

INSTANTANEOUS HAMILTONIAN DISPLACEABILITY AND ARBITRARY SYMPLECTIC SQUEEZABILITY FOR CRITICALLY NEGLIGIBLE SETS

YANN GUGGISBERG AND FABIAN ZILTENER

ABSTRACT. We call a metric space s -negligible iff its s -dimensional Hausdorff measure vanishes. We show that every countably m -rectifiable subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} can be displaced from every $(2n - m)$ -negligible subset by a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism that is arbitrarily C^∞ -close to the identity. As a consequence, every countably n -rectifiable and n -negligible subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} is arbitrarily symplectically squeezable. Both results are sharp w.r.t. the parameter s in the s -negligibility assumption.

The proof of our squeezing result uses folding. Potentially, our folding method can be modified to show that the Gromov width of $B_1^{2n} \setminus A$ equals π for every countably $(n - 1)$ -rectifiable closed subset A of the open unit ball B_1^{2n} . This means that A is not a barrier.

CONTENTS

1.	Main results and a potential application regarding nonbarriers	1
2.	Related results	11
3.	Proofs of the main results	14
4.	Proofs of three of the related results	22
	Appendix A. Characterization of countable m -rectifiability, injectivity of restriction of locally injective map	28
	References	31

1. MAIN RESULTS AND A POTENTIAL APPLICATION REGARDING NONBARRIERS

This article is concerned with the following question. We denote $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, \dots\}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$.

Y. Guggisberg's work on this publication is part of the project *Symplectic capacities, recognition, discontinuity, and helicity* (project number 613.009.140) of the research programme *Mathematics Clusters*, which is financed by the Dutch Research Council (NWO). We gratefully acknowledge this funding.

Question 1. *What is the smallest size of a subset of M that carries some symplectic geometry?*

In this article we interpret *size* as *Hausdorff dimension*. To recall this notion, let (X, d) be a metric space and $s \in [0, \infty)$. For every $A \subseteq X$ we denote by $\text{diam } A$ the diameter of A w.r.t. d .

Definition 2 (Hausdorff negligibility, Hausdorff dimension). We call (X, d) s -(Hausdorff-) *negligible* iff for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ there exists a countable collection \mathcal{S} of subsets of X that covers X , such that

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{S}} (\text{diam } A)^s < \varepsilon.^1$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hausdorff dimension of } (X, d) \\ & := \inf \{s \in [0, \infty) \mid (X, d) \text{ is } s\text{-negligible}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. (X, d) is s -negligible iff its s -dimensional outer Hausdorff measure vanishes.

Instantaneous Hamiltonian displaceability of small sets. One instance of Question 1 is the following.

Question 3. *What is the smallest possible Hausdorff dimension D_{disp} of a subset of M with positive (Hamiltonian) displacement energy?*

It is well-known that $D_{\text{disp}} \leq n$, if (M, ω) is geometrically bounded. This follows from the fact that the displacement energy of every nonempty closed² Lagrangian submanifold of such a symplectic manifold is positive, see e.g. [Che98]. Apart from this estimate and a result³ concerning *submanifolds* of dimension less than n , to our knowledge, Question 3 has been completely open so far.

We now consider $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and equip this manifold with the standard symplectic form ω^{st} . Our first main result implies that in this case $D_{\text{disp}}^{\text{rect}} \geq n$ and therefore $D_{\text{disp}}^{\text{rect}} = n$, where $D_{\text{disp}}^{\text{rect}}$ is the variant of D_{disp} in which we only allow for subsets of \mathbb{R}^{2n} that are bounded and countably n -rectifiable. This answers a variant of Question 3.

In order to state our result, we need the following. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Definition 4 (countable m -rectifiability). We call X *countably m -rectifiable* iff there exists a countable⁴ set \mathcal{F} of Lipschitz maps with domains given by subsets of \mathbb{R}^m and target X , such that

$$X = \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \text{im}(f). \quad (1)$$

¹Here we use the convention $0^0 := 1$.

²i.e., compact without boundary

³Theorem 16 below

⁴This includes empty and finite.

The next lemma characterizes countable m -rectifiability.

Lemma 5 (countable m -rectifiability). *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) (X, d) is countably m -rectifiable.
- (b) There exists a set \mathcal{F} as in Definition 4, such that the domain of each map in \mathcal{F} is bounded.
- (c) There exists a surjective locally Lipschitz map from some subset of \mathbb{R}^m to X .

For a proof of this lemma see p. 29 in the appendix.

Remark (term *rectifiability*). In [Fed69, 3.2.14, p.251] H. Federer calls (X, d) *countably m -rectifiable* iff it satisfies condition (b). By Lemma 5 Federer's and our terminology coincide. It differs from the terminology used in some other sources.

Our first main result is the following. We equip \mathbb{R}^ℓ with the Euclidean distance function and every subset of \mathbb{R}^ℓ with the corresponding restriction of this function. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Theorem 6 (instantaneous Hamiltonian displaceability of small sets). *Let $m \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$, $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be a countably m -rectifiable subset and $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ a $(2n - m)$ -negligible⁵ subset. There exists a linear function $H : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that for almost every⁶ $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\varphi_H^t(A) \cap B = \emptyset.^7$$

Theorem 6 implies that A and B as in the hypothesis of this result are C^∞ -instantaneously displaceable. To explain this notion, we denote

$$\mathcal{H}(M, \omega) := \left\{ H \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall t \in [0, 1] : \varphi_H^t : M \rightarrow M \text{ is well-defined and surjective} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

By a *Hamiltonian diffeomorphism* we mean a map φ_H^1 , where $H \in \mathcal{H}(M, \omega)$.⁸

Definition 7 (instantaneous displaceability). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. We call two subsets A and B of a symplectic manifold C^k -*instantaneously (Hamiltonianly) displaceable*, iff every neighbourhood of the identity in the weak C^k -topology contains a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism that displaces A from B .

⁵as in Definition 2

⁶This means that the set of all such t has full (1-dimensional) Lebesgue measure.

⁷Here φ_H^t denotes the Hamiltonian time t flow of H (w.r.t. the standard symplectic form). Since H is linear, this flow is globally defined for all times.

⁸Every such map is indeed a diffeomorphism of M . To see this, note that the time t flow of a time-dependent vector field on a manifold M is always an injective smooth immersion on its domain of definition. Hence if it is everywhere well-defined and surjective then it is a diffeomorphism of M .

As mentioned, A and B as in Theorem 6 are C^∞ -instantaneously displaceable.

By considering the case $A = B$, Theorem 6 immediately implies the following corollary.

Corollary 8 (instantaneous Hamiltonian displaceability of a critically negligible set). *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be a countably n -rectifiable and n -negligible subset. There exists a linear function $H : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\varphi_H^t(A) \cap A = \emptyset. \quad (3)$$

Remark (critical negligibility). We call a subset of a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold *critically (Hausdorff-)negligible*, iff its n -dimensional outer Hausdorff measure⁹ vanishes. By Corollary 8, every countably n -rectifiable critically negligible subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} is C^∞ -instantaneously displaceable from itself. For a justification of the term *critical* see Remark 10(iii) below.

Example (subset satisfying the hypotheses of Corollary 8). Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a subset of Lebesgue measure 0, and $F : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ a locally Lipschitz map. The image $A := F(S)$ is a countably n -rectifiable and n -negligible subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} and therefore satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 8. Here we used Lemma 5.

Remark (countably m -rectifiable and s -Hausdorff dimensional subset). Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $s \in (0, \ell]$. We denote by $\lceil \cdot \rceil : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the ceiling function and $m := \lceil s \rceil$. There exists a countably m -rectifiable, and s -Hausdorff dimensional subset A of \mathbb{R}^ℓ with vanishing s -dimensional Hausdorff measure. Such a set satisfies the hypothesis of Corollary 8, if $\ell = 2n$ and $s \leq n$.¹⁰ This shows that this corollary is a statement not only about integer-dimensional subsets, but subsets of dimension given by an arbitrary real number between 0 and n .

To construct A as above, we choose a countable subset S of the interval $(0, s)$. For every $s' \in [0, \ell]$ there exists a subset of \mathbb{R}^m of Hausdorff dimension s' , e.g. a suitable Cantor dust. This follows from [Fal14, Theorem 9.3]. For each $s' \in S$ we choose such a subset $A_{s'}$. We denote by 0 the origin in \mathbb{R}^{2n-m} and define

$$A := \left(\bigcup_{s' \in S} A_{s'} \right) \times \{0\}.$$

This set has the desired properties.

⁹w.r.t. some Riemannian metric

¹⁰Here we use that every m -rectifiable metric space is m' -rectifiable for every $m' \geq m$.

To formulate the next application of Theorem 6, let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. Recall the definition (2). We denote

$$\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{H}(M, \omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty], \quad \|H\| := \int_0^1 \left(\sup_M H^t - \inf_M H^t \right) dt$$

and define the *displacement energy* of a subset $A \subseteq M$ to be

$$e(A) := e(A, M, \omega) := \inf \left\{ \|H\| \mid H \in \mathcal{H}(M, \omega) : \varphi_H^1(A) \cap A = \emptyset \right\}.$$
¹¹

Corollary 9 (minimal Hausdorff dimension of set with positive displacement energy). *We have*

$$D_{\text{disp}}^{\text{rect}} := \inf \left\{ D \in [0, \infty) \mid \exists A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n} : \begin{array}{l} D\text{-Hausdorff dimensional,} \\ \text{bounded, countably } [D]\text{-rectifiable, } e(A) > 0 \end{array} \right\} = n.$$

For a proof of this corollary see p. 21.

Corollary 9 provides an answer to the variant of Question 3 in which we only consider bounded subsets that are rectifiable in a suitable sense.

Remarks 10 (sharpness of negligibility condition, criticality). (i) The negligibility condition in Theorem 6 is sharp in the sense that it does not suffice to assume that B is s -negligible for some $s \in (2n - m, \infty)$, instead of $s = 2n - m$. It does not even suffice to assume that it is s -negligible for *every* $s \in (2n - m, \infty)$.

To see this, let A and B be submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^{2n} without boundary of dimensions m and $(2n - m)$, that intersect transversely at some point. Then A is countably m -rectifiable and B is s -negligible for every $s \in (2n - m, \infty)$.

However, the set of all continuous self-maps of \mathbb{R}^{2n} that do *not* displace A from B , is a neighbourhood of the identity w.r.t. the compact open topology.¹² It follows that A and B are not C^0 -instantaneously Hamiltonianly displaceable. Hence the conclusion of Theorem 6 does not hold.

(ii) Similarly, the negligibility condition in Corollary 8 is sharp in the sense that it does not suffice to assume that A is s -negligible for *every* $s \in (n, \infty)$. To see this, we choose A to be the union of two n -dimensional submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^{2n} without boundary that intersect transversely in some point. Then A is countably n -rectifiable and s -negligible for every $s \in (n, \infty)$, but not C^0 -instantaneously displaceable from itself. This follows from assertion (i).

¹¹Alternatively, one may define a displacement energy by using only functions H with compact support. However, it seems more natural to allow for all functions in $\mathcal{H}(M, \omega)$. For some remarks on this topic see [SZ13a].

¹²The proof of this is based on the fact that the identity map on the $(m - 1)$ -dimensional sphere is not homotopic to a constant map.

There are even *submanifolds* with these properties. For example, we may take A to be any nonempty closed Lagrangian submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . See Proposition 17 below.

- (iii) By Corollary 8 and assertion (ii) the minimal Hausdorff dimension D of a not C^∞ -instantaneously displaceable countably n -rectifiable subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} is $D = n$. In this sense the number n is critical. This justifies the term *critically negligible*.

The idea of proof of Theorem 6 is to consider the displacement vector map

$$\mathbf{v} : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad \mathbf{v}(x, y) := y - x.$$

A vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ disjoins A from B iff v does not lie in the image of $A \times B$ under \mathbf{v} . This image has Lebesgue measure 0. This follows from a result of geometric measure theory about the Hausdorff measure of the product of metric spaces. It follows that translation by almost every vector v disjoins A from B . Such a translation is the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism induced by some linear function. The conclusion of Theorem 6 now follows from Fubini's theorem and the Change of Variables Theorem.

Arbitrary symplectic squeezing for a critically negligible set.

We now consider another instance of Question 1. In order to formulate it, we need the following.

Definition 11 (symplecticity of a map on a subset, arbitrary squeezability). Let (M, ω) and (M', ω') be symplectic manifolds and $S \subseteq M$ a subset.

- (i) A map from S to M' is called (*ambiently*) *symplectic* iff it extends to a symplectic map from some open neighbourhood of S to M' .
- (ii) We say that S *injectively (ambiently) symplectically maps into* M' iff there is an injective symplectic map from S to M' .
- (iii) We say that S (*ambiently*) *symplectically embeds into* M' iff there exists a symplectic embedding of some open neighbourhood of S into M' .
- (iv) We call a subset of a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold *arbitrarily (symplectically) squeezable* iff it injectively symplectically maps into every nonempty $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold.

Remarks 12 (injective symplectic mappability, arbitrary squeezability).

- (i) In this definition we have added the word *ambiently*, as the term *symplectic map* already has a weaker meaning if S is a symplectic submanifold of M . In this case every ambiently symplectic map from S to M' is symplectic, but not vice versa. When considering a general subset S of M , we leave out *ambiently*, as confusion seems unlikely in this situation.

- (ii) If $\dim M = \dim M'$, and S is *compact* and injectively symplectically maps into M' , then it symplectically *embeds* into M' . This follows from Lemma 32 in the appendix.
- (iii) A subset of a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold is arbitrarily squeezable iff it injectively symplectically maps into every open neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . The implication “ \Leftarrow ” follows from Darboux’s theorem.

Question 13 (small not arbitrarily squeezable set). *What is the smallest Hausdorff dimension D_{sq} of a not arbitrarily squeezable subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} ?*

It follows from [SZ13b, Lemma 9] that $D_{\text{sq}} \geq 2$.¹³ Hence in the case $n = 1$ we have $D_{\text{sq}} = 2$. In the case $n \geq 2$ we have $D_{\text{sq}} \leq n$. This follows from [SZ13b, Theorem 1]. Using neighbourhood theorems and Gromov’s isosymplectic embedding theorem, it is easy to show that certain isotropic and symplectic submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^{2n} are arbitrarily squeezable.¹⁴ Apart from this, to our knowledge, Question 13 has been completely open so far. Our second main result is the following.

Theorem 14 (arbitrary symplectic squeezing for a critically negligible set). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Every bounded, countably n -rectifiable, and n -negligible subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} is arbitrarily symplectically squeezable.*

This theorem implies that $D_{\text{sq}}^{\text{rect}} \geq n$ and therefore

$$D_{\text{sq}}^{\text{rect}} = n,$$

where $D_{\text{sq}}^{\text{rect}}$ is the variant of D_{sq} in which we only allow for subsets of \mathbb{R}^{2n} that are bounded and countably n -rectifiable. This answers a variant of Question 13.

Remark 15 (sharpness of the negligibility condition). The negligibility condition of Theorem 14 is sharp in the sense that it does not suffice to assume that the subset is s -negligible for some real number $s \in (n, \infty)$, instead of n -negligible. It does not even suffice to assume that it is s -negligible for *every* $s \in (n, \infty)$. This follows from Theorem 20 below.

Theorem 14 is an application of Theorem 6. It is based on a lemma stating that every countably n -rectifiable and n -negligible subset A of the product of an open (two-dimensional) rectangle Q and a compact subset K of \mathbb{R}^{2n-2} injectively symplectically maps into $R \times U$, where R is a rectangle of slightly more than half the size of Q , and U is an arbitrary neighbourhood of K .

This follows from a folding construction, which we now sketch. We choose a small $\delta > 0$ and denote by V_δ the rectangle $(0, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ with some δ -thickened slit removed, see Figure 1. By rescaling, and

¹³This lemma is based on Moser isotopy.

¹⁴See Propositions 18 and 19 below.

using some two-dimensional symplectic embedding, we may assume w.l.o.g. that A is contained in $V_\delta \times K$.

We choose a symplectomorphism ψ of \mathbb{R}^2 that pushes down the upper right part of the rectangle $(0, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ to its lower right part. See Figure 2. We denote by A_\pm the upper and lower parts of A , see Figure 1. By Theorem 6 there exists a linear function $H : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that C^∞ -instantaneously Hamiltonianly displaces $(\psi \times \text{id})(A_+)$ and A_- from each other. By suitably cutting off this function, we obtain a function \tilde{H} .

There exists a small t , such that the Hamiltonian flow $\varphi_{\tilde{H}}^t$ displaces $(\psi \times \text{id})(A_+)$ from A_- . The map given by $\varphi_{\tilde{H}}^t \circ (\psi \times \text{id})$ on A_+ and by the identity on A_- maps A injectively and symplectically into a slightly enlarged copy of the rectangle $(0, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ with the upper right part removed. By composing this map with the product of a suitable two-dimensional symplectic embedding and the identity, we obtain an injective symplectic map from A into $R \times U$, as desired. This finishes the sketch of the folding construction.

In the proof of Theorem 14 we will apply this folding construction several times to each factor of the product $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^2$. We may view this as “crumbling up” the given subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

Remark (folding and perturbing). The map $\psi \times \text{id}$ folds A back. The image of A_+ under $\psi \times \text{id}$ may intersect A_- , see Figure 2. The trick in the folding construction is to remove this intersection by perturbing the map $\psi \times \text{id}$. This means that we compose this map with the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism $\varphi_{\tilde{H}}^t$, which is C^∞ -close to the identity.

Philosophical conclusion. It is a philosophical principle that below half the dimension of a given symplectic manifold, symplectic geometry becomes flexible. Questions 3 and 13 put displacement and squeezing versions of this principle into the precise framework of subsets and Hausdorff dimension. Corollary 9 and Theorem 14 confirm the principle in this framework.

Usually attention is restricted to *submanifolds* of symplectic manifolds, which have *integer* dimension. In contrast, in the setting of Corollary 9 and Theorem 14 we consider arbitrary rectifiable *subsets*, which may have any *real* (Hausdorff) dimension. These results are sharp in terms of the real-valued Hausdorff dimension of the subset, and not just in terms of the integer dimension of some submanifold.

Potential application of our folding construction: Small sets are nonbarriers. We expect that the folding construction of the proof of Theorem 14 can be modified to prove the following conjecture. We denote by B_r^n the open ball in \mathbb{R}^n of radius r around 0.




FIGURE 1. The set V_δ and the projections of A and A_\pm to the first factor in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

Conjecture. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and A be a relatively closed subset of B_1^{2n} that satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (a) A is countably $(n - 1)$ -rectifiable.
- (b) A is countably n -rectifiable and $(n - 1)$ -negligible.

Then the Gromov width of $B_1^{2n} \setminus A$ equals π .

Building on the terminology in P. Biran's article [Bir01], we define a *nonbarrier* for a given symplectic manifold (M, ω) to be a subset A of M such that $M \setminus A$ and M have the same Gromov width. The statement of the above conjecture implies that every subset A as in the hypothesis of the conjecture is a nonbarrier. For $n = 2$ a stronger version of the conjecture was proved by K. Sackel, A. Song, U. Varolgunes, and J. J. Zhu in [SSVZ24, Theorem 1.4].

On the other hand, in [Bir01, Theorem 1.D] P. Biran showed that certain Lagrangian CW-complexes are *Lagrangian barriers*. For recent work on Lagrangian barriers see [SSVZ24, Theorem 1.3], the work [BS24, Theorem 1.5] of J. Brendel and F. Schlenk, and the work [OS24]




FIGURE 2. *Left:* The map ψ . *Right:* The map $\psi \times \text{id}$ folds A_+ back, possibly making it intersect A_- .

of E. Opshtein and F. Schlenk. In [HKHO24, Theorem 1.3] P. Haim-Kislev, R. Hind, and Y. Ostrover showed that certain finite unions of symplectic subspaces of \mathbb{R}^{2n} of codimension two are *symplectic* barriers.

Our idea of proof for the conjecture is to modify the folding construction of the proof of Theorem 14 (as outlined on p. 7), by considering a Hamiltonian isotopy $\psi : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ¹⁵ that pushes down the upper right part of the rectangle $(0, 1) \times (-1, 1)$. We apply Theorem 6 to obtain a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism that is C^∞ -close to id and displaces $\psi \times \text{id}([0, 1] \times A_+)$ from A_- . We then use a cut off argument to obtain a compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphism that folds A into a box of roughly half the original size.

By iterating this modified folding construction, we obtain a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism that maps A into an arbitrarily small open set. We move this set close to the boundary of the ball B_1^{2n} via some Hamiltonian diffeomorphism. The statement of the conjecture should now follow.

One challenge of this modified construction is to obtain a symplectomorphism of the ball B_1^{2n} that maps A into some small open set, rather than a symplectomorphism of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . For this we need to apply a

¹⁵instead of a single symplectomorphism of \mathbb{R}^2

suitable Hamiltonian diffeomorphism that creates some space outside of A and close to the boundary of B_1^{2n} .

Organization of this article. In Section 2 we formulate some results that are related to Corollary 8, Theorem 14, and Question 1 in general. In Section 3 we prove Theorems 6 and 14 and Corollary 9, and in Section 4 those results from Section 2 that are not proved elsewhere. In the Appendix in Section A we prove Lemmas 5 and 32, which were used in Section 1.

Acknowledgments. We thank Álvaro del Pino Gómez for mentioning an alternative approach for the proof of Theorem 16 below and for suggesting to use the isosymplectic embedding theorem to prove Proposition 19 below. We also thank Felix Schlenk for some explanations regarding the isosymplectic embedding theorem and for feedback on a previous version of this article.

2. RELATED RESULTS

Results related to Corollary 8. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The next result is a version of Corollary 8 for a subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} given by a submanifold of subcritical dimension¹⁶. It has been known for a long time. It follows e.g. from [Gür08, Theorem 1.1].¹⁷

Theorem 16 (infinitesimal displaceability of submanifold of subcritical dimension). *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$ and $N \subseteq M$ a closed submanifold of dimension strictly less than n . Then N is infinitesimally (Hamiltonianly) displaceable, i.e., there exists a Hamiltonian vector field on M that is nowhere tangent to N .*

Remark (infinitesimal versus instantaneous displaceability and displacement energy). If a closed submanifold of M is infinitesimally displaceable then it is C^∞ -instantaneously displaceable¹⁸ and its displacement energy vanishes. This follows from an elementary argument involving the flow of an infinitesimally displacing Hamiltonian vector field, and the tubular neighbourhood theorem.

For a submanifold N of dimension n the conclusion of Theorem 16 remains true, provided that N is closed, connected, and somewhere non-Lagrangian, and the normal bundle of N admits a nonvanishing section. This was proved by F. Laudenbach and J.-C. Sikorav [LS94,

¹⁶By this we mean dimension strictly less than n .

¹⁷[Gür08, Theorem 1.1] states the following: Let N be a closed connected submanifold of a symplectic manifold, such that N is nowhere coisotropic and its normal bundle admits a non-vanishing section. Then N is infinitesimally Hamiltonianly displaceable. Theorem 16 follows from this and the fact that a smooth vector bundle admits a nonvanishing section, if its rank is bigger than the dimension of its base. (See [Bre93, II.15.3, p. 115].)

¹⁸See Definition 7.

Theorem 1]. If TN also has a Lagrangian complement then the same statement follows from L. Polterovich's result [Pol95, Theorem 1.2].

Remark. On the other hand, let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and $L \subseteq M$ a nonempty closed Lagrangian submanifold. We claim that L is not infinitesimally displaceable. To see this, let $H \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$. The claim is a consequence of the following facts:

- The restriction $f := H|_L$ attains its extrema, which are critical points of f .
- At each critical point of f the Hamiltonian vector field X_H is tangent to L .

The next result states that certain Lagrangians are not *instantaneously* displaceable, either.

Proposition 17 (instantaneous non-displaceability of Lagrangian). *Every nonempty closed Lagrangian submanifold of $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega^{\text{st}})$ is not C^1 -instantaneously displaceable.*

For a proof of this proposition see Section 4.1. The proof is based on a result of M. Gromov, which states that a Hamiltonian isotopy does not displace the zero-section of the cotangent bundle of a nonempty closed manifold. We reduce to the cotangent bundle via Weinstein's Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem.

Results related to Theorem 14. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The next result is a variant of Theorem 14 for a bounded *isotropic submanifold* of \mathbb{R}^{2n} without boundary. It implies that every such submanifold is arbitrarily squeezable.

Proposition 18 (arbitrary squeezing for isotropic submanifold). *Every bounded¹⁹ isotropic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{2n} without boundary ambiently symplectically embeds²⁰ into every nonempty symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$.*

For a proof see Section 4.2. The result easily follows from the isotropic neighbourhood theorem and may therefore be well-known.

Remark (arbitrary squeezing for isotropic submanifold). Under the additional hypothesis that the dimension of the submanifold is strictly less than n , the statement of Proposition 18 follows from Theorem 14. To see this, we use the facts that every m -dimensional manifold is countably m -rectifiable and that it is critically negligible, if $m < n$.

The next proposition implies that every bounded *symplectic submanifold* of \mathbb{R}^{2n} without boundary, of codimension at least 2, is arbitrarily squeezable.

¹⁹as a subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n}

²⁰See Definition 11(iii).

Proposition 19 (arbitrary squeezing for certain symplectic submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^{2n}). *A subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} ambiently symplectically embeds into every nonempty symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$, if it is one of the following:*

- (a) *a bounded symplectic submanifold without boundary, whose codimension is at least 2*
- (b) $\mathbb{R}^{2m} \times \{0\}$ ²¹ with $m < n$

For a proof of this proposition see Section 4.3. The result easily follows from the isosymplectic embedding theorem of Gromov and the symplectic neighbourhood theorem. It is well-known, at least if the subset is as in (b). In this case²² a proof is outlined in F. Schlenk's article [Sch18, p. 176]. In our proof of Proposition 19 we fill in some details that are missing in [Sch18, p. 176] and adapt the method of proof to situation (a).

Remark. Under the additional hypothesis that the dimension of the submanifold is less than n , the statement of Proposition 19 follows from Theorem 14.

The next result shows that the n -negligibility condition in Theorem 14 is sharp. (Compare to Remark 15.) It is due to J. Swoboda and F. Ziltener, see [SZ13b, Theorem 1].

Theorem 20 (nonsqueezable set of critical dimension). *For every $n \geq 2$ there exists a compact n -Hausdorff-dimensional subset of the closed ball in \mathbb{R}^{2n} of radius $\sqrt{2}$ that does not injectively symplectically map into the open symplectic cylinder of radius 1.*

Such a set may be constructed as the union of a closed Lagrangian submanifold and the image of a smooth map from S^2 to \mathbb{R}^{2n} , see the proof of [SZ13b, Theorem 1]. Theorem 20 sharpens the following theorem, which follows from M. Gromov's and J.-C. Sikorav's result [Sik91, Théorème 1]²³ or from D. Hermann's result [Her98, Theorem 1.12], using the Extension after Restriction Principle. (See [Sch05, p. 8].) We denote by $\mathbb{T}^n = (S^1)^n$ the standard Lagrangian torus in \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

Theorem (Symplectic Hedgehog Theorem). *For every $n \geq 2$ the subset*

$$[0, 1] \cdot \mathbb{T}^n := \{cx \mid c \in [0, 1], x \in \mathbb{T}^n\}$$

of \mathbb{R}^{2n} does not injectively symplectically map into the open symplectic cylinder of radius 1.

Remark. This subset has Hausdorff dimension $n + 1$.

²¹Our convention for ω^{st} is such that $\mathbb{R}^{2m} \times \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is a symplectic submanifold.

²²with $m = 2$ and $n = 3$

²³This result states that there does not exist any symplectomorphism of \mathbb{R}^{2n} that maps the standard Lagrangian torus into the open standard symplectic cylinder.

A result by J. Swoboda and F. Ziltener states that no product of odd-dimensional unit spheres of dimension at least 3 injectively symplectically maps into the standard symplectic cylinder, see [SZ12, Corollary 5]. This result provides further examples of small subsets that are not arbitrarily squeezable.

Further results related to Question 1. In [SZ13a, Proposition 3, Theorems 5 and 6] J. Swoboda and F. Ziltener consider Question 1 from a dynamical point of view, providing upper and lower bounds on the relative Hofer diameter of certain small subsets of a symplectic manifold.

3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 6. The proof of this result is based on the following lemma, whose proof captures the measure theoretic part of the argument. For every $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ we denote

$$A + v := \{x + v \mid x \in A\}.$$

Lemma 21 (instantaneous affine displaceability of small sets). *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $m \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$, $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a countably m -rectifiable subset and $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell$ an $(\ell - m)$ -negligible subset. There exists a vector $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$, such that for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$(A + tv_0) \cap B = \emptyset. \quad (4)$$

Proof of Theorem 6. We define $\ell := 2n$ and choose a vector $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ as in Lemma 21. We denote by ω^{st} the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . We define the function

$$H : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad H(x) := \omega^{\text{st}}(v_0, x).$$

This function is linear. The corresponding Hamiltonian vector field is given by $X_H \equiv v_0$ and its flow by

$$\varphi_H^t(x) = x + tv_0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

Therefore, the conclusion of Theorem 6 follows from (4). \square

The proof of Lemma 21 is based on the following lemma from geometric measure theory. Let $(X, d), (X', d')$ be metric spaces and $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Definition (p -product metric). We define the p -product metric of d and d' to be the metric \tilde{d}_p on $\tilde{X} := X \times X'$ given by

$$\tilde{d}_p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) := \sqrt[p]{d(x, y)^p + d'(x', y')^p}, \quad \forall \tilde{x} = (x, x'), \tilde{y} = (y, y') \in \tilde{X}.$$

Lemma 22 (negligibility and product). *Let $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}_0$, A be a countably m -rectifiable metric space, B an m' -negligible metric space, and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then the Cartesian product $A \times B$ is $(m + m')$ -negligible w.r.t. the p -product metric.*

The proof of this lemma is based on the following product property of the Hausdorff measure.

Lemma 23 (negligibility and product with \mathbb{R}^m). *Let $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}_0$, (X, d) a metric space that is m' -negligible, and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then $\mathbb{R}^m \times X$ is $(m + m')$ -negligible w.r.t. the p -product metric.*

Proof of Lemma 23. This follows from [Fed69, 2.10.45. Theorem, p. 202], using that the p -product metrics for different p s are equivalent. \square

In the proof of Lemma 22 we will also use the following remark.

Remark 24 (negligibility and Lipschitz continuity). Let X and X' be metric spaces, $f : X \rightarrow X'$ a Lipschitz continuous map, and $s \in [0, \infty)$, such that X is s -negligible. Then the image $f(X)$ is s -negligible.

For every metric space (X, d) and $s \in [0, \infty)$ we denote by $\mathcal{H}^s = \mathcal{H}_d^s$ the s -dimensional outer Hausdorff measure on (X, d) .

Proof of Lemma 22. By our hypothesis that A is countably m -rectifiable, there exists a collection \mathcal{F} as in Definition 4 with $X = A$. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. We denote by S the domain of f . By Lemma 23, using $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ and our hypothesis that B is m' -negligible, $S \times B$ is $(m + m')$ -negligible w.r.t. the p -product metric. Since f is Lipschitz, the map $f \times \text{id}$ is Lipschitz w.r.t. the p -product metric on either side. Using Remark 24, it follows that the metric space

$$\text{im}(f) \times B = \text{im}(f \times \text{id})$$

is $(m + m')$ -negligible w.r.t. the p -product metric. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{m+m'}(A \times B) &\leq \mathcal{H}^{m+m'}\left(\left(\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \text{im}(f)\right) \times B\right) && \text{(by (1))} \\ &\leq \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{H}^{m+m'}(\text{im}(f) \times B) \\ \text{(using } \left(\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \text{im}(f)\right) \times B &= \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (\text{im}(f) \times B) \text{ and } \sigma\text{-subadditivity of } \mathcal{H}^{m+m'}) \\ &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} 0 \\ &\quad \text{(using that } \text{im}(f) \times B \text{ is } (m + m')\text{-negligible)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 22. \square

In the proof of Lemma 21, we will also use the following remark.

Remark 25 (Hausdorff measure). The n -dimensional outer Hausdorff measure in \mathbb{R}^n is proportional to the outer Lebesgue measure, see for example [AE09, Corollary 5.22, p.50].

Proof of Lemma 21. We denote by λ^* the ℓ -dimensional outer Lebesgue measure. By Lemma 22 the product $A \times B$ is ℓ -negligible. We define the displacement vector map to be the function

$$\mathbf{v} : \mathbb{R}^\ell \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell, \quad \mathbf{v}(x, y) := y - x. \quad (5)$$

This function is Lipschitz continuous. Therefore, by Remark 24, the image $\mathbf{v}(A \times B)$ is ℓ -negligible. Hence by Remark 25, we have

$$\lambda^*(\mathbf{v}(A \times B)) = 0. \quad (6)$$

We define the map

$$\psi : (0, \infty) \times S^{\ell-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell, \quad \psi(r, v) := rv.$$

Denoting by $\int_{S^{\ell-1}} dv$ the integral over $S^{\ell-1}$ w.r.t. standard Riemannian metric, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{\ell-1}} \left(\int_0^\infty \chi_{\mathbf{v}(A \times B)} \circ \psi(r, v) r^{\ell-1} dr \right) dv &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^\ell \setminus \{0\}} \chi_{\mathbf{v}(A \times B)}(x) dx \\ &\quad \text{(by Fubini's theorem and the Change of Variables theorem)} \\ &= \lambda^*(\mathbf{v}(A \times B)) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{(by (6))} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for almost every $v \in S^{\ell-1}$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \chi_{\mathbf{v}(A \times B)}(rv) r^{\ell-1} dr = 0. \quad (7)$$

Since the antipodal map on the sphere is volume-preserving, there exists $v_0 \in S^{\ell-1}$, such that (7) holds for $v = v_0$ and $v = -v_0$. We choose such a v_0 . Using equation (7) with $v = v_0$, we have that $tv_0 \notin \mathbf{v}(A \times B)$ for almost every $t = r \in (0, \infty)$. Using equation (7) with $v = -v_0$, we have that $-tv_0 \notin \mathbf{v}(A \times B)$ for almost every $t \in (0, \infty)$. Hence, the set

$$S := \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid tv_0 \notin \mathbf{v}(A \times B)\} \quad (8)$$

has full (1-dimensional) Lebesgue measure. The conclusion of Lemma 21 is therefore a consequence of the following claim.

Claim 1. For every $t \in S$ we have

$$(A + tv_0) \cap B = \emptyset.$$

Proof of Claim 1. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $(A + tv_0) \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist $a \in A$, $b \in B$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a + tv_0 &= b, \\ \text{i.e., } tv_0 &= b - a = \mathbf{v}(a, b) \quad \text{(by (5))} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $tv_0 \in \mathbf{v}(A \times B)$. Therefore, by (8), $t \notin S$. The statement of Claim 1 follows. \square

This proves Lemma 21. \square

Proof of Theorem 14. This proof is based on the following lemma. For every Lebesgue measurable subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ we denote by $|S|$ its area, i.e., (two-dimensional) Lebesgue measure.

Lemma 26 (symplectically squeezing to almost half the size). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $Q, R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be nonempty open rectangles, such that $|Q| < 2|R|$, $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ a compact subset, $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ an open subset containing K , and $A \subseteq Q \times K$ a countably n -rectifiable and n -negligible subset. Then A injectively symplectically maps into $R \times U$.*

Proof of Theorem 14. Let A be a subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} as in the hypothesis of Theorem 14, and $R_1, \dots, R_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be nonempty open rectangles. We choose a collection $Q_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, of open rectangles, such that the closure of A is contained in $Q_1 \times \dots \times Q_n$. Lemma 26, applied sufficiently many times, implies that A injectively symplectically maps into some compact subset of $R_1 \times Q_2 \times \dots \times Q_n$. Intertwining the roles of the first and second factors in the product $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and again applying Lemma 26 sufficiently many times, it follows that A injectively symplectically maps into some compact subset of $R_1 \times R_2 \times Q_3 \times \dots \times Q_n$. Continuing the same way, an induction argument shows that A injectively symplectically maps into some compact subset of $R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$. The conclusion of Theorem 14 follows. \square

In the proof of Lemma 26 we will use the following remark.

Remark 27 (symplectically embedding subsets of the plane). (i) Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$. We define

$$V_\delta := ((0, 1) \times (-1, 1)) \setminus ([\delta, 1) \times [0, \delta]).$$

See Figure 1. This is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 that is symplectomorphic to $V_{1-\delta}$. This follows from the fact that there is a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism of $(0, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ that bijectively maps the set $[\delta, 1) \times [0, \delta]$ to the set $[1 - \delta, 1) \times [0, 1 - \delta]$.^{24 25}

(ii) Let $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open rectangle of area $|Q| \leq 2 - 2\delta$. There is an affine symplectomorphism of \mathbb{R}^2 that maps Q into the rectangle $(0, 1 - \delta) \times (-1, 1)$, which is contained in $V_{1-\delta}$. Using Remark (i), it follows that there is a symplectic embedding θ of Q into V_δ .

Proof of Lemma 26. By some rescaling argument, we may assume w.l.o.g. that $|Q| < 2$ and $|R| > 1$. We choose

$$\varepsilon \in (0, |R| - 1), \tag{9}$$

$$\delta \in \left(0, \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, 1 - \frac{|Q|}{2} \right\}\right). \tag{10}$$

²⁴Alternatively, it follows from [GS79, Theorem 1].

²⁵Such a map is e.g. given by the Hamiltonian time- t flow of the suitably cut off function $H(q, p) := qp$ for a suitable t .

By (10) we have $|Q| < 2 - 2\delta$. Therefore, there is a symplectic embedding θ as in Remark 27(ii). The set $(\theta \times \text{id})(A)$ is contained in $V_\delta \times K$. It is countably n -rectifiable and n -negligible, as the same holds for A by hypothesis, and $\theta \times \text{id}$ is locally Lipschitz.²⁶ Therefore, w.l.o.g. we may assume that

$$A \subseteq V_\delta \times K. \quad (11)$$

We choose a smooth function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, such that

$$f = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{on } \left(-\infty, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right], \\ 1, & \text{on } [\varepsilon, \infty). \end{cases}$$

We define the non-linear shear

$$\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \psi(q, p) := (q, p - f(q)), \quad (12)$$

see Figure 2 on p. 10. Writing a point in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ as (q, p, z) , we define

$$\begin{aligned} A_+ &:= \{(q, p, z) \in A \mid p > 0\}, & A_- &:= \{(q, p, z) \in A \mid p \leq 0\}, \\ A'_+ &:= (\psi \times \text{id})(A_+), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

see Figure 1 on p. 9. We define

$$S := ([0, 1] \times [-1, 0]) \cup ([0, \varepsilon] \times [0, 1]), \quad (14)$$

see Figure 3. Let V be an open neighbourhood of S .

Claim 1. There exists a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism φ of \mathbb{R}^{2n} , such that

$$\varphi = \text{id} \quad \text{on} \quad (-\infty, \delta] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}, \quad (15)$$

$$\varphi(A'_+) \cap A_- = \emptyset, \quad (16)$$

$$\varphi(A'_+) \subseteq V \times U. \quad (17)$$

Proof of Claim 1. Our hypothesis that A is countably n -rectifiable and the Lipschitz-property of ψ imply that the set A'_+ is countably n -rectifiable. Our hypothesis that A is n -negligible implies the same for A_- . Therefore, the hypotheses of Theorem 6 are satisfied with $m := n$ and A, B replaced by A'_+, A_- . Applying this theorem, there exists a linear function $H : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\varphi_H^t(A'_+) \cap A_- = \emptyset. \quad (18)$$

By (10) we have $\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Hence there is a number $\varepsilon' \in (\delta, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. We choose a smooth function $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\rho = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{on } (-\infty, \delta], \\ 1, & \text{on } [\varepsilon', \infty). \end{cases}$$

We define the function

$$\tilde{H} : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{H}(q, p, z) := \rho(q)H(q, p, z).$$

²⁶Here we use Remark 24.




FIGURE 3. The set S . It contains the projections of the folded set $A'_+ = (\psi \times \text{id})(A_+)$ and of A_- (both in red).

Since H is linear, its Hamiltonian vector field is constant. Since $\tilde{H} = H$ on $(\varepsilon', \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ and $\varepsilon' < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, it follows that there exists a real number $t_0 > 0$, such that

$$\varphi_{\tilde{H}}^t = \varphi_H^t \quad \text{on} \quad \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \infty\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}, \quad \forall t \in [0, t_0). \quad (19)$$

We define

$$B_0 := A'_+ \cap ((-\infty, \delta] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}), \quad (20)$$

$$B_1 := A'_+ \cap \left(\left(\delta, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}\right), \quad (21)$$

$$B_2 := A'_+ \cap \left(\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \infty\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}\right). \quad (22)$$

See Figure 4. By (12) and the fact $f|_{(-\infty, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}]} = 0$, we have $\psi = \text{id}$ on $(-\infty, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \times \mathbb{R}$. It follows that

$$B_0 = A_+ \cap ((-\infty, \delta] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}), \quad (23)$$

$$B_1 = A_+ \cap \left(\left(\delta, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}\right). \quad (24)$$




FIGURE 4. The projections of the sets B_0, B_1, B_2 to the first factor in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

By (11), the right hand side of (24) is contained in the compact set $C := [\delta, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \times [\delta, 1] \times K$, and hence

$$B_1 \subseteq C. \quad (25)$$

The set A_- is contained in the closed set $\mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$, which is disjoint from C . Using (25), it follows that there exists a real number $t_1 > 0$, such that

$$\varphi_{\tilde{H}}^t(B_1) \cap A_- = \emptyset, \quad \forall t \in (0, t_1). \quad (26)$$

We have

$$A'_+ \subseteq S \times K,$$

where S is defined as in (14). The set $S \times K$ is compact and contained in the open set $V \times U$. It follows that there exists a real number $t_2 > 0$, such that

$$\varphi_{\tilde{H}}^t(A'_+) \subseteq V \times U, \quad \forall t \in (0, t_2). \quad (27)$$

We choose $t \in (0, \min\{t_0, t_1, t_2\})$, such that (18) is satisfied. We define

$$\varphi := \varphi_{\tilde{H}}^t.$$

Claim 1 follows from the next claim.

Claim 2. The map φ satisfies conditions (15,16,17).

Proof of Claim 2: Since $\tilde{H} = 0$ on $(-\infty, \delta] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$, we have $\varphi = \text{id}$ on $(-\infty, \delta] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$. Hence φ satisfies condition (15).

We check condition (16). By (20,21,22) we have

$$A'_+ = B_0 \cup B_1 \cup B_2. \quad (28)$$

Conditions (15,23) and the fact $A_+ \cap A_- = \emptyset$ imply that

$$\varphi(B_0) \cap A_- = \emptyset. \quad (29)$$

By (22,19,18) we have

$$\varphi(B_2) \cap A_- = \emptyset.$$

Combining this with (28,29,26), it follows that

$$\varphi(A'_+) \cap A_- = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, φ satisfies condition (16). By (27), it satisfies condition (17). This proves Claim 2 and therefore Claim 1. \square

It follows from (14,9) that there exists an open neighbourhood V of S and a symplectic embedding

$$\chi : V \rightarrow R. \quad (30)$$

We choose φ as in Claim 1. We denote

$$V_\delta^+ := V_\delta \cap (\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)), \quad V_\delta^- := V_\delta \cap (\mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0]) = (0, 1) \times (-1, 0].$$

We define the map

$$\tilde{\varphi} : V_\delta \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad \tilde{\varphi} := \begin{cases} (\chi \times \text{id}) \circ \varphi \circ (\psi \times \text{id}), & \text{on } V_\delta^+, \\ \chi \times \text{id}, & \text{on } V_\delta^-. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (15) and the fact $\psi|_{(-\infty, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \times \mathbb{R}} = \text{id}$ that the map $\tilde{\varphi}$ is smooth. It is symplectic. By (13,17,30), $\tilde{\varphi}$ maps A into $R \times U$. It follows from (16) that the restriction of $\tilde{\varphi}$ to A is injective. Hence this restriction is an injective symplectic map from A into $R \times U$. This concludes the proof of Lemma 26. \square

Proof of Corollary 9. The next claim implies that $D_{\text{disp}}^{\text{rect}} \geq n$. Let $D \in [0, n)$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be a D -Hausdorff dimensional, bounded, and countably $[D]$ -rectifiable subset.

Claim 1. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\tilde{H} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{R})$, such that

$$\varphi_{\tilde{H}}^1(A) \cap A = \emptyset, \quad (31)$$

$$\|\tilde{H}\| < \varepsilon. \quad (32)$$

Proof of Claim 1. By Definition 2 A is s -negligible for some $s \in [D, n)$. Hence A is n -negligible. Since A is $[D]$ -rectifiable, it is n -rectifiable. Therefore, it satisfies the hypothesis of Corollary 8. Applying that corollary, there exists a function H as in the conclusion of that theorem.

Since A is bounded, the sets \bar{A} and hence $K := \bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} \varphi_H^t(\bar{A})$ are compact. We choose a function $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{R})$ that equals 1 on some compact neighbourhood of K and has compact support. By (3) there exists $t \in [0, 1]$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_H^t(A) \cap A &= \emptyset, \\ t &< \frac{\varepsilon}{\|\rho H\|}. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

We define $\tilde{H} := t\rho H$. This function satisfies (32). We have

$$\varphi_{\tilde{H}}^1 = \varphi_{\rho H}^t, \quad \varphi_{\rho H}^t = \varphi_H^t \quad \text{on } \bar{A}.$$

Using (33), it follows that $\varphi_{\tilde{H}}^1(A) \cap A = \emptyset$, i.e., (31) holds. This proves Claim 1. \square

Since $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega^{\text{st}})$ is geometrically bounded, the displacement energy of every nonempty closed Lagrangian submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{2n} is positive, see e.g. [Che98]. It follows that $D_{\text{disp}}^{\text{rect}} \leq n$. Therefore, by Claim 1, we have $D_{\text{disp}}^{\text{rect}} = n$. This proves Corollary 9. \square

4. PROOFS OF THREE OF THE RELATED RESULTS

4.1. Proof of Proposition 17 (instantaneous non-displaceability of Lagrangian submanifold). The proof of Proposition 17 is based on a result of M. Gromov, which states that a Hamiltonian isotopy does not displace the zero-section of the cotangent bundle of a nonempty closed manifold. We reduce to the cotangent bundle via Weinstein's Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem.

This reduction is based on a C^1 -close symplectic isotopy lemma, which is a version for a noncompact manifold of the following fact: On a closed symplectic manifold (M, ω) every symplectomorphism that is C^1 -close to the identity is the time-1-restriction of a symplectic isotopy that stays C^1 -close to the identity. This follows from Weinstein's Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem applied to the diagonal in $M \times M$.

The next remark is a reformulated version of Gromov's result.

Remark 28 (Hamiltonian isotopy in cotangent bundle). Let Q be a closed manifold. We equip T^*Q with the canonical symplectic form ω^{can} and denote by $0^Q \subseteq T^*Q$ the 0-section. Let W be an open neighbourhood of 0^Q and $\psi \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times W, T^*Q)$, such that

$$\psi_0(0^Q) = 0^Q,$$

and denoting

$$X_t := (d\psi_t)^{-1} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} \psi_s, \tag{34}$$

there exists a function $H \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times W, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\iota_{X_t} \omega^{\text{can}} = dH_t, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \tag{35}$$

Then we have

$$0^Q \cap \psi_1(0^Q) \neq \emptyset. \quad (36)$$

To see this, we cut off the function $(t, x) \mapsto \psi_*^t H_t := H_t \circ \psi_t^{-1}$ outside of some open neighbourhood of the compact set $\bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} \psi_t(Q)$, obtaining a compactly supported function $\tilde{H} \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times T^*Q, \mathbb{R})$. By (34) ψ is the flow of the time-dependent vector field $(\psi_*^t X_t)_t$. By (35) we have $\psi_*^t X_t = X_{\psi_*^t H_t}$. It follows that

$$\varphi_{\tilde{H}}^t = \psi_t \quad \text{on } Q.$$

The condition (36) now follows from a result by M. Gromov, see [MS17, Theorem 11.3.10].

To formulate the C^1 -close symplectic isotopy lemma, we denote for each subset A of a topological space X by $\text{Int } A$ the interior of A in X . For each subset A of a set S we denote by $i_A : A \rightarrow S$ the inclusion map. For each pair of symplectic manifolds $(M, \omega), (M', \omega')$ we denote

$$\text{Emb}_{\text{symp}}(M', M) := \{\text{symplectic embedding } M' \rightarrow M\}.$$

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold without boundary, and K_0, K be compact submanifolds of M of dimension $\dim M$ (possibly with boundary), such that

$$K_0 \subseteq \text{Int } K. \quad (37)$$

Let \mathcal{U}_0 be a C^1 -neighbourhood of i_{K_0} in $\text{Emb}_{\text{symp}}(K_0, M)$.

Lemma 29 (C^1 -close symplectic isotopy). *There exists a C^1 -neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of i_K in $\text{Emb}_{\text{symp}}(K, M)$, such that for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists a map $\psi \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times K_0, M)$ satisfying*

$$\psi_t := \psi(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad (38)$$

$$\psi_0 = i_{K_0}, \quad (39)$$

$$\psi_1 = \varphi|_{K_0}. \quad (40)$$

For a proof of this lemma see p. 25.

We are now ready for the proof of Proposition 17.

Proof of Proposition 17 (p. 12). Let L be a nonempty closed Lagrangian submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . We choose compact submanifolds K_0, K of \mathbb{R}^{2n} of dimension $2n$, such that the first de Rham cohomology of K_0 vanishes and

$$L \subseteq \text{Int } K_0, \quad (41)$$

$$K_0 \subseteq \text{Int } K. \quad (42)$$

By Weinstein's Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem there exist open neighbourhoods U of L in $\text{Int } K_0$ and V of the 0-section in T^*L and a symplectomorphism $U \rightarrow V$ that restricts to the canonical inclusion of L in T^*L . We define

$$\mathcal{U}_0 := \{\varphi_0 \in \text{Emb}_{\text{symp}}(K_0, \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \mid \varphi_0(L) \subseteq U\}. \quad (43)$$

By (41) the condition $\varphi_0(L) \subseteq U$ makes sense, and therefore, the set \mathcal{U}_0 is well-defined. It is a compact-open neighbourhood of i_{K_0} in $\text{Emb}_{\text{symp}}(K_0, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Using (42), Lemma 29 therefore implies that there exists a set \mathcal{U} as in that lemma. We denote by $\text{Ham}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^{2n} w.r.t. ω^{st} and define

$$\mathcal{U}' := \{\varphi' \in \text{Ham}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \mid \varphi'|_K \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

This is a weak C^1 -neighbourhood of id in $\text{Ham}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Proposition 17 therefore follows from the next claim.

Claim 1. For every $\varphi' \in \mathcal{U}'$ we have

$$L \cap \varphi'(L) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof of Claim 1: We define

$$\varphi := \varphi'|_K. \quad (44)$$

Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}$, by the statement of Lemma 29 there exists a map $\psi \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times K_0, M)$ satisfying (38,39,40). For every $t \in [0, 1]$ we define the vector field X_t on K_0 by

$$X_t := (d\psi_t)^{-1} \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=t} \psi_s : K_0 \rightarrow TM.$$

Let $t \in [0, 1]$. By (38), ψ_s is symplectic for every s . Since

$$X_t = \psi_t^* \left(\left(\left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=t} \psi_s \right) \circ \psi_t^{-1} \right),$$

it follows that X_t is symplectic. Since the first de Rham cohomology of K_0 vanishes, it follows that

$$\alpha_t := \iota_{X_t} \omega^{\text{st}}$$

is exact. Since the map $(t, x) \mapsto (\alpha_t)_x$ is smooth, it follows that there exists a smooth function $H : [0, 1] \times \text{Int } K_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$dH_t = \alpha_t|_{\text{Int } K_0}, \quad \forall t.$$

Here we used [AG18, Theorem A.1, p. 475, and Remark A.3(i), p. 479]. By (38,43) we have

$$\psi_t(L) \subseteq U, \quad \forall t.$$

By (39) we have

$$\psi_0(L) = L.$$

Since U is a Weinstein neighbourhood of L , it therefore follows from Remark 28 that

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &\neq L \cap \psi_1(L) \\ &= L \cap \varphi(L) \quad (\text{by (40)}) \\ &= L \cap \varphi'(L) \quad (\text{by (44)}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Claim 1 and completes the proof of Proposition 17. \square

Lemma 29 is a consequence of the following lemma. For every manifold Q we denote by $0_Q : Q \rightarrow T^*Q$ the canonical inclusion as the 0-section. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold without boundary and K_0, Q, K be compact submanifolds of M of dimension $\dim M$, such that

$$K_0 \subseteq \text{Int } Q, \quad Q \subseteq \text{Int } K. \quad (45)$$

Let \mathcal{U}_0 be a C^1 -neighbourhood of i_{K_0} in $\text{Emb}_{\text{symp}}(K_0, M)$.

Lemma 30 (correspondence between symplectic embeddings and closed 1-forms). *There exist sets \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} and maps*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}, \quad \Psi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_0$$

with the following properties:

- (a) \mathcal{U} is a C^1 -neighbourhood of i_K in $\text{Emb}_{\text{symp}}(K, M)$.
- (b) \mathcal{V} is a convex subset of $\{\alpha \in \Omega^1(Q) \mid d\alpha = 0\}$.²⁷
- (c) $0_Q \in \mathcal{V}$
- (d) $\Psi(0_Q) = i_{K_0}$
- (e) $\Psi \circ \Phi = \text{restriction to } K_0$
- (f) Let $\alpha \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times Q, T^*Q)$ be a map satisfying $\alpha_t := \alpha(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{V}$, for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Then the map

$$[0, 1] \times K_0 \ni (t, x) \mapsto \Psi(\alpha_t)(x) \in M$$

is smooth.

Proof of Lemma 30. This follows from an argument involving the following ingredients:

- Weinstein's Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem applied with the diagonal in $M \times M$
- The set of C^1 -embeddings of a compact manifold in a boundaryless manifold is C^1 -open in the set of all C^1 -maps.
- Composition of C^1 -maps is continuous w.r.t. the weak C^1 -topologies.
- Inversion of C^1 -embeddings between C^1 -manifolds without boundary is continuous w.r.t. the weak C^1 -topologies.²⁸

□

Proof of Lemma 29. By (37) there exists a compact submanifold Q of M of dimension $\dim M$, such that (45) holds. We choose $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \Phi, \Psi$ as in Lemma 30. By (a) \mathcal{U} is a C^1 -neighbourhood of i_K . Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}$. We define

$$\psi : [0, 1] \times K_0 \rightarrow M, \quad \psi(t, x) := \Psi(t\Phi(\varphi))(x).$$

The fact $\text{im}(\Phi) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and (c,b) imply that $t\Phi(\varphi) \in \mathcal{V}$, for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Hence ψ is well-defined. By (f) it is smooth. Since Ψ takes values in

²⁷The proof of the lemma shows that \mathcal{V} can be chosen to be a C^1 -neighbourhood of 0_Q . However, we will only use that \mathcal{V} contains 0_Q .

²⁸To make sense of the inversion map, we need to restrict the inverted embedding to a fixed submanifold of the target manifold.

\mathcal{U}_0 , condition (38) is satisfied. Condition (d) implies (39). Condition (e) implies that (40) holds. Hence ψ has the desired properties. This proves Lemma 29. \square

4.2. Proof of Proposition 18 (arbitrary squeezing for isotropic submanifold). Let N be a bounded isotropic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{2n} and $c \in (0, \infty)$. The rescaled set $cN := \{cx \mid x \in N\}$ is an isotropic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . There is a canonical symplectic vector bundle isomorphism Φ from the symplectic quotient bundle of the symplectic complement bundle of TN to the corresponding bundle for cN , such that Φ covers the map $f : N \rightarrow cN$, $f(x) := cx$. Therefore, by the isotropic neighbourhood theorem, there are open neighbourhoods U, U' of N, cN and a symplectomorphism between U and U' that restricts to c times the identity on N . Using the hypothesis that N is bounded, it follows that N ambiently symplectically embeds into every open neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Using Darboux's theorem, it follows that N ambiently symplectically embeds into every nonempty symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$. This proves Proposition 18. \square

4.3. Proof of Proposition 19 (arbitrary squeezing for certain symplectic submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^{2n}). In the proof of Proposition 19 we will use the following lemma. Let X be a manifold without boundary. We call two (smooth) symplectic vector bundles (E_0, ω_0) and (E_1, ω_1) over X *strongly isomorphic* iff there exists a symplectic isomorphism between them that covers the identity on X . In this case we write

$$(E_0, \omega_0) \cong (E_1, \omega_1).$$

For $j = 0, 1$ we define the map

$$i_j : X \rightarrow [0, 1] \times X, \quad i_j(x) := (j, x). \quad (46)$$

Lemma 31 (symplectic vector bundle). *Let (E, ω) be a symplectic vector bundle over $[0, 1] \times X$. We have*

$$i_0^*(E, \omega) \cong i_1^*(E, \omega). \quad (47)$$

Proof of Lemma 31. This follows from an analogous statement for the symplectic frame bundle P of (E, ω) . That statement follows from an argument involving the holonomy of a connection 1-form on P along the path $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times X$, for every $x \in X$. \square

For every symplectic vector space (V, Ω) and every linear subspace $W \subseteq V$ we denote the symplectic (orthogonal) complement of W by

$$W^\Omega := \{v \in V \mid \Omega(v, w) = 0, \forall w \in W\}.$$

Proof of Proposition 19. Let N be a symplectic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{2n} as in (a). We denote by ω^{st} the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n} and by $i : N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ the inclusion. Let U be an open neighborhood of the

origin in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Since N is bounded, there exists a $c \in (0, \infty)$, such that the image of the map

$$f_0 := ci : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

is contained in U . Since the forms $f_0^*(\omega^{\text{st}}|_U)$ and $i^*\omega^{\text{st}}$ are both exact, they are cohomologous. We define the map

$$F : [0, 1] \times TN \rightarrow TU, \quad F(t, (x, v)) := (cx, ((1-t)c + t)v), \quad (48)$$

where we canonically identify $T_x\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = T_{cx}\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. For every $t \in [0, 1]$, F_t is a fiberwise injective vector bundle morphism over f_0 . Moreover, $F_0 = df_0$ and F_1 is symplectic²⁹. It therefore follows from Gromov's isosymplectic embedding theorem that there exists an isotopy

$$f_t : N \rightarrow U, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

starting at f_0 , such that f_1 is symplectic, a (smooth) homotopy $g_t : N \rightarrow U$ ($t \in [0, 1]$), and a homotopy of symplectic vector bundle morphisms $G_t : TN \rightarrow TU$ ($t \in [0, 1]$) covering $(g_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$, such that

$$G_0 = F_1, \quad G_1 = df_1.$$

(See [CEM24, 20.1.1] or [Gro86, p. 335-336].³⁰) We define the symplectic vector bundle (E, ω) over $[0, 1] \times N$ by

$$E_{(t,x)} := (G_t(T_x N))^{\omega_{g_t(x)}^{\text{st}}}, \quad \omega_{(t,x)} := \omega^{\text{st}}|_{E_{(t,x)}}. \quad (49)$$

We define i_j as in (46) with $X := N$. By Lemma 31 condition (47) holds. Let $x \in N$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_x N &= F_1(T_x N) && \text{(by (48))} \\ &= G_0(T_x N) && \text{(since } G_0 = F_1). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T_x N^{\omega_x^{\text{st}}} &= (G_0(T_x N))^{\omega_{cx}^{\text{st}}} \\ &= E_{(0,x)} && \text{(by (49)),} \\ \omega^{\text{st}} &= \omega && \text{on } T_x N^{\omega_x^{\text{st}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting

$$N' := f_1(N),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(TN^{\omega^{\text{st}}}, \omega^{\text{st}}|_{TN^{\omega^{\text{st}}}} \right) &= i_0^*(E, \omega) \\ &\cong i_1^*(E, \omega) && \text{(by (47))} \\ &= f_1^* \left(TN'^{\omega^{\text{st}}}, \omega^{\text{st}}|_{TN'^{\omega^{\text{st}}}} \right) && \text{(using (49) and } G_1 = df_1). \end{aligned}$$

²⁹w.r.t. $i^*\omega^{\text{st}}$ and $\omega^{\text{st}}|_U$

³⁰Here in the case $\dim N > 0$ we used that N is open, i.e., none of its connected components is closed. This follows from exactness of $i^*\omega^{\text{st}}$.

Since f_1 is a symplectic embedding, the symplectic neighbourhood theorem therefore implies that there exist open neighbourhoods U_0 of N in \mathbb{R}^{2n} and U_1 of N' in U , and a symplectomorphism from U_0 to U_1 that restricts to f_1 on N . Using Darboux's theorem, it follows that N ambiently symplectically embeds into every nonempty symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$. This proves the statement of Proposition 19 for a subset N as in (a).

Let now $N := \mathbb{R}^{2m} \times \{0\}$ with $m < n$, as in (b). Let U be an open neighbourhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . We choose an embedding $f_0 : N \rightarrow U$, such that $df_0(0) = \text{id}$. We define the map

$$F : [0, 1] \times TN \rightarrow TU, \quad F(t, (x, v)) := (f_0(x), df_0((1-t)x)(v)),$$

where we canonically identify $T_x \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = T_{f_0(x)} \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. By an argument as above, Gromov's isosymplectic embedding theorem implies that there exists an isotopy

$$f_t : N \rightarrow U, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

starting at f_0 , such that f_1 is symplectic. We denote

$$N' := f_1(N).$$

The symplectic vector bundle $(TN^{\omega^{\text{st}}}, \omega^{\text{st}}|_{TN^{\omega^{\text{st}}}})$ is trivial. Since N' is smoothly contractible, it follows from Lemma 31 that the symplectic vector bundle $(TN'^{\omega^{\text{st}}}, \omega^{\text{st}}|_{TN'^{\omega^{\text{st}}}})$ is trivializable. It follows that

$$TN^{\omega^{\text{st}}} \cong f_1^* TN'^{\omega^{\text{st}}}.$$

Since f_1 is a symplectic embedding, by an argument as above, the symplectic neighbourhood theorem and Darboux's theorem therefore imply that N ambiently symplectically embeds into every nonempty symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$. This proves the statement of Proposition 19 for $N := \mathbb{R}^{2m} \times \{0\}$ with $m < n$, as in (b). This concludes the proof of this proposition. \square

Remarks (proof of Proposition 19).

- Our proof is based on the method explained in [Sch18, p. 176] for the symplectic submanifold $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \{0\}$ of \mathbb{R}^6 .
- The method rests on Gromov's isosymplectic embedding theorem, which is a version of the h-principle. See [CEM24].

APPENDIX A. CHARACTERIZATION OF COUNTABLE m -RECTIFIABILITY, INJECTIVITY OF RESTRICTION OF LOCALLY INJECTIVE MAP

We prove the characterization of countable m -rectifiability provided by Lemma 5.

Proof of Lemma 5. “(a) \implies (b)” follows by choosing a countable exhausting collection of bounded subsets of \mathbb{R}^m and considering the set of all restrictions of functions in \mathcal{F} to these subsets.

To prove “(b) \implies (c)”, we assume that (b) holds. We choose a set \mathcal{F} as in Definition 4, such that the domain of each function in \mathcal{F} is bounded. We denote by d_E the Euclidean distance function on \mathbb{R}^m . We may assume w.l.o.g. that³¹

$$\forall f \neq f' \in \mathcal{F}, y \in \text{dom}(f), y' \in \text{dom}(f') : d_E(y, y') \geq 1.$$

To see this, we replace each function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ by $f(\cdot - v_f)$, where $v_f \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a suitable vector in \mathbb{R}^m . Here we use that \mathcal{F} is countable. We define

$$S := \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \text{dom}(f), \quad F := \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} f : S \rightarrow X.$$

S is a subset of \mathbb{R}^m , and F is surjective and locally Lipschitz. Hence (c) holds. This proves “(b) \implies (c)”.

To prove “(c) \implies (a)”, we assume that (c) holds. We choose

a map F as in (c) and a countable base \mathcal{U} for the topology of \mathbb{R}^m .

The set

$$\mathcal{F} := \{F|_{S \cap U} \mid U \in \mathcal{U} : F|_{S \cap U} \text{ is Lipschitz}\}$$

is countable, consists of Lipschitz maps, and satisfies

$$\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \text{im}(f) = \text{im}(F) = X.$$

It follows that (a) holds. This proves “(c) \implies (a)” and completes the proof of Lemma 5. \square

The following lemma was used in Remark 12(ii). We have learned this lemma and its proof from an answer of harfe to a question on stackexchange, see [hh].

Lemma 32 (injectivity of restriction of locally injective continuous function that is injective on compact set). *Let X, Y be topological spaces with Y Hausdorff, $K \subseteq X$ a compact subset, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a locally injective continuous map that is injective on K . Then there exists a neighbourhood U of K in X on which f is injective.*

For the convenience of the reader we repeat the proof of this lemma in [hh] in a slightly rephrased form.

Proof of Lemma 32. Let $y \in f(K)$.

Claim 1. There exist an open neighbourhood U^y of K in X and an open neighbourhood V^y of y in Y , such that

$$\forall x, x' \in U^y : x = x' \text{ or } f(x) \neq f(x') \text{ or } f(x) \notin V^y. \quad (50)$$

³¹Here $\text{dom}(f)$ denotes the domain of f .

Proof of Claim 1. For every $x \in K$ there exist open neighbourhoods U_x of x and V_x of y , such that f is injective on U_x , and

$$f(x) \neq y \implies U_x \cap f^{-1}(V_x) = \emptyset. \quad (51)$$

Here we used that f is locally injective and continuous and that Y is Hausdorff. Since K is compact, there exists a finite subset $S \subseteq K$, such that

$$K \subseteq U^y := \bigcup_{x_0 \in S} U_{x_0}.$$

This set is open and hence an open neighbourhood of K . We define

$$V^y := \bigcap_{x_0 \in S} V_{x_0}.$$

This is an open neighbourhood of y . We check (50). Let $x, x' \in U^y$, such that

$$f(x) = f(x') \in V^y. \quad (52)$$

We claim that

$$x = x'. \quad (53)$$

To see this, we choose $x_0, x'_0 \in S$, such that $x \in U_{x_0}$, $x' \in U_{x'_0}$. By (52) we have $f(x) \in V^y \subseteq V_{x_0}$ and hence $x \in U_{x_0} \cap f^{-1}(V_{x_0})$. Hence this set is nonempty. Therefore, by (51), we have $f(x_0) = y$. Similarly, we have $f(x'_0) = y = f(x_0)$. Since $x_0, x'_0 \in S \subseteq K$ and f is injective on K , it follows that $x_0 = x'_0$.

Using $x \in U_{x_0}$, $x' \in U_{x'_0=x_0}$, (52), and injectivity of f on U_{x_0} , it follows that $x = x'$. This proves (53). Hence (50) holds. Hence U^y, V^y have the desired properties. This proves Claim 1. \square

We choose U^y, V^y as in Claim 1. Since $f(K)$ is compact, there exists a finite subset $S \subseteq f(K)$, such that

$$f(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{y_0 \in S} V^{y_0}. \quad (54)$$

We define

$$U := \bigcap_{y_0 \in S} U^{y_0}. \quad (55)$$

The conclusion of Lemma 32 follows from the next claim.

Claim 2. The set U has the desired properties.

Proof of Claim 2: The set U is open and contains K . We check that the restriction $f|U$ is injective. Let $x, x' \in U$ be such that $y := f(x) = f(x')$. By (54) there exists $y_0 \in S$, such that $y \in V^{y_0}$. By (55), we have $x, x' \in U^{y_0}$. Since $f(x) = f(x') = y \in V^{y_0}$, (50) implies that $x = x'$. Hence $f|U$ is injective. This proves Claim 2 and completes the proof of Lemma 32. \square

REFERENCES

- [AE09] Herbert Amann and Joachim Escher, *Analysis. III*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009, Translated from the 2001 German original by Silvio Levy and Matthew Cargo. MR 2500068
- [AG18] Manuel Araújo and Gustavo Granja, *Symplectic embeddings in infinite codimension*, *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* **13** (2018), no. 2, 461–480. MR 3802803
- [Bir01] P. Biran, *Lagrangian barriers and symplectic embeddings*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2001), no. 3, 407–464. MR 1844078
- [Bre93] Glen E. Bredon, *Topology and geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 139, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993. MR 1224675
- [BS24] Joé Brendel and Felix Schlenk, *Pinwheels as Lagrangian barriers*, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **26** (2024), no. 5, Paper No. 2350020, 21. MR 4731311
- [CEM24] K. Cieliebak, Y. Eliashberg, and N. Mishachev, *Introduction to the h-principle*, second ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 239, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, [2024] ©2024. MR 4677522
- [Che98] Yu. V. Chekanov, *Lagrangian intersections, symplectic energy, and areas of holomorphic curves*, *Duke Math. J.* **95** (1998), no. 1, 213–226. MR 1646550
- [Fal14] Kenneth Falconer, *Fractal geometry*, third ed., John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 2014, Mathematical foundations and applications. MR 3236784
- [Fed69] Herbert Federer, *Geometric measure theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. Band 153, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1969. MR 257325
- [Gro86] Mikhael Gromov, *Partial differential relations*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*, vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. MR 864505
- [GS79] R. E. Greene and K. Shiohama, *Diffeomorphisms and volume-preserving embeddings of noncompact manifolds*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **255** (1979), 403–414. MR 542888
- [Gür08] Başak Z. Gürel, *Totally non-coisotropic displacement and its applications to Hamiltonian dynamics*, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **10** (2008), no. 6, 1103–1128. MR 2483254
- [Her98] David Hermann, *Non-equivalence of symplectic capacities for open sets with restricted contact type boundary*.
- [hh] harfe (<https://math.stackexchange.com/users/558572/harfe>), *Extending injection in hausdorff spaces*, Mathematics Stack Exchange, URL:<https://math.stackexchange.com/q/4118885> (version: 2021-04-28).
- [HKHO24] Pazit Haim-Kislev, Richard Hind, and Yaron Ostrover, *On the existence of symplectic barriers*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **30** (2024), no. 4, Paper No. 65, 11. MR 4768771
- [LS94] F. Laudenbach and J.-C. Sikorav, *Hamiltonian disjunction and limits of Lagrangian submanifolds*, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (1994), no. 4, 161 ff., approx. 8 pp. MR 1266111
- [MS17] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, third ed., Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2017. MR 3674984
- [OS24] Emmanuel Opshtein and Felix Schlenk, *Liouville polarizations and the rigidity of their lagrangian skeleta in dimension 4*, 2024.

- [Pol95] L. Polterovich, *An obstacle to non-Lagrangian intersections*, The Floer memorial volume, Progr. Math., vol. 133, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, pp. 575–586. MR 1362842
- [Sch05] Felix Schlenk, *Embedding problems in symplectic geometry*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 40, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2005. MR 2147307
- [Sch18] ———, *Symplectic embedding problems, old and new*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **55** (2018), no. 2, 139–182. MR 3777016
- [Sik91] J.-C. Sikorav, *Quelques propriétés des plongements lagrangiens*, no. 46, 1991, Analyse globale et physique mathématique (Lyon, 1989), pp. 151–167. MR 1125841
- [SSVZ24] Kevin Sackel, Antoine Song, Umut Varolgunes, and Jonathan J. Zhu, *On certain quantifications of Gromov’s nonsqueezing theorem*, Geom. Topol. **28** (2024), no. 3, 1113–1152, With an appendix by Joé Brendel. MR 4746410
- [SZ12] Jan Swoboda and Fabian Ziltener, *Coisotropic displacement and small subsets of a symplectic manifold*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **271** (2012), no. 1, 415–445.
- [SZ13a] ———, *Hofer geometry of a subset of a symplectic manifold*, Geom. Dedicata **163** (2013), 165–192. MR 3032691
- [SZ13b] ———, *A symplectically non-squeezable small set and the regular coisotropic capacity*, J. Symplectic Geom. **11** (2013), no. 4, 509–523. MR 3117057

AFFILIATION OF Y. GUGGISBERG: UTRECHT UNIVERSITY, MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, HANS FREUDENTHALGEBOUW, BUDAPESTLAAN 6, 3584 CD UTRECHT, THE NETHERLANDS

Email address: `y.b.guggisberg@uu.nl`

AFFILIATION OF F. ZILTENER: ETH ZÜRICH, DEPARTEMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RÄMISTRASSE 101, 8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

Email address: `fabian.ziltener@math.ethz.ch`