

oBAKE: an Online Biometric-Authenticated Key Exchange Protocol*

Haochen M. Kotoi-Xie
AnchorZ Inc.
Tokyo, Japan
kotoi@anchorz.co.jp

Takumi Moriyama
AnchorZ Inc.
Tokyo, Japan
moriyama@anchorz.co.jp

ABSTRACT

In this writing, we introduce a novel biometric-authenticated key exchange protocol that allows secure and privacy-preserving key establishment between a stateless biometric sensing system and a “smart” user token that possesses biometric templates of the user.

The protocol yields a shared secret incorporating random nonce from both parties when they positively authenticate each other. Mutual positive authentication here is defined as when the feature vector calculated from the sensor data captured by the biometric sensing system only differs from the feature vector stored as the biometric template within the user token by less than a predefined threshold. The parties exchange only randomized data and cryptographically derived verifiers; no significant information regarding the vectors is ever exchanged. The protocol essentially utilizes the BBKDF [1] scheme for feature vector matching, and as a result, the threshold is compared per component of the two vectors to be matched. This fact makes it straightforward to employ multiple biometric modalities.

The protocol also allows online authentication where the biometric sensing system can potentially send multiple queries derived from different sensor data samples, in one or more rounds. The protocol is designed in such a way that the user token can very efficiently answer a multitude of such queries. This makes the protocol especially suitable for interactive systems while posing a minimal computational burden on the user token. The biometric sensing system can be made stateless, i.e. user registration in advance is not required.

Furthermore, the protocol is bidirectionally privacy-preserving in the sense that unless mutual authentication is achieved first, neither the biometric sensing system, nor the user token can gain useful information, respectively regarding the biometric template, or sensor-data-derived feature vectors.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Security and privacy → Key management; Biometrics; Privacy-preserving protocols.

KEYWORDS

Authenticated Key Exchange, Biometric Authentication, Cryptography

1 OVERVIEW

When a user has his/her biometric templates stored in a token which can be carried around, it is desirable at times for digital devices other than the biometric-template-carrying user token to

*This article is a technical note derived from AnchorZ Inc.’s development work of the DZ Intelligent Access Platform.




Figure 1: oBAKE Protocol (Phase: Session Initialization)

authenticate the user by matching newly captured biometric sensing data against the template stored in the user token *on the spot* (i.e., without the need of prior setup per user–digital device pair), and thereafter establish a secure communication channel backed by the biometric authentication. Doing so enables use cases where an inserted bank card and entering of PIN be replaced with a smartphone sitting in the user’s pocket and facial recognition when performing cash withdraw at an ATM, without the need to compromise the user’s privacy by requiring the user’s biometric template to be uploaded to the operator of the ATM.

In this writing, we describe a protocol that allows such use cases while maintaining security, availability, and privacy-preservation of the combined authentication–key exchange process.




Figure 2: oBAKE Protocol (Phase: Online Authenticated Key Exchange)

2 PROTOCOL DESCRIPTION

Figure 1 and Figure 2 gives a brief description of the oBAKE, Online Biometric-Authenticated Key Exchange, protocol. Please note that we use the notation $X \leftarrow \text{RNG}$ to mean generating a random byte string and name it X from a random number generator. $X \leftarrow \text{CSRNG}$ is a variant of the same notation where the random number generator is required to be cryptographically secure.

The protocol is separated into two phases: (1) Session Initialization, and (2) Online Authenticated Key Exchange.

Phase: Session Initialization. In the first phase, the *biometric sensing system* initialize the protocol by deciding q a session id, and N^G a global nonce, that are going to be used throughout the lifetime of the protocol. q and N^G is then sent to the *user token* to mark the start of the protocol.

An N^G is derived from N^G to make it arithmetically compatible with feature vectors to be matched for the purpose of authentication. It is assumed that a vectorize function is chosen as part of the protocol parameters which injectively transform a random number to a vector that is arithmetically compatible to feature vectors.

After receiving q and N^G , the user token chooses N^B a blinding nonce and use the same vectorize function to turn it into B the blinding vector, except it is not exactly how we calculate B . We actually offset $\text{vectorize}(N^B)$ use a technique which we developed in association to utilize BBKDF in production, which we dub as “centralization”. Applying this technique to centralize for N^G , the actual blinding vector is calculated as $B = \text{centralize}(N^G + \text{vectorize}(N^B)) - N^G$, as shown in Figure 1. See Section 2.1 for more details about the centralization operation.

After B is derived, user token pre-compute the presumptive shared key K' and verifier v' as $K' = \text{BBKDF}(B + N^G)$ and $v' = \text{MAC}(q \parallel N^G, \text{key} = K')$, respectively.

During the initialization phase, user token also retrieve the *biometric template* V^R from its internal database, and calculate the blinded template vector $C = B + V^R$, which is sent to the sensing system.

Phase: Online Authenticated Key Exchange. In the second phase, the sensing system captures biometric sensing data regarding the person who operates it, and calculate their respective feature vectors. Then, from those vectors, derives verifiers used to query the user token. These queries can happen in many rounds, as the sensing system may not obtain optimal biometric sensing data at the first try; and in each round the sensing system may send multiple queries, to allow optimization of count of round-trip communication.

We denote each verifier corresponding to every sensor data sample as $V_{r,i}^C$, where the superscript C means “captured” (in comparison to the superscript R in V^R , which means “registered”), r signifies the round index, and i differentiate multiple queries within a single round.

For each $V_{r,i}^C$ we derive $K_{r,i} = \text{BBKDF}(C - V_{r,i}^C + N^G)$, the presumptive shared key, and a verifier $v_{i,r} = \text{MAC}(q \parallel N^G, \text{key} = K_{r,i})$, under the hope where $\text{norm}(V_{r,i}^C - V^R) < \text{Thr}$, that is the captured-data-derived feature vector is close enough to the user’s registered feature vector: only differ by no more than a predefined threshold Thr . If those two vectors are indeed close enough, we

will have $v_{r,i} = v'$, as $K_{r,i} = K'$ due to the property of BBKDF and centralize as discussed in Section 2.1, and since

$$\begin{aligned} C - V_{r,i}^C + N^G &= B + V^R - V_{r,i}^C + N^G \\ &= V^R - V_{r,i}^C + \text{centralize}(N^G + \text{vectorize}(N^B)) - N^G + N^G \\ &= V^R - V_{r,i}^C + \text{centralize}(N^G + \text{vectorize}(N^B)) \end{aligned}$$

also that $K' = \text{BBKDF}(B + N^G)$, and that $B + N^G = \text{centralize}(N^G + \text{vectorize}(N^B))$.

After calculating the many $v_{r,i}$ for the certain round, the sensing system sends them to the user token to check for potential matches. The sensing system also include a freshly generated random number S_r as the *round challenge*, used to authenticate the user token.

Upon receiving $\{v_{r,i}\}_i$, the user token looks for a match against its pre-computed v' . If one is found, which we denote as $v_{r,m}$, the user token can now consider the sensing system as authenticated, as the latter must have obtained a $V_{r,i}^C$ that is close enough to V^R , in other words, the condition for authentication has achieved and demonstrated to the user token by the fact that sensing system is able to derive $v_{r,m} = v'$.

Next, the user token informs the sensing system that $v_{r,m}$ is a match by sending the index m , as well as a verifier T to demonstrate its knowledge about K' (which is supposedly equal to $K_{r,i}$). T is calculated as $\text{MAC}(q \parallel S_r, \text{key} = K')$ and upon receiving it, the sensing system can verify it by comparing it with the expected value producible by $\text{MAC}(q \parallel S_r, \text{key} = K_{r,m})$. After T is verified, it is conventional for the sensing system to signal the success of the key exchange process; though this is not always necessary if the shared key is used in subsequent protocols and therefore the success of the oBAKE protocol can be implicitly inferred.

If everything goes according to plan and a matching verifier $v_{r,m}$ is found, both the user token and the sensing system should end up with a shared key $K_{\text{shared}} = K' = K_{r,m}$.

If there exists no matching verifier for a certain round, the user token simply does nothing while awaiting for the next round.

It is worth noting that after the session initialization phase, the user token only perform a single byte string comparison in respond to each query, except the final one that results in positive authentication. This fact makes this protocol especially applicable to resource constrained user tokens.

Lastly, if T does not verify, the user token must be either malfunctioning or malicious. There for the sensing system must abort the protocol and take precautions when potentially connecting to the same user token.

2.1 Centralization for BBKDF

BBKDF as described in [1] essentially divide the vector space of its input into “cubic-cell”s, where each cell’s edge has the length equals to 2 times the corresponding component of the matching threshold. BBKDF then guarantees that all vectors sitting in the same cell yield the same key, while it is impossible to tell BBKDF results apart from randomly generated byte string when the inputs sit in different cells. The *centralization* technique we developed is to solve the problem where when using BBKDF directly, i.e. performing matching of two feature vectors X and Y by calculating

their respective derived keys using BBKDF then compare the keys, it is possible that the two vectors are close enough w.r.t. the threshold but still yield different key, for example if at a particular dimension (say the i -th dimension), $X_i = 7$ and $Y_i = 9$ while the cell partitioning phenomenon effectively yields cells $\{.., [1, 9), [9, 17), ..\}$ (assuming a threshold for that dimension being 4). To solve this problem, we can introduce an auxiliary vector V so that $V + X$ will have every dimension at the center of a cell. This then guarantees $\text{BBKDF}(X + V) = \text{BBKDF}(Y + V)$ to always be true. One way to find this auxiliary V for an arbitrary X is to find the cell center of $X + V'$ and subtract X from it. Here, V' can be any vector given that the partitioning phenomenon is uniform across the scalar space, which is always the case in [1]. We denote this calculation as $\text{centralize}(X + V') - V'$, where centralize is the operation that calculates the center of the cell its input occupies. With

this notation in hand, we can also see that given any A, B where $\text{norm}(A - B)$ is less than the predefined threshold, for any C it holds true that $\text{BBKDF}(A - B + \text{centralize}(C))$, as $A - B + \text{centralize}(C)$ will always occupy the same cell as $\text{centralize}(C)$.

3 CONCLUSION

In this writing, we introduced and described a biometric-authenticated key exchange protocol that is online and does not require prior setup per user-digital device pair.

REFERENCES

- [1] Minhye Seo, Jong Hwan Park, Youngsam Kim, Sangrae Cho, Dong Hoon Lee, and Jung Yeon Hwang. 2018. Construction of a New Biometric-Based Key Derivation Function and Its Application. *Security and Communication Networks* 2018 (02 Dec 2018), 6107912. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6107912>