

CATEGORICAL QUANTIZATION ON KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

YUTUNG YAU

ABSTRACT. Generalizing deformation quantizations with separation of variables of a Kähler manifold M , we adopt Fedosov's gluing argument to construct a category DQ , enriched over sheaves of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -modules on M , as a quantization of the category of Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M with morphisms being smooth sections of hom-bundles.

We then define quantizable morphisms among objects in DQ , generalizing Chan-Leung-Li's notion [4] of quantizable functions. Upon evaluation of quantizable morphisms at $\hbar = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{k}$, we obtain an enriched category $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}$. We show that, when M is prequantizable, $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}$ is equivalent to the category GQ of holomorphic vector bundles over M with morphisms being holomorphic differential operators, via a functor obtained from Bargmann-Fock actions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold. When (M, ω) is compact and admits a prequantum line bundle L , geometric quantization of (M, ω) yields the quantum Hilbert space $H^0(M, L^{\otimes k})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. A deformation quantization $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty[[\hbar]], \star)$ of (M, ω) , which is a non-commutative deformation of the sheaf \mathcal{C}_M^∞ of \mathbb{C} -valued smooth functions on M , is specified by the asymptotic action of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ on $H^0(M, L^{\otimes k})$ via Toeplitz operators as $\hbar = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{k} \rightarrow 0$ [5, 16].

In [4], Chan-Leung-Li introduced the notion of *formal quantizable functions*, which form a dense subsheaf $\mathcal{C}_{M,\mathrm{qu}}^\infty$ of $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty[[\hbar]], \star)$ and can be evaluated at $\hbar = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{k}$ without convergence issues to obtain the sheaf $\mathcal{C}_{M,\mathrm{qu},k}^\infty$ of *level- k quantizable functions*. They constructed an action of $\mathcal{C}_{M,\mathrm{qu},k}^\infty$ on the sheaf $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ of holomorphic sections of $L^{\otimes k}$ and showed that $\mathcal{C}_{M,\mathrm{qu},k}^\infty$ is isomorphic to the sheaf $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$ of holomorphic differential operators on $L^{\otimes k}$ via this action.

In this paper, we apply Chan-Leung-Li's sheaf-theoretic technique to quantize not only (M, ω) coupled with Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles E_i over M , but also $\mathrm{End}(E_i)$ - $\mathrm{End}(E_j)$ bi-modules $\mathrm{Hom}(E_i, E_j)$. The whole quantum structure is encoded in a category DQ , enriched over sheaves of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -modules on M , whose objects are Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M . Analogously, *formal quantizable morphisms* in DQ form an enriched subcategory $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu}}$ of DQ , and we obtain an enriched category $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}$ from $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu}}$ by evaluation at $\hbar = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{k}$.

While we regard DQ as a 'categorification' of deformation quantizations of (M, ω) , we also 'categorify' the quantum Hilbert space $H^0(M, L^{\otimes k})$ - we consider the enriched category GQ of holomorphic vector bundles over M with morphisms being holomorphic differential operators among those bundles. A 'categorification' of the above sheaf action of non-formal deformation quantization on geometric quantization is encoded by an enriched functor

$$\mathcal{T}_k : \mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k} \rightarrow \mathrm{GQ}$$

sending E to $E \otimes L^{\otimes k}$, which is proved to be an equivalence of enriched categories in this paper.

We now state our first main result, which shows the existence of DQ as a 'categorification' of deformation quantizations with separation of variables of (M, ω) .

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold. Then there exists a category DQ , enriched over the monoidal category $\mathrm{Sh}_\hbar(M)$ of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -modules on M , defined as follows:*

- objects in DQ are Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M ;
- for any objects E_1, E_2 in DQ and open subset U of M ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DQ}}(E_1, E_2)(U) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2))[[\hbar]];$$

- for any objects E_1, E_2, E_3 in DQ , the composition

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}}(E_2, E_3) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]} \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}}(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}}(E_1, E_3)$$

is given by \star defined as in (3.9).

Moreover, for any objects E_1, E_2 in DQ , open subset U of M , $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))[[\hbar]]$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \text{Hom}(E_2, E_3))[[\hbar]]$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) (classical limit) if $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \text{Hom}(E_2, E_3))$, then

$$\psi \star \phi = \psi \phi \pmod{\hbar};$$

- (2) (semi-classical limit) if $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$, then

$$(f \text{Id}_{E_2}) \star \phi - \phi \star (f \text{Id}_{E_1}) = \hbar \{f, \phi\} \pmod{\hbar^2},$$

where $\{f, \phi\} := \nabla_{X_f}^{\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)} \phi$ and X_f is the ω -Hamiltonian vector field of f ;

- (3) (separation of variables) if ψ is holomorphic or ϕ is anti-holomorphic, then $\psi \star \phi = \psi \phi$;
- (4) (degree preserving property) if ϕ, ψ are formal quantizable of degrees r_1, r_2 respectively, then $\psi \star \phi$ is formal quantizable of degree $r_1 + r_2$.

For a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle E over M , the endomorphism sheaf $\text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}}(E, E)$ together with its algebra structure given by \star forms a deformation quantization with separation of variables of $\text{End}(E)$, which were studied in [11]. In particular, when E is of rank 1, $\text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}}(E, E)$ forms a deformation quantization of (M, ω) under the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E, E)) \cong \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}).$$

A key technique in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is Fedosov's gluing argument, which is used by Chan-Leung-Li in [4] as well. Now, assume (M, ω) is equipped with a prequantum line bundle L . Another technique to yield a similar categorical structure is the use of Toeplitz operators [13, 1] (which requires that M is compact). The former technique has an advantage over the latter - it is much more straightforward to see that the category DQ obtained is enriched over $\text{Sh}_{\hbar}(M)$.

Passing from formal to non-formal quantization by evaluation at $\hbar = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{k}$ (for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$), we then consider the enriched category $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}$ having the same objects as DQ . For any objects E_1, E_2 in $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}$, the sheaf $\text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}}(E_1, E_2)$ is indeed a filtered left \mathcal{O}_M -module, where \mathcal{O}_M is the sheaf of holomorphic functions on M . By Fedosov's gluing argument again, we glue the fibrewise Bargmann-Fock action to obtain a morphism of sheaves

$$\otimes_k : \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}}(E_1, E_2) \times \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k},$$

where \mathcal{E}_i is the sheaf of holomorphic sections of E_i for $i = 1, 2$.

Our second main result states that \otimes_k induces an equivalence $\mathcal{T}_k : \text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k} \rightarrow \text{GQ}$ of enriched categories, 'categorifying' the sheaf action of non-formal quantizable functions on holomorphic sections of $L^{\otimes k}$ constructed by Chan-Leung-Li in [4].

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, ω) be a prequantizable Kähler manifold with a prequantum line bundle L and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then there exists an enriched functor*

$$\mathcal{T}_k : \text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k} \rightarrow \text{GQ}$$

defined as follows:

- for any object E in $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}$, $\mathcal{T}_k(E) = E \otimes L^{\otimes k}$;
- for any objects E_1, E_2 in $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}$,

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{T}_k : \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}}(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{GQ}}(\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))$$

is given by \otimes_k defined as in (4.10).

Moreover,

- (1) \mathcal{T}_k yields an equivalence of categories enriched over the monoidal category $\text{Sh}(M)$ of sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces on M ;
- (2) for any objects E_1, E_2 in $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}$, (1.1) is an isomorphism of filtered left \mathcal{O}_M -modules.

In [18], the author of this paper investigated how Chan-Leung-Li's work [4] mathematically realizes a certain part of the categorical structure for (rank-1) *coisotropic A-branes* on a sufficiently small complexification X of M , following Gukov-Witten's physical proposal for quantization [6]. This categorical structure was first expected by Kapustin-Orlov [9] for the sake of the Homological Mirror Symmetry Conjecture [12]. One of the potential applications of our main results is that the category $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}$, the functor $\mathcal{T}_k : \mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k} \rightarrow \mathrm{GQ}$ and its *transposed functor* $\mathcal{T}_k^t : \mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k} \rightarrow \mathrm{GQ}^{\mathrm{op}}$ (see Remark 4.11) might serve as a mathematical realization of a larger part of the above categorical structure which involves *higher rank coisotropic A-branes* [7]. It will be studied in the future.

Some notations are adopted throughout this paper.

- \hbar is a formal variable over \mathbb{C} .
- We write $T_{\mathbb{C}} = TM_{\mathbb{C}}$, $T = T^{1,0}M$ and $\bar{T} = T^{0,1}M$.
- Denote by $\mathrm{Sh}(M)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sh}_{\hbar}(M)$) the monoidal category of sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces (resp. $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -modules) on M equipped with the tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$ (resp. $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}$).
- Denote by \mathcal{O}_M (resp. \mathcal{C}_M^{∞}) the sheaf of holomorphic functions (resp. complex-valued smooth functions) on M .
- For each holomorphic vector bundle E over M , denote by \mathcal{E} the sheaf of holomorphic sections of E .
- For any two holomorphic vector bundles E_1, E_2 over M , denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ the sheaf of holomorphic differential operators from E_1 to E_2 .
- For a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle E over M , denote by ∇^E its Chern connection.

2. A CATEGORIFICATION OF THE SHEAF OF SMOOTH FUNCTIONS

Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold. We will define an enriched category \mathbb{C} associated with (M, ω) in Subsection 2.1, and we will introduce covariantized Poisson brackets $\{ \ , \ }$ in Subsection 2.2. The pair $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ })$ is to be 'quantized' to an enriched category DQ in Theorem 1.1. In Subsection 2.3, we will define deformation quantization with separation of variables of $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ })$. We will end this section by a discussion on tensor products with Hermitian holomorphic line bundles as auto-equivalences of \mathbb{C} and as transformations of deformation quantizations of $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ })$ in Subsection 2.4.

2.1. The classical category of Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles.

The enriched category to be 'quantized' in this paper is defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. The *classical category of Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M* , denoted by \mathbb{C} , is the category enriched over $\mathrm{Sh}(M)$ given as follows:

- objects in \mathbb{C} are Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M ;
- for any objects E_1, E_2 in \mathbb{C} and open subset U of M ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_1, E_2)(U) = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(U, \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2));$$

- for any objects E_1, E_2, E_3 in \mathbb{C} , the composition

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_2, E_3) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_1, E_3)$$

is the usual composition of smooth sections of hom-bundles.

If $E = M \times \mathbb{C}$ is the trivial Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over M , then the endomorphism sheaf $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E, E)$ equipped with compositions is canonically isomorphic to the sheaf of algebras \mathcal{C}_M^{∞} . Hence, we regard \mathbb{C} as a 'categorification' of the sheaf \mathcal{C}_M^{∞} .

Remark 2.2. For two objects E_1, E_2 in \mathbb{C} , as the hom-bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$ is independent of the Hermitian holomorphic structures on E_1, E_2 , so is $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_1, E_2)$. Indeed, if the underlying smooth complex vector bundles of E_1, E_2 are equal, then E_1, E_2 are isomorphic in \mathbb{C} .

The reason why we require Hermitian holomorphic structures in the definition of \mathbb{C} is as follows. As (M, ω) is a Kähler manifold, one can consider a deformation quantization $(\mathcal{C}_M^{\infty}[[\hbar]], \star)$ of (M, ω)

with separation of variables (in the sense of [10]) - for an open subset U of M and $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$, if g is holomorphic or f is anti-holomorphic, then

$$f \star g = fg.$$

One of the goals of this paper is to construct a ‘categorification’ of deformation quantizations with separation of variables. As objects in \mathbb{C} are Hermitian holomorphic, via Chern connections, holomorphicity and anti-holomorphicity of morphisms in \mathbb{C} are well defined.

2.2. Covariantized Poisson brackets.

There is an additional structure on each morphism sheaf $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_1, E_2)$ depending on the Hermitian holomorphic structures on E_1, E_2 . It is known as a covariantized Poisson bracket [1].

Definition 2.3. Let E be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over M . The *covariantized Poisson bracket* on E is defined as the \mathbb{C} -bilinear map

$$\{ \ , \ } : \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, E), \quad (f, s) \mapsto \{f, s\} := \nabla_{X_f}^E s,$$

where X_f is the ω -Hamiltonian vector field of f .

Hence, for two objects E_1, E_2 in \mathbb{C} , we have the covariantized Poisson bracket on $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$. Compositions in \mathbb{C} are compatible with covariantized Poisson brackets in the following sense.

Proposition 2.4. Let E_1, E_2, E_3 be Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M . Then for all open subset U of M , $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$, $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_1, E_2)(U)$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_2, E_3)(U)$,

$$\{f, \psi \circ \phi\} = \{f, \psi\} \circ \phi + \psi \circ \{f, \phi\}.$$

When $E = M \times \mathbb{C}$ is the trivial Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over M , $\{ \ , \ }$ reduces to the Poisson bracket on M induced by ω . Therefore, we can regard the pair $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ })$ as a ‘categorification’ of the sheaf of Poisson algebras $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty, \{ \ , \ })$.

2.3. Categorical deformation quantization with separation of variables.

We now define deformation quantizations of $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ })$.

Definition 2.5. A *deformation quantization* of $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ })$ is a category \mathbb{C}_{\hbar} enriched over $\text{Sh}_{\hbar}(M)$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- objects in \mathbb{C}_{\hbar} are the same as those in \mathbb{C} ;
- for any objects E_1, E_2 in \mathbb{C}_{\hbar} and open subset U of M ,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}}(E_1, E_2)(U) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_1, E_2)(U)[[\hbar]];$$

- (*classical limit*) for any objects E_1, E_2 in \mathbb{C}_{\hbar} , open subset U of M , $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_1, E_2)(U)$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_2, E_3)(U)$,

$$\psi \star \phi = \psi\phi \pmod{\hbar},$$

where $\psi \star \phi$ (resp. $\psi\phi$) denotes the composition of ψ and ϕ in \mathbb{C}_{\hbar} (resp. \mathbb{C});

- (*semi-classical limit*) for any objects E_1, E_2 in \mathbb{C}_{\hbar} , open subset U of M , $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$ and $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_1, E_2)(U)$,

$$(f \text{Id}_{E_2}) \star \phi - \phi \star (f \text{Id}_{E_1}) = \hbar \{f, \phi\} \pmod{\hbar^2},$$

where $\{f, \phi\} := \nabla_{X_f}^{\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)} \phi$ and X_f is the ω -Hamiltonian vector field of f .

Definition 2.6. A deformation quantization \mathbb{C}_{\hbar} of $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ })$ is said to be *with separation of variables* if for any objects E_1, E_2 in \mathbb{C}_{\hbar} , open subset U of M , $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}}(E_1, E_2)(U)$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}}(E_2, E_3)(U)$, if ψ is holomorphic or ϕ is anti-holomorphic, then

$$\psi \star \phi = \psi\phi,$$

where $\psi \star \phi$ (resp. $\psi\phi$) denotes the composition of ψ and ϕ in \mathbb{C}_{\hbar} (resp. \mathbb{C}).

Let \mathbf{C}_\hbar be a deformation quantization with separation of variables of $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ })$. Then for the trivial Hermitian holomorphic line bundle $E = M \times \mathbb{C}$ over M , the composition

$$\star : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_\hbar}(E, E) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_\hbar}(E, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_\hbar}(E, E)$$

is a star product with separation of variables on (M, ω) . This suggests that \mathbf{C}_\hbar is a ‘categorification’ of deformation quantizations of (M, ω) .

2.4. Tensor product with a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle.

Let L be an object in \mathbf{C} which is of rank 1, i.e. a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over M . For any objects E_1, E_2 in \mathbf{C} , we have a canonical isomorphism in $\mathrm{Sh}(M)$:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(E_1, E_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(E_1 \otimes L, E_2 \otimes L),$$

which identifies $\nabla^{\mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2)}$ with $\nabla^{\mathrm{Hom}(E_1 \otimes L, E_2 \otimes L)}$. In other words, the tensor product with L forms an auto-equivalence of the enriched category \mathbf{C} :

$$(2.1) \quad \otimes L : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C},$$

which preserves the covariantized Poisson brackets on the morphism sheaves in \mathbf{C} .

Now suppose \mathbf{C}_\hbar is a deformation quantization with separation of variables of $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ })$ and \star is the composition in \mathbf{C}_\hbar . Note that for any objects E_1, E_2 in \mathbf{C}_\hbar , there is a canonical isomorphism of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -modules on M :

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_\hbar}(E_1, E_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_\hbar}(E_1 \otimes L, E_2 \otimes L).$$

These isomorphisms induce a category $\mathbf{C}_{\hbar, L}$ enriched over $\mathrm{Sh}_\hbar(M)$ which is completely determined by the following conditions:

- objects and morphism sheaves in $\mathbf{C}_{\hbar, L}$ are the same as those in \mathbf{C}_\hbar ; and
- the tensor product with L forms an equivalence of enriched categories:

$$(2.2) \quad \otimes L : \mathbf{C}_\hbar \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\hbar, L}.$$

We can easily prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.7. *Let L be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over M . If \mathbf{C}_\hbar is a deformation quantization with separation of variables of $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ })$, then so is $\mathbf{C}_{\hbar, L}$.*

In particular, if \mathbf{C}_\hbar is a deformation quantization with separation of variables of $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ })$ and L is an object in \mathcal{C}_\hbar of rank 1, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_\hbar}(L, L)$ equipped with the compositions is a deformation quantization with separation of variables of (M, ω) .

3. QUANTIZATION OF THE CLASSICAL CATEGORY OF HERMITIAN HOLOMORPHIC VECTOR BUNDLES

Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold. We will introduce the Weyl bundle on (M, ω) in Subsection 3.1, Fedosov’s connections on (M, ω) in Subsection 3.2, and formal quantizability of morphisms in DQ in Subsection 3.3. They serve as preparations for the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Subsection 3.4. Finally, we will define non-formal quantizable morphisms in Subsection 3.5, which will be further studied in Section 4.

3.1. The Weyl bundle on a Kähler manifold.

We first introduce some basic notations in Kähler geometry. In local complex coordinates (z^1, \dots, z^n) , we write $\omega = \omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta$ and let $(\omega^{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ be the inverse of $(\omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$. Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of the Kähler manifold (M, ω) . The curvature of ∇ is locally written as

$$\nabla^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^\mu} \right) = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu}^\nu dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\mu} \right) = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}^{\bar{\nu}} dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\nu}.$$

The *Weyl bundle* of (M, ω) is the infinite rank vector bundle $\mathcal{W} = \widehat{\mathrm{Sym}} T_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee[[\hbar]]$, where $\widehat{\mathrm{Sym}} T_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee$ is the completed symmetric algebra bundle of the complexified cotangent bundle $T_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee$. A smooth section of \mathcal{W} is locally given by a formal power series

$$\sum_{r, l \geq 0} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 0} \hbar^r a_{r, i_1, \dots, i_l} y^{i_1} \cdots y^{i_l},$$

where a_{r,i_1,\dots,i_l} are local smooth complex valued functions, (x^1, \dots, x^{2n}) are local real coordinates, y^i denotes the covector dx^i regarded as a section of \mathcal{W} and we suppress the notations of symmetric products in the above expression. There are three $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})[[\hbar]]$ -linear operators $\delta, \delta^{-1}, \pi_0$ on $\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W})$ defined as follows: for a local section $a = y^{i_1} \dots y^{i_l} dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_m}$,

$$\delta a = dx^k \wedge \frac{\partial a}{\partial y^k}, \quad \delta^{-1} a = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{l+m} y^k \iota_{\partial_{x^k}} a & \text{if } l+m > 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } l+m = 0, \end{cases} \quad \pi_0(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l+m > 0; \\ a & \text{if } l+m = 0, \end{cases}$$

The equality $\text{Id} - \pi_0 = \delta \circ \delta^{-1} + \delta^{-1} \circ \delta$ holds on $\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W})$.

The complex structure on M gives rise to a subbundle $\mathcal{W}^{1,0} = \widehat{\text{Sym}} T^\vee[[\hbar]]$ of \mathcal{W} and three $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})[[\hbar]]$ -linear operators $\delta^{1,0}, (\delta^{1,0})^{-1}, \pi_{0,*}$ on $\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W})$ defined as follows: for a local section $a = w^{\mu_1} \dots w^{\mu_l} \bar{w}^{\nu_1} \dots \bar{w}^{\nu_m} dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{\alpha_p} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta_q}$,

$$\delta^{1,0} a = dz^\mu \wedge \frac{\partial a}{\partial w^\mu}, \quad (\delta^{1,0})^{-1} a = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{l+p} w^\mu \iota_{\partial_{z^\mu}} a & \text{if } l+p > 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } l+p = 0, \end{cases} \quad \pi_{0,*}(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l+p > 0; \\ a & \text{if } l+p = 0, \end{cases}$$

Here, we denote by w^μ (resp. \bar{w}^μ) the covector dz^μ (resp. $d\bar{z}^\mu$) regarded as a section of \mathcal{W} . The equality $\text{Id} - \pi_{0,*} = \delta^{1,0} \circ (\delta^{1,0})^{-1} + (\delta^{1,0})^{-1} \circ \delta^{1,0}$ holds on $\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W})$. We can define the antiholomorphic counterparts $\mathcal{W}^{0,1}, \delta^{0,1}, (\delta^{0,1})^{-1}, \pi_{*,0}$ of $\mathcal{W}^{1,0}, \delta^{1,0}, (\delta^{1,0})^{-1}, \pi_{0,*}$ respectively.

We equip \mathcal{W} with the *fibrewise anti-Wick product* \star defined as follows (c.f., for instance, [2, 14]). For $a, b \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W})$,

$$(3.1) \quad a \star b := \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^r}{r!} \omega^{\bar{\nu}_1 \mu_1} \dots \omega^{\bar{\nu}_r \mu_r} \frac{\partial^r a}{\partial \bar{w}^{\nu_1} \dots \partial \bar{w}^{\nu_r}} \frac{\partial^r b}{\partial w^{\mu_1} \dots \partial w^{\mu_r}}.$$

We can naturally extend \star to a $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})[[\hbar]]$ -bilinear map $\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W}) \times \Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W})$ such that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W})$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W})$, $(\alpha \otimes a) \star (\beta \otimes b) = (\alpha \wedge \beta) \otimes (a \star b)$. For other operations on vector bundles (of possibly infinite rank) appeared later in this paper, we also extend them onto vector bundle valued forms in a similar way.

By abuse of notations, we denote by ∇ the connection on \mathcal{W} induced by the Levi-Civita connection. Then $(\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W}), R, \nabla, \frac{1}{\hbar}[\ , \]_\star)$ forms a curved dgla, where $[\ , \]_\star$ is the graded commutator of \star and $R \in \Omega^{1,1}(M, T^\vee \otimes \bar{T}^\vee)$ is given by $R = -\omega_{\eta\bar{\nu}} R_{\alpha\beta\mu}^\eta dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta \otimes w^\mu \bar{w}^\nu$.

3.2. Fedosov's connections via Kapranov's L_∞ -structures.

For any Kähler manifold M , Kapranov [8] discovered an L_∞ -algebra structure encoded by higher covariant derivatives of the curvature $\nabla^2 \in \Omega^{1,1}(M, \text{Hom}(T^\vee, T^\vee))$ of ∇ on T^\vee , namely

$$(3.2) \quad I_{(r)} := (\tilde{\nabla}^{1,0})^{r-2} (\delta^{1,0})^{-1} \nabla^2 \in \Omega^{0,1}(M, \text{Hom}(T^\vee, \text{Sym}^r T^\vee)), \quad \text{for } r \geq 2$$

(here and in the sequel, we denote by $\nabla^{1,0}$ the $(1,0)$ -part of any connection in the context and let $\tilde{\nabla}^{1,0} = (\delta^{1,0})^{-1} \circ \nabla^{1,0}$). Furthermore, he showed that any holomorphic vector bundle E over M with a Hermitian metric h^E induces an L_∞ -module structure encoded by higher covariant derivatives of the curvature $R^E \in \Omega^{1,1}(M, \text{End}(E))$ of its Chern connection ∇^E , namely

$$(3.3) \quad I_{(r)}^E := (\tilde{\nabla}^{1,0})^{r-1} (\delta^{1,0})^{-1} R^E \in \Omega^{0,1}(M, \text{Sym}^r T^\vee \otimes \text{End}(E)), \quad \text{for } r \geq 1.$$

It was pointed out by Chan-Leung-Li [3] that Kapranov's L_∞ -algebra structure can be extended to a flat connection involved in Fedosov's quantization of the Kähler manifold (M, ω) . It turns out that Kapranov's L_∞ -module structures also have similar extensions, which are hidden in Neumaier-Waldmann's construction ((27) in [15]).

To see this, we start with defining the \mathcal{W} -valued 1-form $\tilde{I} = \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \tilde{I}_{(r)}$, where for $r \geq 2$,

$$\tilde{I}_{(r)} := (\tilde{\nabla}^{1,0})^{r-2} (\delta^{1,0})^{-1} (R) \in \Omega^{0,1}(M, \text{Sym}^r T^\vee \otimes \bar{T}^\vee).$$

By (a variant ¹ of) Theorem 2.17 in [3],

$$(3.4) \quad \gamma := (\delta^{0,1})^{-1}\omega + (\delta^{1,0})^{-1}\omega + \tilde{I}$$

is a solution in $\Omega^1(M, \mathcal{W})$ to the equation

$$(3.5) \quad R + \nabla\gamma + \frac{1}{2\hbar}[\gamma, \gamma]_\star = -\omega.$$

Consider three Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles E_1, E_2, E_3 on M . The usual composition $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E_2, E_3)) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_3))$ and the fibrewise anti-Wick product \star naturally defines a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -bilinear map

$$(3.6) \quad \star : \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W} \otimes E_{2,3})[[\hbar]] \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W} \otimes E_{1,2})[[\hbar]] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W} \otimes E_{1,3})[[\hbar]],$$

where $E_{i,j} = \text{Hom}(E_i, E_j)$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We will now show that there are flat connections on $\mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_i, E_j)[[\hbar]]$'s compatible with \star . The following proposition is a generalization of Theorem 2 in [15] in the case of anti-Wick ordering.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose E_1, E_2 are Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles. Then the following connection on $\mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)[[\hbar]]$ is flat:*

$$(3.7) \quad D^{E_1, E_2} := \nabla + \nabla^{\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)} + \frac{1}{\hbar}[\gamma, \]_\star + I^{E_2} \star - \star I^{E_1}.$$

Remark 3.2. Indeed, as $\delta^{1,0} = -\frac{1}{\hbar}[(\delta^{0,1})^{-1}\omega, \]_\star$ and $\delta^{0,1} = -\frac{1}{\hbar}[(\delta^{1,0})^{-1}\omega, \]_\star$, we have an alternative expression:

$$D^{E_1, E_2} = \nabla + \nabla^{\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)} - \delta + \frac{1}{\hbar}[\tilde{I}, \]_\star + I^{E_2} \star - \star I^{E_1}.$$

Before proving Proposition 3.1, we need a lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *$R + (\nabla^{1,0} - \delta^{1,0})(\tilde{I}) = 0$ and if E is a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over M , then $R^E + (\nabla^{1,0} - \delta^{1,0})(I^E) = 0$.*

Proof. The first equality is known to hold in the proof of Theorem 2.17 in [3]. Indeed, the same type of argument also applies to the second equality, and it is given as follows.

It suffices to prove the claim that for all $r \geq 1$, $(\delta^{1,0} \circ \nabla^{1,0})(I_{(r)}^E) = 0$ and

$$\delta^{1,0}(I_{(r)}^E) = \begin{cases} R^E, & \text{if } r = 1; \\ \nabla^{1,0}(I_{(r-1)}^E), & \text{if } r > 1. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, as $\delta^{1,0}R^E = 0$ and $\pi_{0,\star}(R^E) = 0$, $\delta^{1,0}(I_{(1)}^E) = R^E - \pi_{0,\star}(R^E) - (\delta^{1,0})^{-1} \circ \delta^{1,0}(R^E) = R^E$. By Bianchi identity, $(\delta^{1,0} \circ \nabla^{1,0})(I_{(1)}^E) = -(\nabla^{1,0} \circ \delta^{1,0})(I_{(1)}^E) = -\nabla^{1,0}(R^E) = 0$.

Now, assume the above claim is true for some $r \geq 1$. Then

$$\nabla^{1,0}(I_{(r)}^E) = \delta^{1,0}(I_{(r+1)}^E) + (\delta^{1,0})^{-1} \circ \delta^{1,0} \circ \nabla^{1,0}(I_{(r)}^E) = \delta^{1,0}(I_{(r+1)}^E)$$

by hypothesis and the fact that $\pi_{0,\star} \circ \nabla^{1,0} = 0$. Hence,

$$(\delta^{1,0} \circ \nabla^{1,0})(I_{(r+1)}^E) = -(\nabla^{1,0} \circ \delta^{1,0})(I_{(r+1)}^E) = -(\nabla^{1,0})^2(I_{(r)}^E) = 0.$$

The proof of the above claim is therefore complete by induction. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.1. The $(1, 0)$ - and $(0, 1)$ -parts of $D := D^{E_1, E_2}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} D^{1,0} &= \nabla^{1,0} - \delta^{1,0}, \\ D^{0,1} &= \bar{\nabla} - \delta^{0,1} + \frac{1}{\hbar}[\tilde{I}, \]_\star + I^{E_2} \star - \star I^{E_1} \end{aligned}$$

respectively. We consider different components of the curvature D^2 separately.

(1) The $(2, 0)$ -part of D^2 , i.e. $(D^{1,0})^2$, vanishes, following from the fact that $(\nabla^{1,0} - \delta^{1,0})^2 = 0$.

¹Indeed, we have modified Chan-Leung-Li's original argument by taking the anti-Wick ordering instead of Wick ordering. In later (sub)sections, their definition of (formal) quantizable functions, their construction of fibrewise Bargmann-Fock actions, etc., are similarly modified.

(2) The $(1, 1)$ -part of D^2 , i.e. $[D^{1,0}, D^{0,1}]$, is the sum of the following two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} [D^{1,0}, \bar{\partial} - \delta^{0,1}] &= \frac{1}{\hbar} [R, \quad]_\star + R^{E_2} \star - \star R^{E_1}, \\ [D^{1,0}, \frac{1}{\hbar} [\tilde{I}, \quad]_\star + I^{E_2} \star - \star I^{E_1}] &= \frac{1}{\hbar} [D^{1,0}(\tilde{I}), \quad]_\star + (D^{1,0} I^{E_2}) \star - \star (D^{1,0} I^{E_1}), \end{aligned}$$

and hence vanishes by Lemma 3.3.

(3) The $(0, 2)$ -part of D^2 , i.e. $(D^{0,1})^2$, is given by

$$(\bar{\partial} - \delta^{0,1})^2 + [\bar{\partial} - \delta^{0,1}, \frac{1}{\hbar} [\tilde{I}, \quad]_\star + I^{E_2} \star - \star I^{E_1}] + (\frac{1}{\hbar} [\tilde{I}, \quad]_\star + I^{E_2} \star - \star I^{E_1})^2.$$

First, we know that $(\bar{\partial} - \delta^{0,1})^2 = 0$. Second, we have $\delta^{0,1} \tilde{I} = 0$ by Lemma 2.16 in [3] and clearly $\delta^{0,1} I^{E_i} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, whence

$$[\bar{\partial} - \delta^{0,1}, \frac{1}{\hbar} [\tilde{I}, \quad]_\star + I^{E_2} \star - \star I^{E_1}] = \frac{1}{\hbar} [\bar{\partial} \tilde{I}, \quad]_\star + (\bar{\partial} I^{E_1}) \star - \star (\bar{\partial} I^{E_1}).$$

Third, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\frac{1}{\hbar} [\tilde{I}, \quad]_\star + I^{E_2} \star - \star I^{E_1})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\hbar} [\frac{1}{\hbar} [\tilde{I}, \tilde{I}]_\star, \quad]_\star + ([I, I^{E_2}] + \frac{1}{2} [I^{E_2}, I^{E_2}]) \star - \star ([I, I^{E_1}] + \frac{1}{2} [I^{E_1}, I^{E_1}]). \end{aligned}$$

It is known in the proof of Theorem 2.17 in [3] that $\frac{1}{\hbar} [\bar{\partial} \tilde{I} + \frac{1}{\hbar} [\tilde{I}, \tilde{I}]_\star, \quad]_\star = 0$. For $i = 1, 2$, the term $\bar{\partial}(I^{E_i}) + [I, I^{E_i}] + \frac{1}{2} [I^{E_i}, I^{E_i}]$ is indeed the subtraction of (A.1) from (A.2) and is hence equal to zero. Therefore, $(D^{0,1})^2 = 0$. □

The map

$$(\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)), D^{E_1, E_2}) \rightarrow (\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)), -\delta)$$

given by $\phi \mapsto \phi - \delta^{-1}(D^{E_1, E_2} + \delta)\phi$ is a cochain isomorphism, and the inclusion

$$(\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))[[\hbar]], 0) \hookrightarrow (\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)), -\delta)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism by weak Hodge decomposition. Thus, we have a quasi-isomorphism:

$$(\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))[[\hbar]], 0) \rightarrow (\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)), D^{E_1, E_2}).$$

Formal smooth sections $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))[[\hbar]]$ are then in bijection with D^{E_1, E_2} -flat sections O_ϕ of $\mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$, where O_ϕ is uniquely determined by the condition that $\pi_0(O_\phi) = \phi$.

Remark 3.4. Using the same type of arguments as the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [4], one can show that a D^{E_1, E_2} -flat section O of $\mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$ must be of the form

$$(3.8) \quad O = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{\nabla}^{1,0})^r \tilde{O},$$

where \tilde{O} is the $\widehat{\text{Sym}} \bar{T}^{\vee} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)[[\hbar]]$ -component of O .

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose E_1, E_2, E_3 are Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles. Then for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))[[\hbar]]$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_2, E_3))[[\hbar]]$,*

$$D^{E_1, E_3}(\psi \star \phi) = (D^{E_2, E_3} \psi) \star \phi + \psi \star (D^{E_1, E_2} \phi).$$

Thus, \star descends to a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -linear map

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E_2, E_3))[[\hbar]] \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))[[\hbar]] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_3))[[\hbar]],$$

which is still denoted by \star by abuse of notations.

3.3. Formal quantizability and degree.

We first assign a weight to each element in $\mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$ by declaring that

- w^α and elements in $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$ are of weight 0, while
- \overline{w}^β and \hbar are of weight 1.

This induces an increasing filtration

$$\mathcal{W}_{(0)} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2) \subset \mathcal{W}_{(1)} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2) \subset \cdots \mathcal{W}_{(r)} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2) \subset \cdots$$

of $\mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$, where $\mathcal{W}_{(r)}$ is the subbundle of elements of weight at most r in \mathcal{W} (note that $\mathcal{W}_{(0)} = \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} := \widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee$), making $(\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)), D^{E_1, E_2})$ a filtered cochain complex. We will call it the *weight filtration*.

By (3.1), we can see that the operator \star preserves weight filtrations. In addition, observe that $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M, \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\text{cl}})$ and $I^{E_i} \in \Omega^1(M, \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\text{cl}} \otimes \text{End}(E_i))$ for $i = 1, 2$, where $\underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\text{cl}} := \widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee \otimes \text{Sym}\overline{T}^\vee$. Hence, we can easily verify that

$$\Omega^*(M, \underline{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))$$

is a subcomplex of $(\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{W} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)), D^{E_1, E_2})$, where $\underline{\mathcal{W}} := \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\text{cl}}[\hbar]$.

Definition 3.6. A *formal quantizable morphism* from E_1 to E_2 is an element

$$\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))[[\hbar]]$$

for which the associated D^{E_1, E_2} -flat section O_ϕ lies in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \underline{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))$.

Let r be a non-negative integer. A formal quantizable morphism ϕ from E_1 to E_2 is said to be of *degree r* if its associated D^{E_1, E_2} -flat section O_ϕ lies in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W}_{(r)} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))$.

Example 3.7. An element $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))[[\hbar]]$ is *formal quantizable of degree 0* if and only if ϕ is a holomorphic section of $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$, in which case

$$(3.10) \quad O_\phi = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{\nabla}^{1,0})^r \phi.$$

A direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 (to be proved in the next subsection) is that the enriched category DQ appeared in Theorem 1.1 has an enriched subcategory DQ_{qu}

- which has the same objects as DQ ; and
- for any two objects E_1, E_2 of which, $\text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}}}(E_1, E_2)$ is the sheaf of formal quantizable morphisms from E_1 to E_2 .

In addition, $\text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}}}(E_1, E_2)$ is a filtered left \mathcal{O}_M -module - a holomorphic function f on M acts on formal quantizable morphisms from E_1 to E_2 by composition with $f \text{Id}_{E_2}$ and the filtration is given by the degrees of formal quantizable morphisms.

Example 3.8. Suppose E is the trivial Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over M . Then formal quantizable morphisms from E to itself are exactly formal quantizable functions on M , which are defined in [4]. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{M, \text{qu}}^\infty$ the sheaf of formal quantizable functions on M .

3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Now, we prove our first main result, which states that we can quantize $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ \ }, \{ \ , \ \ })$, the classical category of Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M equipped with covariantized Poisson brackets, so that the quantization we obtained is with separation of variables.

Theorem 3.9 (= Theorem 1.1). *Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold. Then there exists a deformation quantization DQ with separation of variables of $(\mathbb{C}, \{ \ , \ \ }, \{ \ , \ \ })$ such that*

- for any objects E_1, E_2, E_3 in DQ , the composition

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}}(E_2, E_3) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]} \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}}(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}}(E_1, E_3)$$

is given by \star defined as in (3.9).

- (degree preserving property) for any objects E_1, E_2 in DQ , open subset U of M , $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}}(E_1, E_2)(U)$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}}(E_2, E_3)(U)$, if ϕ, ψ are formal quantizable of degrees r_1, r_2 respectively, then $\psi \star \phi$ is formal quantizable of degree $r_1 + r_2$.

Proof. Associativity of \star is easily deduced from that of the fibrewise anti-Wick product, those of fibrewise compositions of linear maps, and Proposition 3.5. Once we have proved that the condition of separation of variables is satisfied, we will see that, for any objects E_1, E_2, E_3 in DQ and open subset U of M , as $\text{Id}_{E_2|_U}$ is both holomorphic and anti-holomorphic,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Id}_{E_2|_U} \star \phi &= \phi, \quad \text{for any } \phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_1, E_2)(U)[[\hbar]], \\ \psi \star \text{Id}_{E_2|_U} &= \psi, \quad \text{for any } \psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_2, E_3)(U)[[\hbar]], \end{aligned}$$

whence DQ is a well defined enriched category.

Now we compute the formal power series $\phi \star \phi$ in \hbar up to first order for $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_1, E_2)(U)$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_2, E_3)(U)$. Note that O_ϕ is uniquely determined by the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} O_\phi &= \phi + \delta^{-1} (D^{E_1, E_2} + \delta) O_\phi \\ &= \phi + \delta^{-1} \left((\nabla + \nabla^{\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)}) O_\phi + \frac{1}{\hbar} [\tilde{I}, O_\phi]_\star + I^{E_2} \star O_\phi - O_\phi \star I^{E_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As $\delta^{-1} (D^{E_1, E_2} + \delta) O_\phi$ has zero $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)[[\hbar]]$ -component, the $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)[[\hbar]]$ -component of O_ϕ is thus ϕ ; as $\delta^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\hbar} [\tilde{I}, O_\phi]_\star + I^{E_2} \star O_\phi - O_\phi \star I^{E_1} \right)$ has zero $T_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$ -component, the $T_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$ -component of O_ϕ is $\delta^{-1} \nabla^{\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)} \phi$. Similarly, the $\text{Hom}(E_2, E_3)[[\hbar]]$ - and $T_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee \otimes \text{Hom}(E_2, E_3)$ -components of O_ψ are ψ and $\delta^{-1} \nabla^{\text{Hom}(E_2, E_3)} \psi$ respectively. Then we can see from (3.1) that

$$\psi \star \phi = \psi \phi + \hbar \omega^{\bar{\nu}\mu} (\nabla_{\partial_{\bar{z}^\nu}}^{\text{Hom}(E_2, E_3)} \psi) (\nabla_{\partial_{z^\mu}}^{\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)} \phi) \pmod{\hbar^2}.$$

Therefore, the condition of classical limit is satisfied.

Next, consider $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$. Since $X_f = \omega^{\bar{\nu}\mu} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}^\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\mu} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\nu} \right)$,

$$(f \text{Id}_{E_2}) \star \phi - \phi \star (f \text{Id}_{E_1}) = \hbar \nabla_{X_f}^{\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)} \phi = \{f, \phi\} \pmod{\hbar^2}.$$

Hence, the condition of semi-classical limit is satisfied.

We then consider $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_1, E_2)(U)[[\hbar]]$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_2, E_3)(U)[[\hbar]]$. To compute

$$\psi \star \phi = \pi_0(O_\psi \star O_\phi)$$

we only need the $\widehat{\text{Sym}} \bar{T}^\vee \otimes \text{Hom}(E_2, E_3)[[\hbar]]$ -component of O_ψ and the $\widehat{\text{Sym}} T^\vee \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)[[\hbar]]$ -component of O_ϕ . If ψ is holomorphic, then O_ψ is a section of $\mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_2, E_3)$ by Example 3.7 and hence the $\widehat{\text{Sym}} \bar{T}^\vee \otimes \text{Hom}(E_2, E_3)[[\hbar]]$ -component of O_ψ is ψ ; if ϕ is anti-holomorphic, then the $\widehat{\text{Sym}} T^\vee \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)[[\hbar]]$ -component of O_ϕ is $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{\nabla}^{1,0})^r \phi = \phi$ by Remark 3.4. In any one of the above cases, we see from (3.1) again that $\psi \star \phi = \psi \phi$. Thus, the condition of separation of variables is satisfied.

Finally, we can also easily deduce from (3.1) that DQ has the degree preserving property. \square

3.5. Non-formal quantizable morphisms of Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles.

Let E_1, E_2 be Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M and k be a non-zero complex number. We can evaluate D^{E_1, E_2} at $\hbar = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{k}$ without convergence issues and obtain a non-formal flat connection

$$(3.11) \quad D_k^{E_1, E_2} = \nabla + \nabla^{\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)} + \frac{k}{\sqrt{-1}} [\gamma, \]_{\star_k} + I^{E_2} \star_k - \star_k I^{E_1}$$

on $\underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\text{cl}} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$. Here, recall that $\underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\text{cl}} = \widehat{\text{Sym}} T^\vee \otimes \text{Sym} \bar{T}^\vee$, and we add a subscript k in a symbol to denote its evaluation at $\hbar = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{k}$.

We then have the following generalization of Definition 2.20 in [4].

Definition 3.10. Let E_1, E_2 be Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M and $k \in \mathbb{C}$ be non-zero. A *level- k quantizable morphism from E_1 to E_2* is a $D_k^{E_1, E_2}$ -flat section of $\underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\text{cl}} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$.

Remark 3.11. In general, a level- k quantizable morphism O from E_1 to E_2 is not determined by $\pi_0(O)$ - there can be two distinct level- k quantizable morphisms O, O' from E_1 to E_2 such that $\pi_0(O) = \pi_0(O') \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))$ (see Example 2.25 in [4]).

Now we can construct the following category $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}$, enriched over $\mathrm{Sh}(M)$, as follows:

- objects in $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}$ are Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M ;
- for any two objects E_1, E_2 in $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}}(E_1, E_2)$ is the sheaf of level- k quantizable morphisms from E_1 to E_2 ;
- the composition in $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}$ is given by \star_k .

Regarding $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu}}$ as a category enriched over $\mathrm{Sh}(M)$, there is naturally an enriched functor

$$\mathrm{ev}_k : \mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu}} \rightarrow \mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}$$

given as follows:

- for any object E in $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu}}$, $\mathrm{ev}_k(E) = E$;
- for any objects E_1, E_2 in $\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu}}$,

$$(3.12) \quad \mathrm{ev}_k : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu}}}(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}}(E_1, E_2)$$

is given by $\phi \rightarrow O_\phi$ and then taking evaluations at $\hbar = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{k}$.

By Theorem 1.1 again, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}}(E_1, E_2)$ is a filtered left \mathcal{O}_M -module - a holomorphic function f on M acts on level- k quantizable morphisms from E_1 to E_2 via $O_{f \mathrm{Id}_{E_2}} \star_k$ and the left \mathcal{O}_M -module $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}}(E_1, E_2)$ inherits the weight filtration

$$F_0 \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}}(E_1, E_2) \hookrightarrow F_1 \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}}(E_1, E_2) \hookrightarrow F_2 \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}}(E_1, E_2) \hookrightarrow \cdots$$

The map (3.12) is indeed a morphism of filtered left \mathcal{O}_M -modules, and it restricts to an isomorphism of left \mathcal{O}_M -modules:

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_M}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) \cong F_0 \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}}(E_1, E_2).$$

Example 3.12. *Suppose E is the trivial Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over M . Then level- k quantizable morphisms from E to itself are exactly level- k quantizable functions on M , which are again defined in [4]. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{M,\mathrm{qu},k}^\infty$ the sheaf of level- k quantizable functions on M .*

4. ACTIONS OF THE QUANTUM CATEGORY OF HERMITIAN HOLOMORPHIC VECTOR BUNDLES

Assume (M, ω) is a prequantizable Kähler manifold and pick a prequantum line bundle L . Instead of a non-zero complex number, we suppose $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is a positive integer. In [4], Chan-Leung-Li proved that the sheaf $\mathcal{C}_{M,\mathrm{qu},k}^\infty$ of level- k quantizable functions on M is isomorphic to the sheaf $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$ of holomorphic differential operators from $L^{\otimes k}$ to itself (see also [18]).

In this section, we will prove a categorical generalization (Theorem 1.2) of the above result. In subsection 4.1, we will define the category GQ appeared in Theorem 1.2. Then in Subsection 4.2, we will construct the fibrewise Bargmann-Fock action, which is a key ingredient in the proof of this theorem. Eventually, Theorem 1.2 will be proved in Subsection 4.3.

4.1. Categorification of geometric quantization.

Suppose M is compact. In geometric quantization of (M, ω) coupled with a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle E over M , one concerns the quantum Hilbert space $H^0(M, E \otimes L^{\otimes k})$; in Berezin-Toeplitz quantization of (M, ω) for Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles [1], one concerns linear operators

$$(4.1) \quad H^0(M, E_1 \otimes L^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow H^0(M, E_2 \otimes L^{\otimes k})$$

between quantum Hilbert spaces instead. For instance, consider the trivial Hermitian holomorphic line bundle $E = M \times \mathbb{C}$. Every smooth function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ is assigned to its *Toeplitz operator*

$$T_{f,k} : H^0(M, L^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow H^0(M, L^{\otimes k}), \quad s \mapsto \Pi(fs),$$

where $\Pi : L^2(M, L^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow H^0(M, L^{\otimes k})$ is the orthogonal projection. We see that the construction of $T_{f,k}$ relies on the inner product on $H^0(M, L^{\otimes k})$ and hence requires compactness of M .

In this paper, we are only interested in operators (4.1) which are holomorphic differential operators. We will take Chan-Leung-Li's sheaf-theoretic approach [4] to send quantizable morphisms to holomorphic differential operators via Bargmann-Fock actions. Under this approach, there is no need to assume that M is compact.

To set up our framework, we need to introduce the following category.

Definition 4.1. We define the category \mathbf{GQ} , enriched over $\mathbf{Sh}(M)$, as follows:

- objects in \mathbf{GQ} are holomorphic vector bundles over M ;
- for any objects E_1, E_2 in \mathbf{GQ} ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{GQ}}(E_1, E_2) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2);$$

- for any objects E_1, E_2, E_3 in \mathbf{GQ} ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{GQ}}(E_2, E_3) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{GQ}}(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{GQ}}(E_1, E_3)$$

is the usual composition of holomorphic differential operators.

Remark 4.2. Unlike \mathbf{DQ} , we do not require objects in \mathbf{GQ} to be equipped with Hermitian metrics. We will see that Hermitian metrics are encoded in the category $\mathbf{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k}$ and a functor $\mathbf{DQ}_{\mathrm{qu},k} \rightarrow \mathbf{GQ}$.

Note that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{GQ}}(E_1, E_2) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ is a filtered \mathcal{O}_M -module equipped with the natural filtration induced by the orders of holomorphic differential operators. The composition in \mathbf{GQ} preserves the filtrations on morphism sheaves, but not the \mathcal{O}_M -module structures.

Denote by $\mathbf{GQ}^{\mathrm{op}}$ the opposite enriched category of \mathbf{GQ} . There is an enriched functor

$$\tau : \mathbf{GQ} \rightarrow \mathbf{GQ}^{\mathrm{op}}$$

defined as follows:

- for any object E in \mathbf{GQ} , $\tau(E) = \mathrm{Hom}(E, K)$, where K is the canonical bundle of M ;
- for any objects E_1, E_2 in \mathbf{GQ} ,

$$\tau : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{GQ}}(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{GQ}}(\mathrm{Hom}(E_2, K), \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, K))$$

is given by sending a holomorphic differential operator to its *holomorphic transposed operator* (see Appendix B).

Moreover, τ yields an equivalence of the enriched categories \mathbf{GQ} and $\mathbf{GQ}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

4.2. The fibrewise Bargmann-Fock action and Kapranov's connection.

We first define the fibrewise Bargmann-Fock action \otimes_k (in the anti-Wick ordering). For any Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle E over M , let $\mathcal{T}_k(E) = E \otimes L^{\otimes k}$.

Definition 4.3. Let E_1, E_2 be Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Define a $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ -bilinear map

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2)) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))$$

as follows. We write the above map as $(a, s) \mapsto a \otimes_k s$. For $a = w^{\mu_1} \dots w^{\mu_p} \bar{w}^{\nu_1} \dots \bar{w}^{\nu_q} \otimes A$ with $A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2))$ and $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_1))$,

$$(4.3) \quad a \otimes_k s = A \left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{k} \right)^q \omega^{\bar{\nu}_1 \lambda_1} \dots \omega^{\bar{\nu}_q \lambda_q} w^{\mu_1} \dots w^{\mu_p} \frac{\partial^q}{\partial w^{\lambda_1} \dots \partial w^{\lambda_q}} (s) \right).$$

In (4.3), A is regarded as a section of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))$.

Note that if we have three arbitrary Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles E_1, E_2, E_3 over M , $a \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(E_2, E_3))$, $b \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2))$ and $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_1))$, then

$$(4.4) \quad a \otimes_k (b \otimes_k s) = (a \star_k b) \otimes_k s.$$

When $E_1 = E_2 = E_3$ is the trivial Hermitian holomorphic line bundle E over M , Chan-Leung-Li [4] showed that the operation \otimes_k descends to a morphism of sheaves on M :

$$\mathcal{C}_{M, \mathrm{qu}, k}^\infty \times \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}.$$

They proved it by constructing a flat connection on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes L^{\otimes k}$ which is compatible with the flat connection $D_k^{E, E}$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathrm{cl}})$ and the operation \otimes_k . This connection is given by

$$(4.5) \quad \nabla + \nabla^{L^{\otimes k}} + \frac{k}{\sqrt{-1}} \gamma^{\otimes k}$$

(see also [18] for a similar construction when M is spin and $E_1 = E_2 = E_3$ is a square root of the canonical bundle of M). In the rest of this subsection, we will clarify that Chan-Leung-Li's flat connection is indeed the Kapranov's connection $D_{\text{Kap}}^{L^{\otimes k}}$ given as in (A.3).

Proposition 4.4. *Let E be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over M . Then*

$$D_{\text{Kap}}^{E \otimes L^{\otimes k}} = \nabla + \nabla^{E \otimes L^{\otimes k}} + \frac{k}{\sqrt{-1}} \gamma \otimes_k + I^E, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes E \otimes L^{\otimes k}.$$

Lemma 4.5. *On $\mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes E \otimes L^{\otimes k}$, $\frac{k}{\sqrt{-1}}((\delta^{0,1})^{-1}\omega) \otimes_k = -\delta^{1,0}$.*

Proof. Note that $(\delta^{0,1})^{-1}\omega = -\omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\bar{w}^\beta dz^\alpha$. Then

$$\frac{k}{\sqrt{-1}}((\delta^{0,1})^{-1}\omega) \otimes_k = -\omega^{\bar{\beta}\lambda} \omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dz^\alpha \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\lambda} = -dz^\alpha \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\alpha} = -\delta^{1,0}.$$

□

Lemma 4.6. $I^L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}(\delta^{1,0})^{-1}\omega$.

Proof. As the curvature of L is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\omega$, $I_{(1)}^L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}(\delta^{1,0})^{-1}\omega$. Locally, $(\delta^{1,0})^{-1}\omega = \omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} w^\alpha d\bar{z}^\beta$. Then

$$\nabla^{1,0}(\delta^{1,0})^{-1}\omega = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}}{\partial z^\gamma} - \omega_{\lambda\bar{\beta}} \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha}^\lambda \right) w^\alpha w^\gamma d\bar{z}^\beta = 0$$

by a standard identity for Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ of M . Thus, $I_{(r)}^L = 0$ for all $r > 1$. □

Lemma 4.7. *On $\mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes E \otimes L^{\otimes k}$, $\frac{k}{\sqrt{-1}}\tilde{I} \otimes_k = I$.*

Proof. Fix $r \geq 2$. Write $\tilde{I}_{(r)}$ locally as $\tilde{I}_{(r)} = \omega_{\eta\bar{\mu}} F_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r, \bar{\beta}}^\eta d\bar{z}^\beta \otimes w^{\mu_1} \dots w^{\mu_r} \bar{w}^\nu$. Then for all $s \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0})$, we have

$$\frac{k}{\sqrt{-1}}\tilde{I}_{(r)} \otimes_k s = \omega^{\bar{\mu}\lambda} \omega_{\eta\bar{\mu}} F_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r, \bar{\beta}}^\eta d\bar{z}^\beta \otimes w^{\mu_1} \dots w^{\mu_r} \frac{\partial s}{\partial w^\lambda} = I_{(r)} s.$$

□

Proof of Proposition 4.4. Recall from (A.3) that

$$D_{\text{Kap}}^{E \otimes L^{\otimes k}} = \nabla + \nabla^{E \otimes L^{\otimes k}} - \delta^{1,0} + I + I^{E \otimes L^{\otimes k}}.$$

It suffices to show that $\frac{k}{\sqrt{-1}}\gamma \otimes_k = -\delta^{1,0} + I + I^{L^{\otimes k}}$. By Lemmas 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7, we are done. □

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Let us recall the theorem.

Theorem 4.8 (= Theorem 1.2). *Let (M, ω) be a prequantizable Kähler manifold with a prequantum line bundle L and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then there exists an enriched functor*

$$\mathcal{T}_k : \text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k} \rightarrow \text{GQ}$$

defined as follows:

- for any object E in $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}$, $\mathcal{T}_k(E) = E \otimes L^{\otimes k}$;
- for any objects E_1, E_2 in $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}$,

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{T}_k : \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}}(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{GQ}}(\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))$$

is given by \otimes_k defined as in (4.10).

Moreover,

- (1) \mathcal{T}_k yields an equivalence of categories enriched over the monoidal category $\text{Sh}(M)$ of sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces on M ;
- (2) for any objects E_1, E_2 in $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}$, (4.6) is an isomorphism of filtered left \mathcal{O}_M -modules.

Before proving Theorem 1.2, we need some preparations. Observe that for any two Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles E_1, E_2 over M , the map (4.2) naturally induces an isomorphism of vector bundles (of infinite rank) over M :

$$(4.7) \quad \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\text{cl}} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_2)).$$

There is a canonical flat connection $D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2)}$ on $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))$ given by (A.4). Now we prove a key lemma.

Lemma 4.9. *Under the identification (4.7),*

- (1) $D_k^{E_1, E_2}$ coincides with $D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2)}$;
- (2) the operation \star_k in (3.6) evaluated at $\hbar = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{k}$ and restricted on $\underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\text{cl}} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_i, E_j)$'s coincides with the fibrewise composition of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_i), \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_j))$'s.

Proof. The second condition holds due to (4.4). Now we compare

$$D_k^{E_1, E_2} = \nabla + \nabla^{\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)} - \delta^{1,0} + \frac{k}{\sqrt{-1}} [(\delta^{1,0})^{-1} \omega + \tilde{I}, \quad]_{\star_k} + I^{E_2} \star_k - \star_k I^{E_1}$$

with the formula of $D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2)}$ given as in (A.4):

$$D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2)} = \nabla + \nabla^{\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))} - \delta^{1,0} + (I + I^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_2)}) \circ - \circ (I + I^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_1)}).$$

Since $\nabla \omega = 0$, (4.7) identifies the connection $\nabla + \nabla^{\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)}$ with $\nabla + \nabla^{\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))}$. It remains to show that $\frac{k}{\sqrt{-1}} [(\delta^{1,0})^{-1} \omega + \tilde{I}, \quad]_{\star_k}$ is identified with $(I + I^{L^{\otimes k}}) \circ - \circ (I + I^{L^{\otimes k}})$. Indeed, this has been already verified by Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 together with the property (4.4). \square

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose E_1, E_2 are Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M . Consider any $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\text{cl}} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2))$ and $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_1))$. Then*

$$(4.8) \quad D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_2)}(\phi \otimes_k s) = (D_k^{E_1, E_2} \phi) \otimes_k s + \phi \otimes_k (D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_1)} s).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.9, (4.8) is equivalent to

$$(4.9) \quad D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_2)} \langle \tilde{\phi}, s \rangle = \langle D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2)} \tilde{\phi}, s \rangle + \langle \tilde{\phi}, D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_1)} s \rangle,$$

where $\tilde{\phi}$ is the image of ϕ under the isomorphism (4.7) and $\langle \quad, \quad \rangle$ is the natural pairing

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_2)).$$

The equality (4.9) can be easily deduced from the definition of $D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_i)}$ (for $i = 1, 2$) given as in (A.3) and that of $D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2)}$ given as in (A.4). \square

Therefore, \otimes_k descends to an operation

$$(4.10) \quad \otimes_k : \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}}(E_1, E_2) \times \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Define $\mathcal{T}_k(E) = E \otimes L^{\otimes k}$ for any object E in $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}$. Now suppose E_1, E_2 are objects in $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}$. By Lemma 4.9, we see that \otimes_k induces a cochain isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Omega^*(M, \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\text{cl}} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)), D_k^{E_1, E_2}) \\ & \cong (\Omega^*(M, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))), D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $D(\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))$ be the bundle of holomorphic differential operators from $\mathcal{T}_k(E_1)$ to $\mathcal{T}_k(E_2)$. As explained in Appendix A, there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Omega^{0,*}(M, D(\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))), \bar{\partial}) \\ & \hookrightarrow (\Omega^*(M, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))), D_{\text{Kap}}^{\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.10, we obtain an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -linear sheaves

$$(4.11) \quad \mathcal{T}_k : \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}_{\text{qu}, k}}(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}, \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{GQ}}(\mathcal{T}_k(E_1), \mathcal{T}_k(E_2))$$

given as follows. For any open subset U of M , $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}_{\text{qu},k}}(E_1, E_2)(U)$ and local holomorphic section s of $\mathcal{T}_k(E_1)$ over U ,

$$(4.12) \quad \mathcal{T}_k(\phi)(s) = \phi \otimes_k s.$$

Here \otimes_k is given by (4.10).

For any object E in $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu},k}$, $\mathcal{T}_k(\text{Id}_E) = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{T}_k(E)}$ by (4.3). Since \star_k is identified with \circ via (4.7) by Lemma 4.9, it is the usual composition of holomorphic differential operators when restricted on $\text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}_{\text{qu},k}}(E_i, E_j)$'s. In other words, \mathcal{T}_k is compatible with compositions in $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu},k}$ and GQ . Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_k : \text{DQ}_{\text{qu},k} \rightarrow \text{GQ}$ is an enriched functor. It is obvious that \mathcal{T}_k is essentially surjective, hence yields an equivalence of categories enriched over $\text{Sh}(M)$.

Observe from (4.3) and Proposition A.3 that the isomorphism (4.11) preserves the filtrations. It remains to check that the isomorphism (4.11) preserves the left \mathcal{O}_M -module structures. Consider any holomorphic function f on M . By Example 3.7, $O_{f \text{Id}_{E_2}} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{\nabla}^{1,0})^r (f \text{Id}_{E_2})$ is a section of $\mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_2, E_2)$. We can then see from (4.3) that $\mathcal{T}_k(O_{f \text{Id}_{E_2}}) = f \text{Id}_{\mathcal{T}_k(E_2)}$. Therefore, for any $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{DQ}_{\text{qu},k}}(E_1, E_2)(M)$,

$$\mathcal{T}_k((O_{f \text{Id}_{E_2}}) \star_k \phi) = \mathcal{T}_k(O_{f \text{Id}_{E_2}}) \circ \mathcal{T}_k(\phi) = (f \text{Id}_{\mathcal{T}_k(E_2)}) \circ \mathcal{T}_k(\phi) = f \mathcal{T}_k(\phi).$$

□

Remark 4.11. Note that $\text{DQ}_{\text{qu},k}$ is also equivalent to the opposite category GQ^{op} of GQ via the composition of enriched functors

$$\mathcal{T}_k^{\text{t}} := \tau \circ \mathcal{T}_k : \text{DQ}_{\text{qu},k} \rightarrow \text{GQ}^{\text{op}}.$$

We call \mathcal{T}_k^{t} the *transposed functor* of \mathcal{T}_k .

APPENDIX A. KAPRANOV'S L_{∞} -STRUCTURES IN KÄHLER GEOMETRY

For a Kähler manifold M , define $I = \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} I_{(r)}$, where $I_{(r)} = (\tilde{\nabla}^{1,0})^{r-2} (\delta^{1,0})^{-1} \nabla^2$ for $r \geq 2$ from (3.2); if E is a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over M , define $I^E = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} I_{(r)}^E$, where $I_{(r)}^E := (\tilde{\nabla}^{1,0})^{r-1} (\delta^{1,0})^{-1} R^E$ for $r \geq 1$ from (3.3).

We quote Kapranov's theorems as follows (see also [19]).

Theorem A.1 (Theorems 2.6 and 2.7.6 in [8]). *Let M be a Kähler manifold.*

- *The following equality holds on $\Omega^{0,*}(M, \widehat{\text{Sym}}T^{\vee})$:*

$$(A.1) \quad (\bar{\partial} + I)^2 = 0.$$

- *Let E be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over M . Then on $\Omega^{0,*}(M, \widehat{\text{Sym}}T^{\vee} \otimes E)$,*

$$(A.2) \quad (\bar{\partial} + I + I^E)^2 = 0.$$

Indeed, $\bar{\partial} + I + I^E$ can be extended to a connection D_{Kap}^E in (A.3). In this paper, we call D_{Kap}^E the *Kapranov's connection* on $\widehat{\text{Sym}}T^{\vee} \otimes E$.

Proposition A.2 (a generalization of Proposition 2.8 in [3]). *The connection*

$$(A.3) \quad D_{\text{Kap}}^E := \nabla + \nabla^E - \delta^{1,0} + I + I^E$$

on $\widehat{\text{Sym}}T^{\vee} \otimes E$ is flat, i.e. $(D_{\text{Kap}}^E)^2 = 0$.

Proof. Let JE be the holomorphic jet bundle of E . Recall that there is a natural flat holomorphic connection ∇_{G}^E on JE , known as the *Grothendieck connection*, such that the map

$$(\Omega^{0,*}(M, E), \bar{\partial}) \hookrightarrow (\Omega^*(M, JE), \nabla_{\text{G}}^E + \bar{\partial})$$

given by taking (holomorphic) prolongations of sections is a quasi-isomorphism. The connections ∇ and ∇^E induce a smooth splitting $JE \cong \widehat{\text{Sym}}T^{\vee} \otimes E$. Indeed, D_{Kap}^E is constructed by this splitting so that we have a cochain isomorphism:

$$(\Omega^*(M, JE), \nabla_{\text{G}}^E + \bar{\partial}) \cong (\Omega^*(M, \widehat{\text{Sym}}T^{\vee} \otimes E), D_{\text{Kap}}^E).$$

□

From the above proof, we see that D_{Kap}^E -flat sections of $\widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee \otimes E$ are in bijection with holomorphic sections of E .

Now, suppose that E_1, E_2 are two Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M . Then the Kapranov's connections on E_1, E_2 naturally induce a flat connection $D_{\text{Kap}}^{E_1, E_2}$ on

$$\text{Hom}(\widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee \otimes E_1, \widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee \otimes E_2),$$

given as follows. For $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(\widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee \otimes E_1, \widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee \otimes E_2))$,

$$(A.4) \quad D_{\text{Kap}}^{E_1, E_2} \phi := (\nabla + \nabla^{\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)} - \delta^{1,0})(\phi) + (I + I^{E_2}) \circ \phi - \phi \circ (I + I^{E_1}),$$

where \circ is the fibrewise composition.

We can realize the construction of $D_{\text{Kap}}^{E_1, E_2}$ via jet bundles again. Let $D(E_1, E_2)$ be the bundle of holomorphic differential operators from E_1 to E_2 , i.e. $D(E_1, E_2) = \text{Hom}(JE_1, E_2)$. There is a quasi-isomorphism

$$(A.5) \quad (\Omega^{0,*}(M, D(E_1, E_2)), \bar{\partial}) \hookrightarrow (\Omega^*(M, \text{Hom}(JE_1, JE_2)), \nabla_G^{E_1, E_2} + \bar{\partial}),$$

where $\nabla_G^{E_1, E_2} := (\nabla_G^{E_1})^\vee + \nabla_G^{E_2}$, by taking (holomorphic) prolongations of differential operators. Under the splitting induced by $\nabla, \nabla^{E_1}, \nabla^{E_2}$, we obtain a cochain isomorphism:

$$(A.6) \quad (\Omega^*(M, \text{Hom}(JE_1, JE_2)), \nabla_G^{E_1, E_2} + \bar{\partial}) \rightarrow (\Omega^*(M, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes E_1, \mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} \otimes E_2)), D_{\text{Kap}}^{E_1, E_2}).$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{\text{cl}}^{1,0} = \widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee$. Thus, $D_{\text{Kap}}^{E_1, E_2}$ -flat sections of $\text{Hom}(\widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee \otimes E_1, \widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee \otimes E_2)$ are in bijection with holomorphic differential operators from E_1 to E_2 . Indeed, the following proposition holds.

Proposition A.3. *Suppose E_1, E_2 are Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over M and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let ϕ be a holomorphic differential operator from E_1 to E_2 and $\tilde{\phi}$ be the $D_{\text{Kap}}^{E_1, E_2}$ -flat section of $\text{Hom}(\widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee \otimes E_1, \widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee \otimes E_2)$ defined as the image of ϕ under the composition of (A.5) and (A.6). Then ϕ is of order r if and only if $\tilde{\phi}$ is a section of $\text{Hom}(\text{Sym}^{\leq r} T^\vee \otimes E_1, \widehat{\text{Sym}}T^\vee \otimes E_2)$.*

APPENDIX B. HOLOMORPHIC TRANSPOSES OF HOLOMORPHIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

Consider two holomorphic vector bundles E_1, E_2 over an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Recall that any smooth differential operator from E_1 to E_2 admits its *transposed operator*, which is a smooth differential operator from $\text{Hom}(E_2, \bigwedge^{2n} T_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee)$ to $\text{Hom}(E_1, \bigwedge^{2n} T_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee)$, where $T_{\mathbb{C}} = TM_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Now, suppose P is a holomorphic differential operator from E_1 to E_2 . Analogously, we can define a holomorphic differential operator P^t from $\text{Hom}(E_2, K)$ to $\text{Hom}(E_1, K)$, where K is the canonical bundle of M . Its construction is as follows. Locally, with E_1, E_2 being holomorphically trivialized, P can be written as

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} A^{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r} \circ \frac{\partial^r}{\partial z^{\mu_1} \dots \partial z^{\mu_r}},$$

where (z^1, \dots, z^n) are local complex coordinates and A^{μ_1, \dots, μ_r} are matrices of local holomorphic functions, such that there are only finitely many non-zero A^{μ_1, \dots, μ_r} 's. Then P^t is defined by

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \frac{\partial^r}{\partial z^{\mu_1} \dots \partial z^{\mu_r}} \circ (A^{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r})^t.$$

We will state a holomorphic version of Proposition 2.4.4 in [17], verifying that ‘the *holomorphic transposed operator* of P ’ is a suitable naming of the operator P^t .

Proposition B.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional complex manifold, E_1, E_2 be holomorphic vector bundles over M and P be a holomorphic differential operator from E_1 to E_2 . Then there exists a smooth bi-differential operator*

$$G : \Omega^{0,*}(M, E_1) \times \Omega^{0,*}(M, \text{Hom}(E_2, K)) \rightarrow \Omega^{n-1,*}(M)$$

such that for all open subset U of M , $s_1 \in \Omega^{0,*}(U, E_1)$ and $s_2 \in \Omega^{0,*}(U, \text{Hom}(E_2, K))$,

$$\langle P s_1, s_2 \rangle_{E_2} - \langle s_1, P^t s_2 \rangle_{E_1} = \partial(G(s_1, s_2)),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{E_i} : \Omega^{0,*}(U, E_i) \times \Omega^{0,*}(U, \text{Hom}(E_i, K)) \rightarrow \Omega^{n,*}(U)$ is the natural pairing for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. As suggested by the proof of Theorem 2.4.1 in [17], we only need to prove our proposition locally, as we can obtain the global statement using a smooth partition of unity. Hence, without loss of generality, we assume (z^1, \dots, z^n) are global complex coordinates, E_1, E_2 are holomorphically trivial and P is given by $A \circ \partial_{z^{\mu_1}} \cdots \partial_{z^{\mu_r}}$ for some holomorphic section A of $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$. A direct computation shows that

$$\langle P s_1, s_2 \rangle_{E_2} - \langle s_1, P^t s_2 \rangle_{E_1} = \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{i-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu_i}} \left\langle \frac{\partial^{r-i}}{\partial z^{\mu_{i+1}} \cdots \partial z^{\mu_r}} s_1, \frac{\partial^{i-1}}{\partial z^{\mu_{i-1}} \cdots \partial z^{\mu_1}} (A^t s_2) \right\rangle_{E_1}.$$

Thus, the following formula defines a desired bi-differential operator with values in $(n-1, *)$ -forms:

$$G(s_1, s_2) := \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{i-1} \iota_{\partial_{z^{\mu_i}}} \left\langle \frac{\partial^{r-i}}{\partial z^{\mu_{i+1}} \cdots \partial z^{\mu_r}} s_1, \frac{\partial^{i-1}}{\partial z^{\mu_{i-1}} \cdots \partial z^{\mu_1}} (A^t s_2) \right\rangle_{E_1}.$$

□

Acknowledgement.

The author of this paper thanks Naichung Conan Leung for fruitful discussions during the visit in the Institute of Mathematical Sciences at the Chinese University of Hong Kong in June 2024.

REFERENCES

1. H. Adachi, G. Ishiki, and S. Kanno, *Vector bundles on fuzzy Kähler manifolds*, PTEP. Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 023B01, 38.
2. M. Bordemann and S. Waldmann, *A Fedosov star product of the Wick type for Kähler manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. **41** (1997), no. 3, 243–253.
3. K. Chan, N.C. Leung, and Q. Li, *Kapranov's L_∞ structures, Fedosov's star products, and one-loop exact BV quantizations on Kähler manifolds*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **16** (2022), no. 2, 299–351.
4. ———, *Quantizable functions on Kähler manifolds and non-formal quantization*, Adv. Math. **433** (2023), Paper No. 109293.
5. L. Charles, *Symbolic calculus for Toeplitz operators with half-form*, J. Symplectic Geom. **4** (2006), no. 2, 171–198.
6. S. Gukov and E. Witten, *Branes and quantization*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **13** (2009), no. 5, 1445–1518.
7. M. Herbst, *On higher rank coisotropic A-branes*, J. Geom. Phys. **62** (2012), no. 2, 156–169.
8. M. Kapranov, *Rozansky-Witten invariants via Atiyah classes*, Compositio Math. **115** (1999), no. 1, 71–113.
9. A. Kapustin and D. Orlov, *Remarks on A-branes, mirror symmetry, and the Fukaya category*, J. Geom. Phys. **48** (2003), no. 1, 84–99.
10. A. Karabegov, *Deformation quantizations with separation of variables on a Kähler manifold*, Comm. Math. Phys. **180** (1996), no. 3, 745–755.
11. ———, *Deformation quantization with separation of variables of an endomorphism bundle*, J. Geom. Phys. **75** (2014), 55–70.
12. M. Kontsevich, *Homological algebra of mirror symmetry*, Proceedings of the international congress of mathematicians, Springer, 1995, pp. 120–139.
13. X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Berezin-Toeplitz quantization on Kähler manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **662** (2012), 1–56.
14. N. Neumaier, *Universality of Fedosov's construction for star products of Wick type on pseudo-Kähler manifolds*, Rep. Math. Phys. **52** (2003), no. 1, 43–80.
15. N. Neumaier and S. Waldmann, *Morita equivalence bimodules for Wick type star products*, J. Geom. Phys. **47** (2003), no. 2-3, 177–196.
16. M. Schlichenmaier, *Deformation quantization of compact Kähler manifolds by Berezin-Toeplitz quantization*, Conférence Moshé Flato 1999, Vol. II (Dijon), Math. Phys. Stud., vol. 22, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000, pp. 289–306.
17. N. N. Tarkhanov, *Complexes of differential operators*, Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 340, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1995, Translated from the 1990 Russian original by P. M. Gauthier and revised by the author.
18. Y. Yau, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds via brane quantization*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.14574 (2024).
19. S. Yu, *The Dolbeault dga of the formal neighborhood of the diagonal*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **9** (2015), no. 1, 161–184.