

Remainder terms of L^p -Hardy inequalities with magnetic fields: the case $1 < p < 2$

Xiao-Ping Chen, Chun-Lei Tang*

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715,
People's Republic of China*

Abstract: This paper focuses on remainder estimates of the magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities for $1 < p < 2$. *Firstly*, we establish a family of remainder terms involving magnetic gradients of the magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities, which are also new even for the classical L^p -Hardy inequalities. *Secondly*, we study another family of remainder terms involving logarithmic terms of the magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities. *Lastly*, as a byproduct, we further obtain remainder terms of some other L^p -Hardy-type inequalities by using similar proof of our main results.

Furthermore, this paper answers the open question proposed by Cazacu *et al.* in [Nonlinearity **37** (2024), Paper No. 035004] and can be viewed as a supplementary work of it.

Keywords: L^p -Hardy inequalities; magnetic fields; remainder term.

MSC: 35A23; 83C50; 35R45.

1 Introduction and main results

The purpose of this paper is to study remainder estimates of L^p -Hardy inequalities with magnetic fields for $1 < p < 2$. The novelty of this paper is stated as follows.

- (a) By adding remainder terms involving magnetic gradients or logarithmic terms, we improve the magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities for $1 < p < 2$.
- (b) Compared with [8] where the authors study remainder terms of the magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities for $p \geq 2$, this paper fills it for the case $1 < p < 2$.
- (c) This paper replies the open question proposed in [8, Remark 1.1], and can be viewed as a supplementary work of it.
- (d) Using similar proof of our main results, we further establish remainder terms of some other L^p -Hardy-type inequalities.

We recall some enlightening results of Hardy-type inequalities in Section 1.1. In Section 1.2, we describe our main results and some comments. Section 1.3 contains the outline of the rest of this paper.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: tangcl@swu.edu.cn (C.-L. Tang); xpchen_maths@163.com (X.-P. Chen).

1.1 Overview and motivation

1.1.1 The classical L^p -Hardy inequalities

The classical L^p -Hardy inequalities state as follows: for $1 < p < N$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx \geq \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}), \quad (1.1)$$

see [17] for details. Moreover, the constant $\left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p$ is optimal, but there exists no nontrivial function such that the equality of (1.1) occurs. In the study of elliptic and parabolic equations, the classical L^p -Hardy inequalities (1.1) and their improved versions serve as indispensable tools (see [5, 16] *etc.*).

In the present paper, we are interested in remainder estimates of L^p -Hardy-type inequalities. It is worth pointing out that the analysis of remainder estimates has been given a lot of attention after the open question proposed by Brezis and Lieb in [4]. Next, we describe the research status of remainder estimates for L^p -Hardy-type inequalities.

For the special case $p = N = 2$, under some extra assumptions on u , there still exist some nontrivial Hardy-type inequalities for the operator $-\Delta$ when the weight $\frac{1}{|x|^2}$ instead of a weaker one involving an additional logarithmic term. Namely,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2(1 + |\ln|x||^2)} dx, \quad \text{if } \int_{\{|x|=1\}} u(x) dx = 0.$$

See for example, [21, 26]. For $2 \leq p < N$, it has been established in [24] that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla u \right|^p dx - \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx \geq C \sup_{R>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - v(x)|^p}{|x|^p \left| \ln \frac{R}{|x|} \right|^p} dx,$$

for some $C = C(p, N) > 0$, where $v(x) = |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} R^{\frac{N-p}{p}} u\left(R \frac{x}{|x|}\right)$. To obtain more related results about remainder estimates of L^p -Hardy-type inequalities (including bounded domains), we refer to [5, 9, 10, 15, 23] and the reference therein.

1.1.2 The magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities

We say that the *magnetic tensor* $\mathbf{B} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ (2-form) is exact if there exists a *magnetic potential* $\mathbf{A} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ (smooth 1-form) satisfying $d\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$. In particular, \mathbf{B} is closed, that is, $d\mathbf{B} = 0$, where “d” is the exterior derivative. From the Poincaré lemma (see [27, Corollary 18]), \mathbf{B} is closed if and only if \mathbf{B} is exact.

We define the magnetic p -Laplacian

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{A},p} u := \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{A}} \left(|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^{p-2} \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u \right)$$

on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{A}} f := \operatorname{div} f + i\mathbf{A} \cdot f$ is the magnetic divergence and $\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u := \nabla u + i\mathbf{A}(x)u$ is the magnetic gradient. Obviously, $\Delta_{\mathbf{0},p} = \Delta_p$.

We also denote

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},p} := \overline{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})}^{\|\cdot\|},$$

with the norm

$$\|u\| := \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Furthermore, from [22, Theorem 7.21], the diamagnetic inequality

$$|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u| \geq |\nabla|u|| \quad (1.2)$$

holds for *a.e.* $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and all $u \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},p}$. Then, due to (1.1), if $1 < p < N$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla|u||^p dx \geq \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx.$$

For simplicity, let us denote

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},p}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx - \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx \geq 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Now, we present some results about remainder estimates of (1.3). When $p = N = 2$, Laptev and Weidl in [21] obtained that, for all smooth $\mathbf{A} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying $\mathbf{B} = \text{curl} \mathbf{A}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^2 dx \geq C(\mathbf{B}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|u|^2}{1+|x|^2} dx$$

provided that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\{|x|<r\}} \mathbf{B} dx$$

is not an integer. Recently, with no other assumptions on magnetic field $\mathbf{B} \neq 0$, it was established by Cazacu and Krejčířík in [7] that, when $N \geq p = 2$, for all smooth \mathbf{A} such that $d\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},2}(u) \geq C(\mathbf{B}, N) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^2}{1+|x|^2 |\ln|x||^2} dx.$$

For more related results of L^p -Hardy-type inequalities, we refer to [3, 11, 19] in general magnetic fields, [1, 13, 20] in Aharonov-Bohm magnetic fields (a special case of magnetic fields), [6] in the Heisenberg groups, [12, 20] for Rellich inequalities, *etc.*

More importantly, Cazacu, Krejčířík, Lam and Laptev in [8] obtained the following results which improve the results presented in [7] from L^2 to general L^p .

Theorem A ([8, Theorem 1.3]). *Assume that $2 \leq p < N$, \mathbf{B} is nontrivial, smooth and closed. Then for all \mathbf{A} satisfying $d\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$,*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},p}(u) \geq C(\mathbf{B}, p, N) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p (1 + |\ln|x||^p)} dx, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},p},$$

for some constant $C(\mathbf{B}, p, N) > 0$.

Here we point out that the above result is heavily dependent on the following result, which is another type remainder terms of (1.3) for $p \geq 2$.

Theorem B ([8, Theorem 1.2]). *Assume that $2 \leq p < N$, \mathbf{B} is nontrivial, smooth and closed. Then for all \mathbf{A} satisfying $d\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$,*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},p}(u) \geq c(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p dx, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},p},$$

where

$$c(p) := \inf_{s^2+t^2>0} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{(t^2 + s^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \in (0, 1].$$

The results mentioned above make it natural to ask an open question: *if $1 < p < 2$, whether the results related to Theorems A and B hold?* An affirmative answer will be given in Theorems 1.2, 1.4 and 1.8 below.

1.2 Our main results and some related remarks

Before stating our main results, we present the following identities that are essential in analyzing the remainder terms of (1.3).

Lemma 1.1 ([8, Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4]). *Assume that $1 < p < N$. For any magnetic potential \mathbf{A} and any complex-valued functions $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})$, there holds*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},p}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{K}_p \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u, |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right) dx, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_p(\eta, \zeta) := |\eta|^p - |\eta - \zeta|^p - p |\eta - \zeta|^{p-2} \operatorname{Re}(\eta - \zeta) \cdot \bar{\zeta}, \quad \eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^N. \quad (1.5)$$

Especially, if $\mathbf{A} = 0$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{0,p}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{K}_p \left(\nabla u, |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \nabla \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right) dx. \quad (1.6)$$

Studying remainder terms of (1.3) suffices to consider the right-hand side term of (1.4).

1.2.1 Remainder estimates involving magnetic gradients for the magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities

We first analyze a family of remainder estimates involving magnetic gradients of (1.3).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1 < p < 2 \leq N$. Suppose that \mathbf{B} is nontrivial, smooth and closed, then for all \mathbf{A} satisfying $d\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$,*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},p}(u) \geq c_1(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right)^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 dx, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},p},$$

where $c_1(p)$ is an explicit constant defined by

$$c_1(p) := \inf_{s^2+t^2>0} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\left(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1} + 1 \right)^{p-2} (t^2 + s^2)} \in \left(0, \frac{p(p-1)}{2^{p-1}} \right].$$

Furthermore, the remainder term is optimal due to the fact that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},p}(u) \leq c_2(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right)^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 dx, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},p},$$

where $c_2(p)$ is an explicit constant defined by

$$c_2(p) := \sup_{s^2+t^2>0} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\left(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1} + 1 \right)^{p-2} (t^2 + s^2)} \in \left[\frac{p}{2^{p-1}}, +\infty \right).$$

If $\mathbf{B} = 0$, let us choose $\mathbf{A} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} = \nabla$ and the following corollary holds.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $1 < p < 2 \leq N$. Then the following inequality*

$$\mathcal{H}_{0,p}(u) \geq c_1(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right)^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 dx$$

holds for all $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})$, with $c_1(p)$ defined in Theorem 1.2. Furthermore, the remainder term is optimal due to the fact that

$$\mathcal{H}_{0,p}(u) \leq c_2(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right)^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 dx,$$

for all $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})$, with $c_2(p)$ defined in Theorem 1.2.

From [14, Lemma 2.1], for $1 < p < 2$ and $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\mathcal{K}_p(\eta, \zeta) \geq c_0(p) \min \{ |\zeta|^p, |\eta - \zeta|^{p-2} |\zeta|^2 \},$$

for some $c_0(p) > 0$. This inspired us to study another improved version of (1.3) for $1 < p < 2$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $1 < p < 2 \leq N$. Suppose that \mathbf{B} is nontrivial, smooth and closed, then for all \mathbf{A} satisfying $d\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$,*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},p}(u) \geq c_3(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} |x|^{4-p-\frac{2N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx,$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},p}$, where $c_3(p)$ is an explicit constant stated by

$$c_3(p) := \min \left\{ \inf_{s^2+t^2 \geq 1} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{(t^2 + s^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}}, \inf_{0 < s^2+t^2 < 1} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{t^2 + s^2} \right\}$$

satisfying

$$c_3(p) \in \left(0, \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \right].$$

A direct consequence of Theorem 1.4 is the following corollary with $\mathbf{A} = 0$.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $1 < p < 2 \leq N$. Then the following inequality*

$$\mathcal{H}_{0,p}(u) \geq c_3(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} |x|^{4-p-\frac{2N}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx$$

holds for all $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})$, where the constant $c_3(p)$ is defined in Theorem 1.4.

Remark 1.6. Let $1 < p < 2 \leq N$, for $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^N$, there holds

$$(|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|)^{p-2} |\zeta|^2 \leq \min \{ |\zeta|^p, |\eta - \zeta|^{p-2} |\zeta|^2 \} \leq 3^{2-p} (|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|)^{p-2} |\zeta|^2, \quad (1.7)$$

and Lemma A.1 will provide a detailed proof of (1.7) in Appendix A. Choosing

$$\eta = \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u, \quad \zeta = |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta - \zeta &= \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u - |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) = \nabla u + i\mathbf{A}u - |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left[\nabla \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) + i\mathbf{A}u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right] \\
&= \nabla u - |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \nabla \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \\
&= -\frac{N-p}{p} \frac{u}{|x|} \frac{x}{|x|},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

in (1.7), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right)^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \\
&\leq \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} |x|^{4-p-\frac{2N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} \\
&\leq 3^{2-p} \left(|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right)^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

which indicates that the results of Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to those of Theorem 1.4.

Remark 1.7. Some discussions about our main results mentioned above are presented below.

- (1) By adding remainder terms that involve magnetic gradients, Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 improve the magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities (1.3) for $1 < p < 2$, which reply the question presented in [8, Remark 1.1]. Thus, this paper can be regarded as the supplementary work of [8].
- (2) The remainder estimates obtained in Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 are new even if $\mathbf{A} = 0$.
- (3) Corollaries 1.3 and 1.5 improve the classical L^p -Hardy inequality (1.1) for $1 < p < 2$.

1.2.2 Remainder estimates involving logarithmic terms for the magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities

Now, we turn our attention to establishing another class of remainder terms involving logarithmic terms of (1.3).

Theorem 1.8. *Let $1 < p < 2 \leq N$. Assume that \mathbf{B} is nontrivial, smooth and closed, then for all \mathbf{A} satisfying $d\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$,*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},p}(u) \geq \frac{c_3(p)}{C_{\mathbf{B},p,N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p (1 + |\ln |x||^2 + |\ln |x||^p)} dx, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},p},$$

for some constant $C_{\mathbf{B},p,N} > 0$, where $c_3(p)$ is defined in Theorem 1.4.

Remark 1.9. We present some comments about Theorem 1.8 below.

- (1) Theorem 1.8 provides an improved version of (1.3) by adding remainder estimates involving logarithmic terms.
- (2) Theorem 1.8 fills the results of [8, Theorem 1.3] (see also Theorem A above) for $1 < p < 2$.
- (3) [8, Proposition 1.2] indicates that, for $1 < p < N$, the operator $-\Delta_p - \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p \frac{|\cdot|^{p-2}}{|x|^p}$ is critical. However, we infer from Theorem 1.8 that, for $1 < p < N$, the operator $-\Delta_{\mathbf{A},p} - \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p \frac{|\cdot|^{p-2}}{|x|^p}$ becomes subcritical after adding a magnetic field to the p -Laplacian.

1.3 Structure of this paper

- In Section 2, we aim to investigate a family of remainder terms involving magnetic gradients of the magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities with $1 < p < 2$, and prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.4, Corollaries 1.3 and 1.5.
- In Section 3, we establish another family of remainder terms involving logarithmic terms of the magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities for $1 < p < 2$, and prove Theorem 1.8.
- In Section 4, we present remainder estimates of some other L^p -Hardy-type inequalities by using similar proof of our main results.

2 Remainder estimates involving magnetic gradients of the magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities: proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5

In this section, we improve (1.3) when adding remainder terms involving magnetic gradients on the sign-hand side of it, and prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.4, Corollaries 1.3 and 1.5.

We first provide a preliminary calculation that will be used frequently.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $1 < p < 2$. For all $s^2 + t^2 > 0$,*

$$(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps > 0.$$

Proof. We validate this lemma in two cases: $t = 0$ and $t \neq 0$.

◇ If $t = 0$, then for $s^2 > 0$ (i.e., $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$),

$$(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps = (s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps := g_p(s).$$

Observe that, for all $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$g_p'(s) = p \left[(s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} (s + 1) - 1 \right], \quad (2.1)$$

$$g_p''(s) = p(p-1) (s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}-2} \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

It is easy to verify that $g_p''(s) > 0$ for $s \neq -1$. Based on this, $g_p'(-1) = -p < 0$ and $g_p'(0) = 0$, it follows that $g_p'(s) < 0$ for $s \in (-\infty, 0)$ and $g_p'(s) > 0$ for $s \in (0, +\infty)$. Then,

$$g_p(s) > g_p(0) = 0, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

◇ If $t \neq 0$, then for $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps > (s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps = g_p(s).$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain $g_p''(s) \geq 0$ and $g_p'(0) = 0$, then $g_p'(s) \leq 0$ for $s \leq 0$ and $g_p'(s) \geq 0$ for $s \geq 0$. This together with $g_p(0) = 0$, we get $g_p(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, as our desired result. \square

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 < p < 2 \leq N$, for $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^N$, it holds that*

$$\mathcal{K}_p(\eta, \zeta) \geq c_1(p) (|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|)^{p-2} |\zeta|^2,$$

where

$$c_1(p) := \inf_{s^2+t^2>0} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\left(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1} + 1\right)^{p-2} (t^2 + s^2)}.$$

Moreover, $c_1(p) \in \left(0, \frac{p(p-1)}{2^{p-1}}\right]$.

Proof. Inspired by [8], let $\eta - \zeta = a + bi$ and $\zeta = c + di$ for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta|^2 &= |a + c|^2 + |b + d|^2 \\ &= |a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2(a \cdot c + b \cdot d) + |c|^2 + |d|^2, \\ |\eta - \zeta|^2 &= |a|^2 + |b|^2, \\ |\zeta|^2 &= |c|^2 + |d|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_p(\eta, \zeta) &= \left| |a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2(a \cdot c + b \cdot d) + |c|^2 + |d|^2 \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\quad - \left| |a|^2 + |b|^2 \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} - p \left| |a|^2 + |b|^2 \right|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} (a \cdot c + b \cdot d), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|)^{p-2} |\zeta|^2 = \left(\sqrt{|a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2(a \cdot c + b \cdot d) + |c|^2 + |d|^2} + \sqrt{|a|^2 + |b|^2} \right)^{p-2} (|c|^2 + |d|^2).$$

If $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 0$ or $|c|^2 + |d|^2 = 0$, it is easy to get the desired estimate.

If $|a|^2 + |b|^2 \neq 0$ and $|c|^2 + |d|^2 \neq 0$, then it is enough to take into account that

$$c_1(p) = \inf_{\substack{|a|^2+|b|^2 \neq 0 \\ |c|^2+|d|^2 \neq 0}} \frac{\left[\begin{aligned} &|a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2(a \cdot c + b \cdot d) + |c|^2 + |d|^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &- \left| |a|^2 + |b|^2 \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} - p \left| |a|^2 + |b|^2 \right|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} (a \cdot c + b \cdot d) \end{aligned} \right]}{\left(\sqrt{|a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2(a \cdot c + b \cdot d) + |c|^2 + |d|^2} + \sqrt{|a|^2 + |b|^2} \right)^{p-2} (|c|^2 + |d|^2)}.$$

Let $s = \frac{a \cdot c + b \cdot d}{|a|^2 + |b|^2}$ and $s^2 + t^2 = \frac{|c|^2 + |d|^2}{|a|^2 + |b|^2}$ given that $s^2 = \frac{(a \cdot c + b \cdot d)^2}{(|a|^2 + |b|^2)^2} \leq \frac{|c|^2 + |d|^2}{|a|^2 + |b|^2}$. Then, the equality above is reduced to

$$c_1(p) = \inf_{s^2+t^2>0} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\left(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1} + 1\right)^{p-2} (t^2 + s^2)}.$$

For simplicity, let

$$\mathcal{G}(s, t) := \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\left(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1} + 1\right)^{p-2} (t^2 + s^2)}. \tag{2.4}$$

To complete the proof of this lemma, it remains to check that

$$c_1(p) = \inf_{s^2+t^2>0} \mathcal{G}(s, t) \in \left(0, \frac{p(p-1)}{2^{p-1}}\right].$$

Actually, choosing $t = 0$ and $s \rightarrow 0^+$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{t=0 \\ s \rightarrow 0^+}} \mathcal{G}(s, t) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\left(\sqrt{s^2 + 2s + 1} + 1\right)^{p-2} s^2} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(s+1)^p - 1 - ps}{(s+2)^{p-2} s^2} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p(s+1)^{p-1} - p}{(p-2)(s+2)^{p-3} s^2 + 2s(s+2)^{p-2}} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p(s+1)^{p-1} - p}{(ps^2 + 4s)(s+2)^{p-3}} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p(p-1)(s+1)^{p-2}}{2(ps+2)(s+2)^{p-3} + (p-3)(ps^2 + 4s)(s+2)^{p-4}} \\ &= \frac{p(p-1)}{2^{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that $c_1(p) \leq \frac{p(p-1)}{2^{p-1}}$.

Now, it suffices to verify $c_1(p) > 0$. According to Lemma 2.1, it can be shown that $\mathcal{G}(s, t) > 0$ for all $s^2 + t^2 > 0$. As $s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow +\infty$, we get $\mathcal{G}(s, t) \rightarrow 1$. As $s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{s^2+t^2 \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{G}(s, t) \\ &= \limsup_{s^2+t^2 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{2^{p-2}(t^2 + s^2)} \\ &\geq \inf_{\theta \in [0, \pi]} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(r^2 + 2r \cos \theta + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - pr \cos \theta}{2^{p-2} r^2} \\ &= \inf_{\theta \in [0, \pi]} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p(r^2 + 2r \cos \theta + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} (r + \cos \theta) - p \cos \theta}{2^{p-1} r} \\ &= \inf_{\theta \in [0, \pi]} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p(p-2)(r^2 + 2r \cos \theta + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}-2} (r + \cos \theta)^2 + p(r^2 + 2r \cos \theta + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}-1}}{2^{p-1}} \\ &= \inf_{\theta \in [0, \pi]} \frac{p(p-2) \cos^2 \theta + p}{2^{p-1}} \\ &= \frac{p(p-1)}{2^{p-1}} > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $t = r \sin \theta$ and $s = r \cos \theta$. Considering this, $c_1(p) > 0$ obviously. This ends the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 < p < 2 \leq N$, for $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^N$, there holds*

$$\mathcal{K}_p(\eta, \zeta) \leq c_2(p) (|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|)^{p-2} |\zeta|^2,$$

where

$$c_2(p) := \sup_{s^2+t^2>0} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\left(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1} + 1\right)^{p-2} (t^2 + s^2)}.$$

Moreover, $c_2(p) \in \left[\frac{p}{2^{p-1}}, +\infty\right)$.

Proof. If $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 0$ or $|c|^2 + |d|^2 = 0$, it is evident that the result is valid. So we will assume that $|a|^2 + |b|^2 \neq 0$ and $|c|^2 + |d|^2 \neq 0$, then it suffices to consider that

$$c_2(p) = \sup_{\substack{|a|^2+|b|^2 \neq 0 \\ |c|^2+|d|^2 \neq 0}} \frac{\left[\begin{array}{l} |a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2(a \cdot c + b \cdot d) + |c|^2 + |d|^2 \left| \frac{p}{2} \right| \\ - |a|^2 + |b|^2 \left| \frac{p}{2} \right| - p |a|^2 + |b|^2 \left| \frac{p}{2} - 1 \right| (a \cdot c + b \cdot d) \end{array} \right]}{\left(\sqrt{|a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2(a \cdot c + b \cdot d) + |c|^2 + |d|^2} + \sqrt{|a|^2 + |b|^2} \right)^{p-2} (|c|^2 + |d|^2)}.$$

Let $s = \frac{a \cdot c + b \cdot d}{|a|^2 + |b|^2}$ and $s^2 + t^2 = \frac{|c|^2 + |d|^2}{|a|^2 + |b|^2}$. Then the above equality turns into

$$c_2(p) = \sup_{s^2+t^2>0} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\left(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1} + 1 \right)^{p-2} (t^2 + s^2)}.$$

Thus, it remains to demonstrate that

$$c_2(p) = \sup_{s^2+t^2>0} \mathcal{G}(s, t) \in \left[\frac{p}{2^{p-1}}, +\infty\right),$$

where $\mathcal{G}(s, t)$ is defined by (2.4). Indeed, choosing $s = 0$ and $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we get

$$\lim_{\substack{s=0 \\ t \rightarrow 0^+}} \mathcal{G}(s, t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(t^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1}{\left(\sqrt{t^2 + 1} + 1 \right)^{p-2} t^2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(t^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1}{2^{p-2} t^2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p (t^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} t}{2^{p-1} t} = \frac{p}{2^{p-1}},$$

which suggests that $c_2(p) \geq \frac{p}{2^{p-1}}$.

As $s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain $\mathcal{G}(s, t) \rightarrow 1$. As $s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{s^2+t^2 \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{G}(s, t) \\ &= \liminf_{s^2+t^2 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{2^{p-2}(t^2 + s^2)} \\ &\leq \sup_{\theta \in [0, \pi]} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(r^2 + 2r \cos \theta + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - pr \cos \theta}{2^{p-2} r^2} \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in [0, \pi]} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p (r^2 + 2r \cos \theta + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} (r + \cos \theta) - p \cos \theta}{2^{p-1} r} \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in [0, \pi]} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p(p-2) (r^2 + 2r \cos \theta + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}-2} (r + \cos \theta)^2 + p (r^2 + 2r \cos \theta + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}-1}}{2^{p-1}} \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in [0, \pi]} \frac{p(p-2) \cos^2 \theta + p}{2^{p-1}} \\ &= \frac{p}{2^{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

this, along with (2.5), indicates that $0 < \lim_{s^2+t^2 \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{G}(s, t) < +\infty$. The proof is completed. \square

Now, we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. One hand, from (1.4), (1.8) and Lemma 2.2, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},p}(u) &\geq c_1(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u| + \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u - |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| \right]^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 dx \\ &= c_1(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right)^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 dx,\end{aligned}$$

where $c_1(p)$ is a constant defined in Lemma 2.2 satisfying $c_1(p) \in \left(0, \frac{p(p-1)}{2^{p-1}} \right]$.

On the other hand, it can be seen from (1.4), (1.8) and Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},p}(u) &\leq c_2(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u| + \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u - |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| \right]^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 dx \\ &= c_2(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right)^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 dx,\end{aligned}$$

where $c_2(p) \in \left[\frac{p}{2^{p-1}}, +\infty \right)$ is a constant defined in Lemma 2.3. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. By substituting (1.4) for (1.6) and using similar arguments to those of the proof of Theorem 1.2, we can complete the proof of Corollary 1.3. \square

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5

Lemma 2.4. Let $1 < p < 2 \leq N$, for $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^N$, we get

$$\mathcal{K}_p(\eta, \zeta) \geq c_3(p) \min \{ |\zeta|^p, |\eta - \zeta|^{p-2} |\zeta|^2 \},$$

where

$$c_3(p) := \min \left\{ \inf_{s^2+t^2 \geq 1} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{(t^2 + s^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}}, \inf_{0 < s^2+t^2 < 1} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{t^2 + s^2} \right\}.$$

Moreover, $c_3(p) \in \left(0, \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \right]$.

Proof. The transformations as (2.3) give

$$\min \{ |\zeta|^p, |\eta - \zeta|^{p-2} |\zeta|^2 \} = \min \left\{ |c|^2 + |d|^2 \right|^{\frac{p}{2}}, |a|^2 + |b|^2 \right|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} (|c|^2 + |d|^2) \right\}.$$

It is obvious that the result holds when $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 0$ or $|c|^2 + |d|^2 = 0$. So we will assume that $|a|^2 + |b|^2 \neq 0$ and $|c|^2 + |d|^2 \neq 0$, it is sufficient to consider that

$$c_3(p) = \inf_{\substack{|a|^2+|b|^2 \neq 0 \\ |c|^2+|d|^2 \neq 0}} \frac{\left[\begin{array}{c} |a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2(a \cdot c + b \cdot d) + |c|^2 + |d|^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ - |a|^2 + |b|^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} - p |a|^2 + |b|^2 \right|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} (a \cdot c + b \cdot d) \end{array} \right]}{\min \left\{ |c|^2 + |d|^2 \right|^{\frac{p}{2}}, |a|^2 + |b|^2 \right|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} (|c|^2 + |d|^2) \right\}}.$$

Let $s = \frac{a \cdot c + b \cdot d}{|a|^2 + |b|^2}$ and $s^2 + t^2 = \frac{|c|^2 + |d|^2}{|a|^2 + |b|^2}$. Therefore, the above equality changes into

$$c_3(p) = \inf_{s^2+t^2 > 0} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\min \left\{ (t^2 + s^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}, t^2 + s^2 \right\}} \in \left(0, \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \right].$$

Indeed, choosing $t = 0$ and $s \rightarrow 0^+$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\substack{t=0 \\ s \rightarrow 0^+}} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\min \left\{ (t^2 + s^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}, t^2 + s^2 \right\}} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{s^2} \\
&= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(s + 1)^p - 1 - ps}{s^2} \\
&= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p(s + 1)^{p-1} - p}{2s} \\
&= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p(p-1)(s + 1)^{p-2}}{2} \\
&= \frac{p(p-1)}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

this means that $c_3(p) \leq \frac{p(p-1)}{2}$.

In the following, we will show that $c_3(p) > 0$. We proceed with the proof in two cases.

– **Case 1:** $s^2 + t^2 \geq 1$. In this case, it is obvious that

$$\frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\min \left\{ (t^2 + s^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}, t^2 + s^2 \right\}} = \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{(t^2 + s^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}} := \mathcal{F}_1(s, t).$$

Lemma 2.1 allows us to prove that $\mathcal{F}_1(s, t) > 0$ for all $s^2 + t^2 \geq 1$.

As $s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain $\mathcal{F}_1(s, t) \rightarrow 1$. When $s^2 + t^2 = 1$, then $s \in [-1, 1]$ and $\mathcal{F}_1(s, t) = 2^{\frac{p}{2}}(s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps := h_p(s)$. Notice that $h'_p(s) = p \left[2^{\frac{p}{2}-1}(s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} - 1 \right]$. Let $h'_p(s_*) = 0$, then $s_* = -\frac{1}{2}$, and $h_p(s_*) = \frac{p}{2} > 0$ thanks to $p \in (1, 2)$. Furthermore, $h'_p(s) \geq 0$ for $s \in [-1, s_*]$, and $h'_p(s) \leq 0$ for $s \in [s_*, 1]$. Hence, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{F}_1(s, t) = h_p(s) \geq \min \{h_p(-1), h_p(1)\} = \min \{p - 1, 2^p - p - 1\} > 0,$$

when $s^2 + t^2 = 1$.

– **Case 2:** $0 < s^2 + t^2 < 1$. In this case, we get

$$\frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\min \left\{ (t^2 + s^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}, t^2 + s^2 \right\}} = \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{t^2 + s^2} := \mathcal{F}_2(s, t).$$

It deduces from Lemma 2.1 that $\mathcal{F}_2(s, t) > 0$ for all $0 < s^2 + t^2 < 1$.

When $s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow 1^-$, $\mathcal{F}_2(s, t) \sim 2^{\frac{p}{2}}(s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps$, then similar to the Case 1 when $s^2 + t^2 = 1$, we have $\lim_{s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{F}_2(s, t) > 0$. Now, we consider $s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow 0^+$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}(t^2 + s^2)} &\geq \liminf_{s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}(t^2 + s^2) + ps + 1} \\
&\geq \liminf_{s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\frac{p}{2}(t^2 + s^2) + ps + 1} \\
&= \liminf_{s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\frac{p}{2}(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1) + \frac{2-p}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \inf_{\theta \in [0, \pi]} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(r^2 + 2r \cos \theta + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\frac{p}{2}(r^2 + 2r \cos \theta + 1) + \frac{2-p}{2}} \\
&= 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $t = r \sin \theta$ and $s = r \cos \theta$, and the first inequality follows from $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n + c_n}{b_n + c_n}$, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n + c_n}{b_n + c_n} \geq 1$, $b_n > 0$ and $c_n > 0$. Therefore,

$$\liminf_{s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{F}_2(s, t) = \liminf_{s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(t^2 + s^2 + 2s + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 - ps}{t^2 + s^2} \geq \frac{p(p-1)}{2} > 0.$$

To summarize, Case 1 and Case 2 reveal that $c_3(p) > 0$. This ends the proof of Lemma 2.4. \square

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. From (1.4), (1.8) and Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}, p}(u) \\
&\geq c_3(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u - |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx \\
&= c_3(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx \\
&= c_3(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} |x|^{4-p-\frac{2N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is completed. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.5. By replacing (1.4) with (1.6) and using arguments analogous to those used in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we finish the proof of Corollary 1.5. \square

3 Remainder estimates involving logarithmic terms of the magnetic L^p -Hardy inequalities: proof of Theorem 1.8

In this section, we focus on improving (1.3) by adding remainder estimates involving logarithmic terms, and prove Theorem 1.8.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 < p < N$. For all $\widehat{R} > 0$, let us denote $B_{\widehat{R}}(0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < \widehat{R}\}$ and $B_{\widehat{R}}^c(0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \geq \widehat{R}\}$, then*

(1) *for all $u \in C_0^\infty(B_{\widehat{R}}(0))$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0)} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left(\left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^2 + \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^p \right)} dx \\
&\leq \widetilde{C} \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0)} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widetilde{C} := C(p, N) = \max \left\{ p^2 \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{2-p}, \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \right\};$$

(2) for all $u \in C_0^\infty(B_{\widehat{R}}^c(0))$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}^c(0)} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left(\left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^2 + \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^p \right)} dx \\ & \leq \widetilde{C} \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}^c(0)} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_1 & := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x|^{\frac{(p-2)(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^{p-2} \geq \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} \right\}, \\ \Theta_2 & := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x|^{\frac{(p-2)(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^{p-2} < \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0)} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left(\left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^2 + \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^p \right)} dx \\ & = \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left(\left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^2 + \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^p \right)} dx + \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_2} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left(\left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^2 + \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^p \right)} dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^2} dx + \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_2} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^p} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Applying the spherical coordinate $|x| = r : B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1 \rightarrow (0, \widehat{R}) \cap \overline{\Theta_1}$, integration by parts and Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^2} dx \\ & = \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \frac{\left| |u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right|^p}{|x|^N \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^2} dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_{(0, \widehat{R}) \cap \overline{\Theta_1}} \frac{\left| |u|r^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right|^p}{r^N} \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{r} \right|^{-2} r^{N-1} dr d\sigma \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_{(0, \widehat{R}) \cap \overline{\Theta_1}} \left| |u|r^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right|^p \left| \partial_r \left(\left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{r} \right|^{-1} \right) \right| dr d\sigma \\ & \leq p \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_{(0, \widehat{R}) \cap \overline{\Theta_1}} |u|^{p-1} r^{\frac{(p-1)(N-p)}{p}} \left| \partial_r \left(|u|r^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{r} \right|^{-1} dr d\sigma \\ & \leq p \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} |u|^{p-1} |x|^{\frac{(p-1)(N-p)}{p} - N + 1} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^{-1} dx \\ & = p \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \frac{|u|^{p-1}}{|x|^{p-1}} |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^{-1} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= p \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \frac{|u|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}} |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| \frac{|u|^{\frac{p}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{p}{2}}} \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^{-1} dx \\
&\leq p \left[\int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

here $\partial_r v := \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla v$ and $|\partial_r v| \leq |\nabla v|$ for all real function v . In other words,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^2} dx \\
&\leq p^2 \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 dx \\
&= p^2 \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{2-p} \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 dx \\
&= p^2 \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{2-p} \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx. \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_2} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^p} dx \\
&= \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_2} \frac{|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}}|^p}{|x|^N \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^p} dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_{(0, \widehat{R}) \cap \overline{\Theta_2}} \frac{|u|r^{\frac{N-p}{p}}|^p}{r^N} \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{r} \right|^{-p} r^{N-1} dr d\sigma \\
&= \frac{1}{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_{(0, \widehat{R}) \cap \overline{\Theta_2}} |u|r^{\frac{N-p}{p}}|^p \left| \partial_r \left(\left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{r} \right|^{1-p} \right) \right| dr d\sigma \\
&\leq \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_{(0, \widehat{R}) \cap \overline{\Theta_2}} |u|^{p-1} r^{\frac{(p-1)(N-p)}{p}} \left| \partial_r \left(|u|r^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{r} \right|^{1-p} dr d\sigma \\
&\leq \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_2} |u|^{p-1} |x|^{\frac{(p-1)(N-p)}{p} - N + 1} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^{1-p} dx \\
&= \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_2} |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| \frac{|u|^{p-1}}{|x|^{p-1}} \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^{1-p} dx \\
&\leq \frac{p}{p-1} \left[\int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_2} |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_2} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^p} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}},
\end{aligned}$$

namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_2} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left| \ln \frac{\widehat{R}}{|x|} \right|^p} dx \\
&\leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \int_{B_{\widehat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_2} |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla \left(|u||x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_{B_{\hat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_2} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx. \quad (3.3)$$

Hence, by substituting (3.2) and (3.3) into (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\hat{R}}(0)} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p \left(\left| \ln \frac{\hat{R}}{|x|} \right|^2 + \left| \ln \frac{\hat{R}}{|x|} \right|^p \right)} dx \\ & \leq p^2 \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{2-p} \int_{B_{\hat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_1} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_{B_{\hat{R}}(0) \cap \Theta_2} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx \\ & \leq \tilde{C} \int_{B_{\hat{R}}(0)} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{C} := C(p, N) = \max \left\{ p^2 \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{2-p}, \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \right\}.$$

(2) The proof is similar to that of Item (1). The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 3.2 ([8, Lemma 3.2]). *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $N \geq 2$. Assume that $\mathbf{B} \neq 0$ and \mathbf{A} is a vector field satisfying $d\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$. Fixing $R > 1$ such that*

$$\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(R) := \inf_{\substack{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{O}_R) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx}{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|^p dx} \in (0, \infty),$$

where $\mathcal{O}_R := B_R(0) \setminus B_{\frac{1}{R}}(0)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $1 < p < 2 \leq N$. Suppose that \mathbf{B} is a nontrivial magnetic field and \mathbf{A} is a vector field satisfying $d\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$. Let us fix a constant $R > 1$ such that*

$$\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R) := \inf_{\substack{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{O}_R) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^2 \right\} dx}{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|^p dx} \in (0, \infty).$$

Proof. Choosing

$$\zeta = \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u, \quad \eta = |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right)$$

and

$$|\eta - \zeta| = \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u - |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| \stackrel{(1.8)}{=} \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|}$$

in (1.7), we obtain

$$\min \left\{ |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^2 \right\} \geq \left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^{p-2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^2.$$

From this, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^2 \right\} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq R^{p-2} \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^2 \right\} dx \\
&\geq R^{p-2} \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^{p-2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^2 dx \\
&\geq R^{p-2} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^p dx \right\}^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&= R^{p-2} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx}{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^p dx} \right\}^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^p dx \\
&\geq \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p R^{-2} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx}{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^p dx} \right\}^{\frac{2}{p}} \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|^p dx, \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality derives from the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&= \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \frac{|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p}{\left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}}} \left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&\leq \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \frac{|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^2}{\left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^{2-p}} dx \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^p dx \right\}^{\frac{2-p}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that (3.4) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^2 \right\} dx}{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|^p dx} \\
&\geq \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p R^{-2} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx}{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^p dx} \right\}^{\frac{2}{p}}. \quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

With the help of the triangle inequality and (1.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| &= \left| |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) - \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u + \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u \right| \\
&\leq \left| |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) - \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u \right| + |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u| \\
&= \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} + |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|,
\end{aligned}$$

from this and Lemma 3.2, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^p dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \left[|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u| + \frac{2(N-p)}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^p dx \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx + \left[\frac{2(N-p)}{p} \right]^p \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx + \left[\frac{2(N-p)}{p} \right]^p R^p \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|^p dx \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \max \left\{ \left[\frac{2(N-p)}{p} \right]^p R^p, 1 \right\} \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx + \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|^p dx \right) \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \max \left\{ \left[\frac{2(N-p)}{p} \right]^p R^p, 1 \right\} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} \right] \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx,
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\frac{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx}{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \left[|x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right]^p dx} \geq \frac{1}{2^{p-1} \max \left\{ \left[\frac{2(N-p)}{p} \right]^p R^p, 1 \right\} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} \right]}. \quad (3.6)$$

Consequently, combining (3.6) with (3.5), it gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^2 \right\} dx}{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|^p dx} \\
&\geq \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p R^{-2} \left\{ 2^{p-1} \max \left\{ \left[\frac{2(N-p)}{p} \right]^p R^p, 1 \right\} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} \right] \right\}^{-\frac{2}{p}} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using

$$\frac{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^2 \right\} dx}{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|^p dx} \leq \frac{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u|^p dx}{\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|^p dx},$$

we conclude that

$$\left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p R^{-2} \left\{ 2^{p-1} \max \left\{ \left[\frac{2(N-p)}{p} \right]^p R^p, 1 \right\} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} \right] \right\}^{-\frac{2}{p}} \leq \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R) \leq \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(R),$$

which implies that $0 < \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R) \leq \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(R) < \infty$. This ends the proof. \square

Now, it remains to accomplish the proof of Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Based on Lemma 3.3, let us fix a constant $R > 1$ such that $\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R) > 0$. We define a radially symmetric cut-off function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ as follows:

$$\chi = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in B_R^c(0); \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in B_{R_2}(0) \setminus B_{R_1}(0); \\ 1, & \text{if } x \in B_{\frac{1}{R}}(0), \end{cases}$$

where R_1, R_2 are two constants satisfying $\frac{1}{R} < R_1 < 1 < R_2 < R$. Therefore, $\text{supp}(|\nabla \chi|) \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}_R}$ and $\text{supp}(1 - \chi) \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}_R}$.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p (1 + |\ln|x||^2 + |\ln|x||^p)} dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|(1-\chi)u + \chi u|^p}{|x|^p (1 + |\ln|x||^2 + |\ln|x||^p)} dx \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|(1-\chi)u|^p}{|x|^p (1 + |\ln|x||^2 + |\ln|x||^p)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\chi u|^p}{|x|^p (1 + |\ln|x||^2 + |\ln|x||^p)} dx \right] \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \left[\int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p (1 + |\ln|x||^2 + |\ln|x||^p)} dx + \int_{B_1(0)} \frac{|\chi u|^p}{|x|^p (1 + |\ln|x||^2 + |\ln|x||^p)} dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{B_1^c(0)} \frac{|\chi u|^p}{|x|^p (1 + |\ln|x||^2 + |\ln|x||^p)} dx \right] \\
&:= 2^{p-1} (\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 + \Pi_3). \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

For Π_1 . We infer from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_1 &\leq \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \frac{|u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}}|^p}{|x|^N} dx \\
&\leq R^N \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}}|^p dx \\
&\leq \frac{R^N}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} (u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{(p-2)(N-p)}{p}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} (u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^2 \right\} dx \\
&= \frac{R^N}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} (u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^p, |x|^{N-2} \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} (u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^2 \right\} dx \\
&\leq \frac{\max \{R^{2N-p}, R^{2N-2}\}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} (u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} (u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^2 \right\} dx \\
&= \frac{R^{2N-p}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} (u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} (u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^2 \right\} dx. \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

As a by-product of (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|^p dx \\
&\leq \frac{R^{2N}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} (u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} (u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^2 \right\} dx. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

For Π_2 . From (1.2) and Lemma 3.1 (with $\widehat{R} = 1$), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_2 &\leq \int_{B_1(0)} \frac{|\chi u|^p}{|x|^p (|\ln|x||^2 + |\ln|x||^p)} dx \\
&\leq \widetilde{C} \int_{B_1(0)} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} |\nabla (\chi|u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{|\chi u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} |\nabla (\chi|u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^2 \right\} dx \\
&\leq 2\widetilde{C} \int_{B_1(0)} \min \left\{ |\nabla \chi|^p |u|^p + |x|^{p-N} |\nabla (|u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}})|^p, \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} |\nabla \chi|^2 \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p-2}} + \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \Big\} dx \\
& \leq 2\tilde{C} \int_{B_1(0)} \max \left\{ |\nabla \chi|^p |u|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} |\nabla \chi|^2 \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p-2}} \right\} dx \\
& \quad + 2\tilde{C} \int_{B_1(0)} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla \left(|u| |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx \\
& \leq 2\tilde{C} \int_{B_1(0)} |\nabla \chi|^p |u|^p dx + 2\tilde{C} \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \int_{B_1(0)} |\nabla \chi|^2 \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p-2}} dx \\
& \quad + 2\tilde{C} \int_{B_1(0)} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx. \quad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

With the aid of (3.9), there hold

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_1(0)} |\nabla \chi|^p |u|^p dx \\
& \leq \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \int_{B_1(0) \setminus B_{\frac{1}{R}}(0)} |u|^p dx \\
& \leq \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|^p dx \\
& \leq \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \frac{R^{2N}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_1(0)} |\nabla \chi|^2 \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p-2}} dx \\
& \leq \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \int_{B_1(0) \setminus B_{\frac{1}{R}}(0)} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p-2}} dx \\
& \leq \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} |u|^p dx \\
& \leq \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \frac{R^{2N}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, substituting the above two inequalities into (3.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_2 & \leq 2\tilde{C} \left[\|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \frac{R^{2N}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} + \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \frac{R^{2N}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} \right] \\
& \quad \times \int_{\mathcal{O}_R} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx \\
& \quad + 2\tilde{C} \int_{B_1(0)} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx \\
& \leq 2\tilde{C} \left[\|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \frac{R^{2N}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} + \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \frac{R^{2N}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} + 1 \right] \\
& \quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx. \quad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

For Π_3 . Similar arguments to those of Π_2 show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_3 & \leq 2\tilde{C} \left[\|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \frac{R^{2N}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} + \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \frac{R^{2N+2-p}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} + 1 \right] \\
& \quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx. \quad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.11) and (3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_2 + \Pi_3 \\
& \leq 4\tilde{C} \left[\|\nabla\chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \frac{R^{2N}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} + \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \|\nabla\chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \frac{R^{2N+2-p}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} + 1 \right] \\
& \quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx. \quad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (3.7), (3.8), (3.13) and Theorem 1.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p (1 + |\ln|x||^2 + |\ln|x||^p)} dx \\
& \leq C_{\mathbf{B},p,N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p-2}} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx \\
& = C_{\mathbf{B},p,N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} |x|^{4-p-\frac{2N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx \\
& \leq \frac{C_{\mathbf{B},p,N}}{c_3(p)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},p}(u), \quad (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{\mathbf{B},p,N} = 2^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{R^{2N-p}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} + 4\tilde{C} \left[\|\nabla\chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \frac{R^{2N}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} + \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} \|\nabla\chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \frac{R^{2N+2-p}}{\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(R)} + 1 \right] \right\}. \quad (3.15)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.8. \square

Remark 3.4. There still exists another version of remainder terms involving logarithmic terms of (1.3) for $1 < p < 2$. The detailed proof is stated below.

From (1.9), (3.14) and Theorem 1.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p (1 + |\ln|x||^2 + |\ln|x||^p)} dx \\
& \leq C_{\mathbf{B},p,N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ |x|^{p-N} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^p, \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} |x|^{4-p-\frac{2N}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 \right\} dx \\
& \leq 3^{2-p} C_{\mathbf{B},p,N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} u| + \frac{N-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x|} \right)^{p-2} |x|^{\frac{2(p-N)}{p}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \left(u|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) \right|^2 dx \\
& \leq \frac{3^{2-p} C_{\mathbf{B},p,N}}{c_1(p)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A},p}(u),
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_{\mathbf{B},p,N}$ and $c_1(p) > 0$ are defined by (3.15) and Theorem 1.2, respectively.

4 Applications: remainder estimates of the cylindrical L^p -Hardy inequalities for $1 < p < 2$

Badiale and Tarantello in [2] (or Secchi *et al.* in [25]) studied the following cylindrical L^p -Hardy inequalities: let $1 < p < k$, $2 \leq k \leq N$, $x = (x', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$ and $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{x' = \mathbf{0}\})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx \geq \left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x'|^p} dx. \quad (4.1)$$

Moreover, the constant $\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)^p$ is optimal.

Our purpose in this section is to analyze improved versions of (4.1) by adding remainder terms. We first present the following cylindrical L^p -Hardy identities which are the key to investigating remainder terms of (4.1).

Theorem ([18, Theorem 2]). *Assume that $1 < p < \infty$ and $x = (x', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$. Then for any complex-valued functions $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{x' = \mathbf{0}\})$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u \right|^p dx - \left| \frac{k-p}{p} \right|^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x'|^p} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{K}_p \left(\frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u, \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u + \frac{k-p}{p} \frac{u}{|x'|} \right) dx,$$

where $\nabla_k = (\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_k})$ and the function $\mathcal{K}_p(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by (1.5).

Based on the above identities, remainder estimates of (4.1) have been proved in [18] for $p \geq 2$. Next, we consider the case $1 < p < 2$. Similar arguments to those of our main results, we obtain the remainder estimates of (4.1) for $1 < p < 2$.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $1 < p < 2 \leq k \leq N$ and $x = (x', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$. Then, for any complex-valued functions $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{x' = \mathbf{0}\})$,*

(1) *for constants $c_1(p), c_2(p) > 0$ defined in Theorem 1.2,*

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(p) & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u \right| + \frac{k-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x'|} \right)^{p-2} \left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u + \frac{k-p}{p} \frac{u}{|x'|} \right|^2 dx \\ & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u \right|^p dx - \left(\frac{k-p}{p} \right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x'|^p} dx \\ & \geq c_1(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u \right| + \frac{k-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x'|} \right)^{p-2} \left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u + \frac{k-p}{p} \frac{u}{|x'|} \right|^2 dx; \end{aligned}$$

(2) *for constant $c_3(p) > 0$ defined in Theorem 1.4,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u \right|^p dx - \left(\frac{k-p}{p} \right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x'|^p} dx \\ & \geq c_3(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ \left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u + \frac{k-p}{p} \frac{u}{|x'|} \right|^p, \left(\frac{k-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x'|^{p-2}} \left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u + \frac{k-p}{p} \frac{u}{|x'|} \right|^2 \right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

There holds the following corollary by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and $|\nabla_k u| \leq |\nabla u|$.

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose that $1 < p < 2 \leq k \leq N$ and $x = (x', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$. Then, for any complex-valued functions $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{x' = \mathbf{0}\})$,*

(1) *for constant $c_1(p) > 0$ defined in Theorem 1.2,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx - \left(\frac{k-p}{p} \right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x'|^p} dx \\ & \geq c_1(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u \right| + \frac{k-p}{p} \frac{|u|}{|x'|} \right)^{p-2} \left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u + \frac{k-p}{p} \frac{u}{|x'|} \right|^2 dx; \end{aligned}$$

(2) *for constant $c_3(p) > 0$ defined in Theorem 1.4,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx - \left(\frac{k-p}{p} \right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x'|^p} dx \\ & \geq c_3(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min \left\{ \left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u + \frac{k-p}{p} \frac{u}{|x'|} \right|^p, \left(\frac{k-p}{p} \right)^{p-2} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x'|^{p-2}} \left| \frac{x'}{|x'|} \cdot \nabla_k u + \frac{k-p}{p} \frac{u}{|x'|} \right|^2 \right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.3. We present some comments on the above results.

- (1) If $k = N$, the results of Corollary 4.2 are equivalent to those of Corollaries 1.3 and 1.5.
- (2) Similar arguments to those of our main results can also be used to obtain remainder terms of some other L^p -Hardy-type inequalities, such as L^p -Hardy inequalities on stratified Lie groups (with the aid of the identities proven in [18, Theorem 3]) and L^p -Hardy inequalities on homogeneous Lie groups (due to the identities proven in [18, Theorem 4]) *etc.*

disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Data availability statements

No data was used for the research described in the article.

Acknowledgements

This paper was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12371120) and Chongqing Graduate Student Research Innovation Project (No. CYB240097).

A Appendix: a technical inequality

Lemma A.1. *Let $1 < p < 2 \leq N$, for $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^N$, we have*

$$(|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|)^{p-2} |\zeta|^2 \leq \min \{ |\zeta|^p, |\eta - \zeta|^{p-2} |\zeta|^2 \} \leq 3^{2-p} (|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|)^{p-2} |\zeta|^2.$$

Proof. We continuous the proof in two cases: $|\eta - \zeta| \leq |\zeta|$ and $|\eta - \zeta| \geq |\zeta|$.

• If $|\eta - \zeta| \leq |\zeta|$, then $\min \{ |\zeta|^p, |\eta - \zeta|^{p-2} |\zeta|^2 \} = |\zeta|^p$. To complete the proof of this case, it is equivalent to verify that

$$(|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|)^{p-2} \leq |\zeta|^{p-2} \leq 3^{2-p} (|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|)^{p-2}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Notice that

$$|\eta| = |\eta - \zeta + \zeta| \leq |\eta - \zeta| + |\zeta| \leq 2|\zeta|, \quad |\eta| = |\eta - \zeta + \zeta| \geq |\zeta| - |\eta - \zeta|,$$

then

$$|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta| \leq 2|\zeta| + |\eta - \zeta| \leq 3|\zeta|, \quad |\eta| + |\eta - \zeta| \geq |\zeta|,$$

namely,

$$\frac{1}{3} (|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|) \leq |\zeta| \leq |\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|.$$

This leads to (A.1).

• If $|\eta - \zeta| \geq |\zeta|$, then $\min \{ |\zeta|^p, |\eta - \zeta|^{p-2} |\zeta|^2 \} = |\eta - \zeta|^{p-2} |\zeta|^2$. Given this, it remains to confirm that

$$(|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|)^{p-2} \leq |\eta - \zeta|^{p-2} \leq 3^{2-p} (|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|)^{p-2}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Observe that

$$|\eta| = |\eta - \zeta + \zeta| \leq |\eta - \zeta| + |\zeta| \leq 2|\eta - \zeta|,$$

then

$$|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta| \leq 3|\eta - \zeta|, \quad |\eta - \zeta| \leq |\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|,$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{3}(|\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|) \leq |\eta - \zeta| \leq |\eta| + |\eta - \zeta|.$$

This gives (A.2). The proof is completed. \square

References

- [1] B. Alziary, J. Fleckinger-Pellá, P. Takáč, Eigenfunctions and Hardy inequalities for a magnetic Schrödinger operator in \mathbb{R}^2 . *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **26** (2003), no. 13, 1093-1136.
- [2] M. Badiale, G. Tarantello, A Sobolev-Hardy inequality with applications to a nonlinear elliptic equation arising in astrophysics. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **163** (2002), no. 4, 259-293.
- [3] A. Balinsky, A. Laptev, A.V. Sobolev, Generalized Hardy inequality for the magnetic Dirichlet forms. *J. Statist. Phys.* **116** (2004), no. 1-4, 507-521.
- [4] H. Brezis, E. Lieb, Sobolev inequalities with remainder terms. *J. Funct. Anal.* **62** (1985), no. 1, 73-86.
- [5] H. Brezis, J.L. Vázquez, Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems. *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid* **10** (1997), no. 2, 443-469.
- [6] B. Cassano, V. Franceschi, D. Krejčířík, D. Prandi, Horizontal magnetic fields and improved Hardy inequalities in the Heisenberg group. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **48** (2023), no. 5, 711-752.
- [7] C. Cazacu, D. Krejčířík, The Hardy inequality and the heat equation with magnetic field in any dimension. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **41** (2016), no. 7, 1056-1088.
- [8] C. Cazacu, D. Krejčířík, N. Lam, A. Laptev, Hardy inequalities for magnetic p -Laplacians. *Nonlinearity* **37** (2024), no. 3, Paper No. 035004, 27 pp.
- [9] A. Cianchi, A. Ferone, Hardy inequalities with non-standard remainder terms. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **25** (2008), no. 5, 889-906.
- [10] B. Dyda, M. Kijaczko, Sharp fractional Hardy inequalities with a remainder for $1 < p < 2$. *J. Funct. Anal.* **286** (2024), no. 9, Paper No. 110373, 19 pp.
- [11] T. Ekholm, F. Portmann, A magnetic contribution to the Hardy inequality. *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014), no. 2, 022101, 16 pp.

- [12] W.D. Evans, R.T. Lewis, On the Rellich inequality with magnetic potentials. *Math. Z.* **251** (2005), no. 2, 267-284.
- [13] L. Fanelli, D. Krejčířík, A. Laptev, L. Vega, On the improvement of the Hardy inequality due to singular magnetic fields. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **45** (2020), no. 9, 1202-1212.
- [14] A. Figalli, Y. R.-Y. Zhang, Sharp gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality. *Duke Math. J.* **171** (2022), no. 12, 2407-2459.
- [15] S. Filippas, A. Tertikas, Optimizing improved Hardy inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* **192** (2002), no. 1, 186-233.
- [16] J. Fleckinger, E.M. Harrell, F. de Thélin, Boundary behavior and estimates for solutions of equations containing the p -Laplacian. *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 1999, No. 38, 19 pp.
- [17] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, *Inequalities*. Reprint of the 1952 edition. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [18] M. Kalaman, N. Yessirkegenov, Cylindrical Hardy, Sobolev type and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities and identities. arXiv:2407.08393.
- [19] H. Kovařík, Eigenvalue bounds for two-dimensional magnetic Schrödinger operators. *J. Spectr. Theory* **1** (2011), no. 4, 363-387.
- [20] N. Lam, G. Lu, Improved L^p -Hardy and L^p -Rellich inequalities with magnetic fields. *Vietnam J. Math.* **51** (2023), no. 4, 971-984.
- [21] A. Laptev, T. Weidl, Hardy inequalities for magnetic Dirichlet forms. *Mathematical results in quantum mechanics (Prague, 1998)*, 299-305, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, **108**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999.
- [22] E.H. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [23] M. Ruzhansky, D. Suragan, N. Yessirkegenov, Extended Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities, and remainders, stability, and superweights for L^p -weighted Hardy inequalities. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B* **5** (2018), 32-62.
- [24] M. Sano, Scaling invariant Hardy type inequalities with non-standard remainder terms. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **21** (2018), no. 1, 77-90.
- [25] S. Secchi, D. Smets, M. Willem, Remarks on a Hardy-Sobolev inequality. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **336** (2003), no. 10, 811-815.

- [26] M. Solomyak, A remark on the Hardy inequalities. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **19** (1994), no. 1, 120-124.
- [27] M. Spivak, *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry*. Vol. I. Second edition. Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington, DE, 1979.