Small-*x* QCD studies with CMS at the LHC

David d'Enterria for the CMS collaboration

CERN, PH-EP, CH-1211 Geneva 23

Abstract. The capabilities of the CMS experiment to study the low-*x* parton structure and QCD evolution in the proton and the nucleus at LHC energies are presented through four different measurements, to be carried out in Pb-Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV: (i) the charged hadron rapidity density $dN_{\rm ch}/d\eta$ and (ii) the ultraperipheral (photo)production of Υ ; and in p-p at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV: (iii) inclusive forward jets and (iv) Mueller-Navelet dijets (separated by $\Delta \eta \gtrsim 8$).

Introduction

At high energies, the cross-sections of all *hadronic objects* (protons, nuclei, or even photons "fluctuating" into $q\bar{q}$ vector states) are dominated by scatterings involving gluons. Gluons clearly outnumber quarks in the small momentum fraction (low-x) range of the parton distribution functions (PDFs) as a consequence of the QCD parton splitting probabilities described by the DGLAP [1] and BFKL [2] evolution equations. The fast growth of the gluon densities $xG(x,Q^2)$ for decreasing x conspicuously observed in DIS ep at HERA [3], cannot however continue indefinitely since this would violate unitarity even for scatterings with $Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{\rm OCD}^2$. For small enough x values, gluons must start to recombine in a process known as gluon saturation [4]. This phenomenon occurs when the size occupied by the partons becomes similar to the size of the hadron πR^2 , or in terms of the saturation momentum Q_s when: $Q^2 \leq Q_s^2(x) \simeq \alpha_s x G(x, Q^2) / \pi R^2$. Q_s grows with the number A of nucleons in the "target", the collision energy \sqrt{s} , and the rapidity of the gluon $y = \ln(1/x)$, according to: $Q_s^2 \sim A^{1/3} x^{-0.3} \sim A^{1/3} (\sqrt{s})^{0.3} \sim A^{1/3} e^{0.3y}$. The A dependence implies that, at equal energies, saturation effects will be enhanced by factors as large as $A^{1/3} \approx 6$ in a heavy nucleus (A = 208 for Pb) compared to protons. Theoretically, the regime of low-x QCD can be effectively described in the "Color Glass Condensate" (CGC) framework, where all gluon fusions and multiple scatterings are "resummed" into classical high-density gluon wavefunctions [5]. The corresponding evolution is given in this case by the BK/JIMWLK [6] non-linear equations.

Experimentally, the most direct way to access the low-*x* PDFs in hadronic collisions is by measuring perturbative probes (heavy-*Q*, jets, high-*p*_T hadrons, prompt γ , ...) at large \sqrt{s} and *forward* rapidities [7]. For a 2 \rightarrow 2 parton scattering, the *minimum x* probed in a process with a particle of momentum *p*_T produced at pseudo-rapidity η , is $x_2^{min} = x_T e^{-\eta}/(2 - x_T e^{\eta})$ where $x_T = 2p_T/\sqrt{s}$. Thus, x_2^{min} decreases by a factor of ~10 every 2 units of rapidity. The experimental capabilities of the CMS experiment are extremely well adapted for the study of

low-*x* phenomena with proton and ion beams. The acceptance of the CMS/TOTEM system is the largest ever available in a collider, and the detector is designed to measure different particles with excellent momentum resolution [8]: jets ($|\eta| < 6.6$), γ and e^{\pm} ($|\eta| < 3$), muons ($|\eta| < 2.5$), hadrons ($|\eta| < 6.6$), plus neutrals in the Zero-Degree Calorimeters (ZDCs, $|\eta| >$ 8.3). We present a selection of four observables measurable in CMS which are sensitive to parton saturation effects in the proton and nucleus wave-functions at LHC energies. Other relevant measurements (e.g. forward Drell-Yan in p-p at 14 TeV) are discussed in [9].

1. Measurements in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV

(1) Charged hadron PbPb rapidity density: $dN_{ch}/d\eta$

In high-energy heavy-ion collisions, the *hadron* rapidity density $dN/d\eta$ is directly related to the number of initially released *partons* at a given η . CGC approaches which effectively take into account a reduced initial parton flux in the nuclear PDFs, reproduce successfully the absolute hadron yields (as well as their centrality and $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ dependences) at SPS – RHIC energies [10, 11]. At LHC, the expected PbPb multiplicities are $dN/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 2000$ (Fig. 1, left). CMS simulation studies from hit counting in the innermost Si pixel layer ($|\eta| < 2.5$) indicate that the occupancy remains less than 2% and that, on an event-by-event basis, the reconstructed $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ is within ~2% of the true primary multiplicity (Fig. 1, right) [12].




Figure 1. Left: Model predictions for $dN/d\eta$ in central PbPb at the LHC [10, 13]. Right: Range of particle rapidities covered by CMS (Si tracker, HF, CASTOR) and TOTEM (T1, T2 trackers). The HIJNG PbPb distribution of primary simulated tracks within $|\eta| < 2.5$ (black dots) is compared to the reconstructed hits in the first layer of the Si tracker (red crosses) [12].

(2) Υ photoproduction in ultra-peripheral PbPb ($\rightarrow \gamma Pb$) $\rightarrow \Upsilon + Pb^* Pb^{(*)}$ collisions

Ultraperipheral collisions (UPCs) of heavy ions generate strong electromagnetic fields (equivalent to a flux of quasi-real photons) which can be used to study $xG(x, Q^2)$ via $Q\bar{Q}$ photoproduction [14]. Lead beams at 2.75 TeV have Lorentz factors $\gamma = 2930$ leading to maximum photon energies $\omega_{max} \approx \gamma/R \sim 100$ GeV (for a nuclear radius R = 6.5 fm) and c.m.

energies $W_{\gamma\gamma}^{max} \approx 160 \text{ GeV}$ and $W_{\gamma A}^{max} \approx 1 \text{ TeV}$. The *x* values probed in $\gamma \text{Pb} \rightarrow \Upsilon \text{Pb}$ processes at y = 2.5 can be as low as $x \sim 10^{-4}$. Full simulation+reconstruction [12] of input distributions from the STARLIGHT MC [15] show that CMS can measure $\Upsilon \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$ within $|\eta| < 2.5$, in UPCs tagged with neutrons detected in the ZDCs. Fig. 2 shows the reconstructed $dN/dm_{l^+l^-}$ around the Υ mass for 0.5 nb⁻¹ integrated PbPb luminosity. With a total yield of ~ 400 Υ , detailed p_T, η studies can be carried out, to constrain the low-*x* gluon density in the Pb nucleus.




Figure 2. Expected e^+e^- (left), $\mu^+\mu^-$ (right) invariant mass distributions from $\gamma Pb \rightarrow \Upsilon Pb^*$ ($\Upsilon \rightarrow l^+l^-$, signal) and $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow l^+l^-$ (background) in UPC PbPb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV in CMS.

2. Measurements in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV

(3) Inclusive forward jet production: $pp \rightarrow jet+X$, with $3 < |\eta_{jet}| < 5$

Jet measurements at Tevatron have provided valuable information on the proton PDFs. At 14 TeV, the production of jets with $E_T \approx 20\text{--}100 \text{ GeV}$ in the CMS forward calorimeters (HF and CASTOR) probes the PDFs down to $x_2 \approx 10^{-6}$ [7]. Figure 3-left shows the single inclusive jet spectrum in both HFs ($3 < |\eta| < 5$) expected for a short first run with just 1 pb⁻¹ integrated luminosity. The spectrum has been obtained from a preliminary study using PYTHIA 6.403 with jet reconstruction at the *particle-level* (i.e. *no* detector effects are included apart from the HF tower $\eta - \phi$ granularity) [9]. Although at such low E_T 's systematic uncertainties can be as large as ~30%, the available statistics for this study is very high.

(4) Mueller-Navelet dijets: $pp \rightarrow jet_1+jet_2$, with large $\Delta \eta = \eta_2 - \eta_1$

Inclusive dijet production at large pseudorapidity intervals – Müller-Navelet (MN) jets – has been considered an excellent testing ground for BFKL [17] and non-linear QCD [18] evolutions. The large rapidity separation between partons enhances the available longitudinal momentum phase space for BFKL radiation. Gluon saturation effects are expected to reduce the (pure BFKL) MN cross section by a factor of ~2 for jets separated by $\Delta \eta \approx 9$ [18]. In order




Figure 3. Expected jet yields in pp at $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV} (1 \text{ pb}^{-1})$ obtained from PYTHIA 6.403 at the particle-level (*no* full detector response, underlying-event or hadronization corrections). Left: Single inclusive jets in HF (3 < $|\eta|$ < 5) (compared to a NLO calculation with scale $\mu = E_T$ [16]). Right: Dijets separated by $\Delta \eta = 8-9$ with the Müller-Navelet kinematics cuts described in the text, as a function of $E_T \equiv \sqrt{E_{T,1} \times E_{T,2}}$.

to estimate the expected statistics for a short run without pile-up (1 pb⁻¹), we have selected the PYTHIA events which pass the MN kinematics cuts: $|E_{T,1} - E_{T,2}| < 2.5$ GeV, $|\eta_1| - |\eta_2| < 0.25$, and $\Delta \eta = 6 - 10$ [9]. Figure 3-right shows the results for $\Delta \eta = 8$ –9. The expected dijet yields for this η separation indicate that these studies are clearly statistically feasible at the LHC.

Acknowledgments. Supported by 6th EU Framework Programme MEIF-CT-2005-025073.

References

- [1] Altarelli G and Parisi G 1977 Nucl. Phys. B126 298; Dokshitzer Yu L 1977 Sov. Phys. JETP 46 641
- [2] Kuraev E A et al. 1977 Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz 72 3; Balitsky I I et al. 1978 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 28 822
- [3] See e.g. Devenish R 2002 Proceeds PIC 2002, Stanford, CA, 20-22 Jun 2002, Preprint hep-ex/0208043
- [4] Gribov L et al. 1983 Phys. Rept. 100 1; Mueller A H and Qiu J w 1986 Nucl. Phys. B268 427
- [5] Gelis F 2007 these proceeds, Preprint hep-ph/0701225 and refs. therein
- [6] Balitsky I 1996 Nucl. Phys. B 463 99; Kovchegov Yu 2000 Phys. Rev. D 61 074018; Jalilian-Marian J et al. 1997 Nucl. Phys. B504 415; Iancu E et al. 2001 Nucl. Phys. A692 583
- [7] d'Enterria D 2006 Eur. J. Phys. A to appear, Preprint hep-ex/0610061
- [8] Betts R [CMS Collaboration] 2007, these proceedings
- [9] CMS/TOTEM Prospects for Diffractive and Forward Physics at the LHC, CERN-LHCC-2006-039/G-124
- [10] Kharzeev D et al. 2005 Nucl. Phys. A747 609
- [11] Armesto N et al. 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 022002
- [12] CMS Physics TDR: High Density QCD with Heavy-Ions, CERN-LHCC-2007-009
- [13] Armesto N and Pajares C 2000 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A15 2019
- [14] Baltz A et al. 2007 Ultraperipheral Collisions at the LHC, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys. in preparation
- [15] Klein S R and Nystrand J 1999 Phys. Rev. C 60 014903; Baltz A et al. 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 012301
- [16] Jager B et al. 2004 Phys. Rev. D 70 034010
- [17] Müller A H and Navelet H 1987 Nucl. Phys. B282 727; Vera A S and Schwennsen F 2007 hep-ph/0702158
- [18] Marquet C and Royon C 2006 Nucl. Phys. B739 131