Sensitivity of peptide conformational dynamics in carbon nanotubes to directional mechanical forces

Felipe C. Nepomuceno and Michal H. Kolář*

Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Chemistry and Technology, Technická 5, 16628 Praque, Czech Republic

E-mail: michal@mhko.science

Abstract

In living organisms, proteins and peptides are often under the influence of mechanical forces, especially in confined spaces such as membrane channels, the ribosome exit tunnel, or the proteasome gate. Due to the directional nature of proteins as polymers with distinct ends, forces have the potential to influence protein conformational dynamics in a direction-dependent manner. In this study, we employed force-probe molecular dynamics simulations to investigate the impact of pulling a peptide through a confined environment versus pushing it in the same direction. Our model involves a carbon nanotube and one of three decapeptides with varying amino acid sequences. The simulations reveal that the difference between pulling the C-terminus and pushing the N-terminus is relatively minor when considering the conformational ensembles of the peptides. The loading rate of the force probe and the amino acid sequence of the peptide play a more important role. However, the application of force to the peptide significantly influences the relative motion of the peptides with respect to the nanotube. Pulling the peptide results in a smoother translocation through the nanotube compared to pushing, although the internal conformational dynamics of the peptide add complexity in either case. Our findings shed light on how short peptides navigate confined spaces within the cellular environment, emphasizing the importance of force-probe simulation studies in understanding these processes.

1 Introduction

Proteins are ubiquitous biopolymers that are involved in almost all processes in cells. During their life, proteins exist in a crowded environment of other biomolecules in the cytosol. Temporarily, proteins also occur spatially confined in cavities, pores, or channels. For example, during the translation elongation phase in the ribosome, the nascent polypeptide chain passes through the approximately 10 nm long ribosome exit tunnel which has a diameter varying between 1 and 2 nm.¹ A protein intended for export to the extracellular space must be transported through the translocon channel in the cell membrane, the pore of which is narrower than 0.5 nm.² Before degradation by proteasomes, proteins must be unfolded and inserted into the proteasome cavity through a gate with a diameter less than 1 nm.³

It is well established that the confined space alters the conformational dynamics of proteins. Restricting the conformational heterogeneity of the unfolded state accelerates protein folding and stabilizes the folded conformation.^{4–7} Studies on shorter proteins or peptides that are more relevant to the ribosome tunnel or the translocon channel revealed that the effect of confinement is difficult to generalize. Simulations of a coarse-grained off-lattice model suggested an entropic stabilization of α -helices in a cylinder.⁸ On the other hand, all-atom molecular dynamics (MD) simulations proposed a destabilizing effect of carbon nanotube on α -helices compared to free peptides in solution.⁹ O'Brien *et al.* showed that the stability of α -helices in cylinders is largely affected by the diameter of the cylinder and the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide.¹⁰ This conclusion was later confirmed by more advanced MD simulations.¹¹

One of the many questions related to the processes in confined spaces or constrictions concerns the nature of the forces that act on the peptides out of equilibrium. Is the peptide exported from the cell pulled or pushed through the translocon pore? Is there a pushing force acting on the nascent peptide or is it just pulling that drives the peptide through the ribosome tunnel towards the exit? How does an unfolded protein overcome the proteasome gate?

MD simulations have proven useful in studies of force-induced processes involving biomolecules. In computer simulations known as targeted MD,¹² steered MD,¹³ or force-probe MD^{14,15} (fpMD), an artificial force is applied to drive the system out of equilibrium in a finely controlled manner. These techniques have also been used to characterize peptide behavior in cylindrical spaces such as the ribosome exit tunnel.

In an early attempt, Ishida and Hayward studied the principles of spontaneous translocation of nascent polyalanine through the exit tunnel.¹⁶ Because the translocation takes longer than their simulation times of 2 ns, they used fpMD to speed it up. They pulled the N-terminus of the nascent peptide toward the exit of the tunnel and identified two pathways through which the nascent peptide overcomes the narrowest part of the exit tunnel.

Another fpMD study focused on the nascent peptide SecM, which causes translational arrest¹⁷ due to a structural change of the ribosome catalytic site. FpMD simulations with a pulling force on the N-terminus of the nascent peptide were used to mimic the action of the SecA translocon motor,¹⁸ which can relieve the arrested state of the ribosome by displacing SecM from the arresting conformation.¹⁹ Simulations helped characterize a series of molecular events in the exit tunnel that followed force-induced SecM conformational changes.¹⁸ More recently, Zimmer *et al.* used fpMD to release ribosomes stalled also by SecM and VemP

arresting peptides.²⁰ By pulling the N-termini of the arresting peptides toward the tunnel exit, they identified multiple energy barriers along the translocation pathway.

In the experimental approach, the pulling forces are applied to the nascent proteins by means of AFM or optical tweezers. For example, it was confirmed that the pulling force required to release the SecM-stalled ribosome can be generated *in vivo* by co-translational folding of the nascent peptide.²¹

To the best of our knowledge, no pushing motion has been employed to study peptide translocations. In fact, it is unclear whether pushing a peptide affects the conformational dynamics of spatially confined peptides differently from pulling them. There are hints that the direction of the force is important in complex biomolecular systems. For example, mechanical forces of pulling and pushing were applied using AFM to the Piezo1 membrane receptor of living animal cells in the presence or absence of extracellular matrix proteins.²² The receptor sensitivity was shown to depend both on the matrix proteins and the direction of the force. Furthermore, the mechanism of export of peptides through the translocon can involve steps with both pushing and pulling forces acting on the peptide.²³ The nature of the forces that act on the nascent peptides before they reach the tunnel exit remains unclear. In later stages of protein elongation, a pull generated by protein folding certainly facilitates the nascent chain translocation.²⁴

Here, we study how the direction of the mechanical force affects the conformation dynamics of spatially confined peptides. To simplify the problem, we model the confined space using a carbon nanotube (CNT), with a diameter roughly the same as that of the ribosome exit tunnel. Using the CNT, we focused our attention on the peptide and removed any directionality that can arise when an asymmetric or rough confined environment is used. Through extensive fpMD simulations, we address the question of what is the difference between pulling and pushing the peptide through the CNT.

2 Methods

2.1 Simulated systems

We examined three decapeptides: poly-alanine, poly-serine, and poly-glycine. The peptides were placed in their fully extended conformations in an armchair CNT with a diameter of 1.6 nm, roughly corresponding to the narrower parts of the ribosome exit tunnel. The length of the CNT was set to 8 nm to allow the peptide to adopt an extended conformation without any contact with its periodic image. The peptide was oriented with its N-terminus in lower Z coordinates and its C-terminus in higher Z coordinates. An acetyl group (ACE) was attached to the N-terminus and a methyl amide group (NME) to the C-terminus of each peptide (Fig. 1). For the preparation of the systems, we used VMD²⁵ and PyMOL.²⁶

The systems were placed in an orthogonal triclinic simulation box such that the CNT axis coincided with the Z axis of the Cartesian space. Periodic boundary conditions were used in all three directions. The box dimensions in the X and Y directions were 3.0 nm. In the Z direction, the box dimension was chosen so that the CNT was infinite. In this way, we discarded any effects related to the finite size of the CNT or the interface between the CNT end and water. The box and CNT were filled with water.



Figure 1: A) An overview of the poly-alanine in the carbon nanotube. The terminal acetyl (ACE) and N-methyl (NME) residues are labeled in yellow and green, respectively. The peptide is color-coded by atoms – C: gray, O: red, N: blue, H: white. Water molecules were omitted for clarity. B) The force probe position for the pulling and pushing non-equilibrium simulations. C) Schematic illustration of the simulations performed. Note, that for the loading rate of 0.02 pN ps^{-1} , only 5×7 replicates were simulated, instead of 5×13 as for the loading rates 0.2, 2, and 20 pN ps⁻¹.

The peptides were described by the CHARMM36m force field, which is optimized for folded and disordered proteins and peptides.²⁷ The CNT was modeled as a set of CHARMM36m particles of type C. For simplicity, no bonded, angular, or torsion parameters were used within the CNT. Instead, to prevent the collapse of the structure, harmonic position restraints with a force constant of $50\,000\,\text{kJ}\,\text{mol}^{-1}\text{nm}^{-2}$ were used. Lennard-Jones interactions were excluded for all pairs of CNT carbon atoms closer to 5 nm. For water, the rigid TIP3P model was used.^{27,28}

Coulomb interactions were described using the particle-mesh Ewald method²⁹ with a grid spacing of 0.12 nm and a cutoff of 1.2 nm. A potential-shift-verlet modifier was used. Lennard-Jones interactions were truncated at 1.2 nm using a force-switch modifier with a switch distance of 1.0 nm. Covalent bonds involving hydrogens were converted to constraints and treated by the LINCS³⁰ algorithm of the order of 6.

2.2 Equilibrium simulations

The potential energy of the systems was minimized using the steepest descent algorithm until reaching computer precision (roughly in 1000 steps). The solvent was then heated to 310 K in a 2-ns long MD simulation using v-rescale temperature coupling.³¹ The initial atomic velocities were randomly drawn from the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution at 5 K. The pressure was equilibrated in a 2 ns simulation using the semi-isotropic Berendsen barostat³² with a reference pressure of 1 bar and system compressibility of $4.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ bar⁻¹.

To sample equilibrium conformations of the peptides in the CNT at 310 K, for each peptide, we performed five independent NVT simulations that differed in the initial velocities. Each simulation was 1 μ s long and used a time step of 2 fs. System configurations were saved every 1 ps. Simulations were run using the GROMACS 2019 package.³³

2.3 Force-probe pulling and pushing

To distinguish between pulling and pushing motions throughout the CNT, we performed two sets of simulations for each peptide. The molecular setup is depicted in Fig. 1B. We *pulled* the peptide with a force probe attached to the C-terminus and moved the probe in the positive sense of the Z direction. On the other hand, we *pushed* the peptide using a force probe attached to the N-terminus and also moving it in the positive sense of the Z direction.

The force was applied in the Z direction only, so the termini were free to move in the XY plane. The force constant of the harmonic probe (defined as pull-coord-type=umbrella in GROMACS) was set at $602.4 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$. To evaluate the role of the loading rate, the force probe was moved with four different constant velocities: 20, 2, 0.2, and 0.02 nm ns⁻¹. As the reference group for the force probe, the center of mass of the CNT was used. The velocity of the probe and the force constant resulted in four different loading rates: 20, 2, 0.2, and 0.02 pN ps⁻¹. All fpMD simulations were run until the total displacement of the peptides reached 10 nm in the direction in which it was moving. Therefore, the simulations had different lengths depending on the loading rate, that is, 0.5 ns, 5 ns, 50 ns, and 500 ns. A summary of the simulations performed is depicted in Fig. 1C.

2.4 Obtaining initial configurations for fpMD

We carried out 65 independent fpMD simulations (replicates) for each system and type of motion with loading rates of 20, 2, and 0.2 pN ps^{-1} . For the loading rate of 0.02 pN ps^{-1} we performed 35 independent simulations.

The initial configurations for each replicate were obtained from the equilibrium simulations. However, during equilibrium simulations, the peptides temporarily folded or bent to form hairpin-like structures inside the CNT, so it was unclear whether the fpMD would represent a pulling or pushing motion (Fig. 2). Moving a hairpin-shaped peptide through the CNT would mean pushing one part of the peptide and pulling the other. To avoid these ambiguous situations, we considered only those equilibrium trajectory frames in which the peptide was in a sufficiently extended conformation. A frame was suitable for fpMD when the rightmost residue of the peptide (*i.e.* with the highest Z coordinate) was one of the three C-terminal residues and the leftmost residue of the peptide (*i.e.* with the lowest Z coordinate) was one of the three N-terminal residues. This filtering setup allowed the peptide termini to be slightly bent, avoiding extensive elimination of the equilibrium trajectory frames.

In each $1 \mu s$ trajectory, only the last 900 ns was used to generate the initial configurations for the fpMD simulations. During the first 100 ns, the peptides were left to equilibrate.



Figure 2: A schematic representation of the peptide conformations highlighting various termini positions. Amino acid residues are black, N-terminal acetyl group (ACE) in yellow, C-terminal N-methyl group (NME) in green. Residue number of the leftmost and rightmost residues are shown. A) Examples of acceptable conformations for the fpMD. B) Examples of rejected conformations.

2.5 Analysis

We analyzed the equilibrium and fpMD simulations separately. If not stated otherwise, the last 900 ns of the equilibrium trajectories were used. For the fpMD simulations, we used the last 50% of the simulation time, while keeping the number of frames the same. Thus, the data for the slower fpMDs represent longer time intervals than for the faster fpMDs. For various properties of the simulated system, we calculated their change upon application of mechanical force. The change in property X was defined as $\Delta X = \langle X \rangle_{50\%}^{\text{fpMD}} - \langle X \rangle_{900 \text{ ns}}^{\text{eq}}$.

We calculate the end-to-end distance (E2E) as the Euclidean distance between the carbon atom of the ACE group and the nitrogen atom of the NME group. The number of hydrogen bond-like pairs within the peptide was obtained using the gmx hbond tool of the GROMACS package. The pair was defined as an interatomic contact between hydrogen and an acceptor atom (N or O) shorter than 0.35 nm, *i. e.* without any angular dependence. The solvent accessible surface area (SASA) was calculated using the gmx sasa tool with the default probe radius of 0.14 nm.

The position of the peptide within the CNT in the xy plane was characterized using the cylindrical radius r, *i.e.* the radial distance of the C_{α} atoms from the CNT axis. The probability density function (pdf) of the C_{α} positions was calculated as a function of the cylindrical radius r.

The equilibrium and fpMD simulations were analyzed with custom scripts using tools from the GROMACS package³³ and the MDAnalysis Python library.^{34,35}

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Equilibrium simulations and their filtering

Using unbiased MD simulations, we generated equilibrium ensembles of three decapeptides in the CNT. Fig. 3A shows the peptide E2E as a function of the simulation time and Fig. 3B shows the respective pdfs. All of the pdfs overlap regardless of the peptide sequence. They span a wide range from less than 1 nm to more than 3 nm, which means that all of the peptides studied are conformationally diverse. They may adopt a compact conformation with low E2E or be quite extended.



Figure 3: Analysis of the equilibrium trajectories. A) End-to-end distance (E2E) as a function of simulation time shown for five independent trajectories of each simulated polypeptide sequence. B) Probability density function (pdfs) of E2E calculated from individual trajectories (gray areas) and from aggregated data (black line). C) Pdfs of C_{α} positions as a function of cylindrical radius r. The red integrated area of pdf with r > 0.35 nm represents the polypeptide propensity P_a to associate with the CNT wall.

Still, there are certain differences among the sequences. The narrowest pdf, representing a more homogeneous conformational ensemble, was observed for poly-Ser following the chemical intuition of poly-Ser side chains capable of forming H-bonds. On the other hand, the widest pdf was observed for poly-Ala. Poly-Gly, which has the smallest side chains, showed fast and extensive fluctuations of E2E, compared to poly-Ala and poly-Ser, where the fluctuations of E2E were much smaller.



Figure 4: Residues identified as leftmost or rightmost extremes in the Z-direction of the simulation box. The bars stand for a percentage of frames, where the given residue occurred as extreme. Note that the first and last residues are the acetyl and N-methyl caps, respectively.

The positions of the peptides also differ in the xy plane within the CNT. Fig. 3C shows the pdf of the C_{α} positions as a function of the cylindrical radius r. We integrate pdfs for r > 0.35 nm and obtain propensities P_a of the peptides to associate with the CNT wall. The propensities are 0.55, 0.48, and 0.93 for poly-Ala, poly-Ser, and poly-Gly, respectively. The pdf of poly-Gly has only one maximum near the CNT wall. This means that poly-Gly is attached to the wall throughout the simulations and most of its C_{α} atoms are within 0.35 nm of the CNT wall. Poly-Ala and poly-Ser show a multimodal distribution with a nonnegligible portion of the time spent dissociated from the CNT wall closer to the CNT axis. In fact, poly-Ser has P_a less than 0.5 which means that it prefers slightly to be dissociated from the CNT wall. Notably, the overlapping pdfs justify that the positions of the peptide are well-converged across the independent replicas.

For fpMD, we filtered out the equilibrium trajectory frames in which the peptides appeared to be bent. The percentage of frames eliminated was 36%, 1%, and 49%, for poly-Ala, poly-Ser, and poly-Gly, respectively. Fig. 4 shows the outermost residues, identified as extremes in the Z direction, in the equilibrium simulations. In this respect, poly-Ser remained oriented well within the CNT with only slight bends of its termini. On the contrary, due to its high flexibility, poly-Gly inverted the positions of its C- and N-termini. Flips occurred in two of the five equilibrium trajectories after 180 ns and 480 ns and did not return within the simulation time.

3.2 Impact of the force on the peptide conformations

To address the impact of the force on the peptide conformational ensembles, we compared the second halves of the fpMD trajectories with the equilibrium simulations. First, we calculated Δ E2E as the difference between the E2E averaged over the last 50% of fpMD simulations and the E2E averaged over the last 90% of equilibrium simulations. Therefore, the negative values of Δ E2E mean a shrinkage of the peptide during fpMD, and the positive values represent the extension of the peptides.

The $\Delta E2E$ is plotted in Fig. 5 for four loading rates of pulling and pushing fpMD. $\Delta E2E$ from individual fpMD trajectories span a wide range of values; within the simulation time of a single fpMD simulation, the peptide can extend by up to 2 nm, or shrink by 1 nm regardless of the direction of mechanical force.



Figure 5: $\Delta E2E$ as the difference between the E2E averaged over the last 50% of fpMD simulations and the E2E averaged over the last 90% of equilibrium simulations. Each dot stands for an independent fpMD trajectory. The boxes represent interquartile ranges with the mean values indicated. The whiskers stand for the minimum/maximum values excluding the outliers (gray circles).

On average, the observed trends depend a lot on the peptide sequence and less on the loading rate. Poly-Gly is the most susceptible to mechanical forces, likely because of its high flexibility. The difference in mean $\Delta E2E$ between pulling and pushing increases with the loading rate. For the slowest pulling, the $\Delta E2E$ is around 0 nm, which indicates that the peptide conformation is not affected by mechanical force. For the highest loading rate pulling studied, poly-Gly is elongated by mechanical force by approximately 0.8 nm on average. The spread of $\Delta E2E$ values is so large that even for the highest loading rate, poly-Gly can become shorter (negative $\Delta E2E$) during the pulling fpMD. The effect of mechanical force during pushing is less apparent. Poly-Gly shrinks slightly on average for high loading rates.

The trend in poly-Ala Δ E2E is similar to that of poly-Gly, but weaker. With an increasing loading rate of the pull, the peptide extends slightly on average. The spread of Δ E2E of poly-Ala is large regardless of the loading rate and type of applied force. Even for pushing simulations, poly-Ala may elongate and apart from the lowest loading rate the mean values of Δ E2E are slightly positive. It means that the intrinsic dynamics of poly-Ala in CNT are not intensely affected by mechanical forces.

The smallest effect of pulling and pushing on $\Delta E2E$ is observed for poly-Ser. On average, $\Delta E2E$ remains zero for all loading rates and types of motion. However, $\Delta E2E$ spans a wide range of values, similar to the other peptide sequences. This reflects the intrinsic properties of the peptide in the CNT observed also in the equilibrium simulations, rather than the effect of an external mechanical force.

To better characterize the conformational ensembles under mechanical forces, we calculated Δ SASA and Δ pairs in the same way as Δ E2E. We performed a correlation analysis for Δ E2E and Δ SASA and for Δ E2E and Δ pairs. The correlation coefficients R obtained are shown in Fig. 6.

We observed a strong correlation between $\Delta E2E$ and $\Delta SASA$ for poly-Ala and poly-Ser with a typical R of approximately 0.8. This was independent of both the type of applied force and the loading rate. This means that the elongation of the peptide as a result of the



Figure 6: A) Pearson correlation coefficient R between the change of the end-to-end distance $(\Delta E2E)$ and the change in the solvent-accessible surface area $(\Delta SASA)$. B) Pearson correlation coefficient R between $\Delta E2E$ and the change in the number of intramolecular contact pairs $(\Delta pairs)$.

mechanical force is correlated with the increase in the SASA. Poly-Ala and poly-Ser become more exposed to solvent when they are elongated.

A different behavior was observed for poly-Gly. First, R between $\Delta E2E$ and $\Delta SASA$ was lower than for the other two sequences, and second, it depended more strongly on the type of applied force and the loading rate. For pushing, R was smaller than for pulling. Moreover, with the loading rate of pushing, R became lower.

The change in the number of intramolecular contacts anticorrelates with Δ E2E. The relationship is less pronounced than between Δ SASA and Δ E2E. The negative R goes in line with the notion that when the peptide is elongated by mechanical force, the number of intramolecular contact pairs decreases. This behavior is common for all three sequences and does not depend on the type of applied force. While in poly-Ser and poly-Gly, R is also independent of the loading rate, we see some dependence on the loading rate in the case of poly-Ala. For higher loading rates, R becomes more negative, reaching about -0.8 for the loading rate of 20 pN ps⁻¹.

3.3 Impact of the force on the peptide position

We analyze how the mechanical force affects the position of the peptide within the CNT. The first and obvious effect is the translational motion of the peptide with respect to the CNT in the direction of the applied force. The simulation length and the velocity of the force probe ensured that the peptides traveled 10 nm in the Z-direction. This motion was consistent for all the sequences and loading rates studied.

Although the force probe was attached to the peptide termini and moved in the Z direction, the intrinsic dynamics of the peptide caused it to bend, as also observed in the equilibrium MD simulations (Fig. 2). Consequently, for pulling, the rightmost residue was



Figure 7: Peptide bends during fpMD. A) Percentage of frames in which the leftmost or rightmost residue was not the acetyl (ACE) or N-methyl group (NME). B) Percentage of frames where at least three residues are bent. C) Representative snapshots of simulations pushing poly-Gly, where the termini are well oriented without any bend (top panel), three residues are bent (middle panel) and the peptide is almost flipped (bottom panel).

not always the terminal N-methyl but some *inner* amino acid residue. Similarly, for pushing, the leftmost residue was not always the terminal acetyl.

To characterize how often the peptide bent when pushed or pulled, we calculated the percentage of fpMD frames in which the leftmost or rightmost residues were not acetyl or N-methyl groups, respectively. Fig. 7 shows that this situation is quite frequent and depends both on the type of applied force and the loading rate. For pulling, the bends appeared less often than for pushing, regardless of the peptide sequence. In addition, the higher the loading rate, the less frequently the peptide bends.

Next, we calculated the percentage of fpMD frames in which the peptide was bent more dramatically. Namely, we considered frames in which the leftmost or rightmost residue was not any of the first three or last three residues. We used this approach to filter the equilibrium simulations; see Section 2.4. Compared to the previous criterion with a single bent residue, the percentage of frames with at least three bent residues was much lower. For poly-Ser, we did not observe any occurrence of such a situation, poly-Ala appeared bent in less than 5% frames and mostly for the lowest loading rate. Poly-Gly, on the other hand, was bent especially during pushing fpMD simulations. Fig. 7B shows three representative snapshots of simulations pushing poly-Gly, where the termini are well oriented without any bend (top panel), at least three residues are bent (middle panel), and the peptide is almost flipped (bottom panel).

Finally, to analyze how mechanical force affects the propensities of peptides to associate with tunnel walls, we calculated ΔP_a . By normalizing with the value of P_a from the equilibrium simulations, we obtained a relative change of P_a caused by the mechanical force (Fig. 8). Consequently, the negative values of rel. ΔP_a represent the situations in which the mechanical force caused the dissociation of the peptide from the CNT wall.

On average, the mechanical force applied to the peptides causes dissociation from the CNT walls; the mean values of rel. ΔP_a are negative. The range of values of individual fpMD



Figure 8: A relative change of the peptide propensity P_a to associate with the CNT wall caused by the mechanical force. Each dot stands for an independent fpMD trajectory. The boxes represent interquartile ranges with the mean values indicated. The whiskers stand for the minimum/maximum values excluding the outliers (gray circles).

simulations depends strongly on the sequence. For poly-Gly, rel. ΔP_a values are close to zero, except for the highest loading rate, which means that the propensity of poly-Gly to associate with the CNT wall remains the same even after the peptide is pulled or pushed through the CNT. On the other hand, poly-Ser shows the rel. ΔP_a values in the range between -100%and +25%. Mechanical force not only detaches the peptide from the CNT walls in most situations, but can also lead to an opposite process. Poly-Ala behaves similarly to poly-Ser – the mechanical force decreases the P_a , except the relative values are lower than in the case of poly-Ser.

The loading rate of the force probe affects the association/dissociation ratio only slightly. The highest loading rate of 20 pN ps⁻¹ causes more extreme changes in rel. ΔP_a than the lower loading rates in the three sequences. There appears to be no effect of the type of applied force on rel. ΔP_a .

4 Conclusions

In this work, we investigated how peptides respond to mechanical force in a confined space represented by a CNT. In real life, we are familiar with several macroscopic situations that shape our general intuition. For example, pulling a string from a hoodie is easy, but restringing it requires some skill because the string is flexible and easily gets stuck. Here, using force-probe MD simulations, we found that this intuition may fail in the microscopic world.

Our main goal was to characterize how pulling a peptide through a CNT differs from pushing it in the same direction. A broader motivation involves various cellular processes in which peptides overcome confined regions of biomolecular tunnels or cavities. The nature of the forces that act on the peptides remains unclear but may be important for understanding the mechanism of the processes. Using a model system comprising a carbon nanotube, a decapeptide, and water, we found that the intrinsic properties of the peptides, such as the sequence of amino acid residues, may play a more important role than the way the external force acts on the peptide.

Our simulations revealed that for low loading rates, the internal conformational dynamics *outweight* the external mechanical force. The differences in the conformational dynamics of poly-Ser and poly-Gly, chemically the most distinct polypeptides studied, were greater than the differences caused by different attachment points of the force probe. In general, a stronger effect of external forces was more apparent for higher loading rates, which are, however, less relevant for biological processes that are typically slower than the fastest fpMD simulations in this work.

However, our simulations suggested that the type of applied force, whether it pushes or pulls, plays a role in the relative position of the peptide within CNT. With force action, the peptides tend to dissociate from the CNT wall. Furthermore, pulling the peptide seems to maintain its orientation within the confined space better than pushing it. The obvious limitation of our approach is the chemical uniformity of CNT. In future studies, a more realistic biological environment may provide an even more realistic picture of how external forces affect the dynamics of peptides or proteins. Still, our approach provides solid foundations for future force-probe MD simulations of peptide translocations through confined spaces.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank J. Cikhart and H. McGrath for critical comments on the manuscript and P. Chalupský and other members of Kolář group for inspiring discussions. This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic through the e-INFRA CZ (ID:90254), and by the Czech Science Foundation (project 23-05557S). This work was also supported by the grant of Specific university research No. A2_FCHI_2021_034, and A1_FCHI_2022_002.

Author's contribution

MHK designed and supervised the research. FCN set up, performed, and analyzed the simulations. Both authors interpreted the results. FCN wrote the first version of the manuscript, both authors completed the manuscript.

Supplementary information

The files needed to perform equilibrium and fpMD simulations in GROMACS, the output data, and the analysis script to generate all figures are available at https://github.com/mhkoscience/nepomuceno-cnt-peptides

References

(1) Voss, N. R.; Gerstein, M.; Steitz, T. A.; Moore, P. B. The Geometry of the Ribosomal Polypeptide Exit Tunnel. J. Mol. Biol. 2006, 360, 893–906.

- (2) van den Berg, B.; Clemons, W. M.; Collinson, I.; Modis, Y.; Hartmann, E.; Harrison, S. C.; Rapoport, T. A. X-Ray Structure of a Protein-Conducting Channel. *Nature* 2004, 427, 36–44.
- (3) Rabl, J.; Smith, D. M.; Yu, Y.; Chang, S.-C.; Goldberg, A. L.; Cheng, Y. Mechanism of Gate Opening in the 20S Proteasome by the Proteasomal ATPases. *Mol. Cell* 2008, 30, 360–368.
- (4) Ping, G.; Yuan, J. M.; Vallieres, M.; Dong, H.; Sun, Z.; Wei, Y.; Li, F. Y.; Lin, S. H. Effects of Confinement on Protein Folding and Protein Stability. J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 118, 8042–8048.
- (5) Zhou, H.-X. Protein Folding in Confined and Crowded Environments. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 2008, 469, 76–82.
- (6) Lucent, D.; Vishal, V.; Pande, V. S. Protein Folding under Confinement: A Role for Solvent. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2007, 104, 10430–10434.
- (7) Mittal, J.; Best, R. B. Thermodynamics and Kinetics of Protein Folding under Confinement. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2008, 105, 20233–20238.
- (8) Ziv, G.; Haran, G.; Thirumalai, D. Ribosome Exit Tunnel Can Entropically Stabilize α-Helices. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2005, 102, 18956–18961.
- (9) Sorin, E. J.; Pande, V. S. Nanotube Confinement Denatures Protein Helices. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6316–6317.
- (10) O'Brien, E. P.; Stan, G.; Thirumalai, D.; Brooks, B. R. Factors Governing Helix Formation in Peptides Confined to Carbon Nanotubes. *Nano Letters* 2008, *8*, 3702–3708.
- (11) Suvlu, D.; Samaratunga, S.; Thirumalai, D.; Rasaiah, J. C. Thermodynamics of Helix-Coil Transitions of Polyalanine in Open Carbon Nanotubes. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2017, 8, 494–499.
- (12) Schlitter, J.; Engels, M.; Krüger, P. Targeted Molecular Dynamics: A New Approach for Searching Pathways of Conformational Transitions. *Journal of Molecular Graphics* 1994, 12, 84–89.
- (13) Isralewitz, B.; Gao, M.; Schulten, K. Steered Molecular Dynamics and Mechanical Functions of Proteins. *Curr. Opin. Struc. Biol.* **2001**, *11*, 224–230.
- (14) Gräter, F.; Shen, J.; Jiang, H.; Gautel, M.; Grubmüller, H. Mechanically Induced Titin Kinase Activation Studied by Force-Probe Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Biophys.* J. 2005, 88, 790–804.
- (15) Grubmüller, H. In Protein-Ligand Interactions: Methods and Applications; Ulrich Nienhaus, G., Ed.; Methods in Molecular Biology; Humana Press: Totowa, NJ, 2005; pp 493–515.

- (16) Ishida, H.; Hayward, S. Path of Nascent Polypeptide in Exit Tunnel Revealed by Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Ribosome. *Biophys. J.* 2008, 95, 5962–5973.
- (17) Nakatogawa, H.; Ito, K. Secretion Monitor, SecM, Undergoes Self-Translation Arrest in the Cytosol. Mol. Cell 2001, 7, 185–192.
- (18) Gumbart, J.; Schreiner, E.; Wilson, D. N.; Beckmann, R.; Schulten, K. Mechanisms of SecM-Mediated Stalling in the Ribosome. *Biophys. J.* 2012, 103, 331–341.
- (19) Butkus, M. E.; Prundeanu, L. B.; Oliver, D. B. Translocon "Pulling" of Nascent SecM Controls the Duration of Its Translational Pause and Secretion-Responsive secA Regulation. *Journal of Bacteriology* **2003**, *185*, 6719–6722.
- (20) Zimmer, M. H.; Niesen, M. J. M.; Miller, T. F. Force Transduction Creates Long-Ranged Coupling in Ribosomes Stalled by Arrest Peptides. *Biophys. J.* 2021, 120, 2425–2435.
- (21) Goldman, D. H.; Kaiser, C. M.; Milin, A.; Righini, M.; Tinoco, I.; Bustamante, C. Mechanical Force Releases Nascent Chain–Mediated Ribosome Arrest in Vitro and in Vivo. Science 2015, 348, 457–460.
- (22) Gaub, B. M.; Müller, D. J. Mechanical Stimulation of Piezo1 Receptors Depends on Extracellular Matrix Proteins and Directionality of Force. *Nano Letters* 2017, 17, 2064– 2072.
- (23) Tomkiewicz, D.; Nouwen, N.; Driessen, A. J. M. Pushing, Pulling and Trapping Modes of Motor Protein Supported Protein Translocation. *FEBS Letters* 2007, 581, 2820–2828.
- (24) Cassaignau, A. M.; Cabrita, L. D.; Christodoulou, J. How Does the Ribosome Fold the Proteome? Ann. Rev. Biochem. 2020, 89, 389–415.
- (25) Humphrey, W.; Dalke, A.; Schulten, K. VMD: Visual Molecular Dynamics. Journal of Molecular Graphics 1996, 14, 33–38.
- (26) DeLano, W. L. Pymol: An Open-Source Molecular Graphics Tool. CCP4 Newsl. Protein Crystallogr 2002, 40, 82–92.
- (27) Huang, J.; Rauscher, S.; Nawrocki, G.; Ran, T.; Feig, M.; de Groot, B. L.; Grubmüller, H.; MacKerell Jr, A. D. CHARMM36m: An Improved Force Field for Folded and Intrinsically Disordered Proteins. *Nat. Methods* **2017**, *14*, 71–73.
- (28) Berendsen, H. J. C.; Grigera, J. R.; Straatsma, T. P. The Missing Term in Effective Pair Potentials. J. Phys. Chem. 1987, 91, 6269–6271.
- (29) Darden, T.; York, D.; Pedersen, L. Particle Mesh Ewald: An N·log(N) Method for Ewald Sums in Large Systems. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 10089–10092.
- (30) Hess, B. P-LINCS: A Parallel Linear Constraint Solver for Molecular Simulation. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2008, 4, 116–122.

- (31) Bussi, G.; Donadio, D.; Parrinello, M. Canonical Sampling through Velocity Rescaling. J. Chem. Phys. 2007, 126, 014101.
- (32) Berendsen, H. J. C.; Postma, J. P. M.; van Gunsteren, W. F.; DiNola, A.; Haak, J. R. Molecular Dynamics with Coupling to an External Bath. J. Chem. Phys. 1984, 81, 3684–3690.
- (33) Abraham, M. J.; Murtola, T.; Schulz, R.; Páll, S.; Smith, J. C.; Hess, B.; Lindahl, E. GROMACS: High Performance Molecular Simulations through Multi-Level Parallelism from Laptops to Supercomputers. SoftwareX 2015, 1–2, 19–25.
- (34) Michaud-Agrawal, N.; Denning, E. J.; Woolf, T. B.; Beckstein, O. MDAnalysis: A Toolkit for the Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations. J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32, 2319–2327.
- (35) Gowers, R. J.; Linke, M.; Barnoud, J.; Reddy, T. J. E.; Melo, M. N.; Seyler, S. L.; Domański, J.; Dotson, D. L.; Buchoux, S.; Kenney, I. M.; Beckstein, O. MDAnalysis: A Python Package for the Rapid Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Proceedings* of the 15th Python in Science Conference 2016, 98–105.