Online Convex Optimization for On-Board Routing in High-Throughput Satellites

Olivier Bélanger, Member, IEEE, Jean-Luc Lupien, Student Member, IEEE, Olfa Ben Yahia, Member, IEEE, Stéphane Martel, Antoine Lesage-Landry, Member, IEEE, and Gunes Karabulut Kurt, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—The rise in low Earth orbit (LEO) satellite Internet services has led to increasing demand, often exceeding available data rates and compromising the quality of service. While deploying more satellites offers a short-term fix, designing higherperformance satellites with enhanced transmission capabilities provides a more sustainable solution. Achieving the necessary high capacity requires interconnecting multiple modem banks within a satellite payload. However, there is a notable gap in research on internal packet routing within extremely highthroughput satellites. To address this, we propose a real-time optimal flow allocation and priority queue scheduling method using online convex optimization-based model predictive control. We model the problem as a multi-commodity flow instance and employ an online interior-point method to solve the routing and scheduling optimization iteratively. This approach minimizes packet loss and supports real-time rerouting with low computational overhead. Our method is tested in simulation on a next-generation extremely high-throughput satellite model, demonstrating its effectiveness compared to a reference batch optimization and to traditional methods.

Index Terms—Extremely high-throughput satellites, packet routing, model predictive control, and online convex optimization.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been a significant increase in the utilization of low Earth orbit (LEO) satellite Internet connectivity services. In certain regions, the growing demand outpaces the available data rates, thereby compromising future quality of service (QoS). In fact, some users of the most popular satellite constellation have experienced speed drops of up to 54% year-over-year [1]. A recent review highlights that this decline in speeds is likely due to growing subscriptions and increased network congestion [2]. While deploying more satellites is an acceptable short-term solution, a more sustainable alternative involves the design of higher-performance satellites with increased transmission capabilities. These regenerative next-generation payloads will require a very high capacity, achievable only by interconnecting multiple modem banks to significantly scale throughput compared to traditional single-processor satellites [3]. Software-based, multi-modem banks architectures, as presented in [4], aim to increase total

J.L. Lupien is with the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA, (e-mail: jllupien@berkeley.edu).

S. Martel is with Satellites Systems, MDA Space, Montréal, QC, Canada, (e-mail: stephane.martel@mda.space).

This work is supported by MDA, CRIAQ, NSERC, and IVADO.

throughput and improve efficiency in flow allocation. This approach is particularly relevant for high-throughput satellites (HTS), very high-throughput satellites (VHTS), and recently introduced extremely high-throughput satellites (EHTS) [3].

Despite the critical need, there is a lack of current research on the internal packet routing between on-board processors in EHTS. Efficient packet routing is essential to minimize information loss and ensure QoS [5]. To the author's best knowledge, the only existing methods in the literature addressing efficient internal packet routing are ours [4], [6]. Both works provide an optimization-based framework to tackle the challenge. On the one hand, [4] proposes a simple multicommodity flow to minimize the maximum residual capacity of the inter-modem links. On the other hand, [6] uses a model predictive control (MPC) approach to optimize the internal routing and scheduling of packets within HTS and beyond. It leverages iterative feedback between the optimization process and system state observations.

A significant challenge in implementing an MPC approach – or any optimization-based approach – in EHTS as in [6] is due to limited available computational resources [7]. Satellite systems are inherently resource-constrained, limited by their low power capabilities [8]. Addressing these computational limitations is crucial for the practical deployment of MPC-based solutions.

In this work, we propose an online convex optimization (OCO)-based MPC framework for routing and scheduling packets within EHTS, referred to as online convex model predictive control (OCMPC). As suggested in [9], we use an online optimization framework to accelerate our MPC approach. Specifically, we employ the online convex interiorpoint method from [10] to replace the previously used offthe-shelf optimization solver. OCO is chosen for its low computational load and real-time adaptability, making it wellsuited for on-board processing in resource-constrained satellite environments subject to exogenous uncertainty. It allows fast decision-making which in turn enables a better response to this uncertainty. Previous work, such as [11], has explored the use of OCO with MPC in embedded systems, focusing on first-order methods and achieving high-speed performance for linear-quadratic MPC problems. Other works have focused on OCO in an MPC-like setting with switching costs and predictions, also developing first-order methods. These approaches utilize the concept of a receding horizon and assume full information on the rolling horizon [12], increasingly inexact predictions as time progresses [13], or stochastic prediction errors [14]. Our approach differs by incorporating second-

O. Bélanger, O. Ben Yahia, A. Lesage-Landry, and G. Karabulut Kurt are with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Polytechnique Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada, (e-mails: {olivier.belanger, olfa.ben-yahia, antoine.lesage-landry, gunes.kurt}@polymtl.ca).

order methods, providing convergence guarantees and more accurate solutions at each time step while only making mild assumptions on future rounds, viz., knowledge of the expected stochastic process. This distinction is particularly important for the complex routing problems faced in EHTS.

Our specific contributions are as follows:

- We introduce the OCMPC framework, leveraging secondorder methods and accommodating time-varying constraints to enhance decision-making under uncertainty.
- We apply the OCMPC framework in the context of EHTS, marking the first use of real-time OCO for internal packet routing.
- We illustrate the performance of our method in an extensive numerical simulation environment where incoming packet traffic to an EHTS is modelled by a Markov-modulated Poisson process (MMPP). Our approach proves to be close to optimal while remaining practical for real-world deployment in satellite systems.

This letter is organized as follows: Section II discusses the MPC problem. Section III introduces the key concepts used in our work, namely OCO and MMPPs. Section IV details our proposed methodology for integrating these concepts as OCMPC. Section V presents the numerical settings and results. Finally, Section VI concludes with a discussion and outlines future work.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

In [6], we introduced an MPC framework for packet routing and scheduling in HTS, which demonstrated excellent performance but was computationally expensive. In this work, we address these computational challenges by developing a more efficient approach, filling a critical gap in the literature for practical implementation in resource-constrained environments.

First, for clarity, we now provide the key notation used at a given discretized time increment t. Let a satellite contain $M \in \mathbb{N}$ modem banks and manage $P \in \mathbb{N}$ priority levels. Each commodity-module pair $(p \in \{1, 2, ..., P\}, m \in \{1, 2, ..., M\})$ is associated with a queue $Q_p^m(t) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ managing packet storage and transmission. Let $w_p^{P(t)}(t) \in [0,1]$ be the scheduler weight which determines the portion of packets of priority pselected from each queue in modem bank m for processing and transmission between time steps t and t + 1. Let the net inflow and outflow of commodity p in module m be denoted as $f_p^{\text{in},m}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $f_p^{\text{out},m}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, respectively. The queue's inflow and outflow balance, $\Delta Q_p^m(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined alongside the packet loss $\mathcal{L}_p^m(t) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the expected incoming demand $\hat{F}_p(t) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and the cost incurred by losing a packet of priority $p, k_p \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We aim to minimize the total packet loss cost on each priority p over the time steps in a given MPC with a rolling horizon of length $W \in \mathbb{N}$. The problem is presented in (1):

$$\min_{\substack{w_p^m(\tau), f_p^{\text{in}, m}(\tau), \\ \tau \in \{t, t+1, \dots, t+W\}}} \sum_{\tau=t}^{t+W} \sum_{p=1}^P \sum_{m=1}^M \mathcal{L}_p^m(\tau) k_p$$
(1a)

s.t.
$$f_p^{\text{in},m}(t) - f_p^{\text{out},m}(t) - \Delta Q_p^m(t) - \mathcal{L}_p^m(t) = 0,$$
 (1b)
 $\sum_{k=1}^{P} w_k^m(t) = 1.$ (1c)

/

p =

Λ

$$\sum_{p=1}^{m} f_p^{\text{in},m}(t) = \hat{F}_p(t),$$
(1d)

$$Q_{p}^{m}(t+1) = Q_{p}^{m}(t) + \Delta Q_{p}^{m}(t),$$
(1e)

$$Q_p^m(0) = Q_p^m(T-1) = Q_0, \tag{1f}$$

$$0 \le w_p(t) \le 1, \tag{19}$$

$$|w_p^m(t) - w_p^m(t-1)| \le \Delta w, \tag{1n}$$

$$f_p^{\text{out},m}(t) \le \frac{w_p(t)}{\Delta s},\tag{1i}$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{r} Q_p^m(t) \le \overline{Q}^m,\tag{1j}$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{P} f_p^{\text{out},m}(t) \le \overline{C}^m, \tag{1k}$$

where (1a) is a convex packet loss cost minimization objective function, (1b) is the packet balance equation, (1c) ensures the scheduler weights are normalized, (1d) matches the routed incoming flow to the observed demand per priority, (1e) updates the queue occupancy across time, (1f) sets the initial and final queue occupancy, (1g) bounds the scheduler weights, (1h) imposes ramp constraints on the scheduler weights, (1i) denormalizes the scheduler weights to associate an actual number of packets processed based on the HTS parameter Δs , which acts as the scheduler clock that translates the fraction of packets set by $w_p^m(t)$ to a number of processed packets for a given time step t, (1j) limits the total queue occupancy, and (1k) restricts the outflow by the transmission bandwidth.

Problem (1) entails solving a multi-period optimization problem to optimality with PMW constraints at each decision round. This amounts to an important computational load relative to the on-board available resources and may lead to degraded QoS, which is unacceptable for applications requiring high levels of performance and user satisfaction. To address these computational challenges, we develop a more efficient framework that optimizes resource allocation while maintaining high performance. Before presenting this framework, we first introduce OCO and MMPPs.

III. BACKGROUND

This section provides background on OCO and MMPPs.

A. Online convex optimization

To alleviate the computational burden of MPC, we use OCO [15]. OCO is a framework for providing a sequence of decisions in a dynamic environment where the problem changes over time, and is fully observed only after one commits to a decision [16].

In the context of satellite systems, OCO is particularly advantageous because it enables real-time adjustments to routing and scheduling decisions based on current network conditions, in addition to reducing computational overhead. Our approach does not require solving (1) to optimality at each time step; instead, we adopt a strategy where only a single infeasible start Newton step is taken, balancing computational efficiency with the quality of the decision as established by the OCO algorithm performance guarantees.

We utilize the epsilon-online interior-point method for timevarying equality-constrained (ε OIPM-TEC) optimization [10] for efficient on-board satellite routing. OIPM-TEC guarantees time-averaged optimal decisions on inequality-constrained convex problems with time-varying equality constraints as the time horizon increases, making it well-suited for our problem. ε OIPM-TEC is a more streamlined version of OIPM-TEC, providing an even lighter framework while still offering performance guarantees within an ε -tolerance of the round optima.

As presented in [10], the optimization problem is formulated in a matrix form. To align more closely with the constraints in (1b) - (1k), we express (1) as (2), where $\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{nPMW}$ contains the n = 6 vectorized variables $f_p^{\text{in},m}(t)$, $w_p^m(t)$, $\mathcal{L}_p^m(t)$, $f_p^{\text{out},m}(t)$, $Q_p^m(t)$, and $\Delta Q_p^m(t)$ for each pair (p,m)and each time step in $\{t, t+1, ..., t+W\}$:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}_t} \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x}_t$$

t. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{b}_t = \mathbf{0}$ (2)
 $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{d} \le \mathbf{0}$

The parameters A and \mathbf{b}_t , respectively, represent the multipliers and coefficients of equality constraints (1b) - (1f), while C and d, respectively, represent the multipliers and coefficients of the inequality constraints (1g) - (1k). This formulation is directly applicable to our EHTS on-board routing problem, where the time-varying constraints, particularly (1d) and (1e), are expressed as equality constraints.

s.

OIPM-TEC solves a problem of the form (2) by taking an infeasible start Newton step towards optimality and directly observing the impact of that decision. OIPM-TEC ensures feasibility by maintaining strict feasibility for time-invariant inequality constraints and sublinearly bounding the violation of time-varying equality constraints under some assumptions. Integrating this approach into our MPC framework, instead of systematically solving to optimality, allows faster adaptation to the changing conditions reflected in \mathbf{b}_t by reducing the computational overhead.

B. Markov-modulated Poisson processes

We model incoming packet traffic using an MMPP. In [17], MMPPs were used for Internet Protocol traffic prediction in satellite networks, demonstrating their efficiency in capturing the bursty nature of satellite traffic. MMPPs are particularly adapted for processes with irregular bursts of activity combined with predictable patterns, aligning well with the characteristics of satellite traffic [18].

An MMPP is defined by a Poisson process with a rate parameter $\lambda(t)$ governed by a Markov chain. The state of the Markov chain at any time t determines the rate $\lambda(t)$. This allows the model to switch between different traffic intensities based on state probabilities.

To the best of our knowledge, MMPPs have not yet been applied to LEO EHTS in the context of Internet connectivity. Given the similar traffic patterns observed in terrestrial and other satellite networks, we extend the application of MMPPs to HTS and beyond. The inherent ability of MMPPs to capture both predictable periodic patterns and unpredictable bursts in traffic makes them suitable for modelling LEO EHTS traffic. By incorporating MMPPs, we can achieve more accurate traffic predictions, enhancing the reliability of our model and bringing it a step closer to real-world implementation.

IV. ONLINE CONVEX MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL

In this section, we present our methodology in detail. We focus on the algorithmic integration of OCO with MPC for satellite on-board routing.

Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{nPMW} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be the log-barrier functional as introduced in [19] and let $\eta > 0$ be the barrier parameter. As presented in [19], interior-point methods solve a problem in the form of (3) instead of directly solving one similar to (2):

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}_t} \quad d_\eta(\mathbf{x}_t), \tag{3}$$

where $d_{\eta}(\mathbf{x}_t) = \eta \mathbf{c}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_t + \phi(\mathbf{x}_t)$ is the log-barrier functionalaugmented objective function [10].

From [6], [10], [19, equations 10.21 and 10.22], we define the OCMPC in Algorithm 1, where we combine ε OIPM-TEC and our MPC framework.

While ε OIPM-TEC is effective in many scenarios, it is only guaranteed to respect equality constraints under conditions more stringent than can be assumed for this application. To mitigate this potential issue, we introduce a feedback correction mechanism that proportionally adjusts the flow allocation $f_p^{\text{in},m}(t)$ for all pairs (p,m).

Algorithm 1 OCMPC	for c	online	on-board	routing
-------------------	-------	--------	----------	---------

- 1: Parameters: W, A.
- 2: Initialization: Given \mathbf{x}_0 and η .
- 3: for t in $\{0, 1, \dots, T-1\}$ do
- Observe $F_p(t)$. 4:
- 5:
- Implement the decision $w_p^m(t)$ and $f_p^{\text{in},m}(t)$ from \mathbf{x}_t . if \mathbf{x}_t is not such that $\sum_{m=1}^M f_p^{\text{in},m}(t) = F_p(t)$ $\forall p \in \{1, 2, ..., P\}$ then 6:
- Apply the feedback correction. 7:
- Observe the outcome $\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_t$, the new constraint \mathbf{b}_t , and 8: the new states $Q_p^m(t)$, $\Delta Q_p^m(t)$, $\mathcal{L}_p^m(t)$, and $f_p^{\text{out},m}(t)$.

10:
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} \\ - \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_t \\ - \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 \phi(\mathbf{x}_t) & \mathbf{A}^\top \\ \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \nabla d_\eta(\mathbf{x}_t) \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{b}_t \end{bmatrix}$$

The sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ provided by Algorithm 1 has provable bounds on dynamic regret and constraint violations under certain conditions. Interested readers are referred to [10] for further details.

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

This section presents the simulation setup and numerical results.

A. Numerical setting

Let F(t) be the incoming flow, modelled as a discrete-time Markov chain with state space $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$, representing three different traffic states. Consider the transition probability matrix P_{λ} defined as:

$$P_{\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.15 & 0.05\\ 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.1\\ 0.05 & 0.2 & 0.75 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Each state $i \in S$ is associated with a Poisson process characterized by a rate parameter λ_i packets per time increment, where $\lambda_1 = 20$, $\lambda_2 = 25$, and $\lambda_3 = 30$. At any given time t, the traffic intensity follows a Poisson distribution with rate $\lambda_{F(t)}$, modulated by the current state F(t) of the Markov chain.

We assume that a given EHTS knows its position relative to Earth and can therefore estimate which traffic intensity λ_i it will experience at any given time. This means that at each time step t, the expected incoming flow $\hat{F}_p(t)$ in (1d) will be one of the three $\lambda_{F(t)}$ values, i.e. $\hat{F}_p(t) \in {\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3}$.

This approach allows us to realistically model the temporal fluctuations in satellite Internet traffic. Simulating the traffic as an MMPP lets us capture the inherent stochasticity and time-dependent behaviour of the system. The simulation setup spans a time horizon of T = 100 time steps and uses an MPC window of W = 5. A satellite is equipped with M = 16modem banks [4], each capable of handling P = 3 distinct data priorities: voice over Internet Protocol (high priority, p = 1), instant messaging (medium priority, p = 2), and emails (low priority, p = 3). The packet loss costs are set to 4, 2, and 1 arbitrary units, respectively. We normalize each λ_i by the packet loss cost k_p to create an inverse relationship with respect to priorities. Each queue can hold a maximum of Q = 10 packets, with both the initial and final states of the queues being empty $(Q_0 = 0)$ to ensure continuity. The scheduler weights are restricted to change by no more than $\Delta w = 10\%$ between consecutive time steps. The entire simulation framework is designed to be scalable, enabling the representation of flow values across various magnitudes, such as 10^9 packets in the context of an EHTS. The use of ε OIPM-TEC requires the setting of specific parameters. We initialize the initial guess x_0 randomly and verify its feasibility before applying the Newton step. Additionally, we set the barrier parameter η to 10^4 , which translates to $\varepsilon \sim \frac{O(N)}{r}$, where N = 6(W+1)MP is the dimension of the decision variable \mathbf{x}_t [10, Theorem 2]. Simulation parameters are summarized in Table I.

We conduct 100 Monte Carlo simulations to ensure consistency and to account for the stochastic nature of the MMPPbased incoming flow.

We use the following methods to benchmark our OCMPC algorithm:

- *Batch with hindsight*: Problem (1) solved with hindsight information on the incoming flow, serving as the best yet unreachable performance;
- *MPC*: Our MPC framework solved to optimality at each round, providing a reference for our online optimization algorithm, though being computationally expensive;

 Cost-proportional allocation: A rule-based controller that sets the scheduler weights proportional to the associated cost k_p.

B. Numerical results

The incoming flow distribution, depicted in Figure 1, illustrates the flows across priorities, highlighting the system's inherent uncertainty when modelled with a Markov-modulated Poisson process. This figure shows the results from a single Monte Carlo run to showcase the variability and uncertainty in the system.



Fig. 1. MMPP-based incoming flows across time for different priorities

Figure 2 illustrates the performance of our OCOMPC algorithm against the other benchmarks. The cumulative packet loss costs are averaged over 100 Monte Carlo runs to ensure statistical significance. The shadowed regions depict the 95th percentile of the data, highlighting the range of variability and uncertainty in the system's performance across the Monte Carlo simulations.

By design, the *batch with hindsight* method achieves the best performance because it uses full information on the incoming flow. The MPC framework performs closely, incurring only 1.24% more packet loss costs than the batch with hindsight method. This underscores the relevance of applying such a framework to flow routing on-board HTS and beyond. Our OCMPC approach also performs well, with 19.73% more packet loss costs than the batch with hindsight method and 17.91% more than the comparative MPC method. Despite sacrificing some optimality, the OCMPC algorithm reduces computational complexity, making it suitable for realtime applications. It uses a single Newton step per iteration towards the optima and does not rely on a full-on solver like [20], making it readily implementable while maintaining good performance. This balance between performance and computational efficiency is crucial for satellite systems with limited processing power. The OCMPC approach significantly outperforms the proportional approach, which registered the highest costs with a total of 49.27% more than the hindsight

 TABLE I

 Simulation setup parameters of the OCMPC framework

Parameter	Value	Description
Т	100 time steps	Time horizon of the simulation
W	5 time steps	MPC window size
M	16	Number of modem banks
P	3	Number of distinct priorities
k_p	10, 4, 1	Packet loss costs for high, medium, and low priority packets
$\overline{\overline{Q}}$	10 packets	Maximum queue size
Q_0	0	Initial and final queue occupancy
$\overline{\Delta w}$	10%	Maximum deviation of scheduler weights between consecutive time steps
λ_p	$\{20, 25, 30\}$ packets per time step	Average arrival rate, following an MMPP
$\hat{\eta}$	10^4	Barrier parameter for the ε OIPM-TEC algorithm



Fig. 2. Comparison of cumulative packet loss costs across different routing methods over time averaged over 100 Monte Carlo runs

optimum. While the greedy *proportional approach* is computationally lightweight, it performs poorly. The poor performance of the *proportional approach* underscores the importance of strategic algorithm design in achieving effective flow management. This contrast highlights the advantage of utilizing the limited on-board processing capabilities by implementing the OCMPC approach.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this letter, we propose a novel algorithm to address the challenge of on-board routing in EHTS equipped with multiple modem banks. Combining the predictive capabilities of MPC with the implementability of ε OIPM-TEC, our OCMPC approach provides performance comparable to MPC and *batch optimization with hindsight*, while being both implementable and computationally efficient.

Future work could exploit the fast computation provided by ε OIPM-TEC to further reduce the time step duration, closely tracking incoming flow and enhancing performance, while maintaining computational efficiency. This could be applied to a more practical, larger-scale internal satellite topology, such as a toroidal structure, as proposed in [4].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Brodkin. Starlink getting slower is lot а speed more people use it. tests show. as [Online]. Available: https://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2022/09/ ookla-starlinks-median-us-download-speed-fell-nearly-30mbps-in-q2-2022/
- [2] D. Anders. (2024) Starlink Internet Review: Is It Worth the Cost? [Online]. Available: https://www.cnet.com/home/internet/ best-satellite-internet/
- [3] O. Ben Yahia, Z. Garroussi, O. Bélanger, B. Sansò, J.-F. Frigon, S. Martel, A. Lesage-Landry, and G. Karabulut Kurt, "Evolution of High-Throughput Satellite Systems: A Vision of Programmable Regenerative Payload," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, pp. 1–34, 2024 (early access).
- [4] O. Ben Yahia, Z. Garroussi, B. Sansò, J.-F. Frigon, S. Martel, A. Lesage-Landry, and G. Karabulut Kurt, "A Scalable Architecture for Future Regenerative Satellite Payloads," 2024, arXiv:2407.06075.
- [5] K.-H. Lee and K. Y. Park, "Overall Design of Satellite Networks for Internet Services with QoS Support," *Electronics*, vol. 8, no. 6, 2019.
- [6] O. Bélanger, O. Ben Yahia, S. Martel, A. Lesage-Landry, and G. Karabulut Kurt, "Quality of Service-Constrained Online Routing in High Throughput Satellites," in *IEEE Aerospace Conference*, 2024, pp. 1–9.
- [7] M. Schwenzer, M. Ay, T. Bergs, and D. Abel, "Review on Model Predictive Control: an Engineering Perspective," *The International Journal* of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, vol. 117, no. 5, pp. 1327–1349, Nov 2021.
- [8] A. Ivanov, R. Bychkov, and E. Tcatcorin, "Spatial Resource Management in LEO Satellite," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 69, no. 12, pp. 15 623–15 632, 2020.
- [9] Y. Wang and S. Boyd, "Fast Model Predictive Control Using Online Optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 267–278, 2010.
- [10] J.-L. Lupien, I. Shames, and A. Lesage-Landry, "Online Interior-point Methods for Time-varying Equality-constrained Optimization," 2024, arXiv:2307.16128.
- [11] J. L. Jerez, P. J. Goulart, S. Richter, G. A. Constantinides, E. C. Kerrigan, and M. Morari, "Embedded Online Optimization for Model Predictive Control at Megahertz Rates," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 3238–3251, 2014.
 [12] Y. Li, G. Qu, and N. Li, "Online Optimization With Predictions and
- [12] Y. Li, G. Qu, and N. Li, "Online Optimization With Predictions and Switching Costs: Fast Algorithms and the Fundamental Limit," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 4761–4768, 2021.
- [13] Y. Li and N. Li, "Leveraging Predictions in Smoothed Online Convex Optimization via Gradient-based Algorithms," in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), Vancouver, Canada, 2020.
- [14] N. Chen, A. Agarwal, A. Wierman, S. Barman, and L. L. H. Andrew, "Online Convex Optimization Using Predictions," 2015, arXiv:1504.06681.
- [15] E. Hazan, Introduction to Online Convex Optimization, 2nd ed. The MIT Press, 2022.
- [16] S. Shalev-Shwartz, "Online Learning and Online Convex Optimization," *Found. Trends Mach. Learn.*, vol. 4, no. 2, p. 107–194, Feb 2012.
 [17] F. Delli Priscoli and D. Pompili, "A Demand-Assignment Algorithm
- [17] F. Delli Priscoli and D. Pompili, "A Demand-Assignment Algorithm Based on a Markov Modulated Chain Prediction Model for Satellite Bandwidth Allocation," *Wireless Networks*, vol. 15, pp. 999–1012, 2009.
 [18] S. Scott and P. Smyth, "The Markov Modulated Poisson Process and
- [18] S. Scott and P. Smyth, "The Markov Modulated Poisson Process and Markov Poisson Cascade With Applications to Web Traffic Modelling," *Bayesian Statistics*, vol. 7, pp. 0–0, Jan. 2003.
- [19] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe, Convex Optimization. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [20] MOSEK ApS, *The MOSEK Optimization Software*, 2023, version 10.1 [Software]. Available: https://www.mosek.com/.