

Implications of the laser excitation of the ^{229}Th nucleus for dark matter searches

Elina Fuchs,^{1,2,*} Fiona Kirk,^{1,2,†} Eric Madge,^{3,‡} Chaitanya Paranjape,^{3,§}
Ekkehard Peik,^{1,¶} Gilad Perez,^{3,**} Wolfram Ratzinger,^{3,††} and Johannes Tiedau^{1,‡‡}

¹*Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, Bundesallee 100, Braunschweig, 38116, Germany*

²*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Appelstraße 2, Hannover, 30167, Germany*

³*Department of Particle Physics and Astrophysics,*

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 761001, Israel

(Dated: July 24, 2024)

The recent laser excitation of the low-lying ^{229}Th isomer transition is starting a revolution in ultralight dark matter searches. The enhanced sensitivity of this transition to the large class of dark matter models dominantly coupling to quarks and gluons will ultimately allow us to probe coupling strengths eight orders of magnitude smaller than the current bounds from optical atomic clocks, which are mainly sensitive to dark matter couplings to electrons and photons. We argue that, with increasing precision, observations of the ^{229}Th excitation spectrum will soon give world-leading constraints. Using data from the pioneering laser excitation of ^{229}Th by Tiedau *et al.* [Phys. Rev. Lett. **132**, 182501 (2024)], we present a first dark matter search in the excitation spectrum. While the exclusion limits of our detailed study of the lineshape are still below the sensitivity of currently operating clock experiments, we project the measurement of Zhang *et al.* [arXiv:2406.18719 [physics.atom-ph]] to surpass it.

I. INTRODUCTION

Although abundant evidence from astrophysical and cosmological observations via gravity supports the existence of dark matter (DM), little is known about its fundamental properties. Theories of ultralight dark matter (ULDM) bosons (scalar or pseudo-scalar) provide us with one of the simplest frameworks for DM. Well-motivated models of ULDM include the axion [3–7] of quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the dilaton [8–11] (though see Ref. [12]), the relaxion [13–15], and possibly other forms of Higgs-portal models [16]. Finally, it was recently shown that the Nelson-Barr framework [17–19] that also addresses the strong-CP problem, leads to a viable ULDM candidate [20]. All of these models predict the ULDM to couple dominantly to the Standard Model (SM) QCD sector, i.e. the quarks and the gluons, leading to oscillations of nuclear parameters [9, 10, 21, 22].

Variations of SM parameters can be searched for by comparing the rates of two frequency standards that exhibit different dependencies on the parameters in question [10, 11, 23, 24]. Laboratory limits on these variations have been obtained from various clock-comparison experiments based on atomic or molecular spectroscopy as well as cavities and mechanical oscillators (see Refs. [23, 25–27] for a review). However, these frequency standards

mostly rely on electronic properties, whereas their sensitivity to changes in the nuclear sector is largely suppressed. Clocks based on hyperfine transitions and mechanical oscillators (Cs clock, hydrogen maser, quartz oscillator) [28–33] do not reach the accuracy and stability of optical clocks. In optical clocks, nuclear properties enter via the hyperfine structure and the reduced mass, but their relative contributions to the transition frequency is typically only of order 10^{-6} and 10^{-5} respectively. The contribution from an oscillation of the charge radius is around 10^{-3} [34]. Rotational and vibrational transitions in molecules give an order $10^{-2} - 10^{-1}$ contribution [35]. Future optical clocks based on highly charged ions [48], as well as pure rotational-vibrational transitions in molecular clocks with nearly degenerate energy levels [36] or large overtone transitions [37], can enhance the sensitivity by up to two or three orders of magnitude.

In contrast, the cancellation of electromagnetic and strong contributions resulting in the unusually low-lying isomer transition of ^{229}Th promises a sensitivity enhanced by $\mathcal{O}(10^8)$ relative to existing probes of QCD [38, 39]. This enormous leap in sensitivity to the strong sector, and consequently to ULDM models coupling to it, implies that unexplored parameter space can be tested even before a nuclear clock becomes available.

In Ref. [1], for the first time, the isomer state was resonantly excited by a tabletop tunable vacuum-ultraviolet (VUV) laser system [40] using ^{229}Th dopant ions in a CaF_2 crystal [41]. The relative uncertainty on the observed resonance was decreased to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$, which corresponds to an improvement of almost three orders of magnitude with respect to the measurement of the transition energy via the detection of the radiative decay [42]. The excitation energy observed by Ref. [1] was confirmed by Ref. [43] with a different host crystal, LiSrAlF_6 . Another six orders-of-magnitude improvement in terms of accuracy on the transition frequency was obtained in

* elina.fuchs@itp.uni-hannover.de

† fiona.kirk@itp.uni-hannover.de

‡ eric.madge-pimentel@weizmann.ac.il

§ chaitanya.paranjape@weizmann.ac.il

¶ ekkehard.peik@ptb.de

** gilad.perez@weizmann.ac.il

†† wolfram.ratzinger@weizmann.ac.il

‡‡ johannes.tiedau@ptb.de




FIG. 1. Searches for periodic variations of the QCD scale Λ_{QCD} over time. The bound derived in this work by performing a lineshape analysis of the spectrum recorded in Ref. [1] is shown in red, whereas the orange line shows the projection based on the results of Ref. [2]. The purple line indicates the prospective reach of a lineshape analysis limited by magnetic fields in the host crystal. For comparison, current clock bounds are shown in cyan, whereas a projection for a future quantum projection noise (QPN)-limited single-ion nuclear clock is indicated by the blue line. For details, see discussion in Section IV.

laser excitation with single modes of a VUV frequency comb [2].

In this work we show, for the first time, how lineshape measurements of the low-lying isomer transition of ^{229}Th can be exploited for new physics searches, demonstrating this procedure in detail on the data of Ref. [1]. The implications of the enhanced sensitivity, as well as the rapidly decreasing widths and growing accuracy, can most clearly be seen from Fig. 1, where we compare searches for periodic variations of the QCD scale Λ_{QCD} . In parts of the parameter space, the lineshape bound derived in this work, shown in red, is only one to three orders of magnitude less stringent than the current clock bounds (cyan). It should be straightforward to analyse the results of Ref. [2] in the same manner. The corresponding estimates are shown in orange. Since the limiting factor for our method is the observed width of the transition, which was so far dominated by the laser linewidth, the observation of Ref. [2] with a width reduced from 10 GHz to 300 kHz, i.e. by $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ compared to Ref. [1], highlights the potential of our approach. Eventually, the linewidth will no longer be limited by the laser width but by the effects of the host crystal. Assuming a linewidth of ~ 100 Hz [44] we find the projections shown in purple.

This article is organised as follows. We start with a short review of the enhanced sensitivity of the ^{229}Th isomer transition to ULDM in Section II. We then derive limits on periodic modulations of the ^{229}Th frequency in Section III. After a brief introduction to the experimental setup for the laser excitation in Section III A,

Section III B gives some intuition as to how new physics could manifest itself in the measured lineshape. We introduce the formalism required to determine bounds on new physics in Section III C, and discuss the results of the analysis in Section III D. The lineshape bounds are then used to place limits on oscillations of the QCD scale Λ_{QCD} in Section IV. In Section V we define new physics models that can be probed using nuclear lineshape data and recast the experimental bounds derived in Section III for these more specific setups. We conclude with a short summary of this work in Section VI.

II. SENSITIVITY OF ^{229}Th TO ULDM

Ultralight dark matter (ULDM) refers to bosonic DM candidates with masses below $m_{\text{DM}} \lesssim 1$ eV. Assuming that ULDM accounts for the entire DM abundance, its occupation number is at least one particle per de Broglie volume. Consequently, ULDM can be described as a classical field, oscillating at a frequency given by its mass m_{DM} and with a coherence time of $\tau_{\text{coh}} \sim 1/(m_{\text{DM}}v_{\text{DM}}^2)$, where $v_{\text{DM}} \sim 10^{-3}$ is the DM velocity dispersion, assuming it is comparable to the velocity of the solar system within the Milky Way galaxy. If the DM field is a scalar or a pseudoscalar, it can be described by

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) \simeq \phi_0 \cos(m_{\text{DM}}t + \varphi_{\text{DM}}), \quad (1)$$

where the amplitude ϕ_0 is related to the DM density $\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2}m_\phi^2\phi_0^2$, and φ_{DM} is a random phase. In the above expression, we have neglected spatial dependencies that are small due to the non-relativistic nature of the field.

The interactions of ULDM with the SM can induce changes in what would otherwise be fundamental constants of nature, namely the electric and strong interaction strength as well as the fermion masses. These take the form

$$\frac{\delta X}{X} \propto \cos(\omega_{\text{DM}}t + \varphi_{\text{DM}}), \quad (2)$$

where X is a fundamental constant such as the QCD scale Λ_{QCD} , the fine-structure constant α_{EM} , or the electron and light quark masses m_e and m_q , $q = u, d, s$. We consider concrete examples of couplings leading to such oscillations in Section V. The frequency of oscillation ω_{DM} is given by the DM mass m_{DM} or $2m_{\text{DM}}$, depending on whether one considers a linear or quadratic coupling.

As a consequence of the time-varying fundamental constants, observables like atomic and nuclear transition energies change as well. In particular, the ^{229}Th transition frequency takes the form

$$\nu(t) \simeq \nu_0 + \delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \cos(\omega_{\text{DM}}t + \varphi_{\text{DM}}). \quad (3)$$

Above, ν_0 denotes the nuclear transition frequency in the absence of DM, and $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$ is the amplitude of the DM-induced variation.

The amplitude of the oscillations of the nuclear transition frequency generally results from a combination of the DM coupling and DM density, as well as the sensitivity of the transition to the DM couplings in question. One can parameterize the relative time-variation of the transition frequency as

$$\frac{\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}}{\nu_0} = \sum_{X \in \{\alpha_{\text{EM}}, m_e, \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}, m_q\}} K_X \frac{\delta X}{X}, \quad (4)$$

where K_X denotes the sensitivity coefficients of the transition $\nu(t)$ to a variation of the SM parameter X .

The outstanding feature of the ^{229}Th isomer transition is its potentially enhanced sensitivity to Λ_{QCD} , $(m_u + m_d)$ and α_{EM} through what appears to be a fine-tuning of nature. The enhanced sensitivity to α_{EM} can be understood by splitting the isomeric transition energy into the contributions of the Coulomb energy ΔE_C and of the QCD binding energy ΔE_{nuc} ,

$$\nu_{\text{Th}} = \Delta E_{\text{nuc}} - \Delta E_C \approx 8 \text{ eV}. \quad (5)$$

The Coulomb contribution to the binding energy is proportional to α_{EM} . One therefore finds

$$K_{\alpha_{\text{EM}}} \sim \frac{d \log \nu_{\text{Th}}}{d \log \alpha_{\text{EM}}} \sim \frac{-\Delta E_C}{\nu_{\text{Th}}}, \quad (6)$$

and analogous expressions are expected to hold for the other parameters. In principle, these sensitivity parameters are non-perturbative, however, in the literature one can find recent attempts to estimate $K_{\alpha_{\text{EM}}}$ using a classical geometrical approach [38, 39, 45, 46]. This approach is limited both because it neglects any quantum mechanical effects responsible for the existence of the isomer excitation, and because it does not include other known contributions to the Coulomb energy. Further, even at the classical level, the transition energy difference depends on a host of parameters that are subject to large experimental uncertainties [47]. One can extend this approach or consider an effective-field-theory-inspired quantum model of ^{229}Th to further deepen our knowledge of $K_{\alpha_{\text{EM}}}$, as well as its relation to $K_{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}$ [47], which is more relevant to this work. Very roughly, we expect

$$K_{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}} \sim K_{\alpha_{\text{EM}}} \sim (0.1 - 1) \text{ MeV} / \nu_{\text{Th}} \sim 10^4 - 10^5. \quad (7)$$

This relation implies that the sensitivity of the nuclear clock is particularly prominent in the case of DM that only couples directly to the strong/nuclear sector. As briefly described in the introduction, current atomic clock comparisons have an effective sensitivity $K_{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}^{\text{atom}} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3} - 10^{-5})$ to the strong sector, either because their actual sensitivity is small or because the relative accuracy of the frequency measurement $\delta\nu/\nu_0$ cannot compete with the current best clocks. The realisation of a state-of-the-art nuclear clock based on the

isomer transition would therefore enhance the sensitivity to a variation of the nuclear scales by a factor of $K_{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}/K_{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}^{\text{atom}} = \mathcal{O}(10^8 - 10^{10})$.

For simplicity, and to demonstrate the DM search potential of interrogating the ^{229}Th isomer transition, we concentrate on a nucleophilic scalar field coupling to Λ_{QCD} . In principle, the comparison of two frequencies probes the difference between the respective sensitivity coefficients, however, in our case the sensitivity of the nuclear clock vastly dominates and we can neglect the impact of DM on the second frequency.

III. BOUNDS ON ULDM FROM LINESHAPE

The use of a narrowband VUV laser and improved control of systematic frequency shifts will eventually allow us to build a highly stable and accurate nuclear clock. On the way there, it is possible to probe models of new physics via the analysis of variations in the lineshape that they may cause. Here, we describe such a search focusing on models of ULDM coupled to nuclei.

A. Laser excitation of ^{229}Th

Schematically, the laser excitation of the ^{229}Th isomer presented in Refs. [1, 2, 43] is achieved as follows: A ^{229}Th -doped crystal is irradiated with light from a tunable VUV laser for a time period t_e . Subsequently, VUV fluorescence photons from isomer decays are detected in a photon multiplier tube (PMT) and the PMT counts are recorded for a period t_d . After each excitation time t_e the laser is turned off to avoid scattering light on the PMT. The fluorescence light from the crystal during the detection time t_d is focused and filtered with two dielectric mirrors to minimize the radioluminescence signal. Finally, the signal from the PMT is recorded with a threshold counting card. This excitation and measurement sequence is then repeated, varying the frequency of the VUV laser and thereby recording the excitation spectrum of the ^{229}Th nuclear resonance curve.

In the following, we mainly focus on the frequency scans of the highly-doped CaF_2 crystal X2 shown in Fig. 2 of Ref. [1]. The data is taken with an excitation time of $t_e = 120$ s and a measurement time of $t_d = 150$ s. The resonance is scanned twice, once with decreasing and once with increasing laser frequency. The time difference between the beginning of the two scans is $T = 130$ min.

Since the time $t_e + t_d$ between two subsequent measurement points is smaller than the fluorescence lifetime $\tau = 630$ s, the count rate also includes decays from isotopes excited during previous illumination cycles. The resulting resonance curves are asymmetric and depend on the scan direction. Subtracting the decays from previous cycles, as well as the radioluminescence background counts from nuclear decays of ^{229}Th and its decay chain,

produces symmetric resonance curves that coincide for the two scan directions.

B. Analytic estimate

To gain some physical intuition as to how this variation in transition frequency manifests itself in the ^{229}Th excitation spectrum, it is helpful to consider a few interesting limits: When the DM amplitude is much larger than the DM frequency, i.e. when $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \gg \omega_{\text{DM}}/(2\pi)$, we can treat Eq. (3) as the instantaneous transition energy at time t , such that the nucleus is only resonantly excited if the laser frequency matches $\nu(t)$. Since in Ref. [1] the width of the laser is the dominant factor contributing to the width of the recorded resonance and hence limits the sensitivity to DM, all parameter space considered here lies within this regime.

Furthermore, if the period of the DM oscillation is much longer than the duration T of the experiment, i.e. if $\omega_{\text{DM}}T \ll 2\pi$, we may approximate

$$\nu(t) \simeq \nu_0 + \delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \cos(\varphi_{\text{DM}}) - \delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \sin(\varphi_{\text{DM}}) \omega_{\text{DM}} t. \quad (8)$$

As the first and second terms are time-independent and hence indistinguishable, DM only manifests itself as a linear drift of the nuclear transition frequency. For example, if we do not observe a significant variation of the transition frequency ν between two measurements with uncertainty σ_ν and separated by a time T , we may marginalize over φ_{DM} ($|\sin(\varphi_{\text{DM}})| \rightarrow 2/\pi$) and constrain

$$\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \lesssim \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{2\pi \sigma_\nu}{\omega_{\text{DM}} T}, \quad (9)$$

at the 1σ level.

In the limit where the oscillation of the resonance frequency is much faster than all timescales relevant to the scanning process, $\omega_{\text{DM}}T \gg 2\pi$ but $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \gg \omega_{\text{DM}}/(2\pi)$, the transition frequency takes on values between $\nu_0 \pm \delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$ within one scan cycle, leading to a broadening of the line by approximately $2\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$. One is therefore able to obtain a 1σ constraint

$$\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \lesssim \frac{\Delta\nu}{2}, \quad (10)$$

where $\Delta\nu$ is the observed width of the line.

A more precise bound might be obtained by modeling the spectral distribution of the DM-impacted transition line and averaging the distribution over a DM oscillation period $T_{\text{DM}} = 2\pi/\omega_{\text{DM}}$, assuming T_{DM} is considerably shorter than the measurement time. If we further assume the nuclear lineshape to be faithfully described by a δ -distribution, we obtain two contributions per frequency ν and DM oscillation period, leading to

$$\langle I(\nu) \rangle = \int_0^{T_{\text{DM}}} \frac{dt}{T_{\text{DM}}} \delta(\nu - \nu(t)) = \frac{\theta\left(1 - \left|\frac{\nu - \nu_0}{\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}}\right|\right) / \pi}{\sqrt{\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}^2 - (\nu - \nu_0)^2}}, \quad (11)$$

where θ is the Heaviside step function. In absence of DM, the observed lineshape is given by the convolution of the resonance lineshape without DM, which is typically modelled by a Gaussian or a Lorentzian, with $I(\nu) = \delta(\nu - \nu_0)$, whereas in presence of DM, the convolution of the resonance lineshape with Eq. (11) results in a double-resonance with two peaks at $\nu_0 \pm \delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$, i.e. the DM-modulation of the nuclear transition frequency splits the nuclear resonance into two. This is a distinctive feature that can be searched for. Since in Ref. [1] only one peak is observed, the majority of the linewidth must be due to SM physics and we can place a bound on $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$ that is better than Eq. (10) by a factor of $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

As eluded to before, the analysis presented so far only applies for $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \gg \omega_{\text{DM}}/(2\pi)$. Since we constrain $\delta\nu \lesssim \Delta\nu$, this is self-consistent up to DM masses corresponding to the laser width, so at the current stage up to roughly 10^{-5} eV (or 1 GHz). We do not expect competitive bounds for masses this large and therefore do not extend our analysis further. Since the resolution of the frequency is expected to improve rapidly, now that the nuclear transition can be excited in a controlled laboratory environment, we would like to mention that for $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \ll \omega_{\text{DM}}/(2\pi)$ a search for sidebands separated by $\pm\omega_{\text{DM}}/(2\pi)$ from the central frequency ν_0 may be particularly fruitful.

Sidebands arise whenever a carrier signal (in this case at the nuclear transition frequency ν_{Th}) is modulated by a signal of a different frequency (in this case, the characteristic frequency $\omega_{\text{DM}}/(2\pi)$ of the oscillating DM). For illustration, let us consider a two-level system, where, without loss of generality, we take the energy of the ground state as 0, while the energy of the excited state is given by Eq. (3) and we set $\varphi_{\text{DM}} = 0$. The excited state $|\uparrow\rangle$ evolves as

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_\uparrow(t)\rangle &= \exp[-i2\pi\nu_0 t - i\alpha \sin(\omega_{\text{DM}} t)] |\uparrow\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n(\alpha) \exp[-i(2\pi\nu_0 + n\omega_{\text{DM}})t] |\uparrow\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where we used the Jacobi-Anger expansion in the second step, J_n denotes the n -th Bessel function and $\alpha = 2\pi\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}/\omega_{\text{DM}}$ is the modulation index. The result suggests that the transition can be resonantly driven at frequencies $\nu_0 + n\omega_{\text{DM}}/(2\pi)$, although the relative rate will be suppressed by the statistical weight $|J_n(\alpha)|^2$. Therefore, the lineshape is convoluted with

$$I(\nu) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |J_n(\alpha)|^2 \delta\left[\nu - \left(\nu_0 + n\frac{\omega_{\text{DM}}}{2\pi}\right)\right] \quad (13)$$

instead of Eq. (11). It can be seen from the right side of Fig. 2 that for $\alpha \ll 1$ there is a main peak at ν_0 , as $|J_0(\alpha)|^2 \approx 1$, together with two sidebands at $2\pi\nu_0 \pm \omega_{\text{DM}}$, with an intensity suppressed by $|J_1(\alpha)|^2 \approx \alpha^2/4$. Peaks of higher order are even further suppressed. If an experiment is able to detect sidebands with a relative intensity




FIG. 2. DM induced sidebands at large (left) and small (right) modulation index α .

$\Delta I/I$ compared to the main peak, one is therefore able to constrain

$$\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \lesssim \frac{\omega_{\text{DM}}}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta I}{I}}. \quad (14)$$

Note that in the opposite limit of $\alpha \gg 1$, all sidebands up to $n \simeq \alpha$ contribute significantly. In fact, it can be shown that in this limit Eqs. (11) and (13) for $I(\nu)$ are equivalent if the laser linewidth is large compared to ω_{DM} . This is depicted in the left side of Fig. 2, where we show, for $\alpha = 50$, Eq. (13) convoluted with a Gaussian of width $5\omega_{\text{DM}}$ in green, as well as Eq. (11) in orange.

C. Numerical analysis

We now provide an analysis of the ^{229}Th fluorescence signal recorded in Ref. [1], as described in Section III A. Denoting the number of data points in the frequency scans (shown in red in Fig. 3) by N_{points} , we define $t_n = t_0 + n(t_e + t_d)$ and $T_n = t_n + t_e$, $n = 0, \dots, N_{\text{points}}$, such that the excitation periods are $[t_n, T_n]$, whereas the detection periods are $[T_n, t_{n+1}]$. Defining the average count rate during each excitation and detection cycle as N_n , we model the post-processed count rate by

$$\Delta N_n \equiv (N_n - N_{\text{bkg}}) - e^{-\frac{t_e + t_d}{\tau}} (N_{n-1} - N_{\text{bkg}}), \quad (15)$$

where we subtracted the constant background N_{bkg} due to the radioactivity of the crystal. The second term removes fluorescence photons detected in a given cycle n but excited during previous cycles.

The number of nuclei excited between times t and $t + dt$ is given by $dN_e = \Gamma(t)dt$, where the excitation rate $\Gamma(t) \propto I(\delta\nu(t))$ is proportional to the laser intensity. The probability of a nucleus excited at time t' to decay between t and $t + dt$ is $p(t)dt = \exp\left(-\frac{t-t'}{\tau}\right) \frac{dt}{\tau}$. The PMT

count rate ΔN_n from fluorescence photons produced from nuclei excited between t_n and T_n and recorded between T_n and $T_n + t_d$ is hence given by

$$\Delta N_n = \frac{1}{t_d} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{t_d}{\tau}}\right) \int_{t_n}^{T_n} dt \Gamma(t) e^{-\frac{t-T_n}{\tau}}. \quad (16)$$

We approximate the laser profile as a Lorentzian with peak frequency ν_L , full linewidth at half maximum Δ_L and with detuning $\delta\nu = \nu_L - \nu_{\text{Th}}$ from the ^{229}Th resonance, i.e. $\Gamma(t) \propto [1 + 4(\delta\nu(t)/\Delta_L)^2]^{-1}$. We assume the time-dependence of the excitation rate to be dominated by that of the detuning and approximate the ^{229}Th resonance by a δ -distribution. Substituting $x = (T_n - t)/t_e$ and absorbing all constant prefactors into the normalization factor \mathcal{N} , we obtain

$$\Delta N_n = \Delta N_{\text{off}} + \mathcal{N} \int_0^1 dx \frac{e^{-x t_e/\tau}}{1 + 4\left(\frac{\delta\nu_n(x)}{\Delta_L}\right)^2}, \quad (17)$$

where we include an additional count offset ΔN_{off} . The detuning $\delta\nu_n$ of the laser from the ^{229}Th resonance during the n -th measurement consists of the DM-independent detuning $\delta\nu_{0,n}$, a constant offset $\delta\nu_{\text{off}}$ due to the unknown ^{229}Th frequency, and the DM-induced frequency modulation $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$, i.e. $\delta\nu_n(x) = \delta\nu_{0,n} + \delta\nu_{\text{off}} + \delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \cos(\omega_{\text{DM}} t_e x - \varphi_n)$, where φ_n is the DM phase at the beginning of each measurement period. If the DM oscillations are coherent throughout the scan, the phase in each bin is given by $\varphi_n = \varphi_{\text{DM}} + n\omega_{\text{DM}}(t_e + t_d)$.

For the scan in Fig. 2 of Ref. [1], we have $t_e = 120$ s, $t_d = 150$ s, and $\tau = 630$ s. The total duration of the experimental run is roughly four hours, including a scan decreasing the laser frequency, a ~ 35 min break and then a scan increasing the frequency. Hence, DM oscillations with angular frequencies below roughly $\omega_{\text{DM}} \lesssim 100$ Hz are coherent throughout the entire scan (recall that the coherence time is $\tau_{\text{coh}} \sim 1/(m_{\text{DM}} v_{\text{DM}}^2)$). For fixed ω_{DM} , we can then constrain $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$ marginalizing over \mathcal{N} , Δ_L , ΔN_{off} , $\delta\nu_{\text{off}}$, and φ_{DM} .

A bound on $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$ can be obtained directly from a curve fit to the data presented in Fig. 3 in red. We perform the fit and the estimation of the respective uncertainties and exclusion bounds on the DM oscillation amplitude using two independent methods: Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling and orthogonal distance regression (ODR), where, in the second method, we approximate the probability distributions of the fitted parameters as Gaussian.

The uncertainties on the counts are modeled by the standard deviation of the counts recorded in the control regions (wings, shaded in green in Fig. 3), which we defined as the regions corresponding to a frequency detuning $|\delta\nu_0| > 50$ GHz. We obtain $\sigma_{\Delta N} \approx 5 \text{ s}^{-1}$. During data taking, a ~ 5 GHz triangular frequency modulation was applied to the VUV laser frequency. However, as




FIG. 3. Lineshape fit using orthogonal distance regression to the experimental data with two-dimensional uncertainties. The uncertainties $\sigma_{\delta\nu}$ on the frequency detuning take into account the triangular modulation of the laser frequency applied during the scan. The wings, shaded in green, correspond to the control region, in which the uncertainties $\sigma_{\Delta N}$ on the PMT counts were determined. The orange error bars correspond to the uncertainties obtained by propagating $\sigma_{\delta\nu}$ to the PMT count rate, as done in the MCMC fit. The best-fit parameters and corresponding standard deviations for the models without (blue) and with (black) DM with a characteristic frequency $\omega_{\text{DM}} = 1$ Hz are shown in Table II. The black dashed line illustrates the DM-dominated case (see also Eq. (11)) and was obtained by fixing $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} = 15$ GHz.

we do not know the exact amplitude and phases of the modulation, we do not include this modulation in $\delta\nu_n(x)$, but instead model it by assigning a corresponding uncertainty on the detuning steps. The uncertainties on the frequency detuning are assumed to be of the order of $\sigma_{\delta\nu} \sim 10 \text{ GHz}/\sqrt{12} \approx 3 \text{ GHz}$.

1. Monte Carlo sampling

Our log-likelihood for the MCMC-based curve fit is given by

$$\log \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_n \left(\frac{\Delta N_n^{\text{pred}} - \Delta N_n^{\text{meas}}}{\sigma_n} \right)^2, \quad (18)$$

where ΔN_n^{meas} are the postprocessed PMT counts [cf. Eq. (15)] measured in the experiment, and ΔN_n^{pred} is the respective prediction from Eq. (17). For the uncertainty σ_n , we take the variance $\sigma_{\Delta N}$ of the data points in the wings. In the region around the peak, $|\delta\nu_0| < 50$ GHz, we further propagate the uncertainty $\sigma_{\delta\nu}$ on the detuning to the count rate and add it in quadrature, i.e. $\sigma_n^2 = \sigma_{\Delta N}^2 + \sigma_{\delta\nu}^2 \left| \frac{dy}{d\delta\nu_0}(\delta\nu_{0,n}) \right|^2$, where we evaluate the

parameter	prior range	parameter	prior range
$\Delta N_{\text{off}} [\text{s}^{-1}]$	(-100, 100)	$\mathcal{N} [\text{s}^{-1}]$	(100, 1000)
$\Delta_L [\text{GHz}]$	(1, 100)	$\delta\nu_{\text{off}} [\text{GHz}]$	(-100, 100)
φ_{DM}	(0, 2π)	$\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} [\text{GHz}]$	(0, 10^6)

TABLE I. Uniform prior ranges for the sampled parameters in the MCMC.

absolute value of the derivative as the mean of the absolute values of the slopes between each point and its left and right neighbor (orange error bars in Fig. 3).

We sample the posterior distribution using the parallel tempering MCMC sampler `PTMCMCsampler` [49]. The priors for the sampled parameters are uniform in the ranges indicated in Table I. The upper limit on the DM oscillation amplitude $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$, marginalized over the remaining parameters, is then obtained as the 95% quantile of the MCMC samples. The resulting bounds are shown as the blue line in Fig. 4.

2. Lineshape fit using orthogonal distance regression

Assigning the same uncertainties ($\sigma_{\delta\nu}, \sigma_{\Delta N}$) to all data points ($\delta\nu_{0,n}, \Delta N_n^{\text{meas}}$) (red error bars in Fig. 3), we perform an orthogonal distance regression on the data points, to the curve defined by $(\delta\nu_0, \Delta N)$, with ΔN as defined in Eq. (17). In absence of DM, the fit parameters are the count offset ΔN_{off} , the normalisation factor \mathcal{N} , and the laser linewidth Δ_L . In presence of DM with a characteristic frequency of ω_{DM} , the additional fit parameters are $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$ and φ_{DM} . We focus on the DM frequency range $\omega_{\text{DM}} \gtrsim 10^{-3}$ Hz, as the Gaussian approximation to the uncertainties breaks down in the drift regime at lower frequencies. The detuning offset $\delta\nu_{\text{off}}$ is not fitted since it is covered by the detuning uncertainty $\sigma_{\delta\nu}$ in the frequency range of interest. The resulting best-fit values and 1σ uncertainties for the fit without DM, and for a fit including DM with $\omega_{\text{DM}} = 1$ Hz, are listed in Table II. For comparison, we also list the corresponding mean parameter values of the MCMC samples. As expected, we observe that the fit is insensitive to the value of φ_{DM} in the limit where the characteristic time of the DM oscillation is much shorter than the detection time, i.e. $T_{\text{DM}} \equiv 2\pi/\omega_{\text{DM}} \ll t_d$.

The effect of the DM oscillation on the nuclear lineshape can be studied by propagating the uncertainties on the fit parameters to ΔN . In Fig. 3, we show the 2σ region for the model without DM in blue and the 2σ region for the model with DM with a characteristic frequency $\omega_{\text{DM}} = 1$ Hz in black. We estimate the bound on $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$ by computing $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}|_{\text{best-fit}} + 2\sigma_{\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}}$. The resulting upper limits as a function of ω_{DM} are indicated by the orange line in Fig. 4. For $\omega_{\text{DM}} \in [10^{-1}, 10^2]$ Hz, we observe a flat frequency behaviour with a median of $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \approx 10$ GHz.

	SM fit		DM fit	
	MCMC	ODR	MCMC	ODR
$\Delta N_{\text{off}} [\text{s}^{-1}]$	-14 ± 2	-15 ± 1	-13 ± 2	-14 ± 2
$\mathcal{N} [\text{s}^{-1}]$	460 ± 30	480 ± 30	490 ± 50	530 ± 60
$\Delta_L [\text{GHz}]$	23 ± 2	22 ± 1	21 ± 2	20 ± 2
$\delta\nu_{\text{off}} [\text{GHz}]$	-1.4 ± 0.7	—	-2 ± 4	—
φ_{DM}	—	—	3 ± 2	$2 \pm \pi$
$\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} [\text{GHz}]$	—	—	5 ± 3	5 ± 2

TABLE II. Best-fit parameters of the ODR and sample means from the MCMC in the setup without (SM) and with DM with a characteristic angular frequency $\omega_{\text{DM}} = 1 \text{ Hz}$. In the ODR DM fit, no detuning offset $\delta\nu_{\text{off}}$ was introduced since it is covered by the uncertainties on the detuning. The uncertainties on φ_{DM} cover the full parameter range.

D. Discussion

Figure 4 depicts the bounds on $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$ as a function of ω_{DM} , based on an analysis of the measured lineshape presented in Ref. [1]. We show the 95% upper limit derived from the MCMC sampling in blue and the corresponding 2σ bounds obtained using ODR in orange. This allows us to put bounds on DM whilst marginalizing over the random phase φ_{DM} and consistently treating the parameter region with $\omega_{\text{DM}}T \sim 1$.

The 2σ bound from our analytic estimate in Eqs. (9) and (10) is indicated by the dashed line in Fig. 4. The estimate agrees well with the numerical results in the low-frequency regime ($\omega_{\text{DM}} \lesssim 2\pi/T$, where $T = 130 \text{ min}$ is the time difference between the starts of the two scans). At intermediate frequencies, $2\pi/T < \omega_{\text{DM}} < 2\pi/t_e$, each excitation cycle experiences only a fraction of a DM oscillation, while the complete scan still sees at least one full oscillation. The effect of the DM oscillations in this regime hence depends on the details of the detuning scan, and are not properly captured by our naive estimate. The analytic estimate therefore deviates from the numerical results, while the two numerical methods agree. In the high-frequency regime ($\omega_{\text{DM}} \gtrsim 2\pi/t_e$), the numeric bounds become constant, qualitatively agreeing with our estimate in Eq. (10), but being roughly a factor two stronger than the estimate, as DM oscillations are not only constrained by the width of the resonance, but also by its shape (cf. Fig. 3 and discussion below). Note that we did not include information on the laser parameters, but marginalized over the linewidth Δ_L and normalization factor \mathcal{N} using generous priors. Good prior knowledge of the laser lineshape could allow to place even stronger bounds.

Figure 3 depicts the fitted data points with the $\delta\nu$ and ΔN uncertainties (red), used in the ODR-based curve fit, as well as the corresponding uncertainties σ_n used in the MCMC sampling (orange). The fitted excitation spectra (using ODR) with and without a DM background, with a DM oscillation frequency of $\omega_{\text{DM}} = 1 \text{ Hz}$, are shown




FIG. 4. Comparison of the analytic estimate (black dashed) from Eqs. (9) and (10) of the upper bound on the DM oscillation amplitude $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$ with the 95% confidence level bounds obtained from MCMC sampling (blue) or ODR (orange). The vertical dotted lines indicate the DM oscillation frequencies corresponding to the excitation time t_e and the time delay $T = 130 \text{ min}$ between the two scans.

as blue and black solid curves, respectively. The shaded bands around the lines indicate the respective 2σ uncertainty regions based on the 2σ confidence intervals (CIs) around the best-fit values. Both fits exhibit good agreement with the data.

For comparison, we also show the excitation spectrum resulting from a DM oscillation amplitude of $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} = 15 \text{ GHz}$, which (for sufficiently small Δ_L) leads to a two-peak structure, as predicted by Eq. (11). This DM amplitude is beyond the 2σ upper bound on $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$ in this particular setup, however, it illustrates the expected lineshape in the DM-dominated case and highlights how the experimental data provided by Ref. [1] can already set bounds on $\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}$. As the lineshape deviates significantly from a Lorentzian, the corresponding spectrum is excluded by the data.

IV. BOUNDS ON VARIATIONS OF THE QCD SCALE

In Fig. 1, we compare bounds on periodic variations of the QCD scale, which, we recall, can be probed via

$$\frac{\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}}{\nu_0} = K_{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}} \frac{\delta\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}} \approx \mathcal{O}(10^5) \frac{\delta\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}. \quad (19)$$

The lineshape bound obtained from the analysis presented in Section III is indicated by the red line, whereas existing bounds resulting from atomic, molecular and quartz clock comparisons are shaded in cyan [28–35]. Due to the enhanced sensitivity of the nuclear transition, we are able to place a bound that, for modulation frequencies $f = \omega_{\text{DM}}/(2\pi) \approx 10^{-2} \text{ Hz}$, is only one to two orders of magnitude weaker than the state of the art. The reach of our bound is limited by the observed linewidth

~ 20 GHz that is mainly due to the width of the laser. The same holds for the linewidth of ~ 300 kHz observed by Ref. [2]. We have indicated the approximate reach of this measurement, based on our analytic estimates in Section III B, in orange. As can be seen, this measurement easily surpasses existing bounds for modulation frequencies above $f \approx 10^{-2}$ Hz.

With the development of laser systems specifically designed for the excitation of the ^{229}Th transition, the laser width is expected to further decrease to the point at which the linewidth of the transition itself will become the limiting factor. Assuming that such measurements will be carried out in solid-state systems, the random magnetic field caused by the nuclei in the host material broadens the linewidth to a level of ≈ 100 Hz [44]. We have indicated the reach of a measurement limited by this broadening in purple. At modulation frequencies larger than 100 Hz, sideband searches can be performed.

Repeated comparison of frequency standards, commonly referred to as clock comparisons, will ultimately surpass searches based on the lineshape. Indeed, in solid state hosts one is able to leverage the large number of ^{229}Th nuclei to determine the transition frequency, i.e. the peak of the spectrum, with much greater precision than its width, $\sigma_\nu \ll \Delta\nu$. This tendency is already apparent in the results of Ref. [2], where the reported uncertainty on the peak position is about two orders of magnitude smaller than the observed width. Assuming that for $T = 10^6$ s, that is approximately two weeks, the ^{229}Th transition frequency is compared every $t = 2$ h with an uncertainty of $\sigma_\nu = 5$ kHz, one is able to constrain oscillation amplitudes

$$\delta\nu_{\text{DM}} \lesssim \sigma_\nu \sqrt{\frac{T}{t}} \quad (20)$$

over the frequency interval $2\pi/T < \omega_{\text{DM}} < 2\pi/t$. The reach of such a rudimentary clock is indicated in orange in Fig. 1 and should be achievable with the setup used in Ref. [2].

Finally, in blue we show the reach of a single ion clock limited by quantum projection noise (QPN) with parameters typical for today's optical clocks: If operated for $T = 10^6$ s, such a clock should allow the transition frequency to be determined with an uncertainty of $\sigma_\nu = 1$ Hz every $t = 1$ s. Such experiments are in theory sensitive to arbitrarily large modulation frequencies ω_{DM} , although with decreased sensitivity [50]. In practice, common clock comparison campaigns only quote bounds for $\omega_{\text{DM}} \ll 2\pi/t$ and we therefore suspect that larger frequencies will require dedicated measurement campaigns (blue dashed). Such campaigns might make use of dynamical decoupling, enhancing the sensitivity further than what is considered here [51]. However, for these large frequencies, bounds from the thorium lineshape analyses can be expected to be the dominating laboratory bounds in the near future.

V. INTERPRETATION IN TERMS OF DARK MATTER MODELS

We now interpret our limits on variations of the ^{229}Th frequency in terms of two concrete models of ULDM: a scalar field with linear couplings, and the QCD axion. Scalar ULDM generically couples linearly to the hadron masses, whereas a pseudo-scalar (axion) couples quadratically [22]. However, one can construct a broad class of natural ULDM models where the leading DM interaction with the SM fields is quadratic [52]. All lead to oscillations of the nuclear/hadronic parameters.

A. Scalar dark matter

At low energies, the general interaction of a scalar ϕ linearly coupled to the SM is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = \left[\frac{d_e}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{d_g \beta_s}{2g_s} G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a\mu\nu} - d_{m_e} m_e \bar{e} e - \sum_{q=u,d} (d_{m_q} + \gamma_{m_q} d_g) m_q \bar{q} q \right] \kappa \phi, \quad (21)$$

where d_i , $i = e, g, m_e, m_q$, are dimensionless couplings, β_s is the QCD beta function and γ_{m_q} , $q = u, d$ are the anomalous dimensions of the u and d quarks, while $\kappa = \sqrt{4\pi}/M_{\text{Pl}}$ denotes the inverse of the reduced Planck mass. The scalar couplings can in principle be related to variations of the ^{229}Th frequency, however as already discussed, at presence they are subject to significant uncertainties (see [47] for a comprehensive discussion). We shall focus on the sensitivity to variation in the strong sector, and simply assume

$$\frac{\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}}{\nu_0} \approx 10^5 d_g \kappa \phi. \quad (22)$$

In Fig. 5 we recast the bounds in terms of the scalar's mass m_ϕ and its dilatonic coupling d_g . The red shaded region indicates the lineshape bound derived in this work from the excitation spectrum in Ref. [1], the orange line corresponds to the projection for limits using the data from Ref. [2]. In the mass region $m_\phi < 10^{-18}$ eV, we already assume clock operation (continuous comparison of frequency standards) with lineshape scans repeated every two hours. The purple line is a projection of a lineshape bound in the case where the linewidth is limited by the crystal properties instead of the VUV laser. Current clock constraints [28–35] are shown in cyan, whereas the blue line indicates the prospective reach of a full-fledged nuclear clock [11, 53, 54].

Assuming the field ϕ constitutes DM, its mass is bounded from below to $m_{\text{DM}} \gtrsim 10^{-21}$ eV by astrophysical observations, e.g. of Milky-Way (MW) satellite galaxies [55] (see Ref. [56] for a review). Further, this scalar mediates equivalence-principle (EP) violating forces that are constrained by the non-observation




FIG. 5. Searches for scalar DM coupled to QCD. Colored bounds are searches for time variations of frequencies due to the scalar being DM, while the gray areas are constrained by DM being heavy enough to allow for Milky-Way satellite galaxies as well as equivalence principle violating forces mediated by the scalar. The lineshape bound derived in this work is shown in red, whereas current clock bounds are indicated by the cyan region. Forecasts for lineshape bounds using current data with a linewidth of 300 kHz and for future measurements reducing the linewidth to 100 Hz are depicted by the orange (right solid part of the line) and purple lines, respectively. A projection for a clock operation is also shown in orange (left solid part), and the blue line is a future QPN-limited nuclear clock.

of relative acceleration between various test masses [57–59]. Both constraints are indicated by the gray shaded regions in Fig. 5. Crucially, the EP bounds are independent of the assumption that the scalar constitutes DM, for which reason their relative strength with respect to the bounds on the time-variation of fundamental constants depends on the local DM density [60]. In the recasting of the bounds, we assumed that the scalar constitutes all of the DM and that the DM density coincides with the one inferred from Milky Way observations, i.e. $\rho_{\text{DM}} = 0.4 \text{ GeV}/\text{cm}^3$ [61]. For the masses $m_\phi = (10^{-14} - 10^{-13}) \text{ eV}$, we further indicate by dotted lines how the existence of a DM halo with a relative over-density of 10^5 around the Sun enhances the reach of the searches discussed here. Such a halo might form via the mechanism presented in Ref. [62, 63].

For scalar masses in the range of $5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ eV}$ to $5 \times 10^{-16} \text{ eV}$, the bound derived in this work (red) falls shy of the current leading clock bounds (cyan) by only

one to two orders of magnitude. Our projection for a similar analysis using currently existing data [2] outperforms the clock bounds above $m_\phi \gtrsim 10^{-17} \text{ eV}$ (right solid part of the orange line). While the lineshape bounds cannot reach beyond the exclusion limits of EP tests, even when pushing the linewidth measurement to the 100 Hz limit, a clock operation of the existing laser-excitation setup (left solid part of the orange line) can access unprobed parameter space in the mass range $m_\phi \simeq (3 \times 10^{-21} - 2 \times 10^{-19}) \text{ eV}$. A nuclear clock operated at the QPN limit surpasses the EP tests below masses of $m_\phi \lesssim 10^{-14} \text{ eV}$ and can even probe the parameter region motivated by naturalness, i.e. where the quantum loop corrections to the scalar mass are on the order of or less than the mass itself, $\Delta m_\phi^2 \sim d_g^2 \Lambda_{\text{UV}}^4 / (4\pi M_{\text{Pl}})^2 \lesssim m_\phi^2$, assuming a UV cutoff of $\Lambda_{\text{UV}} \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$, indicated by the dotted line.

B. Axion

As an example of a quadratically coupled field, we consider the QCD axion a . It arises as the pseudo-Goldstone boson in the spontaneous breaking of the Peccei-Quinn symmetry and exhibits the defining coupling

$$\mathcal{L}_a \supset \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \frac{a}{f_a} G_{\mu\nu}^A \tilde{G}^{A\mu\nu}, \quad (23)$$

where \tilde{G} is the dual of the gluon field strength. Once QCD confines, this coupling gives rise to interactions between pions and the axion, inducing an axion-dependence of the pion mass.

$$m_\pi^2(\theta) = B(m_u^2 + m_d^2 + 2m_u m_d \cos(\theta))^{1/2} \quad (24)$$

with $\theta = a/f_a$ and $B = \langle \bar{q}q \rangle / f_\pi^2$. Expanding around $\theta = 0$, one finds the leading quadratic interaction $\propto \theta^2 \pi^2$. Note that these effects are due to the QCD anomaly and for a generic axion-like particle suppressed by m_a^2/f_a^2 [52]. Following [22], we find for the QCD axion

$$\frac{\delta\nu_{\text{DM}}}{\nu_0} = -2.2 \times 10^4 \theta^2. \quad (25)$$

In Fig. 6 the corresponding bounds are shown in terms of the axion mass m_a and the inverse decay constant $1/f_a$. Currently, the best laboratory bounds on axion DM come from searches for an oscillating neutron electric dipole moment (nEDM), shown by the green shaded area [64, 65]. We further indicate in gray the parameter space excluded by the non-observation of superradiance [66, 67] or by supernova (SN) [68–70] and neutron star (NS) cooling bounds [71], big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) [72], as well as bounds on the field being sourced by massive objects like the Earth, Sun or white dwarfs [73–75].

In a minimal model of the QCD axion, Eq. (24) relates the axion mass to the decay constant via the QCD scale $m_a \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2/f_a$ (dotted line labeled *QCD axion* in Fig. 6). In this work we are, however, studying a broader class of models in which the QCD axion can be lighter either because its mass is fine-tuned or as the result of a large discrete Z_N symmetry [76] (dotted lines labeled $Z_{25/99}$ *QCD axion* in Fig. 6). In Fig. 6, we indicate with dotted lines the $1/f_a$ values below which the observed DM abundance may be obtained via the minimal misalignment mechanism [3–5] in the fine-tuned and Z_N symmetry cases, respectively. We assumed that reheating happens shortly before BBN $T_{\text{rh}} \gtrsim T_{\text{BBN}} \sim 1 \text{ MeV}$, which gives the largest DM densities.

For the axion, the lineshape analysis carried out in this work (red) yields bounds that are competitive with current clock bounds (cyan) around $m_a \sim 2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ eV}$ and only about one order of magnitude weaker than the clock bounds at higher masses. Our projection for the data of Ref. [2] (orange) surpasses current clocks and is only an order one factor less sensitive than oscillating nEDM searches. When reaching a linewidth of $\sim 100 \text{ Hz}$ (purple), lineshape bounds are about one order of magnitude

more sensitive than the nEDM above $m_a \gtrsim 10^{-17} \text{ eV}$, and a full-fledged nuclear clock (blue solid line) will overcome the nEDM bound even below $m_a \lesssim 10^{-17} \text{ eV}$ by roughly two orders of magnitude.

DM candidates that are quadratically coupled to the SM and have masses larger than 10^{-15} eV , can, besides the harmonic variation of transition frequencies discussed so far, also give rise to stochastic variations over the experiment's time scales [77–80]. These fluctuations are due to the DM velocity dispersion v_{DM} and feature a white noise spectrum with a UV cut-off at $\nu_{\text{mod}} \sim v_{\text{DM}}^2 m_a$. The velocity dispersion within the Milky Way is estimated as $v_{\text{DM}} \sim 10^{-3}$ and can, within a solar halo, be assumed to be similar to the escape velocity $v_{\text{esc}} \sim 10^{-4}$. Such white noise can be searched for in the timing data of clock comparison experiments [80]. In Fig. 6 we indicate with a blue long-dashed line the corresponding reach of a QPN-limited clock.

VI. SUMMARY

In this work, we discuss the implications of the laser excitation of ^{229}Th for ultralight dark matter (ULDM) searches. We argue that already at this early stage, before the realization of a nuclear clock, the excitation spectrum of the isomeric transition is sensitive to ULDM. The oscillation of the nuclear parameters induced by the ULDM modifies the lineshape of the transition. Using the data taken by Tiedau *et al.* [1] we perform, for the first time, a new-physics motivated analysis of the spectrum and provide a bound on the ULDM coupling versus its mass in two well-motivated (pseudo)scalar ULDM models, as well as a model-independent assessment of the sensitivity to a variation of the QCD scale. We also demonstrate how our method can be applied to future measurements, we provide projections for other existing laser excitations of ^{229}Th , and derive analytic estimations for the regions of slow and fast oscillating DM.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of GP is supported by grants from the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF) and the United States National Science Foundation (NSF), the Friedrich Wilhelm Bessel research award of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, and the Israel Science Foundation (ISF). EF and FK acknowledge funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC-2123 QuantumFrontiers – 390837967 – and support by the SFB 1227 (DQ-mat) – Project-ID 274200144. The work of EP and JT has been funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant Agreement No. 856415), the Deutsche




FIG. 6. Searches for axion DM. The lineshape bound derived in this work is shown in red, whereas current clock bounds and limits from oscillating nEDM searches are indicated by the cyan and green region, respectively. Forecasts for lineshape bounds using current data with a linewidth of 300 kHz and for future measurements reducing the linewidth to 100 Hz are depicted by the orange (right solid part of the line) and purple lines, respectively. A projection for a clock operation is also shown in orange (left solid part), and the blue line is a future QPN-limited nuclear clock. Constraints independent of axion abundance are shown in gray.

Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) – SFB 1227 – Project-ID 274200144 (Project B04), and by the Max-Planck-RIKEN-PTB-Center for Time, Constants and Fundamental Symmetries.

-
- [1] J. Tiedau *et al.*, Laser Excitation of the Th-229 Nucleus, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 182501 (2024).
[2] C. Zhang *et al.*, Dawn of a nuclear clock: frequency ratio of the ^{229m}Th isomeric transition and the ^{87}Sr atomic clock, [arXiv:2406.18719 \[physics.atom-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.18719) (2024).
[3] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise, and F. Wilczek, Cosmology of the Invisible Axion, *Phys. Lett. B* **120**, 127 (1983).
[4] L. F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, A Cosmological Bound on the Invisible Axion, *Phys. Lett. B* **120**, 133 (1983).
[5] M. Dine and W. Fischler, The Not So Harmless Axion, *Phys. Lett. B* **120**, 137 (1983).
[6] A. Hook, TASI Lectures on the Strong CP Problem and Axions, *PoS TASI2018*, 004 (2019), [arXiv:1812.02669 \[hep-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.02669).
[7] L. Di Luzio, M. Giannotti, E. Nardi, and L. Visinelli, The landscape of QCD axion models, *Phys. Rept.* **870**, 1 (2020), [arXiv:2003.01100 \[hep-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.01100).
[8] Y. M. Cho and Y. Y. Keum, Dilatonic dark matter and unified cosmology: A new paradigm, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **15**, 907 (1998).
[9] T. Damour and J. F. Donoghue, Phenomenology of the Equivalence Principle with Light Scalars, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27**, 202001 (2010), [arXiv:1007.2790 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.2790).
[10] T. Damour and J. F. Donoghue, Equivalence Principle Violations and Couplings of a Light Dilaton, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 084033 (2010), [arXiv:1007.2792 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.2792).
[11] A. Arvanitaki, J. Huang, and K. Van Tilburg, Searching for dilaton dark matter with atomic clocks, *Phys. Rev.*

- D **91**, 015015 (2015), [arXiv:1405.2925 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [12] J. Hubisz, S. Ironi, G. Perez, and R. Rosenfeld, A note on the quality of dilatonic ultralight dark matter, *Phys. Lett. B* **851**, 138583 (2024), [arXiv:2401.08737 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [13] P. W. Graham, D. E. Kaplan, J. Mardon, S. Rajendran, and W. A. Terrano, Dark Matter Direct Detection with Accelerometers, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 075029 (2016), [arXiv:1512.06165 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [14] A. Banerjee, H. Kim, and G. Perez, Coherent relaxation dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 115026 (2019), [arXiv:1810.01889 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [15] A. Chatrchyan and G. Servant, Relaxion dark matter from stochastic misalignment, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **06** (2023), 036, [arXiv:2211.15694 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [16] F. Piazza and M. Pospelov, Sub-eV scalar dark matter through the super-renormalizable Higgs portal, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 043533 (2010), [arXiv:1003.2313 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [17] A. E. Nelson, Naturally Weak CP Violation, *Phys. Lett. B* **136**, 387 (1984).
- [18] S. M. Barr, A Natural Class of non-Peccei-Quinn Models, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 1805 (1984).
- [19] S. M. Barr, Solving the Strong CP Problem Without the Peccei-Quinn Symmetry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 329 (1984).
- [20] M. Dine, G. Perez, W. Ratzinger, and I. Savoray, Nelson-Barr ultralight dark matter, [arXiv:2405.06744 \[hep-ph\]](#) (2024).
- [21] V. V. Flambaum and A. F. Tedesco, Dependence of nuclear magnetic moments on quark masses and limits on temporal variation of fundamental constants from atomic clock experiments, *Phys. Rev. C* **73**, 055501 (2006), [arXiv:nucl-th/0601050](#).
- [22] H. Kim and G. Perez, Oscillations of atomic energy levels induced by QCD axion dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 015005 (2024), [arXiv:2205.12988 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [23] J.-P. Uzan, Varying Constants, Gravitation and Cosmology, *Living Rev. Rel.* **14**, 2 (2011), [arXiv:1009.5514 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [24] Y. V. Stadnik and V. V. Flambaum, Can dark matter induce cosmological evolution of the fundamental constants of Nature?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 201301 (2015), [arXiv:1503.08540 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [25] M. S. Safronova, D. Budker, D. DeMille, D. F. J. Kimball, A. Derevianko, and C. W. Clark, Search for New Physics with Atoms and Molecules, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 025008 (2018), [arXiv:1710.01833 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [26] D. Antypas, O. Tretiak, K. Zhang, A. Garcon, G. Perez, M. G. Kozlov, S. Schiller, and D. Budker, Probing fast oscillating scalar dark matter with atoms and molecules, *Quantum Sci. Technol.* **6**, 034001 (2021), [arXiv:2012.01519 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [27] D. Antypas *et al.*, New Horizons: Scalar and Vector Ultralight Dark Matter, [arXiv:2203.14915 \[hep-ex\]](#) (2022).
- [28] A. Hees, J. Guéna, M. Abgrall, S. Bize, and P. Wolf, Searching for an oscillating massive scalar field as a dark matter candidate using atomic hyperfine frequency comparisons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 061301 (2016), [arXiv:1604.08514 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [29] C. J. Kennedy, E. Oelker, J. M. Robinson, T. Bothwell, D. Kedar, W. R. Milner, G. E. Marti, A. Derevianko, and J. Ye, Precision Metrology Meets Cosmology: Improved Constraints on Ultralight Dark Matter from Atom-Cavity Frequency Comparisons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 201302 (2020), [arXiv:2008.08773 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [30] W. M. Campbell, B. T. McAllister, M. Goryachev, E. N. Ivanov, and M. E. Tobar, Searching for Scalar Dark Matter via Coupling to Fundamental Constants with Photonic, Atomic and Mechanical Oscillators, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 071301 (2021), [arXiv:2010.08107 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [31] T. Kobayashi *et al.*, Search for Ultralight Dark Matter from Long-Term Frequency Comparisons of Optical and Microwave Atomic Clocks, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 241301 (2022), [arXiv:2212.05721 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [32] X. Zhang, A. Banerjee, M. Leyser, G. Perez, S. Schiller, D. Budker, and D. Antypas, Search for Ultralight Dark Matter with Spectroscopy of Radio-Frequency Atomic Transitions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 251002 (2023), [arXiv:2212.04413 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [33] N. Sherrill *et al.*, Analysis of atomic-clock data to constrain variations of fundamental constants, *New J. Phys.* **25**, 093012 (2023), [arXiv:2302.04565 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [34] A. Banerjee, D. Budker, M. Filzinger, N. Huntemann, G. Paz, G. Perez, S. Porsev, and M. Safronova, Oscillating nuclear charge radii as sensors for ultralight dark matter, [arXiv:2301.10784 \[hep-ph\]](#) (2023).
- [35] R. Oswald *et al.*, Search for Dark-Matter-Induced Oscillations of Fundamental Constants Using Molecular Spectroscopy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 031302 (2022), [arXiv:2111.06883 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [36] I. Kozyryev, Z. Lasner, and J. M. Doyle, Enhanced sensitivity to ultralight bosonic dark matter in the spectra of the linear radical SrOH, *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 043313 (2021), [arXiv:1805.08185 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [37] E. Madge, G. Perez, and Z. Meir, Prospects of nuclear-coupled-dark-matter detection via correlation spectroscopy of I2+ and Ca+, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 015008 (2024), [arXiv:2404.00616 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [38] V. V. Flambaum, N. Auerbach, and V. F. Dmitriev, Coulomb energy contribution to the excitation energy in Th-229, *EPL* **85**, 50005 (2009), [arXiv:0807.3218 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [39] J. C. Berengut, V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, and S. G. Porsev, A Proposed experimental method to determine alpha-sensitivity of splitting between ground and 7.6-eV isomeric states in Th-229, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 210801 (2009), [arXiv:0903.1891 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [40] J. Thielking, K. Zhang, J. Tiedau, J. Zander, G. Zitzer, M. Okhapkin, and E. Peik, Vacuum-ultraviolet laser source for spectroscopy of trapped thorium ions, *New Journal of Physics* **25**, 083026 (2023).
- [41] K. Beeks *et al.*, Growth and characterization of thorium-doped calcium fluoride single crystals, *Sci. Rep.* **13**, 3897 (2023), [arXiv:2211.05445 \[cond-mat.mtrl-sci\]](#).
- [42] S. Kraemer *et al.*, Observation of the radiative decay of the ²²⁹Th nuclear clock isomer, *Nature* **617**, 706 (2023), [arXiv:2209.10276 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [43] R. Elwell, C. Schneider, J. Jeet, J. E. S. Terhune, H. W. T. Morgan, A. N. Alexandrova, H. B. Tran Tan, A. Derevianko, and E. R. Hudson, Laser Excitation of the Th229 Nuclear Isomeric Transition in a Solid-State Host, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133**, 013201 (2024), [arXiv:2404.12311 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [44] G. A. Kazakov, A. N. Litvinov, V. I. Romanenko, L. P. Yatsenko, A. V. Romanenko, M. Schreitl, G. Winkler, and T. Schumm, Performance of a²²⁹thorium solid-state nuclear clock, *New Journal of Physics* **14**, 083019 (2012), [arXiv:1204.3268 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).

- [45] V. V. Flambaum, Enhanced effect of temporal variation of the fine structure constant and the strong interaction in Th-229, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 092502 (2006), [arXiv:physics/0604188](#).
- [46] J. C. Berengut and V. V. Flambaum, Astronomical and laboratory searches for space-time variation of fundamental constants, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **264**, 012010 (2011), [arXiv:1009.3693 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [47] A. Caputo, D. Gazit, H. W. Hammer, J. Kopp, G. Paz, G. Perez, and K. Springmann, To appear.
- [48] M. G. Kozlov, M. S. Safronova, J. R. Crespo López-Urrutia, and P. O. Schmidt, Highly charged ions: Optical clocks and applications in fundamental physics, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 045005 (2018), [arXiv:1803.06532 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [49] J. Ellis and R. van Haasteren, [jellis18/ptmcmcsampler: Official release](#) (2017).
- [50] A. Derevianko, Detecting dark-matter waves with a network of precision-measurement tools, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 042506 (2018), [arXiv:1605.09717 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [51] S. Aharony, N. Akerman, R. Ozeri, G. Perez, I. Savoray, and R. Shaliv, Constraining Rapidly Oscillating Scalar Dark Matter Using Dynamic Decoupling, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 075017 (2021), [arXiv:1902.02788 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [52] A. Banerjee, G. Perez, M. Safronova, I. Savoray, and A. Shalit, The phenomenology of quadratically coupled ultra light dark matter, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2023), 042, [arXiv:2211.05174 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [53] E. Peik, T. Schumm, M. S. Safronova, A. Pálffy, J. Weitenberg, and P. G. Thirolf, Nuclear clocks for testing fundamental physics, *Quantum Sci. Technol.* **6**, 034002 (2021), [arXiv:2012.09304 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [54] A. Banerjee, H. Kim, O. Matsedonskyi, G. Perez, and M. S. Safronova, Probing the Relaxed Relaxation at the Luminosity and Precision Frontiers, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2020), 153, [arXiv:2004.02899 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [55] E. O. Nadler *et al.* (DES), Milky Way Satellite Census. III. Constraints on Dark Matter Properties from Observations of Milky Way Satellite Galaxies, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 091101 (2021), [arXiv:2008.00022 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [56] L. Hui, Wave Dark Matter, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **59**, 247 (2021), [arXiv:2101.11735 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [57] S. Schlamminger, K. Y. Choi, T. A. Wagner, J. H. Gundlach, and E. G. Adelberger, Test of the equivalence principle using a rotating torsion balance, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 041101 (2008), [arXiv:0712.0607 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [58] G. L. Smith, C. D. Hoyle, J. H. Gundlach, E. G. Adelberger, B. R. Heckel, and H. E. Swanson, Short range tests of the equivalence principle, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 022001 (2000), [arXiv:2405.10982 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [59] P. Touboul *et al.* (MICROSCOPE), MICROSCOPE Mission: Final Results of the Test of the Equivalence Principle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 121102 (2022), [arXiv:2209.15487 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [60] A. Banerjee, D. Budker, J. Eby, V. V. Flambaum, H. Kim, O. Matsedonskyi, and G. Perez, Searching for Earth/Solar Axion Halos, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2020), 004, [arXiv:1912.04295 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [61] P. J. McMillan, Mass models of the Milky Way, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **414**, 2446 (2011), [arXiv:1102.4340 \[astro-ph.GA\]](#).
- [62] D. Budker, J. Eby, M. Gorghetto, M. Jiang, and G. Perez, A generic formation mechanism of ultralight dark matter solar halos, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **12** (2023), 021, [arXiv:2306.12477 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [63] M. Gorghetto, E. Hardy, and G. Villadoro, More Axion Stars from Strings, [arXiv:2405.19389 \[hep-ph\]](#) (2024).
- [64] C. Abel *et al.*, Search for Axionlike Dark Matter through Nuclear Spin Precession in Electric and Magnetic Fields, *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 041034 (2017), [arXiv:1708.06367 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [65] I. Schulthess *et al.*, New Limit on Axionlike Dark Matter Using Cold Neutrons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 191801 (2022), [arXiv:2204.01454 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [66] C. Ünal, F. Pacucci, and A. Loeb, Properties of ultralight bosons from heavy quasar spins via superradiance, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **05** (2021), 007, [arXiv:2012.12790 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [67] A. Arvanitaki, M. Baryakhtar, and X. Huang, Discovering the QCD Axion with Black Holes and Gravitational Waves, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 084011 (2015), [arXiv:1411.2263 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [68] G. G. Raffelt, Astrophysical axion bounds, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **741**, 51 (2008), [arXiv:hep-ph/0611350](#).
- [69] P. Carezza, T. Fischer, M. Giannotti, G. Guo, G. Martínez-Pinedo, and A. Mirizzi, Improved axion emissivity from a supernova via nucleon-nucleon bremsstrahlung, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **10** (2019), 016, [Erratum: *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **05**, E01 (2020)], [arXiv:1906.11844 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [70] A. Caputo and G. Raffelt, Astrophysical Axion Bounds: The 2024 Edition, *PoS COSMICWISPerS*, 041 (2024), [arXiv:2401.13728 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [71] L. B. Leinson, Impact of axions on the Cassiopea A neutron star cooling, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **09** (2021), 001, [arXiv:2105.14745 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [72] K. Blum, R. T. D’Agnolo, M. Lisanti, and B. R. Safdi, Constraining Axion Dark Matter with Big Bang Nucleosynthesis, *Phys. Lett. B* **737**, 30 (2014), [arXiv:1401.6460 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [73] A. Hook and J. Huang, Probing axions with neutron star inspirals and other stellar processes, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2018), 036, [arXiv:1708.08464 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [74] R. Balkin, J. Serra, K. Springmann, S. Stelzl, and A. Weiler, White dwarfs as a probe of exceptionally light QCD axions, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 095032 (2024), [arXiv:2211.02661 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [75] R. Balkin, J. Serra, K. Springmann, S. Stelzl, and A. Weiler, Heavy neutron stars from light scalars, [arXiv:2307.14418 \[hep-ph\]](#) (2023).
- [76] A. Hook, Solving the Hierarchy Problem Discretely, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 261802 (2018), [arXiv:1802.10093 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [77] H. Masia-Roig *et al.*, Intensity interferometry for ultralight bosonic dark matter detection, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 015003 (2023), [arXiv:2202.02645 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [78] V. V. Flambaum and I. B. Samsonov, Fluctuations of atomic energy levels due to axion dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 075022 (2023), [arXiv:2302.11167 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [79] H. Kim and A. Lenoci, Gravitational focusing of wave dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 063032 (2022), [arXiv:2112.05718 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [80] H. Kim, A. Lenoci, G. Perez, and W. Ratzinger, Probing an ultralight QCD axion with electromagnetic quadratic interaction, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 015030 (2024), [arXiv:2307.14962 \[hep-ph\]](#).