CERN {PH-EP/2007-008

28 February 2007

Study of multi-muon bundles in cosm ic ray showers detected with the DELPHI detector at LEP

DELPHICollaboration¹

A bstract

The DELPHI detector at LEP has been used to measure multimuon bundles originating from cosmic ray interactions with air. The cosmic events were recorded in \parasitic mode" between individual e^+e^- interactions and the total live time of this data taking is equivalent to 1:6 10 seconds. The DELPHI apparatus is located about 100 metres underground and the 84 metres rock overburden imposes a cut-o of about 52 GeV/c on muon momenta. The data from the large volume Hadron Calorim eter allowed the muon multiplicity of 54201 events to be reconstructed. The resulting muon multiplicity distribution is compared with the prediction of the Monte Carlo simulation based on CORSIKA/QGSJET01. The model fails to describe the abundance of high multiplicity events. The impact of QGSJET internal parameters on the results is also studied.

PACS 98.70.Sa, 13.85.Tp, 96.40 De Keywords: Cosm ic rays, Cosm ic ray interactions, Cosm ic ray muons

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Heiner Herr

(A ccepted by A stropart. Phys.)

¹Corresponding author: J. T im m erm ans, N IK HEF, P.O. Box 41882, 1009 DB Am sterdam, The Netherlands; e-m ail: Jan T im m erm ans@ cern.ch

JAbdallah²⁷, PAbreu²⁴, W Adam⁵⁶, PAdzic¹³, TAlbrecht¹⁹, RAkemany-Fernandez¹⁰, TAllmendinger¹⁹, PPAllport²⁵, UAmabli³¹, NAmapane⁴⁹, SAmato⁵³, EAnashkin³⁸, AAndreazza³⁰, SAndringa²⁴, NAnjos²⁴, PAntilogus²⁷, W -D Apel¹⁹, Y Amoud¹⁶, SAsk²⁸, BAsman⁴⁸, A Augustinus¹⁰, PBaillon¹⁰, A Ballestrero⁵⁰ PBam bade²², R Barbier²⁹, D Bardin¹⁸, G JBarker⁵⁸, A Baroncelli⁴¹, M Battaglia¹⁰, M Baubillier²⁷ K H Becks⁵⁹, M Begall⁸, A Behmann⁵⁹, E Ben-Hain²², N Benekos³⁴, A Benvenuti⁶, C Berat¹⁶, M Berggren²⁷, D Bertrand³, M Besancon⁴², N Besson⁴², D Bloch¹¹, M Blom³³, M Blu⁵⁷, M Bonesini³¹, M Boonekam p⁴², P S L Booth⁹²⁵, G Borisov²³, O Botner⁵⁴, B Bouquet²², T J.V Bow cock²⁵, IBoyko¹⁸, M Bracko⁴⁵, R Brenner⁵⁴, E Brodet³⁷, P Bruckman²⁰, JM Brunet⁹, B Buschbeck⁵⁶, P Buschmann⁵⁹, M Calvi³¹, T C am poresi¹⁰, V Canale⁴⁰, F Carena¹⁰, N Castro²⁴, F Cavallo⁶, M Chapkin⁴⁴, Ph Charpentier¹⁰, P Cheochia³⁸, R Chierici¹⁰ P Chliapnikov⁴⁴, J Chudoba¹⁰, S J Chung¹⁰, K Cieslik²⁰, P Collins¹⁰, R Contri¹⁵, G Cosm e²², F Cossutti⁵¹ M J Costa⁵⁵, D Crennell³⁹, J Cuevas³⁶, J D Hondt³, T da Silva⁵³, W Da Silva²⁷, G D ella Ricca⁵¹ A De Angelis⁵², W D e Boer¹⁹, C D e Clercq³, B D e Lotto⁵², N D e Maria⁴⁹, A D e M in³⁸, L de Paula⁵³ LDiCiaccio⁴⁰, ADiSimone⁴¹, KDoroba⁵⁷, JDrees^{59;10}, GEigen⁵, TEkelof⁵⁴, MEllert⁵⁴, MElsing¹⁰ M C Espirito Santo²⁴, G Fanourakis¹³, D Fassouliotis^{13,4}, M Feindt¹⁹, J Fernandez⁴³, A Ferrer⁵⁵, F Ferro¹⁵ U F lagm eyer⁵⁹, H Foeth¹⁰, E Fokitis³⁴, F Fulda-Quenzer²², J Fuster⁵⁵, M G andelm an⁵³, C G arcai⁵⁵, Ph G avillet¹⁰, E G azis³⁴, R G okiell^{10;57}, B G obb^{45;47}, G G om ez-C eballos⁴³, P G oncalves²⁴, E G raziani⁴¹, G G rosditler²², K G rzelak⁵⁷, J G uy³⁹, C H aag¹⁹, A H allgren⁵⁴, K H am acher⁵⁹, K H am ilton³⁷, S H aug³⁵, F H auler¹⁹, V H edberg²⁸, M H ennecke¹⁹, H H err^{y10}, J H o m an⁵⁷, S-O H olm gren⁴⁸, P J H olt¹⁰, M A H oublen²⁵, JN Jackson²⁵, G Jarlskog²⁸, P Jarry⁴², D Jeans³⁷, E K Johansson⁴⁸, P Jonsson²⁹, C Joram¹⁰, L Jungerm ann¹⁹ JN Jackson²³, G Jarlskog²⁶, P Jarry⁴², D Jeans³⁷, E K Johansson⁴⁶, P Jonsson²⁹, C Joram¹⁰, L Jungerm ann¹⁹, F K apusta²⁷, S K atsanevas²⁹, E K atsou s³⁴, G K emel⁴⁵, B P K ersevan^{45,47}, U K erzel¹⁹, B T K ing²⁵, N J K jaer¹⁰, P K luit³³, P K okkinias¹³, C K ourkoum elis⁴, O K ouznetsov¹⁸, Z K rum stein¹⁸, M K ucharczyk²⁰, J Lam sa², G Leder⁵⁶, F Ledroit¹⁶, L Leinonen⁴⁸, R Leitner³², J Lem onne³, V Lepeltier²², T Lesiak²⁰, W Liebig⁵⁹, D Liko⁵⁶, A Lipniacka⁴⁸, J H Lopes⁵³, J M Lopez³⁶, D Loukas¹³, P Lutz⁴², L Lyons³⁷, JM acN aughton⁵⁶, A M alek⁵⁹, S M altezos³⁴, F M and l⁵⁶, J M arco⁴³, R M arco⁴³, B M arechal⁵³, M M argoni²⁸, J-C M arin¹⁰, C M ariottti¹⁰, A M arkou¹³, C M artinez-R ivero⁴³, J M asik¹⁴, N M astroyiannopoulos¹³, F M atomas⁴³, C M atteuzzi³¹, F M azucato³⁸, M M azucato³⁸, R M c N ulty²⁵, C M eroni³⁰, E M igliore⁴⁹, W M itaro ⁵⁶, U M jpernm ark²⁸, T M oa⁴⁸, M M och¹⁹, K M oenig^{10;12}, R M onge¹⁵, J M ontenegro³³, D M oraes⁵³, SMoreno²⁴, PMorettini¹⁵, UMueller⁵⁹, KMuenich⁵⁹, MMuklers³³, LMundim⁸, WMurray³⁹, BMuryn²¹, G Myatt³⁷, T Myklebust³⁵, M Nassiakou¹³, F Navarria⁶, K Nawrocki⁵⁷, R Nicolaidou⁴², M Nikolenko^{18;11} A O blakow ska-M ucha²¹, V O braztsov⁴⁴, A O lshevsk¹⁸, A O nofre²⁴, R O rava¹⁷, K O sterberg¹⁷, A O uraou⁴², A O yanguren⁵⁵, M Paganon³¹, S Paiano⁶, J P Palacios²⁵, H Palka²⁰, Th D Papadopoulou³⁴, L Pape¹⁰ C Parkes²⁶, F Parodi¹⁵, U Parzefall¹⁰, A Passeri⁴¹, O Passon⁵⁹, L Peralta²⁴, V Perepelita⁵⁵, A Perrotta⁶, A Petrolini¹⁵, J Piedra⁴³, L Pierri⁴¹, F Pierre⁴², M Pimenta²⁴, E Piotto¹⁰, T Podobnik^{45,47}, V Poireau¹⁰, M E Pol⁷, G Polok²⁰, V Pozdniakov¹⁸, N Pukhaeva¹⁸, A Pullia³¹, JRam es¹⁴, A Read³⁵, P Rebecchi¹⁰, J Rehn¹⁹, D Reid³³, R Reinhardt⁵⁹, P Renton³⁷, F Richard²², J Ridky¹⁴, M Rivero⁴³, D Rodriguez⁴³, A Romero⁴⁹, P Ronchese³⁸, P Roudeau²², T Rovelli⁶, V Ruhlmann-Kleider⁴², D Ryabtchikov⁴⁴, A Sadovsky¹⁸ L Salm 1¹⁷, J Salt⁵⁵, C Sander¹⁹, A Savoy-N avarro²⁷, U Schwickerath¹⁰, R Sekulin³⁹, R C Shellard⁷, M Siebel⁵⁹ A Sisakian¹⁸, G Sm ad ja²⁹, O Sm imova²⁸, A Sokolov⁴⁴, A Sopczak²³, R Sosnowski⁵⁷, T Spassov¹⁰ M Stanitzki¹⁹, A Stocchi²², J Strauss⁵⁶, B Stugu⁵, M Szczekowski⁵⁷, M Szeptycka⁵⁷, T Szum lak²¹, T. Tabarelli³¹, A.C. Ta ard²⁵, F. Tegenfeldt⁵⁴, J.T. in mermans³³, L. Tkatchev¹⁸, M. Tobin²⁵, S. Todorovova¹⁴, B.Tom e²⁴, A.Tonazzo³¹, P.Tortosa⁵⁵, P.Travnicek¹⁴, D.Treille¹⁰, G.Tristram⁹, M.Trochim czuk⁵⁷, C.Troncon³⁰, B. LOHLE , A. LOHAZZO , P. LOTTOSA , P. LTAVILCEK⁻¹, D. TTEILE⁻¹, G. TTISTRAM⁻¹, M. TTOCHIM CZUK⁻¹, C. TTONCON³⁰,
M.-L. TURLUE⁴², I.A. Tyapkin¹⁸, P. Tyapkin¹⁸, S. Tzamarias¹³, V. U. Varov⁴⁴, G. Valenti⁶, P.Van Dam³³,
J.Van Eldik¹⁰, N. van Remortel¹⁷, I.Van Vulpen¹⁰, G. Vegni³⁰, F.Veloso²⁴, W. Venus³⁹, P.Verdier²⁹, V. Verzi⁴⁰,
D.V ilanova⁴², L.V itale⁵¹, V. Vrba¹⁴, H.W. ahlen⁵⁹, A.J.W. ashbrook²⁵, C.W. eiser¹⁹, D.W. icke¹⁰, J.W. ickens³,
G.W. ilkinson³⁷, M.W. inter¹¹, M.W. itek²⁰, O.Y. ushchenko⁴⁴, A.Zalew ska²⁰, P.Zalew ski⁵⁷, D.Zavrtanik⁴⁶, V Zhuravlov¹⁸, N JZ im in¹⁸, A Zintchenko¹⁸, M Zupan¹³

- 7 C entro B rasileiro de Pesquisas F $\,$ sicas, rua X avier Sigaud 150, BR-22290R io de Janeiro, B razil
- ⁸ Inst. de F sica, Univ. Estadual do Rio de Janeiro, rua Sao Francisco Xavier 524, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ⁹ College de France, Lab. de Physique Corpusculaire, IN 2P 3-C N R S, FR -75231 Paris Cedex 05, France
- ¹⁰CERN, CH-1211 G eneva 23, Sw itzerland

¹¹ Institut de Recherches Subatom iques, IN 2P3 - CNRS/ULP - BP20, FR-67037 Strasbourg Cedex, France

¹²Now at DESY-Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15735 Zeuthen, Germany

¹³ Institute of Nuclear Physics, N.C. S.R. Dem okritos, P.O. Box 60228, G.R-15310 A thens, G reece

- ¹⁴FZU, Inst. of Phys. of the C A S.H igh Energy Physics D ivision, N a Slovance 2, CZ-182 21, Praha 8, C zech R epublic
- ¹⁵D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Genova and INFN, Via Dodecaneso 33, IT –16146 Genova, Italy
- ¹⁶ Institut des Sciences Nucleaires, IN 2P 3-C N R S, U niversite de G renoble 1, FR -38026 G renoble C edex, France
- ¹⁷Helsinki Institute of Physics and Departm ent of Physical Sciences, P.O. Box 64, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
- ¹⁸ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Head Post O ce, P.O. Box 79, RU-101 000 Moscow, Russian Federation ¹⁹ Institut fur Experimentelle Kemphysik, Universitat Karlsruhe, Postfach 6980, DE-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

 20 Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN , Jl. R adzikow skiego 152, PL-31142 K rakow , Poland

²¹Faculty of Physics and Nuclear Techniques, University of M ining and M etallurgy, PL-30055 K rakow, Poland

²²Universite de Paris-Sud, Lab. de l'Accelerateur Lineaire, IN 2P 3-C N R S, B åt. 200, FR -91405 O rsay C edex, France

²³School of Physics and Chem istry, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA 1 4YB, UK

²⁴LIP, IST, FCUL - Av. Elias Garcia, 14-1°, PT - 1000 Lisboa Codex, Portugal

- ^{25}D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of L iverpool, P $\ensuremath{\text{O}}$. B ox 147, L iverpool L 69 3B X , U K
- ²⁶Dept. of Physics and Astronom y, K elvin Building, University of G lasgow, G lasgow G 12 800

²⁷LPNHE, IN 2P3-CNRS, Univ. Paris VI et VII, Tour 33 (RdC), 4 place Jussieu, FR-75252 Paris C edex 05, France

²⁸D epartm ent of Physics, University of Lund, Solvegatan 14, SE-223 63 Lund, Sweden

²⁹Universite C laude Bernard de Lyon, IPNL, IN 2P 3-CNRS, FR -69622 V illeurbanne Cedex, France

³¹D ipartim ento di Fisica, Univ. di Milano-Bicocca and INFN-MILANO, Piazza della Scienza 3, IT-20126 Milan, Italy

³² IPNP of MFF, Charles Univ., A real MFF, V Holesovickach 2, CZ-180 00, Praha 8, Czech Republic

³³N IK HEF, Postbus 41882, NL-1009 DB Am sterdam, The Netherlands

³⁵Physics Department, University of Oslo, Blindern, NO-0316 Oslo, Norway

 $^{39}\mathrm{R}$ utherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, D idcot O X 11 O Q X , U K

⁴⁵J.Stefan Institute, Jam ova 39, SI-1000 L jubljana, Slovenia

⁴⁶Laboratory for A stroparticle Physics, U niversity of N ova G orica, K ostanjeviska 16a, SI-5000 N ova G orica, S lovenia

⁴⁷D epartm ent of Physics, University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

⁵² Istituto di Fisica, Universita di Udine and INFN, II-33100 Udine, Italy

⁵⁶Institut fur Hochenergiephysik, Osterr. A kad. d. W issensch., N ikolsdorfergasse 18, AT -1050 V ienna, A ustria

 $^{58}\mathrm{N}\,\text{ow}\,$ at U niversity of W arw ick, C oventry C V 4 7A L, U K

 $^{^2}$ D epartm ent of P hysics and A stronom y, Iow a State U niversity, A m es IA 50011-3160, U SA

³ IIH E, ULB-VUB, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

 $^{^4\,{\}rm P}\,{\rm hysics}$ Laboratory, U niversity of A thens, Solonos Str. 104, G R –10680 A thens, G reece

⁵D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of B ergen, A llegaten 55, N O -5007 B ergen, N orw ay

⁶D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Bologna and IN FN, V ia Imerio 46, IT -40126 Bologna, Italy

³⁰ Dipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Milano and INFN-MILANO, Via Celoria 16, IT -20133 Milan, Italy

 $^{^{34}}$ N ational Technical U niversity, Physics D epartm ent, Zografou C am pus, G R –15773 A thens, G reece

³⁶D pto. Fisica, Univ. O viedo, A vda. C alvo Sotelo s/n, ES-33007 O viedo, Spain

 $^{^{37} \}bar{\text{D}}$ epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of O xford , K eble R oad , O xford O X 1 3R H , U K

³⁸D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Padova and INFN, V ia Marzolo 8, IT -35131 Padua, Italy

⁴⁰D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Rom a II and IN FN, Tor Vergata, IT-00173 Rom e, Italy

⁴¹D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di R om a III and IN FN , V ia della Vasca N avale 84, IT -00146 R om e, Italy

⁴²DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CEA-Saclay, FR-91191 G if-sur-Y vette C edex, France

 $^{^{43}}$ Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria (CSIC-UC), Avda. los Castros s/n, ES-39006 Santander, Spain

⁴⁴ Inst. for High Energy Physics, Serpukov P.O. Box 35, Protvino, (M oscow Region), Russian Federation

⁴⁸Fysikum, Stockholm University, Box 6730, SE-113 85 Stockholm, Sweden

⁴⁹D ipartim ento di Fisica Sperim entale, Universita di Torino and INFN, Via P.Giuria 1, II-10125 Turin, Italy

⁵⁰ IN FN ,Sezione di Torino and D ipartim ento di Fisica Teorica, Universita di Torino, V ia G iuria 1, II –10125 Turin, Italy

 $^{^{51}\}text{D}$ ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Trieste and IN FN , V ia A . Valerio 2, IT -34127 Trieste, Italy

⁵³Univ. Federal do Rio de Janeiro, C.P. 68528 Cidade Univ., Ilha do Fundao BR-21945-970 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁵⁴D epartm ent of R adiation Sciences, U niversity of U ppsala, P.O. B ox 535, SE –751 21 U ppsala, Sweden

⁵⁵ F C, Valencia-CSIC, and D.F.A.M.N., U. de Valencia, Avda. Dr. Moliner 50, ES-46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain

 $^{^{57}\,{\}rm Inst.}$ Nuclear Studies and University of W arsaw , Ul. Hoza 69, PL-00681 W arsaw , Poland

1 Introduction

The DELPHI (DEtector with Lepton Photon and Hadron Identi cation) at CERN LEP (Large Electron Positron collider) measured cosm is muons regularly in order to align and calibrate various subdetectors. A major upgrade of the DELPHI hadron calorim eter was completed in 1997. As a result the calorim eter granularity increased substantially and spectacular events like the one shown in Fig. 1 were registered. The trigger studies performed during 1998 have shown that DELPHI can register cosm is events during regular data taking. W henever there was no triggered e^+e^- interaction, the detector stayed active to record possible cosm is events. In this regime we were able to collect data throughout the years 1999 and 2000.

The experimental hall of DELPHI was located 100 metres underground and the overburden imposed a cut-o of 52 GeV/c on the momenta of vertical muons. This, depending on the particular interaction model, corresponds to a lower limit of primary particle energies of about 10^{14} eV. The upper limit of primary energy, less than 10^{18} eV, follows from the total measurement time of 1.6 10 seconds. A lthough this live time is small compared to standard cosm ic ray experiments, the granularity of the detector and the momentum cut-o make the data interesting. The high energy muons originate from meson decays and other processes which take place in the upper atm osphere. They carry inform ation about the rst stages of the shower development. Consequently, these data re ect di erent aspects of the shower than those recorded by experiments on the ground, where the vast majority of detected muons originates from pion decays at low energies.

Reconstruction of cosm ic ray interactions at very high energies relies heavily on M onte C arlo (M C) simulations. Hence the interpretation of measured data is dependent on the m odels of shower propagation, including simulations of high energy hadron collisions, hadron decays and further development of the electrom agnetic and hadronic components. W hile the particle decays and the shower propagation are well described, the m ost im portant source of uncertainties originates from m odels describing the high energy interactions of hadrons at the beginning of shower development. The interaction m odels such as NEXUS [1], QG SJET [2] or SIBYLL [3] are tuned to available accelerator data at lower energies than those discussed in this paper. The collider experiments are m ore suited to study phenomena at larger transverse m omenta. Thus our data, which can reveal features of particle interactions in the very forward region, are in this sense complementary.

The muon component of cosm ic ray showers has been studied with large ground arrays (e.g. [4,5]) or at large depths corresponding to a momentum cut-o above 1 TeV (e.g. [6{8]). The data at intermediate depths underground are scarce and the experiments detecting muons with a momentum cut-o around 100 G eV/c (e.g. [9]) use less precise detectors than the LEP experiments. Besides D E LPH I, sim ilar studies of cosmic rays were performed at A LEPH [10] and L3+C [11]. D etailed model tests [12] show that Q G SJET describes best the various correlations between hadronic, electrom agnetic and muon components of atm opheric showers in the case of ground experiments. D ata registered by underground experiments re ect di erent shower properties. The aim of this work is to test the interaction model, which su ciently well describes the ground m easurements, using multim uon data detected underground.

The detector and its overburden are described in Section 2. The conditions of event registration are mentioned in Section 3 and the procedure of event reconstruction is described in Section 4. The chain of program s used to simulate showers is described in

Section 5. The results obtained are given together with predictions of hadronic interaction m odels in Section 6 and they are discussed in the nal Section 7.

2 Detector and its location

DELPHI was a classical collider experiment with numerous subdetectors and a solenoidal magnetic eld. A detailed description of the apparatus can be found in [13]. Only a few subdetectors were used for the cosm ic muon detection, namely: T in e Projection Chamber (TPC), T in e Of F light scintillation detector (TOF), O uter D etector (OD), Barrelpart of HA dron calorimeter (HAB) and BarrelM U on chambers (MUB). All these parts were located in the barrelpart of the detector (Fig. 2). TOF served to trigger cosm ic events.

The HAB detector was a sam pling calorin eter and it contained 12000 lim ited stream er tubes. The iron of the magnet yoke served as an absorber. It consisted of 20 slabs 5 cm thick. Stream er tubes were inserted into the 2 cm wide gaps between individual iron plates. The gas m ixture inside the tubes was composed of Ar(10%), CO₂(60\%) and isobutane(30%). HAB with its large volume served as the backbone of muon detection. The detection area of HAB was 75 m^2 in the horizontal plane. Each tube in the barrel part of the hadron calorim eter had an e ective length of 3.6 m and its cross-section was 8 cm². All the tubes were parallel to the beam pipe. During the upgrade of the 1 hadron calorim eter in the years 1995 - 1997 each tube was equipped with read-out of its cathode, which consisted of resistive varnish of the whole tube interior [14]. The sm allest sensitive cellbefore the upgrade was about 20 30 35 cm^3 in (, , R) standard D E LPH I coordinate system¹ and the cells were organised in towers pointing to the centre of the detector. A fter the upgrade the cell size of the cathode readout in the barrel becam e 360 8 7 cm³ [15,16]. Consequently the granularity in the plane perpendicular to the beams increased about 14 times. Due to technical limitations it was possible to read out signals only on the two outer front-ends of the barrel. The charge deposited on the cathode was integrated for 350 s and accepted or rejected by a discriminator. Thus in this system of cathode read-out, the signals from individual tubes were either yes or no and the reconstructed tracks are in fact only projections of the muon trajectories onto the plane perpendicular to the LEP beams, separately for each half of HAB.

The TPC was able to measure the full direction of muon tracks. Due to its relatively small volume it contained only a small fraction of the muons passing through DELPHI (TPC had 10 times smaller detection area compared to HAB). During the standard recording of e^+e^- collisions, the drift time in the TPC is measured from t_0 which is given by the instant of beam cross-over (BCO) inside DELPHI. In the case of cosm ic events t_0 was the average arrival time of tracks to the OD.

In extrem e cases 50% or m ore of the tubes in one or both sides of HAB were hit. This led to saturated events where counting of individual m uons was not possible anym ore. However, the cosm ic origin of these events is guaranteed, because in this case vacant tubes appear in parallel lines which follow the direction of the m uon bundle and they cannot be caused by any noise in HAB.M oreover, in a few such events the lower bound on the num ber of m uons could be roughly assessed from MUB.

The apparatus was situated about 100 m underground. The surface altitude was 428 m above the sea level. The com position of the rock above the D E L P H I experiment is known from a geological survey performed for civil engineering purposes. The simplied picture

of the overburden structure could be approximated by 5 major geological layers with di erent mass densities. The density of the rock in the vertical direction varies between 2.2 g=cm³ and 2.5 g=cm³ depending on the layer. The total vertical depth of DELPHI location is about 19640 g=cm². The resulting energy cut-o for vertical cosm ic muons is

 $52~{\rm G~eV}$. The detector was located in a large experim ental cavern equipped with three access shafts shown in Fig. 3. This scheme of the experimental area and the overburden was used in simulations.

3 Trigger

The trigger of cosm ic events was entirely based on TOF. This detector consisted of a single layer of plastic scintillation counters. Each one was read out by two photom ultipliers. The scintillator planks covered the internal side of HAB. Initial attempts to trigger on single muons led to a high trigger rate. Therefore in 1999 the trigger was set up to dem and at least 3 active detector sectors to accept an event. It ran in so-called \parasitic mode", i.e. whenever there was no triggered e^+e^- interaction, the trigger stayed sensitive to cosm ic events for 4:1 s after each beam crossing. This short detection window was optim ised for e^+e^- interactions.

The beam crossing frequency depended on the number of e^+ (e) bunches in the collider. During the running mode with 4 bunches in the machine, the beam crossing period was 22:2 s, while in the 8 bunch mode the period decreased to 11:1 s. Consequently, the detector was sensitive to cosm ic events for 18% of the total data taking time in 4 bunch mode and for 37% in 8 bunch mode. Dedicated cosm ic runs (without the beam s in the collider) have been performed mainly at the beginning of each year. A lthough there were no e^+e^- collisions, BCO signals were issued to min ic the 8 bunch mode.

In an ideal case, two muons passing TOF would be su cient to activate the trigger. In reality the TOF detection e ciency in Z⁰! ⁺ events was 84%. However, with increasing muon multiplicity the TOF trigger e ciency quickly approaches alm ost 100%. A lready for muon multiplicity N = 5 the TOF e ciency is 99%, for lower multiplicities N = 3(4) the corresponding e ciencies are 94(97)%. It was found in [17] that with 5 or more muons the trigger stability is assured. Fig. 4 plots the rate of events with muon multiplicity higher than 5 in di erent run periods. The event rates are consistent within statistical errors and there is no di erence between the runs with and without beam s in LEP. In total, taking into account various bunch schem es and the 4:1 s detection window, the accumulated e ective live time is T_{eff} = 1:6 10 s (= 18:5 days).

4 Event reconstruction

The tracks of cosm ic muons were reconstructed from hadron calorim eter data by the ECTANA program [18], which scans signals in the HAB modules and nds track patterns of hit stream er tubes. This package has the advantage that it was developed not only for studies of e⁺ e collisions, i.e. tracks com ing from the interaction point in the centre of the detector, but it has the option for cosm ic events as well. W hen running in cosm ic mode it allows tracks originating anywhere in the calorim eter to be reconstructed without an explicit cut on the track im pact parameter. The search for active stream er tubes starts from the outer planes of a given module and continues inwards. A group of at least 4 aligned hits is taken as a track element. The track element is also required to have a

reasonable density of hits, at least 30% of tubes along its length have to be active. All possible hypotheses starting from a certain hit found during the scan are analysed, and the positions of hits are tted by a straight line. The best t in terms of the num ber of hits and 2 is stored. Before accepting the track, its sim ilarity with other hypotheses was checked to avoid double counting.

The length of the reconstructed track was required to be larger than 50 cm. It was possible to tradii of curvature of the bent tracks, however, there were only a few such tracks and their radii were quite large. Therefore the coordinates of active tubes were ted only by straight lines in the nalanalysis. Them atching between track elements from di erent calorim eter sectors was perform ed. The num ber of reconstructed tracks was considered as the reconstructed multiplicity of an event. The perform ance and functionality of the ECTANA program were checked with MC studies that com pared parameters of reconstructed and injected events. However, no MC tuning of the reconstruction software was needed.

The analysed data sample consists of 54201 events with muon multiplicities bigger than 3. They were registered during the years 1999 and 2000. The number of events with multiplicity above a given value is given in Tab.1 and the di erential multiplicity distribution is shown in Fig. 5.

A ltogether there were only 7 saturated events like the one depicted in Fig. 6 where m ore then 50% of the tubes were hit. In the case of saturated events vacant tubes m ake parallel line patterns which cannot result from a glitch of the electronics. The saturated events are expected to have multiplicity higher than the highest multiplicity reconstructed from unsaturated events (N > 127). Moreover, in two of these events we were able to assess the lower limit of the multiplicity from the proportionality between the number of MUB anode hits and reconstructed muon multiplicity from HAB (Fig. 7). However, this procedure was not possible in all events. The MUB time window is only 5.9 s after BCO and the events com ing at the end of trigger time window 4.1 s after BCO are not registered properly as the necessary drift time is 2.5 s.

In general, the muon tracks inside bundles are almost parallel as demonstrated in Fig. 8. In this picture we plot the angle between the vertical direction and the track projection onto the plane perpendicular to the LEP beam s. The track collinearity helped to nd high multiplicity events originating from muon interactions close to the detector. The manual scanning was done on all events with N > 30. A ltogether we have rejected 14 events with diverse directions of tracks. They correspond to 1:3% of the 1065 scanned events. The parallelism of reconstructed tracks was checked also by the cut that requires m ore than 50% of reconstructed tracks to be aligned within 5 of the mean value of all track angles in the event. This cut rejected the same events as the scanning procedure.

As already mentioned above, the cathode read-out could not detect how many muons hit one single tube. Therefore at higher multiplicities muons start to shadow each other and the reconstructed multiplicity is in fact a lower limit of the real event multiplicity. However, even the highest reconstructed multiplicities around 120 are still strongly correlated with the initial multiplicity as can be seen from Fig. 9, where the reconstructed multiplicity in M C data is plotted as a function of the number of muons injected into HAB.

Unlike the hadron calorim eter, the TPC gives full spatial information on traversing muons. The drawback is its relatively small size. The track reconstruction from the TPC was possible with standard DELPHI software tools with the provision for start of the drift time (see Section 2). Due to the disproportion of TPC and HAB sizes, the respective multiplicities do not correlate well. However, we were able to reconstruct the

m uon bundle directions from the TPC and to compare the multiplicities from the TPC with MC predictions [17].

5 Simulation

To simulate the response of DELPHI to cosm ic-ray induced showers, we have set up a chain of simulation programs. The high energy interactions were modelled by the QGSJET01 [2] program implemented within the CORSIKA [19] package². The rock above the DELPHI detector and the shape of the experimental cavern as well as the basic structures such as concrete walls and the three access shafts were represented according to Fig. 3 and simulated by GEANT3 [20]. Full simulation of the detector response was provided by the DELSIM [21] simulation package.

As the chem ical composition of cosm ic rays is not well known, we have used only two limiting cases of hadron primary particles – protons and iron nuclei. Data sets were generated for both types of primary particles in 12 energy intervals $10^{12} - 3 \quad 10^{2} \text{ eV}$, $3 \quad 10^{2} - 10^{13} \text{ eV}$, etc. up to $3 \quad 10^{7} - 10^{18} \text{ eV}$. The low est energy interval barely contributes due to the muon energy cut-o of 52 G eV and the condition N > 3. Also the highest energy interval contributes very little, if at all, because of the relatively short observation time. The low er energy limit depends on the interaction model and on the thickness of the overburden while the upper limit is given by the ux value used for normalisation and the observation time. As these two limits are not given reliably we have used a wider energy range for the simulations.

All CORSIKA simulations were done without \thinning". At high energies (E > 10^{17} eV) the thinning option speeds up simulations of showers with billions of secondary particles by discarding a de ned fraction of the secondaries and by ascribing the remaining particles certain weights. However, this option might introduce additional systematic errors. For this reason full event simulation was used in the analysis.

The data samples were generated according to an energy dependence E using the spectral index = 1 in order to obtain su cient representation of events at the upper part of the energy spectrum. Events were then re-weighted according to one of the assumed energy spectra (see below).

Show er centres were sn eared uniform ly over a circular area with radius R = 200 m around the D E L P H I detector. This radius value was chosen as optim al because sm aller R values led to an increased fraction of lost events with sm all muon multiplicities while larger radii would imply the necessity of using large data samples to produce enough events with high muon multiplicities. This is demonstrated in Fig. 10 which shows the stability of the simulated multiplicity distribution as a function of R. For each radius the ratio of occupancies in two adjacent bins in the nalintegralmultiplicity distribution is plotted. W ith increasing values of R, the simulated multiplicity distribution stabilises. At R = 200 m the stability is reached at all simulated energies. Furtherm ore, the radius of 200 m ensures that the fraction of lost events at the lowest multiplicity N = 4 is sm aller than 0.5%.

During the sm earing of showers with E $<10^{16}$ eV each shower was used 10 times. For higher energies the number of moves is 100. Taking 100 m oves at energy $>10^{16}$ eV, one CORSIKA generated shower contributed to the simulated spectrum at N >45 on

 $^{^2}$ First analyses with QGSJET model were performed with CORSIKA ver. 6.014 from M arch 2002. Later studies of QGSJET with modied parameters used CORSIKA ver. 6.031 from February 2004. It was checked that the results of the two simulations were independent of the CORSIKA version.

 $^{^{3}\}text{D}\,\text{e}$ ned in Section 6.

average only once. Since the events with N > 45 are dom instead by primary energies higher than 10^{16} eV, the relatively high number of moves is, in fact, chosen optimally.

The generated data set at N > 45 (which corresponds roughly to E 10^{16} eV) was about 20 times larger than the real data sample. At lower multiplicities (i.e. lower energies) the samples were about equal. The stability of the results was also checked by doubling the size of the MC data sets.

The norm alisation of the sinulated multiplicity distributions depends necessarily on the assumed energy spectrum of primary particles. Four spectra corresponding to different lines in Fig. 11 were assumed. Lines 1, 2 and 3 all represent power law indices = 2:7 below the knee ($E_{\rm knee} = 3 \ 10^5 \ {\rm eV}$) and = 3:0 above the knee, thus they have the same shape of energy dependence and they di er by the total ux only. A ssumption 1b is de ned by exponents = 2:6 below and = 3:05 above the knee. These spectral indices were used for tests of QG SJET 01 with changed internal parameters.

The most notable contributions to the system atic errors are our in perfect know ledge of the overburden and due to a hardware e ect which in certain situations caused crosstalk of the cathode read-out and appeared as a wider muon track that can shadow more muons than the norm al track. The e ect of inaccurate know ledge of the overburden was taken into account by changing the rock density by 5% in all geological layers. Changes of multiplicity distribution induced by this density variations stay within 5%. The cross-talk has been studied in detail in Z^0 ! + interactions. Based on this it was incorporated into the M C. The system atic error induced by this elect was checked in M C by using two options: one with full cross-talk simulation taken into account and another with this simulation switched o . It was found that the impact of cross-talk on the nal multiplicity distribution is less than 5% of the number of events at high multiplicities. The upper bound of the possible live time error was estimated using the know ledge of the DELPHI dead time and it is about 2%. Due to the DELPHIm agnetic eld, another e ect which might induce system atic error is the possible track matching ine ciency in the upper and the lower part of HAB for low energy muons. A ssum ing only straight lines in track reconstruction we could double count curved tracks. The e ect was studied using the option of the ECTANA package that enabled to search also for curved tracks. It was found that the maxim alim pact on the nalmultiplicity distribution decreases with increasing multiplicity and it is about 8% for multiplicities below 15,4% at integrated multiplicities larger than 20,3% for multiplicities larger than 45 and 2% for multiplicities larger than 70.

The overall system atic error is . 8% at high multiplicities (N = 45) which is below the statistical uncertainty. M ore detailed discussion of the whole simulation is provided in [17].

6 Results

6.1 Directions of m uon bundles

The most straightforward and MC-independent results are those concerning the directions of muon bundles. As explained already above, it was possible to reconstruct the full spatial direction of the tracks only from TPC data. As the TPC reconstruction depends on the mean arrival time to OD, we have selected higher multiplicity events with more than 15 muons in HAB and at least 4 reconstructed tracks in TPC. This cut corresponds to primary energies of about 10^{15} eV. The sky plot of event directions in galactic coordinates is shown in Fig.12. The event direction is given as a mean direction

of individualm uons and the pointing precision is a few degrees due to multiple scattering in the overburden, detector precision and unknown core position of the shower. There is no apparent clustering of events.

The absence of point sources is dem onstrated also by the dependence of the event rate on sidereal time. Fig. 13 shows no signicant modulation of the rate during the sidereal day. The small dip disappears at higher multiplicities.

The lack of point like an isotropies in the data justi es the assumption of uniform distribution of cosm ic ray directions which is used in MC simulations.

6.2 M uon multiplicities

The shadowing e ect reduces the number of reconstructed tracks when compared to the number of muons entering the calorimeter. In fact we measure only a lower limit of the event multiplicity and therefore we plot the integrated multiplicity distributions where all events with given multiplicity or higher contribute to the corresponding bin. The measured distribution is plotted in Fig. 14a together with MC simulations of proton and iron induced showers.

Taking into account that the composition of primary cosm ic rays is light at energies

 10^{14} eV the data should follow the M C prediction for proton prim ary particles at sm all multiplicities. This behaviour is guaranteed only by ux value 1 from Fig. 11. However, this value represents the upper lim it of measured uxes. Taking into account the spread of ux 1-3, we obtain for the M C prediction the bands demonstrated in Fig. 14b.

Evidently even the highest ux value combined with the assumption of pure iron prim aries is not su cient to describe the surplus of high multiplicity events. The excess (based on statistical errors) for ux 1; assuming of events in the region N 80 is 1:9 a more realistic ux value 2, the discrepancy reaches about 3 . One is tempted to interpret Fig. 14 as a convolution of proton and iron induced showers. However, this would mean that the primary particles at lower energies would be only protons while at the higher energies the primaries would be entirely iron nuclei. The contributions of individual energy bins in the case of iron primaries are detailed in Fig. 15. Fig. 16 shows the distribution of projected angle measured in HAB as compared to MC event samples 20 respectively. The lower multiplicity corresponds to the point with N 4 and N in Fig. 14 where data can be described by proton primaries. The second multiplicity interval represents the region where M C simulation of iron nuclei best describes the data.

The saturated events appear in the simulation in the same way as in the data as events with more than 50% of the tubes hit. In the case of prim ary protons and ux 1 the number of M C saturated events is 1:1 0:4. In the case of iron prim aries the total number of expected saturated events is 3:3 1:1 com pared to 7 saturated events in the realdata.

A lthough we have tested only the QG SJET model, it is clear that the use of other models would lead to an even greater discrepancy as QG SJET predicts higher muon densities close to the shower core than other models do (e.g. SIBYLL or DPM JET [24]). Because of this, it was suggested [25] to test the sensitivity of the produced multiplicity spectra to QG SJET internal parameters. In [26] a set of QG SJET 01 parameters is modiled; namely the inelastic cross-section of p-p (p-N) is reduced and the elasticity of the collisions is increased. It is argued that such modil cations can improve consistency between measurements of cosm ic ray composition by experiments based on shower arrays and by Cerenkov or uprescence telescopes. R efference [26] suggests several possible modil cations. In the following we will keep its notation and denote the tested model as

m odi cation 3a. The result obtained with the m odi ed QGSJET is compared with the data and with the originalQGSJET01 in Fig. 17a.

Them odel 3a enlarges the region where the data are between the predictions for proton and iron induced showers. In the case of unm odi ed QG SJET 01, the data reach the iron curve at multiplicity 20. U sing 3a, the data are consistent with a mixture of light and heavy components up to a multiplicity 70. The slight event excess in data is still apparent at the highest multiplicities, how ever, now with som ewhat smaller signi cance.

The number of events at low muon multiplicities in the case of proton primaries and model 3a (Fig. 17a) is now larger than in the data. The smaller and more realistic ux 1b predicts a number of low multiplicity events consistent with the data as seen from Fig. 17b. At high multiplicities the model 3a predicts of course less events with ux 1b than with ux assumption 1. However, the prediction of model 3a with spectrum 1b is still above the prediction of QG SJET with ux 1.

7 Conclusions

The negranularity hadron calorim eter of the D E L P H I experiment was used to measure multim uon events originating from cosm ic ray showers. The multiplicity distribution of muon bundles cannot be described by current M onte C arlo models in a satisfactory way. It is di cult to express the disagreement quantitatively as we have to use ux values measured elsewhere and also the chemical composition of initial particles is not well known. However, even the combination of extreme assumptions of highest measured

ux value and pure iron spectrum fails to describe the abundance of high multiplicity events. Sim ilar qualitative conclusions can be drawn from measurements of ALEPH [10] and L3+C [27], where muon bundles (up to multiplicity of about 30) were studied in coincidence with the ground array signals.

The tested QG SJET-based model with modi ed cross-sections [26] perform s som ew hat better but it uses a value of the p-p total cross-section at the lowest lim it allowed by CDF [28], E710 [29] and E811 [30] measurements. Justi cation of this assumption can be given only by future experiments. Hadron interactions at energies beyond the reach of accelerators are not very well known. Recently, also a more exotic explanation [31], based on the assumption of the presence of strangelets in cosm ic rays, has been suggested to describe enhanced production of high multiplicity multi-muon events.

The main conclusion is that the multimuon data from cosm ic ray showers detected at intermediate depths are quite sensitive to the dynamics of initial high energy interactions. In our case the primary collisions leading to high multiplicity events (N > 45) correspond to interactions at energies equivalent to about 5 TeV in the pp centre-of-m ass system. This energy region has been so far inaccessible to laboratory measurements. However, even after LHC data become available, muon underground measurements have the potential to reveal some details of interactions in the very forward direction which are inaccessible to collider experiments. Thus they are important for the tuning of high energy interaction models which are indispensable for measurements and energy reconstruction of cosm ic rays at even higher energies of the order 10^{20} eV, inaccessible to present and near future accelerators.

A cknow ledgem ents

W e are greatly indebted to our technical collaborators and to the funding agencies for their support in building and operating the DELPHI detector.

W e acknow ledge in particular the support of

A ustrian Federal M inistry of Education, Science and Culture, GZ 616.364/2–III/2a/98, FNRS {FW O, F landers Institute to encourage scientic c and technological research in the industry (IW T) and Belgian Federal O ce for Scientic, Technical and Cultural a airs (OSTC), Belgium,

FINEP, CNPq, CAPES, FUJB and FAPERJ, Brazil,

M inistry of Education of the C zech Republic, project LC 527,

A cademy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, project AV 0Z10100502,

G rant A gency of the C zech R epublic GACR , $202/06/P\,006$,

Commission of the European Communities (DG X II),

D irection des Sciences de la M atiere, CEA, France,

Bundesm inisterium fur Bildung, W issenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, G erm any,

G eneral Secretariat for R esearch and Technology, G reece,

National Science Foundation (NW O) and Foundation for Research on Matter (FOM), The Netherlands,

Norwegian Research Council,

State Committee for Scienti c Research, Poland, SPUB-M /CERN /PO 3/D Z296/2000,

SPUB-M /CERN /PO 3/D Z 297/2000, 2P03B 104 19 and 2P03B 69 23(2002-2004)

FCT - Fundacao para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Portugal,

Vedecka grantova agentura M S SR, Slovakia, Nr. 95/5195/134,

M inistry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia,

 $\rm C\ IC\ Y\ T$, Spain , A EN 99-0950 and A EN 99-0761 ,

The Swedish Research Council,

Particle Physics and A stronom y Research Council, UK,

Department of Energy, USA, DE-FG 02-01ER 41155.

R eferences

- [1] H.J.D rescher et al, Phys.Rep. 350 (2001) 93.
- [2] N.N.Kalmykov et al., Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 52B (Issue 3) (1997) 17.
- [3] R.S.Fletcher et al., Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 5710.
- [4] T.Antonietal. [KASCADE Collaboration], Astropart. Phys. 16 (2002) 373.
- [5] K.G.Gibbs et al. [CASA Collaboration], Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 264 (1988) 67.
- [6] Ch. Berger et al. [FREJUS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 2163. Ch. Berger et al. [FREJUS Collaboration], Z. Phys. C 48 (1990) 221.
- [7] H.Adarkar et al., Phys. Lett. B 267 (1991) 138.
- [8] M. Aglietta et al. [MACRO and EAS-TOP Collaboration], Astropart. Phys. 20 (2004) 641.
- [9] Yu.M. Andreyev et al., in Proceedings of the 21st Cosm ic Ray Conference, Adelaide, Australia, 1990, Vol. 9, p. 301.
- [10] V.Avatietal, Astropart. Phys. 19 (2003) 513.
- [11] O.Adrianietal. [L3 Collaboration], Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 488 (2002) 209.
- [12] T.Antonietal. [KASCADE Collaboration], J. Phys. G 25 (1999) 2161.
- [13] P. Aamio et al. [DELPHICollaboration], Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 303 (1991) 233.

- [14] P.Abreu et al. [DELPHICollaboration], Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 378 (1996) 57.
- [15] I. Azinenko et al., IEEE Trans. on Nucl. Science NS-42 No.4 (1995) 485.
- [16] I. Azinenko et al., IEEE Trans. on Nucl. Science NS-43 No.3. (1996) 1751.
- [17] P. Travnicek, PhD. thesis, Charles University, Prague 2004, CERN-THESIS-2006-032 also available at: www-hep2.fzu.cz/ travnick/thesis.ps.gz.
- [18] J.Ridky, V.Viba, J.Chudoba, DELPHINOTE 99–181 TRACK 96, (1999).
- [19] D. Heck et al., FZKA-6019, (1998), Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe.
- [20] R.Brun et al, GEANT 3, Report CERN DD/EE/84-1 (1984), CERN, Geneva.
- [21] DELPHICollaboration, DELPHINOTE: 89-67 PROG 142, (1989).
- [22] K. Hagiwara et al. [Particle Data Group], Phys. Lett. B 592 (2004) 1.
- [23] M.A.Lawrence et al, J.Phys.G 17 (1991) 733.
- [24] J.Ranft, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 64.
- [25] Ralph Engel and Jorg Horandel, private communication.
- [26] J.R. Horandel, J. Phys. G 29 (2003) 2439.
- [27] H.W ilkens [L3 Collaboration], Proceedings of the 28th Cosm ic Ray Conference, T sukuba 2003, Cosm ic Ray 1131–1134.
- [28] F.Abe et al. [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 5550.
- [29] N.A.Amosetal. [E710 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 243 (1990) 158.
- [30] C. Avila et al. [E811 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 445 (1999) 419.
- [31] M.Rybczynski, Z.W lodarczyk and G.W ilk, Acta Phys. Polon. B 33 (2002) 277.

		num ber of events
Ν	> 3	54201
Ν	30	1065
Ν	70	78
Ν	100	24



Figure 1: High multiplicity cosm ic event as seen by hadron calorim eter. The number of reconstructed tracks was 127.



Figure 2: The layout of the DELPHI detector; the hatched area represents the hadron calorim eter. Subdetectors used in this work are marked by larger letters.



Figure 3: Schem atic picture of rock overburden above DELPHIdetector.



Figure 4: Event rates (N > 5) for di erent run periods.



Figure 5: Dierentialm uon multiplicity distribution.



Figure 6: A saturated event in the hadron calorim eter. Vacant tubes show voids in the muon bundle.



Figure 7: Multiplicity reconstruction of saturated events from MUB data.



Figure 8: Projected angle distribution in a high multiplicity event.



Figure 9: The correlation between injected and reconstructed numbers of muons in MC simulation.



F igure 10: R atio of two adjacent bins (see legends inside the plots) of integralm ultiplicity distribution as a function of the parameter R. The plots correspond to iron induced vertical showers at a primary energy of 10^{14} eV (a) and 10^{17} eV (b).



Figure 11: A sum ed uxes compared to various measurements. The picture is taken from [22] and modied. The squares close to line 1 correspond to results of Haverah Park taken from [23]. The data points were added using the macro available at http://astroparticle.uchicago.edu/announce.html. Fluxes are multiplied by $E^{2:7}$.



Figure 12: Galactic coordinates of events with more than 15 tracks in HAB and more than 3 reconstructed tracks in TPC .



Figure 13: The event rate versus the sidereal time expressed in degrees. Events with more than 5 reconstructed muons are taken into account.



Figure 14: Integrated multiplicity measured in HAB together with the result of the MC simulation of iron and proton induced showers with assumed ux 1 (a) and uxes 1-3 (b).



Figure 15: Contributions of di erent energy intervals to the nal integral multiplicity distribution. Prim ary particles are iron nuclei.



Figure 16: Cosine of the projected angle at N 4 (a) and N 20 (b) for iron simulation (squares), data (full line) and proton simulation (diamonds). Normalisation of M C curves is done according to ux 1 from Fig. 11.



Figure 17: (a) The integral multiplicity distribution for QGSJET and modi cation 3a compared to data. Flux 1 is assumed. (b) The integral multiplicity distribution for the modi cation 3a compared to data. Flux 1b is assumed.