## Evidence for the Production of Slow Antiprotonic Hydrogen in Vacuum

N. Zurlo<sup>1,2</sup> M. Amoretti<sup>3</sup> C. Amsler<sup>4</sup> G. Bonom i<sup>5,6</sup> C. Carraro<sup>3,7</sup> C. L. Cesar<sup>8</sup> M. Charlton<sup>9</sup> M.Doser<sup>10</sup> A.Fontana<sup>6,11</sup> R.Funakoshi<sup>12</sup> P.Genova<sup>6,11</sup> R.S.Hayano<sup>12</sup> L.V.J rgensen<sup>9</sup> A.Kellerbauer<sup>10</sup> V.Lagomarsino<sup>3,7</sup> R.Landua<sup>10</sup> E.LodiRizzini<sup>1,2</sup> M.Macr<sup>3</sup>, N.Madsen<sup>9</sup>

G. Manuzio<sup>3,7</sup> D. Mitchard<sup>9</sup> P. Montagna<sup>6,11</sup> L.G. Posada<sup>12</sup> H. Pruys<sup>4</sup> C. Regenfus<sup>4</sup>

A.Rotondi<sup>6,11</sup> G.Testera<sup>3</sup> D.P.Van der W erf<sup>9</sup> A.Variola<sup>3</sup> L.Venturelli<sup>1,2</sup> and Y.Yam azaki<sup>3</sup>

(ATHENA Collaboration)

<sup>1</sup>D ipartim ento di Chim ica e Fisica per l'Ingegneria e per i Materiali, Universita di Brescia, 25123 Brescia, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Gruppo Collegato di Brescia, 25123 Brescia, Italy

<sup>3</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova Italy

<sup>4</sup>Physik-Institut, Zurich University, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>5</sup>D ipartim ento di Ingegneria M eccanica, Universita di Brescia, 25123 Brescia, Italy

<sup>6</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Universita di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy

<sup>7</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy

<sup>8</sup> Instituto de Fisica, Univesidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro 21945-970

<sup>9</sup>Department of Physics, University of Wales Swansea, Swansea SA 2 8PP, UK

<sup>10</sup>EP Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

<sup>11</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica Nucleare e Teorica, Universita di Pavia, 27100, Pavia, Italy

<sup>12</sup>Departm ent of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

<sup>13</sup>A tom ic Physics Laboratory, R IK EN , Saitam a 351-0198, Japan

(Dated: June 2, 2013)

W e present evidence showing how antiprotonic hydrogen, the quasi-stable antiproton (p)-proton bound system, has been synthesized following the interaction of antiprotons with the molecular ion H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> in a nested Penning trap environm ent. From a careful analysis of the spatial distributions of antiproton annihilation events, evidence is presented for antiprotonic hydrogen production with subeV kinetic energies in states around n = 70, and with low angular m om enta. The slow antiprotonic hydrogen m ay be studied using laser spectroscopic techniques.

PACS num bers: 36.10-k, 34.80 Lx, 52.20 Hv

Studies of the properties of the two-body hydrogenic bound states of the stable leptons and baryons have produced som e of the most precise measurem ents of physical quantities and provided powerful tests of our understanding of the laws of nature. Interest in this area is still strong, follow ing the recent production of antihydrogen, H, at low energies [1, 2]. A courate com parisons of the transitions in hydrogen and antihydrogen are eagerly awaited as a stringent test of CPT symmetry.

Antiprotonic hydrogen (pp) is also of interest. Its level structure is sim ilar to that of hydrogen, but with much larger binding energies. Precision measurements of its spectroscopic properties may allow determ ination of the so-called antiprotonic Rydberg constant and/or the antiproton/electron m ass ratio.

A lthough pp has been studied extensively in the past, this has exclusively been achieved by stopping antiprotons in liquid or gaseous targets for X -ray spectroscopy of inner shell cascades, or for the production of new light m esons and baryons (see e.g. [3, 4]). Here we report a radically new method of pp production resulting in em ission almost at rest in vacuum. This has been achieved using a chem ical reaction between antiprotons and molecular hydrogen ions ( $H_2^+$ ) in the ATHENA Penning trap apparatus [1, 5]. This advance has opened the way for laser spectroscopic studies of pp, or other antiprotonic

system s form ed by p interactions with  $HD^+$  or  $D_2^+$ , akin to those successfully deployed in the study of antiprotonic helium (see e.g. [6, 7]).

The experiments were made possible by the availability of a high-quality low energy p beam delivered by the CERN Antiproton Decelerator to the ATHENA H apparatus. The latter contained a multi-electrode system of cylindrical Penning traps, 2.5 cm in diam eter and

90 cm in length immersed in an axialmagnetic eld of 3 T. The residual pressure of 10<sup>12</sup> Torr, in the 15 K cryogenic environm ent of the trap, was due to hydrogen and helium gases. The central region contained the m ixing trap: a nested Penning trap, approxim ately 10 om long, that allowed positrons, e<sup>+</sup>, and antiprotons to be con ned simultaneously. For H production the mixing trap contained a spheroidal cloud of  $3:5 \quad 10^7 e^+$ . A round  $10^4$  antiprotons were injected into this plasma, with the resulting p annihilations m onitored for 60 s by position sensitive detectors [5, 8]. These registered the passage of the charged pions, to localize annihilation vertices which were due, not only to H form ation followed by annihilation on the electrode surface [1, 9], but also p annihilation following transport to the electrode walls [10], and annihilation following interactions with residual gas atom s or ions present in the trap. It was shown in [1, 9] that the vertex data were predom inantly H anni-



Figure 1: r z scatter plot and radial densities  $\frac{1}{r} \frac{dN}{dr}$  of the annihilation vertices for: (a) hot mixing; (b) cold mixing. The dashed black line indicates the position of the trap wall; the red sem i-ellipse shows the section of the e<sup>+</sup> plasma. The green radial densities are for the corresponding central z-region events (inside the green lines) whilst the data in blue are for the 2 lateral z-regions, norm alized for r > 1:25 cm.

hilations during so-called \cold m ixing" (CM ), when the e<sup>+</sup> cloud was held at the trap am bient of 15 K. In contrast for \hot m ixing" (HM ), when the e<sup>+</sup> were heated to a tem perature,  $T_e$ , of several thousand K (here 8000 K) [11,12], H form ation was suppressed and the p annihilations were m ainly a result of collisions with trapped positive ions. It is this e ect (which is also present for CM ) that is addressed here.

Fig.1 showsr z scatter plots for annihilation vertices taken under HM and CM conditions. Here the radial positions, r, (i.e. the distance from the trap axis) of the events are plotted versus their axial coordinates, z. A los shown are the attendant radial density distributions  $\frac{1}{r} \frac{dN}{dr}$ . The distributions are broadened by the uncertainties in the vertex determ ination (around 1.8 mm in the z-direction and 3.5 mm in the transverse dimensions) caused mainly by the inability of the ATHENA detector to reconstruct the curved trajectories of the pions in the 3 T magnetic eld. The present HM results are for the highest T<sub>e</sub> achieved by ATHENA.

There are striking di erences between the two r z plots. Besides the H annihilations on the trap wall centred around r = 1.25 cm (CM only), there are events b-calized at smaller radii which dom inate in HM (Fig.1a), but which are also evident in CM (Fig.1b). Exam in-



Figure 2: Experimental radial distribution of p annihilation vertices (black histogram) for HM (1.5 cm < z < 1:5 cm) with a M onte Carlo simulation ( $T_e = 8000 \text{ K}$ ,  $v_{th} = 5600 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , generation on the surface of a spheroid with  $z_p = 16 \text{ mm}$  and  $r_p = 1 \text{ mm}$  rotating with a frequency of 300 kHz, i.e.  $v_{tang} = 2000 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ); see text for details. Results of simulations with di erent m ean lifetimes are shown: green,  $= 0.8 \text{ s} (\frac{2}{\text{ red}} = 2:78)$ ; red,  $= 1:1 \text{ s} (\frac{2}{\text{ red}} = 1:48)$ ; blue,  $= 1:4 \text{ s} (\frac{2}{\text{ red}} = 2:14)$ .

ing Fig.lb, the radial density distributions for CM at small radii (r < 0.5 cm ) behave quite di erently between the central (jzj< 0.5 cm ) and its adjacent regions (0.5 cm < jzj< 1.5 cm ). Moreover, the shape of the distribution for the events in the central region resembles that for HM .

In Fig.2 and Figs.3a,b the radial  $\frac{dN}{dr}$  and axial  $\frac{dN}{dz}$  annihilation distributions are plotted for the HM case. Fig.3c shows the axial distribution for CM for events with r < 0.5 cm being notably narrower than the HM case in Fig.3b.

In order to determ ine the characteristics of the nearaxis events the possibility that they are due to H has been investigated. In ATHENA, H was detected by the coincidence in space and in time (within 5 s) of p and e<sup>+</sup> annihilations. This was achieved for events having a charged pion vertex accompained by a pair of 511 keV photons with the angle between them denoted [1,9]. Exam ination of the distributions of cos indicates that the trap centre events are not due to H, except for a sm all fraction in CM where the long tails in Fig.3c are due to poor reconstructions of H annihilations on the trap wall. NOH annihilations occur for HM . Moreover, cos distributions for CM in the three z-regions in Fig.1b suggest that the fraction of non-H annihilations on the wall in the central z-region is insigni cant.

The distributions in Figs.1{3 also show that in HM the annihilation distribution is extended and has a conspicuous fraction out to the trap wall. We interpret these features as evidence for the production of slow antiprotonic hydrogen as follow s:

 i) the lim ited axial range of the vertices, when com pared to the total length of the nested trap, indicates that p do not annihilate in- ight on residual gas which is present throughout;



Figure 3: Experimental axial distributions: hot mixing for events near the trap wall (a), and near the trap axis (b) and for cold mixing for events near the trap axis (c). In (a,b): the red line is the simulation with the parameters of Fig 2 and with lifetime = 1:1 s. In (c) the red line is the simulation with the parameters of Fig.4a.

- ii) the events cannot correspond to in- ight annihilation on trapped positive ions since the latter are only present near the e<sup>+</sup> cloud whilst the annihilation events are radially di use;
- iii) positive ions can capture p in the central part of the recombination trap. In the case of pHe<sup>+</sup> the residual electron would be rapidly ejected, in the m a jority of cases in less than 10 ns [13], leaving a charged system that would annihilate very near its point of form ation. Since this is inconsistent with our observations, p capture by helium ions can be excluded.

Further inform ation on the inferred antiprotonic system form ed from capture by a positive ion can be obtained by exploiting the di erent charged pion multiplicities expected for p annihilation on a proton or neutron. Tab J shows the ratios,  $R_{23}$ , of the num ber of the reconstructed annihilation vertices having two charged pion tracks to those with three tracks for di erent data sam – ples.

D ata set	Ratio R <sub>23</sub> on wall	Ratio R <sub>23</sub> at centre
Cold m ixing	1.35 0.01	1.22 0.04
H ot m ixing	1.38 0.10	1.17 0.04
antiprotons on ly	1.40 0.03	
M onte Carlo pp	1:19 0:01	1:19 0:01

Table I: Experim ental and M onte C arlo results for the num ber of charged pion tracks due to p annihilations.

From Tab.I, the R<sub>23</sub> values for annihilation on the trap wall for all the sam ples agree, within uncertainties, but di er from those for the trap centre by 4 standard deviations. This is not due to geom etry, since the M onte C arb simulations assuming the pp system give the sam e result both for the \wall" and \centre" annihilations. It is likely that the trap centre events are due to pp annihilation, since the pp M onte C arb result agrees well with the experiment. This, together with the three constraints described above, suggest that pp is responsible for the observed annihilation distributions. Thus, the data can be further analysed to search for consistency with the conditions pertaining to the production region at the two di erent positron tem peratures, the pp lifetim e and – nally the energetics of the form ation reaction.

First, pp is not con ned by the electrom agnetic elds of the traps and if form ed in a metastable state [3, 14, 15, 16] it can decay in ight far from its point of form ation, perhaps even on the trap wall. The convolution of the pp lifetimes and velocities governs the observed annihilation distributions. Moreover, Figs. 3b and c clearly indicate that the tem perature of the  $e^+$  cloud in uences the spatial origin of the pp.

W e have attempted to generate the initial position of the pp using a M onte C arb simulation which takes into account the radius,  $r_p$ , and the axial half-length,  $z_p$ , of the e<sup>+</sup> spheroid, both deduced by m eans of the non-destructive technique described in [11, 12]. Parameters obtained using this technique allow the plasm a rotation frequency to be extracted.

In this simulation, the spheroid was characterized by  $r_p = 1\ \text{mm}$  and  $z_p = 16\ \text{mm}$ . For the CM case the pp was generated in a region with a xed radial position at  $r = r_p = 1\ \text{mm}$  and with a Gaussian distribution along the axis centred at the symmetry plane of the plasma with  $= 2.5\ \text{mm}$ . This gave the best t to the data. For the HM case, how ever, pp was generated with  $= 10\ \text{mm}$ , though limited to the length of  $e^+$  plasma. It is notable that, for the HM case, the simulated annihilation distributions were not strongly dependent upon the assumed starting conditions, taking into account our experimental resolution.

The velocity of the pp was generated from the sum of a therm alM axwellian distribution,  $v_{th}$ , and the tangential velocity,  $v_{tang}$ , induced by the E B plasm a rotation as  $v_{tang} = E$   $B = \beta f$ . Following this prescription, the m ean radial kinetic energy of the pp is about 40 m eV for CM (15 K), and dom inated by the e ect of the plasm a rotation, and about 700 m eV in the HM case (8000 K), and dom inated by the plasm a tem perature. An exponential decay law for the pp lifetim e distribution was assumed such that its mean lifetim e was determ ined by tting the simulations to the observed data.

The simulated radial and axial annihilation distributions are plotted with the HM data in Fig.2 and Fig.3a,b. The agreem entis good and the best twas obtained with



Figure 4: Experimental radial distribution for cold mixing 2003 (a) and cold mixing 2002 (b), obtained by subtracting the H contribution (see text). The red lines are the simulation results. In (a): T = 15 K,  $v_{th} = 250 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , generation from a spheroid with  $z_p = 16 \text{ mm}$  and  $r_p = 1 \text{ mm}$  rotating with a frequency of 300 kHz, i.e.  $v_{tang} = 2000 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ; mean lifetime is = 1.1 s. In (b): same parameters as in (a) except  $r_p = 2.5 \text{ mm}$  and the rotation frequency is 80 kHz, i.e.  $v_{tang} = 1300 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . In (b) the green line corresponding to the parameters of the red line in (a) is shown for comparison.

a m ean lifetim e of  $(1.1 \quad 0.1)$  s. The sensitivity of the t to this lifetim e is illustrated in Fig.2 by the clear discord between the experimental data and the simulations when lifetimes of 0.8 s and 1.4 swere used in the latter. The ts im ply that about 25% of the antiprotonic hydrogen atom s annihilate on the trap wall in HM.

To isolate the radial distribution of the non-H annihilations in the central z-region for the CM sample the norm alized distribution, as evaluated from Fig.lb for the two lateral z-regions, was subtracted from the central one. Since, as noted above, non-H annihilations on the wall in the central z-region are insigni cant for CM, the radial distributions were norm alised for r > 1.25 cm.

The results are plotted in Fig.4a. The M onte C arbo simulated events, assuming the same lifetime extracted from ts to the HM data, also show good agreement for CM. In this case, the simulation indicates that < 0.5% of the pp reaches the trap surface.

A further test of ourm odelhas been obtained by examining a sam ple of CM data acquired by ATHENA in 2002 with a e<sup>+</sup> plasm a radius of 2.5 mm (and hence a di erent rotation frequency). Fig.4b shows the radial vertex distribution of this sam ple together with M onte Carlo simulations for two values of the radius of the pp source. The simulated events for the 2.5 mm source are in much better agreem ent with the experim ental data than those for 1.0 mm. This is illustrative of the sensitivity of the m odel to the spatial origin of the pp for CM sam ples.

A possible explanation of the experim ental di erences between the pp distributions in CM and HM lies in the nature of the therm al equilibrium state of the combination of a  $e^+$  plasm a with an admixture of ions. The physics of the radial separation of the di erent species in two-component plasm as has been given elsew here [17,18] and has been experimentally observed for a mixture of positrons and  ${}^9\text{Be}^+$  in [19]. For our experimental conditions, assuming them al equilibrium, the centrifugal potential barrier is of the order of 10 m eV. Thus, for CM at 15 K, the them al energy of the ionsmeans that they will be partially separated from the e<sup>+</sup> and con ned near the equatorial region of the plasma. However, at a e<sup>+</sup> tem – perature of 8000 K the barrier is negligible and the ions will be present throughout the plasma.

W e note here that the experim entaldata cannot be reproduced by simulation if it is assumed that the pp gains a recoil energy of the order of 1 eV or higher. Thus, it is contended that pp is being produced in a recoil-free collision of an antiproton with a positive ion such that its velocity is determ ined predom inantly by the therm al and plasm a environm ent. This insight, together with the constraints i)-iii) noted above, suggest that the only possible collision partner for the p is the molecular ion,  $H_2^+$ . Thus, the inferred pp production mechanism is,

$$p + H_2^+ ! pp(n;l) + H:$$
 (1)

Hence we believe that ATHENA has observed around 100 antiprotonic hydrogen annihilations every 60 s p injection cycle for the CM and HM conditions. In an experin ent in which the number of ions present in our nested well was counted by measuring the charge collected follow ing em ptying of the trap it has been deduced that the trap contained  $H_2^+$  ions. These probably arose as a result of the positron loading procedure [20], in which the positrons could collide with H<sub>2</sub> residual gas as they were slowly squeezed into the mixing region. Ions may also be produced and trapped during p loading (see also [21]) and we estimate that around  $10^4$   $10^5$  ions were present under typical am bient conditions. It is straightforw ard to show that this ion density, together with the observed pp production rate and the p speeds used in the simulation are consistent with calculated cross sections for reaction (1) [16].

Agreem ent with [16] does not, unfortunately, extend to the most likely principal quantum numbers of the antiprotonic hydrogen atom sproduced in the reaction. The calculation [16] nds production peaked around n = 34, in the presence of substantial pp recoil. The latter is contrary to observation. Simple kinematics relating to near zero-energy p  $H_2^+$  collisions suggest that n = 68 should dom inate, with the liberated hydrogen atom in its ground state. In this case the lifetim e of 1.1 s extracted from the simulations implies that production in low angular m om entum states (1 < 10) is favoured, since the radiative lifetime to an 1 = 0 state (which is followed by prom pt annihilation) weighted by the statistical (21+ 1) distribution is around 15 s for n = 68. The dom inance of low 1 is intuitive for such a slow collision in which the m olecular ion will be severely polarised by the incom ing p, resulting in an alm ost collinear collision system .

This work was supported by CNPq, FAPERJ, CCMN/UFRJ (Brazil), INFN (Italy), MEXT (Japan), SNF (Switzerland), SNF (Denmark) and EPSRC (UK).

- [1] M .Am orettiet al., Nature 419, 456 (2002).
- [2] G.Gabrielse et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 213401 (2002).
- [3] C.J.Batty, Rept. Prog. Phys. 52, 1165 (1989).
- [4] L.M ontanet, Nucl. Phys. A 692, 383c (2001).
- [5] M. Amoretti et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 518, 679 (2004).

- [6] T.Yam azakietal, Phys.Rep. 366, 183 (2002).
- [7] M.Horietal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 243401 (2006).
- [8] C.Regenfus, Nucl. Instrum .M eth. A 501, 65 (2003).
- [9] M .Am orettiet al, Phys. Lett. B 578, 23 (2004).
- [10] M.C.Fujiwara etal., Phys.Rev.Lett.92,065005 (2004).
- [11] M . A m oretti et al, Phys. R ev. Lett. 91, 055001 (2003).
- [12] M . A m oretti et al., Phys. Plasm as 10, 3056 (2003).
- [13] V. I. Korobov Phys. Rev. A 67, 062501 (2003).
- [14] G. Reifenrother & E. K lem pt Nucl. Phys. A 503, 885 (1989).
- [15] J.S.Cohen, Rept. Prog. Phys. 67, 1769 (2004).
- [16] K. Sakim oto, J. Phys. B At. M ol. Opt. Phys. 37, 2255 (2004).
- [17] D.H.E.Dubin & T.M.O Neil, Rev.M od.Phys.71,87 (1999).
- [18] T.M.O Neil, Phys. Fluids 24, 1447 (1981).
- [19] B. M. Jelenkovic, A. S. Newbury, J. J. Bollinger, W. M. Itano & T. B. M itchell, Phys. Rev. A 67 063406 (2003).
- [20] L.V.J rgensen et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 025002 (2005).
- [21] G.Gabrielse et al, Phys. Lett. B 455, 311 (1999).
- [22] N.Kuroda et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 023401 (2005).
- [23] N.Oshim a et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 195001 (2004).