

# Small positive values and limit theorems for supercritical branching processes with immigration in random environment

Yinxuan Zhao\* and Mei Zhang†

June 28, 2024

**Abstract** Let  $(Z_n)$  be a supercritical branching process with immigration in a random environment. The small positive values and some lower deviation inequalities for  $Z$  are investigated. Based on these results, the central limit theorem of  $\log Z_n$  and the Edgeworth expansion are obtained. The study is taken under the assumption that each individual produces 0 offspring with a positive probability.

**Keywords** branching process, immigration, random environment, limit theorem, supercritical.

**MSC** Primary 60J80; Secondary 60F10

## 1 Introduction

Branching processes in a random environment (BPRE) are popular models introduced by Smith and Wilkinson [22] and have been extensively studied in recent years, see [2, 3], [6]-[13], [15, 19], etc. As a nature extension of BPRE and due to its interest in applications, the branching processes with immigration in a random environment (BPIRE) attracted attention of many researchers. For example, Kesten et al [17], Key [18], Hong and Wang [14] used BPIRE to get asymptotics of a random walk in a random environment; Bansaye [5] investigated BPIRE to study cell contamination; Vatutin [25] considered BPIRE to study polling systems with random regimes of service. Recently, for classical BPRE  $(Z_n^0)$ , Buraczewski and Damek [9] proved the central limit theorem of  $\log Z_n^0$  conditionally on the survival set, which improved the result in [15] where the hypothesis  $\mathbb{P}(Z_1^0 = 0) = 0$  is needed. A number of results including local probability estimates, central limit theorems, Berry-Esseen estimates, Cramér's large deviation expansion, Edgeworth expansion and renewal theorems have been recently proved in [7]-[13]. For BPIRE  $(Z_n)$ , the rate of  $\mathbb{P}(Z_n = j | Z_0 = k)$  under the assumption  $\mathbb{P}(Z_1 = 0) = 0$  was obtained in [16]. Wang and Liu [27, 28] proved the central limit theorem of  $\log Z_n$  and got the Berry-Esseen bound under the assumption that  $\mathbb{P}(Z_1 = 0) = 0$ .

In the paper, we focus on the supercritical BPIRE  $(Z_n)$  in the case that  $\mathbb{P}(Z_1 = 0) > 0$ . We start with the decay rate of  $n$ -step transition probability  $\mathbb{P}(Z_n = j | Z_0 = k)$  and show its exponential rate as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by Theorem 3.1 in Section 3. Based on this, together with the discussion on the path of  $Z_n$ , we give the lower-deviation-type inequality by Theorem 3.2. Differently from [16], we use

\*School of Mathematical Sciences & Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P.R. China. Email: yinxuanzhao@mail.bnu.edu.cn

†Corresponding author. School of Mathematical Sciences & Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P.R. China. Email: meizhang@bnu.edu.cn

the argument related with Markov chain to simplify the estimation of  $\mathbb{P}(Z_n = j | Z_0 = k)$  (Lemma 3.5), then consider about the decomposition of  $Z_n$  by tracing the ancestry of the individual in generation  $n$  (Lemma 3.6), and finally use the property of associated random walk to get the proof. In Section 4, under the assumption  $\mathbb{P}(Z_1 = 0) > 0$ , we obtain the central limit theorem of  $\log Z_n$ , the Edgeworth expansion and the renewal theorem (Theorems 4.1– 4.3). We first estimate the harmonic moments of  $Z_n$  and the moments of  $\log Z_n$  by the lower deviation of  $Z_n$ , then study the deviation between  $Z_{n+1}$  and  $m_{n+1}Z_n$ , and consequently get the asymptotic behavior of the Fourier transforms of  $\log Z_n$  which yields the desired results.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the description of the model and basic assumptions. In Section 3, we prove the small positive values and lower deviation of  $Z_n$ . The limit theorems of  $\log Z_n$  are studied in Section 4. In the following context,  $C, C_1, C_2, \dots, \beta, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots$  denote positive constants whose value may change from place to place. With  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we refer that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 0$ . With  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we refer that there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) \leq M$ .

## 2 Description of the model

We now give a description of the model. Let  $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  be the space of all pairs of probability measures on  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Equipped with the component-wise metric of total variation  $\Delta$  becomes a Polish space. Let  $\mathbf{Q} = \{f, h\}$  be a random vector with independent components taking values in  $\Delta$ , and let  $\mathbf{Q}_n = \{f_n, h_n\}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , be a sequence of independent copies of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The infinite sequence  $\xi = \{\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2, \dots\}$  is called a random environment. We denote by  $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | \xi)$  the *quenched probability*, i.e., the conditional probability when the environment  $\xi$  is given and define the *annealed probability* by  $\mathbb{P}(\cdot) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(\cdot | \xi)]$ . A sequence of  $\mathbb{N}$ -valued random variables  $\mathbf{Z} = \{Z_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  specified on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is called a branching process with immigration in a random environment, if  $Z_0 = k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and, given  $\xi$  the process  $\mathbf{Z}$  is a Markov chain with

$$\mathcal{L}(Z_n | Z_{n-1} = z_{n-1}, \xi = (\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots)) = \mathcal{L}(N_{n,1} + \dots + N_{n,z_{n-1}} + Y_n) \quad (2.1)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $z_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{q}_1 = (F_1, H_1), \mathbf{q}_2 = (F_2, H_2), \dots \in \Delta$ , where  $N_{n,1}, N_{n,2}, \dots$  are i.i.d. random variables with distribution  $F_n$  and independent of the random variable  $Y_n$  with distribution  $H_n$ . In the language of branching processes,  $Z_{n-1}$  is the  $(n-1)$ th generation size of the population,  $F_n$  is the offspring distribution of each individual at generation  $n-1$  and  $H_n$  is the law of the number of immigrants at generation  $n$ . Note that we do not assume the independence between the random distributions  $f_n$  and  $h_n$  for fixed  $n$ .

Along with the process  $\mathbf{Z}$ , we consider the classic branching process  $\mathbf{Z}^0 = \{Z_n^0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in the random environment  $\xi$ . Given  $\xi$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}^0$  is a Markov chain with  $Z_0^0 = Z_0$  and, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}\left(Z_n^0 \middle| Z_{n-1}^0 = z_{n-1}, \xi = (\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots)\right) = \mathcal{L}(N_{n,1} + \dots + N_{n,z_{n-1}}). \quad (2.2)$$

Consider the so-called associated random walk  $\mathbf{S} = (S_0, S_1, \dots)$ . This random walk has initial state  $S_0 = 0$  and increments  $X_n = S_n - S_{n-1}, n \geq 1$ , defined as  $X_n := \log \mathbf{m}(f_n)$ , which are i.i.d. copies of  $X := \log \mathbf{m}(f)$  with  $\mathbf{m}(f) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j f(\{j\})$ . With each pair of measures  $(f, h)$  we associate the respective probability generating functions (p.g.f.)

$$f(s) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(\{j\}) s^j, \quad h(s) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h(\{j\}) s^j.$$

For convenience, denote  $m_n := \mathbf{m}(f_n)$  and  $\lambda_n := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j h_n(\{j\})$ . Then  $m_n = \mathbb{E}[N_{n,i}|\xi]$  and  $\lambda_n = \mathbb{E}[Y_n|\xi]$ . For  $0 \leq m \leq n$ , let  $f_{m,n}$  be the convolutions of the probability generating functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  specified by

$$f_{m,n} := f_{m+1} \circ \dots \circ f_n$$

with  $f_{n,n}(s) := s$  by convention.

In the following context, we always assume that

$$0 < m_1 < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

We consider the process under the condition

$$\mathbb{E} \log m_1 \in (0, \infty),$$

which means the process  $\mathbf{Z}^0, \mathbf{Z}$  is supercritical.

Throughout the paper, we study the model under the assumption that  $\mathbb{P}(h(0) < 1) > 0$ . When  $\mathbb{P}(h(0) < 1) = 0$ , our model degenerates to BPFE, then the main results below (Theorems 3.1–3.2, 4.1–4.3) coincide with [7, Theorem 2.1] and [9, Lemma 3.1, Theorems 2.2–2.4].

We use  $\mathbb{E}_k$  and  $\mathbb{P}_k$  to denote the expectation and probability, respectively, emphasizing the process with  $k$  initial individuals, i.e.,  $\mathbb{P}_k(\cdot) := \mathbb{P}(\cdot | Z_0 = k)$ .

### 3 Small positive values and lower deviation

#### 3.1 Basic assumptions and main results

We need the following assumptions:

**Assumption (A)**  $\mathbb{P}(h(0) > 0, f(0) > 0, f(\{1\}) > 0) > 0$ .

**Assumption (B)** There exists  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $f(\{0\}) < \delta$ , *a.s.*

**Remark 3.1.** We observe that under **Assumption (A)**, for all  $k, j \geq 1$ , there exists  $l \geq 0$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_k(Z_l = j) > 0$ .

In this section, we state our limit theorems for small positive values and lower deviation of  $Z_n$ . We start with the exponential rate of  $\mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = j)$  under our assumption:

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that **Assumptions (A) (B)** hold. Then there exists  $\rho \in (-\infty, 0)$  such that for all  $k, j \geq 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = j) := \rho.$$

Moreover, for every sequence  $\{k_n\}$  such that  $k_n \geq 1$  for  $n$  large enough and  $k_n/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_1(1 \leq Z_n \leq k_n) = \rho.$$

For classical BPFE  $\mathbf{Z}^0$ , Bansaye and Böinghoff [7] proved that under assumption  $\mathbb{E} \log m_1 > 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}(f(0) > 0) > 0$ , for all  $k, j \in Cl(\mathcal{I})$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_k(Z_n^0 = j) = \rho \in (-\infty, 0],$$

where  $\mathcal{I} := \{j \geq 1 : \mathbb{P}(f(\{j\}) > 0, f(0) > 0) > 0\}$  and  $Cl(\mathcal{I}) := \{k \geq 1 : \exists n \geq 0 \text{ and } j \in \mathcal{I} \text{ with } \mathbb{P}_j(Z_n^0 = k) > 0\}$ ; If further assume  $f(0) < \delta$ , a.s. with some  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $\mathbb{E} \log m_1 < \infty$ , then  $\rho < 0$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is fractional linear, the constant  $\rho$  was computed in [7] and [8]. In the case  $f(0) = 0$ , a.s., Grama et al [13, Theorem 2.4] proved that under assumption  $\mathbb{P}(0 < f(\{1\}) < 1) > 0$ , for all state  $j \in Cl(\{k\})$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_k(Z_n^0 = j) \sim q_{k,j}(\mathbb{E}[f(\{1\})^k])^n$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with some constants  $q_{k,j} \in (0, \infty)$ .

For BPIRE  $\mathbf{Z}$ , in the case  $f(0) = 0$ , a.s., it has been proved in [16, Theorem 1.2] that if  $\mathbb{E}[h(0)f(\{1\})^k] > 0$ , then for all state  $j \in Cl(\{k\})$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = j) \sim \bar{q}_{k,j}(\mathbb{E}[h(0)f(\{1\})^k])^n$$

for some constants  $\bar{q}_{k,j} > 0$ .

Our next result is the lower-deviation-type inequality of  $Z_n$ :

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume that **Assumptions (A) (B)** hold. Then there are  $\theta \in (0, \mathbb{E} \log m_1), \beta > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}_k(1 \leq Z_n \leq e^{\theta n}) \leq C e^{-\beta n}. \quad (3.1)$$

## 3.2 Proofs

Recall the definition (2.1). For  $k \geq 1$ , let

$$g_n(k, s) := \mathbb{E}_k[s^{Z_n} | \xi], \quad s \in [0, 1]$$

be the probability generating function of  $Z_n$  under the quenched law. It is obvious that

$$g_n(k, s) = (f_{0,n}(s))^k \prod_{i=1}^n h_i(f_{i,n}(s)). \quad (3.2)$$

Firstly, we give the crucial argument for exponential upper bound of  $\mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = 0)$ . For this purpose, we need a technical lemma borrowing from the proof of [7, Proposition 2.2(i)]:

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume that **Assumption (B)** hold. Let  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed and introduce*

$$\bar{f}_1(\{j\}) := f_1(\{j\}), \quad 0 \leq j < a, \quad \bar{f}_1(\{a\}) = f_1([a, \infty)).$$

The corresponding truncated random variables are denoted similarly, e.g. by  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{S}$ . Define  $\check{S}_n = \bar{S}_n - \varepsilon n$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < \mathbb{E}(\bar{X})$ . Define the prospective minima of  $\check{S}$  which are defined by  $\nu(0) := 0$  and

$$\nu(j) := \inf\{n > \nu(j-1) : \check{S}_n > \check{S}_n, \forall k > n\}.$$

Then there exists  $d \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $j \geq 1$ ,  $f_{\nu(j),n}(0) \leq 1 - d$ , a.s. Moreover, there exists  $0 < \epsilon < \mathbb{E}[\nu(1)]^{-1}$  such that for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\#\{j \geq 0 : \nu(j) \leq n\} < \epsilon n) \leq e^{-\alpha n}$$

with some  $\alpha > 0$ , where  $\#\{\cdot\}$  denotes the cardinality of the set.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume that **Assumptions (B)** hold. Then there are constants  $\beta, C > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = 0) \leq C e^{-\beta n}.$$

*Proof.* From (3.2) we have

$$\mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = 0) = \mathbb{E}[g_n(k, 0)] = \mathbb{E}\left[(f_{0,n}(0))^k \prod_{i=1}^n h_i(f_{i,n}(0))\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^n h_i(f_{i,n}(0))\right]. \quad (3.3)$$

By Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^n h_i(f_{i,n}(0))\right] &\leq \mathbb{P}(\#\{j \geq 0 : \nu(j) \leq n\} < \epsilon n) + \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^n h_i(f_{i,n}(0)); \#\{j \geq 0 : \nu(j) \leq n\} \geq \epsilon n\right] \\ &\leq e^{-\alpha n} + (\mathbb{E}[h(1-d)])^{\epsilon n-1} \\ &\leq C e^{-\beta n} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

with some constant  $C, \beta > 0$ . Combining (3.3) with (3.4) yields the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Assume that **Assumptions (A)** hold. Then for all  $k, j \geq 1$ , it holds that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = j) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1) \in (-\infty, 0].$$

Moreover, for every sequence  $\{k_n\}$  such that  $k_n \geq 1$  for  $n$  large enough and  $k_n/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_1(1 \leq Z_n \leq k_n).$$

*Proof.* We use similar methods as in [7, Lemma 4.1]. Note that  $\mathbb{P}_k(Z_1 = 1) \geq \mathbb{P}(f(0)^{k-1} f(\{1\})) > 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$  under Assumption (A). By Markov property, for all  $m, n \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_1(Z_{n+m} = 1) \geq \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1) \mathbb{P}_1(Z_m = 1).$$

Adding that  $\mathbb{P}_1(Z_1 = 1) > 0$ , we obtain that the sequence  $-\log \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1)$  is finite and subadditive. Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1)$  exists and belongs to  $(-\infty, 0]$ . By Remark 3.1, for all  $k, j \geq 1$ , there exist  $l, m \geq 0$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_1(Z_l = j) > 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}_1(Z_m = k) > 0$ . Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}_k(Z_{n+l+1} = j) \geq \mathbb{P}_k(Z_1 = 1) \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1) \mathbb{P}_1(Z_l = j)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_1(Z_{m+n+1} = 1) \geq \mathbb{P}_1(Z_m = k) \mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = j) \mathbb{P}_j(Z_1 = 1).$$

Using the logarithm of the expression and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  yields the first result.

For the second result of the Lemma, first note that  $\mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1) \leq \mathbb{P}_1(1 \leq Z_n \leq k_n)$  for  $n$  large enough. Thus, it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_1(1 \leq Z_n \leq k_n). \quad (3.5)$$

In fact, for  $\epsilon > 0$ , define

$$\mathcal{A}_\epsilon := \{\mathbf{q} \in \Delta : H(\{0\}) > \epsilon, F(\{0\}) > \epsilon, F(\{1\}) > \epsilon\}.$$

According to Assumption (A),  $\mathbb{P}(Q \in \mathcal{A}_\epsilon) > 0$  if  $\epsilon$  is chosen small enough. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1) &\geq \mathbb{P}_1(1 \leq Z_{n-1} \leq k_n) \min_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} \mathbb{P}_j(Z_1 = 1) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}_1(1 \leq Z_{n-1} \leq k_n) \mathbb{P}(Q \in \mathcal{A}_\epsilon) \min_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} \mathbb{E}[f(0)^{j-1} f(\{1\}) h(0) | Q \in \mathcal{A}_\epsilon] \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \mathbb{P}_1(1 \leq Z_{n-1} \leq k_n) \mathbb{P}(Q \in \mathcal{A}_\epsilon) \epsilon^{k_n+1}.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_1(1 \leq Z_n \leq k_n) + \frac{k_n + 1}{n} \log \epsilon + \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(Q \in \mathcal{A}_\epsilon) \right).$$

Adding that  $k_n = o(n)$  by assumption in Lemma yields (3.5), which gives the second result of the Lemma.  $\square$

Now, we shall show that the limit in Lemma 3.5 is negative.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Assume that **Assumptions (A) (B)** hold. Then for all  $k, j \geq 1$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = j) := \varrho \in (-\infty, 0).$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.5 it is sufficient to prove the Lemma with  $k = j = 1$ . Our proof is based on the decomposition of  $\mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1|\xi)$ . We need the following decomposition of  $Z_n$ :

$$Z_n = Z_n^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n Z_{i,n}^0,$$

where  $Z_n^0$  is defined in (2.2) and for  $i \geq 1$ , if the environment is  $\xi$ ,  $Z_{i,n}^0$  denotes the classic branching process under environment  $T^i \xi$  start with  $Z_{i,i}^0 = Y_i$  and  $T$  is the shift translation on the environment:  $T(\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2, \dots) = (\mathbf{Q}_2, \mathbf{Q}_3, \dots)$ . More precisely, we have  $\mathbb{P}(Z_{i,n}^0 \in \cdot | \xi) = \mathbb{P}_{Y_i}(Z_{n-i}^0 \in \cdot | T^i \xi)$ . Note that given the environment  $\xi$ ,  $\{Z_{i,n}^0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  are independent. Then consider the ancestor of the particle in generation  $n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1 | \xi) &= \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n^0 = 1; Z_{i,n}^0 = 0, \forall i | \xi) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(Z_n^0 = 0; Z_{i,n}^0 = 1; Z_{j,n}^0 = 0, \forall j \neq i | \xi) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n^0 = 1 | \xi) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{P}(Z_{i,n}^0 = 1 | \xi) + \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^n \mathbb{P}(Z_{j,n}^0 = 0, \forall j \neq i | \xi) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n^0 = 1 | \xi) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_i(\{k\}) \mathbb{P}_k(Z_{n-i}^0 = 1 | T^i \xi) + \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^n \mathbb{P}(Z_{j,n}^0 = 0, \forall j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor | \xi) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n^0 = 1 | \xi) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_i(\{k\}) \mathbb{P}_k(Z_{n-i}^0 = 1 | T^i \xi) + \frac{n}{2} \mathbb{P}(Z_{j,n}^0 = 0, \forall j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor | \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides we have

$$\mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1) \leq \mathbb{P}_1(Z_n^0 = 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[h_i(\{k\})] \mathbb{P}_k(Z_{n-i}^0 = 1) + \frac{n}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} h_i(f_{i,n}(0)) \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

By [7, Lemma 4.2, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2], there exist constants  $C, \beta > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq 1, n \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_k(Z_n^0 = 1) \leq C e^{-\beta n}. \quad (3.7)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3 we have that there exists  $0 < \epsilon < \mathbb{E}[\nu(1)]^{-1}$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\#\{j \geq 0 : \nu(j) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\} < \epsilon \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \leq e^{-\alpha \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$$

Thus, use the same method of (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} h_i(f_{i,n}(0)) \right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}(\#\{j \geq 0 : \nu(j) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\} < \epsilon \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} h_i(f_{i,n}(0)); \#\{j \geq 0 : \nu(j) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\} \geq \epsilon \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \right] \\
& \leq e^{-\alpha \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + (\mathbb{E}[h(1-d)])^{\epsilon \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} \\
& \leq C e^{-\beta n}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

with some constant  $C, \beta > 0$ . Combining (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_1(Z_n = 1) & \leq C e^{-\beta n} + C \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} e^{-\beta(n-i)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[h_i(\{k\})] \\
& \leq C e^{-\beta n}
\end{aligned}$$

with some constant  $C, \beta > 0$ , which yields the desired result.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* It is a direct result of Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6.  $\square$

Based on Lemma 3.6, we get the lower deviation of  $Z_n$ , where we use the methods in [9, Lemma 3.1].

**Lemma 3.7.** *Assume that **Assumptions (B)** hold. Then there are  $\epsilon_0, \beta_0 > 0$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$\mathbb{E}_j[Z_n^{-\epsilon}; Z_1 \geq n_0, \dots, Z_n \geq n_0] \leq n_0 e^{-\beta_0(n-1)}, \tag{3.9}$$

for any  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $j < n_0$ .

*Proof.* Step 1. We are going to prove that there are  $\epsilon_0, \beta_0 > 0$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}_n \left[ \left( \frac{Z_1}{n} \right)^{-\epsilon}; Z_1 \geq 1 \right] \leq e^{-\beta_0} \tag{3.10}$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$  and  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ . Fix  $0 < \sigma < \min\{1 - \delta, 1/2\}$ . Denote

$$B_n = \{\text{at most } \lfloor \sigma n \rfloor \text{ among } N_{1,1}, \dots, N_{1,n} \text{ are not equal to 0}\}.$$

From the proof of [9, Lemma 3.1] we have  $\mathbb{P}(B_n | \xi) \leq C e^{-\beta n}$  for some  $\beta > 0$  and  $C > 0$ . Thus, we have for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_n \left[ \left( \frac{Z_1}{n} \right)^{-\epsilon} \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_1 \geq 1\}} \mathbf{1}_{B_n} \middle| \xi \right] \leq C_1 e^{-\beta_1 n}, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s. \tag{3.11}$$

Note that

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n N_{1,i} + Y_1 \leq \sigma n \right\} \subset B_n.$$

Thus, by (3.11) we have

$$\mathbb{E}_n \left[ \left( \frac{Z_1}{n} \right)^{-\epsilon}; 1 \leq Z_1 \leq \sigma n \right] \leq C_1 e^{-\beta_1 n} \tag{3.12}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . By Fatou lemma,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_n \left[ \left( \frac{Z_1}{n} \right)^{-\epsilon}; Z_1 \geq \sigma n \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E} \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n N_{1,i} + \frac{Y_1}{n} \right)^{-\epsilon}; \sum_{i=1}^n N_{1,i} + Y_1 \geq \sigma n \middle| \xi \right) \right].$$

Note that by the strong law of large numbers, given  $\xi$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n N_{1,i} + \frac{Y_1}{n} \right)^{-\epsilon} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sum_{i=1}^n N_{1,i} + Y_1 \geq \sigma n\}} = m_1^{-\epsilon}, \quad \mathbb{P}(\cdot | \xi) - a.s.,$$

By Assumption (B), we have  $1 - \delta \leq m_1, \mathbb{P}$ -a.s. and  $\mathbb{E}m_1^{-\epsilon} < \infty$ . Thus

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_n \left[ \left( \frac{Z_1}{n} \right)^{-\epsilon}; Z_1 \geq \sigma n \right] \leq \mathbb{E}m_1^{-\epsilon} < \infty \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Moreover, by the assumption  $\mathbb{E} \log m_1 > 0$ ,

$$\left. \frac{d(\mathbb{E}m_1^t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \mathbb{E} \log m_1 > 0.$$

Then there exists  $\epsilon_0$  such that for  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}m_1^{-\epsilon} < 1.$$

Collecting (3.12) and (3.13), we conclude that there exists  $n_0$  such that (3.10) holds for  $n \geq n_0$ .

Step 2. Let  $\beta_0, \epsilon_0, n_0$  be as in Step 1. We now prove (3.9) by induction. For  $n = 1$  and  $j < n_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_j[Z_1^{-\epsilon}; Z_1 \geq n_0] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^j N_{1,i} + Y_1 \right)^{-\epsilon} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sum_{i=1}^j N_{1,i} + Y_1 \geq n_0\}} \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^j N_{1,i} + Y_1 \right)^{-\epsilon} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^j \mathbf{1}_{\{N_{1,i} \geq 1\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_1 \geq 1\}} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^j \mathbb{E}[N_{1,i}^{-\epsilon}; N_{1,i} \geq 1] + \mathbb{E}[Y_1^{-\epsilon}; Y_1 \geq 1] \leq n_0. \end{aligned}$$

For arbitrary  $n$ , using (3.10) and the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_j[Z_n^{-\epsilon}; Z_1 \geq n_0, \dots, Z_n \geq n_0] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_j \left[ Z_{n-1}^{-\epsilon} \mathbb{E}_{Z_{n-1}} \left[ \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{Z_{n-1}} N_{n,i} + Y_n}{Z_{n-1}} \right)^{-\epsilon}; Z_n \geq n_0 \right]; Z_1 \geq n_0, \dots, Z_{n-1} \geq n_0 \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_j[Z_{n-1}^{-\epsilon} e^{-\beta_0}; Z_1 \geq n_0, \dots, Z_{n-1} \geq n_0] \\ &\leq n_0 e^{-\beta_0(n-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of (3.9). □

### Proof of Theorem 3.2:

Let

$$\tau_n := \inf\{i \leq n : Z_{i+1} \geq n_0, \dots, Z_n \geq n_0\},$$



We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_k(1 \leq Z_n \leq e^{\theta n}) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}_k(1 \leq Z_n \leq e^{\theta n}, \tau_n = i) + \mathbb{P}_k(1 \leq Z_n \leq n_0) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}_k(1 \leq Z_n \leq e^{\theta n}, \tau_n = i) + C_1 e^{-\beta_1 n} \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

with some  $C_1, \beta_1 > 0$ , where we use Theorem 3.1 in the last inequality. Now we focus on the first part of (3.14). If  $i > n/2$ , then using Theorem 3.1 again and Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\mathbb{P}_k(1 \leq Z_n \leq e^{\theta n}, \tau_n = i) \leq \mathbb{P}_k(Z_i = 0) + \mathbb{P}_k(1 \leq Z_i < n_0) \leq C_1 e^{-\beta_1 i} \leq C_2 e^{-\beta_2 n} \quad (3.15)$$

with some  $C_2, \beta_2 > 0$ .

For  $i \leq n/2$ , let  $\beta_0, \epsilon_0, n_0$  be as in Lemma 3.7. For  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ , choosing  $\theta < \min\{\beta_0/\epsilon, \mathbb{E} \log m_1\}$ . Using (3.9) and Markov inequality we have for any  $j < n_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_j[Z_n \leq e^{\theta n}, Z_1 \geq n_0, \dots, Z_n \geq n_0] &= \mathbb{P}_j[Z_n^{-\epsilon} \geq e^{-\epsilon \theta n}, Z_1 \geq n_0, \dots, Z_n \geq n_0] \\ &\leq e^{\epsilon \theta n} \mathbb{E}_j[Z_n^{-\epsilon}; Z_1 \geq n_0, \dots, Z_n \geq n_0] \\ &\leq e^{\epsilon \theta n} n_0 e^{-\beta_0(n-1)} = n_0 e^{\epsilon \theta} e^{(\epsilon \theta - \beta_0)(n-1)} \\ &\leq C_3 n_0 e^{-\beta_3 n} \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

for some  $C_3, \beta_3 > 0$ . By Markov property we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_k(1 \leq Z_n \leq e^{\theta n}, \tau_n = i) &\leq \mathbb{P}_k(0 \leq Z_i < n_0) \sup_{j < n_0} \mathbb{P}_j(1 \leq Z_{n-i} \leq e^{\theta n}, Z_1 \geq n_0, \dots, Z_{n-i} \geq n_0) \\ &\leq C_3 n_0 e^{-\beta_3 n/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where we use (3.16) in the last inequality. Collecting (3.14), (3.15) and (3.17), we obtain the result.  $\square$

## 4 Limit theorems for $\log Z_n$

### 4.1 Main results

Now we focus on the limit theorems of  $\log Z_n$ . We need the following assumption in this section:

**Assumption (C)** There are  $q > 1, p \in (1, 2]$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ (1 + |\log m_1|^q) \left( \left( \frac{N_{1,1}}{m_1} \right)^p + 1 \right) \right] < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[Y_1^p] < \infty.$$

Let  $\lambda(\cdot)$  be the characteristic function of  $\log m_1$ , i.e.,

$$\lambda(s) := \mathbb{E}[e^{is \log m_1}] = \mathbb{E}[m_1^{is}]. \quad (4.1)$$

Denote  $\mu := \mathbb{E} \log m_1$  and  $\sigma := \text{Var}(\log m_1) = -\lambda''(0) + \lambda'(0)^2$ .

Observing that from Lemma 3.4,  $\mathbb{P}_k(Z_n > 0) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We give the central limit theorem of  $\log Z_n$  as follows:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume that  $\mathbb{E}(\log m_1)^2 < \infty$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  and **Assumptions (A)–(C)** hold. Then for all  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}_k \left( \frac{\log Z_n - n\mu}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \leq x \mid Z_n > 0 \right) \rightarrow \Phi(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-y^2/2} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For classical BPRE  $\mathbf{Z}^0$ , Buraczewski and Damek [9] proved the central limit theorem of  $\log Z_n^0$  conditionally on the survival set, with the hypothesis  $\mathbb{P}(Z_1^0 = 0) = 0$ .

Next result gives the Edgeworth expansion of the BPIRE  $\mathbf{Z}$ :

**Theorem 4.2.** *Suppose that  $\log m_1$  is nonlattice, **Assumptions (A) (B)** hold and **Assumption (C)** is satisfied with  $q \geq 4$ . Let  $r$  be a positive integer. If  $r = 3$ , or  $4 \leq r \leq q - 1$  and*

$$\limsup_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{E}m_1^{is}| < 1, \quad (4.3)$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\log Z_n - n\mu}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \leq x \mid Z_n > 0\right) = G_r(x) + o(n^{-r/2+1}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

where

$$G_r(x) = \Phi(x) - \varphi(x) \sum_{k=3}^r n^{-k/2+1} P_k(x),$$

$\varphi(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}$ ,  $\Phi$  is defined as in Theorem 4.1,  $P_k$  is a polynomial of order  $k - 1$  independent of  $n$  and  $r$  and  $o(n^{-r/2+1})$  denotes a function of order smaller than  $n^{-r/2+1}$  uniformly with respect to  $x$ .

The following is a renewal theorem for  $\log Z_n$ :

**Theorem 4.3.** *Assume that  $\log m_1$  is nonlattice and **Assumptions (A)–(C)** hold. Then for all  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq B < C < \infty$ ,*

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_k \left( \#\{n : \log Z_n \in y + [B, C]\} \right) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_k \left( \#\{n : e^B y \leq Z_n \leq e^C y\} \right) = \frac{1}{\mu} (C - B).$$

## 4.2 Auxiliary results

Using Theorem 3.2, we estimate the harmonic moment of  $\mathbf{Z}$  and the moment of  $\log \mathbf{Z}$ :

**Lemma 4.4.** *(Harmonic moment of  $\mathbf{Z}$ )* Suppose that **Assumptions (A) (B)** hold. Then for any  $\alpha > 0$  there are constants  $C(\alpha), \beta > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_k[Z_n^{-\alpha}; Z_n > 0] \leq C(\alpha)e^{-\beta n}. \quad (4.4)$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2, for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_k[Z_n^{-\alpha}; Z_n > 0] &\leq \mathbb{P}_k(1 \leq Z_n \leq e^{\theta n}) + \mathbb{E}_k[Z_n^{-\alpha}; Z_n \geq e^{\theta n}] \\ &\leq Ce^{-\beta n} + e^{-\alpha \theta n} \end{aligned}$$

with  $\theta, \beta$  as in (3.1). Then we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** (*Moment of  $\log \mathbf{Z}$* ) Assume that **Assumptions (A) (B)** hold. Then for any  $j \geq 1$  there are constants  $C(j) > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_k[(\log Z_n)^j; Z_{n+1} = 0, Z_n > 0] \leq C(j)e^{-\beta n}.$$

*Proof.* By branching property and Assumption (B) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_k[(\log Z_n)^j; Z_{n+1} = 0, Z_n > 0] &= \mathbb{E}_k[(\log Z_n)^j \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_n > 0\}} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{Z_{n+1}=0\}} | Z_n, \xi]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_k[(\log Z_n)^j f_{n+1}^{Z_n}(0) h_{n+1}(0); Z_n > 0] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_k[(\log Z_n)^j \delta^{Z_n}; Z_n > 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , we have  $\frac{\delta+1}{2\delta} > 1$ . Thus, for any  $j \geq 1$  there is a constant  $\bar{C}(j)$  such that

$$(\log x)^j \leq \bar{C}(j) \left( \frac{\delta+1}{2\delta} \right)^x, \quad x \geq 1.$$

Using this inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_k[(\log Z_n)^j; Z_{n+1} = 0, Z_n > 0] &\leq \bar{C}(j) \mathbb{E}_k \left[ \left( \frac{\delta+1}{2} \right)^{Z_n}; Z_n > 0 \right] \\ &\leq \bar{C}(j) \mathbb{E}_k \left[ \left( \frac{\delta+1}{2} \right)^{Z_n}; Z_n > e^{\theta n} \right] + \bar{C}(j) \mathbb{P}_k(1 \leq Z_n \leq e^{\theta n}) \\ &\leq \bar{C}(j) \left( \frac{\delta+1}{2} \right)^{e^{\theta n}} + \bar{C}(j) C e^{-\beta n} \end{aligned}$$

with  $\theta, \beta$  as in (3.1), where we use Theorem 3.2 in the last inequality. Combining this with the fact  $(\delta+1)/2 \in (0, 1)$  yields the desired result.  $\square$

Next we use the skill in [9] to measure deviation of the process  $Z_{n+1}$  from  $m_{n+1}Z_n$  on the set  $\{Z_n > 0\}$ . Let us define

$$\Delta_n = \frac{Z_{n+1}}{m_{n+1}Z_n}. \quad (4.5)$$

Then  $\Delta_n$  makes sense only when  $Z_n > 0$ . We hope that  $\Delta_n$  should be close to 1.

**Lemma 4.6.** Suppose that **Assumptions (A)–(C)** hold. Then there are constants  $C, \beta > 0$  such that for any  $r \in [0, q]$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_k[|\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)|^r |\Delta_n - 1|^p; Z_n > 0] \leq C e^{-\beta n}.$$

*Proof.* From (4.5) and (2.1), on the set  $\{Z_n > 0\}$ , we have the decomposition

$$\Delta_n = \frac{Z_{n+1}}{m_{n+1}Z_n} = \frac{1}{Z_n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} \frac{N_{n+1,i}}{m_{n+1}} + \frac{Y_{n+1}}{m_{n+1}} \right).$$

Then we use a direct consequence of the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequality (see [11, Lemma 2.1]) to estimate the conditional expectation of  $|\Delta_n - 1|$  on the set  $\{Z_n > 0\}$  in quenched law:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_k[|\log m_{n+1}|^r |\Delta_n - 1|^p | Z_n, \xi] \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E}_k \left[ \frac{|\log m_{n+1}|^r}{Z_n^p} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} \left( \frac{N_{n+1,i}}{m_{n+1}} - 1 \right) \right|^p | Z_n, \xi \right] + C Z_n^{-p} \mathbb{E} \left[ |\log m_{n+1}|^r \left| \frac{Y_{n+1}}{m_{n+1}} \right|^p | \xi \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq CZ_n^{1-p} \mathbb{E} \left[ |\log m_{n+1}|^r \left| \frac{N_{n+1,i}}{m_{n+1}} - 1 \right|^p |\xi| \right] + CZ_n^{-p} \mathbb{E} \left[ |\log m_{n+1}|^r \left| \frac{Y_{n+1}}{m_{n+1}} \right|^p |\xi| \right].$$

Therefore, taking expectation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_k[|\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)|^r |\Delta_n - 1|^p; Z_n > 0] \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E}_k \left[ \left( |\log m_{n+1}|^r + (\log Z_n)^r \right) |\Delta_n - 1|^p; Z_n > 0 \right] \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E}_k[Z_n^{1-p}(1 + (\log Z_n)^r); Z_n > 0] \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[ (1 + |\log m_1|^r) \left( \left| \frac{N_{1,1}}{m_1} - 1 \right|^p + \left| \frac{Y_1}{m_1} \right|^p \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Lemma 4.4 and Assumption (C) gives the claim in the lemma.  $\square$

Next Lemma is the direct consequence of Lemma 4.6:

**Lemma 4.7.** *Suppose that **Assumptions (A)–(C)** hold. Then there are constants  $C, \beta > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E}_k[(\log Z_{n+1})^j |\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)|^l |\log \Delta_n|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \leq Ce^{-\beta n}$$

for any  $n, j, l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j + l + 1 \leq q$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $Z_{n+1} = \Delta_n m_{n+1} Z_n$ . On the set  $\{Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0\}$  we have

$$\log Z_{n+1} \leq |\log \Delta_n| \mathbf{1}_{\{\Delta_n \geq 1/2\}} + |\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)|.$$

Thus, it is sufficient to prove

$$\mathbb{E}_k[|\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)|^l |\log \Delta_n|^{j+1}; \Delta_n \geq 1/2, Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \leq Ce^{-\beta n} \quad (4.6)$$

for  $j + l + 1 \leq q$  and

$$\mathbb{E}_k[|\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)|^l |\log \Delta_n|; \Delta_n < 1/2, Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \leq Ce^{-\beta n} \quad (4.7)$$

for  $l + 1 \leq q$ .

Firstly we focus on (4.6). For  $s > 1$  and  $t \leq s$ , noting that

$$J(x) := \frac{|\log(1+x)|^s}{|x|^t}$$

is continuous on  $[-1/2, \infty)$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} J(x) = 0$ , then there is a constant  $0 < C < \infty$  such that  $J(x) \leq C$  for any  $x \geq -1/2$ . Thus, if  $j > 0$ , taking  $s = j + 1$  and  $t = p$  in  $J(x)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_k[|\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)|^l |\log \Delta_n|^{j+1}; \Delta_n \geq 1/2, Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E}_k[|\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)|^l |\Delta_n - 1|^p; Z_n > 0] \\ & \leq Ce^{-\beta n}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma 4.6 in the last inequality. If  $j = 0$ , then choose  $1 < r < p$  such that  $rl \leq q$ . Using the Jensen inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_k[|\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)|^l |\log \Delta_n|; \Delta_n \geq 1/2, Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \\ & \leq (\mathbb{E}_k[|\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)|^{rl} |\log \Delta_n|^r; \Delta_n \geq 1/2, Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0])^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

Then taking  $s = r$  and  $t = r$  in  $J(x)$ , using the same methods as in the previous case, we finish the proof of (4.6).

To estimate (4.7) note that on the set  $\{Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0\} \cap \{\Delta_n < 1/2\}$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2} > \Delta_n = \frac{Z_{n+1}}{m_{n+1}Z_n} \geq \frac{1}{m_{n+1}Z_n},$$

which implies that

$$|\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)| \geq |\log \Delta_n|, \quad 2^p |\Delta_n - 1|^p \geq 1.$$

Thus, from Lemma 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_k[|\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)|^l |\log \Delta_n|; \Delta_n < 1/2, Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \\ & \leq 2^p \mathbb{E}_k[|\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)|^{l+1} |\Delta_n - 1|^p; Z_n > 0] \\ & \leq C e^{-\beta n}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the proof is finished.  $\square$

In order to prove Theorems 4.2 and 4.3, we shall use the upper bound of the characteristic function of  $\log Z_n$  on  $\{Z_n > 0\}$  below. The proof is similar with the proof of Lemma 4.1 in [9]. We omit the details here.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Suppose that **Assumptions (A)–(C)** hold,  $\mathbb{E}(\log m_1)^2 < \infty$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  and  $\log m_1$  is nonlattice. Then, given  $0 < M < \infty$ , there are positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\sup_{|s| \in [n^{-1/3}, M]} |\mathbb{E}_k[Z_n^{is}; Z_n > 0]| \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 n^{1/12}}. \quad (4.8)$$

Moreover if (4.3) holds, then for any  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$  there are constants  $C_3, \beta > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\sup_{|s| \in [\kappa_1, n^{\kappa_2}]} |\mathbb{E}_k[Z_n^{is}; Z_n > 0]| \leq C_3 e^{-\beta n}. \quad (4.9)$$

### 4.3 Proofs

Recall  $\lambda(\cdot)$  defined in (4.1). Denote

$$\phi_{k,n}(s) := \frac{\mathbb{E}_k[e^{is \log Z_n}; Z_n > 0]}{\lambda(s)^n} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_k[Z_n^{is}; Z_n > 0]}{\lambda(s)^n}, \quad k \geq 1, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now we present a significant lemma which is key to the proof of Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3:

**Lemma 4.9.** *Assume that **Assumptions (A)–(C)** hold. Let  $K = \lfloor q-1 \rfloor$ . Then there are  $\eta > 0$ , a function  $\phi \in C^K(I_\eta)$  defined on  $I_\eta := (-\eta, \eta)$  and constants  $C, \beta > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$|\phi_{k,n}^{(j)}(s) - \phi^{(j)}(s)| \leq C e^{-\beta n}, \quad s \in I_\eta, j = 0, \dots, K. \quad (4.10)$$

*Proof.* The frame work of our proof is inspired by [9, Proposition 2.1].

Step 1. We show that

$$|\phi_{k,n+1}(s) - \phi_{k,n}(s)| \leq C e^{-\beta_0 n}, \quad s \in I_{\eta_0} \quad (4.11)$$

for some  $C, \beta_0 > 0$  and  $\eta_0 > 0$ . This entails the existence of  $\phi$ . Using the independence of  $Z_n$  and  $m_{n+1}$  we have

$$|\lambda(s)^{n+1} |\phi_{k,n+1}(s) - \phi_{k,n}(s)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |\mathbb{E}_k[Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}}; Z_{n+1} > 0] - \lambda(s)\mathbb{E}_k[Z_n^{\text{is}}; Z_n > 0]| \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_k[|Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}} - (m_{n+1}Z_n)^{\text{is}}|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}_k[|Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}}|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n = 0] + \mathbb{E}_k[|(m_{n+1}Z_n)^{\text{is}}|; Z_{n+1} = 0, Z_n > 0] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_k[|Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}} - (m_{n+1}Z_n)^{\text{is}}|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = 0) + \mathbb{P}_k(Z_{n+1} = 0).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

From Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = 0) + \mathbb{P}_k(Z_{n+1} = 0) \leq Ce^{-\beta n} \tag{4.13}$$

with some  $C, \beta > 0$ . Note that

$$|e^{\text{is}} - e^{\text{it}}| \leq |s - t|, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.14}$$

From Lemmas 4.7 and (4.14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_k[|Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}} - (m_{n+1}Z_n)^{\text{is}}|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] &\leq |s|\mathbb{E}[|\log \Delta_n|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \\
&\leq C|s|e^{-\beta n}
\end{aligned}$$

with some  $C, \beta > 0$ . Combining this with (4.12) and (4.13) yields that

$$|\lambda(s)|^{n+1}|\phi_{k,n+1}(s) - \phi_{k,n}(s)| \leq Ce^{-\beta n}$$

with some  $C, \beta > 0$  for  $s \in I_\eta$ . Since the function  $\lambda(\cdot)$  is continuous and  $\lambda(0) = 1$ , there exists a small neighborhood of 0 such that (4.11) is satisfied with some  $\beta_0 > 0$ .

Step 2. We are going to prove that there are constants  $C > 0, \eta_1 > 0, \beta_1 > 0$  such that

$$|\lambda(s)|^{n+1}|\phi'_{k,n+1}(s) - \phi'_{k,n}(s)| \leq Ce^{-\beta_1 n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in I_{\eta_1}. \tag{4.15}$$

In fact, from the definition of  $\phi_{k,n}$ , we have

$$\lambda(s)^{n+1}(\phi_{k,n+1}(s) - \phi_{k,n}(s)) = \mathbb{E}_k[Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}}; Z_{n+1} > 0] - \lambda(s)\mathbb{E}_k[Z_n^{\text{is}}; Z_n > 0]. \tag{4.16}$$

Denote

$$L_{k,n}(s) := \lambda(s)^{n+1}(\phi_{k,n+1}(s) - \phi_{k,n}(s))$$

and

$$J_{k,n}(s) := \mathbb{E}_k[Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}}; Z_{n+1} > 0] - \lambda(s)\mathbb{E}_k[Z_n^{\text{is}}; Z_n > 0].$$

Differentiating the function  $L_{k,n}(s)$  we obtain

$$L'_{k,n}(s) = (n+1)\lambda'(s)\lambda(s)^n(\phi_{k,n+1}(s) - \phi_{k,n}(s)) + \lambda(s)^{n+1}(\phi'_{k,n+1}(s) - \phi'_{k,n}(s)).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to prove that there are constants  $C, \eta, \beta > 0$  such that

$$|(n+1)\lambda'(s)\lambda(s)^n(\phi_{k,n+1}(s) - \phi_{k,n}(s))| \leq Ce^{-\beta n}, \quad s \in I_\eta, \tag{4.17}$$

and

$$|J'_{k,n}(s)| \leq Ce^{-\beta n}, \quad s \in I_\eta. \tag{4.18}$$

Since  $|\lambda'(s)| \leq \mathbb{E}|\log m_1| < \infty$ , in view of (4.11),

$$|(n+1)\lambda'(s)\lambda(s)^n(\phi_{k,n+1}(s) - \phi_{k,n}(s))| \leq C(n+1)e^{-\beta_0 n}|\lambda(s)|^n \mathbb{E}|\log m_1|, \quad \text{for } s \in I_{\eta_0}$$

$$\leq C e^{-\beta n}, \quad \text{for } s \in I_\eta$$

where  $\eta$  is taken small enough to ensure  $e^{-\beta_0}|\lambda(s)| \leq e^{-\beta} < 1$  for  $s \in I_\eta$ .

For (4.18), noticing that by the independence of  $Z_n$  and  $m_{n+1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J'_{k,n}(s) &= \mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } Z_{n+1} \cdot Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}}; Z_{n+1} > 0] - \lambda'(s)\mathbb{E}_k[Z_n^{\text{is}}; Z_n > 0] - \lambda(s)\mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } Z_n \cdot Z_n^{\text{is}}; Z_n > 0] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } Z_{n+1} \cdot Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}}; Z_{n+1} > 0] - \mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } m_{n+1} \cdot (m_{n+1}Z_n)^{\text{is}}; Z_n > 0] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } Z_n \cdot (m_{n+1}Z_n)^{\text{is}}; Z_n > 0] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } Z_{n+1} \cdot Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}}; Z_{n+1} > 0] - \mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } (m_{n+1}Z_n) \cdot (m_{n+1}Z_n)^{\text{is}}; Z_n > 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (4.14) and the independence of  $(Y_{n+1}, m_{n+1})$  and  $Z_n$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|J'_{k,n}(s)| \\ &\leq |\mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } Z_{n+1} \cdot Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}}; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] - \mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } (m_{n+1}Z_n) \cdot Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}}; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0]| \\ &\quad + |\mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } (m_{n+1}Z_n) \cdot Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}}; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } (m_{n+1}Z_n) \cdot (m_{n+1}Z_n)^{\text{is}}; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0]| \\ &\quad + |\mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } Z_{n+1} \cdot Z_{n+1}^{\text{is}}; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n = 0]| + |\mathbb{E}_k[\text{i log } (m_{n+1}Z_n) \cdot (m_{n+1}Z_n)^{\text{is}}; Z_{n+1} = 0, Z_n > 0]| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_k[|\log \Delta_n|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] + |s| \mathbb{E}_k[|\log(m_{n+1}Z_n)| |\log \Delta_n|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[\log Y_1; Y_1 > 0] \mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = 0) + (\mathbb{E}|\log m_1|^q)^{1/q} (\mathbb{P}_k(Z_{n+1} = 0))^{1/r} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}_k[\log Z_n; Z_{n+1} = 0, Z_n > 0], \end{aligned}$$

where we use Hölder's inequality in the last inequality with  $q > 1$  taken as in Assumption (C) and  $r > 1$  such that  $1/r + 1/q = 1$ . Note that  $\mathbb{E} \log m_1 < \infty$  and by Assumption (C)  $\mathbb{E}[\log Y_1; Y_1 > 0] < \infty$ . Applying Lemmas 3.4, 4.5 and 4.7 we have

$$|J'_{k,n}(s)| \leq C e^{-\beta n}, \quad s \in I_\eta$$

for some  $\beta, C > 0$ . Therefore, we conclude (4.17) and (4.18), which yield (4.15).

Step 3. We shall prove the Lemma by induction. We are going to prove that for any  $j \leq K$  there are  $C, \eta_j, \beta_j > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$|\lambda(s)|^{n+1} |\phi_{k,n+1}^{(j)}(s) - \phi_{k,n}^{(j)}(s)| \leq C n^j e^{-\beta_j n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in I_{\eta_j}. \quad (4.19)$$

We finish the proof of the case when  $j = 1$  in step 2, which implies that the sequence of derivatives  $\phi'_{k,n}$  converges uniformly to some function  $\psi$  on  $I_{\eta_1}$ . Therefore  $\phi' = \psi$  and  $\phi$  is continuously differentiable (see e.g. [24, Theorem 14.7.1]). The same inductive argument guarantees that  $\phi \in C^K(I_{\eta_K})$  and since  $\lambda$  is continuous with  $\lambda(0) = 1$ , inequality (4.10) follows from (4.19) with  $\min_{j \leq K} \beta_j \geq \beta > 0$  and  $\eta < \min_{j \leq K} \eta_j$ .

Suppose that (4.19) holds for  $j \leq l-1$  and recall (4.16). Using the binomial formula for  $L_{k,n}^{(l)}(s)$ , to prove (4.19) it is sufficient to prove

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{k,n}^{(l)}(s) - \lambda(s)^{n+1} (\phi_{k,n+1}^{(l)}(s) - \phi_{k,n}^{(l)}(s))| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{j} (\lambda(s)^{n+1})^{(l-j)} (\phi_{k,n+1}^{(j)}(s) - \phi_{k,n}^{(j)}(s)) \right| \\ &\leq C n^l e^{-\beta n} \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$|J_{k,n}^{(l)}(s)| \leq C^{-\beta n} \quad (4.21)$$

for some  $C, \beta > 0$ . In fact, by the induction hypothesis and (3.21) in [9] we have for  $j \leq l-1$ ,

$$|(\lambda(s)^{n+1})^{(l-j)} (\phi_{k,n+1}^{(j)}(s) - \phi_{k,n}^{(j)}(s))| = \left| \frac{(\lambda(s)^{n+1})^{(l-j)}}{\lambda(s)^{n+1}} \right| |\lambda(s)^{n+1}| |\phi_{k,n+1}^{(j)}(s) - \phi_{k,n}^{(j)}(s)|$$

$$\leq C_{l-j} n^{l-j} C n^j e^{-\beta n},$$

which yields (4.20).

For (4.21), note that

$$J_{k,n}^{(l)}(s) = \mathbb{E}_k[(i \log Z_{n+1})^l \cdot Z_{n+1}^{is}; Z_{n+1} > 0] - \mathbb{E}_k[(i \log(m_{n+1} Z_n))^l \cdot (m_{n+1} Z_n)^{is}; Z_n > 0].$$

Thus, using (4.14), Hölder's inequality with  $1/r + l/q = 1$  and the independence of  $(Y_{n+1}, m_{n+1})$  and  $Z_n$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{k,n}^{(l)}(s)| &\leq |\mathbb{E}_k[(i \log Z_{n+1})^l \cdot Z_{n+1}^{is}; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] - \mathbb{E}_k[(i \log(m_{n+1} Z_n))^l \cdot Z_{n+1}^{is}; \\ &\quad Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0]| \\ &\quad + |\mathbb{E}_k[(i \log(m_{n+1} Z_n))^l \cdot Z_{n+1}^{is}; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] - \mathbb{E}_k[(i \log(m_{n+1} Z_n))^l \cdot (m_{n+1} Z_n)^{is}; \\ &\quad Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0]| \\ &\quad + |\mathbb{E}_k[(i \log Z_{n+1})^l \cdot Z_{n+1}^{is}; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n = 0]| \\ &\quad + |\mathbb{E}_k[(i \log(m_{n+1} Z_n))^l \cdot (m_{n+1} Z_n)^{is}; Z_{n+1} = 0, Z_n > 0]| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_k[|(\log Z_{n+1})^l - (\log(m_{n+1} Z_n))^l|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \\ &\quad + |s| \mathbb{E}_k[|\log(m_{n+1} Z_n)|^l |\log \Delta_n|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}_k[(\log Y_1)^l; Y_1 > 0] \mathbb{P}_k(Z_n = 0) + C(\mathbb{E}[|\log m_1|^q])^{l/q} (\mathbb{P}_k(Z_{n+1} = 0))^{1/r} \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E}_k[(\log Z_n)^l; Z_{n+1} = 0, Z_n > 0] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_k[|(\log Z_{n+1})^l - (\log(m_{n+1} Z_n))^l|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] + C e^{-\beta n}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

where we use Assumption (C), Lemmas 3.4, 4.5 and 4.7 in the last inequality. Since  $a^k - b^k = (a-b)(a^{k-1} + a^{k-2}b + \dots + b^{k-1})$ , by Lemma 4.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_k[|(\log Z_{n+1})^l - (\log(m_{n+1} Z_n))^l|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \mathbb{E}_k[(\log Z_{n+1})^j |\log(m_{n+1} Z_n)|^{l-1-j} |\log \Delta_n|; Z_{n+1} > 0, Z_n > 0] \leq C e^{-\beta n} \end{aligned}$$

with some  $C, \beta > 0$ . Combining this with (4.22) yields (4.21). Hence the induction argument is complete and we finish the proof of Lemma.  $\square$

#### Proof of Theorem 4.1:

Lemma 4.9 implies that the sequence of functions  $\phi_{k,n}(s) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_k[Z_n^{is}; Z_n > 0]}{\lambda(s)^n}$  converges uniformly on some interval  $I_\eta$  to a continuous function  $\phi$  and we also have  $\phi(0) = 1$  by Lemma 3.4. Therefore for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_k \left[ e^{\frac{is \log Z_n - n\mu}{\sqrt{n}\sigma}}; Z_n > 0 \right] = \phi_{k,n} \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \right) \lambda^n \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \right) e^{-is\sqrt{n}\mu/\sigma} \rightarrow e^{-s^2/2} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where we use the classical central limit theorem for the random walk  $S_n$ , i.e.

$$\lambda^n \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \right) e^{-is\sqrt{n}\mu/\sigma} = \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{is \frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sqrt{n}\sigma}} \right] \rightarrow e^{-s^2/2} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $\mathbb{E}(\log m_1)^2 < \infty$ . Thus we finish the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorems 4.2 and 4.3:** By Lemma 4.9, there exist  $\beta > 0$  and a neighborhood  $I_\eta$ , in which we can expand  $\phi_{k,n}(s)$  as

$$\phi_{k,n}(s) = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{\phi_{k,n}^{(l)}(0) s^l}{l!} + O(s^r) = 1 + \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{\phi^{(l)}(0) s^l}{l!} + O(s^r) + o(se^{-\beta n}), \quad s \in I_\eta.$$



Using similar methods with [9] and replacing the  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}}$  by  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot; Z_n > 0]$  as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we get the desired results.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** This work is supported in part by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12271043), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2020YFA0712900).

## References

- [1] Afanasyev, V. I. (2021): A critical branching process with immigration in random environment. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, **139**, 110-138.
- [2] Athreya, K. B., Karlin, S. (1971): On branching processes with random environments: I: Extinction probabilities. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **42(5)**, 1499-1520.
- [3] Athreya, K. B., Karlin, S. (1971): Branching processes with random environments, II: Limit theorems. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **42(6)**, 1843-1858.
- [4] Athreya, K. B., Ney, P. E.: Branching processes. Springer, Berlin.
- [5] Bansaye, V. (2009): Cell contamination and branching processes in a random environment with immigration. *Advances in Applied Probability*, **41(4)**, 1059-1081.
- [6] Bansaye, V., Böinghoff, C. (2013): Lower large deviations for supercritical branching processes in random environment. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, **282**, 15-34.
- [7] Bansaye, V., Böinghoff, C. (2014): Small positive values for supercritical branching processes in random environment. *In Annales de l'IHP Probabilités et statistiques*, **50(3)**, 770-805.
- [8] Böinghoff, C. (2014): Limit theorems for strongly and intermediately supercritical branching processes in random environment with linear fractional offspring distributions. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, **124(11)**, 3553-3577.
- [9] Buraczewski, D., Damek, E. (2022). Limit theorems for supercritical branching processes in random environment. *Bernoulli*, **28(3)**, 1602-1624.
- [10] Buraczewski, D., Dyszewski, P. (2022): Precise large deviation estimates for branching process in random environment. *In Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probabilités et statistiques*, **58(3)**, 1669-1700. Institut Henri Poincaré .
- [11] Grama, I., Liu, Q., Miqueu, E. (2017): Berry-Esseen's bound and Cramér's large deviation expansion for a supercritical branching process in a random environment. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, **127(4)**, 1255-1281.
- [12] Grama, I., Liu, Q., Miqueu, E. (2017): Harmonic moments and large deviations for a supercritical branching process in a random environment. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, **22**, 1-23.
- [13] Grama, I., Liu, Q., Miqueu, E. (2023): Asymptotics of the distribution and harmonic moments for a supercritical branching process in a random environment. *In Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probabilités et statistiques*, **59(4)**, 1934-1950.
- [14] Hong, W., Wang, H. (2016): Branching structures within random walks and their applications. *Branching processes and their applications*, 57-73.
- [15] Huang, C., Liu, Q. (2012): Moments, moderate and large deviations for a branching process in a random environment. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, **122(2)**, 522-545.
- [16] Hang C. M., Wang C. and Wang X. Q. (2022): Moments and large deviations for supercritical branching processes in a random environment with immigration. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, **42B(1)**, 49-72.
- [17] Kesten, H., Kozlov, M. V., Spitzer, F. (1975): A limit law for random walk in a random environment. *Compositio mathematica*, **30(2)**, 145-168.

- [18] Key, E. S. (1987): Limiting distributions and regeneration times for multitype branching processes with immigration in a random environment. *The Annals of Probability*, **15(1)**, 344-353.
- [19] Kersting, G., Vatutin, V.(2017): *Discrete time branching processes in random environment*. Wiley-ISTE.
- [20] Liu, J. N., Zhang, M. (2016): Large deviation for supercritical branching processes with immigration. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, **32(8)**, 893-900.
- [21] Pakes, A. G. (1971): Branching processes with immigration. *Journal of Applied Probability*, **8(1)**, 32-42.
- [22] Smith, W. L., Wilkinson, W. E. (1969): On branching processes in random environments. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 814-827.
- [23] Sun, Q., Zhang, M. (2017): Harmonic moments and large deviations for supercritical branching processes with immigration. *Frontiers of Mathematics in China*, **12**, 1201-1220.
- [24] Tao, T. (2006): *Analysis II*. Hindustan Book Agency.
- [25] Vatutin, V. A. (2011): Polling systems and multitype branching processes in random environment with final product. *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, **55(4)**, 631-660.
- [26] Vatutin, V. A., Dyakonova, E. E. (2021): Subcritical branching processes in random environment with immigration: Survival of a single family. *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, **65(4)**, 527-544.
- [27] Wang, Y., Liu, Q. (2021): Asymptotic properties of a supercritical branching process with immigration in a random environment. *Stochastics and Quality Control*, **36(2)**, 145-155.
- [28] Wang, Y., Liu, Q. (2021): Berry-Esseen's bound for a supercritical branching process with immigration in a random environment. *Scientia Sinica Mathematica*, **51(5)**, 751-762.