{x}=i}{\operatorname{Res}} B} (\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_{1}) B (\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_{2}) B (\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_{3}) \frac{\mathbf{x}y(\mathbf{x})}{\mathrm{dx}\mathrm{dy}(\mathbf{x})} :$$
(3.27)

U sing our result for the Bergm ann kernel in any param eterization, we can compute the three point correlation function also in any param eterization. Note however that the branch points $_{i}$ 2 C depend on the particular param eterization; hence, when we change param eterization, not only the Bergm ann kernel gets reparam eterized, but the branch points at which we take residues also change.

Let us now spend a few lines on how to nd the ram i cation points $q_i 2$ and the two points q and q satisfying x(q) = x(q) in the neighborhood of a ram i cation point. First, standard geometry says that the ram i cation points q_i are de ned to be the points satisfying

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}(q_i) = 0: \qquad (3.28)$$

The x-projection of the ram i cation points q_i denes the branch points $_i \coloneqq x(q_i) \ge C$. The latter can also be found directly as solutions of dx = 0.

W e will also be interested in determ ining the branch points of the fram ed curve H (x;y) where (x;y) = (xy^f;y); that is, the branch points of the projection on the x-axis of the fram ed curve. These are determ ined by:

$$dx = d(xy^{f}(x)) = y^{f-1}(x)(fxy^{0}(x) + y(x))dx = 0:$$
(3.29)

To nd all the branch points $_{i}$, one has to solve (3.29) for all the di erent branches of y(x).

We can employ the above equation also to analyze the theory near the branch points: given a ram i cation point q_i , and the associated branch point $_i = x(q_i)$, of the projection on the x-axis, we can determ ine the two points q;q 2 with the same x-projection x(q) = x(q) near q_i . Define

$$x(q) = i + ; \quad x(q) = i + S();$$
 (3.30)

where

$$S() = + X_{k 2} C_{k}^{k}$$
: (3.31)

By de nition, we have that

$$x(q) = (_{i} + _{j})y(_{i} + _{j} = (_{i} + S(_{j}))y(_{i} + S(_{j}))f = x(q); \qquad (3.32)$$

which can be used to determ ine S (). At the rst orders, we get

$$c_{2} = \frac{2(1 + f^{2}) \dot{y}(_{i}) + f^{2} \dot{z}^{2} 3 \dot{y}^{0}(_{i}) + f \dot{y}^{(3)}(_{i})}{3f_{i}(1 + f) \dot{y}(_{i}) + f^{2} \dot{z}^{2} \dot{y}^{0}(_{i})};$$

$$c_{3} = \frac{2(1 + f^{2}) \dot{y}(_{i}) + f^{2} \dot{z}^{2} 3 \dot{y}^{0}(_{i}) + f \dot{y}^{(3)}(_{i})}{9f^{2} \dot{z}^{2}(1 + f) \dot{y}(_{i}) - f^{2} \dot{z}^{2} \dot{y}^{0}(_{i})^{2}};$$
(3.33)

3.3.4 Genus 1, one-hole am plitude

To compute the genus 1, one-hole amplitude, we also use the recursion formula (3.8), with the ram i cation points and the Bergm ann kernel corresponding to the chosen param eterization. The general formula for $W_1^{(1)}(q)$ is

$$W_{1}^{(1)}(p) = \sum_{q_{i}}^{X} R e_{q_{i}} \frac{dE_{q}(p)}{(q)} B (q;q):$$
(3.34)

3.3.5 Higher am plitudes

C om putations at higher g;h can be readily m ade in this form alism, although they are m ore com plicated. W hen the algebraic curve is of genus zero, the com putations are straightforward, but they becom e m ore involved as soon as the curve has higher genus. Som e sim pli cations arise how ever when the curve is of the form (3.20) and the di erential (x) is of the form (3.21), since in this case one can adapt the detailed results of [22] to our context (see also [13] for exam ples of detailed com putations). W e w ill refer to this case as the hyperelliptic case, since the underlying geom etry is that of a hyperelliptic curve. Let us brie y review this form alism, follow ing [22] closely, in order to sketch how to com pute system atically higher am plitudes. W e rst write

(x) (x) = 2M (x)
$$p$$
 (x) dx; (3.35)

where (x) is defined in (3.20) and M (x) is called the moment function. In the form alism of [23] applied to conventional matrix models, M (x) is a polynomial. In our form alism form irrors of toric geometries, in the parametrization of the curve given in (3.20), M (x)is given by

$$M(x) = \frac{1}{x(x)} \tanh^{-1} \frac{P(x)}{a(x)}; \qquad (3.36)$$

which is the moment function considered in [40] (again, up to a factor of 2 which comes from (3.35) and in [40] is reabsorbed in the denition of M (x)). When (x) is of the form (3.35) we are electively working on the hyperelliptic curve of genus s 1

$$y^{2}(x) = (x);$$
 (3.37)

with ram i cation points at $x = x_i$, i = 1; ;2s. We denote the Aycle of this curve as the cycle around the cut

$$(x_{2j 1}; x_{2j}); j = 1; ;s 1:$$
 (3.38)

There exists a unique set of s 1 polynom ials of degree s 2, denoted by $L_j(x)$, such that the di erentials

Ι

$$!_{j} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_{j}(x)}{P(x)} dx$$
(3.39)

satisfy

$$!_{i} = _{ij}; \quad i; j = 1; \quad ;s \quad 1:$$
 (3.40)

The $!_{is}$ are called norm alized holom orphic di erentials. The one-form (3.5) can then be written as [22]

$$dE_{x^{0}}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{p} \frac{(x^{0})}{(x)} + \frac{1}{x x^{0}} + \frac{X^{1}}{x x^{0}} C_{j}(x^{0})L_{j}(x) dx$$
(3.41)

where

$$C_{j}(x^{0}) \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \int_{A_{j}}^{L} \frac{dx}{p - \frac{dx}{(x)}} \frac{1}{x - x^{0}}$$
(3.42)

In this form ula, it is assumed that x^0 lies outside the contours A_j . One has to be careful when x^0 approaches some branch point x_j . When x^0 lies inside the contour A_j , then one has: I

$$C_{1}^{reg}(x^{0}) + p \frac{lj}{(x^{0})} = \frac{1}{2} i_{A_{j}} \frac{dx}{p \frac{dx}{(x)} x^{0}} \frac{1}{x x^{0}}$$
 (3.43)

which is analytic in x^0 when x^0 approaches x_{2j-1} or x_{2j} . The Bergm ann kernel is then given by:

$$B(x;x^{0}) = dx^{0} \frac{d}{dx^{0}} \frac{dx}{2(x - x^{0})} + dE_{x^{0}}(x) ; \qquad (3.44)$$

and it can be equivalently written as

$$\frac{B(p;q)}{dpdq} = \frac{1}{2(p-q)^2} + \frac{p(p)}{2(p-q)^2} + \frac{p(p)}{(p-q)^2} + \frac{p(p)}{(p-q)}$$
(3.45)
$$\frac{p(p)}{4(p-q)} + \frac{p(p)}{(p-q)} + \frac{p(p)}{4} + \frac{p(p)}{(p-q)} + \frac{p(p)}{(p-$$

where A (p;q) is a polynom ial. In the elliptic case s = 2, there is one single integral C₁(p) to compute, and one can nd very explicit expressions in term s of elliptic integrals:

$$C_{1}(p) = \frac{2}{(p \ x_{3})(p \ x_{2})} (x_{1} \ x_{3})(x_{2} \ x_{4})} (x_{2} \ x_{3}) (n_{4};k) + (p \ x_{2})K(k);$$

$$C_{1}^{reg}(p) = \frac{2}{(p \ x_{3})(p \ x_{2})} (x_{3} \ x_{2}) (n_{1};k) + (p \ x_{3})K(k)$$

$$C_{1}^{LOG}(p) = \frac{p}{(p \ x_{3})(p \ x_{2})} \frac{(x_{1} \ x_{3})(x_{2} \ x_{4})}{(x_{1} \ x_{3})(x_{2} \ x_{4})} (x_{3} \ x_{2}) (n_{1};k) + (p \ x_{3})K(k)$$
(3.46)

where

$$k^{2} = \frac{(x_{1} \quad x_{2})(x_{3} \quad x_{4})}{(x_{1} \quad x_{3})(x_{2} \quad x_{4})}; \qquad n_{4} = \frac{(x_{2} \quad x_{1})(p \quad x_{3})}{(x_{3} \quad x_{1})(p \quad x_{2})}; \qquad n_{1} = \frac{(x_{4} \quad x_{3})(p \quad x_{2})}{(x_{4} \quad x_{2})(p \quad x_{3})};$$
(3.47)

(n;k) is the elliptic integral of the third kind,

$$(n;k) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{dt}{(1 - nt^{2})^{2} (1 - t^{2})(1 - k^{2}t^{2})}$$
(3.48)

and K (k) is the standard elliptic integral of the second kind.

W ith these ingredients one can compute the residues as required in (3.8). It is easy to see that $dE_{q}(p)=y(q)$, as a function of q, has a pole at q = p but no pole at the branchpoints. It is then easy to see that all residues appearing in (3.8) will be linear com binations of the following kernel di erentials

••

$$_{i}^{(n)}(p) = R e_{q=x_{i}} \frac{dE_{q}(p)}{y(q)} \frac{1}{(q - x_{i})^{n}}$$
(3.49)

which are explicitly given by

$${}_{i}^{(n)}(p) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{1}{p} \frac{d^{n-1}}{(p)} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dq^{n-1}} \frac{1}{2M(q)} \frac{1}{p-q} \int_{j=1}^{X^{-1}} L_{j}(p) C_{j}(q) = (3.50)$$

Notice that in order to compute the kernel di erentials, the only nontrivial objects to com pute are d^kC_{j} = dq^k . For a curve of genus one, they can be evaluated from the explicit expressions in (3.46). In order to compute the residues involved in (3.8), one has to take into account that the residues around branchpoints in terms of a local coordinate as in (3.8) are twice the residues around $x = x_i$ in the x plane [22]. One then nds, for exam ple,

$$W_{0}(p_{1};p_{2};p_{3}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{2s}} M^{2}(x_{i})^{0}(x_{i}) \sum_{i}^{(1)}(p_{1})^{(1)}(p_{2})^{(1)}(p_{3});$$

$$W_{1}(p) = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{2s}} \sum_{i}^{(2)}(p) + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{2s}} 2\frac{A(x_{i};x_{i})}{0(x_{i})} \sum_{j \in i}^{X} \frac{1}{x_{i} - x_{j}} \sum_{i}^{(1)}(p);$$
(3.51)

where A(p;q) is the polynom ial in (3.45).

Therefore, in the hyperelliptic case, when (x) (x) can be written as in (3.35), the computation of the amplitudes can be done by residue calculus and the only part of the calculation which is not straighforward is the evaluation of the integrals (3.42), (3.43). In the elliptic case, they reduce to elliptic functions, as we saw in (3.46). In the general case one can evaluate the integrals in terms of suitable generalizations of elliptic functions.

3.4 Moving in the moduli space

In section 2.2 we discussed in some detail phase transitions in the open/closed string moduli space. We explained why the B-model was perfectly suited for studying such transitions. We now have a form alism , entirely in the B-model, that generates unam biguously all open/closed am plitudes for toric Calabi-Yau threefolds. An obvious application is then to use this form alism to study both open and closed phase transitions, which cannot be studied with A-model form alism s such as the topological vertex.

Recall that the ingredients in our form alism consists in a choice of projection ! C (or equivalently a choice of param eterization of), a di erential (p) corresponding to the disk am plitude, and the Bergm ann kernel B (p;q) of the curve | which yields the annulus am plitude. Note that once the param eterization is chosen, the one-form (p) is canonically de ned to be

(p) =
$$\log y(p) \frac{dx(p)}{x(p)}$$
: (3.52)

Hence (p) really only depends on the choice of param eterization.

We have seen that changing the parameterization of the curve corresponds to changing the location and fram ing of the branes, that is, moving in the open moduli space. This is the mildest type of transition that was considered in section 2.2.1. Since the Bergm ann kernel is really de ne on the Riemann surface, it can simply be reparameterized, and open phase transitions are rather easy to deal with. As explained in section 2.2.1, this is because the amplitudes are simply rational functions of the open string moduli, which we see explicitly in our form alism.

The more interesting types of transitions are thus the transitions between di erent patches which require non-trivial Sp(2g;C) transform ation of the periods. The only ingredient that is modiled by these transitions in the closed string moduli space is the Bergm ann kernel, since its de nition involves a choice of canonical basis of cycles, which corresponds to a choice of periods.

M odular properties of the Bergm ann kernel have been studied in detail in [23, 24]. Under m odular transform ations, the Bergm ann kernel transform swith a shift as follows:

$$B(p;q) \mathcal{V} B(p;q) 2 i! (p)(C + D)^{\perp}C! (q); \qquad (3.53)$$

w ith

and is the period matrix. Here, ! (p) is the holom orphic di erentials put in vector form. In a sense, the Bergm ann kernel is an open analog | since it is a di erential in the open string moduli | of the second Eisenstein series $E_2($), which also transform s with a shift under SL(2;Z) transform ations and generates the ring of quasi-modular form s.

The key point here is that we know how the Bergm ann kernel transforms under phase transitions in the closed string moduli space. Hence not only can we use our form alism to generate the amplitudes anywhere in the open moduli space, but also in the full open/closed moduli space. This means that in principle, we can generate open and closed amplitudes for target spaces such as conifolds or orbifolds. We will explore this avenue further in section 6.

To end this section, let us be a little m ore precise. In this paper we will only consider S-duality transform ations for curves of genus 1, which exchange the A – and the B-cycles. M ore precisely, the S-duality transform ation acts on the basis of periods by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} 2 \, \text{SL} (2; \mathbb{Z}) : \tag{3.55}$$

W hen the curve has genus 1, we can use A kem ann's expression (3.25) to compute the Bergm ann kernel. This expression depends on the branch points $_i$, i = 1; :::;4, and the choice of canonical basis (or periods) is encoded in the choice of ordering of the branch points. In term s of the elliptic m odulus k^2 , the S-duality transform ation is given by

$$k^2 7 1 k^2$$
: (3.56)

U sing the explicit expression for the modulus in terms of the branch points (3.26), we see that the S-transform ation is given by exchanging the two branch points $_2$ and $_4$. In other words, an S-duality transform ation corresponds to the two cuts meeting at one point and then splitting again. Therefore, to determ ine the shifted Bergm ann kernel after an S-duality transform ation, we only need to use A kem ann's expression (3.25) again, but with $_2$ and $_4$ exchanged. U sing this new Bergm ann kernel we can generate

all open and closed am plitudes after the phase transition corresponding to the S-duality transform ation.

We will exemplify this procedure in section 6, where we use an S-duality phase transition to compute open and closed amplitudes at the point in the moduli space of $\log 2P^1$ P¹ where the two P¹'s shrink to zero size. Using large N duality, we can compare the resulting amplitudes with the expectation values of the fram ed unknot in Chern-Sim ons theory on lens spaces, and we not perfect agreement.

4 Genus 0 exam ples

In this section we study two toric Calabi-Yau threefolds, C^3 and the resolved conifold, for which the m irror curve has genus 0.

4.1 The vertex

Our rst example is the simplest toric Calabi-Yau threefold, $M = C^3$. The m irror curve is P^1 with three holes, and can be written algebraically as

$$H^{*}(x;y) = x + y + 1 = 0; \qquad (4.1)$$

with x; y 2 C.

This parameterization corresponds to a brane ending on one of the three outer legs of the toric diagram, with zero framing (in standard conventions). The open mirror map, in this parameterization, is given simply by (X;Y) = (x; y).

4.1.1 Fram ing

The fram ing transform ation is given by

$$(x;y)$$
 7 $(x;y) = (xy^{f};y);$ (4.2)

 $^{^{5}}$ In the follow ing, tilde variables will alw ays denote a curve in zero fram ing, while plain variables will denote a fram ed curve.



Figure 4: The fram ed vertex and its m irror curve.

where x is the fram ed bare open string parameter. From the transform ation above, the open m irror m ap is now given by $(X;Y) = ((1)^{f+1}x; y)$. Under this reparameterization the m irror curve becomes

$$H(x;y) = x + y^{f+1} + y^{f} = 0;$$
(4.3)

which is a branched cover of C \cdot . The fram ed vertex and its m irror curve are shown in gure 4.

4.1.2 Disk am plitude

The bare fram ed disk am plitude is given by

$$A_{1}^{(0)}(x) = \log y(x) \frac{dx}{x}:$$
(4.4)

Thus, we need to nd y = y(x). We can solve (4.3) for y as a power series of x, by using for example Lagrange inversion, and we get

$$y(x) = 1 + \frac{X^{i}}{n} (1)^{n(f+1)} \frac{(nf+n-2)!x^{n}}{(nf-1)!n!}$$

$$= 1 (1)^{f}x + fx^{2} \frac{(1)^{f}}{2} (f+3f^{2})x^{3} + \dots$$
(4.5)

Plugging in the map $x = (1)^{f} X$, we thus get

$$A_{1}^{(0)}(X) = X + \frac{1}{4}(1 + 2f)X^{2} + \frac{1}{18}(2 + 9f + 9f^{2})X^{3} + \frac{1}{48}(3 + 22f + 48f^{2} + 32f^{3})X^{4} + \dots; (4.6)$$

up to an irrelevant constant of integration. This is precisely the result that is obtained on the A-m odel using the topological vertex.

4.1.3 A nnulus am plitude

To compute the annulus amplitude we must compute the Bergm ann kernel of the curve (4.3) in the bare open string parameters x_1 and x_2 .

Let us rst work in the zero fram ing param eterization. Since has genus 0, at zero fram ing the Bergm ann kernel is sim ply given by

$$B(\mathbf{x}_{1};\mathbf{x}_{2}) = \frac{d\mathbf{y}_{1}d\mathbf{y}_{2}}{(\mathbf{y}_{1} \ \mathbf{y}_{2})^{2}}; \qquad (4.7)$$

where the y_i are de ned in plicitly in terms of the x_i by $y_i \coloneqq y(x_i)$, with y(x) obtained by solving H (x;y) = 0, that is y(x) = 1 = x.

But the fram ing transform ation sets $y_1 = y_1$, $y_2 = y_2$, hence we can reparam eterize the Bergm ann kernel and obtain in mediately that

$$B(x_1; x_2) = \frac{dy_1 dy_2}{(y_1 \quad y_2)^2};$$
(4.8)

where now the y_i are defined in plicitly in terms of the x_i by $y_i \coloneqq y(x_i)$, with the function y(x) given by (4.5).

The bare two-hole am plitude is given by removing the double pole and integrating:

$$A_{2}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}_{1};\mathbf{x}_{2}) = B(\mathbf{x}_{1};\mathbf{x}_{2}) \frac{d\mathbf{x}_{1}d\mathbf{x}_{2}}{(\mathbf{x}_{1} \ \mathbf{x}_{2})^{2}}$$

= log(y₁(x₁) + y₂(x₂)) log(x₁ + x₂): (4.9)

Using the expansion (4.5) and the open m irror m ap $X_1 = (1)^f x_1, X_2 = (1)^f x_2,$ we obtain

$$A_{2}^{(0)}(X_{1};X_{2}) = \frac{1}{2}f(f+1)X_{1}X_{2} + \frac{1}{3}f(1+3f+2f^{2})(X_{1}^{2}X_{2} + X_{1}X_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{4}f(1+f)(1+2f)^{2}X_{1}^{2}X_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{8}f(2+11f+18f^{2}+f^{3})(X_{1}^{3}X_{2} + X_{1}X_{2}^{3}) + ::: (4.10)$$

up to irrelevant constants of integration; this matches again the topological vertex result.

4.1.4 Three-hole am plitude

To compute $A_3^{(0)}$, the additional ingredients needed are the ram i cation points of the projection map ! C onto the x-axis for the fram ed curve (4.3). Solving

$$\frac{@H}{@y} = 0; (4.11)$$

we nd only one ram i cation point q_1 at $y(q_1) = \frac{f}{f+1}$. Denote by $_1$ the associated branchpoint, which is given by the x-projection of q_1 , that is $_1 = x(q_1)$.

The amplitude thus becomes

$$A_{3}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}_{1};\mathbf{x}_{2};\mathbf{x}_{3}) = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Res} B (\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_{1})B (\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_{2})B (\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_{3})\frac{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})}{d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{x}\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{d\mathbf{x}}} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Res} B (\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_{1})B (\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_{2})B (\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_{3})\frac{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})}{d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{x}\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{d\mathbf{x}}} \\ \frac{\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{y}_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z} (\mathbf{y})\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{1})d\mathbf{y}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{2})d\mathbf{y}_{3}(\mathbf{x}_{3}) \\ (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{1}))^{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{2}))^{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_{3}(\mathbf{x}_{3}))^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} (\mathbf{y})\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{x}^{2} \mathbf{x$$

Since $x = y^{f}(y + 1)$, we compute easily that

$$\frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{1}{y^{f-1}(f+y(f+1))};$$
(4.13)

which has a simple pole at $y = \frac{f}{f+1}$. Taking the residue and integrating, we get

$$A_{3}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}_{1};\mathbf{x}_{2};\mathbf{x}_{3}) = \int_{1}^{Z} f^{2}(f+1)^{2} \frac{Y^{3}}{\int_{i=1}^{i=1} \frac{dy_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i})}{(f+(f+1)y_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i}))^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{f^{2}}{f+1} \frac{Y^{3}}{\int_{i=1}^{I} \frac{1}{f+(f+1)y_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i})}} :$$
(4.14)

Plugging in the expansion (4.5) and the open m irror m ap, we nally obtain

$$A_{3}^{(0)}(X_{1};X_{2};X_{3}) = f^{2}(1+f)^{2}X_{1}X_{2}X_{3} + f^{2}(1+f)^{2}(1+2f)(X_{1}^{2}X_{2}X_{3} + \text{perm s}) + \frac{1}{2}f^{2}(1+f)^{2}(2+9f+9f^{2})(X_{1}^{3}X_{2}X_{3} + \text{perm s}) + f^{2}(1+3f+2f^{2})^{2}(X_{1}^{2}X_{2}^{2}X_{3} + \text{perm s}) + \dots;$$

which is again in agreem ent with vertex com putations.

4.1.5 The genus one, one hole am plitude

In the computation of $A_1^{(1)}(X)$ we need some extra ingredients, besides the ones that we have already considered. For a curve of genus zero,

$$dE_{q}(p) = \frac{1}{2}dy(p) \frac{1}{y(p) - y(q)} - \frac{1}{y(p) - y(q)} ; \qquad (4.15)$$

where y is a local coordinate. To compute (3.34) in this example, we need q near the ram i cation point q_1 located at $y(q_1) = \frac{f}{1+f}$. Following the general discussion in section 3.3, we write

$$y(q) = \frac{f}{1+f} + ; \quad y(q) = \frac{f}{1+f} + S(); \quad (4.16)$$

By de nition,

$$x(q) = y(q)^{f} (y(q) + 1) = y(q)^{f} (y(q) + 1) = x(q);$$
(4.17)

which we can use to solve for S (), which has the structure presented in β .31). Its power series expansion can be easily determined, and the rst few terms are

S() =
$$+\frac{2(1+f^2)^2}{3f} - \frac{4(1+f^2)^2}{9f^2} + O(^4)$$
: (4.18)

W enow compute (3.34) by using as a local coordinate near the branchpoint. W e need,

$$B (q;q) = \frac{(d^{2})^{2}}{(S(1))^{3}} S^{0}(1); \qquad (4.19)$$

aswellas

(q) (q) =
$$\log \frac{f}{1+f} + \log \frac{f}{1+f} + S() \frac{dx}{d} d$$
: (4.20)

The residue in (3.34) is easily evaluated, and we only need the expansion of S () up to third order. One nds,

$$W_{1}^{(1)}(y) = \frac{(1+f)^{4}y^{2} + 2f(1+f)(2+f+f^{2})y + f^{4}}{24(f(1+p)+p)^{4}}dy;$$
(4.21)

After integration and expanding in X, we obtain

$$A_{1}^{(1)}(y) = \frac{X}{24} + \frac{(1+2f)(f^{2}+f-1)X^{2}}{12} + \frac{(1+3f)(2+3f)(-1+2f+2f^{2})X^{3}}{16} + O(X^{4});$$
(4.22)

which is in perfect agreement with the g = 1 piece of the exact formula in g_s (but perturbative in X) obtained from the topological vertex,

$$A_{1}(y;g_{s}) = \sum_{g=0}^{X^{1}} A_{1}^{(g)}(y)g_{s}^{2g-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{[m f + m 1]!}{m [m]![m f]!} (1)^{m f}X^{m+1}; \quad (4.23)$$

where [n] denotes the q-num ber with parameter $q = e^{g_s}$.

To end this section, we mention that the fram ed vertex results can be written down in a nice way in terms of Hodge integrals, using the Marino-Vafa formula [41]. The recursion relations proposed in this paper induce new recursion relations for the Hodge integrals. In turn, using the well known relation between the fram ed vertex geom etry and Hurw itz numbers, one can obtain a full recursion solution for Hurw itz numbers. This is a nice mathematical consequence of the formalism proposed in this paper, which is studied in [16].

4.1.6 Fram ed vertex in two legs

So far we assumed that all the branes ended on the same leg of the toric diagram of C^3 (the vertex). However, when there are more than one hole, one can consider the case where there is one brane in one leg of the vertex and another brane in another leg; this is shown in gure 5. Let us now compute the annulus am plitude for two branes in two di erent legs. The strategy goes as usual: we start with the Bergm ann kernel for two branes with zero fram ing in the same leg, and then reparam eterize the Bergm ann kernel to obtain two fram ed branes in di erent legs.

To do so, we need to nd the expansion $y_1 = y_1(x_1)$ for a fram ed brane in one leg, which we found already in (4.5), but also $y_2 = y_2(x_2^0)$, where x_2^0 now corresponds to the



Figure 5: The fram ed vertex in two legs.

open string parameter of a framed brane in a dierent leg. That is, we need to be able to relate the curves in the two dierent legs.

As explained in section 2.2, the phase transform ation for moving from one leg of the toric diagram to another, at zero fram ing, reads:

$$(x;y) \ \overline{y} \ (x^{0};y^{0}) = (x^{1};x^{1}y):$$
 (4.24)

Now the fram ing transform ation in this new leg reads

$$(\mathbf{x}^{0};\mathbf{y}^{0}) \ \mathcal{V} \quad (\mathbf{x}^{0};\mathbf{y}^{0}) = \ (\mathbf{x}^{0}(\mathbf{y}^{0})^{\mathrm{f}};\mathbf{y}^{0}); \tag{4.25}$$

where x^0 and y^0 now correspond to fram ed param eters in the new leg. C om bining these two transform ations we get

$$(x;y) \ \ \ (x^{0};y^{0}) = \ (x^{1} f y^{f};x^{1}y); \tag{4.26}$$

Inversely, we have that

$$(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = ((\mathbf{x}^{0})^{-1} (\mathbf{y}^{0})^{\mathrm{f}}; (\mathbf{x}^{0})^{-1} (\mathbf{y}^{0})^{\mathrm{f}+1}):$$
(4.27)

Under this reparam eterization the curve becom es

$$x^{0} + (y^{0})^{f} + (y^{0})^{f+1} = 0;$$
 (4.28)

which is the sam e curve as before! Indeed, for the fram ed vertex, by symmetry changing the leg does not change the am plitudes.

So we know $y^0(x^0)$ which is (4.5) as before. However, what we really want in order to reparam eterize the Bergm ann kernel is $y = y(x^0)$. Using the transform ation above, we know that $y = y(x^0) = (x^0)^{-1} (y^0(x^0))^{f+1}$. As a power series, we get

$$Y = (1)^{f} \frac{1}{x^{0}} (1+f) + \frac{(1)^{f}}{2} f(1+f)x^{0} + \dots$$
(4.29)

Using these results, we can reparam eterize the Bergm ann kernel to get the fram ed annulus am plitude in two di erent legs. For the second open string param eter, we reparam – eterize using $y_1 = y_1 = y_1(x_1)$ given by (4.5), and for the second open string param eter we use (4.29) to get $y_2 = y_2(x_2^0)$. Them irrorm ap for the second open string are eter in $X_1 = (1)^{f_1}x_1$, while for the second param eter from the transform ations above we get the mirror m ap $X_2 = x_2^0$. Removing the double pole and integrating as usual, we get⁶

$$A_{2}^{(0)}(X_{1};X_{2}) = (1)^{f_{2}}X_{1}X_{2} \quad f_{2}X_{1}X_{2}^{2} \quad \frac{(1)^{f_{2}}}{2}(f_{2}(1+3f_{2}))X_{1}X_{2}^{3}$$
$$\frac{1}{2}(1+2f_{1}f_{2})X_{1}^{2}X_{2}^{2} \quad \frac{(1)^{f_{2}}}{2}f_{2}(2+f_{1}+3f_{2}f_{1})X_{1}^{2}X_{2}^{3} + \dots (4.30)$$

which again is in agreem ent with the vertex result.⁷

4.2 The resolved conifold

Let us now turn to the resolved conifold, or $local P^1$. The mirror curve C C has genus 0, and reads

$$H (x;y;q) = 1 + x + y + qxy;$$
(4.31)

with x; y 2 C and $q = e^t$, with t the complexied K ahler parameter controlling the size of the P¹. This is shown in gure 6.

There are two di erences with the fram ed vertex. First, the mirror curve above has a one-dimensional complex structure moduli space, parameterized by q. Hence, we could consider phase transitions in the closed moduli space. However, as explained in section 2.2.1, since the curve has genus 0, the am plitudes are rational functions of the closed moduli, that is there is no non-trivial monodrom y for the periods. Hence, in this case these transitions are not very interesting.

 $^{^{6}}N$ ote that here we have two fram ings f_{1} and f_{2} corresponding to the two di erent branes.

⁷M ore precisely, to get the topological vertex result we need to rede ne f_1 ? f_1 1, which is just a rede nition of what we mean by zero fram ing.



Figure 6: The resolved conifold and its m irror curve.

A nother di erence is that in contrast with the fram ed vertex, changing phase in the open m oduli space, that is, m oving the brane from one leg to another, yields di erent am plitudes. There are basically two types of am plitudes, corresponding to \outer" branes (ending on an outer leg of the toric diagram) and \inner" branes, as explained in section 2.2. Since this type of transitions will be studied in detail for the local P^2 exam ple, for the sake of brevity we will not present here the calculations for the resolved conifold. Let us sim ply m ention that we checked that both the fram ed outer and fram ed inner brane am plitudes at large radius (in the lim it q ! 0) reproduce precisely the results obtained through the topological vertex. The calculations are available upon request.

5 Genus 1 exam ples

We now turn to the more interesting cases where the mirror curve has genus 1. We will study two examples in detail: $local P^2$ and $local F_n$, n = 0;1;2, where F_n is the n'th Hirzebruch surface. Note that $F_0 = P^1 P^1$. For the sake of brevity, we do not include here all the calculations; but we are happy to provide them with more detailed explanations to the interested reader.



Figure 7: An outer brane in $bcal P^2$ and its m irror.

5.1 Local P^2

The local P^2 geometry is described by the charge vector (3;1;1;1). The m irror curve is an elliptic curve with three holes, and can be written algebraically as:

$$H (\mathbf{x}_{i}; \mathbf{y}_{i}; q) = \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i} + \mathbf{x}_{i}^{2} \mathbf{y}_{i} + \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{2} + q;$$
(5.1)

with $\mathbf{x}_i; \mathbf{y}_i \ 2 \ C$ and $q = e^t$, with t the complexied Kahler parameter of local P^2 . As for the resolved conifold, there are two distinct phases in the open moduli space, corresponding to outer and inner branes. The above parameterization of the curve corresponds to a brane ending on an inner leg of the toric diagram, with zero fram ing (in standard conventions), hence the i subscript. For an outer brane with zero fram ing, the curve reads (see section 2.3.6)

H
$$(x;y;q) = y^2 + y + yx + qx^3 = 0$$
: (5.2)

The outer brane geometry is shown in gure 7.

Note that as for the resolved conifold, there are now more than one phases in the closed moduli space as well. Since the curve has genus 1, the periods now have non-trivialm onodrom y, and undergoing phase transitions in the closed moduli space becomes relevant. For instance, the closed moduli space contains a patch corresponding to the orbifold $C^3=Z_3$, in the limit where the P^2 shrinks to zero size. However, in this section

we will focus on the large radius $\lim it q ! 0$ in order to compare with the topological vertex results on the A-m odel side.

The m irror m aps for this geom etry at large radius were studied in section 2.3.6, for both fram ed outer and fram ed inner branes.

5.1.1 Fram ed outer am plitudes

W e start by computing the amplitudes for fram ed outer branes. To compute the disk amplitude we need y = y(x). W e get

$$y = 1 + x \quad f x^{2} + \frac{(f + 3f^{2} \quad 2z) x^{3}}{2} \quad \frac{(1 + 4f) (f + 2f^{2} \quad 3z) x^{4}}{3} + \dots$$
(5.3)

By de nition, the bare disk am plitude is given by

$$A_{1}^{(0)}(x) = \log y(x) \frac{dx}{x};$$
 (5.4)

and after expressing the result in at open and closed coordinates using (2.71) we get precisely the topological vertex result for the disk amplitude of a fram ed brane in an outer leg.

We now turn to the annulus am plitude. The bare annulus am plitude is given by:

$$A_{2}^{(0)}(x_{1};x_{2}) = B(x_{1};x_{2}) \quad \log(x_{1}+x_{2}):$$
 (5.5)

Hence, we need the Bergm ann kernel B $(x_1;x_2)$ of the fram ed outer curve. As explained earlier, this is simply given by reparam eterizing the Bergm ann kernel of the unfram ed outer curve (5.2).

It turns out that the unfram ed outer curve (5.2) is hyperelliptic. Consequently, we can use A kem ann's expression (3.25) for the Bergm ann kernel in terms of the branch points of the x-projection | here we follow the calculation perform ed in [40]. To obtain these branch points, we rst solve (5.2) for y as:

$$y = \frac{(x+1)}{2} \frac{p}{(x+1)^2} \frac{4qx^3}{4qx^3}; \qquad (5.6)$$

It turns out to be easier to work with the inverted variable $s = x^{1}$. In this variable, the branch points of the curve are $s_{1} = 0$ and the roots of the cubic equation

$$s(s+1)^2 \quad 4q=0$$
: (5.7)

In term s of

$$= 1 + 54q + 6^{p} \frac{p}{3} \frac{p}{q} \frac{1}{(1 + 27q)}^{\frac{1}{3}};$$
 (5.8)

they are given by

$$s_{2} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} ; \quad s_{3} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} ; \quad s_{4} = \frac{(1)^{2}}{3}; \quad (5.9)$$

where $! = \exp(2i = 3)$. Plugging in these branch points in A kem ann's form ula (3.25), we obtain the Bergm ann kernel for the unframed outer curve, and the annulus am plitude in zero fram ing, as in [40].

W e now want to implement the framing reparam eterization. The reparam eterization x = x(x) can be computed using that $x(x) = xy(x)^{-f}$ with y(x) given in (5.3). We nally obtain, after reparam eterizing the Bergm ann kernel, plugging in the m irror m aps (2.71), and integrating, that the fram ed annulus am plitude for outer branes reads:

$$A_{2}^{(0)}(X_{1};X_{2}) = \frac{h_{f}}{2} + \frac{f^{2}}{2} + \frac{f^{2}}{2} + 2f^{2}Q + 4 + 7f + 7f^{2}Q^{2}$$

$$35 + 42f + 42f^{2}Q^{3} + \cdots + X_{1}X_{2}$$

$$+ \frac{h_{f}}{3}f^{2} + \frac{2f^{3}}{3} + 1 + 4f + 6f^{2} + 4f^{3}Q + 3 + 15f + 27f^{2} + 18f^{3}Q^{2} + 4f^{3}Q^{2} + 3 + 24f^{3}Q^{2} + 164f^{2} + \frac{328f^{3}}{3}Q^{3} + \frac{1}{2}(X_{1}^{2}X_{2} + X_{1}X_{2}^{2}) + \cdots + (5.10)$$

This is again precisely the result obtained through the topological vertex.

The genus 0 three-hole am plitude for fram ed outer branes can be computed using the general form ula (3.27), after reparam eterizing the Bergm ann kernel. However, to implement this form ula we need to not the branch points of the fram ed curve | note that these are dierent from the branch points of the unfram ed curve found previously. As explained earlier, these branch points are given by the solutions of equation (3.29). In this case, (3.29) becomes a cubic equation in x, and the three branch points can be determined exactly by Cardano's method. Note that it will be relevant which branch of (5.6) the branch points belong to; thus we will use the indices accordingly.

The rst orders of the q-expansion of the branch points read:⁸

 $^{^{8}}$ N ote that the branch points are not regular as f ! 0, but the nal expression of the three-hole am plitude will be.

$$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{2+6f+3f^{2}}{1+3f+2f^{2}} + \frac{1+3f+2f^{2}}{(2+3f)^{2}q} + \frac{(2+3f)^{2}(3+18f+37f^{2}+30f^{3}+9f^{4})q}{(1+3f+2f^{2})^{3}} + :::$$

$$\frac{1+3f}{1-2f} + \frac{(1+3f)^{3}(2+3f)q}{f(1+2f)^{3}} + \frac{(1+3f)^{5}(2+3f)(1+2f+6f^{2})q^{2}}{f^{3}(1+2f)^{5}} + :::$$

$$\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{1+f} + \frac{(2-3f)q}{f(1+f)^{3}} + \frac{(2+3f)(1+f(8+9f))q^{2}}{f^{3}(1+f)^{5}} + :::$$

$$(5.11)$$

Taking into account the branches, plugging in the mirror map and integrating, we obtain the following result in at coordinates:

$$A_{3}^{(0)}(X_{1};X_{2};X_{3}) = \int_{0}^{11} f^{2}(1+f)^{2} + 1 + 6f + 12f^{2} + 12f^{3} + 6f^{4} Q$$
(5.12)

$$3 1 + 3f + 3f^{2} Q^{2} + 4 9 + 36f + 77f^{2} + 82f^{3} + 41f^{4} Q^{3} + X_{1}X_{2}X_{3} +$$

which reproduces again the topological vertex result.

Note that we also computed the genus 1, one-hole amplitude, which also matches with topological vertex calculations.

5.1.2 Fram ed inner am plitudes

W e can compute the amplitudes for fram ed inner branes in a way similar to the calculations above for outer branes. The main subtelty occurs in the reparam eterization of the Bergm ann kernel.

Since we want to use A kem ann's form ula for the Bergm ann kernel, we start again with the curve in hyperelliptic form (5.2), which corresponds to the unframed outer brane. We then reparameterize that curve to obtain the Bergm ann kernel corresponding to the curve associated to framed inner branes.

R ecall that the transform ation which takes the unfram ed outer curve to the unfram ed inner curve is given by (2.72),

$$(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}_{i}}; \frac{\mathbf{y}_{i}}{\mathbf{x}_{i}} :$$
 (5.13)

The fram ing transform ation for inner branes is

$$(\mathbf{x}_{i};\mathbf{y}_{i}) = (\mathbf{x}_{i}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{f};\mathbf{y}_{i}):$$
 (5.14)

Hence we obtain the combined transform ation

$$(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{x}_{i}^{1}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{f};\mathbf{x}_{i}^{1}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{f+1}); \qquad (5.15)$$

which we can use to reparam eterize the Bergm ann kernel. Note that this is similar to the calculation for the fram ed vertex in two legs. More explicitly, we obtain

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) = \mathbf{f} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}_{i}} \qquad \frac{\mathbf{f}}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{f}^{2}}{2} \qquad \mathbf{x}_{i} + \frac{\mathbf{f}}{3} + \mathbf{f}^{2} + \frac{2\mathbf{f}^{3}}{3} \qquad \mathbf{x}_{i}^{2} + \cdots$$
(5.16)

Using this reparam etrization and the mirror map (2.76) for fram ed inner branes we obtain the fram ed inner brane annulus am plitude:

$$A_{2}^{(0)}(X_{1};X_{2}) = \frac{^{n}f}{2} + \frac{f^{2}}{2} + 1 2f 2f^{2} 2f^{3} f^{4} Q +$$

$$+ 11 + \frac{35f}{2} + \frac{81f^{2}}{4} + \frac{157f^{3}}{8} + \frac{93f^{4}}{8} + \frac{27f^{5}}{8} + \frac{5f^{6}}{8} Q^{2} 131 + 201f +$$

$$+ \frac{467f^{2}}{2} + \frac{15023f^{3}}{90} + \frac{781f^{4}}{180} \frac{47f^{5}}{72} + \frac{1429f^{6}}{18} \frac{1537f^{7}}{360} \frac{221f^{8}}{180} Q^{3} + \dots X_{1}X_{2} +$$

$$+ 1 \frac{3f}{2} + \frac{f^{2}}{2} Q^{2} + 8 + 16f 14f^{2} + 6f^{3} f^{4} Q^{3} + \dots \frac{1}{X_{1}X_{2}} + \dots$$
(5.17)

This reproduces the topological vertex result, including both positive and negative winding num bers contributions.

Note that we also computed the genus 0, three-hole and the genus 1, one-hole am - plitudes for fram ed inner am plitudes and obtained perfect m atch again.

W e also com puted the annulus am plitude for one brane in an outer leg and one brane in an inner leg, paralleling the fram ed vertex in two legs calculation. W e again obtained perfect agreem ent.

5.2 Local F_n , n = 0;1;2

We now study the local F_n , n = 0;1;2 geometries, where F_n is the n'th Hirzebruch surface. Note that $F_0 = P^1 P^1$.

The local F_n geometries are described by the two charge vectors:

$$Q^{1} = (2;1;1;0;0);$$

 $Q^{2} = (n 2;0; n;1;1):$ (5.18)



Figure 8: An outer brane in $local F_0$ and its m irror.

The mirror curves $_{n}$ C C have genus 1 and four punctures. In the paramaterization corresponding to a brane placed in an external leg (with zero fram ing), they read:

$$H_{n}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y};\mathbf{q}_{t};\mathbf{q}_{s}) = \mathbf{y}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^{2} + \mathbf{q}_{t}\mathbf{x}^{2}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{q}_{t}^{n}\mathbf{q}_{s}\mathbf{x}^{n+2}; \qquad (5.19)$$

with x; y 2 C, $q_t = e^t$ and $q_s = e^s$, with t and s the complexied K ahler parameters. The local F_0 geometry is shown in gure 8.

The closed moduli space is now two-dimensional, being spanned by q_t and q_s . However, these curves are still hyperelliptic, and we can apply our form alism exactly as we did for the local P^2 case. Therefore, we will not do the full calculation here, but only highlight some interesting aspects.

The large radius expansion for $\text{local}F_0 = P^1 \quad P^1$ has been discussed in detail in [40], where several open am plitudes (for outer branes with canonical fram ing) were computed. Needless to say, we checked that our form alism can be used to complete the calculations by including fram ing and inner brane con gurations.

Besides the large radius point, our form alism allows to compute topological strings amplitudes at other points in the closed moduli space of local F_0 , like the conifold point and the orbifold point. The latter corresponds to the point where the $P^1 = P^1$ shrinks to zero size. This special point will be discussed in great detail in the next section.

For $\operatorname{local} F_1$ and F_2 , the open and closed m irror m aps together with the disk am plitudes for inner and outer branes were studied, for instance, in [37]. Again, we showed that our form alism allows to compute framed inner and outer higher am plitudes in the large radius limit, checking our results with the topological vertex ones. A san example, the outer annulus amplitude at zero fram ing for the local ${\rm F}_1$ geom etry reads:

$$\begin{array}{c} h & i \\ A_{2}^{(0)}(X_{1};X_{2}) = & Q_{s}Q_{t} & 3Q_{t}^{2}Q_{s} + 4Q_{t}^{2}Q_{s}^{2} & 5Q_{s}Q_{t}^{3} + & X_{1}X_{2} \\ h & i \\ Q_{s}Q_{t} & 2Q_{t}^{2}Q_{s} + 3Q_{t}^{2}Q_{s}^{2} & 4Q_{s}Q_{t}^{3} + & X_{1}X_{2}^{2} + X_{1}^{2}X_{2} \\ h & i \\ + & Q_{s}Q_{t} & 2Q_{t}^{2}Q_{s} + 4Q_{t}^{2}Q_{s}^{2} & 3Q_{s}Q_{t}^{3} + & X_{1}X_{2}^{3} + X_{1}^{3}X_{2} \\ + & Q_{s}Q_{t} & 2Q_{t}^{2}Q_{s} + \frac{7}{2}Q_{t}^{2}Q_{s}^{2} & 3Q_{s}Q_{t}^{3} + & X_{1}X_{2}^{2} + \end{array}$$
(5.20)

while for the local F_2 geom etry:

Both of these coincide indeed with the topological vertex results.

There is also another interesting phase in the local F_1 moduli space | see for instance [36]. By de nition, F_1 is a P^1 bundle over P^1 , where the P^1 base is an exceptional curve. In fact, F_1 is isomorphic to P^2 blown up in one point, the base of the bration corresponding to the blown up exceptional curve. Hence, we can blow down this exceptional P^1 , and we should recover P^2 . In other words, if we take the open am plitudes for local F_1 and m ove to the phase in the moduli space where this exceptional P^1 goes to zero size, we should recover the open am plitudes for local P^2 . G oing to this patch in fact corresponds to a mild transform ation in the closed moduli space, since it does not involve a rede nition of the periods. The phase transition can then be directly in plan ented on the am plitudes as no modular transform ation is needed.

M ore speci cally, it can be implemented in the local F_1 annulus amplitude (5.20) by rst de ning $Q_s = Q_s Q_t$ and then taking the lim it Q_t ! 0. W e get:

$$A_{2}^{(0)}(X_{1};X_{2}) = \begin{array}{ccc} h & i & h & i \\ \mathcal{Q}_{s} + 4\mathcal{Q}_{s}^{2} + & X_{1}X_{2} + \mathcal{Q}_{s} & 3\mathcal{Q}_{s}^{2} + & X_{1}X_{2}^{2} + X_{1}^{2}X_{2} \\ h & i & h & i \\ + \mathcal{Q}_{s} + 4\mathcal{Q}_{s}^{2} + & X_{1}X_{2}^{3} + X_{1}^{3}X_{2} + & \mathcal{Q}_{s} + \frac{7}{2}\mathcal{Q}_{s}^{2} + & X_{1}^{2}X_{2}^{2} + \end{array}$$
(5.22)

which indeed coincides with the local P^2 annulus amplitude at zero fram ing, see (5.10).

6 Orbifold points

As we already emphasized, one of the main feature of our B-m odel form alism is that it can be used to study various phases in the open/closed moduli space, not just large radius points. In particular, there are two special points where we can use our form alism to generate open and closed am plitudes; the orbifold point of local P², which corresponds to the orbifold $C^3=Z_3$, and the point in them oduli space of local P¹ P¹ where the P¹ P¹ shrinks to zero size (which we will call the local P¹ P¹ orbifold point, although it is not really an orbifold).

In the second example, we can use large N dualities to make a precise test of our form alism, and of its ability to produce results in all of the K ahler moduli space (and not only at the large radius lim it). Indeed, it was argued in [4] that topological strings on A_{p-1} brations over P^1 are dual to Chern (Sim ons theory on the lens space L (p;1). In particular, the topological string expansion around the orbifold point of these geom etries can be computed by doing perturbation theory in the Chern (Sim ons gauge theory. This was checked for closed string amplitudes in [4], for p = 2. We will extend this duality to the open string sector and make a detailed comparison of the amplitudes.

In the rst example, we would obtain open and closed orbifold am plitudes of $C^3=Z_3$. The closed am plitudes were already studied in [1]; by now some of the predictions of that paper for closed orbifold G rom ov-W itten invariants have been proved m athem atically. For the open am plitudes, to the best of our know ledge open orbifold G rom ov-W itten invariants have not been de ned m athem atically, hence there is nothing to compare to. However, we proposed in section 2.3.5 a m ethod for determ ining the at coordinates at all degeneration points in the m oduli space which, as we will see, applies to the local P^1 P^1 orbifold point. Therefore, we will assume that it should work at the $C^3=Z_3$.

Let us start by studying the local $P^1 = P^1$ orbifold point. We rst perform the Chern-Sim ons calculation, then explain the large N duality, and nally present our dual B-m odel calculation.

6.1 Chern{Sim ons theory and knots in lens spaces

In order to extend the duality of [4] to the open sector, we will need some detailed computations in Chern{Sim ons theory. In this subsection we review [38,4] and extend them slightly to include W ilson loops.

Lens spaces of the form L(p;1) can be obtained by gluing two solid 2-torialong their boundaries after perform ing the SL(2;Z) transform ation,

$$U_{p} = \frac{1}{p} \frac{0}{1} :$$
 (6.1)

This surgery description makes it possible to calculate the partition function of Chem{ Sim ons theory on these spaces, as well as correlation functions of W ilson lines along trivial knots, in a simple way. To see this, we rst recall some elementary facts about Chem{Sim ons theory.

An SL(2;Z) transform ation given by the matrix

$$U^{(p_i, r_{i})} = \begin{array}{c} p_i & r_i \\ q_i & s_i \end{array}$$
(6.2)

lifts to an operator acting on H (T^2), the H ilbert space obtained by canonical quantization of Chem{Sim ons theory on the 2-torus. This space is the space of integrable representations of a W ZW m odel with gauge group G at level k, where G and k are respectively the Chem{Sim ons gauge group and the quantized coupling constant. W e will use the follow ing notations: r denotes the rank of G, and d its dimension. y denotes the dual C oxeter number. The fundamental weights will be denoted by _i, and the sim ple roots by _i, with i = 1; ;r. The weight and root lattices of G are denoted by and _r, respectively. Finally, we put l = k + y.

Recall that a representation given by a highest weight is integrable if the weight + is in the fundam entalcham ber F₁ (denotes as usual the W eylvector, given by the sum of the fundam entalweights). The fundam entalcham ber is given by $_{w} = \lim_{r \to 1} \lim_{r \to 1} m$ odded out by the action of the W eylgroup. For example, in SU (N) a weight $p = \lim_{r \to 1}^{r} p_{i}$ is in F₁ if X^{r}

 $p_i < 1;$ and $p_i > 0; i = 1;$;r: (6.3)

In the following, the basis of integrable representations will be labeled by the weights in F $_{\rm l}.$

In the case of sim ply-laced gauge groups, the SL (2;Z) transform ation given by U $^{(p,q)}$ has the follow ing m atrix elements in the above basis [43, 29]:

$$h \ j U^{(p_{\mathcal{H}})} j \ i = \frac{[i \ sign (q)]^{j + j}}{(l \ j q)^{r=2}} \exp^{h} \frac{i d}{12} (U^{(p_{\mathcal{H}})})^{i} \frac{V \ ol \ w}{V \ ol \ r}$$

$$X \ X \qquad (w) \exp^{h} \frac{i}{lq} p^{2} 2 (ln + w()) + s(ln + w())^{2} :$$

$$(6.4)$$

In this equation, j $_+$ jdenotes the num ber of positive roots of G , and the second sum is over the W eylgroup W of G . (U $^{\rm (p\,R)}$) is the R adem acher function:

$$\begin{array}{c} p \quad r \\ q \quad s \end{array} = \frac{p+s}{q} \quad 12s(p;q); \tag{6.5}$$

where s(p;q) is the Dedekind sum

$$s(p;q) = \frac{1}{4q} \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \cot \frac{n}{q} \cot \frac{np}{q} :$$
 (6.6)

From the above description it follows that the partition function of the lens space L(p;1) is given by

$$Z(L(p;1)) = h J_p j i;$$
 (6.7)

where U_p is the lift of (6.1) to an operator on H (T²). In order to m ake contact with the open sector, we need as well the norm alized vacuum expectation value of a W ilson line along the unknot in L (p;1), in the representation R, which is given by

$$W_{R} = \frac{h \, j U_{p} j + i}{h \, j U_{p} j i}; \qquad (6.8)$$

where is the highest weight corresponding to R. The num erator can be written (up to an overall constant that will cancel with the denom inator)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & X & & n \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ n_{2 r=p r} w^{2W} \end{array} & & \begin{pmatrix} n \\ \downarrow \\ p \end{pmatrix}^{2} & 2 (ln + w (+)) + (ln + w (+))^{2} \end{array}$$

It is a simple exercise in G aussian integration to check that this quantity can be written as

where

$$\hat{g}_{s} = \frac{2}{pl}; \qquad (6.11)$$

and $d = {Q \atop i=1}^{r} d_{i}$ and d_{i} are the Dynkin coordinates of , understood as an element in W R. This integral can be further written as

^Z n
$$\frac{1}{2\hat{g}_{s}}$$
 ² 'n ^OY $2\sinh\frac{2}{2}$ 'tr_R e ; (6.12)

where we have used W eyl's form ula for the character,

$$tr_{R} e = \frac{P^{W 2W}}{W^{2W}} (w) e^{w(+)}$$
(6.13)

as well as W eyl's denom inator form ula. It follows that

7

$$W_{R} = \frac{1}{Z(L(p;1))} d \exp^{n} \frac{1}{2\hat{g}_{s}} d \exp^{-n} \frac{1}{2\hat{g}_{$$

where

$$Z (L (p;1)) = d \exp \frac{1}{2\hat{g}_{s}}^{2} n + 2 \sinh \frac{2}{2} : (6.15)$$

This provides matrix integral representations for both the partition function (derived previously in [38, 4]) and the normalized vacuum expectation value of a W ilson line around the unknot. Both expressions are computed in the background of an arbitrary at connection labelled by the vector n. Notice that, when n = 0, one has that

$$W_{R} = e^{\hat{g}_{s}=2(R_{R}+I(R_{0})N_{0})} \dim_{\alpha} R; \qquad (6.16)$$

where $\dim_q R$ is the U (N) quantum dimension of R with $q = e^{g_s}$. We can therefore regard (6.14) for arbitrary n as a generalization of quantum dimensions.

As shown in [38, 4], the partition function above can be written more conveniently in terms of a multi(matrix model for p H erm itian matrices. In the case of L (2;1) (to which we will restrict ourselves), a generic at connection can be specified by a breaking U (N) ! U (N₁) U (N₂), or equivalently by a vector n with N₁ + 1 entries and N₂

1 entries. It is then easy to see [4] that the partition function (6.15) is given by the Herm itian two-matrix model,

$$Z (N_{1}; N_{2}; \hat{g}_{s}) = dM_{1} dM_{2} exp = \frac{1}{2\hat{g}_{s}} TrM_{1}^{2} + V (M_{1}) + V (M_{2}) + W (M_{1}; M_{2});$$
(6.17)

where

$$V (M) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{k}}{a_{k}} \frac{X^{2k}}{(1)^{s}} \frac{2k}{s} TrM^{s}TrM^{2k-s};$$

$$W (M_{1};M_{2}) = \frac{X^{k}}{b_{k}} \frac{X^{2k}}{(1)^{s}} \frac{2k}{s} TrM^{s}TrM^{2k-s};$$

$$K = 1 \quad s = 0 \qquad (6.18)$$

and

$$a_k = \frac{B_{2k}}{k(2k)!}; \qquad b_k = \frac{2^{2k}}{k(2k)!} B_{2k}:$$
 (6.19)

The vacuum expectation value of the unknot in L (2;1) is sim ilarly given by

$$W_{R}(N_{1};N_{2};\hat{g}_{s}) = \frac{1}{Z(N_{1};N_{2};\hat{g}_{s})}htr_{R}e^{M}i; \qquad (6.20)$$

where, in terms of the eigenvalues m $_1^1$, m $_j^2$ of M $_1$, M $_2$, the matrix e^{M} $\,$ is given by

$$e^{M} = diag(e^{m_{1}^{1}}; e^{m_{N}^{2}}; e^{m_{1}^{2}}; i^{m_{N}^{2}}):$$
 (6.21)

The vev in (6.20) is defined by the weight given by the exponent in (6.17), and it can be easily computed in perturbation theory.

In order to com pare the results with the string theory results, we will need to com pute the connected vevs W $_{k}^{(c)}$ in the $k = (k_1; k_2;)$ basis, which are dened by

$$\log_{R}^{h_{X}} W_{R} \operatorname{Tr}_{R} V = \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{1}{z_{\kappa}!} W_{\kappa}^{(c)} (V); \qquad (6.22)$$

where the notations are as in (A 3). Using the matrix model representation we can easily compute, for example,

$$\begin{split} W_{(1,0)}^{(c)} &= {}_{1}N_{1} \quad N_{2} + \frac{\hat{g}_{s}}{2}(N_{1}^{2} \quad N_{2}^{2}) + \frac{\hat{g}_{s}^{2}}{24}(N_{1} \quad N_{2}) \quad 4N_{1}^{2} + 4N_{2}^{2} + 10N_{1}N_{2} \quad 1 \quad + \\ W_{(2,0)}^{(c)} &= {}_{1}\hat{g}_{s}(N_{1} + N_{2}) + \frac{\hat{g}_{s}^{2}}{2} \quad 3N_{1}^{2} + 3N_{2}^{2} + 4N_{1}N_{2} \\ &+ \frac{\hat{g}_{s}^{3}}{6} \quad 7(N_{1}^{3} + N_{2}^{3}) + 15(N_{1}^{2}N_{2} + N_{1}N_{2}^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{\hat{g}_{s}^{4}}{24} \quad 15N_{1}^{4} + 47N_{1}^{3}N_{2} + fN_{1} \quad N_{2}g + 63N_{1}^{2}N_{2}^{2} + 3N_{1}N_{2} \quad + \\ W_{(0,1,0)}^{(c)} &= {}_{1}N_{1} + N_{2} + 2\hat{g}_{s}(N_{1}^{2} + N_{2}^{2}) + \frac{\hat{g}_{s}^{2}}{3}(N_{1} + N_{2}) \quad 5N_{1}^{2} + 5N_{2}^{2} \quad 2N_{1}N_{2} + 1 \\ &+ \frac{\hat{g}_{s}^{3}}{12} \quad 11N_{1}^{4} + 18N_{1}^{3}N_{2} + 6N_{1}^{2}N_{2}^{2} + 5N_{1}^{2} + 18N_{1}N_{2} + fN_{1} \quad N_{2}g \quad : \\ \end{split}$$

In order to compare with topological string amplitudes it is convenient to reorganize the connected vevs in terms of the 't H ooft expansion. To do that, we introduce the 't H ooft variables

$$S_i = \hat{g}_s N_i; \quad i = 1; \quad ;p:$$
 (6.24)

A diagram m atic argum ent based on fatgraphs says that the connected vevs have the structure

$$W_{k}^{(c)}(S_{i};\hat{g}_{s}) = X_{gh_{i}}^{\chi} \hat{g}_{s}^{2g-2+ kj+\sum_{i}h_{i}} F_{gkh_{i}} N_{1}^{h_{1}} \qquad \sum_{p}^{h_{p}} N = X_{gh_{i}}^{\chi} \hat{g}_{s}^{2g-2+ kj} F_{gkh_{i}} S_{1}^{h_{1}} \qquad \sum_{p}^{h_{p}} S$$
(6.25)

The explanation for this is simple: in term s of fatgraphs, the connected vev W $_{\kappa}^{(c)}$ (N $_{i}$; \hat{g}_{s}) is obtained by sum m ing over fatgraphs with a xed number of holes \tilde{K} jbut with varying genus g and number of coloured "holes h_{i} . We can sum over all coloured holes at xed genus to obtain the amplitude

$$W_{k}^{(g)}(S_{i}) = K_{g,k,h_{i}}^{(g)}S_{1}^{h_{1}} \qquad P_{p}^{h_{p}}S \qquad (6.26)$$

Finally, in order to make contact with the open toplogical string amplitudes we notice that v

$$X = \frac{1}{Z_{R}} W_{R}^{(g)}(S_{1}) = W_{h}^{(g)}(Z_{1}; h)$$
(6.27)

under the dictionary (A.6).

From the above explicit computations we get the following results:

$$A_{1}^{(0)}(p) = p S_{1} S_{2} + \frac{1}{2}(S_{1}^{2} S_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{24}(S_{1} S_{2})(4S_{1}^{2} + 10S_{1}S_{2} + 4S_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{24}(S_{1} S_{2})(S_{1}^{3} + S_{2}^{3} + 4(S_{1}^{2}S_{2} + S_{1}S_{2}^{2})) + + \frac{1}{2}p^{2} S_{1} + S_{2} + 2(S_{1}^{2} + S_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{3}(S_{1} + S_{2})(5S_{1}^{2} 2S_{1}S_{2} + 5S_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{12} 11(S_{1}^{4} + S_{2}^{4}) + 18(S_{1}^{3}S_{2} + S_{2}^{3}S_{1}) + 6S_{1}^{2}S_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{180}(S_{1} + S_{2}) 69S_{1}^{4} + 126S_{1}^{3}S_{2} 6S_{1}^{2}S_{2}^{2} + fS_{1} \$ S_{2}g + + \frac{1}{3}p^{3} S_{1} S_{2} + \frac{9}{2}(S_{1}^{2} S_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{4}(S_{1} S_{2})(30(S_{1}^{2} + S_{2}^{2}) + 39S_{1}S_{2}) ;$$
(6.28)

$$A_{1}^{(1)}(p) = p \quad \frac{1}{24}(S_{1} \quad S_{2}) \quad \frac{1}{48}(S_{1}^{2} \quad S_{2}^{2}) \quad \frac{1}{576}(S_{1} \quad S_{2}) \quad 4(S_{1}^{2} + S_{2}^{2}) + 19S_{1}S_{2} + + \frac{1}{2}p^{2} \quad \frac{1}{3}(S_{1} + S_{2}) + \frac{1}{12} \quad 5(S_{1}^{2} + S_{2}^{2}) + 18S_{1}S_{2} + \frac{1}{180}(S_{1} + S_{2}) \quad 55(S_{1}^{2} + S_{2}^{2}) + 230S_{1}S_{2} + \quad + \frac{p^{3}}{3} \quad \frac{21}{8}(S_{1} \quad S_{2}) + ; \qquad (6.29)$$

 $A_{3}^{(0}(p;q;r) = pqr^{11}3(S_{1} S_{2}) + \frac{17}{2}(S_{1}^{2} S_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{4}(46(S_{1}^{3} S_{2}^{3}) + 45(S_{1}^{2}S_{2} S_{2}^{2}S_{1})) + (6.31)$

Finally, as explained in [46], W ilson loop operators in Chern{Sim ons theory need a choice of fram ing in order to be properly de ned. The calculations above correspond to the fram ing com ing naturally from the Gaussian integral in (6.20), and to change the fram ing by f units it is enough to multiply W_R by

$$expf f \hat{g}_{s R} = 2g; \qquad (6.32)$$

The amplitudes computed above would change correspondingly. We would have, for example,

$$A_{1}^{(0)}(p) = p S_{1} S_{2} + \frac{1}{2}(S_{1}^{2} S_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{24}(S_{1} S_{2}) 4(S_{1}^{2} + S_{2}^{2}) + 10S_{1}S_{2} + + \frac{p^{2}}{2} S_{1} + S_{2} + (2 f)(S_{1}^{2} + S_{2}^{2}) + 2fS_{1}S_{2} + + \frac{1}{3}(S_{1} + S_{2}) (5 3f)(S_{1}^{2} + S_{2}^{2}) + 2(3f 1)S_{1}S_{2} + ;$$
(6.33)

$$A_{2}^{(0)}(p;q) = pq (1 f)(S_{1} + S_{2}) + \frac{1}{2} (3 4f + f^{2})(S_{1}^{2} + S_{2}^{2}) + (4 2f^{2})S_{1}S_{2} + +$$
(6.34)

6.2 The orbifold point and a large N duality

In [4] it was argued that topological string theory on X $_{\rm p}$, the symmetric A $_{\rm p-1}$ bration over P¹, is dual to Chem{Sim ons theory in the lens space L(p;1). This is a highly nontrivial example of a gauge theory/string theory duality which can be obtained by a Z $_{\rm p}$ orbifold of the large N duality of G opakum ar and Vafa [26]. Equivalently, it can be understood as a geometric transition between T (S³=Z $_{\rm p}$) (which is equivalent to Chem{Sim ons theory on L(p;1) [47]) and the X $_{\rm p}$ geometry.

Checking this duality is complicated because the perturbative regime of the gauge theory, where one can do computations easily, corresponds to string theory on X_p near the point $t_i = 0$, where the t_i are the K ahler parameters. This is a highly stringy phase | a sm all radius region | where the 0 corrections are very in portant. It is conventional to refer to this point as an orbifold point (although the periods are still logarithm ic) and we will do so in the follow ing. This type of problem in testing the duality is well-known in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence, where the perturbative regime of N = 4 Y ang{M ills corresponds to a highly curved AdS₅ S⁵ target. In order to proceed, one has to either do computations in the strong 't H ooft coupling regime of Chem{Sim ons theory, or to solve topological string theory near the orbifold point. Thanks to m inror symmetry and the B-m odel, the second option is easier, and this was the strategy used in [4] to test the duality in the closed string sector.

How would we extend this story to the open sector? First we recall that, in the G opakum ar{Vafa duality, a knot K in S³ leading to a W ilson loop operator in Chern{ Sim ons gauge theory corresponds to a Lagrangian submanifold L_K in the resolved conifold [42]. M oreover, the connected vevs (6.27) become, under this duality, open string am plitudes with the boundary conditions set by L_K . A fter orbifolding by Z_p , the natural statem ent (generalizing the results of O oguri and Vafa in [42]) is that a knot in L (p;1) corresponds to a Lagrangian submanifold in X_p. The sim plest test of the O oguri{Vafa conjecture is the unknot, which corresponds to a toric D-brane in an outer edge of the resolved conifold (see for exam ple [39] for details). It is then natural to conjecture that the unknot in L (p;1) is dual to a toric D-brane in an outer edge of X_p, and that the connected vevs for the corresponding W ilson line correspond to open string am plitudes



Figure 9: The geometric transition between T ($S_3=Z_2$) with a Lagrangian brane associated to the unknot, and local $P^1 = P^1$ with an outer brane.

for this brane. This should follow from the geometric transition for X $_{\rm p}$ proposed in [4], and it is sketched in Fig.9.

Testing this conjecture is again di cult for the reasons explained above. In order to com pare with the perturbative string am plitudes that we com puted from the Chem{ Sim ons matrix model, we need a way to compute open string am plitudes that makes it possible to go anywhere in the moduli space. But this is precisely one of the outcomes of the B-model form alism proposed in this paper! We will now explain how to compute open string am plitudes in the p = 2 case, i.e. $bcal P^1 P^1$, near the orbifold point, extending in this way the test of the duality performed in [4] to the open sector. This will verify not only our extension of the duality for knots in the lens space L (2;1), but also the power of our B-m odel form alism .

6.3 Orbifold Amplitudes

We now explain how to compute open string am plitudes at the orbifold point in the $bcalP^1 P^1$ geom etry, using the B-m odel form alism developed in this paper. We follow the general discussion in section 3.4. Basically, to compute the open am plitudes at the orbifold point, one only needs to nd the disk and the annulus am plitudes at this point, and then use our B-m odel form alism to generate the other am plitudes recursively. We also need to x the open and closed m irrorm aps at the orbifold point in order to com pare with the Chem-Sim ons results.

Let us start by introducing the geometrical data, as in section 5.2. The two charge vectors for $local P^1 = P^1$ are:

$$Q^{1} = (2;1;1;0;0);$$

 $Q^{2} = (2;0;0;1;1);$
(6.35)

The mirror curve in the parameterization corresponding to an outer brane with zero framing is hyperelliptic and reads:

H
$$(x;y;q_s;q_t) = y^2 + y(q_sx^2 + 1 + x) + q_tx^2;$$
 (6.36)

with $q_s = e^{t_s}$ and $q_t = e^{t_t}$.

This geometry was studied at large radius in [40]. Solving for y we get:

$$y = \frac{(1 + x + x^2 q_s)}{2} \qquad (6.37)$$

from which we can construct the m erom orphic di erential (3.15). The Bergm ann kernel can then be computed in terms of the branch points of the x-projection using A kem ann's form ula (3.25). The branch points are given by:

$$_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \quad p_{\overline{q_{\rm E}}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{(1+2^{\rm P} \overline{q_{\rm E}})^2} \quad 4q_{\rm s}; \quad _{3,4} = \frac{1}{2} + p_{\overline{q_{\rm E}}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{(1-2^{\rm P} \overline{q_{\rm E}})^2} \quad 4q_{\rm s}: (6.38)$$

R The large radius open at coordinate for outer branes is given by the integral U = , where the cycle $_{\rm u}$ is analogous to the one in gure 2. This is evaluated to

$$U = \alpha \quad \frac{t_s \quad T_s}{2} ; \qquad (6.39)$$

where T_s is the closed at coordinate.

We now have to implement the phase transition from large radius to the orbifold point. That is, we need to extract the disk and annulus amplitudes at the orbifold point from the large radius ones, as explained in section 3.4. The disk transforms trivially, hence we just need to expand it in the appropriate variables at the orbifold point. However, the Bergm ann kernel undergoes a non-trivialm odular transform ation.

The phase transition from large radius to orbifold in the local $P^1 = P^1$ geometry is given by an S-duality transformation of the periods, corresponding to an exchange of

the vanishing cycles.⁹ This is precisely the case that was studied in section 3.4. This transform ation can be implemented directly into the Bergm ann kernel by permuting the branch points

$$(1; 2; 3; 4)! (1; 4; 3; 2)$$
 (6.40)

in A kem ann's form ula (3.25).

All the other orbifold open am plitudes can then be generated by simply using the new Bergm ann kernel (with the new ordering of the cuts) in the recursion.

6.3.1 Orbifold at coordinates

W e will now introduce the orbifold at coordinates. Let us start with the closed ones. The appropriate variables to study the orbifold expansion were introduced in [4] and read:

$$q_1 = 1$$
 $\frac{q_1}{q_3};$ $q_2 = \frac{1}{p \cdot \overline{q_3} (1 - \frac{q_1}{q_3})};$ (6.41)

In order to have q_1 and q_2 both small at the orbifold point, we have to take the following double | scaling limit:

$$q_{t}! q_{s}; p_{\overline{q_{s}}}! 1; p_{\overline{q_{s}}}(1 \frac{q_{t}}{q_{s}})! 1;$$
 (6.42)

corresponding to a blow up in the $(q_s;q_t)$ -plane, which was described in detail in [4].

The at coordinates s_1 and s_2 , are solutions of the Picard-Fuchs equations with a convergent local expansion in the variables q_1 and q_2 . The principal structure of the solutions of the orbifold Picard-Fuchs equations is

$$I_{0} = 1;$$

$$S_{1} = \log(1 \quad q_{1});$$

$$S_{2} = C_{m \ p_{1}} q_{1}^{m} q_{2}^{p};$$

$$F_{S_{2}}^{0} = S_{2} \log(q_{1}) + X_{m \ p_{1}} d_{m \ p_{1}} q_{1}^{m} q_{2}^{p};$$
(6.43)

⁹ In fact, this is not quite right. Going from large radius to the orbifold patch not only exchanges the cycles, but also changes the sym plectic pairing by an overall factor of 2. Hence, the transformation is not quite sym plectic; this is analogous to the transformation from large radius to the orbifold of local P^2 considered in [1]. As was explained there, this change in the sym plectic pairing can be taken into account by renormalizing the string coupling constant. In the present case, we get that $g_s = 2\hat{g}_s$, where \hat{g}_s is the Chem-Sim ons coupling constant. This is also the origin of the 1=2 factors in (6.44).
where the recursions of the $c_{m,n}$ and $d_{m,n}$ follow from the Picard-Fuchs operator. Note that the expansion coe cients $c_{n,m}$ have the property $c_{m,n \mod 2} = 0$.

The closed at coordinates are related to the 't H ooft parameters of C hem-Sim ons theory. The precise relation was found in [4] to be

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{2}T_1 = \frac{(S_1 + S_2)}{4}; \quad S_2 = \frac{1}{2}T_2 = \frac{(S_1 - S_2)}{4}:$$
 (6.44)

According to the location of the orbifold divisor at $q_s ! 1$, described above, q_2 picks up a phase under the orbifold m onodrom y M $_{Z_2}$ around it. Therefore, by de nition (6.44) has the following behavior under orbifold m onodrom y:

$$M_{Z_{2}} : (S_{1}; S_{2}) \ 7 \quad (S_{2}; S_{1}):$$
(6.45)

Notice that the closed string orbifold am plitudes, calculated in [4], are indeed invariant under the above M $_{\rm Z_2}$ m om odrom y, as required for an orbifold expansion (see also [1]).

Using the explicit form for the periods and the relation with the Chern-Sim ons variables we nd the inverse mirror map for the closed parameters:

$$q_{1} = 2(S_{1} + S_{2}) - 2(S_{1} + S_{2})^{2} + \frac{4}{3}(S_{1} + S_{2})^{3} + q_{2} = \frac{S_{1} - S_{2}}{S_{1} + S_{2}} + \frac{1}{2}(S_{1} - S_{2}) + \frac{(S_{1}^{2}S_{2} - S_{1}S_{2}^{2})}{12(S_{1} + S_{2})} + \frac{(S_{2}^{3} - S_{1}^{3})}{24} +$$
(6.46)

Ζ

W e see from this expansion that, as already m entioned, q_2 picks up a phase under orbifold m onodrom y. M ore precisely, we get the behavior:

$$M_{Z_{2}}:(q_{1};q_{2}) ? (q_{1}; q_{2}):$$
(6.47)

Let us now consider the open at coordinate. Recalling section 2.3.5, the open at coordinate should be a linear combination of

$$u_{\rm B} = \varkappa - \frac{t_{\rm s}}{2};$$
 (6.48)

the disk am plitude

$$A_{1}^{(0)}(x;q_{s};q_{E}) = ;$$
 (6.49)

which according to (2.58) are globally de ned integrals, and the closed string solutions (6.43). In this case, we x the six coe cients in the de nition of the open at coordinates by m atching the disk am plitude at the orbifold with the result from C hem-Sim ons theory.

Dening as usual exponentiated coordinates $X_B = e^{u_B}$ and $x = e^a$, we get for the open at coordinate $p = X_{orb} = e^{u_{orb}}$:

$$p \coloneqq X_{orb} = X_{B} = x^{p} \overline{q_{s}} = \frac{x}{q_{1}q_{2}}:$$
(6.50)

Expanding the inverse relation

$$\mathbf{x} = X_{\text{orb}} q_1 q_2; \tag{6.51}$$

we get the open string inverse m irror m ap

$$\mathbf{x} = X_{orb} \quad 2(S_1 \quad S_2) \quad (S_1 \quad S_2)(S_1 + S_2) + \frac{1}{3}(S_1 \quad S_2)(S_1 + S_2)^2 + \qquad : \quad (6.52)$$

Since x is a globally de ned variable on the curve, we see from (6.50) and (6.47) that under orbifold monodrom y,

$$M_{Z_2}$$
: X_{orb} V X_{orb} : (6.53)

This monodrom y behavior of the open at coordinate is crucial to ensure monodrom y invariance of the topological sting orbifold am plitudes. This mechanism is already visible in the rst few terms of (6.52); under the orbifold M $_{Z_2}$ monodrom y, the minus sign coming from S_1 \$ S_2 cancels out with the minus sign coming from the action (6.53) on X orb, leaving the mirror map invariant.

Furtherm ore, one can check that adding other periods s_i , $F_{s_2}^0$ or the disk am plitude $A_1^{(0)}$ to the de nition of the open at parameter would spoil this invariance property, so that we can x the open at parameter X_{orb} uniquely, up to a scale.

6.3.2 Results

We have now all the ingredients required to compute open orbifold amplitudes. Let's start with the disk amplitude:

$$A_{1}^{(0)}(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p} \ 2S_{1} \quad S_{1}^{2} + \frac{S_{1}^{3}}{3} \quad \frac{S_{1}^{4}}{12} \ 2S_{2} + \frac{S_{1}^{2}S_{2}}{2} \quad \frac{S_{1}^{3}S_{2}}{4} + S_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{S_{1}S_{2}^{2}}{2} \quad \frac{S_{2}^{3}}{3} + \frac{S_{1}S_{2}^{3}}{4} + \frac{S_{2}^{4}}{12} +$$

$$+ \mathbf{p}^{2} \ S_{1} \ 2S_{1}^{2} + \frac{5S_{1}^{3}}{3} \quad \frac{11S_{1}^{4}}{12} + S_{2} + S_{1}^{2}S_{2} \quad \frac{3S_{1}^{3}S_{2}}{2} \quad 2S_{2}^{2} +$$

$$+ S_{1}S_{2}^{2} \quad \frac{S_{1}^{2}S_{2}^{2}}{2} + \frac{5S_{2}^{3}}{3} \quad \frac{3S_{1}S_{2}^{3}}{2} \quad \frac{11S_{2}^{4}}{12} +$$

$$+ \mathbf{p}^{3} \ \frac{2S_{1}}{3} \quad 3S_{1}^{2} + 5S_{1}^{3} \quad \frac{59S_{1}^{4}}{12} \quad \frac{2S_{2}}{3} + \frac{3S_{1}^{2}S_{2}}{2} \quad \frac{19S_{1}^{3}S_{2}}{4} + 3S_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{3S_{1}S_{2}^{2}}{2} \quad 5S_{2}^{3} + \frac{19S_{1}S_{2}^{3}}{4} + \frac{59S_{2}^{4}}{12}$$

Comparing (6.54) with the Chern-Simons result (6.28), we see that to match the two results we have to multiply (6.54) by $\frac{1}{2}$ and send $S_1 ! S_1, S_2 ! S_2$.

W ith the above identi cations we also checked that the higher am plitudes, such as the annulus, genus 0, three-hole and genus 1, one-hole, reproduce the Chem-Sim ons results. We notice that, as required, all the higher am plitudes are invariant under the M $_{\rm Z_2}$ m onodrom y.

Fram ing can also be taken into account; let us see how it goes for the disk am plitude. H igher am plitudes can be dealt with in a sim ilar fashion. We start by computing the reparam eterization x = x(x) corresponding to the sym plectic transform ation

$$(x;y) ! (x;y) = (xy^{f};y);$$
 (6.55)

which reads:

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{f} \, \mathbf{x}^2 + \frac{(\mathbf{f} + 3\,\mathbf{f}^2 \quad 2\,\mathbf{f}\,\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{s}} + 2\,\mathbf{f}\,\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{L}})\,\mathbf{x}^3}{2} + \dots \qquad (6.56)$$

The bare fram ed disk am plitude is sim ply given by:

$$A_{1}^{(0)}(x) = \log \psi(x(x)) \frac{dx}{x} :$$
 (6.57)

W e could have computed y = y(x) by solving the fram ed m inter curve for y, rather than by reparam eterizing y(x); the reparam eterization (6.56) is how ever required to compute the fram ed B ergm ann kernel.

We then have to expand the bare disk amplitude (6.57) in the orbifold variables (6.41), and express the result in at coordinates using the inverse m informaps (6.46) and (6.52). Doing so, we obtain a perfect m atching with the Chem-Sim ons result (6.33) once the identication

$$f_{cs} = 2f \tag{6.58}$$

between the Chern-Sim ons integer f_{cs} and the integer f appearing in the sym plectic transformation is taken into account. The matching holds for higher amplitudes with the above identication.

6.4 The $C^3=Z_3$ orbifold

W e studied in detail the open am plitudes at the local P¹ P¹ orbifold point, and checked our results with Chern-Sim ons theory using large N duality. Here we will make a prediction for the disk am plitude at the local P² orbifold point, which corresponds to the geom etric orbifold C³=Z₃.

Basically, we use the same principles formulated in section 2.3.5 to determ ine the at parameters at the orbifold point, up to a scale factor. This is su cient to predict the disk am plitude. To go to higher am plitudes, we would also need to understand the modular transformation of the annulus am plitude. We are presently working on that and hope to report on it in the near future.

Recall from section 2.3.6 that the chain integral giving the open at parameter at large radius of $bcal P^2$ is given by

$$U = \varkappa \quad \frac{t \quad T}{3}; \tag{6.59}$$

and the invariant combination of integrals is

$$u_{\rm B} = \varkappa \frac{t}{3}$$
: (6.60)

Since this is globally de ned, it provides a basis vector for the at coordinates at the orbifold point. In terms of exponentiated coordinates $X_B = e^{u_B}$, $x = e^x$ and $q = e^t$, we get

$$X_{B} = xq^{\frac{1}{3}} = ()^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{x}{3};$$
 (6.61)

where we introduced the variable on the moduli space de ned by $q = \frac{1}{(3)^3}$, so that the orbifold point is at $q \mid 1$, or $\mid 0$.

To determ ine the open at coordinate at the orbifold point, we can form combinations of the closed periods, the chain integral u_B and the disk amplitude $A_1^{(0)}(\textbf{x};q)$. But we not that

$$X_{orb} = X_B = ()^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{\tilde{x}}{3}$$
 (6.62)

is the only combination which leads to a monodrom y invariant orbifold disk amplitude.

Using this open at parameter, we can write down explicitly the disk amplitude for $C^3=Z_3$. In [1], the closed at parameter at the orbifold point was determined, using the Picard-Fuchs equations. We refer the reader to [1] for the explicit form of as an expansion in around = 0. Using this result and the open at parameter (6.62), we get the following disk amplitude, up to a scale of X_{orb}:

$$A_{1}^{(0)} = + \frac{4}{648} \frac{29^{-7}}{3674160} + ::: X_{orb}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{-2} \frac{5}{648} + \frac{197^{-8}}{29393280} ::: X_{orb}^{2}$$

$$+ 1 + \frac{3}{-3} + \frac{6}{-648} \frac{9}{181440} ::: X_{orb}^{3} + O(X_{orb}^{4}) ::$$
(6.63)

Notice that under the Z₃ orbifold monodrom y, given by

$$? e^{\frac{2}{3}};$$
 (6.64)

we have that

$$M_{Z_3}: (X_{orb};) \ \ (e^{\frac{2i}{3}} X_{orb}; e^{\frac{2i}{3}});$$
 (6.65)

which leaves the disk am plitude (6.63) invariant, as it should.

7 Conclusion and future directions

The form alism proposed in this paper opens the way for various avenues of research. Let us mention a few specic ideas.

In this paper we proposed a complete B-m odel form alism to compute open and closed topological string am plitudes on local Calabi-Yau threefolds. An obvious question is whether we can extend this form alism to compact Calabi-Yau threefolds. At rst sight this seems like a di cult task, since we relied heavily on the appearance of the m irror curve in the B-m odel geom etry to im plem ent the recursive form alism of Eynard and O rantin. However, there are various approaches that one could pursue. One could try to generalize the geom etric form alism to higher-dim ensionalm anifolds so that it applies directly to compact Calabi-Yau threefolds. A nother idea, perhaps more promising, would be to form ulate the recursion relations entirely in terms of physical objects in B-m odel topological string theory; in such a form alism it would not matter whether the target space is compact or non-compact.

We checked our form alism for all kinds of geom etries, and have a rather clear understanding of the origin of the recursive solution based on the chiral boson interpretation of the B-m odel (see [40]). It was also proved in [24] that once the Bergm ann kernel is prom oted to a non-holom orphic, m odular object, the am plitudes that we com pute satisfy the usual holom orphic anom aly equations. But we do not have a proof that our form alism really is B-m odel topological string theory, not even a \physics proof". It would be very interesting to produce such a proof, probably along the lines of [40].

The recursion relations that we used were rst found when the curve is the spectral curve of a matrix model. In the local geometries considered in this paper, there is no known matrix model corresponding to the mirror curves. Nevertheless, the recursion relations compute the topological string amplitudes. It would be fascinating to try to nd a matrix model governing topological string theory on these local geometries. This could also provide a new approach towards a non-perturbative form ulation of topological string theory.

O ur form alism can be used to study phase transitions in the open/closed m oduli spaces, and generate open and closed am plitudes at any point in the m oduli space, including in non-geometric phases. We used this approach to study S-duality transform ations and the orbifold point in the local P¹ P¹ m oduli space, and com pared our results with Chem-Sim ons expectation values. We also proposed a prediction for the disk am plitude of $C^3=Z_3$, which corresponds to the orbifold point in the local P² m oduli space. However, while closed orbifold G rom ov-W itten invariants are well understood m athem atically, to our know ledge open orbifold G rom ov-W itten invariants have not been de ned m athem atically. Hence, it would

be fascinating to extend our analysis further and obtain a physics prediction for the higher open invariants of $C^3=Z_3$. In order to obtain these results, we would need to understand the Bergm ann kernel at the orbifold point; this is more com plicated than the P^1 P^1 example studied in this paper since the transform ation from large radius to the orbifold is now in SL(2;C) | see [1]. We are presently working on that and should report on it in the near future.

Notice that the closed and open string am plitudes on X_p provide the 't Hooft resum m ation at strong coupling of the perturbative am plitudes of Chem {Sim ons gauge theory on L (p;1), which is a nontrivial problem for p > 1. A lready in the sim ple case of Chem {Sim ons theory on L (2;1), the resum m ation problem involves considering a nontrivial m oduli space, nam ely the m oduli space of com plex structures for the m irror of X_p, where the orbifold point corresponds to weak 't H ooft coupling and the large radius point corresponds to strong 't H ooft coupling. It would be interesting to see if the lessons extracted from this exam ple have consequences for the problem of the 't H ooft resum m ation of N = 4 SYM am plitudes, where a lot of progress has been m ade recently. At the very least, the topological exam ple we have solved shows that the analytic structure of the 't H ooft m oduli space is very com plicated, and that a clever param etrization of this space (by using an analogue of the m irror m ap) m ight sim plify considerably the structure of the am plitudes.

A Useful conventions

In this appendix we rem ind some useful conventions necessary in order to compare the topological open string amplitudes (2.19) with the results of the topological vertex. In the form alism of the topological vertex [3], open string amplitudes are encoded in a generating functional depending on a U (1) matrix V

$$F(V) = \log Z(V);$$
 (A.1)

where

$$Z (V) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ Z_R \ tr_R V \\ R \end{array}$$
 (A.2)

is written as a sum over partitions R. It is often convenient to write the free energy F (V) in terms of connected amplitudes in the basis labeled by vectors with nonnegative entries $\tilde{k} = (k_1; k_2; \ldots)$. In this basis,

$$F(V) = \frac{X}{\kappa} \frac{1}{z_{\kappa}!} W_{\kappa}^{(c)} K(V)$$
(A.3)

where (see for exam ple [39] for details)

$${}_{k}(V) = \begin{cases} Y \\ j=1 \end{cases} (TrV^{j})^{k_{j}}; \qquad z_{k} = \begin{cases} Y \\ j \end{cases} k_{j}!j^{k_{j}}: \qquad (A.4)$$

The functional (A, 2) is related to the generating functions (2.19) as

$$F(V) = \int_{g=0}^{X^{1}} y_{s}^{2g} g_{s}^{2g+h} A_{h}^{(g)}(z_{1}; h) z$$
(A.5)

after identifying

$$TrV^{w_{1}} \qquad \stackrel{W_{4}}{=} V \$ m_{w}(z) = \sum_{\substack{X \\ z s_{h}}}^{Y^{h}} z^{w_{i}}_{(i)} \qquad (A.6)$$

where m $_w$ (z) is the monom ial symmetric polynom ial in the z_i and S_h is the symmetric group of helements. Under this dictionary we have that

$$A_{h}^{(g)}(z_{1}; h); = \frac{X}{\underset{\substack{k j \notin j \doteq h}}{}} \frac{1}{z_{k}!} W_{k}^{(c)}(V); \quad (A.7)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{F}_{j} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ k_{j} : \\ j \end{array}$$
 (A.8)

R eferences

- [1] M.Aganagic, V.Bouchard and A.K lemm, \Topological strings and (alm ost) m odular form s," arX iv hep-th/0607100.
- [2] M. Aganagic, R. Dijkgraaf, A. Klemm, M. Marino and C. Vafa, \Topological strings and integrable hierarchies," Commun. Math. Phys. 261, 451 (2006) [arX iv hep-th/0312085].
- [3] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Marino and C. Vafa, \The topological vertex, "Commun.Math.Phys. 254, 425 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0305132].
- [4] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Marino and C. Vafa, \Matrix model as a mirror of Chem-Simons theory," JHEP 0402,010 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0211098].
- [5] M. Aganagic, A. K lemm and C. Vafa, \D isk instantons, m irror symmetry and the duality web," Z. Naturforsch. A 57, 1 (2002) [arX iv:hep-th/0105045].
- [6] M. Aganagic and C. Vafa, \M irror symmetry, D-branes and counting holomorphic discs," arX iv hep-th/0012041.
- [7] G. Akemann, \Higher genus correlators for the Hermitian matrix model with multiple cuts," Nucl. Phys. B 482, 403 (1996) [arX iv:hep-th/9606004].
- [8] L.A lvarez-G aum e, G.W. Moore and C.Vafa, \Theta functions, modular invariance, and strings, "Commun.Math.Phys. 106, 1 (1986).
- [9] J. Ambjorn, L. Chekhov, C. F. Kristjansen and Y. Makeenko, \Matrix model calculations beyond the spherical limit," Nucl. Phys. B 404, 127 (1993) [Erratum -ibid. B 449,681 (1995)] [arX iv hep-th/9302014].
- [10] J. Ambjorn, J. Jurkiewicz and Yu. M. Makeenko, \Multiloop correlators for twodimensional quantum gravity," Phys. Lett. B 251, 517 (1990).
- [11] V.V. Batyrev, \D ualpolyhedra and m irror sym m etry for Calabi{Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties," J.A lg.G eom. 3 (1994) 493 [arX iv alg-geom /9310003].
- [12] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. O oguri and C. Vafa, \K odaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string am plitudes," Commun. M ath. Phys. 165, 311 (1994) [arX iv:hep-th/9309140].
- [13] G. Bertoldi, \D ouble scaling limits and twisted non-critical superstrings," JHEP 0607,006 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603075].

- [14] G. Bonelli and A. Tanzini, \The holom orphic anomaly for open string moduli," arX iv:0708.2627 [hep-th].
- [15] G.Bonnet, F.David and B.Eynard, \Breakdown of universality in multi-cutmatrix models," J.Phys. A 33, 6739 (2000) [arXiv cond-mat/0003324].
- [16] V. Bouchard and M. Marino, \Hurwitz numbers, matrix models and enumerative geometry," arX iv:0709:1458 [math AG].
- [17] P.Candelas, X.C.De La Ossa, P.S.G reen and L.Parkes, \A pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconform al theory," Nucl. Phys. B 359, 21 (1991).
- [18] N. Caporaso, L. Griguolo, M. Marino, S. Pasquetti and D. Sem inara, \Phase transitions, double-scaling limit, and topological strings," arX iv hep-th/0606120.
- [19] L.Chekhov and B.Eynard, \Herm itean matrix model free energy: Feynm an graph technique for all genera," JHEP 0603, 014 (2006) [arX iv:hep-th/0504116].
- [20] D A. Cox, \The Homogeneous Coordinate Ring of a Toric Variety," J. Algebraic Geom. 4 17 (1995) [arX iv alg-geom /9210008].
- [21] P.DiFrancesco, P.G insparg and J.Zinn-Justin, \2-D G ravity and random matrices," Phys. Rept. 254, 1 (1995) [arX iv:hep-th/9306153].
- [22] B. Eynard, \Topological expansion for the 1-herm itian matrix model correlation functions," JHEP 0411,031 (2004) [arX iv:hep-th/0407261].
- [23] B. Eynard and N. Orantin, \Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion," arX iv m ath-ph/0702045v4.
- [24] B.Eynard, M.Marino and N.Orantin, \Holom orphic anomaly and matrix models," JHEP 0706,058 (2007) [arX iv:hep-th/0702110].
- [25] B. Forbes, \Open string m irror m aps from Picard-Fuchs equations on relative cohom ology," arX iv:hep-th/0307167.
- [26] R.G opakum ar and C.Vafa, \O n the gauge theory/geom etry correspondence," Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 3, 1415 (1999) [arX iv:hep-th/9811131].
- [27] PA.Grith, $\$ In ntessimal variations of Hodge structures III.D eterm inantal variaties and the in nitessimal invariant of norm alfunctions," Composito M ath 50 3 (1993) 267–324.

- [28] T.W. Grimm, A.Klemm, M.Marino and M.Weiss, \Direct integration of the topological string," arX iv hep-th/0702187.
- [29] S.K. Hansen and T. Takata, \R eshetikhin-Turaev invariants of Seifert 3-m anifolds for classical simple Lie algebras," Journal of K not Theory and Its R am i cations 13 (2004) 617 [arX iv m ath/0209403].
- [30] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, \Calibrated Geometries," Acta Mathematica 148, 47.
- [31] K. Hori and C. Vafa, \M irror sym m etry," arX iv hep-th/0002222.
- [32] M. x. Huang and A. K lemm, \Holomorphic anomaly in gauge theories and matrix models," arX iv hep-th/0605195.
- [33] M.x.Huang, A.K lemm and S.Quackenbush, \Topological String Theory on Com pact Calabi-Yau: M odularity and Boundary Conditions," arX iv:hep-th/0612125.
- [34] S. H. Katz, A. Klemm and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 497, 173 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9609239].
- [35] S. Katz, C.-C. M. Liu, \Enum erative G eom etry of Stable M aps with Lagrangian Boundary Conditions and M ultiple Covers of the D isc," Adv. Theor M ath Phys. 5 (2002) 1-49 [arX iv m ath AG /0103074].
- [36] A. Iqbal and A.K.Kashani Poor, \Instanton counting and Chem-Simons theory," Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.7,457 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0212279].
- [37] W . Lerche and P. Mayr, $On N = 1 \text{ m irror sym m etry for open type II strings," arX iv:hep-th/0111113.$
- [38] M. Marino, \Chem-Simons theory, matrix integrals, and perturbative three-manifold invariants," Commun. Math. Phys. 253, 25 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0207096].
- [39] M. Marino, \Chem-Sim ons theory and topological strings," Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 675 (2005) [arX iv:hep-th/0406005].
- [40] M. Marino, \0 pen string am plitudes and large order behavior in topological string theory," arX iv:hep-th/0612127.
- [41] M. Marino and C. Vafa, \Fram ed knots at large N, "arX iv hep-th/0108064.

- [42] H. O oguri and C. Vafa, \K not invariants and topological strings," Nucl. Phys. B 577, 419 (2000) [arX iv hep-th/9912123].
- [43] L. Rozansky, \A Contribution to the trivial connection to Jones polynom ial and W itten's invariant of 3-d manifolds. 1," Commun. M ath. Phys. 175, 275 (1996) [arX iv:hep-th/9401061].
- [44] J.W alcher, \O pening m irror symmetry on the quintic," arX iv hep-th/0605162.
- [45] J.W alcher, \Extended Holom orphic A nom aly and Loop Am plitudes in Open Topological String," arX iv:0705.4098 [hep-th].
- [46] E.W itten, \Quantum eld theory and the Jones polynom ial," Commun.Math. Phys. 121 (1989) 351.
- [47] E.W itten, \Chem-Sim ons Gauge Theory As A String Theory," Prog. M ath. 133, 637 (1995) [arX iv:hep-th/9207094].
- [48] E.W itten, \Phases of N = 2 theories in two dimensions," Nucl. Phys. 403, 159 (1993) [arX iv:hep-th/9301042].
- [49] S.Yam aguchi and S.T.Yau, \Topological String Partition Functions as Polynom ials," [arX iv hep-th/0406078].