Response Uniform ity of the ATLAS Liquid A rgon E lectrom agnetic C alorim eter

M. A harrouche^{a A}, J. Colas^a, L. DiCiaccio^a, M. El Kacimi^{a A}, 0. G aum $er^{a,i}$, M . G ouanere^a, D . G ou dam $i^{a,i}$, R . Lafaye^a, S. Laplace^a, C. Le M aner^{a β}, L. Neukem ans^{a β 1}, P. Perrodo^a, L.Poggioli^{a</sub>, D.Prieur^{a2}, H.Przysiezniak^a, G.Sauvage^a,} I. W ingerter-Seez^a, R. Zitoun^a, F. Lanni^b, L. Lu^b, H. Ma^b S.Ratigopalan^b, H.Takai^b, A.Belymam^c, D.Benchekroun^c, M. Hakim i^c , A. Houm m ada^c, Y. G ao^d, R. Stroynow ski^d, M.Aleksa^e, T.Carli^e, P.Fassnacht^e, F.Gianotti^e, L.Hervas^e, W. Lam pl^e, J. Collot^f, J.Y. Hostachy^f, F. Ledroit-Guillon^f, F.Malek^f, P.Martin^f, S.V iret^f, M. Leltchouk⁹, $JA.Parsons⁹, S.S.m. ion⁹, F.Barreiro^h, J.D. el Peso^h,$ L. Labarga^h, C. O liver^h, S. Rodier^h, P. Barrillon^{i β}, C. Benchouk i,10 , F. D am aⁱ, F. Hubautⁱ, E. M onnierⁱ, P.Pralavorioⁱ, D.Sauvageⁱ⁵, C.Serfonⁱ, S.T isserantⁱ, J. Tothⁱ⁶, D. Ban^j, L. Camminati^j, D. Cavalli^j, G. Costa^j, M. Delm astro \overline{J} , M. Fanti \overline{J} , L. M andelli \overline{J} , M. M azzanti \overline{J} , G.F.Tartarelli^j, K.Kotov^k, A.Maslennikov^k, G.Pospelov^k, Yu. T ikhonov^k, C. Bourdarios['], L. Fayard['], D. Foumier['], L. Iconom idou-Fayard ', M. Kado 'i', G. Parrour ', P. Puzo', D.Rousseau, $R.Sacco'$ ⁸, L.Serin, G.Unal^{' 11}, D.Zerwas, B.Dekhissi^m, J.Derkaoui^m, A.ElKharrim^m, F.Maarou^m W.Cleland^o, D.Lacourⁿ, B.Laforgeⁿ, I.Nikolic-Auditⁿ, Ph. Schwem lingⁿ, H. Ghazlane^p, R. CherkaouiElMoursli^{qr}, A. Idrissi Fakhr-Eddine^q, M. Boonekam p^s, N. Kerschen^s, B. M ansoulie^s, P. M eyer^s, J. Schw indling^s, B. Lund-Jensen.^t

^aLaboratoire de Physique de Particules (LAPP), Universite de Savoie, CNRS/IN 2P3, Annecy-le-V ieux Cedex, France.

^bB rookhaven National Laboratory (BNL), Upton, NY 11973-5000, USA.

^cFaculte des Sciences A n Chock, Casablanca, M orocco.

 d Southern M ethodist U niversity, D allas, Texas 75275-0175, U SA.

^eEuropean Laboratory for Particle Physics (CERN), CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland.

^fLaboratoire de Physique Subatom ique et de Cosm ologie, Universite Joseph Fourier, IN 2P3-CNRS, F-38026 G renoble, France

⁹N evis Laboratories, Columbia University, Irvington, NY 10533, USA.

^hPhysics Department, Universidad Autonom a de Madrid, Spain.

ⁱC entre de Physique des Particules de M arseille, U niv. M editerranee, IN 2P3-CNRS, F-13288 M arseille, France.

 \overline{D} ipartim ento di Fisica dell'Universita di M ilano and INFN, I-20133 M ilano, Italy.

^kBudker Institute of Nuclear Physics, RU-630090 Novosibirsk, Russia.

'LAL, Univ Paris-Sud, IN 2P3/CNRS, Orsay, France.

m Laboratoire de Physique Theorique et de Physique des Particules, Universite Mohammed Premier, Outla, Morocco.

ⁿUniversites Paris V I et V II, Laboratoire de Physique Nucleaire et de H autes Energies, F-75252 Paris, France.

 $^{\circ}$ D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, U niversity of P ittsburgh, P ittsburgh, PA 15260, USA.

 P C entre National de l'Energie, des Sciences et Techniques Nucleaires, Rabat, M orocco.

^qFaculty of Science, M oham ed V-A gdal U niversity, R abat, M orocco.

 F H assan II A cadem y of Sciences and Technology, M orocco.

^SCEA, DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CE-Saclay, F-91191 G if-sur-Y vette C edex, France.

 ${}^{\rm t}$ Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm , Sweden.

A bstract

The construction of the ATLAS electrom agnetic liquid argon calorim eterm odules is completed and all them odules are assembled and inserted in the cryostats. During the production period four barrel and three endcap modules were exposed to test beam s in order to assess their perform ance, ascertain the production quality and reproducibility, and to scrutinize the complete energy reconstruction chain from the readout and calibration electronics to the signal and energy reconstruction. It was also possible to check the full M onte C arlo sin ulation of the calorim eter. The analysis of the uniform ity, resolution and extraction of constant term is presented. Typical non-uniform ities of 5 ℓ_{00} and typical global constant term s of 6 ℓ_{00} are m easured for the barrel and end-cap m odules.

K ey words: Cabrim eters, H igh Energy Physics, Particle D etectors, Energy Resolution and Uniform ity

IN TRODUCTION

The large hadron collider (LHC) will collide 7 TeV proton beam s with lum inosities ranging from 10^{33} to 10^{34} cm 2 s¹. The very high energy and lum inosity foreseen will allow to investigate the TeV scale in search for new phenom ena beyond the Standard M odel. Reaching such perform ance is an outstanding challenge for both the collider and the detectors.

The electrom agnetic calorim eter of the ATLAS detector, one of the two multi purpose experiments at LHC, is a lead and liquid argon sam pling calorimeter w ith accordion shaped absorbers. In its dynam ic range covering the few GeV up to the few TeV dom ain an excellent measurem ent of the energy of electrons and photons is required in order to resolve potential new particle resonances, and to m easure precisely particle m asses and couplings.

One of the salient bendim ark processes that has quided the design of the electrom agnetic ATLAS calorim eter is the H iggs boson production with subsequent decay into a pair of photons. This event topology will be observable only if the H iggs boson m ass is sm aller than 150 G eV/ c^2 . In this channel the capabilities of the cabrim eter in term s of photon pointing resolution and

 $/$ ⁰ discrimination are of chief concern. An excellent and uniform measurem ent of the photon energy is essential. A nother process involving the H iggs boson where it decays to a pair of Z bosons and subsequently into four electrons also requires a uniform measurement of the electron energy over a large dynam is range. Finally, am ong the processes which will require the most ac-

 1 Now at university of G eneva, switzerland.

 2 Now at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (RAL), Chilton, Didcot, OX11 0QX, United K ingdom.

 3 N ow at university of Toronto, O ntario, C anada.

 $^4\,$ V isitor from LPHEA , FSSM -M arrakedn (M orroco).

 $5\,$ D eceased.

 6 A lso at K FK I, Budapest, H ungary. Supported by the M A E , France, the H N C f I D (Contract F15-00) and the Hungarian OTKA (Contract T037350).

 7 C orresponding author, E-m ail: kado@ lal.in2p3.fr

 8 N ow at Q ueen M ary, U niversity of London.

 9 N ow at LAL, Univ Paris-Sud, IN 2P3/CNRS, O rsay, France.

 10 N ow at Faculte de Physique, Universite des Sciences et de la technologie H ouari Boum ediene, BP 32 E LA lia 16111 Bab E zzouar, A lger, A lgerie.

 11 N ow at CERN, G eneva, Sw itzerland.

curate know ledge of the electron energy is the W m ass m easurem ent whose goal precision is $0.2\mathfrak{b}_0$. For all these processes the constant term b of the three m ain resolution elem ents:

$$
\frac{E}{E} = \frac{a}{P - E} \quad b \quad \frac{C}{E}
$$

(where c and a are the noise and stochastic term s respectively) plays an im portant role.It arises from various sources encom passing the calibration and readout electronics, the am ount of m aterial before and in the calorim eter, the energy reconstruction schem e and its stability in tim e.The c term com prises the electronic noise and the pile-up.

M any years of R & D work $[1,2,3,4,5]$ have led to the ATLA S calorim eter design whose rst perform ance assessm ents on pre-production m odules were reported in $[6,7]$. Since then, m odi cations were m ade in order to im prove the production, the quality control and the perform ance of the calorim eter m odules. D uring the three years of m odule construction, four barrel and three endcap m odules have been exposed to electron beam s in the N orth A rea at CER N 's $SPS.$ The prim ary aim was to assess the quality of the production by m easuring the response uniform ity over the complete acceptance. However, these m easurem ents have led to num erous further im provem ents of the calibration, signal reconstruction and the simulation of the calorim eter.

This paper reports on m easurem ents of the uniform ity and a study of the di erent contributions to the constant term of the electron energy resolution for barreland endcap m odules exposed to high energy electron beam s.The actions taken to optim ize the electron energy resolution and in particular the uniform ity of the response are also described. Finally a review of all sources of non-uniform ities is presented.

Thepaperisorganized asfollows.In Sec.1 them ain featuresofthecalorim eter m odules are described and the di erences with respect to the pre-production m odules are brie
y presented.A description of the readout and calibration electronics is then given in Sec. $2.$ The signal reconstruction, including cross talk issues is also presented. The beam test setups are described in section 3. Finally the barreland endcap analysisand resultsare presented in Sec.4 and Sec.5.

1 C A LO R IM E T E R M O D U LE S D E SC R IP T IO N

The ATLA S electrom agnetic calorim eter is a lead and liquid argon sam pling calorim eter using an accordion geom etry for gaps and absorbers. It is com posed ofa cylindricalbarrelcentered on thebeam and two endcaps.Theusual slightly m odi α polar coordinate system is used, where the z-axis coincides with the beam axis, is the azim uthalangle and the polar angle is replaced by the pseudo rapidity $=$ ln(tan = 2). A detailed description of the detector can be found in $[8,9,10,11]$. The m ain characteristics are, however, described hereafter.

1.1 B arrelM odules D escription

The ATLAS barrel calorim eter is divided in two half barrels covering the positive and the negative pseudo rapidities, from $j = 0$ to $j = 1:475$. The inner and outer diam eters are about 2.8 m and 4 m . Each half barrel consists of sixteen 3.2 m eterlong m odulesm ade of 64 accordion shaped absorbers interleaved with readout electrodes. M odules are m ade of two types of electrodes denoted A and B covering the pseudo rapidity ranges $[0,0.8]$ and $[0.8,1.475]$ separated by 2.5 mm at the transition point. The construction and testing of these m odules is reported in [9]. In each of these regions the lead thickness is di erent in order to m aintain an approxim ately constant stochastic term of the energy resolution $(1.53 \text{ mm}$ for j j< 0:8 and 1.13 mm for j j> 0:8). The drift gap on each side of the electrode is 2.1 mm which corresponds to a totaldrift tim e of about 450 ns at 2 kV. The electrodes are segm ented in three com partm ents in depth (front, m iddle, back). The rst section with narrow strips along , ends after 4 radiations lengths (X_0) , while the second stops after 22 X_0 . The total thickness of the m odule varies as a function of between 22 and 33 X $_0$. Sum m ing boards, plugged on the electrodes, perform the signal sum m ation in : 16 adjacent electrodes are sum m ed for a strip cell and 4 electrodes for m iddle and back cells. The granularity of the di erent com partm ents are shown in Table 1 for $j < 1.475$. A presam pler is placed in front of the electrom agnetic calorim eter inside the cryostat. Each unit provides coverage in j jfrom 0 to 1.52 and covers a region of $= 0.2$. The signal is sam pled in a thin active layer of 11 mm of liquid argon with a readout cell quanularity of $= 0:025 \t 0:1 \t 11$. In total, there are 3424 readout cells per m odule. The high voltage is supplied independently on each side of the electrode by sectors of $= 0.2 \cdot 0.2$ corresponding to 32 electrodes.

Four barrelm odules (two of each half barrel) where exposed in the H 8 beam test line, namely M 13, M 10, P15 and P13. They are now part of the nalAT-LAS calorim eter. H owever, because of the incorrect m ounting of the support of a cerenkov counter, non hom ogeneous m aterial was added in the beam line while the m odule M 13 was being tested. As a consequence its perform ance coul not be thoroughly m easured. Therefore, only results for M 10, P13 and P15 are presented.

1.2 E ndcap M odules D escription

The ATLA S electrom agnetic endcap calorim eter (EM EC) covers the rapidity region from 1.375 up to 3.2 and consists of 2 wheels, one on each side of the electrom agnetic barrel. Each wheel, divided into eight wedge-shaped m odules, is 63 cm thick with internal and external radii of about 30 cm and 2 m. For technical reasons, it is divided in one outer (1:375 $<$ \uparrow \uparrow $<$ 2:5) and one inner $(2.5 < j < 3.2)$ wheel, both projective in . The crack between the wheels is about 3 m m wide and is m ainly lled with low density m aterial. In , one outer (inner) wheelm odule is m ade of 96 (32) accordion shaped absorbers interleaved with readout electrodes, covering a range of $= 2 = 8$. A ccom m odating the accordion shape in the endcap region induces a quite com plicated geom etry. M ost of the design param eters vary along the radius direction (corresponding to) : liquid argon gap, sam pling fraction, accordion wave am plitudes or folding angles.A continuously varying high voltage setting along , would partially compensate for this and imply an almost $$ independent detector response. H owever, the high voltage is set by steps. The outer (inner) wheel is divided into seven (two) high voltage sectors. In each of them a residual -dependence of the response will need to be corrected.

In the region devoted to precision physics, where the tracking inform ation is available $(1.5 < j < 2.5)$, the depth segm entation is similar to the barrelone. At large rapidity, there are only two com partm ents in depth. Twelve adjacent electrodes are sum m ed for one strip celland 3 (4) for m iddle and back cells in the outer (inner) wheel. The segm entation of the EM EC is sum m arized in Table 1.There are 3888 readout cells per m odule.H V boards distribute the high voltage to $-$ sectors of 24 (4) electrodes in the outer (inner) wheel, independently on each side.

Three endcap m odules where exposed to the $H 6$ beam line namely $ECC0$, ECC1 and ECC5.

1.3 D esign m odications

Following the construction and the beam tests of the prototypem odules $0[6,7]$, a few design m odi cations have been im plem ented :

The high voltage is distributed on the electrodes by resistors m ade of resistive paint silkscreened on the outer copper layer of the electrodes. The role of these resistors is also to lim it the current owing through the readout electronics in case of an unexpected discharge of the calorim eter cell. Som e of these resistors located near the electrode bends were displaced in order

Table 1. G ranularity () of calorim eter cells in the electrodes A and B of the barrelm odules and the outer and inner wheels of the endcaps (EC).

to avoid breaking during the bending process. This lead to a change of the bending process of the barrel electrodes [10].

On the prototype, an increased cross talk and pulse shape deform ation was observed every 8 (4) channels in the barrel (endcap). This e ect was traced back to the absence of ground on one side of some signal output electrode connectors.These m issing contactswere added in the production electrode circuits.

Sum m ing and m otherboards^{[12](#page-6-0)} of the endcap were redesigned to reduce the inductive cross talk to an acceptable level $($ < 1 $)$ [13].

Precise calibration resistors (0.1 % accuracy) are located on the m other board. It appears that if an accidental HV discharge occurs, a large fraction of the energy released could dam age these resistors, thus preventing any calibration of the cell. D iodes were added on the signal path to protect these resistors for the m iddle and back cells where the detector capacitances are the largest. For the strips section such a protection schem e $[14]$ could not be installed, but the sm aller detector capacitances reduce the risk of dam age. Finally for the endcap construction, the cleanliness and hum idity regulation of the stacking room were in proved. The honeycom b spacers, which keep the electrodes centered on the gap, were modied to ensure a better positioning. They were m easured and tested under high voltage before stacking and checked at the nom inalhigh voltage settings after each gap stacking.

 12 M otherboards, plugged on top of the sum m ing boards, ensure the signal routing and the distribution of calibration signals.

1.4 O uality controlm easurem ents

D uring the production a num ber of quality controlm easurem ents was perform ed on all barrelm odules. The lead thickness, the argon gap width and som e electrical param eters linked to the electrode design are key param eters for the response of the calorim eter. These m easurem ents were done to ensure that all com ponents were m ade with the required precision. Sim ilar m easurem ents were also perform ed on the endcap m odules, details of the gap variation willbe discussed in the endcap data analysis section.

1.4.1 Absorber thickness

Before assem bling the m odules, the thickness of all lead sheets were m easured by m eans of an ultra sound system , producing a m ap with a 5 -5 cm 2 granularity $[9,15]$. These m aps have been used to select the stacking order of the lead sheets to m inim ize the thickness
uctuations across each m odule. For each lead plate the m easurem ents are averaged projectively along the shower $axis. A$ sm ost of the show er energy is shared by ve absorbers, the thicknesses for $j \div 0.8$ ($j \div 0.8$) norm alized to their nom inalvalues are averaged in a sliding window of ve consecutive absorbers.Finally to em ulate the energy pro lew ithin the electron cluster, each average is weighted according to a typical electron energy deposition shape. In Fig.1 the variation of the norm alized lead thickness as a function of the m iddle cell index (as described below) for the m odules $P13$, $P15$ and M 10 is shown. The dispersions do not exceed 0.3 % perm odule.

For barrelm odules the middle cell indices run from 0 to 54 covering the pseudo rapidity range from 0 to 1.35.The cellindices correspond to increm ents in azim uthalangle of $=128.$ They range between 0 and 15. For endcap m odulesthem iddlecell index used coverstheregion in pseudo rapidity from 1.375 up to 3.2 with indices ranging from 0 to 50. The middle cell indices increm ent is the same as that of the barrelm odules for the outer wheel and $=32$ for the inner wheel. In the direction these indices range from 0 to 31 in the outer wheel and from 0 to 7 in the inner wheel.

Sim ilar m easurem ents were also perform ed on endcap m odules.The dispersions of the norm alized lead thicknesses are within $0.3 \div$ for all m odules.

1.4.2 G ap dispersion

At the end of m odules stacking, the gap capacitance of each sector of $= 0.2$, corresponding to eight m iddle layer cells, was m easured. In each of these sectors, the capacitances were norm alized to their average value and the gap

Fig.1.W eighted average lead absorber thickness per m iddle cellasa function ofthe m iddle cell index for various regions. The distribution of the m easurem ents is also shown and the dispersion is indicated.

variations were extracted. Sim ilarly to the lead thickness, m ost of the shower is shared in a few gaps. U sing a typical electrom agnetic lateral shower energy pro le,a sliding energy-weighted-gap wascalculated.Itsdispersion issum m arized in Table 2 for the 3 barrelm odules.W hile P13 and P15 show sim ilar results, the m odule M 10 has a larger dispersion. This e ect was explained by the use of electrodes m ade before and after the m odi cation of the bending process.

M odule	P ₁₃	P ₁₅	M 10	
Total	0.62 %	0.64 %	1.66%	
FT 0 subset \vert 0.58 \degree		0.39%	1.41 $%$	

Table 2. D ispersions of the weighted average gaps for each barrelm odule P13, P15 and M 10. The FT0 subset corresponds to the region instrum ented with the readout electronics used in the analyses described in Sec. 2.1 and Sec. 4.7.

Since in endcap m odules the size of the gap is not constant, the precise m easurem ent of the gap is very im portant in order to evaluate the electron clusters energies. The gaps were also indirectly estimated from the cell capacitance m easurem ents in the endcap m odules [16].These results are discussed in Sec.5.2.2.

2 C A LIB R A T IO N A N D SIG N A L R E C O N ST R U C T IO N

2.1 R eadout E lectronics

A s described in Sec 1.1, the readout signal consists of the sum of signals m easured in various electrodes.The analog sum is m ade by sum m ing boards connected at both ends of the electrodes; at the front for the strip com partm ent and at the back for the m iddle and back com partm ents. The m otherboards, plugged on top of the sum m ing boards, send the signals through cold cables out to the front-end boards placed outside the cryostat at room tem perature. The readout electronics are located in crates at both ends of the cryostat. To extract the calorim eter signals from inside the cold vessel the feedthrough (FT) connexion devices are used [8].Two FTs are required for each barrel m odule. Each of them covers half a m odule in . Three FTs are required for each endcap m odule. The readout front-end electronics crates are placed on top of the FT devices. In particular, for the beam test barrelm odules there are two FT devices covering a half barrel each. The regions covered are denoted $FT-1$ and $FT0$.

In the front-end boards, signals are am pli ed and shaped through a bipolar CR RC² lter with a time constant $s = 15$ ns, then sam pled every 25 ns and digitized. The shaping consists in one derivation (CR) to form a bi-polar signal and two integrations (RC) allowing both to reduce the impact of the pile-up and electronic noise. The choice of shaping time constant results from the m inim ization of the electronic noise and pile-up.

The energy dynam ical range covered by the calorim eter signals requires 16 bits whereas the available A nalog-to-D igitalC onverter (A $D C$) m odules are lim ited to 12 bits. To produce the adequate signal range the shapers produce three signals am plied in three dierent gains low, medium and high in the ratio of respectively $1,9.3$ and $93.$ A \perp signals are then stored in an analog pipeline awaiting for a rst level trigger decision. W hen the decision is made the signals either from the three gains or from them ost suited one according to a hardware

gain selection are digitized.In the beam test setup the signals are directly readout while in the fullATLAS con quration they are sent to a higher level  rm ware system where the energy is fully reconstructed for further use both higher level trigger and in physics analysis.

2.2 D etector E lectrical P roperties

A detector cellcan be seen as a resonant circuit as illustrated in Fig.2.The resonance frequency is linked to the capacitance and inductance of the detector celland sum m ing boards.These resonance frequencies have been m easured on the assem bled calorim eter for each m odule using a network analyzer [17]. In Fig. 3 the results for the m odule P13 and P15  lled with liquid argon are displayed. The structure is m ainly due to the variation of the inductance along resulting from the electrode design in which the lines serving to extract the signalofm iddle cells varies with .The di erencies along re
ect non uniform ities of the sum m ing boards. The dispersion of these frequencies am ounts to about 1.2 %, which is compatible with the expected gap variation.

Fig. 2.Sim ple schem atic electrical m odel of the cellelectronic environm ent. The shape ofthe calibration and ionization signalsisalso illustrated along with the output pulse. Here C is the cell capacitance, L the inductive path of the signal and r is the resistance.

Sim ilar m easurem ents were m ade on endcap m odules yielding frequencies increasing asa function of from 20 to 40 M H z.

2.3 C alibration System

The calorim eter is equipped with an electronic calibration system [18] that allow sprom ptm easures of the gain and electrical response of each readout cell. This system is based on the ability to inject into the detector an exponential^{[13](#page-10-0)}

 $\overline{^{13}$ A sm allo set f_s is present, due to the resistive com ponent of the inductance in the calibration board. Typically f_s ' 7%.

calibration pulse of known am plitude $(I^{cal}(t))$ thatm in ics the ionization pulse generated by the particles hitting the detector:

$$
I^{cal}(t) = I_0^{cal}(t) f_s + (1 f_s)e^{-\frac{t}{c}} \tag{1}
$$

with a tim e decay constant c ' 350 ns ((t) is the unit step function). The calibrated pulse shape $(g^{cal}(t))$ is reconstructed using program m able delays by steps of about 1 ns.

Fig.3.Resonance frequencies for m odules P13 and P15 as a function ofthe index for allm iddle cells for various regions.

The signal is generated by m eans of a digital-to-analog converter (DAC) which controls the input current. Its value is proportional to the DAC requested. A constant very sm allparasitic charge injection D AC value is present due to parasitic couplings. It was m easured and its value accounted for.

The signal is produced in calibration boards placed in the front-end crates. It is then carried into the cold vesseland distributed to the electrodes through in jection resistors R_{inj} which are precise at the 0.1 % level. These resistors are placed on the m otherboards.

A non uniform ity of the calibration signals would a ect the uniform ity in ne. All potential sources of non uniform ity a ecting the calibration signal have thus been independently measured. The main ones are:

- (i) the pulsers: each line is m easured on the calibration board directly. A relative dispersion of 0.19 % is found.
- (ii) calibration resistors: each calibration resistor is measured and a relative dispersion of 0.08 % is found for barrelm odules and 0.05 % in the endcaps.
- (iii) cables: The attenuation by skin e ect which is proportional to the cable length is corrected in average, however a small relative dispersion of at m ost 0.1 % could still in pact the energy uniform ity.

The overall precision of the calibration system is 0.23 $\frac{1}{6}$.

2.4 Energy Reconstruction

W hen electrons and photons hit the calorim eter they interact within the lead absorbers producing an electrom agnetic cascade. Its charged com ponent ionizes the liquid argon in the gaps, inducing a triangular current signal [19] $(\mathbb{I}^{\text{ion}}(t))$:

$$
I^{\text{ion}}(t) = I_0^{\text{ion}}(t) (T_0 t) 1 \frac{t}{T_D}
$$
 (2)

whose length equals the drift time, T_D ' 450 ns (in the endcaps the drift time decreases from 550 down to 250 ns as a function of).

The ionization signal am plitude is reconstructed in each gain from the vedigitized samples S_k , to which the pedestals have been subtracted (see Sec. 2.4.1), bcated around the peak, using the optimal litering $(0 F)$ technique [20]:

$$
A = \frac{P_{5}}{k=1} a_k S_k
$$

\n
$$
A = \frac{P_{5}}{k=1} b_k S_k
$$
 (3)

where A is the am plitude estimator and is the signal arrival time estimate w ith respect to the readout clock. The coe cients a_k and b_k are chosen in order to m in mixe the e ect of electronic noise. They are analytically calculated through a Lagrange multiplier technique [20], provided one knows for each readout cell the norm alized shape of the ionization signal q^{ion} (t), its derivative and the noise time autocorrelation. The latter is computed from the data acquired during the pedestal runs.

The cellenergy is then reconstructed from the cellsignalam plitude A using the following prescription:

$$
E_{\rm vis}^{\rm cell} = \ \frac{1}{f_{\rm I=E}} \ \ A
$$

This form ula can be read as the sequence of the following operations: (i) the conversion of the signal in ADC counts A into a current in A corresponding to the calibration of the readout electronics with the function $\,$; (ii) the conversion factor from current to energy $f_{I=F}$.

2.4.1 Pedestal Subtraction

D uring the running period, data in absence of beam were taken daily to assess the level of signal without any energy deposition in each cell for all gains of allm odules. In addition, in order to address precisely them ore subtle possible variationson a run-by-run basis the pedestals can be evaluated from triggers taken at random during the run. The variations between no-beam runs and random triggers are in general negligible and thus not taken into account but for a few runs sm all instabilities, in particular in the presam pler, could produce a detectable bias in energy not exceeding 20 M eV .The subtraction of pedestals is done on all signal samples (S_k) before applying the optim all ltering m ethod.

2.4.2 Calibration Procedure

The calibration procedure establishes the correspondence between a signal readout in ADC counts and a known injected current in the cell in $A.Ca$ ibration data were taken approxim ately twice daily throughout the running period.

The procedure consists in tting the ADC response as a function of the DAC values know ing that the injection currents vary linearly with the DAC values. A second order polynom ialform is used. The higher order ne structure of the non linear response of the calibration is not relevant here. The aforem entioned function also contains a DAC to current constant conversion factor.

2.4.3 Current to Energy conversion Factor

Estim ating from  rst principles the relation between the m easured current and the energy is an intricate task, as num erous complex e ects can introduce biases as detailed in [21]. However, the simplied model estimation of $f_{\text{F-E}}$

14.4 nA=M eV in the barrel accordion in the straight sections (7 $\frac{8}{3}$ less when taking into account the folds [22]), yields a result accurate to the few percent level. This value is in agreem ent with a m ore precise calculation in which the detailed cellelectric elds and recombination e ects are taken into account. In the case of the analysis of the barrelm odules where a complete and thorough simulation of the calorimeter was used a more precise estimate of the $f_{I=E}$ factor is obtained from a comparison of the M onte C arlo simulation with the data (see Sec. 4.5).

2.5 Signal Reconstruction

The norm alized response g^{ion} (t) of the system to the ionization current di ers from the response $q^{cal}(t)$ to a calibration current because the two pulses are respectively triangular and exponential, and while the form er is generated inside the detector, the latter is in jected in the cell from one end of the detector and reaches the inside through an inductive path 14 . Typical shapes of the two signals at the end of the readout chain are shown in F ig. 4.

Fig. 4. Norm a lized calibration g^{ion} (t) and ionization $g^{cal}(t)$ pulses.

The di erence between the calibration and the ionization pulses can be analytically described by modeling the liquid argon readout cellas a lumped rLC m odel ($\sec F \text{ is } 2$), where the two currents share the same readout chain while being generated in di erent places. A fter taking into account the analytical descriptions (2) and (1), the relation between the current shapes is $[24,25,26]$:

 14 The e ect is not negligible, as it a ects the am plitude ratio of the ionization and calibration waveform s by approximately 0.15 % /nH, and the inductance value varies throughout the detector from 35 to 55 nH [23].

$$
g^{\text{ion}}(t) = g^{\text{cal}}(t) \quad L^{-1} \frac{sT_{D} \quad 1 + e^{-sT_{D}}}{s^{2}T_{D}} \frac{s(1 + s_{c})^{*}}{f_{s} + s_{c}}
$$
\n
$$
L^{-1} \frac{1}{1 + s^{2}LC + src}
$$
\n(4)

where the norm alized ionization signal g^{ion}(t) can be inferred from the observed calibration signal g^{cal}(t) by m eans of tim e-dom ain convolutions with functions that depend on the param eters T_D ; $_{c}$; f_s ;LC; rC (where $_{c}$, f_s are the calibration time constant and a calibration o set) only using the inverse Laplace transform L^{-1} with the Laplace variable s. The resonance frequen– cies displayed in Fig. 3 correspond to the standard oscillator circuit thus $f = 1=2$ $\overline{\text{LC}}$. The evaluation of g^{ion} (t) is completely independent of any details of the read-out chain.

For the barrelm odules the drift tim e T_D can be estim ated with various methods [25,27,28], while the param eters $_{c}$; f_{s} and LC ;rC can be extracted either by analyzing the observed signals or from direct measurements. In the endcaps T_D varies continuously with , it was thus considered as a param eter. Two strategies were developed for the test beam, as described in the next subsections.

2.5.1 Sem i-predictive approach

The following m ethod [24,26] has been used to reconstruct the energy for the prototype m odules [6,7] and for all tested production m odules.

At the test beam, the electrons reach the calorim eter at random $\lim_{x\to a}$ e with respect to the sam pling clock^{[15](#page-15-0)} (asynchronous events). It is thus possible to sam ple the ionization signalevery nanosecond, sim ilarly to what is done for the calibration signal. H owever, the signal shape obtained from direct observation is in precise, due to the low statistics and the large uctuations in the shower developm ent. M oreover, its norm alization is arbitrary.

The param eters $c_{\rm c}$ and f arem easured directly on the calibration board before its installation on the detector.

The param eters LC and rC are obtained from a to fthe predicted ionization signal, as obtained from equation (4) , to the observed one. In the t , two m ore param eters are allowed to vary in order, to account for a time shift between the two signals and for the am plitude scale factor. O nce LC and rC are found,

 $^{15}\,$ T he tim e between the trigger given by a scintillator coincidence and the 40 M H z clock edge is m easured for each event.

the predicted ionization signal has the correct norm alization and can be used to evaluate the OF coe cients.

2.5.2 Fully predictive m ethod

This m ethod is an alternative to the one described previously: it has the advantage of being based on calibration data only, thus not relying on a direct know ledge of ionization pulses from asynchronous events. It relies on the observation of long enough calibration signals: up to 32 digitized samples can be acquired, corresponding to a m axim um length of 800 ns. The details are fully described in $[25]$, therefore only an overview is given here.

The exponential decay tim e can be extracted from a  t of the tail of the calibration signal. The \circ set f can be estimated as follows: if the injected $I^{cal}(t)$ was a step-function, then the tail of the shaped signalwould bem inim al. The detector response to an injected step could be calculated, by m eans of a tim e-dom ain convolution between g^{cal}(t) and a function of time which depends on the param eters $_{c}$ and f.O nce $_{c}$ is found, the best value for f is chosen as that m inim izing the tail of the response function.

The param eters LC and rC are extracted from a frequency analysis of the transfer function, which exhibits a m inimum for angular frequency $!=\frac{p\frac{1}{LC}}{LC}$. This is achieved either by a direct use of a fast Fourier transform, or with techniques sim ilar to that described for the extraction of f | here the characteristics of the detector response to a sinusoidal in jected signal are exploited: the best value for ! is that m in in izing the oscillations in the tail.

Such a technique hasbeen applied to a restricted region ofa production m odule where the 32-sam ples-calibration data were m ade available (half a m odule for the M iddle and B ack compartm ents, and only a $= 0.2$ 0.2 sector for the Strips).The residuals between the observed and predicted ionization signal are at the level of $1 \,$ % $(0.2 \,$ % in the peak region). The agreem ent in the tim ing between the O F reconstruction and the scintillator m easurem ent iswithin 350 ps.

For various runs taken on a reduced region of the barrelm odules both m ethods were applied. The com parison of the two m ethods is used to estim ate the possible biases due to the reconstruction m ethod chosen.The results ofthis com parison are given in Sec.4.11.3.

2.5.3 Prediction of the Physics to Calibration Am plitude Ratio

Since the predicted signal and calibration shapes are di erent, the response am plitude to a norm alized input signalwill be di erent. This di erence must be taken into account in order to correctly convert ADC counts into energies. It is done using the prediction of the physics to calibration am plitude ratio, nam ely M _{phys}=M cal. This ratio varies with pseudo rapidity. These variations are displayed in Fig. 5 and 6 for the barrel and endcap m odules respectively.

The barrelstrips M $_{\text{phys}}$ =M $_{\text{cal}}$ is reasonably consistent with 1, whereas for m iddle cells the prediction to calibration am plitude ratio increases system atically with up to the m iddle cell index 48. These variations are consistent with the resonance frequenciesm easured and presented in Sec.2.2.A sim ilare ect is observed in endcap m odules.

Fig.5.Biasin the signalreconstruction m ethod asderived for the barrelP13 m odule atallm iddle indices and azim uthalangles, for m iddle cells (upper pbt) and strips (bwer pbt).

2.5.4 Energy D ependence with T im e

Contrary to what willbe the case in the ATLA S experim ent and due to the tim e spread of electron bunches, in the test beam the time phase is essentially random . For all barrel and endcap m odules it was checked that in the energy reconstruction schem e no bias is observed as a function of the time phase.

Fig. 6. Bias in the signal reconstruction as derived for all tested EM EC m odules (ECC0,ECC1 and ECC5) for m iddle cells averaged in the azim uthaldirection as a function of the pseudo rapidity.

2.6 C ross Talk Issues

2.6.1 M odule Electrodes Cross Talks

Various cross talk e ects inherent to the design ofcalorim eter cells ordue to the readout electronics are present in the calorim eter. A com plete description of the origin of these e ects can be found in [12,13]. These unavoidable e ects have been m easured m ainly using calibration signals [29,30,31]. A summ ary of the m easurem ents of the typical cross talks are given in Table 3 for both the barrel and the endcap electrodes. The cross talks are here de ned as peakto-peak, i.e., the m axim um am plitude of the cross talk is norm alized to the signal am plitude. A ll the e ects described here are those measured in nearest neighbors, second order e ects are negligible, except for the secondary cross talk between a strip and its next to nearest neighbor with a peak-to-peak value of 0.9 % for barrel and 0.5 % for endcap electrodes.

Am ong these cross talk e ectsm ainly two willa ect the energy reconstruction: the strips capacitive crosstalk and the m iddle-back inductive cross talk.

The strips cross talk e ect is due to the thin separation between the  nely segm ented cells of the rst com partm ent of the calorim eter, the ne segm entation is necessary for the $0 = 0$ separation, for a precise estimation of the pseudo rapidity of the im pact point and for the estim ation of the photon pointing direction. This e ect non trivially a ects the calibration and the signal reconstruction. Its treatm ent is described in Section 2.6.2.

The cross talk between the m iddle and the back com partm ent results from a

Table 3. Sum m ary of the typical cross talks m easured in the dierent sam plings of the barrel electrodes A and B and the outer and inner wheel of the endcap. The indices denote the nature of the cross talk where $1, 2, 3$ and 4 correspond to resistive, capacitive, inductive and m ixed respectively.

m ixture of variouse ects, but ism ostly inductive. Since the back com partm ent plays an im portant role in the assessm ent of the longitudinal shower energy leakage it is in portant that this e ect is measured and corrected for.

A ll these e ects were expected and are well understood. They were measured on allm odules. A ll cross talk e ects are well reproducible am ong m odules.

2.6.2 Treatm ent of Strips Capacitive Cross talk

Because an electron cluster contains a large num ber of strips (see Sec. 4.2 and $Sec.5.1.1$) alm ost all the electron signal is contained in the cluster cells. Therefore any signalexported from one strip to its neighboring strips is recovered in the reconstructed cluster energy. The energy of an electron or photon cluster is therefore at rst order not sensitive to the cross talk e ect. H owever, the readout electronics are calibrated using pulse patterns where one strip cell is pulsed out of four. To recover the signal loss in the neighboring cells, the signal readout in the two rst neighbor unpulsed cells is added to the pulsed celland the average of the two next to nearest neighbor unpulsed cells are also added to the pulsed cell. In doing so using calibration runs where the signal is sam pled in 32 time intervals of one nanosecond the shape of a signal in absence of cross talk is emulated. This new shape is used to derive the $0 F co$ e cients and com paring it to the signal shape without applying the sum m ing procedure a correction factor of the ram p gains is derived. The am plitude of

the correction is around 7% . The m ethod has proven to be linear as the correction factors appear to be independent of the DAC signal applied. W hen the com plete correction is applied, the M $_{\rm P\, Nvs}$ =M $_{\rm Ca1}$ factor recovers a value of approxim ately 1 (its expected value if the signal reconstruction is sound) as illustrated in Fig.5.

It was also checked that them ethod is not sensitive to gain di erences between neighboring cells.To avoid the possible calibration di erences between strip cells, the signal read in the non-pulsed cells is \Box rst calibrated and then added. H owever, no noticeable di erence is seen between the two approaches.

Strip cells cross talk corrections show collective variations of approximately 1% ofthestripsenergy.Thedevised correction thusim provestheuniform ity of the energy m easurem ent for the totalelectron energy of a few percent relative buthas alm ost no im pact on the local energy resolution.

2.6.3 Feedthrough Resistive Cross Talk

For all m odules tested in the beam line the same two FT devices equipping the test beam cryostat were used. O ne unexpected and m ore tedious cross talk e ect appeared in the bottom barrelm odules FT which was lacking gold plated contacts. It exhibited an increase with time of the ground resistance com m on to all channels inside the connectors (64 channels), therefore a long range resistive cross-talk appeared.This problem non trivially a ected m ost of the channels corresponding to that FT.

A correction procedure was derived which brought the uniform ity of the response in channels readout with this feedthrough close to that of the other channels. H owever the data taken with the comupted FT are not considered in the nalanalyses. These data correspond to electrons in pinging at azimuthal angles sm aller than 9 in m iddle cell index units. To prevent this problem to appear in $ATLAS$, all connectors were gold plated and the connections were veri ed with the  naldetector.

3 B E A M T E ST S E X P E R IM E N TA L P R O T O C O LS

3.1 B eam line Setups

The barrel and endcap production m odules have been exposed to beam s at CERN with 245 G eV electrons in the H 8 line and 120 G eV electrons in the H 6 line respectively. The pion contam ination of the electron beam was discussed

in $[21]$, it is far less relevant in this context as a sm all bias would equally a ect all the data. Sim ilar pion contam ination rejection cuts as those used in [21] were nevertheless used. Each experimental setup has been already described in details in [6] and [7]. Each beam line is instrum ented with multi wire proportional cham bers to extrapolate the particle in pact on the calorim eter. The trigger is built from the coincidence of three scintillators on the beam line de ning a m axim albeam spot area of 4 cm^2 . W hile for the barrel the profectivity of the beam is ensured in both directions by a proper movem ent of the cryostat, for the endcap the cryostat is m oved along but for the rotation the calorim eter is m oved inside the argon. In the endcap the am ount of dead m aterial upstream of the calorin eter is alm ost constant at all electron in pact points and am ounts to $1.5 X₀$. Thus it does not introduce any e ect in the response uniform ity of the calorim eter. In the barrel, how ever, the am ount of dead m aterial upstream continuously increases with.

3.2 Scanning Procedure

Runs are taken with di erent positions of the moving table in such way that the complete module is exposed to the beam. The positions are chosen in order to center projectively each middle cell of the modules into the beam. For this reason the cell coverage is not completely uniform. In particular, less electrons are in pinging on the edge of the cells than in the center. Results are therefore commonly presented in units of middle cells $($, $)$ indices. Exceptions are m ade for certain regions of the barrel calorim eter that have been scanned w ith a halfm iddle cell granularity.

3.3 Tem perature Stability

A rgon tem peratures were readout and recorded on the barrel setup: the tem perature stability is better than 10 mK , but the absolute tem perature di ers from onem odule to another, $A - 2.0$ % correction of them ean energy per degree is taken into account in the analysis [27].

3.4 D ata Sets

For all barrelm odules runs of 10 000 events were recorded at each cell position.

Additional runs were taken in order to perform system atic studies such as cellto-cell transitions, the electrode A to electrode B transition, and to study the in pact of changing front-end and calibration boards in the front-end crates.

In the outer wheel of the EC m odules only the region $1.525 < i < 2.4$ and $0.075 <$ < 0.725 (corresponding to 6 $_{cell}$ 40 and 4 $_{cell}$ 29) was covered. In the inner wheel the dom ain $2.6 < i < 3.1$ and $0.2 < i < 0.7$ (corresponding to 45 cell 49 and 2 cell 6) was covered representing 25 cells.

In the ECC0 and ECC1 m odules high voltageswere incorrectly cabled in the inner wheel. The inner wheel uniform ity was therefore only studied on ECC5.

In allm odules a few single isolated channels were defectuous or not responding at all. M ost of them were due to the readout electronics setup and were thus found in all running period for EC and barrelm odules. For those problem atic channels for which the problem is intrinsic to the m odules, the m odules have been repaired for their future use in ATLAS. For instance in the EC outer wheel, four (one) electrode front connectors in $ECC0$ ($ECC5$) were not properly plugged on their sum m ing board. The corresponding cells (20) are excluded from the analysis. To avoid such problem s in $ATLAS$, connections of all production m odules have been checked by speci c m easurem ents.

T ype		Barrel		endcap		
Set-up	M 10	P ₁₃	P 15	ECCO	ECC1	ECC5
Bad Strips		3		5		6
Bad M iddle	2					
Bad Calibration	2	2				
No data	2		15			

Table 4. N um ber of channels which present no physical signal, those where no data were taken and those where the calibration line was defectuous for all barrelm odules in the FT0 region only and allend-cap m odules.

A problem which m ay appear in the future running ofATLA S is the im possibility to run som e sectors at the nom inalvalues of high voltage (HV) . In the EC m odules the electrodes of three HV sectors were powered on one side only because of HV problem s that appeared at ∞ ld. In this case the energy of the corresponding cells is $\sin py$ m ultiplied by a factor of $2.$ The resulting energy resolution is degraded by 20 % in these sectors and by 40 % at the -transition with a good sector. The impact on the response uniform ity is negligible.The correction could be re ned at the -transition with a good sector.These cells are kept in the encap m odules analysis.

For the barrel and endcap m odules the scanned cells that are neighboring a m iddle defectuous channel or which are behind a bad strip are excluded from

Table 5. Num ber of scanned cells that are kept for the uniform ity analysis. The excluded cells are related to problem s that are speci c to the beam test. The numbers indicated in parentheses correspond to cells of the inner wheel. In the barrel section the altogether 756 cells were scanned on each barrelm odules comprising of the FT0 and FT-1 but only those pertaining to the FT0 are kept.

the analysis. The same procedure is applied for problem s in the calibration. W hen a back or a presam pler cell is defectuous no speci c treatm ent is applied.

The regions covered in endcap and barrelm odules are detailed in Table 5. For the cells rem oved from the analysis, in the future a special treatm ent involving an energy correction could be applied.

4 BARREL UN IFORM ITY

4.1 M onte C arlo S im ulation

A swasdone in [21] a full M onte C arlo description of the shower development of electrons penetrating the electrom agnetic calorim eter barrel module has been carried out with the GEANT simulation version 4.8 [32]. The intricate geom etry of the accordion and the m aterial of the calorim eter is thoroughly described. A ll the particles are followed in detail up to an interaction range of 20 m. The photon-hadron interactions are also simulated.

The m aterial within the volume of the cryostat is thoroughly simulated (lead, liquid argon, foam, cables, m otherboards and G10) using our best know ledge of its geom etrical distribution as described in [8].

The absorber thickness and gaps were set to their nom inal values as reported in $[6]$ and not to the m easured ones. The m aterial contraction in cold liquid argon was not taken into account either. The m ain consequence of these sm all inaccuracies essentially results in a absolute scale e ect of a few permil and does not a ect the uniform ity.

The m aterial outside and in front of the calorim eter is described in detail accounting for the energy lost near and far from the calorim eter. The case where brem sstrahlung photons are not reconstructed in the calorim eter, because they have been produced far upstream of the impact point, is thus taken into account.

The m aterialdistribution of the test beam set-up is illustrated in Fig.7.The distributions are presented as a function ofthe and direction separately and cum ulatively for the m aterial before and in the presam pler, the m aterial between the presam pler and the rst accordion com partm ent and the m aterial in the accordion calorim eter.These distributions correspond to M onte Carlo sam ples simulated along the and directions with a granularity of onem iddle cell. Each point contains at least ve hundred events. To further illustrate the m aterialabng the direction scans at various xed values are performed. It appears that the totalam ount of m aterial is rather uniform in the direction.

Unlike in the ATLAS experim ent, in the test beam setup only a sm allam ount of m aterialis located upstream of the calorim eter. It is therefore a unique opportunity to test the simulation of the calorim eter alone.

In particular, the m aterial upstream has been tuned by varying the am ount of liquid argon in front of the presam pler that is not wellknown from the construction.Thisallowed to optim izetheagreem entoftheM onte Carlo with the data in the presam pler alone. A s was the case in $[21]$ the best agreem ent is found for a thickness of 2 cm.

The large capacitive crosstalk between stripsisnotsim ulated.The crosstalk e ectbetween the m iddle and back com partm entsofthe calorim eteristaken into account in the simulation.

4.2 C lustering

The electron energy is reconstructed by sum m ing the calibrated cellenergies deposited in the three calorim eter com partm ents and in the presam pler. A cluster is built around the cell with the largest energy deposit in the m iddle com partm ent. The cluster size, expressed in num ber of cells in $_{cell}$ cell is 3 3 throughout the barrel. This choice re ects a good com prom ise between noise and energy containm ent.The energies deposited in the corresponding 2 3 back cells located behind the m iddle cells of the cluster are then added. In the front com partm ent of the barrel all 24 corresponding strip cells in front of the m iddle cluster are added. In , the cluster contains 1 or 2 cells, depending on whether the shower develops near or far from the cell center. In the presam pler the corresponding 3 cells in within the two corresponding positions are chosen to be part of the cluster.

Fig. 7. D istributions of the simulated m aterial for the m ain m aterial types in units of radiation lengths (X_0) as a function of and . The upper two plots represent the cum ulative am ounts of m aterial before and in the presam pler. The two interm ediate plots represent the cum ulative distributions of the m aterial between the presam pler and the strips compartm ent of the accordion calorim eter. In order to illustrate the various structures in $\,$, in the plots the average values correspond to various $\,$ xed values, the corresponding averages are thus dierent in and . The bottom two

pbts represent the am ount of m aterial in the accordion calorim eter as well as the total am ount of m aterial in the entire setup.

4.3 E nergy R econstruction Schem e

Several electron energy reconstruction schem es were tried. In particular the two m ost e ective ones were those used in [21] and an additional reconstruction schem e which took into account the shower depth dependence of the sam pling fractions and the leakage energy [33]. The energy reconstruction schem e used in [21] was chosen for its sim plicity as it could be applied across the entire range with a sim ple analytical param etrization. This energy reconstruction schem e ism ostly based on ourbestknowledge ofthe detectoras

im plem ented in the M onte Carlo sim ulation.The totalreconstructed electrom agnetic (EM) shower energy E_{rec} is evaluated from the m easurem ents of the which is the pressure of $(E_{\text{P}}^{\text{max}})$ and $E_{\text{vis}}^{\text{max}}$ and $E_{\text{vis}}^{\text{max}}$ of the accordion added together $(E_{A \text{ cc}}^{m \text{ esc}})$ $_{A \text{ cc}}^{p \text{ B}} E_{\text{ vis}}^{c \text{ cl}}$, the energy m easured in the strips $(E_{S \text{ trips}}^{\text{max}} =_{p}^{p} E_{\text{ trips}}^{\text{cell}})$ and the energy m easured in the back com – $\frac{\text{arc} \text{ square}}{\text{partm}}$ entropy in collection in the state can
partm ent $(E_{\text{Back}}^{\text{max}})$ = $E_{\text{yits}}^{\text{cell}}$. These measurem ents are carried out within the EM cluster.

The basic principles of the energy schem e for the reconstruction of test beam electrons are reviewed in [21].The totaldeposited energy is reconstructed in four steps: (1) the energy upstream of the presam pler is evaluated using the m easured presam pler energy; (2) the energy deposited between the presam pler and the strips is evaluated using the m easured presam pler and strips energies; (3) the energy deposited in the accordion is evaluated using the m easured energy in the accordion cells; (4) the leakage energy is evaluated from the average expected leakage at a given position in the detector and the am ount of energy in the last accordion compartm ent. The schem e can be written as follows:

$$
\mathbf{E}_{\text{raw}}^{\text{rec}} = a + b \qquad \mathbf{E}_{\text{PS}}^{\text{meas}} + c \qquad \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{E}_{\text{PS}}^{\text{meas}} \mathbf{E}_{\text{Strips}}^{\text{meas}} + \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\text{Acc}}^{\text{meas}}}{d_{\text{eta}}} + \quad (\mathbf{E}_{\text{Back}}^{\text{meas}}) \tag{5}
$$

yielding the raw reconstructed energy, corresponding to the com plete raw shower energy.

As shown in Section 4.1, the am ount of m aterial is rather uniform in the azim uthaldirection, therefore all param eters are derived only as a function of the direction where the variations of material are large.

A s explained in detail in [21], this energy schem e has num erous advantages with respect to the one used before [6]. The m ost prom inent are:

- (i) Itoptim izes both resolution and linearity.
- (ii) The param etrization of the energy deposited between the presam pler and the strips compartm ent of the accordion allows to sample a di erent part of the shower and to absorb m ost of the shower depth dependence of the overall sam pling fraction.
- (iii) The o set in the param etrization of the energy deposited before the presam pler allows to account optim ally for the energy loss by ionization by the beam electrons.

The m ain di erences with the energy scheme used in $[21]$ are the following:

- (i) The param eters are derived as a function of and not as a function of the energy as the scan is done at xed energy.
- (ii) The leakage energy is derived from the energy in the back com partm ent

ofthe accordion.The electron energies in the present analysis are higher than those used in [21] where beam test runs were taken at a xed value of 0.687 corresponding to a region of the detector where the longitudinal leakage is the close to sm allest. The expected leakage is much larger here. It has to be thoroughly corrected in order to reach a good energy resolution.

4.3.1 Param etrization of the Calibration Param eters

The param eters of the shower energy reconstruction are derived for all positions in in steps with the granularity of one m iddle cell. The param eters are derived by tting the energies measured within the cluster with respect to the energieswithin thecom plete physicalvolum e ofthesetup.Thisallowsto take autom atically into account a rather large lateral leakage typically am ounting to 5% of the shower energy outside the cluster volum e. The param eters of Eq.5 found are displayed as a function of the m iddle cell indices in Fig.8. These param eters are obtained as follows.

- a and b : are tted using the distribution of total energy deposited prior and in the presam pler versus the energy m easured in the presam pler within the cluster. As expected, the \circ set a scales with the am ount of m aterial and the sam pling b param eter is alm ost constant.
- $-c$: is tted using the distribution of total energy deposited between the presam pler and the strips com partm ent of the accordion versus the square root of the product of energy m easured in the presam pler and the strips (corresponding to the geom etrical average of these two energies).
- $-d$: is tted using the distribution of the total energy deposited in the accordion versus the m easured energy in all compartm ents of the accordion within the electrom agnetic EM cluster.

The discontinuity in the param eters c and d is due to the di erence in lead thickness between the electrodes A and B.A part from the discontinuity at the transition, the accordion total sam pling fraction is mostly constant.

The variation of the c parameter re ects the non trivial interplay of two e ects: the design of the strips was made with a constant longitudinal extent in units of radiation lengths corresponding to about $4X_0$ and the design of the presam pler which has a constant thickness and thus has an increasing depth in units of radiation lengths.

4.3.2 LongitudinalLeakage Energy Param etrization

D epending on the coordinate of electrons in pinging at 245 G eV on the m odules the am ount of leakage can reach non negligible values. H ow ever, these am ounts are rather sm all in regions far from the edges of them odules. A simple

Fig. 8. Calibration param eters used to reconstruct the cluster energy. In the rst and second upper gures the constant and linear coecients respectively of the param etrization ofthe energy deposited before and within the presam pler are shown. In the second lowest qure the param eters of the energy reconstruction between the strips and the presam pler are illustrated. The last qure represents the accordion sam pling fraction.The functionalparam etrization ofallthe param eters is also shown.

correction corresponding to adding the average value of the expected leakage energy as a function of the location of point of impact would be su cient in regions where the average leakage does not exceed a few G eV. However, in order to optim ize the energy resolution in the regions near the m odule edges, the correlation between the energy deposited in the back compartm ent of the accordion and the energy lost longitudinally can be exploited. The correlation between the longitudinal leakage energy and the energy deposited in the Back

com partm ent of the accordion is shown in Fig. 9 where the leakage energy is represented as the di erence between the leakage and its average value for a given pseudo rapidity.The average leakage is shown in the upper plot of Fig.10.

Fig. 9. D i erence between actual and average leakage energy as a function of the energy deposition in the back com partm ent for various electron im pact points.

The average valuesofthe longitudinalleakage are param etrized asa function of the pseudo rapidity of the impact position of the electron, as measured by the strips. The expected distributions of the energy leakage as a function of the energy m easured in the back com partm ent are  tted forallpseudo rapidities with a granularity of one m iddle accordion cell as follows:

 E_{Leak} () = E_{Leak} () < E_{Leak} > () = $+$ E_{Back} ()

The factor represents the approximately constant ratio of energy leaked longitudinally and theenergy deposited in theback com partm ent.The term can be interpreted as the weighted average energy in the back com partm ent i.e. = $\langle E_{\text{Back}} \rangle$.

The results of these ts are also param etrized as functions of the pseudo rapidity. The leakage is assessed analytically as follows:

$$
(\text{E}^{\text{m}~\text{eas}}_{\text{B}~\text{ack}}) = < ~\text{E}^{\text{}}_{\text{L}~\text{eak}} > ~(~ ~)+~~ ~+~ \text{E}^{\text{}}_{\text{B}~\text{ack}} ~(~)
$$

It is then sim ply added to the shower energy to form the raw reconstructed energy.

In thisprocedure the leakage energy depends largely on the energy deposited in the back com partm ent of the calorim eter. Since the leakage correction is evaluated from the M onte Carlo, it is crucial that the cross talk between m iddle and back as described in Section 2.6 be wellunderstood.Because all

Fig.10.Calibration param eters used to reconstruct the leakage energy. The upper plot illustrates the average leakage energy as a function of pseudo rapidity. The second and third plots show the variations in of the constant () and linear () coe cients used to reconstruct the leakage energy on an event-by-event basis using the m easured energy in the back com partm ent.

m easured values of this e ect are compatible, it is corrected for in the M onte Carlo. The leakage correction can then be directly applied to the data.

The linear correlation between energy deposition in the back com partm ent and the leakage energy is m anifest. H ow ever, at low values of energy deposition in the back com partm ent the leakage energy is system atically above that expected from a pure linear correlation. This e ect arises from events where an early hard hadronic photon-nucleus interaction occurs within the showering process. The produced hadronic particles escape the volum e of the electrom agnetic calorim eter im plying a large longitudinal leakage without depositing any signi cant am ount of energy in the back compartm ent. Such events are relatively rare but when they occur they carry a lot of energy outside the calorim eter.In this analysis those events presenting a very large leakage due to photon-nucleus interaction are rem oved by the pion hadronic veto. The events with a sm all though non negligible fraction of leakage from a photonnucleus interaction could not be properly treated in our test beam protocol.

Nevertheless a correction could be designed for ATLAS where the hadron cabrim eter could catch the hadronic tails of electrons undergoing hadronic interactions.

4.4 Energy Reconstruction Schem e Perform ance

The application of the described energy reconstruction scheme to the M onte Carb simulation for electrons in pinging a single cell of the m iddle compartm ent results in a non-uniform ity of 0.10 %. The uncertainty due to \lim ited statistics of the M onte C arlo samples in plies a non uniform ity of about 0.05 %. The expected system atic non uniform ity arising from the M onte C arlo param etrization thus am ounts to 0.09 %.

4.5 C om parison of D ata to M onte C arlo

A s m entioned in Sec. 2.4.3, the conversion factor $f_{T=F}$ from current to energy is estimated from a comparison of data and the M onte Carlo simulation. The presam pler and the accordion are norm alized independently for each electrodes A and B. For the 2001 running period the norm alizations are evaluated from a com parison between M 10 data and the M onte C arlo simulation. For the 2002 running period the norm alization is estimated using the P13 data only. The constants derived from the comparison with the module P13 are also applied to the P15 m odule. W hen comparing the ratio of the constants derived from the data between electrodes A and B to those directly inferred from rst. principles, as described in Sec. $2.4.3$, in the simulation the dierence amounts to less than $1 \,$ %.

To illustrate the perform ance of the simulation Fig. 11 displays a comparison of the visible energy in the data of the m odule P15 with the M onte C arb for each individual compartments of the calorimeter as a function of the middle cell index. A general very good agreem ent is observed. In particular, it can be noted that:

- (i) The overall energy calibration of the module $P15$ is in good agreem ent with that of the m odule P13 and m oreover the inter-calibration of the electrodes A and B is also well reproduced.
- (ii) The good agreem ent in the absolute scale of the strips results from a sound treatm ent of the large capacitive cross talk.
- (iii) The overall acceptable agreem ent in the back compartm ent illustrates that cross talk between the m iddle and back compartments has been correctly taken into account.

Fig. 11. P15 data versus M onte Carlo com parison in the direction for each com partm entindividually: the presam pler (PS) ; strips (front), M iddle $(M$ id.) and Back. The comparison is m ade at the constant m iddle cell index of 11.

4.6 C luster LevelC orrections

To im prove the accuracy of the energy reconstruction there are three further e ects that should be taken into account. The rst one is the cluster energy dependence on the EM particle im pact position within one m iddle cell. A long the and directions although the transverse leakage is corrected for there is a residual energy m odulation e ect due to the lim ited extent of the cluster. A long the direction there is an additionalenergy m odulation due to the structure of the interleaved accordion absorbers. These two e ects are taken into account in the correction factor $f_{C I}$ (;). The second e ect is the energy loss in the electrodes transition region. It is corrected using the factor f_{TR} (). The third e ect is the system atic variation in the electronic calibration due to

di erences in calibration cable lengths. It is corrected by means of the factor $f_{C \,ables}$ (). The nalEM particle energy reconstruction scheme can be written as follows:

 $E^{rec} = (E_{raw}^{rec} - f_{CI}(\cdot, \cdot) - f_{TR}(\cdot) - f_{Cables}(\cdot))$

4.6.1 Energy M odulation Corrections

In order to correct for the energy modulations using data, a precise and unbiased m easurem ent of the impinging electron impact position is necessary. The

position is accurately given by the energy deposition in the strips. A simple weighted average yields an accurate estimation of the pseudo rapidity of the electron in pact on the cabrin eter. In the azin uthal direction the nest granularity is given by m iddle cells and is eight times coarser than that of strips along the direction. G iven the exponentially slender electron shower pro le the azin uthal coordinate given by the weighted average of the energy depositions in the m iddle compartment is biased towards the center of the cell. This bias is referred to as S-shape alluding to the shape of the distribution of the reconstructed position with respect to the original one. It is also present along the direction but can be neglected in these studies. A dvantage is therefore taken of the precise position measurement of the wire chambers boated in the test beam to evaluate this S-shape in order to re-establish an unbiased estin ate of the azin uthal coordinate of the cluster. An energy distribution as a function of and is evaluated for each middle cell of the P13 module. These distributions correspond to sliding averages of ve cells in in order to accum ulate enough statistics to precisely t the shape of the modulations. All cells are tted and the evolution of the t coe cients are parametrized. The m odulation correction is therefore fully analytical. A ll spectra in and are displayed in Fig. 12 and grouped into four regions in

The energy modulations in are parametrized by a parabola as follows:

$$
E_{corr:}() = E_{raw}^{rec} = 1 + C_1 \left(c_1 + C_2 \left(c_2 \right)^2 \right)
$$
 (6)

where E^{rec} is the raw electron reconstructed energy, ϵ is the coordinate of the m aximum of the parabola. C_2 is the curvature of the parabola. It is directly linked to the amount of lateral leakage. C_1 is a linear term that introduces an asymmetry in the energy distribution as a function of \cdot . This asymmetry is expected given the cells geometry. The latter term is always very sm all. All coe cients are then param etrized using simple functional form s throughout themodule.

W hen the aforem entioned S-shape correction is applied, the energy modula-

tions becom e consistent with an expected two-fold m odulation with lengths in m iddle cellunits of 1=4 and 1=8 folded with a parabola resulting from the

nite size of the cluster. A s shown in Fig. 12 allm odulations in the barrel are observed and they display com m on features.The energy is thus corrected in the following way:

E
$$
\text{corr:}(\text{abs}) = \text{E}_{\text{raw}}^{\text{rec}} = [(1 + C_1(\text{abs} \text{c}) + C_2(\text{abs} \text{c})^2)
$$

\n $a_8 \text{ (} \cos 8 (\text{abs} \text{c}) + a_{16} \text{ (} \cos 16 (\text{abs} \text{c}))]$

Fig. 12. Energy m odulations as a function of the (left plots) and (right plots) directions for dierent regions covering m iddle cell indices in the direction and integrating four cells units both in the azim uthaland pseudo rapidity directions.

 C_c is the azim uthal coordinate of parabola's m aximum. The coe cients C₁ and C_2 are the param eters of the parabola. The linear term is again negligible. a_8 and a_{16} are the am plitudes of the 1=4 and 1=8 m odulations respectively. A llcoe cients are also param etrized using sim ple functional form sthroughout the m odule.

As can be seen in Fig. 12 the m odulations are less pronounced in the cells placed at larger pseudo rapidities.This e ect is likely due to the m echanical positioning of them odule in the test beam .A slight deviation from a projective beam im pact on the m odule can produce such an e ect.

W hen the m odulation corrections are applied, an im provem ent in the overall energy resolution of typically 30 % is observed.

4.6.2 Lead transition reconstruction

D ue to the cylindrical geom etry of the barrel calorim eter the sam pling frequency decreases with pseudo rapidity. In order to balance the energy resolution each m odule consists of two parts with two separate electrodes and di erent lead thicknesses. The lead thickness at high pseudo rapidity is sm aller in order to increase the sam pling fraction and the sam pling frequency, given that the geom etry is unchanged. U nfortunately the transition between the electrode A at low pseudo rapidity and electrode B at higher pseudo rapidities involves a sm all uninstrum ented region of roughly 2-3 mm.

In order to study in detail this transition, special high statistics runs were taken with the P13 m odule with electrons uniform ly covering the transition region. The average energy evaluated from a G aussian tto the fully corrected energy distribution in $-$ bins of a quarter of one strip cellunit as a function of the pseudo rapidity is shown in F ig. 13. Due to the discontinuity in the lead thickness the sam pling fractions are also discontinuous, therefore in order to have a continuous energy distribution across the transition, electron sam pling fractions need to be applied at the cell level.

At the transition, the electron energy m easurem ent can be underestim ated by up to 20 %. The width of the energy gap is approximately three strip cells large.A double Ferm i-D irac function added to a G aussian is used to  t the energy loss as shown in Fig.13. In this gure the deterioration factor ($T = S$, where $T_{\rm g}$ and $T_{\rm s}$ are the resolutions at the transition and in the neighboring m iddle cells) in energy resolution is also shown. A fter the correction is applied, the energy resolution is degraded by a factor 2 over a range of three strip cells units.H owever the region where the energy resolution is deteriorated is very sm alland corresponds to approxim ately 0.003 (1 strip cell) in units of pseudo rapidity.

The asymm etry in the loss of energy is due to the increase in bending angle with depth to m aintain a constant gap.The electrodes were designed to ac-

Fig. 13. In the upper gure the variations of the m easured energy across the transition gap between electrodes A and B before and after the correction is applied are illustrated. The functional form used to correct for the energy loss in the transition is also shown. The lower plot illustrates the degradation of the energy resolution with respect to the neighboring cells throughout the transition.

count for this e ect and the gap distortion was unfolded in the pre-bending design. That was not the case for the absorbers which present a curved transition after bending. In the M onte Carlo this e ect was taken into account and the gap width was tuned to 2.5 m m on the data. This value corresponds precisely to the one m easured on the m odules.

4.6.3 Cable Length Correction

The calibration cables carrying the signalfrom the calibration boards to the m otherboards have di erent lengths. The resulting variation in the input signal attenuation is corrected for with the factor $f_{\text{Cables}}($) which is evaluated from detailed m easurem ents of the cable lengths. Because the attenuation occurs before the calibration signalinjection it introduces a sm allbias in the calibration procedure. The correction is m ade a posteriori at the cluster level in each layer. It am ounts to 1.5 % in average and its variations with pseudo rapidity are sm all (of the order of one per m il).

4.7 M odules Uniform ity

W hen all corrections are applied the energy distribution for each run corresponding to onem iddle cell unit for all barrelm odules is tted with a G aussian form starting from -1.5 o them ean value to determ ine both the average energy and resolution. A 11 problem atic cells described in Sec. 4.2 are excluded. The mean energies resulting from the ts to the energy distribution corresponding to the FT0 of all barrel m odules as a function of and for all values are shown in Fig. 14.

Table 6. Non-uniform ity expressed in terms of RMS values of the dispersion of the average energies in the FT0 dom ain, overall and for each electrode A and B independently. The statistical uncertainties are also displayed.

A measure of the non-uniform ity at the granularity level of one m iddle cell is given by the dispersion $(RM S / \langle E \rangle)$ of the m easured averages. The values obtained are sum m arized in Table 6.

N on uniform it ies of the calorin eter response are typically of the order of one half percent.

The widths of the aforem entioned G aussian energy ts as a function of and for all values of pertaining to the FT0 are shown in Fig. 15. A s can be seen in Fig. 15 in module M 10 around the middle cell index of 14 and in the m odules P13 and P15 around the index 48, a degradation of the resolution is observed. These e ects are due to bad presam pler cells. An overall better energy resolution is obtained in the module $P15$, although the modulation corrections were derived from the module P13. The origin of this di erence cannot be easily traced back. M atter e ects could be responsible for di erences in local energy resolution between the module P13 and P15 either at the level of the constant term or due to di erences in the stochastic term. Di erences in m anufacturing quality could produce such an e ect.

The general increasing trend of the resolution with respect to pseudo rapidity is in part due to the increase of the stochastic term and in part due to the increase of m aterial upstream.

Fig.14.Sum m ary ofthe energy m easurem ents for each barrelm odule for allcells of the FT0 as function of pseudo rapidity. The overall distribution is shown and the corresponding average and dispersion values are indicated. The bands corresponds to twice the dispersion of the m easurem ents.

A s expected, at the electrode transition where the absorber thickness changes, a discontinuity in the energy resolution is observed.

4.8 R elative E nergy Scale

The P13 and P15 m odules were exposed to the test beam with the sam e calibration and readout electronics and with the sam e upstream m aterial. The absolute energy scales for these m odules should therefore be very close or itcould im ply thata problem atthe construction leveloccurred.The average reconstructed energy values for the P13 and P15 m odules are $245.1 \quad 0.06$ G eV and $245.0 \t0.05$ GeV, respectively corresponding to a relative di erence of

Fig. 15. The local resolution of the cellenergy m easurem ents for all m cdules and all cells as a function of pseudo rapidity.

lessthan 0.1 % .Thisglobalnon-uniform ity contribution to the overallenergy resolution is sm all com pared to the non-uniform ities observed within each m odule.

4.9 U ncorrelated N on U niform ities

In order to disentangle the correlated non uniform ities from the uncorrelated ones, for each cell of the scan the ratio of the average energies is com puted. The distributions plotted in Fig. 16 are averages in and in of the mean energy in each cell and their ratio. The uniform ity of the ratio corresponds to the com bination of the non correlated uniform ities of the two m odules. The dispersion of the distribution of the ratios am ounts to 0.30% . The variations in azim uthalangle are sm all as shown in Fig.16.

Fig. 16. Pro les of the norm alized energy distributions as a function of pseudo rapidity and azim uthal angle for the m odules P13 and P15 and their ratio. These pro les are obtained by averaging over the FT0 cells in .

4.10 C orrelated N on U niform ities

Correlated non uniform ities are typically due to the reconstruction m ethod, inaccuracies in the M onte C arlo simulation or inaccuracies in the energy corrections.Since theP13 and P15 m odulesused thesam efront-end and calibration electronics their related non-uniform ities are accounted for as correlated.

M odule	Section	Total	Correlated	N on C orrelated	
	0 verall	0.43 $%$	0.34 %	0.26 %	
P ₁₃	E lectrode A	0.35%	0.29 %	0.20 %	
	E lectrode B	0.48%	0.34 %	0.34 %	
	0 verall	$0.40*$	0.34 %	0.21 %	
P ₁₅	E lectrode A	0.36%	0.29 %	0.21 %	
	E lectrode B	$0.43*$	0.34 %	0.26 %	

Table 7. D etails of the correlated and non correlated non-uniform ities for the P13 and P15 m odules.The values corresponding to the electrode A and B are also given separately.

U sing the dispersion of the ratio of them easured energies for them odules P13 and P15, the correlated and the uncorrelated contributions to the uniform ity can be separated. The values of correlated and non correlated non uniform ities are displayed in Table 7.

4.11 Contribution to the N on Uniform ity

A ll contributions to the non uniform ities of the calorim eter response cannot be easily disentangled. M erging the beam test results with the quality control m easurem ents, the electronics perform ance evaluation and the m onte carlo \sin ulation, a non exhaustive list of sources of non uniform ity, displaying the m ost prom inent contributions, is proposed hereafter.

4.11.1 Electronics Calibration System

The calibration system was built within very strict requirem ents regarding the precision of the electronics components. The entire system was thoroughly reviewed and tested. The precision of the three possible sources of non uniform ity (pulsers, in jection resistors and cables) was estimated. A ll calibration boards were measured on a test bench and were found to ful 11 the requirem ents. Non uniform ities arising from the calibration system are detailed in 2.3 and displayed in Table 8. The overall non uniform ities am ounts to 0.23 %. This estimate is mostly correlated between the modules P13 and P15.

4.11.2 Readout Electronics

A ssum ing that the calibration system is uniform, the properly calibrated readout electronics should not contribute to non-uniform ities. However, sm all differences in the readout response could infer second order e ects that could in ply variations in the calorin eter response. In order to assess these variations, data was taken with the P15 m odule where two middle cells Front End Boards (FEB) were permuted. The new FEB con quration was calibrated and new data were taken. The variation in the energy measurem ent with the board swap am ounted to approximatively 0.1 %.

4.11.3 Signal Reconstruction

For various runs taken on the P15 m odule the data were reconstructed using the two methods described in Sec. 2.5. In order to assess the possible non uniform ities arising from the signal reconstruction method the dispersion of the di erences in the average energy between the two methods is taken. The observed RM S am ounts to 0.25 %. This estimate is likely to be an overestimate of the intrinsic bias of the method.

4.11.4 M onte Carlo Sim ulation

The full M onte Carlo sim ulation of the experim ental setup cannot perfectly reproduce the actualdata.N on uniform ities in the calorim eter response can thus arise from the simulation. The precision of the M onte Carlo description will directly im pact the energy m easurem ent. The di erence between data and M onte C arlo presented in Sec. 4.5 am ounts to 0.08 $\%$. This qure, derived from the dispersion of the distribution of the di erence in m easured energies between data and M onte Carlo, represents the expected non uniform ity arising from the sim ulation inaccuracies.

4.11.5 Energy reconstruction Schem e

The energy reconstruction schem e involves a large num ber of param eterizations and ts. Inaccuracies of these param eterizations will impact the energy m easurem ents and can induce a non uniform response. A m easure of the inaccuracies of the param etrization is the residual system atic non uniform ity in the M onte Carlo simulation. A swas shown in Sec. 4.4 , this e ect am ounts to 0.09 $\frac{8}{3}$.

4.11.6 M odule Construction

The non uniform ities related to the construction of the m odules are the dom inant source of non-correlated non uniform ities. The m ain sources of the nonuniform ity in the construction ofm odules are the lead thickness and the gap dispersion.

- (i) The im pact of the variations in lead thickness on the EM energy m easurem ents was assessed and a scaling factor of 0.6 was found between the dispersion of the lead thickness and the dispersion of the EM energies.
- (ii) Sim ilarly the im pactofthe variationsofthe gap were studied and a scaling factor of 0.4 was found between the dispersion of the gaps and that of the EM energy m easurem ents.

From the m easurem ents presented in $Sec.1.4.1$ the expected non uniform ity obtained are displayed in Table 8.

4.11.7 M odulation Corrections

The energy m odulation corrections can im pact the calorim eter response to electrons at di erent levels either by a ecting the uniform ity or the local constant term.

The m odulation corrections were evaluated on the m odule P13 only and were then applied to all otherm odules. For this reason it is di cult to disentangle the correlated from the non correlated part of the correction.For the sake of sim plicity this e ect will be considered as exclusively non correlated. To evaluate its in pact both on the uniform ity and on the local constant term , the com plete analysis is done restricting the m easurem ent to a sm allregion accounting for 20 % of the cell around its center. The di erences found are of 0.14 % and 0.10 % for the m odules P13 and P15 respectively.

4.11.8 Tim e Stability

In order to check the stability of the energy reconstruction, reference cells were periodically scanned with the 245 G eV electron beam .Two cells were chosen for the m odules P13 and P15 both at a m iddle cell index of 10 and at indices of 12 and 36. For the m odule M 10 only one reference cell was taken at an index of 34. The variation of the energy reconstruction with time is illustrated in Fig.17.

Fig.17.Energy m easurem ents for two reference cells in m odules P13 and P15 and in m odule M 10, as a function of time. The 10_{m} variation band is also indicated.

From the observed variations, the impact on the energy m easurem ents are estim ated to be 0.09% , 0.15% and 0.16% for the modules P13, P15 and M 10 respectively.

4.11.9 Sum m ary

A llknown contributionsto thenon uniform ity aresum m arized in Table8.The good agreem entachieved between thedata and theexpectation illustratesthat

the m ost sizable contributions to the non uniform ities have been identi $ed.$

Table 8. Detail of the expected contributions to the uniform ity and to the constant tem.

Them odule P15 displays a slightly better uniform ity than the other modules. N one of the controlm easurem ents support this observation. H ow ever, as show n in Sec. 1.4.1 the granularity of the controlm easurem ents was not particularly high. M anufacturing di erences within such granularity m ay not be observable but could in pact the uniform ity.

4.12 Local and O verall C onstant Term

The distributions of all energy measurements for each barrelm odule are shown in Fig. 18. These distributions show ing the overall energy measurem ent resolution throughout each module are tted with a simple G aussian form starting from -1.5 o the mean values.

The corresponding overall resolutions are 0.93 %, 0.85 % and 0.96 % for the m odules P13, P15 and M10 respectively. The m ain components of these resolutions are: (i) the non-uniform ity of them odules, (ii) the local constant term s, (iii) the stochastic term s and (iv) the electronic noise.

Thestochasticterm (iii)hasbeen precisely m easured in [21]atone xed point and m ore broadly estim ated over the full range in pseudo rapidity in [6]. Taking into account the -dependence of the stochastic^{[16](#page-45-0)} term as derived from [6], the noise as evaluated from random trigger events, the local resolutions and the beam energy spread am ounting to 0.08 % a localconstant term can be derived for each cell. The distribution of boal constant term syields an average of 0.30 $\frac{25}{8}$ and 0.36 $\frac{25}{8}$ for the P13, P15 and M 10 m odules respectively. The dispersion of the local constant term is typically of 0.11 % absolute. The overall constant term for all modules can be derived from the average local constant term s by sim ply adding the m easured non-uniform ities. The global constant term s obtained are thus 0.52 %, 0.48 % and 0.60 % for the m odules P13, P15 and M 10, respectively. These results are derived under the assum ption that the stochastic term is the same in allm odules. A slight variation in the stochastic term could also explain the di erencies observed between the P13 and P15 resolutions.

Fig. 18. The energy lineshapes for the barrelm odules $P13$, $P15$ and M 10 containing respectively 4.4, 2.1 and 3.6 m illion events. The simple gaussian ts to energy peak are displayed and the tparam eters are indicated.

The constant term s (average localor global) are considerably better for the m odule P15 with respect to the otherm odules. This observation supports the hypothesis that the m odule P15 was better m anufactured, but could also be due a better stochastic term. A ll results are summ arized in Table 16.

 16 T he -dependence of the stochastic term is assumed to be negligible here.

Table 9.M ean energy, non-uniform ity, average energy resolution and global constant term for the three tested barrelm odules over the entire analysis region.

5 E N D C A P U N IFO R M IT Y

5.1 E nergy R econstruction

5.1.1 C lustering Schem e

The electron energy is reconstructed as in the barrel by summing the calibrated energies deposited in the three calorim eter com partm ents around the cellwith the largest energy deposition them iddle compartm ent. The choices of cluster sizes result from the best com prom ise between noise and energy leakage. Table 10 sum m arizes the cluster size in the three com partm ents. It can be noted that the cluster size changes dram atically in the front com partm ent due to the variations in the cell granularity. As was the case in the barrel electron clusters the choice of one or two strip cells in relies upon whether the shower develops near the cell center or not.

	Outer wheel								Inner wheel		
-range		$[1.5, 1.8]$ $[1.8, 2.0]$ $[2.0, 2.4]$ $[2.4, 2.5]$						[2.5, 3.2]			
Front	23			$1(2)$ 15 $1(2)$ 11 $1(2)$			- 3	1(2)			
M iddle				5	- 5						
B ack					- 5						

Table 10. C luster size ($_{cell}$ cell) per layer around cell with m axim um energy deposit.

5.1.2 Reconstruction Schem e

A sm entioned in Sec. 3, the barreland endcap m odules were tested in di erent beam linesattwo di erentm axim um energiesnam ely 245 G eV and 119 G eV respectively. At this lower beam electron energy the relative im pact of the longitudinal leakage is extrem ely sm all but the e ect of inactive m aterial is larger. A nother m a pr di erence intrinsic to the detector is the absence of a presam pler. H ow ever, the m aterial upstream of the calorim eter is approxim ately constant. For these reasons the energy reconstruction schem e can be considerably simplied at rstorder in the endcaps with respect to that used in the barrel. A single norm alization factor for all modules can thus be used to derive the total energy from the visible energy m easured in the liquid argon. It is derived after all corrections are applied.

N evertheless two m ain com plications arise: the rst one from the continuous decrease of the liquid argon gap with pseudo rapidity (since the H V is set on a sector basis, the signal response will vary with $\;$); the second from the e ective variations ofthe cluster size with the pseudo rapidity.The fraction of the total 119 G eV electron energy contained in the cluster exceeds 92 % at high and even m ore at low . A single ad hoc correction can be derived from the data to correct for both e ects simultaneously. This correction is described in Sec.5.2.1

5.2 C ell Level C orrections

5.2.1 Ad hoc ResidualH igh Voltage and Leakage Correction

The fact that the liquid argon gap thickness decreases continuously along whereas the high voltage changes by steps translates into a linear increase in signal response with , within each high voltage sector. The transverse leakage will a ect the energy in an opposite m anner and a priori not completely linearly, however its e ect is expected to be sm aller. The overall variation is illustrated in Fig. 19, where the seven (two) HV sectors of the outer (inner) wheelare separated with verticaldashed lines.A good agreem entisachieved with a full M onte Carlo G EANT \sin ulation^{[17](#page-47-0)} [32]. The crack between the two wheels around $= 2.5$ distorts the expected linear behavior in sectors B6 and B7.At this level, the non-uniform ity of the response over the analysis region (RM S of the m ean energy distribution) is around 4 %.

These e ects are corrected for by weighting the energy of each cell, depending on its -position (taken at its center) and its HV sector (l), by :

 17 T he 2 % discrepancy observed in B4 sector is not understood but could be due to a bad tuning of the HV value of 50 V / 1500 V.

Fig.19.Energy averaged over forone m odule asa function of before high voltage correction. The vertical dashed lines separate the high voltage sectors and the full line at $= 2.5$ separates the outer and the inner wheel. The full (em pty) dots correspond to cells inside (outside) the analysis region.The stars correspond to the fullM onte Carlo sim ulation results.

$$
E_{\text{HV}}^{\text{cell}} \quad \text{corr:} \quad \text{(1)} = E^{\text{cell}} \quad \frac{1}{1 + 1 \quad (\frac{1}{\text{center}})}
$$

where $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{\text{center}}$ is the -value at the center of HV sector 1. The coe cients $\frac{1}{\text{center}}$ and $^{-1}$ are the correction param eters of sector 1, $^{-1}$ being a norm alization factor, close to 1 , accounting also for inaccurate high voltage settings. They are determ ined by a linear t. The inner wheel case is m ore complicated and the resulting param eters are slightly biased. The results obtained for $\frac{1}{1}$ and

 1 in the eight scanned HV sectors^{[18](#page-48-0)} are shown in Fig. 20 for the three tested m odules.

A good agreem ent between m odules is observed and depends very weakly on . Therefore a single value of $= 0.49$, in good agreem ent with the full M onte Carlo simulation, is used for all modules.

5.2.2 Capacitance correction

A long the direction, the gap thickness is in principle kept constant by the honeycom b spacers. H ow ever, the energies m easured in the test beam show an unexpected non-uniform ity along , alm ost at the percent level $[34,35]$. This e ect can be correlated with the variations of m iddle cell capacitance along m easured independently, as shown in Fig. 21 for $ECC0$. The -dependence of

 $\frac{18 \text{ T}}{18 \text{ T}}$ he rst HV sector, covering the -range [1.375-1.5], has not been completely scanned (at m ost 2 cells in) and is not included in the analysis.

Fig.20.H igh voltage correction param eters (left) and (right) obtained in the eight scanned H V sectors for the three tested m odules. Results have been averaged over \cdot The vertical full line at = 2.5 separates the outer and the inner wheel. The stars correspond to the fullM onte Carlo simulation results.

the energy can thus be explained by local uctuations of the gap thickness, generated during the m odule stacking. The e ect is alm ost independent of

.Even if it corresponds to sm allabsolute deviations (the gap thickness is roughly 3 m m atlow and 1 m m athigh ,1 % representsonly a few tensof m icrons), it m ust be corrected in order to achieve the best response uniform ity.

Fig. 21.Variation along the direction of the m easured ECC0 outer wheelm iddle cell capacitance (red triangles) and of the energy m easured in beam test (black points). A ll points have been averaged over.

The energy is then corrected by weighing each cell in the following way:

$$
E_{\text{capa corr.}}^{\text{cell}}() = E^{\text{cell}} = \frac{C}{\langle C \rangle}
$$
 (8)

where C is the cell capacitance, $\langle C \rangle$ is its average over all and the high voltage correction param eter (see section $5.2.1$). The e ect is assumed to be independent of the depth. The m iddle cell m easurem ents are used for

all compartments. The exponent was empirically chosen but was found to yield a near to optim aluniform ity. It illustrates the interplay between the high voltage and the capacitance corrections. Such a correction is not performed in the ECC5 inner wheel, whose uniform ity along is very good. For ECC1, no accurate capacitance m easurem ent was m ade. A n ad hoc correction is thus extracted from the -dependence of the energy averaged over . A s it corrects for boalstacking e ects, the correction has to be speci c for each cell and each m odule. In ATLAS the correction based on the capacitance m easurem ents could be further re ned by inter calibrating -slices of the calorim eter with Z^0 ! e^+e events.

5.3 C luster Level C orrections

5.3.1 C luster Energy C orrection for H igh Voltage problem s

A nother problem, which appeared on $ECC1$, was that two electrode sides, which were on spare lines, were not holding the high voltage, inducing an energy loss depending on the event in pact point position in with respect to the faulty electrode. The dependence of the cluster energy with was parametrized and corrected with a parabola. The energy resolution of the a ected cells is degraded by 50% and the uniform ity in the corresponding 0.2 0.4) is degraded by 20 \textdegree . HV zone (

5.3.2 Endcap and energy modulation corrections

To correct for energy variations along the direction a parametrization sim ilar to that used in the barrel is considered. However, as the transverse size of the electrom agnetic shower is constant and the cell dim ension decreases with pseudo rapidity, the leakage is expected to increase with , and thus the absolute value of the quadratic term of the parabola is expected to increase with pseudo rapidity. This e ect is sizable only in EC m odules and a good agreem ent is achieved am ong the three tested m odules. The quadratic param eter is linearly parametrized as a function of [34].

The modulation for EC modules is thed and corrected for with the following function [36]:

$$
E_{\text{corr:}}(a_{\text{abs}}) = E = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^2} a_i \cos[2 i(\text{abs}]) + b_i \sin[2 \text{abs}](9)
$$

where Δ _{abs} is in absorber units, a_1 and a_2 are the coe cients of the even

component (it has been checked that only two terms are necessary), b_1 the coe cient of the odd com ponent one and a phase shift. The param eters of the tsaredisplayed in Fig. 22 for all three endcap m odules. A good agreem ent between the tted parameters for the dierent modules is observed. G iven the non-trivial geometry of the endcap modules this result highlights the m anufacturing quality of them odules. A swas the case for the barrelm odules, a single correction derived from a tof the coe cients can be used.

Fig. 22. Coe cients of π n odulation corrections, averaged over , as a function of for the three tested modules. Their linear or parabolic parametrisations are superim posed.

5.4 M odules uniform ity

The mean energies as reconstructed from a gaussian t to the energy distribution after all corrections are shown in Fig. 23 for all the cells and for the three tested m odules. Their dispersion across the outer wheel analysis region is approximately 0.6 % for all modules [34]. It is better for ECC1 because an ad hoc capacitance correction was used. A similar result is obtained for the ECC5 inner wheel: the response non-uniform ity over the 25 cells is approxi-

m ately 0.6 %.

W ith the high voltage correction the uniform ity is of the order of $1 \, \text{\%}$ (0.78 \% $for ECC0,0.86$ % $for ECC1$ and 0.65 % $for ECC5$). The capacitance correction yields an uniform ity close to nalone. C luster level corrections do not significantly im pact the m odule response uniform ity, but they im prove the energy resolutions.The problem atic channels (for instance for high voltage failures in $ECC1$ and $ECC5$), that have been kept, do not degrade the results. If they were excluded, the non-uniform ity would im prove by less than 0.01 $\%$. These results are sum m arized in Table 11.

Fig. 23. M ean reconstructed energy as a function of the hit cell position in for a 119 G eV electron beam .Results are shown for the outer wheelofallthree tested m odules.

5.5 R esolution and O verall C onstant Term

The energy resolution as derived from the tto the energy distribution for all cells and after all corrections are applied is shown in $Fig.24.W$ hen quadratically subtracting the electronic noise term of 200 M eV and a beam spread of 0.07 % the energy resolutions are com patible with those reported in [7]. Assuming an average local constant term of 0.35 % an average value of the stochastic term of 11.4 0.3 \approx = \degree E is found.

Fig. 24. Reconstructed energy resolutions as a function of the hit cell position in for a 119 G eV electron beam .Results are shown for the three tested m odules (outer wheel). The legend used for the dierent values here is the same as in Fig. 23

The overall constant term of the energy resolution is estim ated from the cum ulative energy distribution ofallcells ofthe analysis dom ain.These energy lineshapes are illustrated in Fig. 25 for all tested m odules. Each of these en-

		Inner wheel		
M odule	ECC0	ECC1	ECC5	ECC ₅
$< E$ >	118.8 G eV	119.1 G eV	119.3 G eV	119.1 G eV
RM S/< E	0.59%	$0.52*$	0.57%	0.60%
	1.27 8	1.28 ₈	1.22 $%$	1.26 %
Constant Term	0.70%	0.72 %	0.61 %	0.78 %

Table 11. M ean energy and non-uniform ity of the three tested m cdules over the whole analysis region. For the outer (inner) wheel, statistical errors on the mean energy are 0.02 GeV $(0.1$ GeV) and statistical errors on non-uniform ity are 0.02 % $(0.1 \;$ $)$.

Fig. 25. The energy lineshapes for the endcap modules ECCO, ECC1 and ECC5 containing respectively 5.5, 5.5 and 6.4 m illion events. The simple gaussian ts to energy peak are displayed and the t parameters are indicated.

ergy spectra is thed with a simple G aussian form starting from -1.5 o the m ean value. When unfolding a sampling term of 11.4 0.3 G eV¹⁼² an electronic noise term of 200 M eV and the beam spread contribution amounting to 0.07 % an overal constant term of 0.7 % is found. The global constant term s for all endcap m odules are reported in Table 11.

CONCLUSION

The response uniform ity of the ATLAS liquid argon electrom agnetic calorim eter to high energy electrons has been studied in the pseudo rapidity range from 0 to 3.2 . These results encompass both the barrel and endcap calorim eters which were independently tested in di erent beam lines using 245 and 119 G eV electrons respectively.The uniform ity in the pseudo rapidity range from 0 to 2.4 isillustrated in Fig.26.

Fig. 26. Two dim ensional histogram of the average energies m easured in all cells of alltested m odules norm alized to the m ean energy of the m odules. In the barrel the energies were 245 GeV and 120 GeV in the EM EC. The distributions are norm alized to the num ber of m iddle cells scanned in for each value of .

For the barrelm odules a m odi ed version of the energy reconstruction scheme developped in [21] m ostly based on a full M onte Carlo simulation is used. M ost potential sources of non-uniform ity were reviewed and their im pact was independently estim ated.W hen com paring the estim ated non-uniform ity to the m easured one a very good agreem ent is observed thus indicating that the sources of non-uniform ity are well understood. For the endcap m odules the m aterial upstream of the calorim eter is approxim ately constant, a simpler energy reconstruction schem e is thus applied. A full M onte Carlo simulation accounting for the com plex geom etry of EM EC m odules is perform ed and is in good agreem ent with the data.

N on uniform it ies of the response do not exceed $7\, \theta_{00}$. O verall constant term s in the energy resolution are derived and range between 5 θ_{00} and 7 θ_{00} . Such perform ance is within the calorim eter design expectations.

A C K N O W LE D G M E N T S

W ewould like to thank the acceleratordivision for the good working conditions in the H 8 and H 6 beam lines.W e are indebted to our technicians for their contribution to the construction and running ofallm odules.Those ofusfrom non-m em ber states wish to thank CERN for its hospitality.

R eferences

- [1] B.A ubertetal.(R D 3 C ollaboration),N ucl.Instrum .M eth.A 309,438 (1991).
- [2] B.A ubert et al. (R D 3 C ollaboration), N ucl. Instrum .M eth.A 321, 467 (1992).
- [3] B.A ubertetal.(R D 3 C ollaboration),N ucl.Instrum .M eth.A 325,118 (1993).
- [4] D.M.G ingrich et al. (RD3 C ollaboration), Nucl. Instrum. M eth. A 364, 290 (1995).
- [5] D .M .G ingrich et al.(R D 3 C ollaboration),N ucl.Instrum .M eth.A 389,398 (1997).
- [6] B. A ubert et al. (T he AT LA S Electrom agnetic Liquid argon C alorim eter G roup),N ucl.Instrum .M eth.A 500,202 (2003).
- [7] B. A ubert et al. (T he AT LA S Electrom agnetic Liquid argon C alorim eter G roup),N ucl.Instrum .M eth.A 500,178 (2003).
- [8] AT LA S C ollaboration, Liquid A rgon C alorim eter Technical D esign R eport, C ER N -LH C C /96-41.
- [9] B. A ubert et al., AT LA S Electrom agnetic Liquid A rgon C alorim eter group N ucl.Instrum .M ethodsA 558 (2006) 388.
- [10]B. A ubert et al., AT LA S Electrom agnetic Liquid A rgon C alorim eter group N ucl.Instrum .M ethodsA 539 (2005) 558.
- [11]M .L.A ndrieux etal.,N ucl.Instrum .M ethods A 479 (2002) 316.
- [12]J.C olasetal.,AT LA S N ote AT LA S-LA RG -2000-004.
- [13]P.Pralavorio and D .Sauvage,AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -2001-006.
- [14] F. Berny et al., AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG-2003-005.
- [15] G. G arcia et al., N ucl. Instrum . M eth. A 418, 513 (1998).
- [16]P.Barrillon etal.,AT LA S N ote AT LA S-LA RG -2003-004.
- [17] S.Baonietal, AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -PU B-2007-005.
- [18]J.C olasetal.AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -2000-006,2000.
- [19]W .W illis and V .R adeka.N ucl.Instrum .M eth.A 120 (1974).
- $[20]$ W E . C leland and E.G. Stern. Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A 338 (1984).
- $[21]$ M. A harrouche et al., Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A 568,601 (2006).
- [22]M.Lefebvre, G.Parrour and P.Petro,RD3 internalnote 41,1993.
- [23]M .C itterio,M .D elm astro and M .Fanti,AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -2001-018, 2001.
- [24]L.N eukerm ans,P.Perrodo and R .Zitoun,AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -2001-008, 2001.
- $[25]$ D. Ban, M. D elm astro and M. Fanti. Journal of Instrum entation, 1:P08001, 2006.
- [26]D .Prieur.AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -2005-001,2005.
- [27]C.D e La Taille, L. Serin, AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -1995-029, 1995.
- [28]W .W alkow iak,AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -99-009,1999.
- [29]F.H ubaut,B.Laforge,D .Lacour,F.O rsini,AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -2000- 007,2000.
- [30]F.H ubaut,AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -2000-009.
- [31]B. D ekhissi, J. D erkaoui, A . El-K harrim , F. H ubaut, F. M aarou and P.Pralavorio,AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -2003-012.
- [32]S. A gostinelli et al. (GEANT4 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. M eth. A 506, 250 (2003).
- [33]G .G raziani,AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -2004-001.
- [34]F.H ubautand C .Serfon,AT LA S N ote AT L-LA RG -2004-015.
- [35]S.R odier,PhD T hesis C ER N -T H ESIS-2004-001 (2003).
- [36]G.Garcia, PhD Thesis DESY -THESIS-2000-010 (2000).