Nonmetricity and Poincarè gauge gravity

James T. Wheeler[†]

July 22, 2024

Abstract

We show that in a spacetime geometry with general connection, there exist field redefinitions that replace the mixed-symmetry nonmetricity of the asymmetric connection with the sum of torsion and the field strength of special conformal transformations of a Lorentzian connection.

In contrast to general relativity, generic action functionals give different results for metric versus Palatini-style variations. We consider some of the resulting issues in Poincarè gauge theory, where it is natural to vary both the solder form and the spin connection, and where the action may differ from the Einstein-Hilbert case. Independent variation may introduce nonmetricity as well as torsion. Appending the defining equation of nonmetricity to the Maurer-Cartan equations of the Poincarè Lie algebra, we show that the mixed symmetry components of nonmetricity can be absorbed into an altered torsion tensor, restoring local Lorentz symmetry and metric compatibility while including quadratic terms in the redefined curvature.

In order to recover the original torsion and nonmetric fields, we replace the definition of nonmetricity by an additional structure equation. We show that the maximal Lie algebra compatible with the enlarged set is isomorphic to the conformal Lie algebra. Therefore, in a Lorentzian conformal geometry the sum of torsion and the field strength of special conformal transformations is given by the mixed symmetry nonmetricity of an equivalent asymmetric system.

Keywords: Poincarè gauge theory, torsion, nonmetricity, nonmetric gravity, general relativity, conformal, biconformal, scale invariant general relativity

[†]James T Wheeler, Utah State University Department of Physics, 4415 Old Main Hill, Logan, UT 84322-4415, jim.wheeler@usu.edu

1 Introduction

A well-known special property of general relativity is the equivalence between two distinct variations: (1) varying the metric while assuming the Levi-Civita connection, and (2) varying the metric and connection independently. Without this coincidence, independent variation of an action may lead to torsion and/or nonmetricity. Here we explore this more general outcome.

Torsion arises naturally within Poincarè gauge theory, where it is normal to vary the metric and connection independently, and where the Einstein-Hilbert action is only one choice out of many possible theories consistent with the Einstein equation. This leaves open the question of whether the metric and connection are compatible. If compatibility is not assumed, the gauge theory will differ from canonical general relativity by the presence of both torsion and nonmetricity. Nonmetricity is of particular concern, since a symmetric part to the connection will break Lorentz invariance.

We show that even if a Lorentzian theory develops nonmetricity, the nonmetricity may always be used to antisymmetrize the spin connection, restoring Lorentz symmetry, thereby giving an explicit realization of Theorem 5.8 of [1]. Within the recast theory, field redefinitions merge the nonmetricity and torsion into a single modified torsion field, eliminating their independence. We develop sufficient additional structure to recover the original nonmetricity and torsion. This maximal extension of the nonmetric structure is equivalent to a gauge theory of the conformal group, and shows a suprising equivalence between nonmetricity, torsion, and the special conformal curvature.

Our procedure is as follows. In the next Section, we begin with the Cartan equations for Poincarè symmetry. We then drop the antisymmetry of the spin connection and append the definition of nonmetricity. Somewhat oxymoronically, we call this intermediate structure "nonmetric Poincarè gauge theory" because we then show that field redefinitions restore the original Poincarè form of the structure equations. Lorentz covariance is restored while independence of the original fields is lost.

In Section (3) we develop an additional Lorentzian structure equation so that the original fields may be recovered. This involves the introduction of a potential for part of the nonmetricity. We check integrability of the extended system, and backtrack to find an equivalent extended nonmetric system.

The addition of an additional variable ignores the fact that the new potential might appear in the original equations. In Section (4) we establish an underlying Maurer-Cartan system by including such extra terms while setting curvature, torsion, and nonmetricity to zero. We ensure maximal consistency by adding all allowed additional terms that are at least linear in the new potential to the original nonmetric structure equations, then impose integrability of the full set to determine the coefficients. Integrability guarantees that the resulting equations are the Maurer-Cartan equations of a Lie algebra. Finally, we redefine fields to recover a Lorentzian system, showing that the maximal system is equivalent to the conformal Maurer-Cartan equations.

The extended set may then be used to define a gravity theory by well-known methods [2, 3]. This extends the original asymmetric system to a maximal set that, when gauged to include the field strengths, allows us to determine both the original torsion and nometricity. Using basis changes we relate the nonmetric curvature, torsion and nonmetricity to the curvature, torsion, and special conformal curvature of the conformal theory.

All calculations to this point are based on the structure equations and not on any particular physical theory. In the final Section, summarize our findings and briefly comment on two conformal gravity theories. We show that in the auxiliary conformal gauge gravity there is a class of solutions in which the field strength of the special conformal gauge field explicitly becomes the nonmetricity. In the biconformal, or Kähler, gauging the interchangeability of the torsion and the mixed symmetry nonmetricity is manifest.

The remaining totally symmetric part of the nonmetricity is likely to vanish without the–possibly unlikely [4]–inclusion of spin-3 sources. In this case we may conclude that the study of gauge theories of gravity with general connection may be recast as the study of conformal gravity theories.

2 Poincarè gauge theory from nonmetric Poincarè gauge theory

We begin with the Cartan structure equations of Poincarè gauge theory.

$$\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} = \boldsymbol{\omega}^{c}{}_{b} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} + \mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b} \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^a = \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^a{}_b + \mathbf{T}^a \tag{2}$$

These specify the curvature $\mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b}$ and torsion \mathbf{T}^{a} 2-forms in terms of the spin connection $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b}$ and the solder form \mathbf{e}^{a} . Poincaré gauge theory is generated from the symmetry of Minkowski space, so that the spin connection has Lorentzian antisymmetry $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} = -\eta^{ac}\eta_{bd}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{d}{}_{c}$ with $\eta_{ab} = diag(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. This antisymmetry justifies the use of Lorentzian metrics in the resulting curved spacetimes (1, 2).

Constraining to vanishing torsion and specifying the Einstein-Hilbert action gives the starting point for general relativity, while leaving torsion unconstrained gives the Einstein-Cartan-Sciama-Kibble (ECSK) theory of gravity [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. Including generic sources in the ECSK theory drives the curvature through the resulting energy tensor and the torsion through the spin tensor [14] when the connection and metric are varied independently. Additional terms in the torsion [15, 16, 17, 18] and properties of nonmetricity [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25] have been considered extensively.

Here we consider the structure equations with independent solder form and spin connection, and show that the system nonetheless results in local Lorentz symmetry. Replace the spin connection $\omega^a{}_b$ in Eqs.(1) and (2) with an asymmetric spin connection $\hat{\omega}_{ab}$, and include the resulting nonzero nonmetricity $\mathbf{Q}_{ab} \equiv \mathbf{D}g_{ab}$. The system is now described by three equations.

$$\mathbf{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{c}{}_{b} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{c} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}}^{a}{}_{b} \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^{a} = \mathbf{e}^{b} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} + \hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a} \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{d}g_{ab} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{ab} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{ba} + \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{ab} \tag{5}$$

where we have expanded the equation for the nonmetricity. The integrability of Eqs.(3) and (4) does not depend on the antisymmetry of the spin connection, leading to the usual form of the Bianchi identities with torsion. In an orthonormal frame, $\mathbf{d}g_{ab} = \mathbf{d}\eta_{ab} = 0$, so that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{(ab)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Q}_{ab}$, and we may decompose the spin connection as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{ab} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{ab} \tag{6}$$

The circumflex denotes objects of the original nonmetric system (3)-(5) and $\omega_{ab} = \omega_{[ab]}$ is a Lorentzian spin connection.

Now substitute Eq.(6) into the generalized structure equations. For the torsion equation

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^{a} &=& \mathbf{e}^{b}\wedge\left(oldsymbol{\omega}_{ab}-rac{1}{2}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{ab}
ight)+\hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a} \ &=& \mathbf{e}^{b}\wedgeoldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b}+\hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a}-\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a} \end{array}$$

Here we define the mixed symmetry part of the nonmetricity as a 2-form,

$$\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}^{b} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{b} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{Q}^{a}{}_{[bc]} \mathbf{e}^{b} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{c}$$

where $\hat{Q}_{a[bc]}$ and $\hat{Q}_{(abc)}$ are the irreducible parts of the nonmetricity. Since $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^a$ is a vector-valued 2-form it merely alters the torsion to $\mathbf{T}^a \equiv \hat{\mathbf{T}}^a - \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^a$ as shown in [26].

Expanding the curvature $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}}^a{}_b$ using Eq.(6) we have the usual extra terms arising from an addition to a connection,

$$\mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} = \boldsymbol{\omega}^{c}{}_{b} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}}^{a}{}_{b} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{b} + \frac{1}{4} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{c}{}_{b} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{c}$$

so that

$$\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} = \boldsymbol{\omega}^{c}{}_{b} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} + \mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b}$$

$$\mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^{a} = \mathbf{e}^{b} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} + \mathbf{T}^{a}$$

$$(7)$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}}^{a}{}_{b} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{b} + \frac{1}{4}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{c}{}_{b}\wedge\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{c}$$
(8)

$$\mathbf{Q}_{ab} = 0 \tag{9}$$

and **D** is the covariant exterior derivative of the Lorentzian connection $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b}$. Note that the curvature $\mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b}$ includes contributions from the contorsion $\mathbf{C}^{a}{}_{b}$ of the modified torsion, $\mathbf{T}^{a} = \hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a} - \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}$. The antisymmetry of $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b}$ restores compatibility with the Lorentz metric while the original symmetric field $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{b}$ folds into the curvature and vanishes from explicit consideration.

Writing the Einstein-Hilbert form of the action,

$$S_{EH} = \int \mathcal{R}^{ab} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{c} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{d} e_{abcd}$$

= $\int \left(\mathbf{R}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{ab} - \frac{1}{4} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{eb} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}_{e} \right) \wedge \mathbf{e}^{c} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{d} e_{abcd}$
= $\int \left(\mathbf{R}^{ab} + \frac{1}{4} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}_{e} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{be} \right) \wedge \mathbf{e}^{c} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{d} e_{abcd}$

the derivative term drops out by symmetry, $\mathbf{D}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{ab}e_{abcd} = 0$, and we are left with a novel source term determined by the original nonmetricity. Varying $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{ab}$ independently then leads to $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{ab} = 0$ in the absence of sources. However, even if we constrain to second order field equations linear in second derivatives, we are no longer restricted to the Einstein-Hilbert form of the action. We may add any terms up to quadratic in $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{ab}, \hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a}$ or $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}$ as examined in [26]. Without including Spin-3 matter sources or terms cubic in nonmetricity, it seems likely that the totally symmetric part of the nonmetricity will vanish. However, the mixed symmetry piece may be sourced by the same fields that drive torsion. An algebraic solution for the mixed-symmetry nonmetricity in terms of such fields would allow replacement of the $\frac{1}{4}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}_{\ e} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{be}$ term in the action with physical source fields.

We have shown that introducing nonmetricity into Poincarè gauge theory changes only the apparent matter content while leaving the original Lorentzian form of the structure equations. It is tempting to conjecture that, depending on the action, this additional matter content might account for dark matter, dark energy, or some other field of interest, but the formulation above does not permit independent determination of $\hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a}$, $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}$ or $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{ab}$.

We now turn to a systematic approach that allows us to determine the original fields.

3 Restoring independence of the nonmetricity

The form of Eqs.(7) confounds any independent prediction of nonmetricity and torsion. Only the emergent final forms $\mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b}$, \mathbf{T}^{a} enter the structure equations, and if the action is built from these, the field equations. Now we develop an additional equation in the form of a structure equation to describe nonmetricity. This extends the independent variables from $(\mathbf{e}^{a}, \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b})$ to $(\mathbf{e}^{a}, \mathbf{f}_{a}, \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b})$ so that solving for all three connection forms determines the curvature, torsion and the nonmetricity.

3.1 Evaporating nonmetricity with separated Weyl vector

We begin again with Eqs.(3)-(5), this time separating the trace of the nonmetricity $W_b \equiv \frac{1}{2n} \hat{Q}^a{}_{ab}$. This part is the Weyl vector, which may always be included in the antisymmetric part of the connection. To check

this, solve for the spin connection in the usual way. Separate the nonmetricity into trace and traceless parts,

$$\hat{Q}_{abc} = 2\eta_{ab}W_c + \tilde{Q}_{abc}$$

and define the altered torsion using the original *traceless* nonmetricity $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^a$ only.

$$\mathbf{S}^a ~\equiv~ \hat{\mathbf{T}}^a - ilde{\mathbf{Q}}^a$$

Substituting $\hat{\omega}^a_{\ b} = \omega^a_{\ b} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^a_{\ b} + 2\delta^a_b W_c \mathbf{e}^c \right)$ into the solder form equation (4) results in a Weyl connection, which then enters the curvature (3) in the usual way. The structure equations have the form,

$$\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} = \boldsymbol{\omega}^{c}{}_{b} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} + \mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b} \tag{10}$$

$$\mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^{a} = \mathbf{e}^{b} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{a} + \mathbf{S}^{a}$$
(11)

$$\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} = 0 \tag{12}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\omega} = W_c \mathbf{e}^c$. Here the traceless nonmetricity vanishes from the formulation. Equations (10)-(12) describe an integrable Weyl geometry with Weyl vector $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and torsion \mathbf{S}^a . Including the Weyl vector in the structure equation for the solder form does not change its antisymmetry, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{ab} = -\boldsymbol{\omega}_{ba}$, so that we still have a Lorentzian spacetime. Agreement with local scale invariance (i.e., changes of units) requires the Weyl vector to be integrable, $\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} = 0$.

As in the previous Section, all reference to the original nonmetricity has vanished and the remaining spin connection is Lorentzian.

3.2 Independent nonmetricity and torsion

The Weyl-covariant derivative

$$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{e}^a \ \equiv \ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^a - \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^a_{\ b} - \boldsymbol{\omega} \wedge \mathbf{e}^a = \mathbf{S}^a$$

now determines only one combination of the original two fields $\hat{\mathbf{T}}^a$, $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^a$. If we wish to recover both original fields we need an independent equation. The equation for $\mathbf{h}_a = g_{ab} \mathbf{e}^b$ explored in [26] is suggestive, but results in

$$\mathbf{d} \mathbf{h}_a \;\;=\;\; oldsymbol{\omega}^d \;_a \wedge \mathbf{h}_d + \mathbf{h}_a \wedge oldsymbol{\omega} + \hat{\mathbf{T}}^a - ilde{\mathbf{Q}}^a$$

which is just Eq.(11) written in covariant form.

To form an independent equation, we write a similar equation,

$$\mathbf{df}_a = \boldsymbol{\omega}^b_a \wedge \mathbf{f}_b + \mathbf{f}_a \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega} + \bar{\mathbf{S}}_a \tag{13}$$

where we change the field strength to $\bar{\mathbf{S}}_a \equiv \hat{\mathbf{T}}_a + \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_a$. The 1-form \mathbf{f}_a is now independent of the solder form so that from an appropriate action the combined system Eqs.(10)-(13) can determine both $\hat{\mathbf{T}}_a$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_a$.

The nonmetricity vanishes if $\mathbf{f}_a = \mathbf{h}_a$, so the difference $\mathbf{v}_a \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{f}_a - g_{ab} \mathbf{e}^b \right)$ gives an equation for $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_a$ alone. Similarly, setting $\mathbf{u}^a \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{e}^a + g^{ab} \mathbf{f}_b \right)$ gives an equation for the original torsion. Simplifying the expressions for \mathbf{du}^a and \mathbf{dv}_a and noting that $\mathbf{d}g_{ab} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ab} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ba} - 2g_{ab}\boldsymbol{\omega} = -2g_{ab}\boldsymbol{\omega}$ we have the extended collection:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} &= \boldsymbol{\omega}^{c}{}_{b}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{c}+\mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b} \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{u}^{a} &= \mathbf{u}^{b}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b}+\boldsymbol{\omega}\wedge\mathbf{u}^{a}+\hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a} \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{v}_{a} &= \boldsymbol{\omega}^{b}{}_{a}\wedge\mathbf{v}_{b}+\mathbf{v}_{a}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}+\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{a} \\ \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$
(14)

where

$$\mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b} \equiv \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}^{a}{}_{b} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{b} + \frac{1}{4}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{c}{}_{b} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{c}$$

and we have included the integrability of the Weyl vector. The full system now has field strengths equal to the original torsion and nonmetricity of the asymmetric connection. When we set $\mathbf{v}_a = 0$ and gauge the Weyl vector to zero we return to the original recovered Poincaré theory, Eqs.(7), (8), and (9).

Retracing the calculation backwards from Eqs.(14), we find that the equations in the $(\mathbf{e}^a, \mathbf{f}_a)$ basis written with the original asymmetric connection take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{c}{}_{b}\wedge\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{c}+\mathcal{R}^{a}{}_{b} \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^{a} &= \mathbf{e}^{b}\wedge\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b}+\hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a} \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{f}_{a} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{b}{}_{a}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{b}+\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{a} \\ \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$
(15)

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{a} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{a} + rac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{e}^{b} - g^{bc} \mathbf{f}_{c}
ight) \wedge \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{ab}$$

As expected, the dependence on nonmetricity is proportional to the difference $\mathbf{e}^{b} - g^{bc}\mathbf{f}_{c}$, so that when $\mathbf{f}_{a} = g_{ab}\mathbf{e}^{b}$ the nometricity equation simply replicates the torsion equation. We have then recovered the original nonmetric system.

Next, we examine consistency of the new set of equations.

3.3 Integrability of nonmetric Poincarè gauge theory

Other than the parallel structure, we have no reason to expect that including Eq.(13) with the original Cartan equations (10)-(12) gives a meaningful set of structure equations. At the very least, when the curvature, torsion, and nonmetricity all vanish the resulting vacuum equations should be integrable. Integrability of Eqs.(10)-(13) will guarantee that the corresponding dual vectors will satisfy closed commutation relations and the Jacobi identity. If this is case, the extended equations must be the Maurer-Cartan equations of a Lie algebra.

We therefore regard the vacuum form of the original equations as those describing some larger Lie algebra with \mathbf{f}_a set to zero. We establish the existence of that larger algebra and find its form by adding general \mathbf{f}_a -dependent terms to each of the original three equations and adjoining the fourth equation for \mathbf{f}_a . Then by demanding integrability we fix the remaining constants, and the collection must the Maurer-Cartan equations for some Lie algebra. This algebra will generate nonmetric Poincarè gauge theory.

Before finding this maximal extension, we look at integrability of the reduced set. Setting the curvatures to zero, Eqs.(10)-(13) become

$$\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} = \boldsymbol{\omega}^{c}{}_{b} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \tag{16}$$

$$\mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^{a} = \mathbf{e}^{b} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{a} \tag{17}$$

$$\mathbf{df}_a = \boldsymbol{\omega}^b{}_a \wedge \mathbf{f}_b + \mathbf{f}_a \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega} \tag{18}$$

$$\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} = 0 \tag{19}$$

Even though this does not give the maximal form of the Lie algebra, we gain some insight.

Eqs.(16)-(19) are integrable, whether the spin connection is Lorentzian or asymmetric. In the Lorentzian case Eqs.(16) and (17) describe the Weyl Lie algebra, already known to be integrable, and integrability of the Weyl vector is assumed. For the \mathbf{f}_a equation we easily check that $\mathbf{d}^2 \mathbf{f}_a \equiv 0$ and integrability is established. The proofs do not depend on the antisymmetry of the spin connection.

Since integrability of the Maurer-Cartan equations is equivalent to the Jacobi identity, these equations describe a Lie algebra. The corresponding Lie group is not hard to identify: in addition to Lorentz transformations and dilatations, we now have two sets of translational gauge fields. As proof, note that Eq.(16)

shows the spin connection to be pure (local Lorentz) gauge, $\omega^a{}_b = -\mathbf{d}\Lambda^a{}_c\bar{\Lambda}^c{}_b$. Choosing a cross section with constant $\Lambda^a{}_b$ we have vanishing spin connection, $\omega^a{}_b = 0$. The same argument holds for the Weyl vector, so we gauge to $\omega = 0$. The remaining equations are simply

$$\mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^a = 0 \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{f}_a = 0$$

and we conclude the existence of functions x^a, y_a such that

$$\mathbf{e}^a = \mathbf{d}x^a$$

 $\mathbf{f}_a = \mathbf{d}y_a$

We assume that \mathbf{e}^a is the usual solder form, and exact orthonormal frames describe flat spaces. Therefore, with the maximal extension of the coordinates x^a , the $\mathbf{d}x^a$ span Minkowski space with \mathbf{e}^a dual to the generator of translations. While $\mathbf{f}_a = \mathbf{d}y_a$ may be degenerate or not, we consider their maximal extension in \mathbb{R}^4 . Then the y_a coordinates may or may not be independent of the x^a , giving two Lorentz covariant possibilities:

- 1. The potential \mathbf{f}_a is linearly dependent on the solder form, $\mathbf{f}_a = b_{ab} (x^c) \mathbf{e}^b$.
- 2. The \mathbf{f}_a are independent of the \mathbf{e}^a , so that $(\mathbf{e}^a, \mathbf{f}_b)$ span a flat, 8-dimensional space comprised of two copies of Minkowski space.

We describe these two cases in more detail in our discussion Section, but before considering the system further, we find the maximal extension.

4 The maximal Lie algebra

We found equations (16)-(19) by introducing an equation for a new gauge potential \mathbf{f}_a . Now we allow the new potential to enter the original equations. Keeping Eq.(18) for \mathbf{df}_a , but allowing an asymmetric connection, we seek the maximal form of the Lie algebra by including \mathbf{f}_a -dependent terms in the remaining structure equations. We then limit the coefficients by enforcing integrability.

We begin with the original asymmetric geometry, Eqs. (15), separate the trace of the nonmetricity to include the Weyl vector, then set the curvatures to zero to study the original vacuum algebra. Appending the additional terms to Eqs. (16)-(19) we write

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{d}\hat{oldsymbol{\omega}}^a{}_b&=&\hat{oldsymbol{\omega}}^c{}_b\wedge\hat{oldsymbol{\omega}}^a{}_c+\mathbf{\Lambda}^a{}_b{}^c\wedge\mathbf{f}_c\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^a&=&\mathbf{e}^b\wedge\hat{oldsymbol{\omega}}^a{}_b+oldsymbol{\omega}\wedge\mathbf{e}^a+\mathbf{\Lambda}^{ac}\wedge\mathbf{f}_c\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{f}_a&=&\hat{oldsymbol{\omega}}^b{}_a\wedge\mathbf{f}_b+\mathbf{f}_a\wedgeoldsymbol{\omega}\ \mathbf{d}oldsymbol{\omega}&=&\mathbf{\Lambda}^c\wedge\mathbf{f}_c \end{array}$$

where the 1-forms $\Lambda^{a}{}_{b}{}^{c}$, Λ^{ac} , Λ^{c} must be built from the available tensors, g_{ab} , δ^{a}_{b} , g^{ab} , e_{abcd} , e^{abcd} and gauge fields ($\omega^{a}{}_{b}$, \mathbf{e}^{a} , \mathbf{f}_{a} , ω), with $\Lambda^{a}{}_{b}{}^{c}$ antisymmetric on ab. We work with a general form of the metric g_{ab} . Since we are considering the homogeneous algebra, we have

$$\mathbf{Q}^{ab} = \mathbf{d}g^{ab} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{ab} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{ba} - 2\boldsymbol{\omega}g^{ab} = 0$$
⁽²⁰⁾

The possible forms of the 1-forms $\Lambda^a{}_b{}^c, \Lambda^{ac}, \Lambda^c$ are

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{a}{}_{b}{}^{c} &= \alpha e^{a}{}_{b}{}^{c}{}_{d}\mathbf{e}^{d} + \beta \left(\delta^{a}_{d}\delta^{c}_{b} - g^{ac}g_{bd}\right)\mathbf{e}^{d} + \mu \left(g^{ad}\delta^{c}_{b} - g^{ac}\delta^{d}_{b}\right)\mathbf{f}_{d} \\ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{ac} &= \rho \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{ac} + \lambda e^{ac}{}_{bd}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{bd} + \sigma g^{ac}\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{c} &= \gamma \mathbf{e}^{c} + \nu g^{cd}\mathbf{f}_{d} \end{split}$$

with constants $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \rho, \lambda, \sigma, \gamma, \nu$ so that the vacuum structure equations become

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{c}{}_{b}\wedge\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{c} + \alpha e^{a}{}_{b}{}^{c}{}_{d}\mathbf{e}^{d}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{c} + \beta\left(\delta^{a}_{d}\delta^{c}_{b} - g^{ac}g_{bd}\right)\mathbf{e}^{d}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{c} + \mu\left(g^{ad}\delta^{c}_{b} - g^{ac}\delta^{d}_{b}\right)\mathbf{f}_{d}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{c} \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^{a} &= \mathbf{e}^{b}\wedge\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} + \boldsymbol{\omega}\wedge\mathbf{e}^{a} + \rho\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{ac}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{c} + \lambda e^{ac}{}_{bd}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{bd}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{c} + \sigma g^{ac}\boldsymbol{\omega}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{c} \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{f}_{a} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{b}{}_{a}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{b} + \mathbf{f}_{a}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} &= \gamma\mathbf{e}^{c}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{c} + \nu g^{cd}\mathbf{f}_{d}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{c} = \gamma\mathbf{e}^{c}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{c} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these into their exterior derivatives we find the integrability conditions eventually reduce to:

$$\begin{split} 0 &\equiv \mathbf{d}^{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} &= 2\beta \sigma \boldsymbol{\omega} \wedge g^{ac} \mathbf{f}_{c} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{b} \\ 0 &\equiv \mathbf{d}^{2} \mathbf{e}^{a} &= -\lambda e^{acbd} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{bd} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{e}{}_{c} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{e} - \lambda \mathbf{f}_{c} \wedge e^{bcde} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{de} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} + \lambda e^{acbd} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{e}{}_{d} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{be} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} \\ &-\rho \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{ac} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{b} + (\gamma + \beta) \,\mathbf{e}^{c} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{a} + 2\beta \lambda e^{acde} g_{db} \mathbf{e}^{b} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{e} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} \\ &-(2\mu + \rho\beta + \gamma\sigma) \,g^{ae} \mathbf{e}^{c} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{e} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} + 2\mu \lambda e^{acfe} \mathbf{f}_{f} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{e} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} \\ &-\rho \boldsymbol{\omega} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{ac} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} - \lambda e^{ac} \quad {}_{bd} \boldsymbol{\omega} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{bd} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} - \rho \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{ac} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega} - \lambda e^{acbd} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{bd} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &+\sigma \left(\mathbf{d} g^{ac} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{ca} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{ac} - \boldsymbol{\omega} g^{ac} \right) \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} \\ 0 \equiv \mathbf{d}^{2} \mathbf{f}_{a} &= \alpha e^{b} \,_{a} \,^{c} \,_{d} \mathbf{e}^{d} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{b} + (\beta + \gamma) \,\mathbf{e}^{b} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{a} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{b} \\ 0 \equiv \mathbf{d}^{2} \boldsymbol{\omega} &= \gamma \rho \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{ec} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{e} + \gamma \lambda e^{ec} \,_{bd} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{bd} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{c} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{e} \end{split}$$

The independence of the remaining terms implies:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \gamma & = & -\beta \\ \lambda & = & \rho = \mu = \alpha = \sigma = 0 \end{array}$$

Finally, the choice of β amounts to a rescaling of \mathbf{f}_a so setting $\beta = -1$ we have the maximal integrable system.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{c}{}_{b}\wedge\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{c}-\left(\delta^{a}_{d}\delta^{c}_{b}-g^{ac}g_{bd}\right)\mathbf{e}^{d}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{c} \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^{a} &= \mathbf{e}^{b}\wedge\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b}+\boldsymbol{\omega}\wedge\mathbf{e}^{a} \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{f}_{a} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{b}{}_{a}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{b}+\mathbf{f}_{a}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} &= \mathbf{e}^{c}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{c} \end{aligned}$$
(21)

Surprisingly, the form of the maximal nonmetric Eqs.(21) have the same form as the Maurer-Cartan equations for the conformal group. Here, however, the spin connection is asymmetric, so the group will be general linear.

To see that Eqs.(21) actually describes the conformal group, we use (20) to rewrite the connection as

$$\hat{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} = \omega^{a}{}_{b} + \frac{1}{2}g^{ac}\left(\hat{\omega}_{bc} + \hat{\omega}_{cb}\right)$$
$$= \omega^{a}{}_{b} + \frac{1}{2}g^{ac}\left(\mathbf{d}g_{bc} + 2\omega g_{cb}\right)$$

Substituting this into the solder form equation and choosing the orthonormal metric gives metric compatibility

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^{a} &= \mathbf{e}^{b} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{a} \\ &= \mathbf{e}^{b} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} \end{aligned}$$

in the new basis. For a general metric we then have $\mathbf{d}g_{bc} + 2\boldsymbol{\omega}g_{bc} = 0$ and therefore

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^a{}_b = \boldsymbol{\omega}^a{}_b$$

so the structure equations have the same form in the Lorentz and asymmetric cases.

$$\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} = \boldsymbol{\omega}^{c}{}_{b} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} + \left(\delta^{a}_{d}\delta^{c}_{b} - g^{ac}g_{bd}\right)\mathbf{f}_{c} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{d}
 \mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^{a} = \mathbf{e}^{b} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{a}
 \mathbf{d}\mathbf{f}_{a} = \boldsymbol{\omega}^{b}{}_{a} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{b} + \mathbf{f}_{a} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}
 \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} = \mathbf{e}^{a} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{a}$$
(22)

These are the Maurer-Cartan structure equations of the conformal group. This makes rigorous the conjecture of [26], that the mixed symmetry nonmetricity is related to conformal symmetry.

Therefore, the Maurer-Cartan equations of the maximal nonmetric Poincarè system may be recast as the Maurer-Cartan equations of conformal symmetry.

This equivalence becomes more striking when we modify to include curvatures.

5 Developing the curvatures

To develop the curvatures, we return to the \mathbf{u}^a , \mathbf{v}_a basis. In this basis the torsion and nonmetricity separate. From Eqs.(22) we substitute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_a &= \mathbf{v}_a + g_{ab} \mathbf{u}^b \\ \mathbf{e}^a &= \mathbf{u}^a - g^{ab} \mathbf{v}_b \end{aligned}$$

into the conformal system (22), resulting in

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} &= \boldsymbol{\omega}^{c}{}_{b}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{c}+2\left(g^{ae}\mathbf{v}_{e}\wedge\mathbf{v}_{b}-\mathbf{u}^{a}\wedge g_{bc}\mathbf{u}^{c}\right) \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{u}^{a} &= \mathbf{u}^{b}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b}+\boldsymbol{\omega}\wedge\mathbf{u}^{a} \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{v}_{a} &= \boldsymbol{\omega}^{b}{}_{a}\wedge\mathbf{v}_{b}+\mathbf{v}_{a}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} &= 2\mathbf{u}^{a}\wedge\mathbf{v}_{a} \end{aligned}$$

The structure equations for $(\mathbf{u}^a, \mathbf{v}_a)$ are unchanged from the original separation of torsion and nonmetricity given by Eqs.(14). Therefore, when we restore curvatures we retain the previous relations for \mathbf{du}^a and \mathbf{dv}_a , giving

To relate the torsion and nonmetricity to conformal curvatures we invert to transform back to the conformal frame $(\mathbf{e}^a, \mathbf{f}_a)$, with $\mathbf{v}_a = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{f}_a + g_{ab} \mathbf{e}^b \right)$ and $\mathbf{u}^a = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{e}^a - g^{ab} \mathbf{f}_b \right)$ to find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} &= \boldsymbol{\omega}^{c}{}_{b}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} + \left(\delta^{a}_{c}\delta^{d}_{b} - g^{ad}g_{bc}\right)\mathbf{f}_{d}\wedge\mathbf{e}^{c} + \mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b} \\
\mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^{a} &= \mathbf{e}^{b}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} + \boldsymbol{\omega}\wedge\mathbf{e}^{a} + \mathbf{S}^{a} \\
\mathbf{d}\mathbf{f}_{a} &= \boldsymbol{\omega}^{b}{}_{a}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{b} + \mathbf{f}_{a}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega} + \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{a} \\
\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} &= \mathbf{e}^{a}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{a} + \mathbf{\Omega}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

with $\mathbf{S}^{a}, \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{a}$ as defined in Section (3). This is precisely the form of the gauged conformal group. The evident parallel structure of the solder form and special conformal transformations, $\hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a} \pm \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{a}$, emphasizes the understanding of mixed symmetry nonmetricity $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{a}$ as torsion-like.

Bringing the calculation full circle, we reconstruct the Cartan equations with the asymmetric connection. Choose the orthonormal metric so that $\mathbf{d}\eta_{ab} = 0$. Then

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} - \frac{1}{2}\eta^{ac}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{bc} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{cb}\right) \\ &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{b} + \delta^{a}_{b}\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{b} \end{split}$$

Substituting we find the maximal nonmetric system

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{c}{}_{b}\wedge\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{c} + \left(\delta^{a}_{c}\delta^{d}_{b} - \eta^{ad}\eta_{bc}\right)\mathbf{f}_{d}\wedge\mathbf{e}^{c} + \mathcal{R}^{a}{}_{b} \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^{a} &= \mathbf{e}^{b}\wedge\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} + \hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a} \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{f}_{a} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{b}{}_{a}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{b} + \hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{e}^{b} - \eta^{bc}\mathbf{f}_{c}\right)\wedge\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{b} \\ \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} &= \mathbf{e}^{a}\wedge\mathbf{f}_{a} + \mathbf{\Omega} \end{aligned}$$
(24)

where $\mathcal{R}^{a}{}_{b} = \mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{b} - \frac{1}{4}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{c}{}_{b} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{c}$. Eqs.(24) reduce to the original system when $\mathbf{f}_{a} = \mathbf{h}_{a} = \eta_{ab}\mathbf{e}^{b}$.

In the asymmetric frame, the curvature has a symmetric part. Writing $\mathbf{d}\hat{\omega}^{ab} + \mathbf{d}\hat{\omega}^{ba}$ to symmetrize the equation, then expanding the connection in terms of antisymmetric and symmetric parts, $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{ca} + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{ac} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{ca})$ we find that $-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}_{\ b} + \frac{1}{4}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{c}_{\ b} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}_{\ c}$ cancels identically leaving

$$\mathbf{R}^{ab} + \mathbf{R}^{ba} = 0$$

This shows that the Lorentzian curvature is properly antisymmetric.

Notice that if the symmetric part of the curvature $\Omega^{ab} + \Omega^{ba} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ vanishes then it follows that

$$0 = \eta_{ab} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{Q}^{ab}$$

= $\mathbf{D} (\eta_{ab} \mathbf{Q}^{ab}) - \mathbf{D} \eta_{ab} \wedge \mathbf{Q}^{ab}$
= $2n \, \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\omega} - \mathbf{Q}_{ab} \wedge \mathbf{Q}^{ab}$

and since $\mathbf{Q}_{ab} \wedge \mathbf{Q}^{ab} = 0$ the Weyl vector is integrable, $\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} = 0$.

6 Discussion

Curvature, torsion, and nonmetricity have distinct physical effects. The geodesic deviation described by curvature is familiar, and it is not hard to see that torsion can produce anomalous precession of angular momentum beyond the Lense-Thirring effect. By contrast to these, nonmetricity affects the parallel transport of angles, so that two idential cubes parallel transported along different paths become non-identical parallelepipeds when later compared. It is therefore surprising that there is a direct equivalence between a substantial part of the nonmetricity and the torsion.

In demonstrating these relationships we considered three systems, equivalent via field redefinitions. Summarizing each by its independent variables and corresponding curvatures or field strengths, we have the nonmetric (NM), Lorentzian with separated sources (L, sep) and the conformal (C):

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{a}{}_{b} & \mathcal{R}^{a}{}_{b} \\ \mathbf{e}^{a}{} & \hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a} \\ \mathbf{f}_{a}{} & \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{a}{}_{b} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}{} & \boldsymbol{\Omega} \end{bmatrix}_{NM} \cong \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} & \mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b} \\ \mathbf{u}^{a}{} & \hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a} \\ \mathbf{v}_{a}{} & \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{a} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}{} & \boldsymbol{\Omega} \end{bmatrix}_{L,sep} \cong \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} & \mathbf{R}^{a}{}_{b} \\ \mathbf{e}^{a}{} & \hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a}{} - \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^{a} \\ \mathbf{f}_{a}{} & \hat{\mathbf{T}}^{a}{} + \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^{a} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}{} & \boldsymbol{\Omega} \end{bmatrix}_{C}$$
(25)

There are two striking results here. Firstly, symmetric contributions to the spin connection due to nonmetricity may be completely absorbed by field redefinitions to restore the antisymmetric spin connection of a Lorentzian system¹. Secondly, the field strength $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{f}_a$ of the special conformal gauge field \mathbf{f}_a equals the sum of the original torsion and nonmetricity.

$$\left. \mathbf{D} \mathbf{f}_{a} \right|_{Conformal \ basis} = \left. \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{a} + ilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{a} \right|_{Asymmetric \ basis}$$

This is an unexpected property of the special conformal transformations².

The set of Cartan equations (23) describe the usual conformal gauge theory. This form of SO(4, 2) gauge theory has a long history ([27]-[41]) and multiple interpretations, depending on our choice of fiber bundle. We remark on the two interpretations of $\mathbf{f}_a = \mathbf{d}y_{\alpha}$ identified in Subsection (3.3):

• Auxiliary conformal gravity is based locally on the quotient of the conformal group by its inhomogeneous Weyl subgroup, $\mathcal{M}^4 = \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{IW}$, on a 4-dimensional (*n*-dim) manifold. This corresponds to the first case, $\mathbf{f}_a = b_{ab} (x^c) \mathbf{e}^b$. When the torsion \mathbf{S}^a vanishes the special conformal gauge field equals the Schouten tensor,

$$\mathbf{f}_{a} = \mathscr{R}_{ab}\mathbf{e}^{b} = \frac{1}{n-2}\left(R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\eta_{ab}R\right)\mathbf{e}^{b}$$

This solution enforces conformal structure by making $\Omega^a_{\ b}$ equal to the Weyl curvature $\mathbf{C}^a_{\ b}$, regardless of the particular action. In an Einstein space, $R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R = \Lambda g_{ab}$ we have

$$\mathscr{R}_{ab} = -\frac{1}{6}\Lambda g_{ab}$$

and the field strength $\mathbf{D}\mathscr{R}_{ab}$ of the special conformal gauge field \mathbf{f}_a is exactly proportional to the nonmetricity $\mathbf{D}\mathscr{R}_{ab} = -\frac{1}{6}\Lambda \mathbf{Q}_{ab}$.

• Biconformal gravity [38] is based on the quotient of the conformal group by the homogeneous Weyl group, $\mathcal{M}^{2n} = \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{W}$. The quotient is a Kähler manifold, with y_a independent of x^a . The volume element is dimensionless and it becomes possible to write a scale invariant curvature-linear action in any dimension [39]. With vanishing torsion \mathbf{S}_a , the resulting gravity theory reduces to scale covariant (i.e., integrable Weyl) general relativity on the co-tangent bundle [38, 39, 41]. Here the torsion \mathbf{S}_a and the co-torsion $\mathbf{\tilde{S}}_a$ play equivalent roles as torsions associated with translations at the antipodes of compactified Minkowski space.

In conclusion, independent variation of the metric and connection may lead, in generic gravity theories, to torsion and/or nonmetricity. We therefore studied Poincarè gauge gravity, which already includes torsion, when nonmetricity is also allowed. We found that the Poincarè-Cartan structure equations may be modified back to a Lorentzian metric-compatible theory by field redefinitions, writing the connection as a conformal geometry with torsion $\mathbf{S}^a = \hat{\mathbf{T}}^a - \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^a$ and special conformal field strength $\bar{\mathbf{S}}^a = \hat{\mathbf{T}}^a + \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^a$. In the course of our proof, we found the three equivalent systems (25) of Cartan equations.

The nonmetricity is comprised of irreducible mixed-symmetry $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^a$ and totally symmetric $Q_{(abc)}$ parts, with the latter driven only by Spin-3 sources or terms higher than quadratic in $Q_{(abc)}$ in the action. In the absence of consistent Spin-3 fields and the equivalence of $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^a$ to a combination of conformal fields, we conclude that the study of gravity theories with general connections may be recast as a study of conformal gauge theories of gravity.

References

 Chris J. Isham, Modern Differential Geometry for Physicists, 2nd Edition, March 1999, 304pp; World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics - Vol. 61, https://doi.org/10.1142/386

¹This is one of those results that, if you stare at it long enough, seems obvious-naturally we can always choose an orthonormal basis to reduce a general linear system to an orthonormal (Lorentzian) one (see Theorem 5.8 in [1]). But here this correspondence drops effortlessly out of the structure equations.

 $^{^{2}}$ It does not matter how long we stare.

- [2] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry, John Wiley and Sons (1963).
- [3] Y. Ne'eman and T. Regge, Phys.Lett.B 74 1–2 (1978) 54.
- [4] https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/14932/why-do-we-not-have-spin-greater-than-2
- [5] E. Cartan, CR Acad. Sci. 174, p. 437, 1922.
- [6] Cartan, Élie, Sur une généralisation de la notion de courbure de Riemann et les espaces à torsion, Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris (in French) 174: (1922) 593–595.
- [7] Cartan, Elie, Sur les variétés à connexion affine et la théorie de la relativité généralisée (première partie), Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure (in French), 40: (1923) 325–412. doi:10.24033/asens.751. ISSN 0012-9593.
- [8] Cartan, Elie, Sur les variétés à connexion affine, et la théorie de la relativité généralisée (première partie) (Suite), Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure (in French). 41: (1924). 1–25. doi:10.24033/asens.753. ISSN 0012-9593.
- [9] Cartan, Elie, Sur les variétés à connexion affine, et la théorie de la relativité généralisée (deuxième partie), Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure (in French), 42: (1925) 17–88. doi:10.24033/asens.761. ISSN 0012-9593.
- [10] Einstein, Albert (1928). Riemann-Geometrie mit Aufrechterhaltung des Begriffes des Fernparallelismus, Preussische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Phys.-math. Klasse, Sitzungsberichte, 1928: 217–221.
- [11] Kibble, T. W. B. (1961). "Lorentz Invariance and the Gravitational Field". Journal of Mathematical Physics. 2 (2): 212–221. Bibcode:1961JMP.....2..212K. doi:10.1063/1.1703702. ISSN 0022-2488. S2CID 54806287.
- [12] Sciama, D. W., On the analogy between charge and spin in general relativity, Recent Developments in General Relativity. Warsaw: Polish Scientific Publishers, 1962., p.415
- [13] Sciama, D. W. The Physical Structure of General Relativity, Reviews of Modern Physics. 36 (1): 463–469. (Jan 1, 1964). Bibcode:1964RvMP...36..463S. doi:10.1103/revmodphys.36.463. ISSN 0034-6861.
- [14] Wheeler, James T., Sources for torsion in Poincarè gauge gravity, Eur. Phys. J. C 83, 665 (2023), https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11812-4, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.14921
- [15] K. Datta, Spinor fields in general relativity, II: Generalized field equations and application to the Dirac field, Il Nuovo Cimento B (1971-1996) volume 6, pages 16–28 (1971)
- [16] Donald E. Neville, Gravity theories with propagating torsion, Phys. Rev. D 21, 867 Published 15 February 1980
- [17] A. S. Belyaev, Ilya L. Shapiro, The action for the (propagating) torsion and the limits on the torsion parameters from present experimental data, Physics Letters B Volume 425, Issues 3–4, 23 April 1998, Pages 246-254. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.hep-ph/9712503
- [18] I.L. Shapiro, Physical Aspects of the Space-Time Torsion, Phys. Rept. 357:113, 2002, arXiv:hep-th/0103093, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.hep-th/0103093
- [19] F. W. Hehl and B. K. Datta, Nonlinear Spinor Equation and Asymmetric Connection in General Relativity, J. Math. Phys. 12, 1334 (1971); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665738
- [20] F. W. Hehl, Spin and torsion in general relativity: I. Foundations, General Relativity and Gravitation volume 4, pages 333–349 (1973)

- [21] Friedrich W. Hehl, Paul von der Heyde, and G. David Kerlick, General relativity with spin and torsion and its deviations from Einstein's theory, Phys. Rev. D 10, (15 August 1974) 1066.
- [22] Hehl, Friedrich W.; von der Heyde, Paul; Kerlick, G. David; Nester, James M. (1976-07-01). General relativity with spin and torsion: Foundations and prospects. Reviews of Modern Physics. 48 (3): 393-416. doi:10.1103/revmodphys.48.393
- [23] F. W. Hehl, J. Nitsch and P. von der Heyde, in General Relativity and Gravitation, ed. A. Held (Plenum Press, New York, 1980).
- [24] F.W. Hehl, J.D. McCrea, E.W. Mielke, Y. Ne'eman, Metric-Affine Gauge Theory of Gravity: Field Equations, Noether Identities, World Spinors, and Breaking of Dilation Invariance, Phys. Rept. 258: 1-171, 1995. arXiv:gr-qc/9402012, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.gr-qc/9402012
- [25] Akash Bose, Subenoy Chakraborty, Homogeneous and isotropic space-time, modified torsion field and complete cosmic scenario, Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80:205 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7771-7
- [26] Wheeler, James T., Internal symmetry in Poincare gauge theory, arXiv:2304.14586
- [27] Weyl, Hermann, Sitz. Königlich Preußischen Akademie Wiss. (1918) 465; H. Weyl, Ann. d. Physik (4) 59, (1919) 101; H. Weyl, Gött. Nachr. (1921) 99; H. Weyl, Raum, Zeit, Materie, Springer, Berlin, (1919-1923).
- [28] Hermann Weyl, Math. Zeitschr., 2 (1918b) 384.
- [29] Rudolf Bach, Mathematische Zeitschrift 9 (1-2) 110.
- [30] J. Crispim-Romao, A. Ferber and P.G.O. Freund, Nucl. Phys. B126 (1977) 429.
- [31] M. Kaku, P.K. Townsend and P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Lett. B 69 (1977) 304.
- [32] M. Kaku, P.K. Townsend and P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39** (1977) 1109; M. Kaku, P.K. Townsend and P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. D**17** (1978) 3179.
- [33] E.A. Ivanov, J. Niederle, Gauge Formulation of Gravitation Theories. 1. The Poincare, De Sitter and Conformal Cases, Phys. Rev. D 25 (1982) 976-987
- [34] E.A. Ivanov, J. Niederle, Gauge Formulation of Gravitation Theories. 2. The Special Conformal Case, Phys. Rev. D 25 (1982) 988-994.
- [35] Jorge Crispim-Romao, Nuc. Phys. B145 (1978) 535.
- [36] Conformal supergravity E.S. Fradkin, A.A. Tseytlin, Physics Reports Volume 119, Issues 4–5, March 1985, Pages 233–362
- [37] James T. Wheeler, The Auxiliary field in conformal gauge theory, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 1769-1773, 14pp, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.44.1769
- [38] Wheeler, James T., New conformal gauging and the electromagnetic theory of Weyl, J. Math. Phys. 39 (1998) 299-328 ArXiv hep-th/9706214 [hep-th], DOI: 10.1063/1.532315
- [39] Wehner, Andre and J.T. Wheeler, Conformal actions in any dimension Nucl. Phys. B 557 (1999) 380-406, ArXiv hep-th/9812099 [hep-th] DOI: 10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00367-3
- [40] Wheeler, James T., Weyl gravity as general relativity, Phys. Rev. D 90, 025027 (2014), https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1310.0526
- [41] Wheeler, James T., General relativity as a biconformal gauge theory Nucl.Phys.B 943 (2019) 114624, ArXiv.1808.07083 [gr-qc], DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2019.114624