Background studies and shielding e ects for the TPC detector of the CAST experiment

G Luzon, B Beltranz, J M Carmona, S Cebrian, H Gomez, IG Irastorza, J M orales, A Ort z, A Rodr guez, J Ruz, J A Villar

Instituto de F sica Nuclear y Altas Energ as, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain

E-m ail: luzon@unizar.es

A bstract. Sunset solar axions traversing the intense magnetic eld of the CERN Axion Solar Telescope (CAST) experiment may be detected in a Time Projection Chamber (TPC) detector, as point-like X-rays signals. These signals could be masked, how ever, by the inhom ogeneous background of materials in the experimental site. A detailed analysis, based on the detector characteristics, the background radiation at the CAST site, simulations and experimental results, has allowed us to design a shielding which reduces the background levelby a factor of 4 com pared to the detector without shielding, depending on its position, in the energy range between 1 and 10 keV. M oreover, this shielding has in proved the hom ogeneity of background measured by the TPC.

PACS num bers: 29.40 C s, 95.35.+ d, 07.85 N c, 07.05 F b, 07.05 K f

1. Introduction

The CAST experiment [1] is placed at CERN and makes use of a decommissioned LHC test m agnet to look for solar axions through its P rim ako conversion into photons inside the magnetic eld. The 10m - length magnet is installed on a platform which allows it to move 8 vertically and 100 horizontally to track the sun during the sunset and the sunrise. The 9 Tesla magnetic eld is con ned in two parallel pipes of 4.2 cm of diam eter. At the end of the pipes, three detectors look for the X-rays originated by the conversion of the axions inside the magnet when it points to the Sun. The two apertures of one of the ends of the magnet are covered by a conventional T in e Projection C ham ber (TPC) [2] facing \sunset" axions while in the opposite end, a Charge Coupled Device (CCD) [3] coupled to an X-ray focusing telescope [4], and a M icrom egas detector [5] search for \sunrise" axions. The rst results from the 2003 data analysis in plied an upper lim it to the axion-photon coupling $q_a < 1:16 \quad 10^{10}$ G eV ¹ [6]. A second set of m easurem ents corresponding to 2004 with improved detectors and longer exposure set an upper lim it on the axion-photon coupling of $g_a < 8.8 \quad 10^{11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ at 95% CL for 0:02eV [7]. axion m asses

All three detectors use discrimination techniques to reduce background contamination of the expected signal. The X-ray signal produced by the axions inside the magnet has a maximum at 4 keV and vanishes at around 10 keV [7]. More energetic deposits of energy, or signals outside the detector volum e facing the apertures of the magnet, should be rejected. Sim ple requirements, depending on each detector, eliminate most of the background due to charged particles like cosmic rays, alpha and beta radiation [2, 3, 4, 5].

After the use of software cuts, the main source of background is expected to be gamma rays produced predom inantly in the radioactive chains of ²³⁸U, ²³²Th and in the ⁴⁰K isotope decays. Their interactions with material near the detectors can generate low energy photons via the Compton e ect and also X-rays (as those from copper identi ed in experim ental spectra [3]). Additional sources are neutrons produced by ssion and (,n) processes or those induced by m uons and cosm ic rays. As the detector is moving tracking the sun, the background, and its inhom ogeneity, is a source of uncertainty since the axion signal is computed as the tracking (magnet pointing to the sun) m inus the background (any other m agnet orientation) signal. Therefore, not only low background levels but also a certain independence of the position are desirable to reduce uncertainties. The shielding presented here has been built for the TPC with this aim. In this article we will study the elects of the complete shielding installed in 2004, com paring its perform ance to the copper box used as shielding during the 2003 data taking period. For these studies, the energy range 3{7 keV has been chosen as the control region to analyse in order to be sure to elim inate threshold or saturation e ects. Prelim inary results were presented in [8].

In the st Section, we will describe brie y the detector and the shielding. Next, the experimental site and its background will be analyzed in detail taking into account

gamma and neutron sources. Monte Carlo simulations of the dierent sources of background will be discussed in Section 4. In Section 5, the elects of the shielding on background data will be studied. Finally, a few remarks summarizing the study.

2. The shielding of the CAST TPC detector

The CAST TPC detector has a conversion volume of 10 $15 \quad 30 \text{ cm}^3$ lled with Ar(95%)-CH₄(5\%) gas at atmospheric pressure. The 10 cm drift direction is parallel to the magnet beam axis and perpendicular to the section of 15 30 cm^2 covering both magnet apertures. Two 6 cm diam eter w indow s, consisting of very thin mylar foils (3 or 5 m) stretched on a metallic strongback, allow the X-rays coming from the magnet to enter the chamber [2]. Except for the electrodes, the screw s, the Printed C incuit B oard (PCB) and the window s, the entire chamber is made of 1.7 cm thick low radioactivity plexiglass.

A shielding around the TPC was designed to reduce the background in a complementary way to the e ect of the o -line software cuts [2]. The requirements were to get a certain reduction of the background levels coming from external sources and a decrease of the background spatial inhom ogeneity observed in the experimental site. The naldesign has been the result of a comprom is among the shielding e ect and technical limitations such as weight and size restrictions in posed by the experimental moving structure.



Figure 1. Picture on the left: TPC cham ber inside the open shielding, consisting of: a copper box and lead, cadm ium and polyethylene shields. A scheme has been drawn on the right to show the 3D arrangement of the whole structure.

From inside to outside, the CAST TPC shielding (see Figure 1) is composed of:

 A copper box, 5mm thick. This Faraday cage reduces the electronic noise and stops low energy X -rays produced in the outer part of the shielding by environm ental gam m a radiation. It is also used for m echanical support purposes.

- Lead bricks, 2:5 cm thick, which reduces the low and medium energy environmental gamma radiation.
- A cadmium layer, 1mm thick, to absorb the therm al neutrons slowed down by the outer polyethylene wall.
- Polyethylene pieces, 22:5 cm thick, used to slow the medium energy environmental neutrons down to therm all energies. It also reduces the gamma contam ination and helps the mechanical stability of the whole structure.
- A PVC bag which covers the whole shielding assembly. This tightly closes the entire set-up allowing us to ush the inner part with pure N₂ gas coming from liquid nitrogen evaporation in order to purge this space of radon.
- A scintillating veto, 80 40 5 cm^3 , placed at the top of the shielding to reject muon-induced events working in anti-coincidence with the detector.

The described scheme is the outcome of several simulations and experimental tests. During the 2003 data taking period, the detector set-up consisted just of a copper box with N_2 gas ush. The full shielding was installed in 2004, after a test carried out in the real experimental conditions.

3. Experim ental site and background

The CAST experiment is located at one of the buildings of the SR8 experimental area at CERN. The lower part of the walls around is made of concrete. The materials for the upper part are, however, quite dierent: plastic in the north and concrete for East and South walls with 11 cm thick metal pillars distributed every approximately 2.5 m all around. North and East walls faced by the TPC detector during magnet movement are shown in Figure 2.

The inhom ogeneity of the building materials led us to undertake a careful study of the radioactive background and a detailed analysis of the measured TPC background data.

3.1. Gamma background

An hyper pure germanium gamma spectrom eter system, ISOCS (In-Situ Object Counting System), based on a HpG e coaxial detector from Canberra has been used for gamma ray measurements in a range from 50 keV to 3M eV [9]. The gamma spectrom etry measurements con rm ed the radioactive chains and potassium as the main sources for background and showed a background disparity between the dierent types of walls (see Table 1).

A ssum ing a concrete density around 2.4 g cm^3 and a wall thickness of 30 cm, we estimate the gamma production in the lower part of every wall as 2.25 photons cm² s¹, around 8 photons cm² s¹ in the upper part of the east and south walls and



Figure 2. Graph shows the TPC detector path facing the North and East walls.

Table 1. M ean gamma production in the CAST site [Bq/kg]. For the radioactive chains, equilibrium activities are quoted. In the case of radon emanation from the 238 U chain, equilibrium is broken and activities for the nuclides before and after 222 R n are given separately.

| Wall | ²³⁸ U Chain | | | ²³⁵ U | | ²³² Th | | ⁴⁰ K | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|-----|-----------------|-----|----|
| description | ²³⁸ U! | ²²⁶ Ra | ²¹⁸ Po! | ²¹⁰ Po | cha | ain | cha | in | | |
| East (lower) and North Pillars | | 25 | 2 | | 1:1 | 0 : 7 | 10 | 2 | 113 | 10 |
| East (upper) and South (upper) | 923 | 274 | 32 | 5 | 40 | 12 | 34 | 6 | 388 | 45 |

2 photons cm 2 s $^1\,$ in the north wall pillars. W e should also add around 6 photons cm 2 s $^1\,$ coming from the soil.

These data pointed also to a radon emanation for the east and south walls. Later radon m easurem ents [9] gave m ean values of 20 $10 \text{ Bq}=\text{m}^3$ in sum m er and 15 $5 \text{ Bq}=\text{m}^3$ in winter, not incompatible with a certain dependence on tem perature.

W ithin this category, we could also include gammas arriving from radioactive contam ination in the magnet platform, electronics material and also the contribution of the detector itself. M ost of these materials are steel, plastics and metals (i.e. Fe, C, H, Cu, ...) whose in purities are also uranium, thorium and potassium.

Neutrons and protons com ing from cosm ic rays can also induce radioisotopes in the

detector gas and materials (mainly 14 C and 3 H) whose contribution can be neglected owing to their low production rate (0.003 nuclei of 14 C and 0.001 nuclei of 3 H per litre and day in argon, computed considering saturation with a modi ed version of the COSM O [10] code based on the sem iem pirical form ulas of Silberberg and T sao [11]). A nother gam m a contribution corresponds to the cosm ic ray photon ux, being only a sm all fraction (1%) of the total [12].

Sum m arizing, the experim ental site contributes to an important, and non-uniform, gam m a background owing to radioactive contam ination. Most of the gam m a radiation described above would traverse the active volume of the detector without interacting at all due to the special features of the detector (only sensitive to energies of a few keV). However, energetic gam m a background loses part of its energy after interactions with m aterials surrounding the detector creating secondary photons which do contribute signi cantly to the background signal. Therefore, any shielding designed for the TPC detector should be a comprom ise between the external ux reduction and the increase of interactions in these m aterials as well as their own radioactive contam ination. The best control of all these variables is achieved after M onte C arlo simulations plus experimental tests.

3.2. Neutron background

Even if the neutron component of the background is below the level of the typical gamma background by three or four orders of magnitude, neutron signals in the detector could m in ic those from X-rays.

Q uantitative m easurements of neutron background have been performed in the experimental site with a BF₃ detector. The hom ogeneous measured ux of neutrons in the CAST site is around 3 10² cm² s¹. This value, and its hom ogeneity, points to a cosm ic source. Cosm ic ray generated neutrons have energies below a few G eV and the spectrum shows a dependence as $1/E^{0.88}$ up to 50M eV and as 1/E above this energy [13]. This is the most important neutron contribution, not only for its intensity but also for its high energy. O ther sources of neutron background are neutrons induced by muons in the surrounding materials, (;n) reactions on light elements and the spontaneous ssion.

M uons interacting in shielding materials produce neutrons. FLUKA [14] simulations with a measured total m uon ux of 50 10^{-3} cm⁻² s⁻¹ gave us a yield for neutrons entering the detector of 1:2 10^{-3} cm⁻² s⁻¹ and a neutron spectrum peaking below 1M eV. M ost of these events are rejected by anti-coincidence with the veto installed as part of the shielding.

In nature mainly three nuclides $(^{238}\text{U},^{235}\text{U} \text{ and }^{232}\text{Th})$ undergo spontaneous ssion. The rate of spontaneous ssion of ^{238}U , the nuclide of shorter half life, is 0.218/year/g of concrete for 1 ppm of ^{238}U and the average number of neutrons emitted per ssion event is 2.4 0.2 with a typical evaporation spectrum peaking at around 1 M eV. M ost of these ssion neutrons will come from the concrete walls. A ssum ing a penetration for neutrons of 10 cm, we estimate the volume and surface production of neutrons in the concrete walls from around 0.60 10 6 cm 2 s 1 for the lower East wall and 1 10 6 cm 2 s 1 for the metal pillars to 30 10 6 cm 2 s 1 in the upper East and South walls.

The particles em itted in the radioactive chains can interact with other elements and produce neutrons through (;n) reactions. For the upper South and East walls the nalestimated neutron yield, following [15], is 10^{5} cm² s¹. The radioactive activity of soil (or of the lower East wall) will produce one order of magnitude less of neutrons 10^{6} cm² s¹. The energy spectra for these neutrons consist of peaks related to particles energies, with 8.79M eV being the highest energy for naturally em itted particles (decay of ²¹²Po).

In sum m ary, we have collected all the neutron productions in Table 2. The energetic $\cos m$ ic component appears as the most relevant contribution.

Table 2. C om parison of the estimated order of magnitude for neutrons coming from di erent sources. Values are given in neutrons per cm 2 and second.

| Cosm ic | M uon induced | F ission | (;n) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 10 ² | 10 ³ | 10 ⁵ | 10 ⁵ |

4. M onte Carlo sim ulations

A complete set of M onte C arb simulations has been carried out in order to estimate the external background contribution to the TPC detector data during the 2003 and 2004 taking data periods. We have not only reproduced the TPC detector and its shielding in the simulations, but also the main software cuts to be able to discriminate the expected X-ray signal. They show that the use of cuts reduce the registered events by two orders of magnitude in good agreement with the experimental data. This fact results in low statistics, having to establish a compromise between computing time and non-negligible statistical errors. Despite this, M onte C arb simulations have been very useful to understand and quantify the number of counts coming from the external background in the 2003 and 2004 sets of data of the TPC detector.

The background level (in the $3{7 \text{ keV}}$ region of interest) for three di erent shielding con gurations have been com pared: a 5 mm -thick copper box, the copper box plus 2.5 cm of lead, and a com plete shielding consisting of 5 mm -thick copper box plus 2.5 cm of lead plus 22.5 cm of polyethylene.

First of all we will focus on gamma simulations since this is the main contribution to the TPC background, then we will make a few comments about neutron simulations, though they are quite di cult to verify experimentally.

CAST-TPC background studies and shielding e ects

4.1. Simulations for external gamma

These simulations have been performed using the GEANT4 code [16] since it allows to take into account all the aspects of the simulation process including the detector response. The primary events, corresponding to the radioactive chains and potassium, have been generated uniform ly and isotropically on a sphere surrounding the most external surface of the shielding.

The shielding made of 5 mm of copper plus 2.5 cm of lead plus 22.5 cm of polyethylene reduces the external gamma background by more than one order of magnitude, (92–3)% in the 3{7 keV range. Since the thick layer of polyethylene helps in the gamma attenuation, the same shielding without polyethylene is about a 15% less e ective, causing an estimated reduction of (77–4)%. Compton interactions in the polyethylene result in lower energy photons which are easily absorbed in the lead. Though a thicker layer of lead could stop a larger fraction of the external gammas, it can be a source of secondary neutrons and it would add too much weight to one of the ends of the magnet.

Due to the spatial inhom ogeneity of the gamma background coming from walls, simulations allow us to make just a rough estimate of the TPC recorded counts. These external photons cause between 30 and 55 counts per hour in the volume of the detector facing the two windows for the 3{7 keV energy region in the case of the copper shielding (2003 data), and between 2 and 5 counts per hour in the case of the complete shielding con guration of 2004. This result, as we will see in next Section, is compatible with measured data.

The GEANT 4 package has also been used to sinulate the elect of the radon trapped inside the copper box. Radon decays have been produced isotropically in the volume between the TPC and the copper box. These simulations quantify the point-like signals in the $3\{7 \text{ keV range in } 0.04 \text{ counts per hour for an overestim ated radon concentration of <math>25 \text{ Bq/m}^3$. Therefore, the radon contribution to the background can be neglected in the 2003 and 2004 shielding conditions due to the plastic bag around the entire set-up and the nitrogen ush.

4.2. Neutron simulations

Though neutrons interacting in materials can produce particles, more neutrons, particles and ssion fragments depending on materials and energies, the most e cient reaction is the elastic scattering, energy transferred to nuclear recoils. This energy is determined by the energy of the neutron incident (E_n) and the scattering angle

$$E_{R} = \frac{4A}{(1+A)^{2}} (\cos^{2}) E_{n} :$$
 (1)

In the case of argon, A = 40, assuming a quenching factor of 0.28, the maximum visible energy and the neutron energy are related as follows

$$E_{Rmax} = 0.0266E_{n}$$
: (2)

Therefore, the neutrons able to deposit a visible energy in the analysed range of $3{7}$ keV are mostly those with an energy between 0.11 and 0.27 M eV.

A GEANT4 simulation, using G 4NDL3.5 high precision neutron data library and a real cosm ic spectrum as input, has been carried out. This simulation has allowed us to roughly estimate about 2 counts per hour caused by cosm ic neutrons inside the two windows of the TPC in the $(3{7})$ keV visible energy region in the case of the copper shielding. As we will show in Section 5, this rate is between 25-45 times smaller than the counting rates in 2003.

To understand the e ects of the di erent layers of shielding we have used the FLUKA code of proven reliability for the transport of neutrons. This second simulation shows the e ects of every layer of shielding material on cosmic neutrons: while polyethylene decreases the number of background neutrons per cosmic neutron, the 2.5 cm of lead increases this number (see Figure 3) due to (n;2n) processes (observe the evaporation spectrum). Cadmium absorbs therm all and epitherm all neutrons with energies below 1keV.



Figure 3. Cosm ic neutrons in the 10 keV -20 M eV range after traversing every layer of shielding: incom ing cosm ic neutrons (solid circles), neutrons after traversing 22.5 cm of polyethylene (open circles) plus 1 mm of cadm ium (solid stars) plus 2.5 cm of lead (solid triangles) plus 5 mm of copper (open squares). Spectra are norm alised to one cosm ic neutron.

The shielding without polyethylene has been also compared to the complete con guration. The number of cosm ic neutrons able to produce nuclear recoils and a deposit of visible energy in the TPC in the 3{7keV range decreases by only 20% after the complete shielding due to the production of neutrons in lead. The number of neutrons could even increase by 10% if the 22.5 cm of polyethylene are taken o . Then, the shielding hardly reduces the am ount of neutrons and it would increase the num ber without polyethylene. This background contribution has been estimated in around 1.5 counts per hour for the 2004 shielding conditions.

The neutron production in the shielding due to muons has also been investigated. Most of these neutrons are produced in lead. As mentioned in Subsection 3.2, the number of neutrons produced by each sea level muon is 0.024, giving a neutron ux reaching the detector of 1.2 10³ per cm² s¹, six orders of magnitude higher that the calculated in [17] for a thicker shielding but a much less intense underground muon ux. We can roughly estimate about 0.02 the counts per hour inside the windows corresponding to neutrons induced by muons, three orders of magnitude smaller than the measured background rates.

Regarding other population of neutrons, we have not considered those coming from radioactivity (ssion and (, n) processes) since 22.5 cm of moderator would reduce their tiny contribution (of the order of 10 5 cm 2 s 1) by two orders of m agnitude [17].

5. TPC background data

First tests of the full shielding (with a 5-cm -thick layer of lead in this case) at the laboratory showed a reduction factor of 8 below the background level of the cham ber, without any shielding or nitrogen ush, for energies between 1 and 10 keV. Once the detector was mounted in the magnet on the moving platform, this factor became 4:3 (6:4 in the 6-10 keV range).

A fter these rst tests, another set was undertaken at the CAST experimental site to observe the e ects of every component of the shielding. The magnet was placed in horizontal position facing the NE corner, far away from the forced air windows and in an interm ediate position between the N orth and the East wall in order to get a m ore average ux. Here we measured the TPC background in dierent shielding conditions. For this position, it has been checked that the full shielding (copper plus lead plus polyethylene) reduces background levels by a factor of 3 in the 3{7 keV energy interval, in comparison to the copper shielding, thanks mainly to the 2.5 cm of lead, while a double layer of lead (5 cm) does not in prove these results (see Figure 4). When comparing the experimental reduction factor from those obtained in simulations, it must be kept in mind that in the latter we were just dealing with external gam m a radiation, forgetting about internal contam ination or radon intrusion which contribute to measurem ents in all shielding conditions. O ther reason for the discrepancies lies in the fact that in simulations the shielding is all around the detector while the TPC is actually attached to the magnet pipes, and thus partially not shielded from it. As a consequence of these two reasons, the background level decrease is lower than expected after simulations.

O ther experim ental test was perform ed to quantify the contribution of the radon trapped in the copper box. M easurem ents were carried out with and without nitrogen ush at the same spatial position and one right after the other to avoid time variations.



F igure 4. Background data obtained in the shielding test at the experimental site for dierent shielding congurations: full shielding set-up (bottom line), the copper box plus 2.5 cm of lead (solid line in the middle), the copper box plus a double layer of lead (dotted line in the middle) and just the copper box (upper line).

The subtracted spectrum (without and with nitrogen ush) can be thought as due to the radon inside the copper box since the plastic bag prevents the outer radon from entering the shielding. D espite the poor statistics, the estim ated radon rate in the $3{7 \text{ keV}}$ range for point-like signals (0.13 2.33 counts per hour) in the volum e facing the two windows of the TPC, shows a negligible contribution to the background and is com patible with the 0.04 counts estim ated in simulations.

Finally, we can also compare the experimental background data, since during the two data taking periods (years 2003 and 2004) the TPC detector has recorded not only tracking data, when the opposite part of the magnet is pointing to the sun, but also background data at any other time of the day. In order to get the best control of the background and determ ine its inhom ogeneity, these measurements have been performed at precise horizontal (movement along a circle) and vertical positions. The Figure 5 shows measured background levels for nine positions: the three rstmeasurement points are facing the N orth wall; the three next points face the NE corner and the last one faces the East wallnear one of them etalpillars. During the year 2003, with the TPC covered by a 5mm thick copper box and a nitrogen ush inside, the background measurements are registered in the proximity ofmore intense sources of radioactivity such as the upper

part of the East wall or the soil while the closeness to a metal pillar or to the plastic wall (upper part of N orth wall), decreases rates. A loo metal components as scaled in ladders,... can a ect measurements at some points. Thanks to the shielding described in Section 2, the 2004 background data show rate reductions by more than a factor of 2.5, reaching even a factor of 4 at some positions (see Figure 5). Moreover, the background is now fairly hom ogeneous.



Figure 5. July-August background data for 2003 (squares) and 2004 (circles). M easurements correspond to 3 vertical positions and 3 horizontal positions of the TPC detector. The 9 m agnet positions run rst vertically and then horizontally.

W hen comparing these experimental data with the results of the simulations presented in the previous section, one notes than the background reduction obtained with the shielding is larger in the external gam m a simulations (a reduction factor of 10 $\{$ 15) than in the experimental data (2.5 $\{$ 4). This seem s to imply that, while most of the background in the 2003 setup was indeed linked to the external gam m a background (as proved by its inhom ogeneity and the electiveness of the shielding), most of there remaining background in the 2004 setup must be how ever of a distinct origin that those included in the simulations. The most probable candidates are internal contam inations of the components inside the shielding, or background coming from the side where the detector is attached to the magnet (and necessarily unshielded), from contam inations present for instance in the magnet components. This hypothesis ts well with the fact that this contribution in constant for every magnet position. As shown in Table 3,

where all calculated and m easured background levels are collected, a speculated constant internal contam ination (rst column) of about 15 counts per hour would t the overall scenario. W hatever the precise origin of the remaining background, the e ect of the shielding on the 2005 TPC operation, both in terms of reduction of background and its variability, yielded a substantial increase of sensitivity of the detector in the context of the CAST experiment [7], when compared to the previous 2003 period.

Table 3. Estimated external background contribution to TPC data corresponding to the years 2003 and 2004. Values are given in counts per hour and correspond to estimated point-like, 3{7 keV energy, deposits in the TPC volume facing the two windows of the chamber, compared to the mean measured values

| | M easured | | | | | |
|------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|--------|
| Year | Internal | External | Cosm ic | induced | R adon | values |
| | cont. | sources | neutrons | neutrons | | |
| 2003 | 15 | 30-55 | 2 | | 0.04 | 47-80 |
| 2004 | 15 | 2–5 | 1.5 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 19–21 |

6. Sum m ary and conclusions

A fter a characterisation of the radioactive contam ination, we present herein the e ects of a shielding on the CAST TPC detector background data. Requirements of a reduction of the background levels and of a decrease of the background inhom ogeneity have been fullled.

G am m a m easurem ents have reported a clear inhom ogeneus radioactive contam ination due to the uranium and thorium radioactive chains and to potassium and radon em anation from the East and South wall. A loo neutrons have been studied, being the cosm ic neutrons the most relevant contribution. O ther background contam inants can be neglected.

Then, after the identi cation of the background sources, we have undertaken M onte C arlo simulations which have allowed us to understand the TPC detector response to gam m a and neutron sources as well as the e ects of di erent components of shielding on the background levels. A s a result of these simulations, we have learned that 2.5 cm of lead reduces the external gam m a background in the 3{7keV range by (77 4)% but produces neutrons due to high energy cosm ic neutron and m uon interactions. The addition of a 22.5 cm layer of polyethylene results in a (92 3)% reduction of the gam m a background, decreases by 20% the cosm ic neutrons and elim inates any low energy neutrons. These results are compatible with experimental tests perform ed in the CAST site. For all these reasons the installed shielding in 2004 consists of 5mm of copper plus 2.5 cm of lead plus 22.5 cm of polyethylene.

Finally, the 2004 data con m a reduction of background levels by a factor between 2.5 and 4 from the 2003 data (the highest for positions close to the most intense gamma

CAST-TPC background studies and shielding e ects

sources) as well as a quite acceptable degree of hom ogeneity. For this period, most of the contam ination is due to sources near the detector (around 15 counts per hour in the volum e facing the two windows for the 3{7 keV energy interval), while external gam m a radiation and cosm ic neutrons only add 3-6 counts per hour to the TPC detector background for the sam e interval of energies.

A cknow ledgm ents

This research was partially funded by the Spanish M inistry of Education and Science (MEC) under contract FPA 2004-00973 and promoted within the ILIAS (Integrated Large Infrastructures for A stroparticle Science) project funded by the EU under contract EU-R II3-C T -2003-506222. We thank the CAST Collaboration for their support. Our gratitude also to the group of the Laboratorio Subterraneo de Canfranc (LSC) for material radiopurity measurements.

R eferences

- [1] Zioutas K et al 1999 Nucl. Instrum . M ethods A 425 480
- [2] Autiero D et al 2007 New Journal of Physics (in press) (Preprint: physics/0702189)
- [3] Kuster M et al 2007 New Journal of Physics (in press) (Preprint: physics/0702188)
- [4] Lutz G et al 2004 Nucl. Instr. and M eth A 518 201
- [5] Abbon P et al 2007 New Journal of Physics (in press) (Preprint: physics/0702190)
- [6] Zioutas K et al [CAST Collaboration] 2005 PhysRevLett. 94 (2005) 121301.
- [7] Andriamonje S et al [CAST Collaboration] 2007 JCAP journal, 04 010, (Preprint: hep-ex/0702006)
- [8] Ruz J et al 2006 Proc. of the 9th International Conference on Topics in Astroparticle and Underground Physics 2005 (TAUP05), Journal of Physics: Conference Series 39 191
- [9] CAST Technical paper, in preparation.
- [10] Marto C J et al 1992 Com p. Phy. Com m un. 72 96
- [11] Silberberg R and T sao C H 1973 A strophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 25 315, ibid p. 335.
- [12] Heusser G 1995 Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 45 543
- [13] Hess W N et al 1959 Phys. Rev. 116 445
- [14] Fasso A et al 2000 Proceedings of the M onteC arb 2000 C onference (Lisbon) Eds.K ling A, Barao F, Nakagawa M, Tavora L and Vaz F (Berlin: Springer-Verlag Berlin) p. 159 (2001); Fasso A et al ibid, p. 955
- [15] W ulandari H et al 2004 A stropart. Phys. 22 313
- [16] Agostinelli S et al [GEANT4 Collaboration] 2003 Nucl. Instrum. M ethods A 506 250
- [17] Carm ona JM et al 2004 A strop. Phys. 21 523