

ONCE AGAIN ON EVOLUTION EQUATIONS WITH MONOTONE OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES AND APPLICATIONS

ISTVÁN GYÖNGY AND NICOLAI V. KRYLOV

In memory of Giuseppe Da Prato

ABSTRACT. Existence, uniqueness and stability of the solutions of linear stochastic evolution equations are investigated. The results obtained are used to prove theorems on solvability of linear second order stochastic partial differential equations in L_p -setting with singular lower order coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we present an extension of the classical theory of stochastic evolutional equations in order to cover a large class of linear second order stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) with singular lower order coefficients.

We use the variational approach to prove existence, uniqueness and regularity of the solutions to stochastic evolution equations formulated in the framework of Hilbert spaces V, H , where V is continuously and densely embedded in H . A key role in this approach is played by a priori estimates provided by the help of an Itô formula for $|v_t|_H^2$, for the square of the norm in H of solutions to the stochastic evolution equation. Therefore, after a preliminary section, first we present a theorem on Itô's formula, Theorem 3.1, which looks like a simple version of well-known Itô formulas for the squared norm of semimartingales in Hilbert spaces. The difference is that instead of the square integrability of $|f_t|_H$ in t we assume that it is only integrable over $[0, T]$, where $f = (f_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is an H -valued component of the free term, see (3.1). However, this seemingly unimportant improvement is essential in our applications to stochastic evolution equations with monotone operators in Sections 4, 5 and 6, where we prove theorems on existence, uniqueness and on stability of the solutions, see Theorems 4.1, 5.1 and 6.1. In Sections 7, 8 and 9 we apply these theorems to parabolic SPDEs on the whole state space \mathbb{R}^d . Under the strong parabolicity condition, Assumption 7.1, we prove existence uniqueness and regularity results for W_2^1 -solutions

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60H15, 35R60.

Key words and phrases. Cauchy problem, stochastic evolution equations, stochastic parabolic PDEs, singular coefficients.

(for $i = 0, 1$, see Definition 7.1) to SPDEs with singular coefficients, and estimate these solutions also in L_p -spaces.

The theory of linear second order SPDEs is well-developed when the coefficients in the equations are bounded, or locally bounded and satisfy some growth conditions. See, for example, [3], [11], [21] and the references therein. Stochastic heat equations with white-noise drift and with distributional drifts are studied in [1] and in [2]. Well-posedness of stochastic partial differential inclusions with singular drift in divergence form is investigated in [22]. An exposition of the regular and singular stochastic Allen-Cahn equations is given in the book [5]. Optimal rate of convergence estimates for finite difference approximations of stochastic heat equations with locally unbounded drifts are obtained in [6]. Strong convergence of discretisations with parabolic rate 1 is established for stochastic Allen-Cahn-type equations in [10].

There are well-known classical results on the solvability of deterministic elliptic and parabolic PDEs with locally unbounded lower order coefficients, see the monograph [19]. Recently essential progress has been achieved for elliptic and parabolic PDEs in reducing the summability conditions on these coefficients, see [18] and the references therein.

The present paper is influenced by [17], which contains a priori L_p -estimates for the kind of SPDEs we are interested in this paper. As far as we know our theorems are the first results on the solvability of SPDEs with singular lower order coefficients. Our interest in these equations is partially motivated by the recent progress in the theory of stochastic differential equations (SDEs) with singular drifts, see, e.g., [9] and the references therein. In a continuation of the present paper we want to investigate stochastic filtering problems for such SDEs by the help of SPDEs with singular coefficients.

We finish the introduction with the stipulation that we use the plain symbol N for various constants which may change in every new appearance and, if we use them in a proof of a statement, then they are supposed to depend only on those parameters that are listed in the statement unless explicitly indicated otherwise, like $N = N(\dots)$, which means that N depends only on what is inside the parentheses. Sometimes we use N with indices, like N_2 , to facilitate keeping track of these particular constants, they stay the same within the proof where they appear, but may be different in different proofs.

2. A RESOLVENT OPERATOR $R_\lambda : H \rightarrow V$ IN HILBERT SPACE SETTING

In this section we collect some facts (probably well-known) proved in [15]. Let V and H be two Hilbert spaces with scalar products and norms $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$, $|\cdot|_V$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$, $|\cdot|_H$, respectively. Assume that $V \subset H$, V is dense in H (in the metric of H), and $|u|_H \leq |u|_V$ for any $u \in V$.

The norm in V is obviously equivalent to

$$(\lambda|u|_H^2 + |u|_V^2)^{1/2},$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$ is any fixed number. Then take an $f \in H$ and observe that the linear functional $(f, u)_H$ is bounded as a linear functional on V . By Riesz's representation theorem there exists a unique $v =: R_\lambda f \in V$ such that

$$(f, u)_H = \lambda(v, u)_H + (v, u)_V \quad \forall u \in V.$$

Theorem 2.1. (i) *The operator R_λ is a symmetric as an operator acting in H into H and as an operator acting in V into V , and for any $f \in H, u \in V$*

$$(R_\lambda f, u)_V = ((1 - \lambda R_\lambda)f, u)_H; \quad (2.1)$$

(ii) *The norms of the operator λR_λ as an operator from H into H as well as an operator from V into V are less than or equal to one;*

(iii) *If $f \in H$, $\lambda \geq 0$, and $\lambda R_\lambda f = f$, then $f = 0$;*

(iv) *The set $R_\lambda H$ is dense in V in the metric of V ;*

(v) *For any $f \in H$ we have*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |f - \lambda R_\lambda f|_H = 0;$$

(vi) *For $f \in V$ we have*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |f - \lambda R_\lambda f|_V = 0.$$

Remark 2.1. Since $|((1 - \lambda R_\lambda)f, u)_H| \leq 2|f|_H|u|_H \leq 2|f|_H|u|_V$ equation (2.1) implies that $|R_\lambda f|_V \leq 2|f|_H$ for any $f \in H$.

3. ITÔ'S FORMULA FOR THE SQUARED NORM

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space and let $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ be an increasing filtration of σ -fields $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}$, which are complete with respect to \mathcal{F}, P . Let \mathcal{P} denote the predictable σ -field.

In order to avoid unimportant complications we assume that $(V, (\cdot, \cdot)_V)$ is a separable Hilbert space, which is the case in many applications. Then $(H, (\cdot, \cdot)_H)$ is also separable. It is convenient that under this assumption there is no difference between weak and strong measurability.

Assume that we are given V -valued processes $v_t, v_t^*, t > 0$, and an H -valued $f_t, t > 0$, which are predictable and satisfy

$$E \int_0^T |v_t, v_t^*|_V^2 dt + E \left(\int_0^T |f_t|_H dt \right)^2 < \infty \quad (3.1)$$

for any $T \in (0, \infty)$ (observe $|f_t|_H$ and not $|f_t|_H^2$). Also let $m_t, t \geq 0$, be an H -valued continuous martingale starting at the origin with

$$d\langle m \rangle_t \leq dt.$$

The theory of integrating predictable Hilbert-space valued processes with respect to continuous same space-valued martingales is quite parallel to that in case the Hilbert space is just \mathbb{R}^d . This theory implies that, for any predictable H -valued process $h \in L_2([0, \infty), H)$ the stochastic integral

$$M_t := \int_0^t (h_s, dm_s)_H,$$

is well defined and is a continuous real-valued martingale with

$$\langle M \rangle_t \leq \int_0^t |h_s|_H^2 ds.$$

Suppose that v_0 is an H -valued \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random vector and $\gamma_t \geq 0$ is a predictable process such that

$$E \int_0^\infty \gamma_t^2 dt < \infty.$$

Set

$$m_t^\gamma = \int_0^t \gamma_s dm_s.$$

Finally, assume that for any $v \in V$ we have

$$(v, v_t)_H = (v, v_0)_H + \int_0^t [(v, v_s^*)_V + (v, f_s)_H] ds + (v, m_t^\gamma)_H \quad (3.2)$$

for almost all (ω, t) . Here and later on “almost all (ω, t) ” means $P \otimes dt$ -almost all (ω, t) .

The following theorem looks very much like what one can find in the literature including [15] except that in the condition (3.1) the last square is inside the integral. This seemingly unimportant improvement will show up later in the existence theorem for SPDEs with quite singular first order term.

Theorem 3.1. *Under the above assumptions there exists a continuous H -valued \mathcal{F}_t -adapted process u_t and a set $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ of full probability such that*

(i) $u_t = v_t$ for almost all (ω, t) , so that

$$E \int_0^T |u_t|_V^2 dt < \infty \quad (3.3)$$

for any $T \in (0, \infty)$,

(ii) for all $\omega \in \Omega'$, all $v \in V$, and all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$(v, u_t)_H = (v, v_0)_H + \int_0^t [(v, v_s^*)_V + (v, f_s)_H] ds + (v, m_t^\gamma)_H, \quad (3.4)$$

(iii) for all $\omega \in \Omega'$ and all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$|u_t|_H^2 = |v_0|_H^2 + 2 \int_0^t [(u_s, v_s^*)_V + (u_s, f_s)_H] ds + \langle m^\gamma \rangle_t + 2 \int_0^t (\gamma_s v_s, dm_s)_H. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. We closely follow the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [15]. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ define $S_n = nR_n$ and

$$u_t^n = S_n v_0 + \int_0^t [n(1 - S_n)v_s^* + S_n f_s] ds + S_n m_t^\gamma.$$

Here the integral makes sense as the integral of an H -valued function. Furthermore, u_t^n is obviously continuous as an H -valued function.

Also observe that (3.2) with $v = S_n\psi$, $\psi \in H$, and (2.1) yield that for almost all (ω, t)

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi, S_n v_t)_H &= (\psi, S_n v_0)_H + \int_0^t (\psi, n(1 - S_n)v_s^* + S_n f_s)_H ds \\ &\quad + (\psi, S_n m_t^\gamma)_H = (\psi, u_t^n)_H. \end{aligned}$$

This and the separability of H shows that

$$u_t^n = S_n v_t$$

for almost all (ω, t) .

Next, from Doob's inequality it follows that for any $T \in [0, \infty)$

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t^n|_H^2 < \infty.$$

By Itô's formula for integrals of Hilbert-space valued processes (see, for instance Theorem 4.32 of [7]) we have (a.s.)

$$\begin{aligned} |u_t^n|_H^2 &= |S_n v_0|_H^2 + 2 \int_0^t (u_s^n, n(1 - S_n)v_s^* + S_n f_s)_H ds \\ &\quad + \langle S_n m^\gamma \rangle_t + 2 \int_0^t (S_n u_s^n, dm_s^\gamma)_H, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |u_t^n - u_t^k|_H^2 &= |(S_n - S_k)v_0|_H^2 + \langle (S_n - S_k)m^\gamma \rangle_t + 2 \int_0^t (S_n u_s^n - S_k u_s^k, dm_s^\gamma)_H \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t (u_s^n - u_s^k, [n(1 - S_n) - k(1 - S_k)]v_s^* + (S_n - S_k)f_s)_H ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

Observe that there is Ω' with $P(\Omega') = 1$ such that

$$u_t^n = S_n v_t, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad v_t, v_t^* \in V \quad (3.8)$$

for almost all t on Ω' . It follows that in the integrands in (3.6) and (3.7) we can replace u_s^n with $S_n v_s$ if $\omega \in \Omega'$ and use (2.1). Then for $\omega \in \Omega'$ and t such that (3.8) holds we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(u_s^n - u_s^k, [n(1 - S_n) - k(1 - S_k)]v_s^*)_H \\ &= (S_n v_s - S_k v_s, n(1 - S_n)v_s^*)_H - (S_n v_s - S_k v_s, k(1 - S_k)v_s^*)_H \\ &= (S_n v_s - S_k v_s, S_n v_s^*)_V - (S_n v_s - S_k v_s, S_k v_s^*)_V \\ &= (S_n v_s - S_k v_s, S_n v_s^* - S_k v_s^*)_V. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\omega \in \Omega'$ and all $n, k \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |u_t^n|_H^2 &= |S_n v_0|_H^2 + 2 \int_0^t (S_n v_s, v_s^*)_V ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t (u_s^n, S_n f_s)_H ds + \langle S_n m^\gamma \rangle_t + 2 \int_0^t (S_n u_s^n, dm_s^\gamma)_H, \\ |u_t^n - u_t^k|_H^2 &= |(S_n - S_k)v_0|_H^2 + 2 \int_0^t (S_n v_s - S_k v_s, S_n v_s^* - S_k v_s^*)_V ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \langle (S_n - S_k)m^\gamma \rangle_t + 2 \int_0^t (S_n^2 v_s - S_k^2 v_s, dm_s^\gamma)_H \\
& + 2 \int_0^t (u_s^n - u_s^k, (S_n - S_k)f_s)_H ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Doob's inequality for any $T \in [0, \infty)$

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t^n - u_t^k|_H^2 \leq I_{nk}^1 + 2I_{nk}^2 + I_{nk}^3 + 4(I_{nk}^4)^{1/2} + 2I_{mk}^5, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{nk}^1 &= E|(S_n - S_k)v_0|_H^2 \\
I_{nk}^2 &= E \int_0^T |((S_n - S_k)v_s, (S_n - S_k)v_s^*)_V| ds, \\
I_{nk}^3 &= E \langle (S_n - S_k)m^\gamma \rangle_T = E|(S_n - S_k)m_T^\gamma|_H^2, \\
I_{nk}^4 &= E \int_0^T |S_n^2 v_s - S_k^2 v_s|_H^2 ds, \\
I_{mk}^5 &= E \int_0^T |u_s^n - u_s^k|_H |(S_n - S_k)f_s|_H ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the dominated convergence theorem, Theorem 2.1, and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& |((S_n - S_k)v_s, (S_n - S_k)v_s^*)_V| \\
& \leq |(S_n - S_k)v_s|_V^2 + |(S_n - S_k)v_s^*|_V^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we easily conclude that $I_{nk}^1 + 2I_{nk}^2 + I_{nk}^3 \rightarrow 0$ as $n, k \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $S_n^2 - S_k^2 = (S_n + S_k)(S_n - S_k)$ so that

$$I_{nk}^4 \leq 4E \int_0^T |(S_n - S_k)v_s|_H^2 ds,$$

which by the dominated convergence theorem implies that $I_{nk}^4 \rightarrow 0$ as $n, k \rightarrow \infty$ as well. Finally,

$$I_{nk}^5 \leq (1/4)E \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t^n - u_t^k|_H^2 + 4E \left(\int_0^T |(S_n - S_k)f_s|_H ds \right)^2.$$

We now conclude from (3.9) that its left-hand side tends to zero. Furthermore,

$$E \int_0^T |u_t^n - v_t|_V^2 dt = E \int_0^T |(S_n - 1)v_t|_V^2 dt \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence u_t^n converges to v_t in $L_2(\Omega \times (0, T), V)$ and converges uniformly on $[0, T]$ as H -valued functions in probability. The latter limit we denote by u_t and show that this function is the one we want. Of course, u_t is a continuous H -valued functions, it is \mathcal{F}_t -adapted, and $u_t = v_t$ for almost all (ω, t) .

One easily obtains that for each t equation (3.5) holds with probability one by passing to the limit in (3.6). Since both parts of (3.5) are continuous in t , it holds on a set of full probability for all t .

Obviously (3.2) will hold for almost all (ω, t) if we replace v_t with u_t , that is, (3.4) holds for any $v \in V$ for almost all (ω, t) . The continuity of both parts of (3.4) with respect to t and $v \in V$ and the separability of V then imply that there is a set Ω' of full probability such that assertion (iii) holds.

The theorem is proved.

Remark 3.1. The reader understands, of course, that condition (3.1) can be replaced with the same condition but without expectation sign and with T replaced by any stopping time τ . Of course, then the same changes should be applied to (3.3). This generalization is easily achieved by using appropriate stopping times.

4. LINEAR EQUATIONS WITH MONOTONE OPERATORS

Traditionally, equations with monotone operators involve V and its conjugate V^* . The duality between $v \in V$ and $v^* \in V^*$ is denoted by $\langle v, v^* \rangle$.

Fix $T \in (0, \infty)$ and assume for any $t \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega$ we are given linear operators $A_t : V \rightarrow V^*, B_t : V \rightarrow \ell_2(H)$, such that, for each $v \in V, A_t v, B_t v$ are Lebesgue measurable with respect to (t, ω) and are \mathcal{F}_t -adapted.

We single out the following coercivity and boundedness assumptions.

Assumption 4.1. There are constants $\delta > 0, K < \infty$ and $K_0 < \infty$ such that, for any $v \in V, t \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega$ we have

$$2\langle v, A_t v \rangle + \sum_k |B_t^k v|_H^2 \leq -\delta |v|_V^2, \quad (4.1)$$

$$|A_t v|_{V^*} \leq K |v|_V, \quad \sum_k |B_t^k v|_H^2 \leq K_0^2 |v|_V^2. \quad (4.2)$$

Remark 4.1. Observe that

$$\sum_k |B_t^k v|_H^2 \leq -2\langle v, A_t v \rangle \leq 2K |v|_V^2,$$

i.e., the ‘‘coercivity’’ condition (4.1) implies an upper bound for the operator norm of B in terms of an upper bound for the operator norm for A . Nevertheless, we introduce also an upper bound K_0 for the operator norm of B_t , because that is what plays a role in the estimate (4.5) below.

Definition 4.1. Denote by \mathbb{V} the Banach space of H -valued, continuous, and \mathcal{F}_t -adapted functions u_t on $[0, T] \times \Omega$ such that $u_t \in V$ for almost all (t, ω) and

$$|u|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 := E \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t|_H^2 + E \int_0^T |u_t|_V^2 dt < \infty.$$

For given u_0 , we will be dealing with the problem

$$du_t = [A_t u_t + f_t^* + f_t + g_t] dt + (B_t^k u_t + h_t^k) dw_t^k, \quad t \leq T, \quad u_t|_{t=0} = u_0. \quad (4.3)$$

Definition 4.2. An H -valued, \mathcal{F}_t -adapted, H -continuous in t function u_t on $\Omega \times [0, T]$ is called an H -solution of (4.3) if $u_t \in V$ for almost all (ω, t)

$$\int_0^T |u_t|_V^2 dt < \infty$$

(a.s.) and for any $v \in V$, (a.s.) for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (v, u_t)_H &= (v, u_0)_H + \sum_k \int_0^t (v, B_s^k u_s + h_s^k)_H dw_s^k \\ &+ \int_0^t [\langle v, A_s u_s + f_s^* \rangle + (v, f_s + g_s)_H] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Theorem 4.1. Let Assumption 4.1 hold and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume that on $\Omega \times [0, T]$ we are given a V^* -valued function f_t^* , H -valued functions f_t, g_t , and an $\ell_2(H)$ -valued $h_t = (h_t^k, k = 1, 2, \dots)$, which are Lebesgue measurable in (t, ω) , \mathcal{F}_t -adapted, and such that

$$E \left(\int_0^T |g_t|_H dt \right)^2 + E \int_0^T \left(|f_t^*|_{V^*}^2 + \alpha_t^{-1} |f_t|_H^2 + |h_t|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 \right) dt < \infty,$$

where $\alpha_t \geq \varepsilon$ is a predictable function such that

$$\sup_{\Omega} \int_0^T \alpha_t dt < \infty.$$

Then for any H -valued \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable u_0 such that $E|u_0|_H^2 < \infty$,

(i) there exists an H -solution of (4.3). For this solution we have $u_t \in \mathbb{V}$.

(ii) For this solution we have

$$\begin{aligned} &E \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t|_H^2 e^{-2\phi_t} + E \int_0^T e^{-2\phi_s} |u_s|_V^2 ds + E \int_0^T \alpha_s |u_s|_H^2 e^{-2\phi_s} ds \\ &\leq NE|u_0|_H^2 + NE \int_0^T e^{-2\phi_s} (|f_s^*|_{V^*}^2 + \alpha_s^{-1} |f_s|_H^2 + |h_s|_{\ell_2(H)}^2) ds \\ &\quad + NE \left(\int_0^T e^{-\phi_s} |g_s|_H ds \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where (and in the proof)

$$\phi_t := \int_0^t \alpha_s ds.$$

and the (finite) constants N depend only on δ, K_0 .

Moreover, if we have another H -solution u'_t , then

$$P(\sup_{t \leq T} |u_t - u'_t|_H = 0) = 1.$$

Remark 4.2. The uniqueness statement in Theorem 4.1 follows immediately from Theorem 3.1. Indeed, for $v_t := u_t - u'_t$ we have

$$|v_t|_H^2 \leq 2 \int_0^t (v_s, dm_s)_H,$$

where m_s is certain H -valued martingale. Hence the stochastic integral above is nonnegative implying that it is zero and $E \sup_{t \leq T} |v_t|_H = 0$.

Lemma 4.2. *Assertion (i) of Theorem 4.1 is true under the additional assumption that $f^* = 0, B = 0, h = 0$.*

Proof. Let $Q : V^* \rightarrow V$ be defined as the natural identification of V^* with V (so that $\langle v, v^* \rangle = (v, Qv^*)_V$). Define $A_s^n = n(1 - S_n)QA_sS_n$ and in H for $n \geq 1, t \leq T$ consider the following equation

$$u_t^n = S_n u_0 + \int_0^t [A_s^n u_s^n + F_s] ds, \quad F_s = f_s + g_s. \quad (4.6)$$

Here

$$E \left(\int_0^T |f_t|_H dt \right)^2 \leq E \int_0^T \alpha_t dt \int_0^T \alpha_t^{-1} |f_t|_H^2 dt < \infty,$$

so that $F \in L_1([0, T], H)$ (a.s.).

Also observe that for any $u \in H, s \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned} |A_s^n u|_H &\leq 2n |QA_s S_n u|_H \leq 2n |QA_s S_n u|_V = 2n |A_s S_n u|_{V^*} \\ &\leq 2nK |S_n u|_V \leq 4n^2 K |u|_H. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, for each ω , equation (4.6) is a first-order linear differential equation in H with bounded operators A_s^n . Therefore, it has a unique solution, which can be found by successive approximations showing that the solution u_t^n inherits the measurability properties of F_t and is a continuous H -valued function.

Furthermore

$$|u_t^n|_H^2 = |S_n u_0|_H^2 + 2 \int_0^t (u_s^n, A_s^n u_s^n + F_s)_H ds,$$

where

$$2(u_s^n, A_s^n u_s^n)_H = 2(S_n u_s^n, QA_s S_n u_s^n)_V = 2\langle S_n u_s^n, A_s S_n u_s^n \rangle \leq -\delta |S_n u_s^n|_V^2. \quad (4.7)$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t^n|_H^2 + \delta \int_0^T |S_n u_s^n|_V^2 ds &\leq |S_n u_0|_H^2 + 2 \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t^n|_H \int_0^T |F_s|_H ds \\ &\leq |S_n u_0|_H^2 + (1/2) \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t^n|_H^2 + 2 \left(\int_0^T |F_s|_H ds \right)^2, \\ \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t^n|_H^2 + 2\delta \int_0^T |S_n u_s^n|_V^2 ds &\leq 2|S_n u_0|_H^2 + 4 \left(\int_0^T |F_s|_H ds \right)^2. \quad (4.8) \end{aligned}$$

This estimate implies that there is a subsequence $n' \rightarrow \infty$ such that the functions $S_{n'} u^{n'}$ converge weakly in $L_2(\Omega \times [0, T], V)$ to a function $u \in L_2(\Omega \times [0, T], V)$. Also note that, for any $v \in V$, the linear operators

$$F : L_2(\Omega \times [0, T], V) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega \times [0, T]), \quad F(v)_t = (v, v_t)_H,$$

$$G : L_2(\Omega \times [0, T], V) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega \times [0, T]), \quad G(v.)_t = \int_0^t \langle v, A_s v_s \rangle ds$$

are bounded and hence weakly continuous. It follows that, since, for any $v \in V$,

$$(v, S_{n'} u_t^{n'})_H = (S_{n'} v, S_{n'} u_0)_H + \int_0^t [\langle S_{n'}^2 v, A_s S_{n'} u_s^{n'} \rangle + (v, S_{n'} F_s)_H] ds,$$

for almost all $(t, \omega) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$ we have

$$(v, u_t) = (v, u_0)_H + \int_0^t [\langle v, A_s u_s \rangle + (v, F_s)_H] ds.$$

After that it only remains to apply Theorem 3.1 (with $m_t = 0$) and perform some manipulations as after (4.7). The lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Denote by u_t^0 the H -solution from Lemma 4.2 and observe that by setting $v_t = u_t - u_t^0$ we can rewrite (4.4) as

$$(v, v_t)_H = \sum_k \int_0^t (v, B_s^k v_s + \bar{h}_s^k)_H dw_s^k + \int_0^t \langle v, A_s v_s + \bar{f}_s^* \rangle ds, \quad (4.9)$$

where $\bar{h}_s^k = h_s^k + B_s^k u_s^0$, $\bar{f}_s^* = f_s^* + A_s u_s^0$. Observe that

$$E \int_0^T \sum_k |B_s^k u_s^0|_H^2 ds \leq K_0^2 E \int_0^T |u_s^0|_V^2 ds < \infty,$$

$$E \int_0^T |A_s u_s^0|_{V^*}^2 ds \leq K^2 E \int_0^T |u_s^0|_V^2 ds < \infty.$$

After that the results in §2 of [14] allow us to assert that equation (4.9) has a unique continuous H -valued solution v_t such that $v. \in \mathbb{V}$. Upon setting $u_t = v_t + u_t^0$ we obtain the existence part in Theorem 4.1.

To prove (4.5) use Theorem 3.1 with

$$\sum_k \int_0^t \gamma_s^{-1} [B_s^k u_s + h_s^k] dw_s^k,$$

in place of m_t , where

$$\gamma_s^2 = \sum_k |B_s^k u_s + h_s^k|_H^2, \quad (0/0 := 0).$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |u_t|_H^2 e^{-2\phi t} &= |u_0|_H^2 - 2 \int_0^t \alpha_s |u_s|_H^2 e^{-2\phi s} ds \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{-2\phi s} [2 \langle u_s, A_s u_s + f_s^* \rangle + 2(u_s, f_s + g_s)_H] ds \\ &+ \sum_k \int_0^t e^{-2\phi s} |B_s^k u_s + h_s^k|_H^2 ds + m_t, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$m_t = 2 \sum_k \int_0^t e^{-2\phi_s} (u_s, B_s^k u_s + h_s^k)_H dw_s^k.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle u_s, A_s u_s \rangle + \sum_k |B_s^k u_s|_H^2 &\leq -\delta |u_s|_V^2, \\ 2\langle u_s, f_s^* \rangle &\leq (\delta/4) |u_s|_V^2 + (4/\delta) |f_s^*|_{V^*}^2, \\ 2\langle u_s, f_s \rangle_H &\leq \alpha_s |u_s|_H^2 + \alpha_s^{-1} |f_s|_H^2, \\ \sum_k |B_s^k u_s + h_s^k|_H^2 - \sum_k |B_s^k u_s|_H^2 &\leq \sum_k 2|B_s^k u_s|_H |h_s^k|_H + |h_s|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 \\ &\leq (\delta/4) |u_s|_V^2 + [(4K_0^2/\delta) + 1] |h_s|_{\ell_2(H)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &|u_t|_H^2 e^{-2\phi_t} + (\delta/2) \int_0^t e^{-2\phi_s} |u_s|_V^2 ds + \int_0^t \alpha_s |u_s|_H^2 e^{-2\phi_s} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t e^{-2\phi_s} ((4/\delta) |f_s^*|_{V^*}^2 + \alpha_s^{-1} |f_s|_H^2) ds + 2 \int_0^t e^{-2\phi_s} |(u_s, g_s)_H| ds \\ &\quad + [(4K_0^2/\delta) + 1] \int_0^t e^{-2\phi_s} |h_s|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 ds + m_t =: I_t + m_t \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J &:= \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t|_H^2 e^{-2\phi_t} + (\delta/2) \int_0^T e^{-2\phi_s} |u_s|_V^2 ds + \int_0^T \alpha_s |u_s|_H^2 e^{-2\phi_s} ds \\ &\leq 2I_T + 2 \sup_{t \leq T} |m_t|. \end{aligned}$$

By the Davis inequality

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{t \leq T} |m_t| &\leq 8E \left(\int_0^T e^{-4\phi_s} \sum_k (u_s, B_s^k u_s + h_s^k)_H^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 8E \sup_{s \leq T} e^{-\phi_s} |u_s|_H \left(\int_0^T e^{-2\phi_s} (2K_0^2 |u_s|_V^2 + 2|h_s|_{\ell_2(H)}^2) ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (1/8)E \sup_{s \leq T} e^{-2\phi_s} |u_s|_H^2 + NE \int_0^T e^{-2\phi_s} |h_s|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 ds + N_1 E \int_0^T e^{-2\phi_s} |u_s|_V^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

where the last term we estimate by taking expectations in (4.10). Then we see that

$$2N_1 E \int_0^T e^{-2\phi_s} |u_s|_V^2 ds \leq (2/\delta) N_1 E I_T.$$

$$\begin{aligned} EJ &\leq (1/4)E \sup_{s \leq T} e^{-2\phi_s} |u_s|_H^2 + NE \int_0^T e^{-2\phi_s} (|f_s^*|_{V^*}^2 + \alpha_s^{-1} |f_s|_H^2 + |h_s|_{\ell_2(H)}^2) ds \\ &\quad + N_2 E \int_0^T e^{-2\phi_s} |(u_s, g_s)_H| ds, \end{aligned}$$

where the last term is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & N_2 \left(E \sup_{s \leq T} e^{-2\phi_s} |u_s|_H^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(E \left(\int_0^T e^{-\phi_s} |g_s|_H ds \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq (1/4) E \sup_{s \leq T} e^{-2\phi_s} |u_s|_H^2 + N E \left(\int_0^T e^{-\phi_s} |g_s|_H ds \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

As a result we have

$$\begin{aligned} EJ & \leq (1/2) E \sup_{s \leq T} e^{-2\phi_s} |u_s|_H^2 + N E \int_0^T e^{-2\phi_s} (|f_s^*|_{V^*}^2 + \alpha_s^{-1} |f_s|_H^2 + |h_s|_{\ell_2(H)}^2) ds \\ & \quad + N E \left(\int_0^T e^{-\phi_s} |g_s|_H ds \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and this yields (4.5). The theorem is proved.

Remark 4.3. Let Assumption 4.1 hold with

$$\left(\sum_k |B_t^k u|_H^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq K_0 |u|_V + K_1 |u|_H,$$

for a constant K_1 , in place of the the second inequality in (4.2). Then by inspecting the proof of estimate (4.5) it is easy to see that the estimate remains valid with a constant $N = N(\delta, K_0)$ if in addition to the assumption on α we suppose that $\alpha \geq K_1^2$.

5. GENERALIZATION OF THEOREM 4.1

Here, in addition to the assumptions stated in the beginning of Section 4 and in Theorem 4.1, we suppose that, on $[0, T] \times \Omega$, we are also given predictable functions A_t with values in the set $A = \mathcal{L}(V, H)$ of linear operators from V to H , A_t^* with values in the set $A^* = \mathcal{L}(H, V^*)$ of linear operators mapping H into V^* , C_t with values in the set $C = \mathcal{L}(H, H)$ of linear operators on H , and B_t with values in the set $B = \mathcal{L}(H, \ell_2(H))$ of linear operators from V to $\ell_2(H)$ such that, for some constants $|A|, |A^*|, |B|, |C| < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |A_t|_A^2 dt & \leq |A|^2, & \int_0^T |A_t^*|_{A^*}^2 dt & \leq |A^*|^2, & \int_0^T |B_t|_B^2 dt & \leq |B|^2, \\ & & \int_0^T |C_t|_C dt & \leq |C|. \end{aligned}$$

In this section we are dealing with the problem

$$\begin{aligned} du_t & = [A_t u_t + A_t^* u_t + C_t u_t + f_t^* + f_t + g_t] dt \\ & \quad + (B_t^k u_t + B_t^k u_t + h_t^k) dw_t^k, \quad t \leq T, \quad u_t|_{t=0} = u_0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

solution of which is defined similarly to Definition 4.2.

Definition 5.1. An H -valued, \mathcal{F}_t -adapted, H -continuous in t function u_t on $\Omega \times [0, T]$ is called an H -solution of (5.1) if $u_t \in V$ for almost all (ω, t)

$$\int_0^T |u_t|_V^2 dt < \infty$$

and for any $v \in V$, (a.s.) for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (v, u_t)_H &= (v, u_0)_H + \sum_k \int_0^t (v, B_s^k u_s + B_s^k u_s + h_s^k)_H dw_s^k \\ &+ \int_0^t [\langle v, A_s u_s + A_s^* u_s + f_s^* \rangle + (v, A_s u_s + C_s u_s + f_s + g_s)_H] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose a predictable $\alpha_t \geq \varepsilon$ such that

$$(16/\delta)|A_s|_A^2 \leq \alpha_s, \quad (32/\delta)|A_s^*|_{A^*}^2 + (2056 + 32K_0^2/\delta)|B_s|_B^2 + 4|C_s|_C \leq \alpha_s,$$

$$\sup_{\Omega} \int_0^T \alpha_t dt < \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

Set

$$\phi_t = \int_0^t \alpha_s ds.$$

Recall Definition 4.1 of the Banach space \mathbb{V} , and define also the Hilbert space \mathbb{H} of H -valued predictable functions h_t on $[0, T] \times \Omega$ such that

$$|h|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 := E \int_0^T |h_t|_H^2 dt < \infty.$$

Theorem 5.1. *Under the above assumptions, for any H -valued \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable u_0 such that $E|u_0|_H^2 < \infty$, there exists an H -solution of (5.1) such that*

- (i) $u_t \in \mathbb{V}$,
- (ii) we have

$$|u_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + |\alpha_t^{1/2} u_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \leq NE|u_0|_H^2 + NJ, \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$J = E \left(\int_0^T e^{-\phi_t} |g_t|_H dt \right)^2 + E \int_0^T e^{-2\phi_t} \left(|f_t^*|_{V^*}^2 + \alpha_t^{-1} |f_t|_H^2 + |h_t|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 \right) dt,$$

and the constants N depend only on δ, K_0 .

Moreover, if we have another H -solution u'_t of (5.1) such that $u'_t \in \mathbb{V}$, then

$$P(\sup_{t \leq T} |u_t - u'_t|_H = 0) = 1.$$

Proof. First we prove (5.3) as an a priori estimate, that is assuming that we are given an H -solution u_t such that $u_t \in \mathbb{V}$. This a priori estimate, in

particular, implies uniqueness, which is the last statement of the theorem. To prove the estimate observe that

$$E\left(\int_0^T |A_s u_s|_H ds\right)^2 \leq E\left(\int_0^T |A_s|_\Lambda |u_s|_V ds\right)^2 \leq |A|^2 E \int_0^T |u_s|_V^2 ds < \infty, \quad (5.4)$$

$$E \int_0^T |A_s^* u_s|_{V^*}^2 ds \leq E \int_0^T |A_s^*|_{A^*}^2 |u_s|_H^2 ds \leq |A^*|^2 E \sup_{s \leq T} |u_s|_H^2 < \infty,$$

$$E \int_0^T |B_s^* u_s|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 ds \leq E \int_0^T |B_s|_B^2 |u_s|_H^2 ds \leq |B|^2 E \sup_{s \leq T} |u_s|_H^2 < \infty,$$

$$E\left(\int_0^T |C_s u_s| ds\right)^2 \leq E\left(\int_0^T |C_s|_C |u_s|_H ds\right)^2 \leq |C|^2 E \sup_{s \leq T} |u_s|_H^2 < \infty. \quad (5.5)$$

Therefore, we can apply Theorem 3.1 to (5.1), and using

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle u_s, A_s u_s \rangle + \sum_k |B^k u_s|_H^2 &\leq -\delta |u_s|_V^2, \\ 2(u_s, f_s + A_s u_s)_H &\leq (\alpha_s/2) |u_s|_H^2 + 4\alpha_s^{-1} |f_s|_H^2 + 4\alpha_s^{-1} |A_s|_\Lambda^2 |u_s|_V^2, \\ &\leq (\alpha_s/2) |u_s|_H^2 + 4\alpha_s^{-1} |f_s|_H^2 + (\delta/4) |u_s|_V^2, \\ 2\langle u_s, f_s^* + A_s^* u_s \rangle &\leq (\delta/8) |u|_V^2 + (16/\delta) |f_s^*|_{V^*}^2 + (16/\delta) |A_s^*|_{A^*}^2 |u_s|_H^2, \\ &\quad \sum_k |B_s^k u_s + B_t u_s + h_s^k|_H^2 - \sum_k |B_s^k u_s|_H^2 \\ &\leq \sum_k 2|B_s^k u_s|_H |h_s^k| + |B_t u_s|_H^2 + 2|h_s|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 + 2|B_s|_B^2 |u_s|_H^2 \\ &\leq (\delta/8) |u_s|_V^2 + [(16K_0^2/\delta) + 4] |h_s|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 + (16K_0^2/\delta) |B_s|_B^2 |u_s|_H^2, \\ 2(u_s, C_s u_s)_H &\leq 2|C_s|_C |u_s|_H^2, \end{aligned}$$

we get, by taking into account (5.2), that

$$\begin{aligned} &|u_t|_H^2 e^{-2\phi t} + (\delta/2) \int_0^t e^{-2\phi s} |u_s|_V^2 ds + \int_0^t \alpha_s |u_s|_H^2 e^{-2\phi s} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t e^{-2\phi s} ((16/\delta) |f_s^*|_{V^*}^2 + 4\alpha_s^{-1} |f_s|_H^2) ds + 2 \int_0^t e^{-2\phi s} |(u_s, g_s)_H| ds \\ &\quad + [(16K_0^2/\delta) + 4] \int_0^t e^{-2\phi s} |h_s|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 ds + m_t, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$m_t = 2 \sum_k \int_0^t e^{-2\phi s} (u_s, B_s^k u_s + B_s^k u_s + h_s^k)_H dw_s^k.$$

After that it suffices to repeat almost literally the proof of Theorem 4.1 after (4.10) with only one change related to the fact that now in the estimate of $E \sup_{t \leq T} |m_t|$ the new term

$$512E \int_0^T |B_s|_B^2 |u_s|_H^2 ds$$

appears. We estimate it by taking the expectations in (5.6) and using that $512 \leq \alpha/4$. Then we follow the rest of the proof of Theorem 4.1. This proves (5.3).

Now we prove the existence of a solution to (5.1) by the method of continuity. For $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ let $\text{Eq}_\lambda(f, f^*, g, h)$ denote the Cauchy problem (5.1) with $\lambda A_t, \lambda A_t^*, \lambda B_t$ and λC_t in place of A_t, A_t^*, B_t and C_t , respectively. Let S be the set of parameters $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that $\text{Eq}_\lambda(f, f^*, g, h)$ has a solution in \mathbb{V} for any predictable functions f, f^*, g , and h on $[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$, with values in H, V^*, H and $\ell_2(H)$, respectively, such that

$$E\left(\int_0^T |g_t|_H dt\right)^2 + E\int_0^T \left(|f_t^*|_{V^*}^2 + |f_t|_H^2 + |h_t|_{\ell_2(H)}^2\right) dt < \infty.$$

Then $0 \in S$ by Theorem 4.1. Fix $\lambda_0 \in S$ and take a $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then, due to (5.4) and (5.5), for any $v \in \mathbb{V}$ the equation

$$\begin{aligned} du_t = & [A_t u_t + \lambda_0(A_t^* u_t + A_t v_t + C_t u_t)] dt + (B_t^k u_t + \lambda_0 B_t^k u_t) dw_t^k \\ & + [(\lambda - \lambda_0)(A_t^* v_t + A_t v_t + C_t v_t) + f_t^* + f_t + g_t] dt \\ & + ((\lambda - \lambda_0)B_t^k v_t + h_t^k) dw_t^k, \quad t \leq T, \quad u_t|_{t=0} = u_0 \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution $u =: Q_\lambda v \in \mathbb{V}$. By estimate (5.3), taking into account (5.4) and (5.5), for any $v, v' \in \mathbb{V}$ we have

$$|Q_\lambda v - Q_\lambda v'|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \leq N|\lambda - \lambda_0|^2 |v - v'|_{\mathbb{V}}^2,$$

where N is a constant depending only on $K_0, \delta, |A|, |A^*|, |B|$ and $|C|$. Thus for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that $|\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq (2N)^{-1/2}$, the operator Q_λ is a contraction on \mathbb{V} . Consequently, for these parameters λ the operator Q_λ has a fixed point u^λ , i.e., $\text{Eq}_\lambda(f, f^*, g, h)$ has a solution $u^\lambda \in \mathbb{V}$. Hence it follows that $S = [0, 1]$. In particular, $1 \in S$, i.e., (5.1) has a solution $u \in \mathbb{V}$, which finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

6. STABILITY PROPERTY

Assume that for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega$ we are given linear operators $A_t^n : V \rightarrow V^*, B_t^n : V \rightarrow \ell_2(H), A_t^n : V \rightarrow H, A_t^{*n} : H \rightarrow V^*, B_t^n : H \rightarrow \ell_2(H), C^n : H \rightarrow H$ such that, for each $v \in V$ and $h \in H$, $A_t^n v, B_t^n v, A_t^{*n} v, A_t^{*n} v, B_t^n h$, and $C_t^n h$ are predictable. Also assume that for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ on $\Omega \times [0, T]$ we are given a predictable V^* -valued function f_t^{*n} , an H -valued function f_t^n and g_t^n , and an $\ell_2(H)$ -valued $h_t^n = (h_t^{nk}, k = 1, 2, \dots)$. Here comes a more quantitative assumption.

Assumption 6.1. (i) For any n, A_t^n, B_t^n satisfy Assumption 4.1 (with fixed δ, K).

(ii) We have

$$\sup_n \int_0^T |A_t^n|_A^2 dt \leq |A|^2, \quad \sup_n \int_0^T |A_t^{*n}|_{A^*}^2 dt \leq |A^*|^2, \quad \sup_n \int_0^T |B_t^n|_B^2 dt \leq |B|^2,$$

$$\sup_n \int_0^T |c_t^n|_C dt \leq |C|,$$

$$\sup_n \left[E \left(\int_0^T |g_t^n|_H dt \right)^2 + E \int_0^T \left(|f_t^{*n}|_{V^*}^2 + |f_t^n|_H^2 + |h_t^n|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 \right) dt \right] < \infty,$$

(iii) We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[E \left(\int_0^T |g_t^n - g_t^0|_H dt \right)^2 + E \int_0^T \left(|f_t^{*n} - f_t^{*0}|_{V^*}^2 + |f_t^n - f_t^0|_H^2 + |h_t^n - h_t^0|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 \right) dt \right] = 0.$$

(iv) For any $v \in \mathbb{V}$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left(\int_0^T |(A_t^n - A_t^0)v|_H dt \right)^2 = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \int_0^T |(A_t^{*n} - A_t^{*0})v|_{V^*}^2 dt = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \int_0^T |(B_t^n - B_t^0)v|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 dt = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left(\int_0^T |(C_t^n - C_t^0)v|_H dt \right)^2 = 0$$
(6.1)

and, for any $t \leq T$, $v \in V'$ for a dense subset V' in V ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|(A_t^n - A_t^0)v|_{V^*}^2 + |(B_t^n - B_t^0)v|_{\ell_2(H)}^2) = 0. \quad (6.2)$$

Theorem 6.1. *Under the above assumptions, let $u_0^n \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, H)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, be such that $u_0^n \rightarrow u_0^0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, H)$. Denote by u_t^n the functions from Theorem 5.1 corresponding to u_0^n , $A_t^n, B_t^n, A_t^{*n}, B_t^{*n}, C_t^n, f_t^{*n}, f_t^n, g_t^n$, and h_t^n . Then $|u_t^n - u_t^0|_{\mathbb{V}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Note first that by (i) the operators $A_t^n, B_t^n, A_t^{*n}, B_t^{*n}, C_t^n$ are uniformly bounded in the respective spaces, and hence (6.2) holds for every $v \in V$. Set $\Delta_t^n = u_t^n - u_t^0$ and observe that, for any $v \in V$, (a.s.) for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$(v, \Delta_t^n)_H = (v, \Delta_0^n)_H + \sum_k \int_0^t (v, B_s^{nk} \Delta_s^n + B_s^{nk} \Delta_s^n + \bar{h}_s^{nk})_H dw_s^k$$

$$+ \int_0^t [\langle v, A_s^n \Delta_s^n + \bar{f}_s^{*n} \rangle + (v, A_s^n \Delta_s^n + A_s^{*n} \Delta_s^n + C_s^n \Delta_s^n + \bar{f}_s^n + \bar{g}_s^n)_H] ds,$$

where

$$\bar{h}_s^{nk} = (B_s^{nk} - B_s^{0k})u_s^0 + (B_s^{nk} - B_s^{0k})u_s^0 + h_s^{nk} - h_s^{0k},$$

$$\bar{f}_s^{*n} = (A_s^n - A_s^0)u_s^0 + (A_s^{*n} - A_s^{*0})u_s^0 + f_s^{*n} - f_s^{*0},$$

$$\bar{f}_s^n = f_s^n - f_s^0, \quad \bar{g}_s^n = (A_s^n - A_s^0)u_s^0 + (C_s^n - C_s^0)u_s^0 + g_s^n - g_s^0.$$

It follows by Theorem 5.1 (with $\varepsilon = 1$ in the construction of α) that

$$|\Delta_t^n|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \leq NE \int_0^T (|\bar{f}_s^{*n}|_{V^*}^2 + |\bar{f}_s^n|_H^2 + |\bar{h}_s^n|_{\ell_2(H)}^2) ds + NE \left(\int_0^T |\bar{g}_s^n|_H ds \right)^2,$$

where the constants N depend only on $|A|, |A^*|, |B|, |C|, \delta, K_0$. The reader will easily prove that the right-hand side here goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by using

our assumptions and the dominated convergence theorem. The theorem is proved.

7. FIRST APPLICATION TO THE L_2 -THEORY OF SPDES

We introduce some notation used throughout the rest of the paper. We denote by \mathbb{R}^d the d -dimensional Euclidean space of points $x = (x^1, \dots, x^d)$. The Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^d is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The space of compactly supported smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^d is denoted by C_0^∞ . We use the notation $D_i u = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} u$ for generalised derivatives of locally integrable functions u on \mathbb{R}^d with respect to the i -th coordinate x^i , $Dh = (D_j h; j = 1, \dots, d)$, $D^2 h = (D_{ij} h := D_i D_j h; i, j = 1, \dots, d)$.

Generally, if $\sigma(x) = (\sigma_{j,\dots}^i(x))$, by $|\sigma(x)|$ we mean the square root of the sum of squares of $\sigma_{j,\dots}^i(x)$, for instance, for a function h with values (h_1, h_2, \dots) in a finite-dimensional or infinite-dimensional space, in \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{R}^∞ , given on \mathbb{R}^d we write

$$|h(x)|^2 = \sum_i |h_i(x)|^2,$$

$$|h|_{L_p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(x)|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |h_i(x)|^2 \right|^{p/2} dx.$$

Of course, if the right-hand side is finite, we write $h \in L_p$. In particular, $Dh \in L_p$ if

$$|Dh|_{L_p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Dh(x)|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{\infty, d} |D_j h_i(x)|^2 \right|^{p/2} dx < \infty.$$

If $p = 2$ in the previous sections we used a different notation $\|h\|_{\ell_2(H)}$ for $|h|_{L_2}$. This was just because there H was just an abstract Hilbert space. In the space of \mathbb{R}^n - or \mathbb{R}^∞ -functions the above notation looks more natural.

For an integers $m \geq 0$ the notation W_p^m means the Sobolev space of functions $u \in L_p$ such that

$$|u|_{W_p^m}^p := \sum_{k=0}^m |D^k u|_{L_p}^p < \infty,$$

where $D^k u$ is the collection of all generalised derivatives of u of order k , $D^0 u = u$.

In \mathbb{R}^d we consider the equation

$$du_t = (\mathcal{L}_t u_t + D_i \hat{f}_t^i + f_t + g_t) dt + (\mathcal{M}_t^k u_t + h_t^k) dw_t^k, \quad t \leq T \quad (7.1)$$

with initial condition

$$u_t|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_t u_t = D_i (a_t^{ij} D_j u_t + \beta_t^i u_t) + b^i D_i u_t + c_t u_t, \quad \mathcal{M}_t^k u_t = \sigma_t^{ik} D_i u_t + \nu_t^k u_t.$$

Here, and later on we use the summation convention with respect to repeated integer valued indices.

The coefficients of the operators \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M}^k , and the free terms \mathfrak{f}^i , f , g , and h^k in the above equation are assumed to be $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable functions on $\Omega \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$. We assume that the function $a = (a_t^{ij}(x))$ is $d \times d$ matrix-valued; $\beta = (\beta_t^i(x))$, $b = (b_t^i(x))$, $\sigma^k = (\sigma_t^{ik}(x))$, $\mathfrak{f} = (\mathfrak{f}_t^i(x))$ are \mathbb{R}^d -valued; $c = c_t(x)$, $f = f_t(x)$, $g = g_t(x)$, $\nu^k = \nu_t^k(x)$ and $h^k = h_t^k(x)$ are real-valued functions.

Definition 7.1. Set $W_2^0 = L_2$. For $i = 0, 1$ an W_2^i -valued function u on $\Omega \times [0, T]$ is called an W_2^i -solution of (7.1)–(7.2) if u_t is a W_2^i -continuous \mathcal{F}_t -adapted process,

$$u_t \in W_2^{i+1} \quad \text{for } P \otimes dt\text{-a.e. } (\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T], \quad \int_0^T |u_t|_{W_2^{i+1}}^2 dt < \infty \text{ (a.s.)},$$

and, for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with probability one

$$(u_t, \varphi) = (\psi, \varphi) + \int_0^t (\sigma_s^{ik} D_i u_s + \nu_s^k u_s + h_s^k, \varphi) dw_s^k \quad (7.3)$$

$$+ \int_0^t \{ (b_s^i D_i u_s + c_s u_s + f_s + g_s, \varphi) - (a_s^{ij} D_j u_s + \beta_s^i u_s + \mathfrak{f}_s^i, D_i \varphi) \} ds$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, where for functions h, v on \mathbb{R}^d the notation (h, v) means the integral of hv over \mathbb{R}^d against the Lebesgue measure.

Fix some

$$r \in (2, d], \quad (d \geq 3), \quad \rho_0 \in (0, 1],$$

and use the notation \mathbb{B}_ρ for the set of balls in \mathbb{R}^d with radius ρ .

Definition 7.2. Let α be 1 or $1/2$. A real-, vector-, or tensor-valued function f defined on $\Omega \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is called an α -admissible function if $f = f^M + f^B$ with $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable functions f^M and f^B , there exists a constant $\hat{f} \geq 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{B_\rho} |f_t^M(x)|^{\alpha r} dx \right)^{1/r} \leq \hat{f} \rho^{-1} \quad \text{for } t > 0, B_\rho \in \mathbb{B}_\rho, \rho \leq \rho_0,$$

and there exists a \mathcal{P} -measurable bounded function \bar{f} on $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f_t^B(x)| \leq \bar{f}_t \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega, \text{ and } \sup_\Omega \int_0^\infty \bar{f}_t^{2\alpha} dt < \infty.$$

We say that a function is admissible if it is 1-admissible.

Example 7.1. One easily checks that, if $r < d$, the function $1/|x|$ is admissible, $1/|x|^2$ is $1/2$ -admissible.

For $r \in [1, \infty)$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ we denote by $E_{r,\lambda}$ the *Morrey space* of functions f on \mathbb{R}^d , with values in a Euclidean space, such that

$$|f|_{r,\lambda} := \sup_{\rho \in (0, \rho_0], B \in \mathbb{B}_\rho} \rho^\lambda \left(\int_{B_\rho} |f(x)|^r dx \right)^{1/r} < \infty.$$

Notice that we do not change $|f|_{r,\lambda}$ if in its definition we take the supremum over balls of rational radius ρ between 0 and ρ_0 , centred at points with rational coordinates. Hence, if f is an $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable real-valued function on $\Theta \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for a measurable space (Θ, \mathcal{S}) , such that $|f(\theta)|_{r,\lambda} < \infty$ for every $\theta \in \Theta$, then $|f(\theta)|_{r,\lambda}$ is an \mathcal{S} -measurable function of $\theta \in \Theta$.

Assumption 7.1. There is a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|a| \leq \delta^{-1}, \quad (2a^{ij} - \sigma^{ik}\sigma^{jk})\lambda^i\lambda^j \geq \delta|\lambda|^2 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d, \omega, t. \quad (7.4)$$

Assumption 7.2. The functions β , b , and ν are admissible, c is 1/2-admissible.

We thus allow rather singular β, b, ν , see Example 7.1.

Assumption 7.3. The initial condition u_0 is in $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, L_2)$ and

$$E \int_0^T (|\mathfrak{f}_s|_{L_2}^2 + |f_s|_{L_2}^2 + |h_s|_{L_2}^2) ds + E \left(\int_0^T |g_s|_{L_2} ds \right)^2 < \infty. \quad (7.5)$$

Let $\mu = (\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an arbitrary nonnegative predictable process such that

$$\sup_{\Omega} \int_0^T \mu_t dt < \infty. \quad (7.6)$$

Theorem 7.1. *Under the above assumptions there exists $\theta_0 = \theta_0(d, \delta, r) \in (0, 1]$ such that, if*

$$\hat{b} + \hat{\beta} + \hat{c} + \hat{\nu} \leq \theta_0, \quad (7.7)$$

then (7.1)–(7.2) has a unique L_2 -solution. For this solution we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_2}^2 + E \int_0^T |u_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{W_2^1}^2 dt + E \int_0^T \alpha_t |u_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_2}^2 dt \\ & \leq NE |u_0|_{L_2}^2 + NE \int_0^T |h_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_2}^2 dt + NE \left(\int_0^T |g_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_2} dt \right)^2 \\ & \quad + NE \int_0^T (|\mathfrak{f}_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_2}^2 + |f_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_2}^2) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

where

$$\phi_t = \int_0^t \alpha_s ds,$$

with $\alpha_t = \lambda(\bar{b}_t^2 + \bar{\beta}_t^2 + \bar{\nu}_t^2 + \bar{c}_t + \rho_0^{-2} + \delta) + \mu_t$, and nonnegative (finite) constants $N = N(\delta)$ and $\lambda = \lambda(d, \delta, r)$.

We derive this theorem from Theorem 5.1 after some preparations. First we need Lemma 2.4 of [17] in which for functions u, v on \mathbb{R}^d we write $u \prec v$ if the integral of u over \mathbb{R}^d is less than or equal to that of v . If the integrals are equal, we write $u \sim v$.

Lemma 7.2. (i) *If f is admissible, then for any t and $u \in C_0^\infty$ we have*

$$|f_t|^2 |u|^2 \prec N(d, r) \hat{f}^2 |Du|^2 + (N(d, r) \rho_0^{-2} \hat{f}^2 + 2\bar{f}_t^2) |u|^2.$$

(ii) *If f is 1/2-admissible, then for any t and $u, v \in C_0^\infty$ we have*

$$|f_t^M| u^2 \prec N(d, r) \hat{f} |Du|^2 + N(d, r) \rho_0^{-2} \hat{f} |u|^2. \quad (7.9)$$

Corollary 7.3. *Inequalities (7.2) and (7.9) imply that for admissible $b = (b^1, \dots, b^d)$, 1/2-admissible c and functions $u, v \in C_0^\infty$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (v, b_t^{Mi} D_i u) &\leq N \hat{b} (|Dv|_H + \rho_0^{-1} |v|_H) |Du|_H, \\ |(u, c_t^M v)| &\leq |c_t^M|^{1/2} u|_H |c_t^M|^{1/2} v|_H \\ &\leq N \hat{c} (|Du|_H + \rho_0^{-1} |u|_H) (|Dv|_H + \rho_0^{-1} |v|_H) \end{aligned}$$

with constants $N = N(d, r)$, where $H = L_2$.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. In Section 4 set $H = L_2, V = W_2^1$. Define $A_t : V \rightarrow V^*$ by requiring

$$\langle u, A_t v \rangle = (u, b_t^{Mi} D_i v + c_t^M v - N_0 \rho_0^{-2} v - \delta v) - (D_i u, a_t^{ij} D_j v + \beta_t^{Mi} v) \quad (7.10)$$

to hold for any $u, v \in V$, where (\cdot, \cdot) is the scalar product in L_2 , and the constant N_0 , depending only on d, r , and δ , will be specified later. To show that such A_t is well defined observe that, by virtue of Corollary 7.3

$$|(u, b_t^{Mi} D_i v)| \leq |Dv|_H |b_t^M| |u|_H \leq N(d, r) |Dv|_H \hat{b} (|Du|_H + \rho_0^{-1} |u|_H). \quad (7.11)$$

Similarly, $|(D_i u, \beta_t^{Mi} v)| \leq N(d, r) |Du|_H \hat{\beta} (|Dv|_H + \rho_0^{-1} |v|_H)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |(u, c_t^M v)| &\leq |c_t^M|^{1/2} u|_H |c_t^M|^{1/2} v|_H \\ &\leq N(d, r) \hat{c} (|Du|_H + \rho_0^{-1} |u|_H) (|Dv|_H + \rho_0^{-1} |v|_H), \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

$$(D_i u, a_t^{ij} D_j v) \leq N(d, \delta) |Dv|_H |Du|_H.$$

Also let $B_t : V \rightarrow \ell_2(H)$ be defined by

$$B_t^k v = \sigma_t^{ik} D_i v + \nu_t^{Mk} v. \quad (7.13)$$

Due to Lemma 7.2 we have

$$|B_t v|_H \leq N(\delta) |Dv|_H + N(d, r) \hat{\nu} (|Dv|_H + \rho_0^{-1} |v|_H),$$

where, according to the notation introduced in the beginning of the section,

$$|B_t v|_H^2 = |B_t v|_{L_2}^2 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |B_t^k v(x)|^2 dx.$$

Next, let

$$A_t v = b_t^{Bi} D_i v, \quad C_t v = c_t^B v + N_0 \rho_0^{-2} v + \delta v, \quad B_t^k v = \nu_s^{Bk} v, \quad (7.14)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |A_t v|_H &\leq \bar{b}_t |v|_V, & |C_t v|_H &\leq (\bar{c}_t + N_0 \rho_0^{-2} + \delta) |v|_H, & |B_t v|_H &\leq \bar{v}_t |v|_H, \\ |A_t|_A &\leq \bar{b}_t, & |C_t|_C &\leq \bar{c}_t + N_0 \rho_0^{-2} + \delta, & |B_t|_B &\leq \bar{v}_t. \end{aligned}$$

Define also $A_t^* : H \rightarrow V^*$ such that

$$\langle u, A_t^* v \rangle = (D_i u, \beta_t^{Bi} v)_H \quad (7.15)$$

holds for all $u \in V$ and $v \in H$. Then

$$(D_i u, \beta_t^{Bi} v)_H \leq \bar{\beta}_t |u|_V |v|_H, \quad |A_t^*|_{A^*} \leq \bar{\beta}_t.$$

Finally, for $v \in V$, due to (7.4), (7.11), and (7.12) (with $N_i = N_i(d, \delta, r)$ and assuming apriori that $\hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{v} \leq 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle v, A_t v \rangle + |B_t v|_H^2 &\leq -\delta |Dv|_H^2 - 2N_0 \rho_0^{-2} |v|_H^2 - 2\delta |v|_H^2 \\ + N(\hat{b} + \hat{\beta} + \hat{c}) |Dv|_H^2 + N \rho_0^{-2} |v|_H^2 + 2|\sigma_s^i D_i v|_H |\nu_s^M v|_H \\ + |\nu_s^M v|_H^2 - 2\delta |v|_H^2 &\leq -(\delta/2) |Dv|_H^2 - 2N_0 \rho_0^{-2} |v|_H^2 \\ + N_1(\hat{b} + \hat{\beta} + \hat{c} + \hat{v}) |Dv|_H^2 + N_2 \rho_0^{-2} |v|_H^2 - 2\delta |v|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $N_2 \leq 2N_0$ and

$$N_1(\hat{b} + \hat{\beta} + \hat{c} + \hat{v}) \leq \delta/4$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle v, A_t v \rangle + |B_t v|_H^2 &\leq -(\delta/4) |Dv|_H^2 - 2\delta |v|_H^2 \leq -(\delta/4) |v|_V^2, \\ |B_t v|_H^2 &\leq N(\delta) |Dv|_H^2 + N_2 \rho_0^{-2} |v|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

After these manipulations Theorem 7.1 follows immediately from Theorem 5.1 and Remark 4.3.

Example 7.2. It turns out that generally \hat{b} should be small. To show this consider the function

$$u_t(x) = e^{-|x+w_t|^2/(2t)}, \quad t > 0, \quad u_0 = 0,$$

where w_t is a d -dimensional Wiener process. This function satisfies

$$du_t = \left(\Delta u_t - \frac{d}{|x+w_t|^2} (x+w_t)^i D_i u_t \right) dt + D_k u_t dw_t^k.$$

Also,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_t|^2 dx = N(d) t^{d/2}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Du_t|^2 dx = N(d) t^{d/2-1},$$

so that u satisfies the requirements in Theorem 7.1. In addition, as we mentioned above, $|b|$ is admissible. However, (7.8) fails, which shows that the constant factor d in b is not sufficiently small.

Remark 7.1. In the literature a very popular condition on b is that $b \in L_{p,q}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, that is $b_t(x) = b(t, x)$ (nonrandom) and

$$\|b\|_{L_{p,q}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b(t, x)|^p dx \right)^{q/p} dt \right)^{1/q} < \infty \quad (7.16)$$

with $p, q \in [2, \infty]$ satisfying

$$\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 1, \quad (7.17)$$

the so-called Ladyzhenskaya-Prodi-Serrin condition, see, for instance, [4], [24], [25], and the references therein. It is worth noting that condition (7.17) appears in [23], [26] and [20] as a critical case of a condition for the uniqueness of the Leray-Hopf generalised solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation and on their regularity. For recent developments in this direction we refer to [8] and the references therein.

Observe that, if $p > d$, we can take an arbitrary constant \hat{N} and introduce

$$\lambda(t) = \hat{N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b(t, x)|^p dx \right)^{1/(p-d)},$$

then for

$$b^M(t, x) = b(t, x) I_{|b(t, x)| \geq \lambda(t)}$$

and $B \in \mathbb{B}_\rho$ we have

$$\int_B |b^M(t, x)|^d dx \leq \lambda^{d-p}(t) \int_B |b(t, x)|^p dx \leq N(d) \hat{N}^{d-p} \rho^{-d}.$$

Here $N(d) \hat{N}^{d-p}$ can be made arbitrarily small if we choose \hat{N} large enough. In addition, for $b^B = b - b^M$ we have $|b^B| \leq \lambda$ and

$$\int_0^T \lambda^2(t) dt = \hat{N}^2 \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b(t, x)|^p dx \right)^{q/p} dt < \infty.$$

This shows that the assumption that b is admissible is weaker than (7.16), which is supposed to hold as one of alternative assumptions in [24] and [4] if $p > d$.

In case $p = \infty$ and $q = 2$, our assumption on b is the same as in [4], just take $b^M = 0$ (this case is not considered in [24], [25]), but, if $p = d$ (and $q = \infty$) our condition is, basically, weaker than in [24] (this case is excluded in [25]) and [4] since we can take $b^M = b I_{|b| \geq \lambda}$, where λ is a large constant and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b^M(t, x)|^d dx$$

will be uniformly small if $b(t, \cdot)$ is a continuous L_d -valued function (one of alternative conditions in [24] and [4]) or the L_d -norm of $b^M(t, \cdot)$ is uniformly small as in [4]. Our condition on b is satisfied with $r = d$, for instance, if

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |b(t, x)|^d I_{|b(t, x)| \geq \lambda \xi(t)} dx < \hat{b}^d$$

(with \hat{b} appropriate for (7.7)) for a function $\xi(t)$ of class $L_2([0, T])$.

If $p = d$ another alternative condition on b in [24] is that

$$\lambda^d |B \cap \{|b(t, \cdot)| > \lambda\}| \quad (7.18)$$

should be sufficiently small uniformly for all $B \in \mathbb{B}_1$, t , and $\lambda > 0$. It turns out that in this case the assumption that b is admissible is satisfied (with $b^B = 0$), any $r \in (1, d)$, and $\rho_0 = 1$. This is shown in the following way, where $B \in \mathbb{B}_\rho$, $\rho \leq 1$, $\alpha^d = M$, and M is the supremum of expressions in (7.18):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^r \int_B |b(t, x)|^r dx &= N \rho^{r-d} \left(\int_0^{\alpha/\rho} + \int_{\alpha/\rho}^\infty \right) \lambda^{r-1} |B \cap \{|b(t, \cdot)| > \lambda\}| d\lambda \\ &\leq N \rho^{r-d} \int_0^{\alpha/\rho} \rho^d \lambda^{r-1} d\lambda + N \rho^{r-d} M \int_{\alpha/\rho}^\infty \lambda^{r-d-1} d\lambda = NM^{r/d}. \end{aligned}$$

The case when one has $<$ in place of $=$ in (7.17) is usually called subcritical, whereas (7.17) is a critical case. It turns out that assumption that b is admissible can be satisfied with $r < d$ and $b^M(t, \cdot) \notin L_{r+\varepsilon, \text{loc}}$ no matter how small $\varepsilon > 0$ is. In this sense we are dealing with a ‘‘supercritical’’ case. Also note that a is a unit matrix in [4], [12], [24] and many other papers, where it is more appropriate to look for W_p^2 -solutions, rather than W_2^1 -solutions.

8. SECOND APPLICATION. RASING THE REGULARITY

We consider again the Cauchy problem (7.1)-(7.2) and in addition to Assumption 7.1 we make the following assumptions.

Assumption 8.1. The functions Da , $D\sigma$, b , c , ν and $D\nu$ are 1-admissible.

Assumption 8.2. We have $\beta = f = 0$, the initial condition u_0 in $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, W_2^1)$, and

$$E \int_0^T (|f_s|_{L_2}^2 + |h_s|_{W_2^1}^2) ds < \infty, \quad E \left(\int_0^T |g_s|_{W_2^1} ds \right)^2 < \infty. \quad (8.1)$$

Theorem 8.1. *Let Assumptions 7.1, 8.1 and 8.2 hold. Then there exists $\theta_1 = \theta_1(d, \delta, r) \in (0, 1]$ such that, if*

$$\hat{b} + \hat{c} + \hat{\nu} + \widehat{Da} + \widehat{D\sigma} + \widehat{D\nu} \leq \theta_1,$$

then (7.1)-(7.2) has a unique L_2 -solution that is also a unique W_2^1 -solution $u = (u_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{W_2^1}^2 + E \int_0^T |u_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{W_2^1}^2 dt &\leq N |u_0|_{W_2^1}^2 \\ + NE \int_0^T (|h_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{W_2^1}^2 + |f_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_2}^2) dt &+ NE \left(\int_0^T |e^{-\phi_t} g_t|_{W_2^1} dt \right)^2, \quad (8.2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi_t = N(d, \delta, r) \int_0^t (\bar{b}_s^2 + \bar{c}_s^2 + \bar{\nu}_s^2 + \overline{Da}_s^2 + \overline{D\sigma}_s^2 + \overline{D\nu}_s^2 + \rho_0^{-2} + \delta) ds$$

and the constants N depend only on d, δ .

Proof. We will again use Theorem 5.1 but in contrast to Section 7 we define H, V differently. Here we set $H = W_2^1$, $V = W_2^2$ and define the linear operators

$$A_t : V \rightarrow V^* \quad \text{and} \quad B_t : V \rightarrow \ell_2(H)$$

by requiring

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, A_t v \rangle &= ((1 - \Delta)u, b_t^{Mi} D_i v + c_t^M v - N_0 \rho_0^{-2} v - \delta v) - (D_i u, a_t^{ij} D_j v) \\ &\quad - (D_k D_i u, (D_k a)_t^{Mij} D_j v) - (D_k D_i u, a_t^{ij} D_k D_j v) \end{aligned} \quad (8.3)$$

and for integers $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} (u, B_t^k v)_H &= (u, \sigma_t^{ik} D_i v + \nu_t^{Mk} v) + (D_l u, (D_l \sigma)_t^{Mik} D_i v + (D_l \nu)_t^{Mk} v) \\ &\quad + (D_l u, \sigma_t^{ik} D_l D_i v + \nu_t^{Mk} D_l v) \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

to hold for any $u, v \in V$, where (\cdot, \cdot) and $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ are the scalar products in L_2 and in $H = W_2^1$, respectively, and $N_0 = N_0(d, r)$ is a constant, specified later.

Note that by Lemma 7.2

$$\begin{aligned} ((1 - \Delta)u, b_t^{Mi} D_i v) &\leq |u|_V |b_t^{Mi} D_i v|_{L_2} \leq N \hat{b} |u|_V (|D^2 v|_{L_2} + \rho_0^{-1} |Dv|_{L_2}), \\ ((1 - \Delta)u, c_t^M v) &\leq N \hat{c} |u|_V (|Dv|_{L_2} + \rho_0^{-1} |v|_{L_2}), \\ (D_k D_i u, (D_k a)_t^{Mij} D_j v) &\leq N \widehat{Da} |u|_V (|D^2 v|_{L_2} + \rho_0^{-1} |Dv|_{L_2}) \end{aligned}$$

with a constant $N = N(d, r)$. Hence it is easy to see that A_t is a bounded linear operator from V into V^* with operator norm bounded by a constant N depending only on $d, r, \delta, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \widehat{Da}$ and ρ_0 . Moreover, for $v \in V$ (we accept apriori that $\hat{b} + \hat{c} + \hat{\nu} + \widehat{Da} + \widehat{D\sigma} + \widehat{D\nu} \leq 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, A_t v \rangle &\leq -(D_i v, a_t^{ij} D_j v) - (D_k D_i v, a_t^{ij} D_k D_j v) - (N_0 \rho_0^{-2} + \delta) |v|_H^2 \\ &\quad + (\delta/8) (|Dv|_{L_2}^2 + |D^2 v|_{L_2}^2) + N_1 (\hat{b} + \hat{c} + \widehat{Da}) |v|_V + N_2 \rho_0^{-2} |v|_H^2 \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

with constant N_1, N_2 depending only of d, r, δ . From (8.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |B_t v|_H^2 &\leq |\sigma^i D_i v|_{L_2}^2 + |\sigma^i D D_i v|_{L_2}^2 + \delta |v|_H^2 \\ &\quad + N |\nu_t^M v|_{L_2}^2 + N |(D\sigma)_t^{Mi} D_i v|_{L_2}^2 \\ &\quad + N |\nu_t^{M\cdot} Dv|_{L_2}^2 + N |(D\nu)_t^{M\cdot} v|_{L_2}^2 \\ &\leq |\sigma^i D_i v|_{L_2}^2 + |\sigma^i D D_i v|_{L_2}^2 + \delta |v|_H^2 \\ &\quad + N (\hat{\nu} + \widehat{D\sigma}) |D^2 v|_{L_2} + N (\hat{\nu} + \widehat{D\nu}) |Dv|_{L_2} + N \rho_0^{-2} |v|_H^2, \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

with the constants N depending only on d, δ and r . This shows, in particular, that B_t is a bounded linear operator mapping V into $\ell_2(H)$ with operator norm bounded by a constant depending only on $d, \delta, r, \widehat{D\sigma}, \hat{\nu}, \widehat{D\nu}$ and ρ_0 .

Finally, for $v \in V$, due to (7.4) (8.5), and (8.6) (with $N_i = N_i(d, \delta, r)$)

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle v, A_t v \rangle + |B_t v|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 &\leq -\delta(|Dv|_{L_2}^2 + |D^2 v|_{L_2}^2) - 2N_0 \rho_0^{-2} |v|_H^2 - \delta |v|_H^2 \\ &\quad + N_1(\widehat{b} + \widehat{c} + \widehat{D}a + \widehat{\nu} + \widehat{D}\nu + \widehat{D}\sigma) |v|_V^2 + N_2 \rho_0^{-2} |v|_H^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|B_t v|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 \leq N(\delta) |v|_{W_2^2}^2 + N_1(\widehat{\nu} + \widehat{D}\sigma + \widehat{D}\nu) |D^2 v|_{L_2} + N \rho_0^{-2} |v|_H^2,$$

Hence for $N_2 \leq 2N_0$ and

$$N_1(\widehat{b} + \widehat{c} + \widehat{D}a + \widehat{\nu} + \widehat{D}\nu + \widehat{D}\sigma) \leq \delta/2$$

we get

$$2\langle v, A_t v \rangle + |B_t v|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 \leq -(\delta/2) |v|_V^2$$

and

$$|B_t v|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 \leq N(d, \delta) |v|_{W_2^2}^2 + N(d, \delta, r) \rho_0^{-2} |v|_H^2.$$

Next, we define the linear operators $A_t^* : H \rightarrow V^*$, $B_t : H \rightarrow \ell_2(H)$ and $C_t : H \rightarrow H$ such that for all $u \in V$ and $v \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, A_t^* v \rangle &= ((1 - \Delta)u, b_t^{Bi} D_i v + c_t^B v)_{L_2} - (D_k D_i u, (D_k a)_t^{Bij} D_j v)_{L_2}, \\ (u, B_t^k v)_H &= (u, \nu_t^{Bk} v)_{L_2} + (D_l u, (D_l \sigma)_t^{Bik} D_i v + (D_l \nu)_t^{Bk} v)_{L_2} \\ &\quad + (D_l u, \nu_t^{Bk} D_l v)_{L_2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(u, C_t v)_H = ((1 - \Delta)u, N_0 \rho_0^{-2} v + \delta v)_{L_2}$$

hold. Then it is easy to see that with $N = N(d)$

$$|A_t^* v|_{V^*} \leq N(\bar{b}_t + \bar{c}_t + \overline{D}a_t) |v|_H,$$

$$|B_t v|_H \leq N(\bar{\nu}_t + \overline{D}\sigma_t + \overline{D}\nu_t) |v|_H, \quad |C_t v|_H \leq (N_0 \rho_0^{-2} + \delta) |v|_H.$$

Let us now consider the evolution equation

$$dv_t = (A_t v_t + A_t^* v_t + F_t^* + G_t) dt + (B_t^k v_t + B_t^k v_t + h_t^k) dw_t^k, \quad v_0 = u_0, \quad (8.7)$$

where $F_t^* \in V^*$ and $G_t \in H$ are defined by requiring that for all $u \in W_2^2$

$$\langle u, F_t^* \rangle = ((1 - \Delta)u, f_t), \quad (u, G_t)_H = ((1 - \Delta)u, g_t) \quad (8.8)$$

hold. Then clearly, $|F_t|_{V^*} = |f_t|_{L_2}$, $|G_t|_H = |g_t|_H$, and it is not difficult to see that the H -solution of this initial value problem, in the sense of Definition 4.2, is an L_2 -solution to the Cauchy problem (7.1)-(7.2) (with $f = \beta = 0$), which by Theorem 7.1 is unique. Hence we can finish the proof of the theorem by applying Theorem 5.1 and Remark 4.3 to the evolution equation (8.7). \square

Instead of Assumptions 8.1 and 8.2 we may make the following assumptions.

Assumption 8.3. The functions Da , $D\sigma$, b , ν and $D\nu$ are 1-admissible. Moreover, c and Dc are 1/2-admissible.

Assumption 8.4. We have $\beta = \mathfrak{f} = 0$, $f = 0$, the initial condition u_0 is in $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, W_2^1)$, and

$$E \left(\int_0^T |g_s|_{W_2^1} ds \right)^2 + E \int_0^T |h_s|_{W_2^1}^2 ds < \infty. \quad (8.9)$$

Following the proof of Theorem 8.1 with minor modifications we can obtain the following theorem from Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 8.2. *Let Assumptions 7.1, 8.3, and 8.4 hold. Then there exists $\theta_2 = \theta_2(d, \delta, r) \in (0, 1]$ such that, if*

$$\hat{b} + \hat{c} + \hat{\nu} + \widehat{Dc} + \widehat{Da} + \widehat{D\sigma} + \widehat{D\nu} \leq \theta_1,$$

then (7.1)–(7.2) has a unique L_2 -solution $u = (u_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & E \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{W_2^1}^2 + E \int_0^T |u_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{W_2^1}^2 dt \leq N |u_0|_{W_2^1}^2 \\ & + NE \int_0^T (|h_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{W_2^1}^2 dt + NE \left(\int_0^T |g_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{W_2^1} dt \right)^2), \end{aligned} \quad (8.10)$$

where

$$\phi_t = \lambda \int_0^t (\bar{b}_s^2 + \bar{\nu}_s^2 + \overline{Da}_s^2 + \overline{D\sigma}_s^2 + \overline{D\nu}_s^2 + \bar{c}_s + \overline{Dc}_s + \rho_0^{-2} + \delta) ds,$$

and $\lambda = \lambda(d, \delta, r)$ and $N = N(d, \delta)$ are (finite) constants.

9. ESTIMATES OF SOLUTIONS IN L_p -SPACES

Fix a $p > 2$. In this section we suppose that together with Assumptions 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3 the following assumption holds.

Assumption 9.1. The initial condition u_0 is in $L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, L_p)$ and

$$E \left(\int_0^T (|\mathfrak{f}_s|_{L_p}^2 + |f_s|_{L_p}^2 + |h_s|_{L_p}^2) ds \right)^{p/2} + E \left(\int_0^T |g_s|_{L_p} ds \right)^p < \infty. \quad (9.1)$$

Theorem 9.1. *Let Assumptions 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 and 9.1 hold. Then there is $\kappa = \kappa(d, p, r, \delta) \in (0, 1]$ such that if*

$$\hat{b} + \hat{\beta} + \hat{c} + \hat{\nu} \leq \kappa,$$

then (7.1)–(7.2) has a unique L_2 -solution $u = (u_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ which is an L_p -valued weakly continuous process. Moreover, it is an L_q -valued continuous process for every $q \in [2, p)$, and there is a constant $N = N(d, p, \delta)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_p}^p + E \int_0^T e^{-p\phi_t} |u_t|^{p/2-1} |Du_t|_{L_2}^2 dt \\ & + E \int_0^T \alpha_t e^{-p\phi_t} |u_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_p}^p dt \leq NI, \end{aligned} \quad (9.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I := E|u_0|_{L_p}^p + E\left(\int_0^T |h_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_p}^2 dt\right)^{p/2} + E\left(\int_0^T |g_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_p} dt\right)^p \\ + E\left(\int_0^T (|\hat{f}_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_p}^2 + |f_t e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_p}^2) dt\right)^{p/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (9.3)$$

$$\phi_t = \int_0^t \alpha_s ds, \quad \alpha_t = \lambda\gamma_t + \mu_t, \quad \gamma_t = \bar{b}_t^2 + \bar{\beta}_t^2 + \bar{\nu}_t^2 + \bar{c}_t + \rho_0^{-2} + 1 \quad (9.4)$$

with a constant $\lambda = \lambda(d, p, r, \delta) > 0$ and any nonnegative predictable process μ satisfying (7.6).

To raise the regularity of the solution we make the following assumptions.

Assumption 9.2. The functions Da , $D\sigma$, b , c , ν and $D\nu$ are admissible.

Assumption 9.3. We have $\beta = \hat{f} \equiv 0$ (a.s.) and for $\mathbf{p} = 2, p$ the initial condition u_0 is in $L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, W_p^1)$ and

$$E\left(\int_0^T (|f_s|_{L_p}^2 + |h_s, Dh_s|_{L_p}^2 ds)\right)^{p/2} + E\left(\int_0^T |g_s|_{W_p^1} ds\right)^p < \infty. \quad (9.5)$$

We use a weight function $\exp(-\Psi_t)$ with

$$\Psi_t = \int_0^t \Lambda_s ds, \quad \Lambda_s = C(1 + \overline{Da}_s^2 + \overline{D\sigma}_s^2 + \bar{b}_s^2 + \bar{c}_s^2 + \bar{\nu}_s^2 + \overline{D\nu}_s^2) + \mu_s,$$

where $C = C(d, p, r, \delta, \rho_0)$ is a nonnegative constant, and $\mu = (\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a nonnegative predictable process satisfying (7.6).

Theorem 9.2. *Let Assumptions 7.1, 9.2 and 9.3 hold. Then there is a constant $\kappa = \kappa(p, \delta, d, r) > 0$ such that, if*

$$\widehat{Da} + \widehat{D\sigma} + \hat{b} + \hat{c} + \hat{\nu} + \widehat{D\nu} \leq \kappa,$$

then there is a unique L_2 -solution that is also a unique W_2^1 -solution u to (7.1)–(7.2). Moreover, $u = (u_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a W_p^1 -valued weakly continuous process, it is continuous as a W_q^1 -valued process for every $q \in [2, p)$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{t \leq T} |e^{-\Psi_t} u_t|_{W_p^1}^p + E \int_0^T e^{-p\Psi_t} (|Du_t|^{p/2-1} D^2 u_t|_{L_2}^2 + \Lambda_t |u_t|_{W_p^1}^p) dt \\ \leq NE|u_0|_{W_p^1}^p + E\left(\int_0^T |e^{-\Psi_t} g_t|_{W_p^1} dt\right)^p \\ + NE\left(\int_0^T (|e^{-\Psi_t} f_t|_{L_p}^2 + |e^{-\Psi_t} h_t|_{W_p^1}^2) dt\right)^{p/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (9.6)$$

where N is a constant depending only on d, p, δ .

Proof of Theorem 9.1. By Theorem 8.1 there is a unique L_2 -solution u to (7.1)–(7.2). To prove (9.2) first we make the additional assumptions that the coefficients are smooth in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, their derivatives in x are bounded functions on $[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and the initial value u_0 and free terms f, \mathfrak{f}, g and h are also smooth in x and such that

$$\begin{aligned} & E|D^n u_0|_{L_p}^p + E \int_0^T |D^n f_t|_{L_p}^p dt + E \int_0^T |D^n \mathfrak{f}_t|_{L_p}^p dt \\ & + E \int_0^T |D^n g_t|_{L_p}^p dt + E \int_0^T |D^n h_t|_{L_p}^p dt < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (9.7)$$

for every integer $n \geq 0$. Then by Theorem 1.2 in [13] u is a W_p^n -valued continuous process. Thus we can apply Theorem 2.1 in [16] on Itô's formula to $|u_t|_{L_p}^p$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1/p)d|u|_{L_p}^p = -(p-1)(|u_t|^{p-2}(a_t^{ij}D_j u_t + \beta_t^i u_t + \mathfrak{f}_t^i), D_i u_t) dt \\ & + (\theta_t |u_t|^{p-1}, b_t^i D_i u_t + c_t u_t + f_t + g_t) dt + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J_t dx \right) dt + dm_t, \end{aligned} \quad (9.8)$$

where $\theta_t = \text{sign } u_t$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_t & := \frac{p-1}{2}|u_t|^{p-2} \sum_k |M^k u_t + h_t^k|^2 \leq \frac{p-1}{2}|u_t|^{p-2} \sigma_t^{ik} D_i u_t \sigma_t^{jk} D_j u_t \\ & + (\delta/(2p))|DU_t|^2 + N'|\nu_t|^2|U_t|^2 + N|u_t|^{p-2}|h_t|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (9.9)$$

$$m_t := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \theta_t |u_t|^{p-1} (M^k u_t + h_t^k) dx dw_t^k,$$

where $U_t = |u_t|^{p/2}$ and N, N' are constants depending only on d, p and δ . Using Assumption 7.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & := -(p-1)|u_t|^{p-2} a_t^{ij} D_j u_t D_i u_t \\ & + \frac{p-1}{2}|u_t|^{p-2} \sigma^{ik} D_i u_t \sigma^{jk} D_j u_t + (\delta/(2p))|DU_t|^2 \\ & \leq -\frac{2(p-1)}{p^2}(2a^{ij} - \sigma^{ik}\sigma^{jk})D_i U_t D_j U_t + (\delta/2p)|DU_t|^2 \leq -\hat{\delta}|DU_t|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (9.10)$$

with $\hat{\delta} = \delta/(2p)$. By Lemma 7.2 (using $\hat{b}, \hat{\beta} \leq 1$) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & := -(p-1)|u_t|^{p-2} D_i u_t \beta_t^i u_t + \theta_t |u_t|^{p-1} b_t^i D_i u_t \leq N|U_t|(|\beta_t| + |b_t|)|DU_t| \\ & \prec (\hat{\delta}/4)|DU_t|^2 + N_1(\hat{\beta} + \hat{b})|DU_t|^2 + N(\bar{\beta}_t^2 + \bar{b}_t^2 + \rho_0^{-2})U_t^2 \end{aligned}$$

with $N_1 = N_1(d, p, r, \delta)$ and $N = N(d, p, r, \delta)$. Similarly,

$$I_3 := \theta_t |u_t|^{p-1} c_t u_t \leq |c_t|U_t^2 \prec N_2 \hat{c}|DU|^2 + (\bar{c}_t + N\rho_0^{-2})U^2,$$

with N_2 and N , depending only on d, p and r , and (using $\hat{\nu} \leq 1$)

$$I_4 := N'|\nu_t|^2|U_t|^2 \prec N_3 \hat{\nu}|DU|^2 + (2N'\bar{\nu}_t^2 + N\rho_0^{-2})U^2 \quad (9.11)$$

with constants $N_3 = N_3(d, p, r, \delta)$, and $N = N(d, p, r, \delta)$, where N' is the constant in (9.9). We subject $\hat{\beta}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\nu}$ to

$$N_1(\hat{\beta} + \hat{b}) \leq \hat{\delta}/4, \quad N_2 \hat{c} + N_3 \hat{\nu} \leq \hat{\delta}/4 \quad (9.12)$$

to get

$$I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 \prec -(\hat{\delta}/2)|DU_t|^2 + N(\bar{\beta}_t^2 + \bar{b}_t^2 + \bar{v}_t^2 + \bar{c}_t + \rho_0^{-2})|U_t|^2 \quad (9.13)$$

with $N = N(d, p, r, \delta)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |u_t|^{p-2} |\mathfrak{f}_t^i D_i u_t| &= |u_t|^{(p/2)-1} ||u_t|^{(p/2)-1} \mathfrak{f}_t^i D_i u_t| = (2/p) ||u_t|^{(p/2)-1} \mathfrak{f}_t^i D_i U_t|. \\ &\leq (2/p) |u_t|^{(p/2)-1} |\mathfrak{f}| |DU_t| \end{aligned} \quad (9.14)$$

Using the above estimates, (9.9), (9.10), (9.13) and (9.14), from (9.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1/p)d|u|_{L_p}^p &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^4 I_i(t, x) dx \right) dt \\ &+ ((p-1) ||u_t|^{p-2} \mathfrak{f}_t^i D_i u_t|_{L_1} + |u_t|^{p-1} f_t|_{L_1} + N ||u_t|^{p-2} |h_t|^2|_{L_1}) dt + dm_t \\ &\leq -(\hat{\delta}/2) |DU_t|_{L_2}^2 dt + N(\bar{\beta}_t^2 + \bar{b}_t^2 + \bar{v}_t^2 + \bar{c}_t + \rho_0^{-2}) |U_t|_{L_2}^2 dt \\ &+ ((p-1) ||u_t|^{p-2} |\mathfrak{f}_t| D u_t|_{L_1} + N ||u_t|^{p-2} |h_t|^2|_{L_1}) dt \\ &+ (|u_t|^{p-1} f_t|_{L_1} + |u_t|^{p-1} g_t|_{L_1}) dt + dm_t. \end{aligned}$$

Now we take λ (in the definition of α) greater than p times the constant N in (9.13) (λ will be further adjusted later). This specifies ϕ_t and using Itô's product rule we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-\phi_t} u_t|_{L_p}^p + (\delta/2) \int_0^t e^{-p\phi_s} |DU_s|_{L_2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \alpha_s |e^{-\phi_s} u_s|_{L_p}^p ds \\ \leq |u_0|_{L_p}^p + \sum_{i=1}^5 A_i(t) \end{aligned} \quad (9.15)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(t) &= N \int_0^t (|e^{-\phi_s} u_s|^{p-2}, |e^{-\phi_s} h_s|^2) ds, \\ A_2(t) &= 2(p-1) \int_0^t e^{-p\phi_s} (|u_s|^{(p/2)-1} |\mathfrak{f}_s|, |DU_s|) ds \\ A_3(t) &= p \int_0^t (|e^{-\phi_s} u_s|^{p-1}, |e^{-\phi_s} f_s|) ds, \\ A_4 &= p \int_0^t (|e^{-\phi_s} u_s|^{p-1}, |e^{-\phi_s} g_s|) ds, \quad A_5 = p \int_0^t e^{-p\phi_s} dm_s, \end{aligned}$$

where N is a constant depending on d, p and δ . Hence for any stopping time $\tau \leq T$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\phi_s} |DU_s|_{L_2}^2 ds + E \int_0^\tau \alpha_s |e^{-\phi_s} u_s|_{L_p}^p ds \\ \leq NE |u_0|_{L_p}^p + N \sum_{i=1}^5 EA_i(\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (9.16)$$

$$E \sup_{t \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi_s} u_s|_{L_p}^p \leq E |u_0|_{L_p}^p + N \sum_{i=1}^5 EA_i(\tau) + pE \sup_{t \leq \tau} \left| \int_0^t e^{-p\phi_s} dm_s \right| \quad (9.17)$$

with constants $N = N(d, p, \delta)$. By Davis's inequality, then using $|u|^{p-1} = |u|^{p/2}|u|^{p/2-1}$ and Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakovsky inequality, for the last term we get

$$\begin{aligned} pE \sup_{t \leq \tau} \left| \int_0^t e^{-p\phi_s} dm_s \right| &\leq 3pE \left(\int_0^\tau e^{-2p\phi_s} \sum_k ||u_s|^{p-1} (M_s^k u_s + g_s^k)|_{L_1}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 6pE \left(\int_0^\tau e^{-2p\phi_s} |u_s|_{L_p}^p |J_s|_{L_1} ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 6p \left(E \sup_{t \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi_s} u_s|_{L_p}^p \right)^{1/2} \left(E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\phi_s} |J_s|_{L_1} ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} E \sup_{s \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi_s} u_s|_{L_p}^p + 36p^2 E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\phi_s} |J_s|_{L_1} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (9.18)$$

From (9.9) (see (9.11) and (9.12)) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_s|_{L_1} &\leq N |DU_s|_{L_2}^2 + N_1 (\bar{\nu}_s^2 + \rho_0^{-2}) |u_s|_{L_p}^p + N_2 ||u_s|^{p-2} |h_s|^2|_{L_1} \\ &\leq N |DU_s|_{L_2}^2 + \alpha |u_s|_{L_p}^p + N_2 ||u_s|^{p-2} |h_s|^2|_{L_1} \end{aligned}$$

with constants $N = N(d, p, \delta)$, $N_1 = N_1(d, p, r, \delta)$, and $N_2 = N_2(d, p, \delta)$, by choosing $\lambda \geq N_1$. Using this to estimate the last term in (9.18) and taking into account (9.16), from (9.17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{t \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi_t} u_t|_{L_p}^p + E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\phi_s} |DU_s|_{L_2}^2 ds + E \int_0^\tau \alpha_s |e^{-\phi_s} u_s|_{L_p}^p ds \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} E \sup_{s \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi_s} u_s|_{L_p}^p + NE |u_0|_{L_p}^p + N \sum_{i=1}^4 EA_i(\tau) \end{aligned} \quad (9.19)$$

with a constant $N = N(d, p, \delta)$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} NEA_1(\tau) &\leq NE \sup_{s \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi_s} u_s|_{L_p}^{p-2} \int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi_s} h_s|_{L_p}^2 ds \\ &\leq (1/8) E \sup_{s \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi_s} u_s|_{L_p}^p + N_1 \left(\int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi_s} h_s|_{L_p}^2 ds \right)^{p/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (9.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} NEA_2(\tau) &\leq (1/4) E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\phi_s} |DU_s|_{L_2}^2 ds + N' E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\phi_s} ||u_s|^{(p/2)-1} |f_s|_{L_2}^2 ds \\ &\leq (1/4) E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\phi_s} |DU_s|_{L_2}^2 ds + (1/8) E \sup_{s \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi_s} u_s|_{L_p}^p \\ &\quad + N_2 E \left(\int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi_s} f_s|_{L_p}^2 ds \right)^{p/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (9.21)$$

$$NA_3(\tau) \leq E \int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi_s} u_s|_{L_p}^p ds + N_3 E \int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi_s} f_s|_{L_p}^p ds, \quad (9.22)$$

$$NA_4(\tau) \leq (1/8)E \sup_{s \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi_s} u_s|_{L_p}^p + N_4 E \left(\int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi_s} g_s|_{L_p} ds \right)^p, \quad (9.23)$$

where N is the constant from (9.19), N' , N_1 , N_2 , N_3 and N_4 are constants, depending only on d , p and δ . Using now (9.19), and (9.20) through (9.23) with

$$\tau_n = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : |u_t|_{W_p^1} \geq n\} \wedge T,$$

in place of τ , we get (9.2) with τ_n instead of T , which implies (9.2) by Fatou's lemma, since $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

To dispense with the additional assumptions on the coefficients, initial and free data of the problem (7.1)–(7.2), we are going to approximate this problem. To this end let κ be a nonnegative compactly supported smooth function with unit integral over \mathbb{R}^d . For locally integrable functions v on \mathbb{R}^d we use the notation $v^{(\varepsilon)}$ for the mollification $v * \kappa_\varepsilon$, where $\kappa_\varepsilon(\cdot) = \varepsilon^{-d} \kappa(\cdot/\varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If h is an α -admissible function with a given decomposition $h = h^B + h^M$ and associated \hat{h}, \bar{h} , then for $\varepsilon > 0$ the notation h^ε means

$$h^\varepsilon := h^{B\varepsilon} + h^{M(\varepsilon)}, \quad \text{where} \quad h^{B\varepsilon} := \mathbf{1}_{\bar{h} \leq 1/\varepsilon} h^{B(\varepsilon)}, \quad (9.24)$$

and the mollification is understood only in the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Note that

$$\hat{h}^\varepsilon \leq \hat{h}, \quad |h^{B\varepsilon}| \leq \bar{h} \quad \text{for all } (\omega, t, x) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (9.25)$$

We approximate equation (7.1) with

$$dv_t = (L_t^\varepsilon v_t + D_i \mathfrak{f}_t^{\varepsilon i} + f_t^\varepsilon + g_t^\varepsilon) dt + (M_t^{\varepsilon k} v_t + h_t^{\varepsilon k}) dw_t^k, \quad v_0 = u_0^{(\varepsilon)}, \quad (9.26)$$

where

$$h_t^\varepsilon := \mathbf{1}_{|h_t|_{L_p} \leq 1/\varepsilon} h_t^{(\varepsilon)},$$

$$\mathfrak{f}_t^\varepsilon := \mathbf{1}_{|\mathfrak{f}_t|_{L_p} \leq 1/\varepsilon} \mathfrak{f}_t^{(\varepsilon)}, \quad f_t^\varepsilon := \mathbf{1}_{|f_t|_{L_p} \leq 1/\varepsilon} f_t^{(\varepsilon)}, \quad g_t^\varepsilon := \mathbf{1}_{|g_t|_{L_p} \leq 1/\varepsilon} g_t^{(\varepsilon)}, \quad (9.27)$$

$$L_t^\varepsilon v = D_i (a_t^{(\varepsilon)ij} D_j v + \beta_t^{\varepsilon i} v) + b^{\varepsilon i} D_i u_t + c_t^\varepsilon v, \quad M_t^{\varepsilon k} v = \sigma_t^{(\varepsilon)ik} D_i v + \nu_t^{\varepsilon k} v.$$

It is easy to see that the coefficients of this equation together with their partial derivatives in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ up to any order are bounded functions on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, $a^{(\varepsilon)}$ and $\sigma^{(\varepsilon)} = (\sigma^{1(\varepsilon)}, \dots, \sigma^{d_1(\varepsilon)})$ satisfy Assumption 7.1 with the constant δ , and we have (9.7) with $u_0^{(\varepsilon)}$, \mathfrak{f}^ε , f^ε , g^ε and h^ε , in place of u_0 , \mathfrak{f} , f , g and h , respectively. Consequently, by virtue of what we have proved above, (9.26) admits a unique L_2 -solution $u^\varepsilon = (u_t^\varepsilon)_{t \in [0, T]}$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$, it is a weakly continuous L_p -valued process. Moreover,

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t^\varepsilon e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_p}^p + E \int_0^T e^{-p\phi_t} |u_t^\varepsilon|^{p/2-1} |Du_t^\varepsilon|_{L_2}^2 dt$$

$$+ E \int_0^T \alpha_t e^{-p\phi_t} |u_t^\varepsilon e^{-\phi_t}|_{L_p}^p dt \leq NI, \quad (9.28)$$

with a constant $N = N(d, p, \delta)$ and I from (9.3).

Naturally, we are going to apply Theorem 6.1 to prove that, for any sequence $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |u_t^{\varepsilon_n} - u_t|_{L_2}^2 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \int_0^T |Du_t^{\varepsilon_n} - Du_t|_{L_2}^2 dt = 0. \quad (9.29)$$

To this end we set $V = W_2^1$, $H = L_2$ and cast (7.1)–(7.2) into the evolution equation (5.1) (see the proof of Theorem 7.1), and for each integer $n \geq 1$ we cast (9.26), with ε_n in place of ε , into the evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned} du_t^{\varepsilon_n} &= [A_t^n u_t^{\varepsilon_n} + A_t^{*n} u_t^{\varepsilon_n} + A_t^n u_t^{\varepsilon_n} + C_t^n u_t^{\varepsilon_n} + f_t^{*n} + f_t^n + g_t^n] dt \\ &+ (B_t^{nk} u_t^{\varepsilon_n} + B_t^{nk} u_t^{\varepsilon_n} + h_t^{nk}) dw_t^k, \quad t \leq T, \quad u_t^{\varepsilon_n}|_{t=0} = u_0^{(\varepsilon_n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (9.30)$$

(see the proof of Theorem 7.1), where A_t^n , B_t^n , A_t^{*n} , A_t^n , B_t^n , C_t^n are defined as A_t , B_t , A_t^* , A_t , B_t , C_t , but with $a^{(\varepsilon_n)}$, $b^{\varepsilon_n}, \dots, \nu^{\varepsilon_n}$ in place of a, b, \dots, ν in their definition in (7.10), (7.13), (7.14), and (7.15). The free terms f^{*n}, f^n, g^n are defined according to (9.27), to ease the notation we replace ε_n with n . To get (9.29) we are going to verify the conditions of Theorem 6.1.

Using well-known properties of mollifications and taking into account (9.25) we can easily check that parts (i), (ii) and (iii) of Assumption 6.1 hold. To verify part (iv) of this assumption, let $v \in C_0^\infty$ be vanishing outside of a ball B_R of radius R . Then for the unit ball B_V in $V = W_2^1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\phi \in B_V} |((a_t^{ij} - a_t^{(\varepsilon)ij}) D_j v, D_i \phi)_H| &\leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Dv| |\mathbf{1}_{B_R}(a - a^{(\varepsilon)})|_H, \\ \sup_{\phi \in B_V} |((b_t^{Mi} - b_t^{M(\varepsilon)i}) D_i v, \phi)_H| &\leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Dv| |\mathbf{1}_{B_R}(b_t^M - b_t^{M(\varepsilon)})|_H, \\ \sup_{\phi \in B_V} |((\beta_t^{Mi} - \beta_t^{M(\varepsilon)i}) v, D_i \phi)_H| &\leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v| |\mathbf{1}_{B_R}(\beta_t^M - \beta_t^{M(\varepsilon)})|_H. \end{aligned} \quad (9.31)$$

where, by well-known properties of mollification, the right-hand side of each inequality converges to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, using Lemma 7.2 and taking into account (9.25) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\varphi \in B_V} |((c_t^M - c_t^{M(\varepsilon)}) v, \varphi)_H| &\leq \sup_{\varphi \in B_V} |(|c_t^M - c_t^{M(\varepsilon)}|^{1/2} v, |c_t^M - c_t^{M(\varepsilon)}|^{1/2} \varphi)| \\ &\leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v| |\mathbf{1}_{B_R} |c_t^M - c_t^{M(\varepsilon)}|^{1/2}|_{L_2} \sup_{\varphi \in B_V} |\mathbf{1}_{B_R} |c_t^M - c_t^{M(\varepsilon)}|^{1/2} \varphi|_{L_2} \\ &\leq N \hat{c}^{1/2} \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v| |\mathbf{1}_{B_R} (c_t^M - c_t^{M(\varepsilon)})|_{L_1}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (9.32)$$

with a constant $N = N(d, r, \rho_0)$. Note that by well-known properties of mollification the right-hand side of the last inequality converges to zero for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, which together with the convergence to zero of the left-hand side of each inequality in (9.31) implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E |(A_t^n - A_t) v|_{V^*}^2 = 0.$$

In the same way we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(B_t^n - B_t)v|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 = 0,$$

i.e., (6.2) holds. To verify (6.1) let $v \in \mathbb{V}$ (see Definition 4.1) and note that

$$E \int_0^T |(A_t^{*n} - A_t^*)v_t|_{V^*}^2 dt \leq E \int_0^T |(\beta_t^B - \beta_t^{\varepsilon_n B})v_t|_H^2 dt, \quad (9.33)$$

where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\beta_t^B - \beta_t^{\varepsilon_n B}| = 0$ for almost every (ω, t, x) , and

$$|(\beta_t^B - \beta_t^{\varepsilon_n B})v_t|_H^2 \leq 4 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |v_t|_H^2 \bar{\beta}_t^2,$$

$$E \int_0^T \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |v_t|_H^2 \bar{\beta}_t^2 dt \leq E \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |v_t|_H^2 \sup_{\Omega} \int_0^T \bar{\beta}_t^2 dt < \infty.$$

Thus letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (9.33), by dominated convergence we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \int_0^T |(A_t^{*n} - A_t^*)v_t|_{V^*}^2 dt = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left(\int_0^T |(A_t^n - A_t)v_t|_H dt \right)^2 \leq T \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \int_0^T |(b_t^{Bi} - b_t^{\varepsilon_n Bi})D_i v_t|_H^2 dt = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \int_0^T |(B_t^n - B_t)v_t|_H^2 dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \int_0^T \|\nu_t^B - \nu_t^{\varepsilon_n B}\|_{\ell_2}^2 |v_t|_H^2 dt = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left(\int_0^T |(C_t^n - C_t)v_t|_H dt \right)^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left(\int_0^T |(c_t^B - c_t^{B\varepsilon_n})v_t|_H dt \right)^2 = 0,$$

which finishes the verification of condition (6.1). This also completes the verification of the conditions of Theorem 6.1, and by that, the proof of (9.29). In particular, for a subsequence of ε_n , for simplicity denoted also by ε_n , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^{\varepsilon_n} = u, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Du^{\varepsilon_n} = Du \quad \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \text{- (a.e.)}.$$

By lemma Fatou and (9.28) this allows us to conclude that

$$E \int_0^T e^{-p\phi t} | |u_t|^{p/2-1} Du_t |_{L^2}^2 dt + E \int_0^T \alpha_t e^{-p\phi t} |u_t e^{-\phi t}|_{L^p}^p dt \leq NI.$$

To get the remaining part of estimate (9.2) observe that for any constant $M > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (|u_t| \wedge M)^p &\leq 2^p (|u_t - u_t^{\varepsilon_n}| \wedge M)^p + 2^p |u_t^{\varepsilon_n}|^p \\ &\leq 2^p M^{p-2} |u_t - u_t^{\varepsilon_n}|^2 + 2^p |u_t^{\varepsilon_n}|^p, \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t|^p = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} E \sup_{t \leq T} (|u_t| \wedge M)^p \leq NI.$$

This proves (9.2).

Finally, the fact that u_t is weakly continuous as an L_p -valued process and is continuous as an L_q -valued process for every $q \in [2, p)$ immediately follows from its continuity as an L_2 -valued process and the finiteness of $E \sup_{t \leq T} |u_t|^p$. The theorem is proved.

Remark 9.1. From its proof it can be seen that Theorem 9.1 holds also with the estimate obtained by replacing the right-hand side of (9.2) with

$$\begin{aligned} & N|u_0|_{L_p}^p + NE \int_0^T |e^{-\phi t} f_t|_{L_p}^p dt + NE \left(\int_0^T |e^{-\phi t} g_t|_{L_p}^{p/(1+\kappa)} dt \right)^{1+\kappa} \\ & + NE \left(\int_0^T |e^{-\phi t} \mathfrak{f}_t|_{L_p}^{2p/(2+\kappa')} dt \right)^{(2+\kappa')/2} + NE \left(\int_0^T |e^{-\phi t} h_t|_{L_p}^{2p/(2+\kappa'')} dt \right)^{(2+\kappa'')/2} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\kappa \in [0, p-1]$ and $\kappa', \kappa'' \in [0, p-2]$, where N depends only on d, p, δ .

To see this we need only replace the estimates (9.20), (9.21) and (9.23) with the following estimates, valid for any $\kappa, \kappa' \in [0, p-2]$ and $\kappa'' \in [0, p-1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} NEA_1(\tau) & \leq NE \sup_{s \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi s} u_s|_{L_p}^\kappa \int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi s} u_s|_{L_p}^{p-2-\kappa} |e^{-\phi s} h_s|_{L_p}^2 ds \\ & \leq (1/8)E \sup_{s \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi s} u_s|_{L_p}^p + NE \int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi s} u_s|_{L_p}^p ds \\ & \quad + NE \left(\int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi s} h_s|_{L_p}^{2p/(\kappa+2)} ds \right)^{(\kappa+2)/2}, \\ NEA_2(\tau) & \leq NE \int_0^\tau e^{-p\phi s} |\mathfrak{f}_s| |u_s|^{(p/2)-1} |DU_s|_{L_2} ds \\ & \leq (1/4)E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\phi s} |DU_s|_{L_2}^2 ds + NE \int_0^\tau e^{-p\phi s} |u_s|_{L_p}^{p-2} |\mathfrak{f}_s|_{L_p}^2 ds \\ & \leq (1/4)E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\phi s} |DU_s|_{L_2}^2 ds + (1/8)E \sup_{s \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi s} u_s|_{L_p}^p \\ & \quad + NE \left(\int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi s} \mathfrak{f}_s|_{L_p}^{2p/(\kappa'+2)} ds \right)^{(\kappa'+2)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} NA_4(\tau) & \leq E \sup_{s \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi s} u_s|_{L_p}^{\kappa''} \int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi s} u_s|_{L_p}^{p-1-\kappa''} |e^{-\phi s} g_s|_{L_p} ds \\ & \leq (1/8)E \sup_{s \leq \tau} |e^{-\phi s} u_s|_{L_p}^p + NE \int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi s} u_s|_{L_p}^p ds \\ & \quad + NE \left(\int_0^\tau |e^{-\phi s} g_s|_{L_p}^{p/(1+\kappa'')} ds \right)^{1+\kappa''}, \end{aligned} \tag{9.34}$$

where N denotes constants depending only on d, p and δ .

This has some advantages in comparison with using Hölder's inequality, which yields, for instance,

$$E \left(\int_0^T |e^{-2\phi t} g_t|_{L_p} dt \right)^p \leq E \left(\int_0^T |e^{-\phi t} g_t|_{L_p}^{p/(1+\kappa)} dt \right)^{1+\kappa}$$

$$\times \left(\int_0^T e^{-\phi_t p / (p-\kappa-1)} dt \right)^{p-\kappa-1} \leq NE \left(\int_0^T |e^{-\phi_t} g_t|_{L^p}^{p/(1+\kappa)} dt \right)^{1+\kappa}.$$

Proof of Theorem 9.2. By Theorem 9.1 there is a unique L_2 -solution u , and estimate (9.2) holds. To prove estimate (9.6), as in the proof of Theorem 9.1, first we make the additional assumptions that the coefficients are smooth in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, their derivatives in x are bounded functions on $[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and the initial value u_0 and free terms f, \mathfrak{f}, g and h are also smooth in x and satisfy (9.7), which imply (see Theorem 1.2 in [13]) that u is a W_p^n -valued continuous process for every integer $n \geq 1$. To get (9.6) we follow the proof of a similar estimate in [17], with appropriate changes. To this end first we recall some notations and statements from [17].

Let $\mathfrak{h}(\eta) = (1 + |\eta|^\kappa)^{-1}$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and with a fixed $\kappa \geq d + p + 1$. For functions $u(x, \eta)$ and $v(x, \eta)$ on \mathbb{R}^{2d} we write $u \prec_\kappa v$ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) u(x, \eta) dx d\eta \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) v(x, \eta) dx d\eta.$$

Similarly, for functions $u_t(x, \eta)$ and $v_t(x, \eta)$ on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ we write $du_t \prec_\kappa dv_t$ if $u_t - u_s \prec_\kappa v_t - v_s$ for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$. One can show, see Corollary 4.2 in [17], that for any smooth functions u, v on \mathbb{R}^d , and for $p, q \geq 0$ and $\kappa \geq d + 1 + (p + q)d$,

$$\begin{aligned} |Du|^p &\leq N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) |u_{(\eta)}|^p d\eta \\ |Du|^p |D^2v|^q &\leq N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) |u_{(\eta)}|^p |Dv_{(\eta)}|^q d\eta \end{aligned} \quad (9.35)$$

holds, where $u_{(\eta)} := \eta^i D_i u$ for functions u on \mathbb{R}^d . As in [17], we introduce

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= v_t(x, \eta) = u_{t(\eta)}(x), \quad \theta_t = \text{sign } v_t, \\ U_t &= |u_t|^{p/2}, \quad V_t = |v_t|^{p/2}, \quad W_t = |\eta|^{p/2} |Du_t|^{p/2-1} |D^2u_t|. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$|DV_t|^2 \leq NW_t^2 \quad (9.36)$$

with $N = N(d, p)$, and that Corollary 4.2 in [17] implies

$$|\eta|^p |Du|^p \prec_\kappa N |v_t|^p, \quad W_t^2 \prec_\kappa N |DV_t|^2 \quad (9.37)$$

with $N = N(d, p, \kappa)$. Substituting $-\varphi_{(\eta)}$ in place of φ in (7.3) and taking into account

$$\begin{aligned} -(D_i(a^{ij} D_j u + \beta^i u), \varphi_{(\eta)}) &= (a^{ij} D_j u, \partial_\eta D_i \varphi) \\ &= -(a_{(\eta)}^{ij} D_j u + a^{ij} D_j u_{(\eta)}, D_i \varphi) \\ &= (D_i(a_{(\eta)}^{ij} D_j u + a^{ij} D_j u_{(\eta)}), \varphi), \end{aligned}$$

for $v = u_{(\eta)}$ we get

$$dv_t = (D_i(a_{(\eta)}^{ij} D_j v_t + a_{(\eta)}^{ij} D_j u_t) + (b_t^i D_i u_t + c_t u_t + f_t)_{(\eta)} + g_{t(\eta)}) dt$$

$$+(M_t^k v_t + \sigma_{t(\eta)}^{ik} D_i u_t + \nu_{t(\eta)}^k u_t + h_{t(\eta)}^k) dw_t^k.$$

Note that differently from the corresponding expression in [17] we keep the term $(b_t^i D_i u_t + c_t u_t + f_t + g_t)_{(\eta)}$ in divergence form, to avoid additional regularity conditions on b, c, f for the estimate (9.6) to hold. By Itô's formula (see Theorem 2.1 in [16]),

$$\begin{aligned} (1/p)|v_t|_{L^p}^p &= -(p-1) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v_t|^{p-2} D_i v_t (a_t^{ij} D_j v_t + a_{t(\eta)}^{ij} D_j u_t) dx \right) dt \\ &\quad -(p-1) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\theta_t |v_t|^{p-2} u_{t(\eta)} (b_t^i D_i u_t + c_t u_t + f_t + g_t) dx \right) dt \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J_t dx \right) dt + m_t, \end{aligned} \quad (9.38)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_t &= ((p-1)/2) |v_t|^{p-2} \sum_k (M_t^k v_t + \sigma_{t(\eta)}^{ik} D_i u_t + \nu_{t(\eta)}^k u_t + h_{t(\eta)}^k)^2 \\ &\leq ((p-1)/2) |v_t|^{p-2} \sum_k (\sigma_{t(\eta)}^{ik} D_i v_t)^2 + \delta/(2p) |DV_t|^2 \\ &\quad + N |v_t|^2 |v_t|^p + N |\eta|^2 |v_t|^{p-2} (|D\sigma_t|^2 |Du_t|^2 + |D\nu_t|^2 |u_t|^2 + |Dh_t|^2) \end{aligned} \quad (9.39)$$

with a constant $N = N(d, p, \delta)$, and

$$m_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J_s^k dx dw_s^k$$

with

$$J_s^k = \theta_s |v_s|^{p-1} (M_s^k v_s + \sigma_{s(\eta)}^{ik} D_i u_s + \nu_{s(\eta)}^k u_s + h_{s(\eta)}^k).$$

Below we collect the integrands in (9.38) and (9.39), arrange them in suitable expressions I_1, \dots, I_8 to estimate them and their integrals separately. Above we have dropped the space argument, now we also drop for some time the time argument for simplicity. Collecting the terms containing a^{ij} , σ^{ik} and $|DV_t|^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= -(p-1) |v|^{p-2} a^{ij} D_i v D_j v + \frac{p-1}{2} |v|^{p-2} \sum_k (\sigma^{ik} D_i v)^2 + (\delta/2p) |DV|^2 \\ &= -\frac{p-1}{2} (2a^{ij} - \sigma^{ik} \sigma^{jk}) |v|^{p-2} D_i v D_j v + \delta/(2p) |DV|^2 \\ &= -\frac{2(p-1)}{p^2} (2a^{ij} - \sigma^{ik} \sigma^{jk}) D_i v D_j v + (\delta/2p) |DV|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Assumption 7.1 and by (9.37)

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq -\frac{2(p-1)}{p^2} \delta |DV|^2 + (\delta/2p) |DV|^2 \\ &\leq -(\delta/2p) |DV|^2 \prec_{\kappa} -\hat{\delta} (|DV|^2 + W^2) \end{aligned} \quad (9.40)$$

with a constant $\hat{\delta} = \hat{\delta}(d, p, \delta) > 0$.

In what follows, without losing generality, we assume

$$\widehat{D}a + \widehat{D}\sigma + \hat{b} + \hat{c} + \hat{\nu} + \widehat{D}\nu \leq 1.$$

By Young's inequality, by the first estimate in (9.37) and by Lemma 7.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &:= -(p-1)|v|^{p-2}a_{(\eta)}^{ij}D_i v D_j u \leq (p-1)|v|^{p-2}|\eta||D^2 u||Da||\eta||Du| \\
&\leq |v|^{p-2}((\hat{\delta}/4)|\eta|^2|D^2 u|^2 + N|Da|^2|\eta|^2|Du|^2) \\
&= (\hat{\delta}/4)W^2 + N|Da|^2|v|^{p-2}|\eta|^2|Du|^2 \leq (\hat{\delta}/4)W^2 + N|Da|^2|\eta|^p|Du|^p \\
&\prec_{\kappa} (\hat{\delta}/4)W^2 + N|Da|^2V^2 \\
&\prec_{\kappa} (\hat{\delta}/4)W^2 + N_1\widehat{Da}|DV|^2 + N(1 + \overline{Da}^2)V^2
\end{aligned}$$

with constants $N_1 = N_1(d, p, r, \delta)$ and $N = N(d, p, r, \delta, \rho_0)$. We get in the same way

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &:= -(p-1)\theta|v|^{p-2}u_{(\eta)}b^i D_i u \\
&\prec_{\kappa} (\hat{\delta}/8)W^2 + N_2\hat{b}|DV|^2 + N(1 + \bar{b}^2)V^2
\end{aligned}$$

with constants $N_2 = N_2(d, p, r, \delta)$ and $N = N(d, p, r, \delta, \rho_0)$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &:= -(p-1)\theta|v|^{p-2}u_{(\eta)}cu \leq (p-1)|v|^{p-2}|\eta||D^2 u||\eta||cu| \\
&\leq |v|^{p-2}((\hat{\delta}/16)|\eta|^2|D^2 u|^2 + Nc^2|\eta u|^2) = (\hat{\delta}/16)W^2 + Nc^2|v|^{p-2}|\eta|^2u^2 \\
&\leq (\hat{\delta}/16)W^2 + Nc^2|v|^p + Nc^2|\eta|^p|u|^p \leq (\hat{\delta}/16)W^2 + Nc^2V^2 + Nc^2|\eta|^pU^2
\end{aligned}$$

with a constant $N = N(d, p, \delta)$. Hence by Lemma 7.2 and by the first estimate in (9.37) (remember also that $\hat{c} \leq 1$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\prec_{\kappa} (\hat{\delta}/16)W^2 + N_3\hat{c}|DV|^2 + N\hat{c}|\eta|^p|DU|^2 + N(1 + \hat{c}^2)(V^2 + |\eta|^pU^2) \\
&\prec_{\kappa} (\hat{\delta}/16)W^2 + N_3\hat{c}|DV|^2 + N(1 + \hat{c}^2)(V^2 + |\eta|^pU^2)
\end{aligned}$$

with $N_3 = N_3(d, p, \delta, r)$ and $N = N(d, p, \delta, r, \rho_0)$. In the same pattern we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 &:= N|\eta|^2|v|^{p-2}|D\sigma|^2|Du|^2 \\
&\leq N((p-2)/p)|D\sigma|^2V^2 + N(2/p)|D\sigma|^2|\eta|^p(|Du|^{p/2})^2 \\
&\prec_{\kappa} N_4\widehat{D\sigma}(W^2 + |DV|^2) + N'(1 + \overline{D\sigma}^2)V^2, \\
I_6 &:= N|\nu|^2|v|^p \prec_{\kappa} N_5\hat{\nu}|DV|^2 + N'(1 + \bar{\nu}^2)V^2, \tag{9.41}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_7 &:= N|\eta|^2|v|^{p-2}|D\nu|^2|u|^2 \\
&\leq (p-2)/p N|D\nu|^2V^2 + (2/p)N|D\nu|^2|\eta|^pU^2 \\
&\prec_{\kappa} N_6\widehat{D\nu}|DV|^2 + N'(1 + \overline{D\nu}^2)(V^2 + |\eta|^pU^2), \tag{9.42}
\end{aligned}$$

with the constant N in (9.39), and constants $N' = N'(d, p, \delta, r, \rho_0)$ and $N_i = N_i(p, d, \delta, r)$ ($i = 4, 5, 6$). For the terms containing f , g and Dh we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_8 &:= -(p-1)\theta|v|^{p-2}u_{(\eta)}f + \theta|v|^{p-1}g_{(\eta)} + N|\eta|^2|v|^{p-2}|Dh|^2 \\
&\leq (p-1)W|v|^{p/2-1}|\eta||f| + |\eta||v|^{p-1}|Dg| + N|\eta|^2|v|^{p-2}|Dh|^2 \\
&\leq (\hat{\delta}/16)W^2 + N'I \tag{9.43}
\end{aligned}$$

with the constant N in (9.39), a constant $N' = N'(d, p, \delta)$, and

$$I_t := |v_t|^{p-2}|\eta|^2|f_t|^2 + |\eta||v_t|^{p-1}|Dg_t| + |v_t|^{p-2}|\eta|^2|Dh_t|^2.$$

Thus, subjecting $\widehat{D}a$, \widehat{b} , \widehat{c} , $\widehat{\nu}$ and $\widehat{D}\nu$ to

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 \widehat{D}a &\leq \widehat{\delta}/4, & N_2 \widehat{b} &\leq \widehat{\delta}/8, & N_3 \widehat{c} &\leq \widehat{\delta}/16, & N_4 \widehat{D}\sigma &\leq \widehat{\delta}/32 \\ N_5 \widehat{\nu} &\leq \widehat{\delta}/64, & N_6 \widehat{D}\nu &\leq \widehat{\delta}/64, \end{aligned} \quad (9.44)$$

and taking into account (9.40) through (9.43), from equation (9.38) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d|v_t|^p &\prec_{\kappa} p \sum_{i=1}^8 I_i(t) dt + p J_t^k dw_t^k \\ &\prec_{\kappa} -\widehat{\delta}(|DV_t|^2 + W_t^2) dt + N' \gamma'_t |v_t|^p dt + N(d, p, \delta) I_t dt \\ &\quad + N'(1 + \overline{D\nu}^2 + \overline{c}_t^2) |\eta|^p U_t^2 dt + p J_t^k dw_t^k, \end{aligned}$$

with $\gamma' = 1 + \overline{D}a^2 + \overline{b}^2 + \overline{c}^2 + \overline{D}\sigma^2 + \overline{\nu}^2$ and constants $N' = N'(d, p, \delta, r, \rho_0)$, which for $C \geq N'$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^{-p\Psi_t} |v_t|^p) &\prec_{\kappa} -\widehat{\delta} e^{-p\Psi_t} (|DV_t|^2 + W_t^2) dt - \Lambda_t e^{-p\Psi_t} |v_t|^p dt \\ &\quad + N e^{-p\Psi_t} I_t dt + N' e^{-p\Psi_t} (1 + \overline{D\nu}^2 + \overline{c}^2) |\eta|^p U_t^2 dt + p e^{-p\Psi_t} J_t^k dw_t^k \end{aligned}$$

with $N = N(d, p, \delta)$ and $N' = N'(p, d, \delta, r, \rho_0)$. Converting this into integral form, with integrals with respect to x , η and t and using (9.35), we get that almost surely

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-p\Psi_t} |Du_t|_{L_p}^p &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-p\Psi_s} (|Du_s|^{p-2} |D^2 u_s|^2 + \Lambda_s |Du_s|^p) dx ds \\ &\leq N |Du_0|_{L_p}^p + N' \int_0^t e^{-p\Psi_s} (1 + \overline{D\nu}_s^2 + \overline{c}_s^2) |u_s|_{L_p}^p ds \\ &+ N \int_0^t e^{-p\Psi_s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) I_s dx d\eta ds + N \int_0^t e^{-p\Psi_s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) J_s^k dx d\eta dw_s^k \end{aligned} \quad (9.45)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, with constants $N = N(d, p, \delta)$ and $N' = N'(d, p, \delta, r, \rho_0)$. Hence, taking $\lambda \geq C \geq N'$ and $\mu \geq N' \overline{D\nu}^2$ in (9.4), by Theorem 9.1 for any stopping time $\tau \leq T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_0^{\tau} e^{-p\Psi_t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|Du_t|^{p-2} |D^2 u_t|^2 + \Lambda_t |Du_t|^p) dx dt \\ \leq N E |u_0|_{W_p^1}^p + N E \int_0^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-p\Psi_t} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) I_t dx d\eta dt + N E \mathfrak{R}(T) \end{aligned} \quad (9.46)$$

with a constant $N = N(d, p, \delta)$, where

$$\mathfrak{R}(T) := \left(\int_0^T |f_t e^{-\psi_t}|_{L_p}^2 + |h_t e^{-\psi_t}|_{L_p}^2 dt \right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_0^T |g_t e^{-\psi_t}|_{L_p} dt \right)^p.$$

In addition, by taking into account (9.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}(\tau) &:= E \sup_{t \leq \tau} |e^{-p\Psi_t} u_t|_{W_p^1}^p \\ &+ E \int_0^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-p\Psi_s} (|Du_s|^{p-2} |D^2 u_s|^2 + \Lambda_s |Du_s|^p) dx ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq NE|u_0|_{W_p^1}^p + NE\mathfrak{K}(T) \\ &+ NE \int_0^\tau e^{-p\Psi_s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) I_s \, dx d\eta \, ds + NE\mathfrak{J}(\tau) \end{aligned} \quad (9.47)$$

with $N = N(d, p, \delta)$, where

$$\mathfrak{J}(\tau) := \sup_{t \leq \tau} \left| \int_0^t e^{-p\Psi_s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) J_s^k \, dx d\eta \, dw_s^k \right|.$$

By the Davis inequality

$$E\mathfrak{J}(\tau) \leq 3E \left(\int_0^\tau e^{-2p\Psi_s} \sum_k \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) J_s^k \, dx d\eta \right|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}.$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} J_s^k &= \theta_s |v_s|^{p-1} (M_s^k v_s + \sigma_{s(\eta)}^{ik} D_i u_s + \nu_{s(\eta)}^k + h_{s(\eta)}^k) \\ &\leq |v_s|^{p/2} (|v_s|^{p/2-1} |M_s^k v_s + \sigma_{s(\eta)}^{ik} D_i u_s + \nu_{s(\eta)}^k + h_{s(\eta)}^k|), \end{aligned}$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunjakovsky we get

$$\sum_k \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) J_s^k \, dx d\eta \right|^2 \leq N |v_s|_{L^p}^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) J_s \, dx d\eta.$$

Thus, with the constant N from (9.47), we have

$$\begin{aligned} NE\mathfrak{J}(\tau) &\leq (1/4)E \sup_{t \leq \tau} |e_t^{-p\Psi_s} u_t|_{W_p^1}^p \\ &+ NE \int_0^\tau e^{-p\Psi_s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) J_s \, dx d\eta \, ds \end{aligned} \quad (9.48)$$

with a constant $N = N(d, p, \delta)$. By (9.41), (9.42) and (9.43), taking into account (9.36)-(9.37), from (9.39) we get

$$J_s \prec_\kappa |\eta|^p (N |Du_s|^{p-2} |D^2 u_s|^2 + N' \gamma_s'' |Du_s|^p + N' (1 + \overline{D\nu_s^2}) |u_s|^p) + N I_s,$$

with $\gamma'' = 1 + \bar{\nu}^2 + \overline{D\sigma^2} + \overline{D\nu^2}$, constant $N' = N'(d, p, \delta, r, \rho_0)$ and constant N , which due to (9.44) depends only on d, p, δ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} &E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\Psi_s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) J_s \, dx d\eta \, ds \\ &\leq E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\Psi_s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (N |Du_s|^{p-2} |D^2 u_s|^2 + N' \gamma_s'' |Du_s|^p + N' (1 + \overline{D\nu_s^2}) |u_s|^p) dx \, ds \\ &\quad + NE \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-p\Psi_t} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) I_t \, dx d\eta \, dt \end{aligned}$$

with constants $N = N(d, p, \delta)$ and $N' = N'(d, p, \delta, r, \rho_0)$. Hence, taking $C \geq N'$ in the definition of Λ , and taking $\lambda \geq C \geq N'$ and $\mu \geq N' \overline{D\nu^2}$ in the definition of α in (9.4), by virtue of (9.46) and Theorem (9.1) we get

$$E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\Psi_s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) J_s \, dx d\eta \, ds$$

$$\leq NE|u_0|_{W_p^1}^p + NE \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-p\Psi_t} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) I_t dx d\eta dt + NE\mathfrak{K}(T). \quad (9.49)$$

Thus from (9.47), taking into account (9.48) and (9.49) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}(\tau) &\leq NE|Du_0|_{L_p}^p + (1/4)E \sup_{t \leq \tau} |e^{-\Psi_s} u_t|_{L_p}^p + NE\mathfrak{K}(T) \\ &\quad + NE \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-p\Psi_t} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) I_t dx d\eta dt \end{aligned} \quad (9.50)$$

with a constant $N = N(d, p, \delta)$. Here for the last term we have

$$\begin{aligned} &NE \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-p\Psi_t} \mathfrak{h}(\eta) I_t dx d\eta dt \\ &\leq N'E \int_0^\tau e^{-p\Psi_s} |v_s|_{L_p}^{p-2} |f_s|_{L_p}^2 + |v_s|_{L_p}^{p-1} |Dg_s|_{L_p} + |v_s|_{L_p}^{p-2} |Dh_s|_{L_p}^2 ds \\ &\quad + (1/4)E \sup_{t \leq \tau} |e^{-\Psi_t} v_s|_{L_p}^p + N''E \left(\int_0^\tau (|e^{-\Psi_s} f_s|_{L_p}^2 + |e^{-\Psi_t} Dh_s|_{L_p}^2) ds \right)^{p/2} \\ &\quad + N'' \left(\int_0^\tau |e^{-\Psi_s} Dg_s|_{L_p} ds \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

with constants N' and N'' depending only on d, p and δ . Consequently, from (9.50) we obtain

$$\mathbb{G}(\tau) \leq (1/2)E \sup_{t \leq \tau} |e^{-\psi_t} |u_t|_{W_p^1}^p + NE|u_0|_{W_p^1}^p + N\mathbb{K}(T)$$

with a constant $N = N(d, p, \delta)$, where

$$\mathbb{K}(T) = E \left(\int_0^T |e^{-\Psi_s} g_s|_{W_p^1} ds \right)^p + E \left(\int_0^T (|e^{-\Psi_t} f_s|_{L_p}^2 + |e^{-\Psi_s} h_s|_{W_p^1}^2) ds \right)^{p/2}.$$

Taking here

$$\tau_n = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : |u_t|_{W_p^2} \geq n\}$$

in place of τ , we get estimate (9.6) with τ_n in place of T , which implies (9.6) by Fatou's lemma as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we dispense with the additional assumptions by approximating (7.1)–(7.2) by (9.26), defined in the proof of Theorem 9.1. Then due to what we have proved above, for the solution u^ε of we have (9.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &E \sup_{t \leq T} |e^{-\Psi_t} u_t^\varepsilon|_{W_p^1}^p + E \int_0^T e^{-p\Psi_t} (|Du_t^\varepsilon|^{p/2-1} D^2 u_t^\varepsilon|_{L_2}^2 + \Lambda_t |u_t^\varepsilon|_{W_p^1}^p) dt \\ &\leq NE|u_0|_{W_p^1}^p + N\mathbb{K}(T) \end{aligned}$$

with a constant $N = N(d, p, r, \delta)$.

Naturally, we are going to apply Theorem 6.1 to prove that, for any sequence $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |u_t^{\varepsilon_n} - u_t|_{W_2^1}^2 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \int_0^T |D^2 u_t^{\varepsilon_n} - D^2 u_t|_{L_2}^2 dt = 0. \quad (9.51)$$

To this end we set $H = W_2^1$, $V = W_2^2$, and cast (7.1)–(7.2) into the stochastic evolution equation (5.1) as in the proof of Theorem 8.1, see (8.3) through (8.8). In the same way, we cast (9.26) with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$ for a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ into the stochastic evolution equations

$$\begin{aligned} dv_t^n &= [A_t^n u_t + A_t^{n*} v_t^n + C_t^n v_t^n + f_t^{*n} + f_t^n + g_t^n] dt \\ &+ (B_t^{nk} v_t^n + B_t^{nk} v_t^n + h_t^{nk}) dw_t^k, \quad t \leq T, \quad v_t^n|_{t=0} = u_0^{(\varepsilon_n)} \end{aligned}$$

for integers $n \geq 1$. Then we check the conditions of Theorem 6.1. Using well-known properties of mollifications and taking into account (9.25) we can easily check that parts (i), (ii) and (iii) of Assumption 6.1 hold. To verify part (iv) of this assumption, first we deal with (6.2) and let $v \in C_0^\infty$ be vanishing outside of a ball B_R of radius R . Then for the unit ball B_V in $V = W_2^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\phi \in B_V} |((1 - \Delta)\phi, (b_t^{Mi} - b_t^{M(\varepsilon)^i})D_i v)| &\leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Dv| |\mathbf{1}_{B_R}(b_t^M - b_t^{M(\varepsilon)})|_{L_2}, \\ \sup_{\phi \in B_V} |((1 - \Delta)\phi, (c_t^M - c_t^{M(\varepsilon)})v)| &\leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v| |\mathbf{1}_{B_R}(c_t^M - c_t^{M(\varepsilon)})|_{L_2}, \\ \sup_{\phi \in B_V} |(D_i \phi, (a_t^{ij} - a_t^{(\varepsilon)ij})D_j v) + (D_k D_i \phi, ((D_k a)_t^{Mij} - (D_k a)_t^{M(\varepsilon)ij})D_j v) \\ &+ (D_k D_i \phi, (a_t^{ij} - a_t^{(\varepsilon)ij})D_k D_j v)| \\ &\leq N \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|Dv| + |D^2 v|) (|\mathbf{1}_{B_R}(a_t - a_t^{(\varepsilon)})|_{L_2} + |\mathbf{1}_{B_R}((Da)_t^M - (Da)_t^{M(\varepsilon)})|_{L_2}). \end{aligned}$$

This yields that for any t we have $|(A_t^n - A_t)v|_{V^*}^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Quite similarly one proves that $|(B_t^n - B_t)v|_{\ell_2(H)}^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and this gives us (6.2).

To check (6.1) observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |A_t^* v - A_t^{n*} v|_{V^*}^2 &\leq N (|b_t^B - b_t^{B\varepsilon_n}| |Dv|_{L_2}^2 + |c_t^B - c_t^{B\varepsilon_n}| |v|_{L_2}^2 \\ &+ |(Da)_t^B - (Da)_t^{B\varepsilon_n}| |Dv|_{L_2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the second equality in (6.1) in the same way as after (9.33). Similarly the remaining relations in (6.1) are checked. This leads to (9.51).

After that it only remains to reproduce the end of the proof of Theorem 9.1 with obvious changes. The theorem is proved.

Remark 9.2. By an appropriate (minor) change of the above proof we can get that the above theorem remains valid if in Assumption 9.2 we suppose that c and Dc are 1/2-admissible, instead of assuming that c is admissible.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Alòs and F. Viens, Stochastic heat equation with white-noise drift, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 36(2000), no.2, 181–218.
- [2] S. Athreya, O. Butkovsky, K. Lê, L. Mytnik, Well-posedness of stochastic heat equation with distributional drift and skew stochastic heat equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 77 (2024), no.5, 2708–2777.
- [3] S. Assing and R. Manthey, Invariant measures for stochastic heat equations with unbounded coefficients, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 103 (2003), no.2, 237–256.
- [4] L. Beck, F. Flandoli, M. Gubinelli, and M. Maurelli, *Stochastic ODEs and stochastic linear PDEs with critical drift: regularity, duality and uniqueness*, Electron. J. Probab., Vol. 24 (2019), No. 136, 1–72.
- [5] N. Berglund, An Introduction to Singular Stochastic PDEs, EMS Series of lectures, EMS Press 2022.
- [6] O. Butkovsky, K Dareiotis and M. Gerencsér, Optimal rate of convergence for approximations of SPDEs with non-regular drift, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 61 (2023), no. 2, 1103–1137.
- [7] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, “Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions”, Second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 152. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014. xviii+493 pp.
- [8] H. Dong and D. Du, The Navier-Stokes Equations in the Critical Lebesgue Space, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 292 (2009), 811–827.
- [9] L. Galeati, A note on weak existence for singular SDEs, arXiv:2309.06295v2 [math.PR] 15 Jun 2024.
- [10] M. Gerencsér and H. Singh, Strong convergence of parabolic rate 1 of discretisations of stochastic Allen-Cahn-type equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 377 (2024), no. 3, 1851–1881.
- [11] I. Gyöngy and N. V. Krylov, Stochastic partial differential equations with unbounded coefficients and applications. III, *Stochastics Stochastics Rep.*, 40 (1992), no. 1-2, 77–115.
- [12] D. Kinzebulatov, *Parabolic equations and SDEs with time-inhomogeneous Morrey drift*, arXiv:2301.13805v1.
- [13] N.V. Krylov and B.L. Rozovskii, On the Cauchy problem for linear stochastic partial differential equations, *Math. USSR Izvestija* Vol 11 (1977), No. 6, 1267–1284.
- [14] N.V Krylov and B.L. Rozovskii, *Stochastic evolution equations*, “Itogy nauki i tekhniki”, Vol. 14, VINITI, Moscow, 1979, 71–146 in Russian, English translation in *J. Soviet Math.*, Vol. 16 (1981), No. 4, 1233–1277 and in *Stochastic differential equations: theory and applications*, 1–69, Interdiscip. Math. Sci., 2, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2007.
- [15] N.V. Krylov, A relatively short proof of Itô’s formula for SPDEs and its applications, *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, (2013) 1:152–174.
- [16] N.V. Krylov, Itô’s formula for the L_p -norm of stochastic W_p^1 -valued processes, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 147 (2010), 583–605.
- [17] N.V. Krylov, Estimates in L_p for solutions to SPDEs with coefficients in Morrey classes, *Stoch. PDE: Anal. Comp.*, 2022.
- [18] N.V. Krylov, On parabolic equations in Sobolev spaces with lower order coefficients from Morrey spaces, arXiv:2311.03238.
- [19] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Ural’tseva, *Linear and quasi-linear parabolic equations*, Nauka, Moscow, 1967 in Russian. English translation: American Math. Soc., Providence, 1968.
- [20] O. Ladyzhenskaya, On the uniqueness and smoothness of generalized solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov.*

- (*LOMI*) 5 (1967), 169-185; English transl.: Sem. Math. V. A. Steklov Math. Inst. Leningrad 5, 60–66.
- [21] W. Liu and M. Röckner, *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: An Introduction*, Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015.
 - [22] C. Marinelli and L. Scarpa, On the well-posedness of SPDEs with singular drift in divergence form, *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, 229, Springer, Cham, 2018, 225–235.
 - [23] G. Prodi, Un teorema di unicità per le equazioni di Navier-Stokes, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 48 (1959), 173–182.
 - [24] M. Röckner and Guohuan Zhao, *SDEs with critical time dependent drifts: weak solutions*, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2012.04161>.
 - [25] M. Röckner and G. Zhao, *SDEs with critical time dependent drifts: strong solutions*, arXiv:2103.05803.
 - [26] J. Serrin, On the interior regularity of weak solutions of Navier-Stokes equations, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* 9 (1962), 187–195.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND MAXWELL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH,
KING'S BUILDINGS, EDINBURGH, EH9 3JZ, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: `i.gyongy@ed.ac.uk`

127 VINCENT HALL, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN, 55455, USA

Email address: `nkrylov@umn.edu`