A TUTORIAL ON BROWNIAN MOTION FOR BIOSTATISTICIANS

A PREPRINT

Elvis Han Cui Department of Biostatistics UCLA elviscuihan@g.ucla.edu

August 30, 2024

ABSTRACT

This manuscript provides an in-depth exploration of Brownian Motion, a fundamental stochastic process in probability theory for Biostatisticians. It begins with foundational definitions and properties, including the construction of Brownian motion and its Markovian characteristics. The document delves into advanced topics such as the Karhunen-Loève expansion, reflection principles, and Lévy's modulus of continuity. Through rigorous proofs and theorems, the manuscript examines the non-differentiability of Brownian paths, the behavior of zero sets, and the significance of local time. The notes also cover important results like Donsker's theorem and Blumenthal's 0-1 law, emphasizing their implications in the study of stochastic processes.

Keywords Brownian Motion · Markov Property · Karhunen-Loève Expansion · Local Time · Donsker's Theorem · Reflection Principle.

1 Introduction

Brownian motion, also known as Wiener process, is one of the most important and widely studied stochastic processes in both probability theory and mathematical physics. Originally observed in the erratic movement of pollen grains suspended in water by the botanist Robert Brown, it was later rigorously formalized by Norbert Wiener in the early 20th century. Brownian motion serves as a cornerstone in the modeling of various random phenomena, ranging from financial markets to the diffusion of particles in fluids.

This manuscript provides a comprehensive overview of the key concepts, properties, and applications of Brownian motion. The exploration begins with a formal definition of Brownian motion and its fundamental properties, such as stationary independent increments and the Gaussian distribution of the process. Following the foundational aspects, the document delves into more advanced topics, including the Karhunen-Loève expansion, which decomposes a process with zero mean and finite variance into an orthonormal basis, and the reflection principle, which is a crucial result derived from the strong Markov property.

Furthermore, the manuscript covers the non-differentiability of Brownian paths, illustrating the unique and sometimes counterintuitive nature of the process. The analysis of zero sets and local time provides deeper insights into the structure of paths and their occupation measures. Additionally, important theorems such as Blumenthal's 0-1 law, Donsker's theorem, and Lévy's characterization are discussed, each highlighting critical aspects of stochastic processes and their implications in various fields.

Overall, these notes aim to equip the reader with a solid understanding of Brownian motion, from its theoretical underpinnings to its practical applications in modern science and engineering.

2 Definitions

2.1 Brownian Motion

Definition 1 (Standard Brownian Motion (Liggett, 2010)). Standard Brownian Motion B(t) is a stochastic process with continuous paths that satisfies one of the following properties:

- (a) B(t) has stationary independent increments, and B(t) is $\mathcal{N}(0,t)$ for $t \geq 0$.
- (b) B(t) is a Gaussian process with $\mathbb{E}B(t) = 0$ and

$$Cov(B(s), B(t)) = s \wedge t.$$

Remarks:

- Sometimes we write B_t for B(t) and $B_t(\omega)$ or $B(t, \omega)$ for a given sample point $\omega \in \Omega$.
- There exists a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{H}, P) on which standard Brownian motion B exists. The proof is postponed to Theorem 1.

It is convenient to take $\Omega = C[0,\infty)$, the space of all continuous functions $\omega(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{R}^+ or $[0,\infty)$. Note that if we simply take $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$ and its Borel σ -algebra, then the set

$$C = \{\omega : B(t, \omega) \text{ is continuous in } t.\}$$

is not even an event since it depends on uncountably many points. For $\Omega = C[0, \infty)$, the σ -algebra is taken to be the Borel σ -algebra, i.e., the smallest one for which the projection mapping $\omega \to \omega(t)$ is measurable for each t and we denote it as \mathcal{H} . We have a family $\mathbb{P}^{\bullet} = \{P^x\}$ of probability measures indexed by $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$ instead of a single probability measure. The measure P^x is the distribution of $x + B(\cdot)$ where B is a standard Brownian motion. The corresponding expectation is denoted by \mathbb{E}^x . The probability triple is denoted by $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{P}^{\bullet})$. If in addition, we have a filtration $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t : t \ge 0\}$, then the stochastic basis is the quadruple $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P}^{\bullet})$ (Dabrowska, 2020).

Lemma 1. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$ and \mathcal{H} be its Borel σ -algebra. Then the set

$$C = \{\omega : B(t, \omega) \text{ is continuous in } t.\}$$

is not even an event, i.e., $C \notin \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Define property (*): $\exists J$ countable s.t. if $f \in A, g \in \mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$, then $f|_J = g|_J$ implies $g \in A$. Define the family of sets

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)} : A \text{ satisfies } (*). \right\}$$

Clearly $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha} = \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\alpha}))$, is a subset of \mathcal{A} (α finite and π_{α} is the projection from $\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$ to \mathbb{R}^{α}). But \mathcal{H} is generated from \mathcal{B}_{α} :

$$\mathcal{H} = \sigma \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \text{ finite}} \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\alpha})) \right).$$

So if we can show that \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra and $C \notin \mathcal{A}$, then we are done. C does not satisfy (*): if J exists, we pick $x \in J^c$ and set g(x) = f(x) + 1; then $f|_J = g|_J$ but g is not continuous.

3 Basic and Advanced Properties

3.1 Existence and Construction

Theorem 1 (Existence and Construction of Brownian motion). There exists a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{P})$ on which standard Brownian motion B exists and has continuous sample path.

Remarks: Recall that a Brownian motion is a process with the following properties:

- (i) B(t) has stationary and independent increments;
- (ii) B(t) is Gaussian with mean 0 and variance t;
- (iii) B(t) is continuous in t.

The existence of a process B(t) with (i) and (ii) is guaranteed by Kolmogorov's extension theorem. Here we show that starting with such B(t), we can construct another process with (i), (ii) and continuous path for $t \in [0, 1]$. The extension to $t \in [0, \infty)$ is similar.

Proof. (*Dabrowska*, 2020). For $n \ge 1$, define

$$B^{n}(t) = B(t)$$
 if $t = \frac{k}{2^{n}}, \ k = 0, 1, \cdots, 2^{n}$

and connect points using straight lines, i.e., if $s \in [\frac{k-1}{2^n}, \frac{k}{2^n}]$, then

$$B^{n}(s) = B\left(\frac{k-1}{2^{n}}\right) + 2^{n}\left(B\left(\frac{k}{2^{n}}\right) - B\left(\frac{k-1}{2^{n}}\right)\right)\left(s - \frac{k-1}{2^{n}}\right).$$

Define

$$A_n = \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left| B^n(t) - B^{n-1}(t) \right| > \frac{1}{n^2} \right\}$$

so that

so

$$\begin{split} P\left(A_{n}\right) &= P\left(\max_{k \leq 2^{n}} \left|B^{n}\left(\frac{k}{2^{n}}\right) - B^{n-1}\left(\frac{k-1}{2^{n}}\right)\right| > \frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) \\ &\leq 2^{n}P\left(\left|\mathcal{N}(0,2^{-n})\right| > \frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) = 2^{n}P\left(\left|\mathcal{N}(0,1)\right| > \frac{2^{n/2}}{n^{2}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{3n^{8}}{4^{n}} \text{ by Markov's inequality.} \end{split}$$

By the ratio test, we have

$$\frac{P(A_{n+1})}{P(A_n)} \to \frac{1}{4} < 1$$
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty.$$

By Borel-Cantelli, the event $\limsup_n A_n$ has probability 0 and

$$P\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \left| B^{n}(t) - B^{n-1}(t) \right| \le \frac{1}{n^{2}} \right) = 1$$

for all but finitely many n. But $B^n(t)$ is uniformly continuous on $t \in [0, 1]$ and forms a Cauchy sequence in C[0, 1] with the sup norm. Hence, their limit is continuous.

3.2 The Markov and Strong Markov Property

Define two σ -algebras:

$$\mathcal{F}_s^0 = \sigma(B_r : r \le s) \tag{1}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_s^+ = \bigcap_{t>s} \mathcal{F}_t^0 \tag{2}$$

Let f(u) > 0 for all u > 0, then the random variable

$$\limsup_{t \downarrow s} \frac{B_t - B_s}{f(t - s)} \in \mathcal{F}_s^+$$

but is not \mathcal{F}_s^0 -measurable since it depends on the value of B_t . The σ -algebra \mathcal{F}_0^+ can be considered as "an infinitesimal peak" at the future (Durrett, 2019).

Theorem 2 (Markov Property). If Y is bounded and measurable, then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and $s \ge 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{x}(Y \circ \theta_{s} | \mathcal{F}_{s}^{+}) = \mathbb{E}^{B(s)}Y \text{ a.s. } P^{x}$$
(3)

Remarks: The right-hand side is the composition of the function $y \to \mathbb{E}^y Y$ with B(s). Note that the function $y \to \mathbb{E}^y Y$ is measurable for Y bounded.

Proof. Since $y \to \mathbb{E}^y Y$ is measurable, its composition with B(s) is also measurable. Hence, it suffices to show that the right-hand side satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}^{x}(Y \circ \theta_{s} \mathbb{I}_{A}) = \mathbb{E}^{x}((\mathbb{E}^{X(s)}Y)\mathbb{I}_{A})$$

for Y bounded and $A \in \mathcal{F}_s^+$.

Theorem 3 (Strong Markov Property). If $Y_s(\omega)$ is bounded and jointly measurable on $[0, \infty) \times \Omega$, and τ is a stopping time, then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and $s \ge 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{x}(Y_{\tau} \circ \theta_{\tau} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}) = \mathbb{E}^{B(\tau)} Y_{\tau} \text{ a.s. } P^{x} \text{ on } \{\tau < \infty\}.$$
(4)

Remarks: The expression $Y_{\tau} \circ \theta_{\tau}$ means the mapping $\omega \to Y_{\tau(\omega)}(\theta_{\tau(\omega)}\omega)$. The right-hand side is the function $\phi(y,t) = \mathbb{E}^{y}Y_{t}$ evaluated at $y = B(\tau)$ and $t = \tau$. We can replace the Brownian motion $B(\tau)$ by any Markov process with the Feller property (a Feller process). The theorem says that $B_{t+\tau} - B_{\tau}$ is also a Markov process for any fixed t and stopping time τ , and is independent of the stopped σ -algebra \mathcal{F}_{τ} .

3.3 Karhunen-Loéve Expansion

Theorem 4 (Karhunen-Loéve (Dabrowska, 2020)). If $\{X_t : t \in [0,1]\}$ is a process with zero mean and finite variance, then it admits a decomposition

$$X_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n \phi_n(t), \tag{5}$$

where Y_n 's are pairwise uncorrelated random variables and ϕ_n 's form an orthonormal basis in $L^2([0,1])$ determined by $K(s,t) = Cov(X_s, X_t)$, the covariance of the process.

Remarks: If K(s,t) is a positive definite kernel and the associated eigenfunctions form a complete orthonormal sequence of $L^2([0,1])$, then we can take $Y_n = \langle X, \phi_n \rangle$ where ϕ_n 's are eigenfunctions of K. In this case, Y_n 's are uncorrelated mean zero variables with variance λ_n , the eigenvalues of K(s,t).

Example 1 (Brownian Motion on the unit interval). Standard Brownian Motion on [0, 1] has covariance $K(s, t) = s \wedge t$ and can be decomposed as

$$K(s,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t)$$

where $(j \ge 1)$

$$\lambda_j = \frac{4}{(2j-1)^2 \pi^2}, \ \phi_j(t) = \sqrt{2} \sin\left(\left(i - \frac{1}{2}\right) \pi t\right).$$

Moreover, Brownian motion has the same distribution as

$$W_t \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_j} V_j \phi_j(t),$$

where V_j , $j \ge 0$ are i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ variables. The derivation of ϕ_j boils down to solving differential equations and its distribution relies on the convergence of Riemannian sum.

4 Examples and Applications

4.1 Blumenthal's 0-1 Law

Theorem 5 (Blumenthal's 0-1 Law (Liggett, 2010)). (a) If Y is a bounded random variable, then for every x $\mathbb{E}^{x}(Y|\mathcal{F}_{s}^{+}) = \mathbb{E}^{x}(Y|\mathcal{F}_{s}^{0}) a.s. P^{x}.$ (6)

(b) If $A \in \mathcal{F}_0^+$, then

$$P^x(A) = 0 \text{ or } 1. (7)$$

$$Y = \prod_{m=1}^{n} f_m(B(t_m))$$

 $Y = Z(X \circ \theta_{\star})$

where f_m are bounded and measurable. In this case, we write

where
$$Z = \prod_{m:t_m \le s} f_m(B(t_m))$$
 and $X = \prod_{m:t_m > s} f_m(B(t_m - s))$. Then $Z \in \mathcal{F}_s^0 \subset \mathcal{F}_s^+$ and
 $\mathbb{E}^x(X \circ \theta_s | \mathcal{F}_s^+) = \mathbb{E}^{B(s)} X$ a.s.

by the Markov property. Taking conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}^x(\cdot|\mathcal{F}_s^0)$ gives $\mathbb{E}^x(X \circ \theta_s|\mathcal{F}_s^0) = \mathbb{E}^{B(s)}X$.

For part (b), set $Y = \mathbb{I}_A$, and then

$$\mathbb{I}_A = \mathbb{E}^x(Y|\mathcal{F}_0^+) = P^x(A|\mathcal{F}_0^+) = P^x(A|\mathcal{F}_0^0),$$

where the last equality is from part (a). But given the initial information \mathcal{F}_0^0 and the initial state x, the set A either contains x or not. If it contains, then $\mathbb{I}_A = 1$ a.s.; otherwise, $\mathbb{I}_A = 0$ a.s.

Corollary 1. $P^0(\tau = 0) = 1$ in each of the following two cases:

(a)
$$\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : B(t) > 0\}.$$

(b) $\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : B(t) = 0\}.$

Proof. In both cases, the event $\{\tau = 0\} \in \mathcal{F}_0^+$ but not in \mathcal{F}_0^0 . Hence, by Blumenthal's 0-1 law, it is enough to show the event has positive probability. For part (a), given any positive t, $P^0(\tau \le t) \ge P^0(B(t) > 0) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then we take $t \downarrow 0$. For part (b), we just replace B(t) > 0 with B(t) < 0 in part (a) and apply the path continuity of $B(\cdot)$. In other words, we can pick a sequence of positive numbers that goes to 0: $l_1 > t_1 > r_1 > l_2 > t_2 > r_2 > \cdots$ such that $B(l_i) > 0$, $B(t_i) = 0$ and $B(r_i) < 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

We have an analogue of Kolmogorov's 0-1 law for Brownian motion.

Theorem 6 (Kolmogorov's 0-1 Law for Brownian Motion). *Define the tail* σ *-algebra*

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{t>0} \mathcal{G}_t$$

where $\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma(B_s : s \ge t)$. Then for any $A \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $P^x(A) = 0$ or 1, independent of x.

4.2 Zero Set

Consider the zero set (for a given $\omega \in \Omega$)

$$Z(\omega) = \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : B_t(\omega) = 0\}$$
(8)

Theorem 7 (Zero Set). With probability 1, the set Z is perfect, and hence closed and uncountable. The Lebesgue measure of Z is 0 a.s.

Remark: A set is perfect if it is closed with no isolated points, i.e., every point is a limit point. By the Markov property, we conclude that the level set $L(a, \omega) = \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : B_t(\omega) = a\}$ has the same properties as the zero set $Z(\omega)$ does.

Proof. The 0 Lebesgue measure is easy to show:

$$\mathbb{E}m(Z) = \mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{I}_Z(t)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{E}\mathbb{I}_Z(t)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{P}(B(t) = 0)dt = 0$$

For a given ω , $Z = B^{-1}(\{0\})$, i.e., the inverse image of $\{0\}$ under the continuous mapping $B(\cdot, \omega)$. Hence, Z is closed and its complement is a countable union of open intervals. We write

$$Z^c = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (l_n, r_n)$$

and r_n are stopping times but l_n are not.

Next, let $t \in Z$ be any zero of the path, then t is either a limit point of Z from the left or not.

- Case I: If t is a limit point from the left, then t is not isolated.
- Case II: If t is not a limit point from the left, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ so that there is no zero within the interval $(t \epsilon, t)$. Define the random variable

$$T_a(\omega) = \inf\{t \ge a : B(\omega, t) = 0\},\$$

where *a* is any positive rational number. It is a stopping time:

$$\{T_a \leq s\} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } s < a \\ (\{B(t) > 0, t \in [a, s]\} \cap \{B(t) < 0, t \in [a, s]\})^c & \text{if else} \end{cases}$$

By Strong Markov Property, given the stopping field \mathcal{F}_{T_a} , $B \circ \theta_{T_a}$ is another standard BM where θ is the shift operator. Since for a standard BM, given any $\epsilon > 0$, there are infinitely many 0's within the interval $[T_a, T_a + \epsilon)$. Hence, there must be a rational $a \in (t - \epsilon, t)$ such that $t = T_a$. In this case, t is a limit point of Z from the right.

4.3 Non-differentiability

We start with a proposition that describes the peculiarities of the Brownian motion path, and then jump into pointwise and globalwise non-differentiability of B.

Proposition 1. Almost surely the Brownian motion B is not monotone on any interval [s, t].

Proof. It is enough to show that the following set has probability 0:

$$\begin{split} A &= \bigcup_{s,t \in \mathbb{Q}^+} \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : B(\omega) \text{ is monotone on } [s,t] \right\} \\ &= \bigcup_{s,t \in \mathbb{Q}^+} A_{st} \end{split}$$

By σ -additivity of a probability measure and stationarity of B, we only have to show that $A_{01} = \{B \text{ is monotone on } [0,1]\}$ has probability 0.

Let

$$B_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \left\{ B\left(\frac{i-1}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \ge 0 \right\}$$

so that $A_{01} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$. But

$$P^0(B_n) = 2^{-n} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Lemma 2 (Pointwise Nonsmoothness). For a fixed $t \ge 0$,

Π

$$\mathbb{P}(B(\cdot,\omega) \text{ is not differentiable at } t) = 1.$$
(9)

Further, for $\mathbb{P} - a.s. \omega \in \Omega$ *,*

 $m(A) = 0, \ A = \{t \ge 0 : B(\cdot, \omega) \text{ is differentiable at } t\}.$ (10)

Proof. For t = 0, we have $\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{B(t)}{\sqrt{t}} = +\infty$ a.s. If B is differentiable at B, then $\exists K, \epsilon > 0$, and we have (by the mean value theorem)

$$|B(s) - B(0)| \le K|s - 0|, s \in [0, \epsilon).$$

A contradiction. Next, for any t > 0, we note that $B(t) - B(t_0)$ has the same distribution as $B(t - t_0)$. For the second part, fix ω and define the random set

$$C = \left\{ t \ge 0 : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{B(t + \frac{1}{n}, \omega) - B(t, \omega)}{1/n} \text{ exists at } t. \right\}$$

By Fubini's theorem and joint measurability of $B(t, \omega)$

$$\mathbb{E}(m(A)) \le \mathbb{E}m(C) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_C(t)dt\right) = \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \mathbb{I}_C(t)d\mathbb{P}dt = 0$$
(11)
follows from the first part.

where the last equality follows from the first part.

Theorem 8 (Nondifferentiability of *B*: Paley-Wiener-Zygmund). *The Brownian motion* $B(\cdot, \omega)$ *is no where differentiable a.s.*

Remarks: By the Markov property, $B(\cdot + s) - B(s)$ has the same distribution as $B(\cdot)$, so it is sufficient to show that $\mathbb{P}(B \text{ is differentiable on } (0,1]) = 0$. Let D_s be the set such that the path $B(\cdot, \omega)$ is differentiable at s. By the previous lemma, we know $\mathbb{P}(D_s) = 0$ for any s. Here we want to show that

$$D = \bigcup_{s \in (0,1]} D_s = \{ \omega : B(s,\omega) \text{ is differentiable at some } s \in (0,1] \}$$

has \mathbb{P} -measure 0, but this is an uncountable union. The idea here is to bound this quantity by discretizing the sample path of B and each piece has a small oscillation. Then D can be written as a countable union of sets. Within each discretized interval, the sample path cannot be Lipschitz continuous and hence, cannot be differentiable.

Proof. Recall

$$D = \bigcup_{s \in (0,1]} D_s$$

where D_s is the set that the path $B(\cdot, \omega)$ is differentiable at s. Define

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \underbrace{\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-2} \bigcap_{j=k}^{k+2} \left\{ \left| B\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{j-1}{n}\right) \right| \le \frac{3m}{n} \right\}}_{D_{mn}}$$

$$= \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=l}^{\infty} D_{mn}$$
(12)

If $D \subseteq \Gamma$, then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(D) \le \mathbb{P}(\Gamma) \le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\liminf_{n \to \infty} D_{mn}\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n \ge l}^{\infty} D_{mn}\right)$$

and for any fixed m. The proof is completed if we can show each term on the right-hand side is 0. By stationarity and independence of increments,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty}\bigcap_{n=l}^{\infty}D_{mn}\right) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left(D_{mn}\right)$$
$$\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\mathbb{P}\left(\left|B\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{3m}{n}\right)^{3}$$

From the previous slide, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty}\bigcap_{n=l}^{\infty}D_{mn}\right) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}n\left[\mathbb{P}\left(\left|B\left(1\right)\right|\leq\frac{3m}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]^{3}$$
$$\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}n\left(\int_{-\frac{3m}{\sqrt{n}}}^{\frac{3m}{\sqrt{n}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}}dx\right)^{3}$$
$$\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{6m}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$
$$= 0.$$

We only have $D \subseteq \Gamma$ left to show.

Lemma 3. We have $D \subseteq \Gamma$ where $D = \bigcup_{s \in \{0,1\}} D_s$ and

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \liminf_{n \to \infty} D_{mn}$$

where

$$D_{mn} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-2} \bigcap_{j=k}^{k+2} \left\{ \left| B\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{j-1}{n}\right) \right| \le \frac{3m}{n} \right\}.$$

Proof. If B is differentiable at some $s \in (0, 1]$, then it is Lipschitz continuous at s. Let

$$A_{mn} = \left\{ \exists s \in (0,1], \ \left| B(t) - B(s) \right| \le m |t-s| \ \forall t \text{ s.t. } |t-s| \le \frac{2}{n} \right\}$$

so that clearly $D \subseteq \bigcup_m \bigcup_n A_{mn}$. We next show that for any $\omega \in D$, then $\omega \in \Gamma$. If $\omega \in D$, then $\exists M, N$ so that $\omega \in A_{MN}$.

Pick k s.t.
$$\frac{k}{N} \leq s \leq \frac{k+1}{N}$$
, so $\omega \in \bigcap_{j=k}^{k+2} \left\{ \left| B(\frac{j}{N}) - B(\frac{j-1}{N}) \right| \leq \frac{3M}{N} \right\}$.

In other words, we can pick N_0 large and

$$\omega \in \bigcap_{N \ge N_0} \bigcup_{k=1}^{N-2} \bigcap_{j=k}^{k+2} \left\{ \left| B\left(\frac{j}{N}\right) - B\left(\frac{j-1}{N}\right) \right| \le \frac{3M}{N} \right\} = \bigcap_{N \ge N_0} D_{MN}.$$

if $\omega \in D$, then $\omega \in \Gamma = \bigcup_m \bigcup_l \bigcap_{m > l} D_{mn}.$

We have shown that if $\omega \in D$, then $\omega \in \Gamma = \bigcup_m \bigcup_l \bigcap_{n \ge l} D_{mn}$.

We conclude this subsection with a precise statement of the exact modulus of continuity of the Brownian motion. **Proposition 2** (Lévy's Modulus of Continuity). *Define*

$$g(\delta) = \sqrt{2\delta \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)}, \ \delta \in (0, 1],$$
(13)

we have

$$P^{0}\left(\limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{g(\delta)} \sup_{\substack{0 \le s, t \le 1\\|t-s| < \delta}} \left| B(t) - B(s) \right| \right) = 1.$$
(14)

For a proof, see page 114 in Karatzas and Shreve (2014) or theorem 7.13 in Çinlar (2011).

4.4 Reflection Principle

This is another application of the strong Markov property.

Theorem 9 (Reflection Principle). If a > 0 and b < a, then for any t > 0,

$$P^{0}(M(t) > a, B(t) < b) = P^{0}(B(t) > 2a - b),$$
(15)

where

$$M(t) = \max_{0 \le s \le t} B(s)$$

is the running maximum of B up to time t.

Proof. Define

$$\tau = \inf\{s \ge 0 : B(s) = a\},\$$

$$Y_s(\omega) = \mathbb{I}(s < t, B(t-s) > 2a - b) - \mathbb{I}(s < t, B(t-s) < b).$$

For s < t,

$$\mathbb{E}^{x} Y_{s} = P^{x} (B(t-s) > 2a-b) - P^{x} (B(t-s) < b).$$

By symmetry, on the set $\{\tau < \infty\}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{B(\tau)}Y_{\tau} = \mathbb{E}^{a}Y_{\tau} = \mathbb{E}^{a}Y_{s}|_{s=\tau} = 0$$

By the strong Markov property, on the set $\{\tau < \infty\}$

$$\mathbb{E}^{0}\left(Y_{\tau}\circ\theta_{\tau}\ \mathbb{I}(\tau<\infty)\right) = \mathbb{E}^{0}\left(\underbrace{\mathbb{E}^{a}\left(Y_{\tau}\circ\theta_{\tau}\ |\mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right)}_{\mathbb{E}^{B(\tau)}Y_{\tau}}\mathbb{I}(\tau<\infty)\right) = 0.$$

On the other hand, on the set $\{\tau < \infty\}$

$$Y_{\tau(\omega)}(\theta_{\tau(\omega)}\omega) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \tau(\omega) < t, \ B(t) > 2a - b; \\ -1 & \text{if } \tau(\omega) < t, \ B(t) < b; \\ 0 & \text{if else.} \end{cases}$$

Hence,

 $\mathbb{E}^{0}(Y_{\tau} \circ \theta_{\tau} \mathbb{I}(\tau < \infty)) = P^{0}(\tau < t, B(t) > 2a - b) - P^{0}(\tau < t, B(t) < b).$ The event $\{\tau < t\}$ iff $\{M(t) > a\}$ by the path continuity of B; and we have $\{B(t) > 2a - b\} \subseteq \{\tau < t\}$. In conclusion,

$$P^{0}(B(t) > 2a - b) = P^{0}(M(t) > a, B(t) < b).$$

Remarks: A more useful formula is derived as $P^0(|B(t)| > a) = P^0(M(t) > a)$, see next corollary. **Corollary 2.** Based on the reflection principle, we have

- Relative to P^0 , M(t), |B(t)| and M(t) have the same distribution.
- Let $\tau_a = \inf\{t > 0 : B(t) = a\}$ be the first hitting time of a, then

$$P^{0}(\tau_{a} \leq T) = 2\left(1 - \Phi\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{T}}\right)\right).$$
(16)

Proof. • Let
$$a > 0$$
 and $b \uparrow a$,
 $P^0(M(t) > a) = P^0(M(t) > a, B(t) < a) + P^0(M(t) > a, B(t) ≥ a)$
 $= 2P^0(B(t) > a).$

 $P^0(\tau_a \le T) = P^0(M(T) \ge a).$

• Since $\{\tau_a \le T\} = \{M(T) \ge a\},\$

1.0		

4.5 Local Time

The occupation time (or the occupation measure) of a Borel set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^1$ by Brownian motion up to time t is

$$K_t(\omega, A) = \int_0^t \mathbb{I}_A(B(s, \omega))ds = m(\{s \in [0, t] : B(s, \omega) \in A\}),$$

which is a random measure of total mass t. It is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure and its Radon-Nikodym derivative is called the local time (Liggett, 2010). The Radon-Nikodym derivative is jointly continuous in space and time a.s. and can be conceptually thought/defined as

$$L_t(a,\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{K_t(\omega, (a-\epsilon, a+\epsilon))}{2\epsilon}.$$
(17)

and the proof of existence is non-trivial.

In other words, the local time $L_t(a, \omega)$ serves as a density w.r.t. $m(\cdot)$, the Lebesgue measure for occupation time (Karatzas and Shreve, 2014; Mörters and Peres, 2010), i.e.,

$$K_t(\omega, A) = \int_0^t \mathbb{I}_A(B(s, \omega))ds = \int_A dx L_t(x, \omega), \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Remarks: As mentioned on page 203 in Karatzas and Shreve (2014), there is no universal agreement in the literature whether we should divide the denominator by 2ϵ or 4ϵ in Equation 17. Here I divide it by 2ϵ (Cinlar (2011) did it also), but Ikeda and Watanabe (1984); Karatzas and Shreve (2014) used 4ϵ .

Theorem 10 (Tanaka's Formula for Brownian Local Time). For every $a \in \mathbb{R}^1$ there is an increasing continuous stochastic process $L_a(t)$ satisfying

$$|B(t) - a| - |a| = \int_0^t sgn(B(s) - a) dB(s) + L_a(t).$$
(18)

The measure dL_a puts all its mass on $\{t : B(t) = a\}$ and $L_a(t)$ is jointly continuous in (a, t).

4.6 Lévy's Martingale Characterization

Definition 2 (Predictable Variation (Dabrowska, 2020)). If M(t) is a locally square integrable martingale then $M^2(t)$ is a local sub-martingale. By Doob-Meyer's decomposition, there exists a predictable process $\langle M \rangle(t)$ s.t. $M^2(t)$ – $\langle M \rangle(t)$ is a local martingale. The process $\langle M \rangle(t)$ is referred to as the **predictable variation** of M(t) and can be obtained as the in probability limit of sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \left(M^{2}(t_{i}) - M^{2}(t_{i-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}-1} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var} \left(M(t_{i}) - M(t_{i-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}-1} \right),$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = t$ is a partition with mesh $\max_i |t_i - t_{i-1}| \to 0$.

Theorem 11 (Lévy's Characterization of B (Cinlar, 2011)). If M(t) is a continuous square integrable martingale w.r.t. \mathbb{F} with M(0) = 0, and $\langle M \rangle(t) = t$, then M(t) is a standard Brownian motion w.r.t. \mathbb{F} .

Remarks: By Doob-Meyer, the statement $\langle M \rangle(t) = t$ is equivalent to say that $M^2(t) - t$ is again a martingale w.r.t. $\mathbb{F}.$

4.7 Donsker's Theorem

In non-parametric statistics, one of the most important distributions is the empirical distribution

$$F_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(X_i \le t),$$

where X_i 's are i.i.d. random variables with the same distribution F. By SLLN, $F_n(t)$ converges to F(t) a.s. and the convergence is strengthened to uniform by Glivenko-Cantelli. By the classical CLT, $\sqrt{n} (F_n(t) - F(t))$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{N}(0, F(t)(1 - F(t)))$.

Now if we regard $F_n(\cdot)$ as a stochastic process indexed by $t \in \mathbb{R}$, what can we say about the limiting distribution of $\{\sqrt{n}(F_n(t) - F(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$? Moreover, let \mathcal{H} be a class of measurable functions, then what can we say about the distribution of

$$\mathbb{P}_n h = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(X_i), \ h \in \mathcal{H} ?$$

This is the subject of the empirical process theory. Here we focus on the special case $h_t(X_i) = \mathbb{I}(X_i < t)$.

Theorem 12 (Donsker's Theorem for Empirical Distribution). Let X_i 's be *i.i.d.* variables with a common distribution F. Define the process

$$W_n^F(t) = \sqrt{n} \left(F_n(t) - F(t) \right), \ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then we have

where $W(x) = B(x) - xB(1), x \in [0, 1]$ is the Brownian Bridge (BB) process.

Remarks: $D(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of right-continuous functions with left limits and is equipped with the sup-norm. The weak convergence of a stochastic process X_n to X means for every bounded continuous f, we have $\mathbb{E}f(X_n) \to$ $\mathbb{E}f(X)$. However, in practice, one applied Kolmogorov's theorem to show the weak convergence (Billingsley, 2013). **Theorem 13** (Donsker's Theorem for Partial Sum). Let X_i 's be i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance 1. Define the partial sum process

$$Z_n(t) = \frac{S_{[nt]}}{n}, \ t \in [0,1],$$

 $Z_n \Rightarrow B$

where $S_{[nt]} = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} X_i$ is the partial sum. Then

in D[0,1], where B is the standard Brownian motion.

4.8 More Examples

Example 2 (The Inverse Gaussian Distribution (Kahle et al., 2016)). From the reflection principle, we can derive the density of the first hitting time $\tau_a = \inf\{t > 0 : B(t) = a\}$ with a > 0:

$$p_{\tau_a}(T) = \frac{dP^0(\tau_a \le T)}{dT} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{2\pi T^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{2T}\right)$$

This is the density of an inverse Gaussian distribution.

Example 3 (The arcsin Law of the Last Hitting Time (Durrett, 2019)). Let $L = \sup\{t \le 1 : B_t = 0\}$ and $\tau_0 = \inf\{t : B_t = 0\}$. Then

$$P^{0}(L \leq s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{s}(0, x) P^{x}(\tau_{0} > 1 - s) dx$$
$$= \cdots$$
$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin(\sqrt{s}),$$

where $p_s(0, x)$ is the density of $\mathcal{N}(x, s)$. Note L is not a stopping time because the set $\{L \leq s\}$ depends on the future after time s.

Example 4 (Truncated First Hitting Time (Liggett, 2010)). Let

$$\gamma_a = \inf\{t > 1 : B(t) = a\}, \ \tau_a = \inf\{t \ge 0 : B(t) = a\}$$

 γ_a is a stopping time since the set $\{\gamma_a \leq s\}$ is either empty (hence belongs to every \mathcal{F}_t) or only depends on the Brownian motion within the interval (1, s].

$$P^{0}(\gamma_{a} \leq t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{1}(0, y) P^{0}(\gamma_{a} \leq t | B(1) = y) dy$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{1}(0, y) P^{0}(\tau_{a-y} \leq t - 1) dy.$$

Set a = 0 and take differentiation,

$$\frac{dP^{0}(\gamma_{0} \le t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{\pi t \sqrt{t-1}}.$$
(19)

where $p_1(0, y)$ is the density of $\mathcal{N}(y, 1)$ and

$$P^{0}(\gamma_{a-y} \le t-1) = \int_{0}^{t-1} \frac{|a-y|}{\sqrt{2\pi s^{3}}} \exp\left(-\frac{(a-y)^{2}}{2s}\right) ds.$$

5 Conclusion

In this manuscript, we have explored key properties and theorems related to Brownian motion. The detailed analysis included the definition, construction, and fundamental properties of Brownian motion, as well as advanced concepts such as the Markov and strong Markov properties, local time, and reflection principles. These concepts are critical for understanding the behavior of Brownian motion in stochastic processes and have applications in various fields including finance, physics, and mathematics.

References

Billingsley, P. (2013). Convergence of probability measures. John Wiley & Sons.

Çinlar, E. (2011). Probability and stochastics. Springer.

Dabrowska, D. M. (2020). Stochastic Processes, volume 1. Lecture Notes at UCLA.

Durrett, R. (2019). Probability: theory and examples, volume 49. Cambridge university press.

Ikeda, N. and Watanabe, S. (1984). An introduction to Malliavin's calculus, volume 32. Elsevier.

Kahle, W., Mercier, S., and Paroissin, C. (2016). Degradation processes in reliability. John Wiley & Sons.

Karatzas, I. and Shreve, S. (2014). Brownian motion and stochastic calculus, volume 113. springer.

Liggett, T. M. (2010). *Continuous time Markov processes: an introduction*, volume 113. American Mathematical Soc. Mörters, P. and Peres, Y. (2010). *Brownian motion*, volume 30. Cambridge University Press.

This figure "hi.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/2408.16011v1