Con rm ation of Parity V iolation in the G am m a D ecay of $^{180}\text{H}\ \text{f}^{\text{m}}$

J.R. Stone^{1,2} G.Goldring³ N.J. Stone^{1,4} N. Severijns⁵ M. Hass³ D. Zakoucky⁶ T.Giles⁷ U.Koster^{8,9} I.S.Kraev⁵ S.Lakshmi³ M.Lindroos⁷ and F.W auters⁵

¹Department of Physics, University of Oxford,

Oxford, OX1 3PU, United Kingdom

²Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry,

University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

³The W eizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy,

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

⁵K.U. Leuven, Instituut voor Kem- en Stralingfysica, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

⁶Nuclear Physics Institute, ASCR, 25068 Rez, Czech Republic

⁷AB Department, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

⁸Institut Laue Langevin, 6 rue Jules Horowitz, F-38042 Grenoble Cedex 9

⁹ ISO LD E, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

(Dated: April 7, 2013)

Abstract

This paper reports m easurements using the technique of 0 n Line N uclear O rientation (0 LNO) which reexam ine the gam m a decay of isom eric ¹⁸⁰ H f^m and speci cally the 501 keV 8 { 6⁺ transition. The irregular admixture of E2 to M 2/E3 multipolarity in this transition, deduced from the forward-backward asymmetry of its angular distribution, has for decades stood as the prime evidence for parity m ixing in nuclear states. The experiment, based on ion implantation of the new ly developed m ass-separated ¹⁸⁰ H f^m beam at ISO LDE, CERN into an iron foilm aintained at m illikelvin temperatures, produces higher degrees of polarization than were achieved in previous studies of this system. The value found for the E2/M 2 m ixing ratio, = -0.0324(16)(17), is in close agreement with the previous published average value = -0.030(2), in full con m ation of the presence of the irregular E2 admixture in the 501 keV transition. The temperature dependence of the forward-backward asymmetry has been measured over a more extended range of nuclear polarization than previously possible, giving further evidence for parity mixing of the 8 and 8⁺ levels and the deduced E2/M 2 mixing ratio.

PACS num bers: 21.10 HW ,23.20 En ,23.40 Bw ,27.70.+ q,29.30 Lw ,150 A 189

I. IN TRODUCTION

Parity, re ection symmetry in the origin of a co-ordinate system, is one of the fundam ental symmetries of physics. Establishing whether parity may be taken as a conserved quantity under a system of forces, or alternatively determining the conditions under which, and the degree to which, parity is not conserved, form basic constraints upon physical theories. The discovery of parity non-conservation (PNC) in the weak interaction was one of the most in portant discoveries of modern physics. However the extent to which parity is to be considered a conserved quantity in nuclear phenom ena remains a challenge to both experiment and theory. Parity mixing in bound nuclear systems is understood as a consequence of weak (parity violating) interaction terms in the nuclear Ham iltonian and precise calculations of this phenom enon are not yet available.

Of the many experiments aimed at detecting parity non-conservation in nuclear states, one, the measurement of an irregular E2/M2 mixing in the 8 { 6^+ , highly K-forbidden, 501 keV gamma decay of the 5.47 h isomer of ¹⁸⁰Hf, stands out. It was rst observed in gam m a ray circular polarization experiments [1, 2]. Using the technique of low tem perature nuclear orientation (LTNO), the reported result is an apparently well established e ect, a m ixing ratio (E2/M2) = -0.030(2), of a magnitude m ore than freen times the experimental error [3, 4, 5, 6]. Other LTNO measurements are listed in [7]. Two other statistically signi cant PNC results, ofm uch sm aller experim entale ects, on states in ¹⁷⁵Lu [8] and ¹⁸¹Ta [9], have been reported using circular polarization technique. On no other bound nuclear systems, including the recent work on ⁹³T c^m [10], do the latest published reports claim to nd any e ect deviating by more than two standard deviations from parity conservation [7, 11]. The result on the ¹⁸⁰Hf^m isomeric decay, based on experimental evidence of both angular distribution studies from nuclei polarized at millikelvin tem peratures and circular polarization studies (see [5] and references therein), dating from the 1970's, stands today as the strongest evidence of the level to which nuclear states cannot be taken as eigenstates of parity.

It is important to exam ine the validity of this signi cant result. This paper describes a remeasurement of the evidence for parity non-conservation e ects in the ¹⁸⁰Hf isomeric decay, using the techniques of on-line nuclear orientation available today. Developments of technique over 30 years have given access to dierent source making methods, leading to

higher degrees of polarization and the ability to make continuous observations over a period of days rather than successive measurements on a series of decaying samples.

The paper starts with description of previous work, a brief introduction to the necessary form alism and the justi cation of a new study in Sec. II. This is followed (Sec. III) by the detailed description of the new experiment and the analysis of the gam m a ray spectral data. Com parison with theoretical calculation of the observed e ects requires discussion of aspects of the hyper ne interaction and of the angular distribution coe cients, given in Sec. IV. Sec.V includes discussion of the quality of in plantation and aspects of them on etry, leading to evaluation of the parity violating E2/M 2 m ixing ratio in the 501 keV transition. A brief discussion of the nal results and the need for, but di culty of, its interpretation in nuclear theory, is given in Sec.VI.

II. FORMALISM, PREVIOUS EXPERIMENTS AND TECHNICAL DEVELOP-MENTS.

Full descriptions of the form alism of the nuclear orientation experimental method and of the previous measurements on 180 H f^m are given in Refs. [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Here only the essential details relevant to the present work and its analysis are presented. Fig 1 shows a partial level scheme of 180 H f with the 5.47 h, I = 8 , K = 8, isomer decaying to the 8⁺ and 6⁺ levels of the K = 0 ground state band. In a nuclear orientation experiment the radioactive sam ple is polarized by cooling to millikelvin temperatures in an environment in which the nuclei experience a magnetic eld su cient to produce a high and controllable degree of nuclear polarization. The gam maradiation from such a polarized source exhibits a strongly anisotropic angular distribution. If the nuclear states between which the gam ma decay occurs are eigenstates of parity, the theoretical distribution contains only even term s in cosine theta, measured from the axis of polarization, and is given by the expression [6]

$$W (;T) = 1 + \int_{even}^{X} fB (T) U A Q P (\cos)$$
 (1)

where B (T) are the tem perature dependent orientation parameters, describing the polarization of the parent nuclei, U are angular momentum coupling constants describing the change in the polarization when the decay passes through a sequence of unobserved transitions between states before the detected gam ma em itting state is reached, A are angular



FIG.1: Decay of the 5.47 h $^{180}\mathrm{H}\,\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{m}}$

m om entum coupling constants describing the multipolarity of the observed transition, Q are solid angle correction factors for nite detector size and P are associated Legendre polynom ials. The factor f, the fraction of nuclei in sites which experience the hyper ne eld, is particular to implanted sources and is fully discussed in Sec. V A. It is usual to place detectors at 0°, 90° and 180° to the polarization axis and to describe the orientation in term s of the anisotropy a(T) given by

$$a(T) = \frac{W(0^{\circ};T)}{W(90^{\circ};T)} \quad 1:$$
(2)

The norm alized intensities at 0° and 180° are the sam e under the assumption of parity conservation.

If either of the nuclear states between which the gam m a decay occurs are not eigenstates of parity, odd term s in the distribution, of the same form as Eq.1 are introduced. These term s are seen m ost clearly in the asymmetry A (T), de ned in [3, 4, 5] as

$$A (T) = 2 \frac{W (0^{\circ}; T) W (180^{\circ}; T)}{W (0^{\circ}; T) + W (180^{\circ}; T)}$$
(3)

$$= \frac{2 \operatorname{odd}}{1 + \operatorname{fB}(T) \cup A \cup P(\cos r)};$$
(4)

The previous work sought evidence for parity non-conserving adm ixtures in both the 57 keV 8 { 8^+ transition and the 501 keV 8 { 6^+ transition by m easuring the asymmetry between the gamma intensity measured at zero degrees and 180 degrees to the axis of polarization of samples of 180 H f^m in (H f_xZr_{1 x})Fe₂ alloys cooled to millikelvin temperatures [3, 4, 5, 6]. For the 57 keV transition the reported gamma ray asymmetry was small, consistent with zero to one part in a thousand. However the 501 keV transition consistently showed asymmetry of close to 1.5% at a temperature of about 20 mK, more than ten times the experimental error.

Two, related, major technical developments over the thirty years since the last reported experiments on 180 H f^m decay make an experimental re-examination of the case timely. The rst of these is the development of the on-line nuclear orientation technique in which a beam of radioactive ions is implanted into a ferrom agnetic metal host foil cooled to millikelvin temperatures in a 3 H e/ 4 H e dilution refrigerator with side access for the beam [12]. This method allow scontinuousmeasurement at a controllable range of temperature down to below 15 mK with a uniform source strength. In contrast, the previous experiments involved a series of samples cooled by contact with a demagnetized paramagnetic salt, which thereafter steadily warmed giving a slow ly changing degree of nuclear polarization and each successive sample decaying with the 5.47 h half-life giving rise to variable dead-time and electronic pile-up correction. The second is the development of a means to produce a beam of ionized H f, a highly refractory element, from an isotope separator.

A swell as gaining access to better controlled tem peratures and a steadier source strength, the use of ion in plantation allows use of pure iron, rather than the $(H f_x Z r_{1 x}) Fe_2$ alloy, in which to polarize the nuclei. The hyper ne eld at H f in iron is close to 70 T (see below), m ore than 3 times stronger that the 20 T present in the alloy. This eans that the hafnium nuclei can be m ore fully, indeed almost completely, polarized at attainable tem peratures.

In view of these basic in provements of available technique a new experiment on the anisotropic emission of gamma radiation from oriented 180 Hfⁿ has been carried out.

A. Outline

The experiment was performed at the ISO LDE isotope separator facility, CERN, using the NICOLE on-line nuclear orientation dilution refrigerator system [12]. The 1.4 GeV protons were incident upon a mixed Ta/W metal foil target and the hafnium atom s produced were transfered to the ion source using uorine, added to the plasm a support gas as CF_4 . The most intense emerging hafnium uoride molecular ion beam, used for the experiment, was $H f f_3^+$ [13]. The H forientation sample was prepared by accelerating the ions to 60 keV and, after mass separation, in pinging them on the surface of a pure (99.99%) iron foil soldered to the copper cold nger of the dilution refrigerator, which is perpendicular to the separator ion beam (see Fig. 2). At impact the molecular ions disintegrated and the hafnium nuclei were in planted into the iron lattice, producing a source entirely free of contam inant activity. The F nuclei also enter the iron but come to rest in a region well removed from the Hf nuclei. The total implantation dose was approximately 4×10^{11} ions into a region of 3 mm in diameter giving a maximum local concentration of H f in the iron foil below 10 3 atom ic percent. The quality of the implantation, as indicated by the fraction of hafnium nuclei stopping in substitutional lattice sites in the iron, is discussed below. A second iron foil, containing di used ⁵⁷C o activity for which all orientation properties are known, was soldered to the back of the cold nger to act as a nuclear orientation therm on eter [14]. During the experiment the iron foil sample was magnetized to saturation using a Helmholtz pair of polarizing coils which produced a magnetic eld of 0.5 T applied in the plane of the foil and at right angles to the beam axis. The direction of the eld, which determ ines the sense of polarization of the radioactive nuclei, could be reversed by changing the direction of the current through the coils, a procedure which took about 10 m inutes.

G amma radiation from both activities was detected in three large intrinsic germanium detectors, two placed on the eld axis on opposite sides of the cryostat, at 0° and 180° to the axis of polarization (depending upon the eld direction) and one at 90° to the axis of polarization below the cryostat. The spectra were accumulated in les of 300 s duration throughout the experiment, pulses from a regular pulser being introduced to the pre-ampliers to allow correction for pile-up in detectors and dead time in the electronics.



FIG. 2: Schem atic experim ental set-up.

The gam m a ray spectrum (see Fig. 3) contained six strong, fully resolved, transitions, at 501 keV, 444 keV, 332 keV and 215 keV from ¹⁸⁰H f^m (the 57 keV and 93 keV transitions were strongly absorbed in cold nger and cryostat), and at 137 keV and 122 keV from the ⁵⁷C o therm om eter. To obtain counts for each transition detected in each 300 s le, sim ple window s were set over the peaks, taking care to set them wide enough so that any sm all changes in gain of the system did not result in loss of counts at any time during the experiment. Background to each peak was found by setting additional windows on the spectra above and below the peaks and making a linear interpolation to determ ine the background count in each peak window.

The sam ple was cooled to achieve high degrees of nuclear polarization and the polarizing eld reversed at intervals when the refrigerator was operating steadily and the in planted ion beam was stable. At each reversal the change in gam m a intensity recorded in each of the two axial detectors was measured in all transitions. For transitions in which parity is conserved there should be no change in intensity since any observed change is proportional to the parity non-conserving transition am plitude. The principal objective of the experiment was to observe the tem perature dependence of the parity non-conserving am plitude reported in the 501 keV transition over a wider range of tem perature, and hence to higher degrees of nuclear polarization, than had been accessible to the previous studies (see Fig. 4).

A fler the beam was rst introduced to the refrigerator, the 180 Hf^m activity was allowed to accumulate for about 5 hours with the tem perature close to 1 K and the iron foil unpolarized. The polarizing eld was then applied and a sequence of warm 'reference spectra were taken



FIG. 3: G am m a-ray spectrum showing 180 H f^m transitions at 501, 444, 332 and 215 keV and 57 C o transitions at 137 and 122 keV, with no Pb absorber present.

with negligibly small nuclear orientation. As the source strength approached its asymptotic value, the sam ple was cooled to about 13.5 mK, the lowest accessible tem perature with the beam present. Just after this, interruption of the beam from ISOLDE reduced the heat input, allow ing further cooling to the refrigerator base tem perature, 7.6 m K . This com plete sequence is referred to as the initial cool-down. Shortly after the beam had returned, the rst of a series of eight reversals was carried out. For each reversal the tem perature was m easured using nuclear orientation therm om etry as described later. The rst six reversals were done with the implantation beam incident on the sample. They were accordingly at tem peratures which rejected balance between beam and radiation heating to the cold nger, plus heating from absorption of the gamma activity in the source, and the cooling power of the refrigerator. The temperatures ranged between 15.6 and 25 mK, increasing slowly with time as the beam intensity and source strength grew. Later the mixing chamber of the refrigerator was heated to give a tem perature close to 60 mK, still with im planted beam, and a sixth reversal took place at this relatively high tem perature. Finally at the end of the experiment, when in plantation was stopped, the sam ple cooled to the refrigerator base tem perature of 7.6 m K during the decay of the activity and the seventh, low est tem perature, reversalwasm ade. An eighth, dum my', reversalwasm ade after the sam ple waswarm ed to about 1 K when no orientation was present.

B. A rst survey of the results.

For the 501 keV transition to show appreciable asymmetry, assuming that the other transitions in the 180 H f^m decay are symmetric, a simple way to show the elect is to consider the ratio of the peak counts N in the (asymmetric) 501 transition to those in another (symmetric) transition, for example that at 444 keV, or combination of symmetric transitions. As is shown in Appendix A (for the case in which the sum of the 444 keV and 332 keV counts is taken as the symmetric 'norm') the change in such a ratio, measured in a single detector on the axis of orientation, when the direction of nuclear polarization is reversed, is directly proportional to the asymmetry of the 501 keV transition.

Fig. 4 (top panel) shows the measured double ratio

$$R = \frac{N (501;L) = N (444;L)}{N (501;R) = N (444;R)}$$
(5)

for each le during the experiment. Here L and R refer to the two detectors on the axis of nuclear polarization. W hen the applied eld, and hence the direction of nuclear polarization, is reversed, the asymmetry, and with it any dierence in this ratio from unity, must change sign. Fig. 4 (bottom panel) shows the same ratio, but for the 332 keV transition to the 444 keV transition. The le numbers at which eld reversals were made are indicated by the sequence of upward and downward arrows. In both panels the scatter of the data indicates the statistical error. It is immediately apparent that the data in the upper panel show variation about unity which reverses at every eld reversal except the eighth. This last reversal took place above 1 K, with zero nuclear polarization, so a null e ect is expected. By contrast, the data in the lower panel exhibit no such eld direction dependence, as is expected for transitions between good eigenstates of parity. It is how ever also clear that the data in the upper panel show, in addition, som e variation from unity when the tem perature of the dilution refrigerator, i.e. the degree of nuclear polarization and hence the count rate in the di erent detectors, is changing rapidly. Cooling and heating took place over relatively narrow ranges of le num bers whose centres are indicated in the top of the gure. The apparent asymmetry suggested by these additional changes is due to dierent response of the detectors to strongly changing count rate. Such spurious e ects have been fully elim inated in the more comprehensive analysis presented in the following sections.





FIG. 4: Upper panel: The double ratio R of counts in the 501 keV and 444 keV peaks measured in the Left(L) and R ight(R) on-axis detectors for all les of the experiment, normalized to the average pre-cool-down value of R (les 73-86). Lower panel: A s upper, but for the double ratio of the 332 keV and 444 keV peak counts, which should exhibit a null e ect. For discussion, see text.

C. Detailed description of the experim ent and spectral analysis of the eld reversals.

In this section the procedure is described in sequence and in considerable detail, allowing full discussion of the several stages of the experiment.

1. Selection of data les for analysis.

The sam ple tem perature at any time is determined by a balance between a combination of heating and cooling processes. During the early part of the experiment many short interruptions of the beam took place, during which the sam ple tem perature fell rapidly over even just a few 300 s data les, recovering to its pre-interruption value within a few les of the return of the beam. All les involved with such variations of tem perature were set aside, as were others during which the dilution refrigerator was being lled with cryogens, which can also somewhat perturb its operation. The les used in the analysis were free of any detectable outside perturbations. During each magnetic eld reversal the current in the polarizing coils was rst reduced over a period of about 300 s then the leads to the power supply were manually interchanged and the current increased over a similar period. This procedure resulted in sm all changes in the refrigerator tem perature as detected in allgam m a transitions, caused by eddy current heating in the cold nger holding the sam ple and the mixing chamber. The initial tem perature was recovered in a further three or four les. Data

les taken during these periods of heating and recovery were also discarded in the analysis presented below.

2. The measured quantities.

Since the source strength during in plantation is a variable quantity, all anisotropy and asym m etry m easurem ents are in the form of ratios of counts in detectors at di erent angles to the polarizing eld. Furtherm ore, to elim inate e ects of variable dead tim e and pile up during di erent counting periods, each raw peak count is divided by the pulser peak count for the sam e le and detector, referred to as the pulser norm alized count. The quantity $W_{exp}($) is the ratio of the pulser norm alized count in a speci c gam m a peak in a detector at angle to the polarization axis, to the pulser norm alized counts in that peak from an

unpolarized sample, the 'warm' counts. The measured value of anisotropy a(T) is given by the ratio $[W_{exp}(0^{\circ})/W_{exp}(90^{\circ}) - 1]$ from which temperatures are deduced and the measured asymmetry A (T) is given by $2[W_{exp}(0^{\circ}) - W_{exp}(180^{\circ})]/[W_{exp}(0^{\circ}) + W_{exp}(180^{\circ})]$, sensitive to the degree of parity non-conservation. Both have been de ned above.

3. Introduction of absorbers.

The initial cool-down, combined with the later cool-down after the implanted beam was nally stopped, provided data allowing comparison of the anisotropies measured on the 180 H f^m and 57 Co transitions over a wide temperature range. Since temperatures can be deduced from the observations on 57 Co this allowed calibration of the 180 H f^m transitions as secondary therm on eters so that counts from the 57 Co transitions were no longer required for temperature measurem ent. Lead absorbers of equal thickness, giving rise to attenuation factors (measured to be equal to 1%) for a given gamma energy in all detectors, were secured over each detector face to reduce the total counting rates. The close equality of the attenuation factors allow use of the pulser norm alized 'warm' count ratios in analysis of the ratios measured with absorbers present.

4. Field reversals 1{6.

Following the initial cool-down, once the beam returned and the tem perature had reached equilibrium, the rst eld reversal was carried out. Further reversals were made at intervals of about 7 hours, the time between them being determined largely by the requirement of steady beam and good temperature stability. The results of all eld reversals and the conditions under which they were performed are summarised in Table I. During this sequence the temperatures deduced from the ¹⁸⁰H fⁿ transitions rose slow ly from 15.6 m K to close to 25 m K as the sam ple strength grew, re ecting a slow increase in yield from the ion source. Reversals 2(4 were carried out in the sam e experimental set-up. For reversal 5 the pulser, which produced som e distortion of the gam matrix that rather than being able to evaluate the asymmetry for each transition separately,

only the di erence of asymmetry between a pair of transitions can be extracted. This is discussed fully in Sec.VC and in Appendix A.

The pulser was reintroduced before reversal 6, for which the refrigerator tem perature was raised to close to 60 mK by supplying heat to the mixing chamber. For this reversal 57 Co therm om etry was needed, thus the lead absorbers were removed.

5. After-beam cool-down, bw tem perature eld reversal 7 and nalwarm -up.

The ¹⁸⁰Hfⁿ beam was stopped shortly after reversal 6 and the dilution refrigerator cooled fairly quickly to its base tem perature as the sam ple slow ly decayed. The absence of lead absorbers during the cooling allowed use of the ⁵⁷Co therm on eter. Reversal 7 was carried out when the tem perature had reached its equilibrium value of 7.6 mK and wasmade with lead absorbers present but without use of the pulsers. When this reversal was complete the refrigerator was warmed to above 1 K and a 'dummy' reversal was performed whilst additional warm ' reference les were recorded for approximately 24 hours. The ratios of pulser normalized gamma peak counts from the initial and nal warm' les in all detectors were unity to within 0.6%, demonstrating that there had been no signi cant drift. It should be m ade clear that this 0.6% variation over the course of the experiment does not a ect the asymmetries derived from the data. This follows since upon each reversal the asymmetry is the immediate change in the ratio of the normalized count rates in the 501 keV transition in the 0^0 and 180^0 detectors, so normalization to warm counts cancels. In interpreting the asymmetry using Eqn. 4 the numerator is directly given by the reversal data. The denom inator (the sum of the anisotropies) does depend on the 'warm ' counts. How ever, in the even term s, a sm all change, such as the 0.6% referred to, sim ply adds to the anisotropy in one direction and subtracts from the other, leaving their sum unchanged.

IV. PARAMETERS USED IN CALCULATION OF THE ANGULAR DISTRIBU-TIONS.

A. The magnetic moment of $^{180}\mathrm{H}~\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{m}}$.

The 8 isom eric state of ¹⁸⁰ H f^m is expected to be a rather pure two-quasi-particle state, very similar to the 8 isom ers found in other H f isotopes. Only one of these, ¹⁷² H f^m has a measured magnetic dipole moment, (¹⁷² H f^m, 8) = 7.86(5) n m. [15]. This moment, how ever, is in excellent agreement with predictions for a pure two-proton excitation comprising $g_{7=2+}$ and $h_{9=2}$ protons. Taking the g-factors for these two protons from neighboring isotopes, simple combination gives prediction for the moment of the 8 isom er between 7.7 and 7.9 n m. A lthough there are many measurements of the $g_{7=2+}$ single quasi-particle state in the vicinity, and they show only a small variation with neutron number, there is only one accurate measurement of the $h_{9=2}$ state (in ¹⁸¹Ta) [16], thus any changes in the magnetic moment of the 8 H f isom ers with addition of neutrons cannot be argued from experimental evidence. How ever they are likely to be small.

Low precision m easurem ents for the m agnetic m om ent in 180 H f^m have been reported [3,5], based on the hyper ne splitting extracted from LTNO in the H f alloy sam ples used in that work (see below), giving results of close to 9 n m. with errors of 1 n m. These appear high com pared with estim ates based on the nuclear structure of the isom er.

B. The hyper ne eld acting $at^{180}Hf^{m}$ in iron.

The magnetic hyper ne interaction at a substitutional site in cubic iron is a well de ned quantity which involves the product of the magnetic dipole moment of the isotope concerned and the hyper ne eld acting at that site. Until recently there were no accurate measurements of the magnetic dipole moment of any radioactive isotope of hafnium [16] and thus only inaccurate values of the hyper ne eld could be obtained [17]. However, recently the magnetic moment of 175 H f was measured to be -0.677(9) n.m. [18] and nuclear magnetic resonance of 175 H f oriented in iron was reported at a frequency of 139.0(1) M H z [19]. These results yield the hyper ne eld for H f in iron as -67.5(9) T.

Estimate of the interaction strength for 180 H fⁿ in iron can be obtained from published experimental results only through taking the hyper ne interaction expressed as a tempera-



FIG. 5: Calculated B coe cients vs 1/T for hyper ne interaction 25.9 mK, each normalized to its saturation value at T = 0. The vertical dotted lines show the range of B accessed by previous m easurem ents [3, 4, 5, 6]; full lines indicate the range covered by present work.

ture, $T_{int} = B_{hf}/Ik = -8.2(2) \text{ m K}$ determ ined for $^{180}\text{H}f^{n}$ in the compound $(Hf_{0:1}Zr_{0:9})Fe_{2}$ [6] and the ratio 3.9(4) of the strengths determ ined from M ossbauer studies on the 93.3 keV 2⁺ state in iron, i.e. $T_{int} = -7.6(1) \text{ m K}$, and in the compound $(Hf_{0:1}Zr_{0:9})Fe_{2}$, $T_{int} = -1.93(17)$ m K [20]. These values give the interaction in iron as $T_{int} = -32.4(30) \text{ m K}$.

However, taken with the new, accurate, result for the hyper ne ekl, such an interaction would predict a magnetic moment of 10.4(10) nm. for 180 H f^m, far larger than the measured moment in 172 H f^m and above any reasonable increase due to additional neutrons in 180 H f^m. This is considered to be too high and therefore an estimated interaction, T_{int} = -25.9(13) m K, based on the measured hyper ne ekl and a magnetic moment of 8.4(5) nm. consistent with, but somewhat larger than, the measured value in 172 H f^m has been used to calculate the orientation parameters B for 180 H f^m in iron. The results for = 1 - 6 are plotted in Fig. 5. as a function of inverse sample temperature 1/T. In the gure, each B is normalized to its saturation value. The range of the orientation parameters at which ekl reversals were carried out in this work is indicated in the gure and compared with the range accessed by the experiments of R efs. [3, 4, 5, 6] showing the increase in the degree of polarization achieved has the important consequence that, with uncertainty in the hyper ne interaction estimated to be 6%, the uncertainty in the extracted irregular parity

admixture is less than 1%, sm all compared with the statistical errors.

C. The nuclear spin-lattice relaxation time of $^{180}\mathrm{H}~\mathrm{f}^m$ and $^{57}\mathrm{C}~\mathrm{o}$ in iron.

To assure full them al equilibrium between the iron lattice, taken here to be at constant tem perature, and the in planted hafnium nuclei prior to their decay it is necessary that the hafnium nuclear spin-lattice relaxation time T₁ be short compared to the nuclear lifetime. For nuclei in m etals, relaxation is via the conduction electrons and can be described in term s of the K orringa constant $C_k = T_1 T$, where T is the absolute tem perature. For a given system in iron the value of C_k can be estimated from the empirical relation $C_k T_{int}^2 = 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sK}^3$ [21] where T int is the nuclear interaction strength, T int = -25.9 m K for ¹⁸⁰H fⁿ. This yields $C_k = 0.21$ sK and an estimated relaxation time of 2.0 s at 100 m K. At lower tem peratures the simple inverse relation to lattice tem perature breaks down and T₁ reaches a maximum value approximately given by $3.3 C_k/(I + \frac{1}{2})T_{int}$ [22], estimated for ¹⁸⁰H fⁿ to be 3 s, far shorter than the lifetime of 5.47 h, thus clearly satisfying the requirement for thermal equilibrium.

As described below, knowledge of the fraction, f, of hafnium nuclei in substitutional lattice sites of the iron foil, is necessary both for analysis of the measured asymmetry of the 501 keV transition and also to calibrate the hafnium gamma transition anisotropies for use as therm on eters for part of the experiment. The determination of the fraction is done by comparing the anisotropies of the ¹⁸⁰H f^m and ⁵⁷C o gamma transitions over as wide a range of temperatures as possible. When the lattice temperature is changing, for example during cool-down, for such comparison to be valid it is necessary that for both the hafnium and cobalt isotopes the spin-lattice relaxation times be substantially shorter than the time of m easurement for one le. For ¹⁸⁰H f^m this is already established. For ⁵⁷C o T_{int} is 14.2 m K and the K orringa constant is C_k = 0.4 sK [23] so that the relaxation time varies between 4s at 100 m K and a maximum of 23 s well below 14 m K, again both m uch shorter than the le measurement time of 300 s. Thus at all temperatures in this experiment the two isotopes can be taken as being in good therm all equilibrium with the iron lattice and with each other.

R eversal	¹⁸⁰ H f ^m	Рb	F	ield	Pulser	Т	A sym m etry A (T) (%)					
num ber	Beam		D ire	ection		(m K)	122 keV	137 keV	215 keV	332 keV	444 keV	501 keV
1	ΟN	OFF	L	R	ΟN	15.6(2)	+ 0.08(11)	-0.26(47)	+ 0.12(15)	-0.07(14)	+ 0.21(15)	-1.09(32)
2	ΟN	ΟN	R	L	ΟN	19.2(13)	lead absori	ber present	-0.27(19)	-0.09(10)	+ 0.01(10)	-1.24(19)
3	ΟN	ΟN	L	R	ΟN	20.8(16)	lead absori	ber present	-0.01(19)	+ 0.01(10)	+ 0.11(10)	-1.12(19)
4	ΟN	ΟN	R	L	ΟN	22.2(14)	lead absori	ber present	+ 0.15(18)	-0.12(10)	-0.23(10)	-1.41(23)
5	ΟN	ΟN	L	R	OFF	25.0(13)	er.	valuated rat:	io 501/(444+	332) see te	xt	-1.19(13)
6	ΟN	OFF	R	L	ΟN	57(7)	-0.41(16)	-1.89(73)	-0.12(11)	-0.06(11)	-0.15(11)	-1.48(26)
7	OFF	ΟN	L	R	OFF	7.6(1)	evaluated ratio 501/444+ 332) see text -0.93(13)					
8	OFF	ΟN	R	L	ΟN	> 1000	-0.11(6)	-0.33(25)	+ 0.14(8)	-0.10(8)	-0.13(8)	+ 0.11(18)
W eighted average asym m etry for												
transitions other than the 501 keV					-0.08(9)	-0.74(74)	-0.04(7)	-0.07(5)	-0.03(8)			
for cold reversals 1 7.												

TABLE I: A nalysis of both 180 H f^m and 57 C o gam m a ray data to give asym m etry values for all eld reversals.

D. The gam m a transition angular distribution coe cients.

Another measured parameter required in the angular distribution calculation is the E3/M 2 multipole mixing ratio in the 501 keV transition. The value (E3/M 2) = + 5.3(3) given in R ef. [4] has been taken. The uncertainty in this parameter produces an error in the nal result for the irregular E2/M 2 mixing ratio again much smaller than the statistical errors. The 57 keV 8 { 8⁺ transition was taken as pure electric dipole [5]. All other transitions are of pure electric quadrupole multipolarity. The intensities of the 501 keV and the (unobserved) 57 keV { 444 keV decay paths feeding the 641 keV 6⁺ level were taken as 14% and 86% of the total respectively [24].

In Table II the calculated values of the even term angular distribution parameters U A Q for each analysed transition in the decay of 180 Hf^m are given. The parity non-conserving terms for the 501 keV transition are given to rst order in the irregular mixing ratio by [4]

$$A = \frac{2}{1+2} [F (2288) + F (2388)];$$
(6)

For = -0.030 and = +5.3 the values are: $U_{A_1}Q_1 = -0.0045$, $U_{3}A_{3}Q_3 = 0.0016$ and $U_{5}A_{5}Q_{5} = 0.0019$. Here the value of epsilon from [6] is taken; the variation of the odd A with epsilon is given by Eqn. 6 and illustrated in Fig. 8.

V. FURTHER ANALYSIS.

A. The fraction in good sites: calibration of the $^{180}\mathrm{H}\ \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{m}}$ therm om eter.

One non-ideal feature of the use of ion in plantation for sample preparation is the fact that a fraction of the in planted hafnium nuclei come to rest at sites which do not experience the full, substitutional site, hyper ne interaction. Such sites include not only irregular sites in the iron matrix, but also nuclei which undergo strong interactions in the surface layers of the target and come to rest in the thin oxide layer which is always present on iron foils. The low-tem perature nuclear orientation technique does not have the ability to explore details of the site distribution, but investigations have shown that frequently a valid description of the system is to consider a two-site model, with fraction f in the substitutional site and the rem ainder in a zero eld site thereby remaining unoriented at all temperatures. The model can be shown to be valid in a particular case if the fraction f extracted using it is found to be constant, independent of the sample temperature.

The substitutional site hyper ne interaction is known to about 6%, as discussed above, providing an extrem ely high degree of polarization of this fraction at the low est tem peratures reached in this work. The angular distribution W _{cal} of the ¹⁸⁰H f^m gam m a transitions from nuclei in such sites can be calculated as a function of tem perature from their known multipole character. A value of the fraction f can then be extracted from the data by com parison of the measured anisotropy W _{exp} of each of the 215, 332, 444 and 501 keV transitions, provided the tem perature is known, using the relation [W _{exp}()-1] = f [W _{cal}()-1].

The sam plewas cooled from above 50 mK to the base tem perature of 7.6 mK twice during the experiment, the initial and nal cool-downs, both times with tem peratures determined from the observed anisotropies of the pure electric quadrupole 137 keV transition of 57 Co. The 180 Hf^m data on all gamma transitions from all les taken during both cool-downs and at base tem perature have been analysed for the fraction f. The results are shown in Fig. 6. It is seen that a value of f close to 80% is obtained from each transition, over the full tem perature range of the experiment, with only a slight upward drift (1-2%), justifying use of the sim ple two-site distribution m odel. The value adopted for further analysis of the data is f = 0.805(10), as indicated in Fig. 6.

W ith the fraction f determ ined, measured an isotropies of the 180 H f^m gamma transitions



FIG. 6: Fraction in good sites, f, evaluated from data on 180 H fⁿ decay transitions as described in the text. The full lines give the range of the determ ined value f = 0.805(10).

can be used as therm on eters. This was done in analyzing data of reversals 2,3,4, and 6, when the presence of lead absorbers removed the 57 C o transitions from the spectra.

B. Tem peratures of reversals 5 and 7.

These two reversals were carried out without the pulser peak present in the gam m a spectra. This means that whilst relative anisotropies and asymmetries of dierent gam m a transitions can be evaluated, absolute, source strength corrected, values for individual transitions are not available and thus temperature was not directly measured. However indirect arguments can be made to establish the temperature for these reversals.

 180 H f^m transitions with pulser present were available for therm on etry until shortly before reversal 5 and after it the pulser was reconnected and lead absorbers removed so that both 180 H f^m and 57 C o therm on etry were available. At this stage of the experiment the in planted beam was steady with time and the sample activity had reached its asymptotic value, thus there was no reason to expect the sample temperature to vary. Fig. 7 (upper panel) shows the ratio of the number of counts in the 444 keV transition peak recorded in one axial detector to the number recorded in the 90° detector during the period containing the reversal. The gure shows constancy of this ratio, that is constancy of the sample temperature, to within



FIG. 7: Upper panel: R atio of counts in the 444 keV gam m a transition peak in one axial (0°, 180°) detector and the 90° detector for the tim e period spanning reversal 5. The generally constant level of this ratio shows constancy of tem perature and determ ines the tem perature of reversal 5. The variations seen between les 430 and 470 are caused by interruption in the hafnium beam, whilst those around le 485 are due to the eld reversal itself. The ranges of les used for pre-reversal and post-reversal averages are indicated by vertical arrows. Lower panel: As in the upper panel but for the period spanning reversal 7, showing the data used to determ ine the tem perature of reversal 7 (see text). The step at le 670 was produced by dead-tim e changes when the pulser was rem oved. The statistical errors are smaller than the data point symbols.

about one millikely in over this period. 57 Co therm on etry shortly after the eld reversal (les 540-548) gave the tem perature as 25.0(13) mK, which is assigned to reversal 5.

Reversal 7 was performed about 6 hours after the beam was stopped. The dilution refrigerator cooled sharply, reaching base temperature at least 3 hours before the reversal. The 444 keV un-normalized $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ ratio as above is shown in Fig. 7 (lower panel), again indicating constant temperature after the cool-down over the period of the reversal. The 57 C o temperature measured at the end of the cool-down was 7.6(1) mK, which is taken as the temperature for reversal 7.

The 'dum my' reversal 8 was perform ed after warm -up of the source to above 1 K and analysed as the other reversals; as required the average asym m etry was zero within statistical errors for all transitions (see Table I).

E [keV]	I,	If	M ultipolarity	U 2A 2Q 2	U 4A 4Q 4	U 6A 6Q 6	
501	8	6+	M 2/E3	-0.407(11)	+ 0.106(9)	-0.222(8)	
444	8+	6+	E 2	-0.338(1)	-0.114(1)	0.000	
332	6+	4+	E 2	-0.332(1)	-0.107(1)	0.000	
215	4+	2+	E 2	-0.332(1)	-0.107(1)	0.000	
For this transition all U 1.							

TABLE II: Angular distribution coe cients used in calculation of the even polynom ial terms in the anisotropy of transitions from $^{180}{\rm H}\,{\rm f}^{\rm n}$.

C . The parity non-conserving E2/M 2 multipole m ixing ratio of the 501 keV transition in $^{180}\mathrm{H}~\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{m}}$.

The asymmetry, A, as defined by Eq. 4, was evaluated for each field reversal (except 5 and 7) for all transitions observed (the ${}^{57}Co$ transitions were not observed when the lead absorbers were present) with the results given in Table I. They show that there is a clearly established non-zero result of between 0.9% and 1.5% for the 501 keV transition in every reversal in which the nuclear sample was polarized. All other transitions show zero asymmetry within statistical error, and there is no evidence of asymmetry outside error in these and the 501 keV transition in the 'dummy' reversal 8. The larger experimental error for the 137 keV transition is caused by its lower intensity and poorer peak-to-background ratio than the other transitions (see Fig. 3).

A compting the evidence that the 332 keV and 444 keV transitions show no e ect, data for the 5th and 7th reversals were analysed using the ratio of the 501 peak counts to the sum of the 332 and 444 keV peak counts. It is shown in Appendix A that this ratio can be used to calculate the asymmetry of the 501 keV transition on the assumption that the other transitions have zero asymmetry.

The asymmetries measured for the 501 keV transition are given in Table III and plotted versus 1/T in Fig. 8 where they may be compared with theoretical calculations of the asymmetry obtained, using the same hyper ne interaction and fraction in good sites as mentioned above, for selected values of the E2/M 2 mixing ratio . The weighted average value of this mixing ratio is = -0.0324(16) (Table III).

D. Error analysis.

The uncertainties in this experiment are of three types; statistical, theoretical and geometrical. The result given at the end of the previous section shows only the statistical error associated with the spectral data. This is simple to estimate as windows were set on the photopeaks, with no peak tting, and background was taken from adjacent windows above and below the well-resolved peaks. The other two sources of uncertainty are discussed in this section, leading to the nal result. A second possible source of error in the 501 keV photopeak count is pile-up of pulses from detection of coincidences, either true or accidental, between 444 keV and 57 keV quanta. This e ect has been estimated by comparing the weak pile-up peaks observed for other energy pairs (215 keV + 332 keV etc) with the product of their individual photopeak counts. This established that the contribution of pile-up events in the 501 photopeak was 0.4(1)% of the true single 501 quantum counts when the lead absorbers were absent, and orders of magnitude less when they were in place. Since, on eld reversal, the change of total count rate is of order 1%, hence a maximum 1% change of the pile-up rate itself, pile-up has negligible in uence on the measured asymmetry of the 501 kev transition.

The theoretical uncertainty derives from the adopted values of three parameters; the hyper ne interaction strength taken to be 25.9(13) m K, the fraction in good sites f m easured to be 0.805(10) and the norm al E 3/M 2 m ixing ratio in the 501 keV transition, taken to be 5.3(3). To estimate the consequence of the uncertainties in these parameters on the value of the E2/M 2 m ixing ratio calculations of the asymmetry A as a function of and inverse tem perature, as in Fig. 8 were m ade using extrem e values and com pared with the standard calculations in which the central values, 25.9 m K, 0.805 and 5.3 were taken. The results of these calculations showed that uncertainty in the interaction, the fraction f and the E3/M 2 m ixing ratio produced changes in values of deduced from the measured asymmetries by, respectively, 1.9%, 2.7% and 4.9%. Added in quadrature, the total uncertainty, from these causes, in the average value of is 0.0017.

The geom etrical uncertainty concerns the accuracy in positioning of the detectors, e.g. their angles to the orientation axis, and in estimation of their solid angle correction factors. A llowing for a range of 2° in angle and of 1% in the solid angle correction factors produced changes in extracted of about 1%, but in opposite directions. These small and

ReversalNo.	T [m K]	1/T [K ¹]	A [%]	[⁸]			
6	57(7)	17(2)	-1.48(26)	-3.8(7)			
5	25.0(13)	40(2)	-1.19(13)	-3.0(3)			
4	22,2(14)	45(3)	-1.41(23)	-3.7(6)			
3	20.8(16)	48(3)	-1.12(13)	-3.0(5)			
2	19.2(13)	52(4)	-1.24(19)	-3.4(5)			
1	15.6(2)	64(1)	-1.09(32)	-3.1(9)			
7	7.6(1)	132(2)	-0.93(13)	-3.4(5)			
A verage $= -3.24(16)$ %							

TABLE III: Results of asymmetry A (T) in the 501 keV transition for all reversals, in order of decreasing temperature, and the extracted E2/M2 mixing ratio .

cancelling corrections have been om itted from the nalerror calculation. The high degree of cancellation between the = 1 term, which is negative and the = 3,5 term s which are positive, in the asymmetry calculation, renders the result less sensitive to the solid angle corrections than m ight be expected and also insensitive to temperature except at very low values of 1/T.

The nal result is therefore = -0.0324(16)(17) where the rst uncertainty is based on measurem ent statistics and the second the result of uncertain input parameters.

VI. DISCUSSION.

The m easurem ents were designed to elim inate several possible sources of system atic error. As detailed in Table I, reversals were carried out from di erent initial eld directions, counting rates were varied by the addition of absorbers and reversals were done with and without the pulser, the presence of which gave rise to some peak distortion in the gam m a spectra. The excellent stability of the beam spot position on the iron foil is demonstrated by the steadiness of the 332 keV /444 keV L/R ratio (shown in Fig. 4) throughout the experiment and also by the close equality of the 'warm' ratios taken at the start and nish of the experiment. The tem perature was varied as widely as possible to give considerable change in the degree of polarization of the hafnium nuclei, thus providing the broadest possible



FIG. 8: M easured asymmetry A of the 501 keV transition, as a function of inverse tem perature, compared with calculations using Eq. 4 for a range of values of the E2/M 2 m ixing ratio .

evidence for the temperature variation of the asymmetry. The results show the required robust self-consistency under all conditions. The nal result for the irregular E2/M 2 m ixing ratio in the 501 keV transition, = -0.0324(16)(17), is in extrem ely close agreement with the long accepted best value -0.030(2)[6]. Thus the present work, done with up-to-date and very dierent technology from the previous measurements, endorses fully the older results and has extended them. The observed temperature variation of the asymmetry, taken to signi cantly higher degrees of nuclear polarization than was accessible previously, shows full agreement with the behaviour predicted by the earlier result for . This is a very satisfactory outcom e which, if it lacks excitement, preserves the status of the asymmetry of this transition as the best established demonstration of parity admixture in nuclear phenomena. Theory thus faces the problem of providing understanding of the numerical result. A Ithough the present experiment was not in every way fully optimized, there is little incentive to go further experimentally until some theoretical stimulus arises.

Unfortunately, to date the problem's presented by the speci c nuclear structure of the levels involved, notably the high degree of K-forbiddeness of the 8 decay, have prevented e ective theoretical calculation of the expected degree of parity non-conservation in this case. Thus the very factor which is in all likelihood responsible for the large magnitude

of the e ect, the strong hindrance of the norm aldecay matrix elements, is also the cause of di culty in giving a good theoretical description of the observed large parity violating e ect.

VII. ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

W e w ish to thank S.Cham oli and B.S.Nara Singh for assistance w ith data taking during the experiment. Support from the ISOLDE and NICOLE collaborations is gratefully acknow ledged. This research was sponsored by the US DOE grants DE-FG 02-96ER 40983 (UT) and DE-FG 02-94ER 40834 (UMD), EPSRC (UK), the Fund for Scienti c Research F landers (FW O), the European Union Sixth Fram ework through R 113-EURONS (contract no. 506065), EU-RTD project TARG ISOL (HPR I-CT-2001-50033), the Israel Science Foundation and the The M inistry of Education of the C zech R epublic 1P04LA 211 and Istitutional Research P lan AV 0Z10480505.

VIII. APPENDIX A

For reversals 5 and 7 normalization of the 501 keV transition to the pulser was not available and the ratio of the intensity of this transition to the sum of the combined 444 keV and 332 keV transitions was used as a measure of the 0° {180° asymmetry. Here it is shown that this is equivalent to the pulser normalized asymmetry provided the other transitions contain negligible parity violating terms in their angular distributions.

For each transition and for a single detector at angle to the axis of orientation, the recorded gam m a count in any le, N_i can be written as the sum of product terms as in Eq. 1, but it is convenient to separate the even, parity conserving terms from the odd, parity non-conserving terms, thus

$$Sf_{i}E_{i}W$$
 (;E¹) = $Sc_{i}(1 + e_{i} + o_{i})$ (7)

where $e(o)_i = \frac{P}{k \text{ even}(odd)} B U A Q P (\cos)$, S is the instantaneous source strength, q is the product of the fractional gam m a em ission per decay f_i and the detector e ciency E i for that energy.

The ratio R of counts in the 501 keV peak N $_{501}$ to the sum of counts in the 444 keV peak, N $_{444}$, and the 332 keV peak, N $_{332}$, m easured in the detector in the direction of the applied

eld = $0^{\circ}(180^{\circ})$ pre(post) reversal, can be written:

$$R_{\text{pre}(\text{post})}() = \frac{N_{501}}{N_{332} + N_{444}} \exp(\text{post})$$

$$= \frac{Sc_{501}(1 + e_{501}() + Q_{501}())}{Sc_{332}(1 + e_{332}() + Q_{32}()) + SQ_{44}(1 + e_{444}() + Q_{444}())}; \quad (8)$$

The di erence between the ratios R measured in the initially 0° detector pre and post reversal, divided by their sum , after cancellation of the source strength, is given by

$$R = \frac{R_{pre} - R_{post}}{R_{pre} + R_{post}}$$
(9)

where the change of detector position from $= 0^{\circ}$ (pre-reversal) to $= 180^{\circ}$ (post-reversal) reverses the sign of the odd term s but does not change the even term s.

A fter straightforward but som ew hat lengthy manipulation this ratio becom es

$$R = \frac{O_{501} \left[(c_{332} (1 + e_{332}) + c_{444} (1 + e_{444}) \right] c_{332} O_{332} (1 + e_{501}) c_{444} O_{444} (1 + e_{501})}{c_{332} (1 + e_{332}) (1 + e_{501}) + c_{444} (1 + e_{444}) (1 + e_{501}) O_{501} (c_{332} O_{332} + c_{444} O_{444})}$$
(10)

W hen the product of odd terms (that is of parity non-conserving terms in the 501 keV transition and in either of the other transitions) in the denom inator is neglected as small, this further simpli es to

$$R = \frac{O_{501}}{(1 + e_{501})} \frac{C_{332}O_{332} + C_{444}O_{444}}{C_{332}(1 + e_{332}) + C_{444}(1 + e_{444})}$$
(11)

which can be seen to be half the asymmetry of the 501 keV transition minus half the weighted sum of the the asymmetries of the 332 keV and 444 keV transitions.

If it is assumed that the other asymmetries are indeed zero, then the ratio R is simply half the asymmetry of the 501 keV transition. This assumption is adopted in the analysis of reversals 5 and 7, both because the experiment provides evidence for the other asymmetries being sm all and because of the theoretical difference between the strong intra-band nature of the 332 keV and 444 keV E2 transitions and the highly K-forbidden 501 keV M 2/E3 transition.

In reversals 5 and 7 the two axial detectors yield independent values of R, R (0°) and R (180°), of opposite signs, since one detector is initially at 0° and the other at 180°. The combination R (0°) – R (180°) (equals 2 R (0°)) gives the full asymmetry of the 501 keV transition. This is given in Tables I and III.

- [1] B. Jenschke and P.Bock, Phys. Lett. 31B, 65 (1970)
- [2] E.D.Lipson, P.Boehm and J.C.Vanderleeden, Phys.Lett. 35B, 307 (1971)
- [3] K.S.Krane, C.E.Olsen, J.R.Sites and W.A.Steyert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 26, 1579 (1971).
- [4] K.S.Krane, C.E.Olsen, J.R.Sites and W.A.Steyert, Phys. Rev. C 4, 1906 (1971).
- [5] K.S.Krane, C.E.Olsen and W.A.Steyert, Phys. Rev. C 5, 1663 (1972).
- [6] T.S.Chou, K.S.K rane and D.A.Shirley, Phys. Rev. C 12, 286 (1975).
- [7] K.S.K rane in Low Temperature Nuclear O rientation, eds.N.J.Stone and H.Postma, North Holland Am sterdam, Chapter 6, (1986).
- [8] E.Kuphal, P.Dewes and E.Kankeleit, Nucl. Phys. A 234, 308 (1974).
- [9] E.D.Lipson, P.Boehm and J.C. Vanderleeden, Phys. Rev. C 5, 932 (1972).
- [10] B.S.Nara Singh et al., Phys. Rev. C 72, 027303 (2005).
- [11] E.C.Adelberger and W.C.Haxton, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 35, 501 (1985).
- [12] R.Ederetal, Hyp.Int. 59,83 (1990).
- [13] U.Koster et al., in print in Eur. Phys. J.A.
- [14] H.Marshak, in Low Temperature Nuclear Orientation, eds. N.J. Stone and H. Postma, North Holland Amsterdam, Chapter 16, (1986).
- [15] P.M.Walker, D.Ward, O.Hausser, H.R.Andrews and T.Faestermann, NuclPhys. A 349, 1 (1980)
- [16] N.J. Stone, A tom ic D ata and Nuclear D ata Tables 90, 75 (2005).
- [17] G.N.Rao, Hyp.Int. 24/26, 119 (1985).
- [18] A.Niem inen et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 88 094801 (2002).
- [19] S.M uto, T.Ohtsubo, S.Ohya and K.Nishim ura, Hyp. Int. 158, 195 (2004).
- [20] H.J.Komer, F.E.W agner and B.D.Dunlap, Phy. Rev. Lett. 37, 1593 (1971).
- [21] P.G.E.Reid, M. Shott and N.J. Stone, PhysLettA 25, 456 (1967).
- [22] T.Shaw and N.J.Stone, A tom ic D ata and Nuclear D ata Tables 42, 339 (1989).
- [23] R. Laurenz. E. Klein and W. D. Brewer, Z. Physik A 270, 233 (1974).
- [24] S-C.Wu and H.Niu, Nucl. Data Sheets 100, 483 (2003).