

# IS THERE A GROUP STRUCTURE ON THE GALOIS COHOMOLOGY OF A REDUCTIVE GROUP OVER A GLOBAL FIELD?

MIKHAIL BOROVOI

ABSTRACT. Let  $K$  be a global field, that is, a number field or a global function field. It is known that the answer to the question in the title over  $K$  is “Yes” when  $K$  has no real embeddings. We show that otherwise the answer is “No”. Namely, we show that when  $K$  is a number field admitting a real embedding, it is impossible to define a group structure on the first Galois cohomology sets  $H^1(K, G)$  for all reductive  $K$ -groups  $G$  in a functorial way.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group defined over a field  $K$ . Here and throughout this note we shall follow the convention of SGA3 where reductive groups are assumed to be connected. We are interested in the first Galois cohomology set  $H^1(K, G)$ ; see Serre [Ser97, Sections I.5 and III.1] or Section 2 below for the definition.

When  $G$  is abelian (a  $K$ -torus), the product of two 1-cocycles is a 1-cocycle, and so  $H^1(K, G)$  has a natural structure of an abelian group. We ask whether  $H^1(K, G)$  can be endowed with a functorial group structure for all reductive  $K$  groups, not necessarily abelian.

We denote by  $\mathcal{G}rp$  the category of groups, and by  $\mathcal{P}Set$  the category of pointed sets. For a field  $K$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{T}or_K$  the category of  $K$ -tori, and by  $\mathcal{R}ed_K$  the category of reductive  $K$ -groups.

Consider the functor

$$\mathcal{R}ed_K \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{P}Set, \quad G \mapsto H^1(K, G) \tag{1}$$

and its restriction to the category of  $K$ -tori

$$\mathcal{T}or_K \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{P}Set, \quad T \mapsto H^1(K, T). \tag{2}$$

Since a  $K$ -torus is an abelian  $K$ -group, we have a functor to the category of groups

$$\mathcal{T}or_K \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{G}rp, \quad T \mapsto H^1(K, T) \tag{3}$$

from which the functor (2) can be obtained by applying the forgetful functor. We address the following question:

**Question 1.1.** *For a given field  $K$ , is it possible to extend the functor (3) to a functor*

$$\mathcal{R}ed_K \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{G}rp$$

*from which (1) can be obtained by applying the forgetful functor?*

In other words, is it possible to construct a group structure on the pointed set  $H^1(K, G)$  for any reductive  $K$ -group  $G$ , with the following properties?

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11E72, 20G10, 20G20, 20G30.

*Key words and phrases.* Galois cohomology, reductive groups, number fields, local fields, global fields.

Mikhail Borovoi was partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation (grant 1030/22).

- the unit element of the resulting group is the neutral element of  $H^1(K, G)$ ;
- for any homomorphism of reductive  $K$ -groups  $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ , the induced map  $\varphi_*: H^1(K, G) \rightarrow H^1(K, G')$  is a group homomorphism;
- for any  $K$ -torus  $T$ , the new group structure coincides with the standard group structure on  $H^1(K, T)$ .

If Question 1.1 has a positive answer for some field  $K$ , then for any homomorphism  $\psi: T \rightarrow G$  from a  $K$ -torus  $T$  to a reductive  $K$ -group  $G$ , the induced map  $H^1(K, T) \rightarrow H^1(K, G)$  is a group homomorphism with respect to the standard group structure in  $H^1(K, T)$  and the new group structure in  $H^1(K, G)$ .

The answer to Question 1.1 is trivially “Yes” when  $K$  is a finite field, because then by Lang’s theorem [Lan56] we have  $H^1(K, G) = 1$  for all connected  $K$ -groups  $G$ . However, one would expect the answer “No” in most other cases, because reductive groups in general are non-abelian, and therefore the product of two cocycles may not be a cocycle. Surprisingly, the answer to Question 1.1 is “Yes” for any non-archimedean local field  $K$ ; see [Bor98, Corollary 5.4.1] in characteristic 0, and [BK23, Theorem 6.5.2(1)] in arbitrary characteristic. Moreover, the answer is also “Yes” for any global field  $K$  without real places (that is, a totally imaginary number field or a global function field); see [Bor98, Theorem 5.11] in characteristic 0, and [BK23, Theorem 6.5.1] in arbitrary characteristic. See also Labesse [Lab99, Section 1.6], where a generalization to *quasi-connected* reductive groups is considered, as well as Kottwitz [Kot84, Proposition 6.4] and González-Avilés [GA12, Theorem 5.8], where the corresponding abelian group structures are constructed in (different) non-functorial ways.

In this note we prove that when  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $K$  is a number field admitting a real embedding, the answer to Question 1.1 is “No”. Our main result is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.2.** *In the cases (a) when  $K = \mathbb{R}$  and (b) when  $K$  is a number field admitting a real embedding, it is impossible to define a functorial in  $G$  group structure on the pointed sets  $H^1(K, G)$  for all reductive  $K$ -groups  $G$  in such a way that when  $G = T$  is a  $K$ -torus, the new group structure on  $H^1(K, T)$  coincides with the standard one.*

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definition of the first Galois cohomology set. In Section 3 we consider Galois cohomology over  $\mathbb{R}$  and prove Theorem 1.2(a). In Section 4 we consider Galois cohomology over a number field and prove Theorem 1.2(b).

## 2. GENERALITIES ON GALOIS COHOMOLOGY

**2.1.** Let  $K$  be a field. We denote by  $\overline{K}$  an algebraic closure of  $K$ , and by  $K^s$  the separable closure of  $K$  in  $\overline{K}$ . By  $\Gamma_K = \Gamma(K^s/K)$  we denote the absolute Galois group of  $K$ .

In this paper, by an algebraic group we shall always mean a *linear* algebraic group, and by  $K$ -group we shall mean a linear algebraic group over  $K$ . Let  $G$  be a  $K$ -group, not necessarily commutative. We work with the first Galois cohomology set

$$H^1(K, G) = Z^1(K, G) / \sim$$

see Serre [Ser97]. Recall that  $Z^1(K, G)$  is the set of 1-*cocycles*, that is, of the locally constant maps

$$c: \Gamma(K^s/K) \rightarrow G(K^s)$$

satisfying the cocycle condition

$$c(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = c(\gamma_1) \cdot {}^{\gamma_1}c(\gamma_2) \quad \text{for all } \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(K^s/K).$$

Two cocycles  $c, c'$  are *equivalent* (or cohomologous) if there exists  $g \in G(K^s)$  such that

$$c'(\gamma) = g^{-1}c(\gamma)\gamma g \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma(K^s/K).$$

**2.2.** We describe the functoriality of  $H^1(K, G)$  in  $G$ . Let  $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism of  $K$ -groups. The induced map

$$\varphi_*: H^1(K, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K, H)$$

sends the class of a cocycle  $c \in Z^1(K, G)$  to the class of  $\varphi \circ c \in Z^1(K, H)$ .

### 3. GALOIS COHOMOLOGY OVER $\mathbb{R}$

For a (connected) reductive  $\mathbb{R}$ -group  $G$ , by abuse of terminology we say that  $G$  is *compact* if the Lie group  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is compact. It is well-known that  $G$  is compact if and only if it is anisotropic.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a semisimple  $\mathbb{R}$ -group and  $i: T \hookrightarrow G$  be a maximal compact torus. Assume that  $H^1(\mathbb{R}, G) \neq 1$  and that  $\#H^1(\mathbb{R}, G)$  is odd. Then  $H^1(\mathbb{R}, G)$  admits no group structure compatible with the structure of pointed set and such that*

$$i_*: H^1(\mathbb{R}, T) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}, G)$$

*is a homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, G)$ ,  $\xi \neq 1$ . The map

$$i_*: H^1(\mathbb{R}, T) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}, G)$$

is surjective by [Bor22, Lemma 2.1(b) and Theorem 3.1]. Therefore,  $\xi = i_*(\xi_T)$  for some  $\xi_T \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, T)$ ,  $\xi_T \neq 1$ . Since  $T$  splits over the quadratic extension  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$ , the element  $\xi_T$  is of order 2. On the other hand, for any group structure on  $H^1(\mathbb{R}, G)$ , since  $\xi \neq 1$ , the order of  $\xi$  is not 1, and since  $\#H^1(\mathbb{R}, G)$  is odd, the order of  $\xi$  is not 2. Thus the image of the element  $\xi_T$  of order 2 is not of order 1 or 2, and therefore  $i_*$  is not a group homomorphism.  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** Consider the compact simply connected  $\mathbb{R}$ -group  $G = \text{SU}_4$ , that is, the special unitary group of the Hermitian form

$$\mathcal{F}(x, y) = x_1 \bar{\tau}y_1 + x_2 \bar{\tau}y_2 + x_3 \bar{\tau}y_3 + x_4 \bar{\tau}y_4 \tag{4}$$

where  $\tau: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denotes the complex conjugation. The pointed set  $H^1(\mathbb{R}, \text{SU}_4)$  classifies Hermitian matrices  $4 \times 4$  with determinant 1. There are 3 equivalence classes of such matrices, with representatives

$$\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1), \quad \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1, 1), \quad \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, -1).$$

Thus  $\#H^1(\mathbb{R}, G) = 3$ . Let  $i: T \hookrightarrow G$  be a compact maximal torus (say, the diagonal torus). By Theorem 3.1, the pointed set  $H^1(\mathbb{R}, G)$  has no groups structure such that  $i_*$  is a homomorphism.

Theorem 3.1 together with Example 3.2 prove Theorem 1.2(a).

## 4. GALOIS COHOMOLOGY OVER A NUMBER FIELD

Let  $K$  be a number field and let  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$  denote the set of real places of  $K$ . We identify a real place  $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$  with the corresponding embedding  $\phi_v: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $G$  is a  $K$ -group, we denote by  $G_v$  the corresponding  $\mathbb{R}$ -group.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $K$  be a number field, and suppose that  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$  is not empty. Let  $G$  be a **simply connected** semisimple  $K$ -group such that the cardinality  $\#\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}, G_v)$  is odd for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ , and that for some  $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ , we have  $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}, G_{v_0}) \neq 1$ . Let  $i: T \hookrightarrow G$  be a torus that splits over a quadratic extension  $L/K$  and such that  $i_{v_0}: T_{v_0} \hookrightarrow G_{v_0}$  is a maximal compact torus of  $G_{v_0}$ . Then there is no group structure on  $\mathrm{H}^1(K, G)$  such that*

$$i_*: \mathrm{H}^1(K, T) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(K, G)$$

*is a homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^1(K, T) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathrm{H}^1(K, G) \\ \mathrm{loc}_{v_0} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{loc}_{v_0} \\ \mathrm{H}^1(K_{v_0}, T) & \xrightarrow{i_{v_0,*}} & \mathrm{H}^1(K_{v_0}, G) \end{array}$$

where  $K_{v_0} \cong \mathbb{R}$ . In this diagram, the vertical arrows are surjective by [PR94, Proposition 6.17 on p. 337]. The bottom horizontal arrow is surjective; see the reference in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Thus the composite map  $\mathrm{H}^1(K, T) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(K_{v_0}, G)$  in the diagram is surjective.

By assumption there exists a non-trivial element  $\xi_{v_0} \in \mathrm{H}^1(K_{v_0}, G)$ . Then  $\xi_{v_0} = \mathrm{loc}_{v_0}(\xi)$  for some  $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^1(K, G)$  such that  $\xi = i_*(\xi_T)$  for some  $\xi_T \in \mathrm{H}^1(K, T)$ . Since  $\xi_{v_0} \neq 1$ , we see that  $\xi \neq 1$  and  $\xi_T \neq 1$ .

Since the  $K$ -torus  $T$  splits over the extension  $L/K$ , by [San81, Lemma (1.9.2)] we have  $\mathrm{H}^1(K, T) = \mathrm{H}^1(L/K, G)$ . Since  $L/K$  is a quadratic extension, by [CF10, Section IV.6, Corollary 1 of Proposition 8] we have  $\xi_T^2 = 1$ . Since  $\xi_T \neq 1$ , we see that the order of  $\xi_T$  is 2. On the other hand, since  $G$  is simply connected, by the Hasse principle for  $G$  (Kneser-Harder-Chernousov, see [PR94, Theorem 6.6 on p. 286]), there is a bijection

$$\mathrm{H}^1(K, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)} \mathrm{H}^1(K_v, G),$$

whence  $\mathrm{H}^1(K, G)$  is a finite set of cardinality

$$\#\mathrm{H}^1(K, G) = \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)} \#\mathrm{H}^1(K_v, G).$$

Since by assumption  $\#\mathrm{H}^1(K_v, G)$  is odd for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ , we see that  $\#\mathrm{H}^1(K, G)$  is odd. For any group structure on  $\mathrm{H}^1(K, G)$ , the element  $\xi$  is not of order 1 because  $\xi \neq 1$ , and not of order 2 because  $\#\mathrm{H}^1(K, G)$  is odd. Thus  $\xi_T \in \mathrm{H}^1(K, G)$  is of order 2, but  $\xi = i_*(\xi_T) \in \mathrm{H}^1(K, G)$  is not of order 1 or 2. We conclude that  $i_*$  is not a group homomorphism.  $\square$

**Example 4.2.** Let  $K$  be a number field such that  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$  is not empty. Let  $a \in K^\times$  be an element such that  $\phi_v(a) \in \mathbb{R}$  is positive for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ , for example,  $a = 1$ . Set  $L = K(\sqrt{-a})$ . Let  $G = \mathrm{SU}_{4,L/K}$ , the special unitary group of the Hermitian form (4) on the 4-dimensional vector space  $L^4$  where  $\tau: L \rightarrow L$  is the nontrivial element of

$\Gamma(L/K)$ . Then for each  $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ , the  $\mathbb{R}$ -group  $G_v$  is a compact  $\mathbb{R}$ -group isomorphic to  $SU_4$ , and therefore  $\#H^1(K_v, G) = \#H^1(\mathbb{R}, G_v) = 3$ ; see Example 3.2. Let  $i: T \hookrightarrow G$  be the diagonal torus in  $G = SU_{4,L/K}$ . Then  $T$  splits over the quadratic extension  $L/K$ , and for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ , the corresponding torus  $T_v$  is a compact maximal torus of  $G_v$ . By Theorem 4.1, there is no group structure on  $H^1(K, G)$  such that  $i_*$  is a homomorphism.

Theorem 4.1 together with Example 4.2 prove Theorem 1.2(b).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Zinovy Reichstein for most helpful email correspondence. This paper was partly written during the author's visit to Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn, and he thanks the institute for its hospitality, support, and excellent working conditions.

#### REFERENCES

- [Bor98] Mikhail Borovoi, *Abelian Galois cohomology of reductive groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (1998), no. 626.
- [Bor22] Mikhail Borovoi, *Galois cohomology of reductive algebraic groups over the field of real numbers*, Commun. Math. **30** (2022), no.3, 191–201.
- [BK23] Mikhail Borovoi and Tasho Kaletha, with an appendix by Vladimir Hinich, *Galois cohomology of reductive groups over global fields*, [arXiv:2303.04120](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.04120).
- [CF10] J. W. S. Cassels and Albrecht Fröhlich (eds.), *Algebraic number theory*, Second edition, Proceedings of an instructional conference organized by the London Mathematical Society, London Mathematical Society, London, 2010.
- [GA12] Cristian D. González-Avilés, *Quasi-abelian crossed modules and nonabelian cohomology*, J. Algebra **369** (2012), 235–255.
- [Kot84] Robert E. Kottwitz, *Stable trace formula: cuspidal tempered terms*, Duke Math. J. **51** (1984), no. 3, 611–650.
- [Lab99] Jean-Pierre Labesse, *Cohomologie, stabilisation et changement de base*, with Appendix A by Laurent Clozel and Labesse, and Appendix B by Lawrence Breen, Astérisque (1999), no.257.
- [Lan56] Serge Lang, *Algebraic groups over finite fields*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 555–563.
- [PR94] Vladimir Platonov and Andrei Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Academic Press, 1994.
- [San81] Jean-Jacques Sansuc, *Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **327** (1981), 12–80.
- [Ser97] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Galois cohomology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

RAYMOND AND BEVERLY SACKLER SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY,  
6997801 TEL AVIV, ISRAEL

*Email address:* borovoi@tauex.tau.ac.il