# A result on the Chermak-Delgado measure of a finite group

Marius Tărnăuceanu

August 15, 2024

#### Abstract

In this short note, we describe finite groups all of whose non-trivial cyclic subgroups have the same Chermak-Delgado measure.

MSC2020 : Primary 20D30; Secondary 20D60, 20D99. Key words : Chermak-Delgado measure, Chermak-Delgado lattice, subgroup lattice, TH-p-group.

## 1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group and  $L(G)$  be the subgroup lattice of G. The Chermak-Delgado measure of a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is defined by

$$
m_G(H) = |H||C_G(H)|.
$$

Let

 $m^*(G) = \max\{m_G(H) | H \leq G\}$  and  $\mathcal{CD}(G) = \{H \leq G | m_G(H) = m^*(G)\}.$ 

Then the set  $\mathcal{CD}(G)$  forms a modular, self-dual sublattice of  $L(G)$ , which is called the Chermak-Delgado lattice of G. It was first introduced by Chermak and Delgado [\[3\]](#page-3-0), and revisited by Isaacs [\[5\]](#page-3-1). In the last years there has been a growing interest in understanding this lattice, especially for p-groups (see e.g. [\[9\]](#page-3-2)). We recall several important properties of the Chermak-Delgado measure:

• if  $H \leq G$  then  $m_G(H) \leq m_G(C_G(H))$ , and if the measures are equal then  $C_G(C_G(H)) = H;$ 

- if  $H \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$  then  $C_G(H) \in \mathcal{CD}(G)$  and  $C_G(C_G(H)) = H;$
- the maximal member M of  $\mathcal{CD}(G)$  is characteristic and satisfies  $\mathcal{CD}(M)$  =  $\mathcal{CD}(G)$ , while the minimal member  $M(G)$  of  $\mathcal{CD}(G)$  (called the *Chermak-*Delgado subgroup of G) is characteristic, abelian and contains  $Z(G)$ .
- In [\[8\]](#page-3-3), the Chermak-Delgado measure of G has been seen as a function

$$
m_G: L(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^*, H \mapsto m_G(H), \forall H \in L(G),
$$

which has at least two distinct values if  $G$  is non-trivial. We studied finite groups G such that  $m<sub>G</sub>$  has exactly k values, with an emphasis on the case  $k = 2$ . Also, in [\[4\]](#page-3-4), finite groups G with  $|\mathcal{CD}(G)| = |L(G)| - k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , have been determined. Note that a small  $\left|\text{Im}(m_G)\right|$  or a large  $\mathcal{CD}(G)$  mean that many subgroups of G have the same Chermak-Delgado measure. This constitutes the starting point of our discussion.

Our main result is stated as follows. By a TH-p-group we will understand a p-group G all of whose elements of order p are central, that is  $\Omega_1(G) \leq Z(G)$ (see e.g.  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$ ).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let G be a finite group and  $C(G)^*$  be the set of non-trivial cyclic subgroups of G. If  $m_G(H_1) = m_G(H_2)$  for all  $H_1, H_2 \in C(G)^*$ , then G is a TH-p-group with  $\Omega_1(G) = Z(G)$ . Moreover, if  $|G| = p^n$ ,  $\exp(G) = p^m$ and  $|Z(G)| = p^k$ , then  $k \leq n - 2m + 2$ .

Obviously, a finite abelian group as in Theorem 1.1 is an elementary abelian p-group. The smallest non-abelian examples are  $Q_8$ ,  $Q_8 \times C_2$ ,  $C_4 \rtimes C_4$ for  $p = 2$  and  $C_9 \rtimes C_9$  for p odd. More generally, we observe that all groups  $Q_8 \times C_2^n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all groups  $C_{p^2} \rtimes C_{p^2}$  with p prime satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1.

Two particular cases of the above theorem are as follow.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let G be a finite group. If any of the following two conditions holds

- a)  $m_G(H_1) = m_G(H_2) = m^*(G)$ , for all  $H_1, H_2 \in C(G)^*$ ,
- b)  $m_G(H_1) = m_G(H_2)$ , for all non-trivial abelian subgroups  $H_1, H_2$  of  $G$ ,

then either  $G \cong C_p$  for some prime p or  $G \cong Q_8$ .

Most of our notation is standard and will usually not be repeated here. Elementary notions and results on groups can be found in [\[5](#page-3-1)[,7\]](#page-3-7). For subgroup lattice concepts we refer the reader to [\[6\]](#page-3-8).

## 2 Proof of the main results

#### Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let  $|G| = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$  and  $G_i \in \text{Syl}_{p_i}(G)$ ,  $i = 1, ..., r$ . If  $P_i$  is a cyclic subgroup of order  $p_i$  which is contained in  $Z(G_i)$ , then  $G_i \subseteq C_G(P_i)$  and so  $m_G(P_i)$  is divisible by  $p_i^{n_i+1}$  $i^{n_i+1}$ . Since for  $j \neq i$  the maximal power of  $p_i$  in  $m_G(P_j)$  is  $p_i^{n_i}$  $i_i^{n_i}$ , we infer that  $m_G(P_i) \neq m_G(P_j)$ . This shows that we must have  $r = 1$ , i.e. G is a p-group.

Next we observe that  $Z(G)$  cannot contain elements of order  $p<sup>s</sup>$  with  $s \geq 2$ . Indeed, if a is such an element, then  $\langle a^p \rangle \neq 1$  and

$$
m_G(\langle a \rangle) > m_G(\langle a^p \rangle),
$$

contradicting our hypothesis. Thus the common value of  $m_G(H)$ ,  $H \in$  $C(G)^{*}$ , is  $p^{n+1}$ .

Assume now that there is  $H \leq G$  with  $|H| = p$  and  $H \nsubseteq Z(G)$ . Then  $C_G(H) \neq G$  and so

$$
m_G(H) \le p^n < p^{n+1},
$$

a contradiction. Consequently, G is a TH-p-group with  $\Omega_1(G) = Z(G)$ .

Finally, let  $b \in G$  with  $o(b) = p^m$ . Then both  $\langle b \rangle$  and  $Z(G)$  are contained in  $C_G(\langle b \rangle)$ , implying that  $\langle b \rangle Z(G) \subseteq C_G(\langle b \rangle)$ . This shows that

$$
p^{m+k-1} = |\langle b \rangle Z(G)|
$$

divides  $|C_G(\langle b \rangle)|$  and therefore  $p^{2m+k-1}$  divides  $p^{n+1} = m_G(\langle a \rangle)$ . It follows that  $2m + k - 1 \leq n + 1$ , i.e.

$$
k \le n - 2m + 2,
$$

as desired.

#### Proof of Corollary 1.2.

Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1, we observe that if  $k = 1$ , then  $Z(G)$ is the unique subgroup of order p of G. By  $(4.4)$  of [\[7\]](#page-3-7), II, it follows that G is either cyclic or a generalized quaternion 2-group. Clearly, if G is cyclic we must have  $n = 1$ , that is  $G \cong C_p$ , where p is a prime. If  $G \cong Q_{2^n}$  for some integer  $n \geq 3$ , then  $m = n - 1$  and therefore the inequality  $k \leq n - 2m + 2$ leads to  $n \leq 3$ . Thus  $n = 3$  and  $G \cong Q_8$ .

The proof is completed by the remark that each of conditions a) and b) implies  $k = 1$ .  $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

# <span id="page-3-5"></span>References

- <span id="page-3-6"></span>[1] D. Bubboloni, G. Corsi Tani, p-groups with some regularity properties, Ric. di Mat. 49 (2000), 327-339.
- <span id="page-3-0"></span>[2] D. Bubboloni, G. Corsi Tani, p-groups with all the elements of order p in the center, Algebra Colloq. 11 (2004), 181-190.
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>[3] A. Chermak and A. Delgado, A measuring argument for finite groups, Proc. AMS 107 (1989), 907-914.
- [4] G. Fasol $\check{a}$  and M. Tärn $\check{a}$ uceanu, Finite groups with large Chermak-Delgado lattices, to appear in Bull. Aus. Math. Soc., DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972722000806.
- <span id="page-3-8"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>[5] I.M. Isaacs, Finite group theory, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2008.
- <span id="page-3-7"></span>[6] R. Schmidt, Subgroup lattices of groups, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 14, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>[7] M. Suzuki, Group theory, I, II, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1982, 1986.
- [8] M. Tărnăuceanu, Finite groups with a certain number of values of the Chermak-Delgado measure, J. Algebra Appl. 19 (2020), article ID 2050088.
- <span id="page-3-2"></span>[9] A. Morresi Zuccari, V. Russo and C.M. Scoppola, The Chermak-Delgado measure in finite p-groups, J. Algebra 502 (2018), 262-276.

Marius Tărnăuceanu Faculty of Mathematics "Al.I. Cuza" University Iași, Romania e-mail: tarnauc@uaic.ro