

The fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator: properties and functional spaces

Pierre Aime Feulefack

Abstract. In this note, we deal with the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ and the corresponding energy spaces for variational study. The fractional (relativistic) logarithmic Schrödinger operator is the pseudo-differential operator with logarithmic Fourier symbol, $\log(1 + |\xi|^{2s})$, $s > 0$. We first establish the integral representation corresponding to the operator and provide an asymptotics property of the related kernel. We introduce the functional analytic theory allowing to study the operator from a PDE point of view and the associated Dirichlet problems in an open set of \mathbb{R}^N . We also establish some variational inequalities, provide the fundamental solution and the asymptotics of the corresponding Green function at zero and at infinity.

Keywords. Logarithmic symbol, pseudo-differential operator, Schrödinger operator, Green function, fundamental solution, regularity, maximum principle.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 45P05, 45C05, 45A05, 35R11, 35S15.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Definition and properties of the operator	5
3. Fundamental solutions and Green function	14
4. Functional setting for the Dirichlet problems	18
5. Dirichlet problems	28
References	31

This work was initiated when the author visited Rutgers University of New-Jersey, USA, through the Abbas Bahri Excellence Fellowship. He is grateful to the Department of Mathematics for the hospitality and wishes to thank Yan Yan Li, Zheng-chao Han, and Denis Kriventsov for their helpful discussions. The author thanks S. Jarohs for helpfull comments and R. Frank for pointing out paper [26]. The author is partially funded by a Research Strategic Initiatives Grant (RSIG22) from the University of Northern British Columbia, Canada.

1. Introduction

This note provides tools and properties for the fractional (relativistic) logarithmic Schrödinger operator denoted by $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$, which is the pseudo-differential operator corresponding to the logarithmic Fourier symbol

$$\log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}), \quad s > 0,$$

which, for smooth function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, it is equivalent to the equality

$$(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\log(1 + |\xi|^{2s})\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi))(x), \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N).$$

Here and in the following, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} stand for the Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform respectively. This note is somehow a continuation of the logarithmic Schrödinger operator corresponding to the particular case $s = 1$, denoted by $(I - \Delta)^{\log}$, introduced in [21],

$$(I - \Delta)^{\log} u(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\log(1 + |\xi|^2)\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi))(x), \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N).$$

As already mentioned in [21], we adopt the superscript notation $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ to emphasize on the nonlocal feature of these operators. We call the operator here the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator and this can be found in literature with the classical notation

$$\log(I + (-\Delta)^s),$$

see for instance [6, 7, 7, 10, 13, 17, 29, 36, 38, 40, 44, 47, 48] and the references therein. These operators are usually called in the point of view of probabilistic and potential theory, the infinitesimal generators of a symmetric geometric $2s$ -stable processes for $s \in (0, 1)$ [31] and the infinitesimal generator for the symmetric variance gamma process for $s = 1$ [37]. Generally for $s > 0$, the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operators are defined through their characteristic function or their Laplace exponent

$$\psi(\lambda) := \log(1 + \lambda^{2s}).$$

In that direction, the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator has so far received most attentions and has vaste applications in mathematical finance. In particular, they play an important role in heavy-tail modeling of economic data [40] and in the study heavy-tailed financial models [45, 48]. Moreover, despite the wide applications in mathematical finance and other fields of sciences, there has not been much study in the point of view of Partial Differential Equations (PDEs) or Integro-Differential Equations (IDEs) involving these operators.

Many results in the literature on problems involving the fractional Logarithmic Schrödinger operator are proved using the corresponding Lévy Khintchine or Laplace exponent $\psi(\lambda) := \log(1 + \lambda^{2s})$, combined with Tauberian-type theorems [35, 36, 38, 42, 48] or the method via Fourier transform in \mathbb{R}^N . We mention for instance [13, 44] where the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator have been used in wave equation to model damping mechanism in \mathbb{R}^N (see also the references therein)

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u + (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u_t = 0 & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

We also mention [11], where the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator has been used in an inviscid model generalizing the two-dimensional Euler and the surface quasi-geostrophic equations describe by

$$\begin{cases} \theta_t + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0 & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0 \\ u = \nabla^\perp \psi, \quad \Delta \psi = (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} \theta, \end{cases}$$

where $\theta = \theta(x, t)$ is a scalar function of $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t > 0$, u denotes a velocity field in \mathbb{R}^N . This is also known as log-Euler equation. In [52], the logarithmic Schrödinger operator is used to model a fast algorithm for intra-frame versatile video coding based on edge features. In [41] a model involving a one-dimensional logarithmic Schrödinger operator is used to investigate finite-time blow-up and stability of semilinear integro-differential equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} w_t + (I - \Delta)^{\log} w + \nu t^\sigma w^{1+\beta} \\ w(x, 0) = \varphi(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases}$$

where $\nu > 0$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta > 0$ are constants. See also [2, 18, 51] and the references in there for other problems involving the logarithmic Schrödinger operator $(I - \Delta)^{\log}$.

In the general theory of partial differential equations (PDEs) or integro-differential equations (IDEs), to avoid a priori regularity assumption on solutions to the initial problem, it is a common case that one first finds a weak or distributional solutions to the initial problem, and then, by showing that the solution is sufficiently regular, one argues that it is in fact a strong or classical solution. This theory of PDEs involves Sobolev spaces and variational approach, which are basic tools to establish weak, distributional or viscosity solutions to a specific given equation.

The aim of the present note is to define the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ from an analytical point of view and introduce tools from functional analytic theory which, we think will be helpful to PDEs oriented readers to study problems involving the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ in a domain using variational approach. So far, there are not many papers or books in the literature dealing with the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator in domain.

In the sense above, some of our results may not be new for expert in nonlocal elliptic or parabolic operators, but the exposition is explicitly given in this note particularly for the fractional logarithmic schrödinger operator. Moreover, more on Lévy-type processes and their corresponding pseudo-differential operators on the general form $\psi(\Delta)$, where ψ is a Bernstein functions can be found in [31].

Outline. The paper is divided in four sections and organised as follows.

In section 2, we show that for compactly supported Dini continuous function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ can be represented as a singular integral operator,

$$\begin{aligned} (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\log(I + |\xi|^{2s})\mathcal{F}(u))(x) \\ &= P.V \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y))K_s(x - y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where the kernel function K_s is given below (see (2.3)) and satisfies the asymptotics

$$K_s(z) \sim \begin{cases} |z|^{-N} & \text{as } |z| \rightarrow 0 \\ |z|^{-N-2s} & \text{as } |z| \rightarrow \infty \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for $s \in (0, 1)$, and for $s = 1$,

$$K_1(z) \sim \begin{cases} |z|^{-N} & \text{as } |z| \rightarrow 0 \\ |z|^{-\frac{N+1}{2}} e^{-|z|} & \text{as } |z| \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

In section 3, we deal with the fundamental solution and Green function for $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$. Denoting by G the Green function for $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$, we show that

$$G(x) = \int_0^\infty q_t(x) dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^\infty p_s(x, \tau) \tau^{t-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau dt.$$

where q_t is the associated density function and the function G satisfies the asymptotics

$$G(x) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x|^N (\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2} & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow 0 \\ \frac{C_{N,s}}{|x|^{N+2s} (\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2} & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

In section 4, we set up the functional analytic framework for the Dirichlet problems involving the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ in domain. We prove some important inequalities for variational study as well as some compactness results.

In section 5, we investigate the existence results and some properties of solutions for Poisson problem involving the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ in an open bounded set Ω of \mathbb{R}^N . Moreover, we prove also the strong maximum principle for pointwise solutions.

Notations. Throughout the note, we shall use the following notations. We let $\omega_{N-1} = 2\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}/\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})$ denote the measure of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N and, for a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we define $\delta_A(x) := \text{dist}(x, A^c)$ with $A^c = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus A$ and, if A is measurable, then $|A|$ denotes its Lebesgue measure. Moreover, for given $r > 0$, let $B_r(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \text{dist}(x, A) < r\}$, and let $B_r(x) := B_r(\{x\})$ denote the ball of radius r with x as its center. If $x = 0$ we also write B_r instead of $B_r(0)$. If A is open, we denote by $C_c^k(A)$ the space of function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are k -times continuously differentiable and with support compactly contained in A . If f and g are two functions, then, $f \sim g$ as $x \rightarrow a$ if $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ converges to a constant as x converges to a . By $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we denote the Schwartz space of rapidly decaying functions in \mathbb{R}^N with the topology generated by the family of semi-norm

$$P_N(\varphi) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |x|)^N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} |D^\alpha \varphi(x)|, \quad N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

with $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we define the Fourier transform of φ by

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \varphi(x) dx.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be the set of all tempered distributions, the topological dual of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The Fourier Transform can be extended continuously from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

2. Definition and properties of the operator

Let start with the well-known fractional Laplace operator $(-\Delta)^s$ with $s \in (0, 1)$, appearing in the definition of $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$. Due the important links to stochastic processes and partial differential equations, the fractional Laplacian has received by far most attention see e.g. [3, 9, 10, 24, 32, 50] and the references therein. It is defined for a compactly supported functions $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of class C^2 via the singular integral

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{N,s} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\epsilon(x)} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy$$

where the normalization constant $C_{N,s}$ is given by

$$C_{N,s} := \pi^{-\frac{N}{2}} 2^{2s} s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2} + s)}{\Gamma(1 - s)}, \quad (2.1)$$

and it is chosen such that, equivalently, the fractional Laplacian is defined by its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}((-\Delta)^s u) = |\cdot|^{2s} \mathcal{F}u$. It is well known that for that for $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the following limits hold

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^-} (-\Delta)^s u(x) = -\Delta u(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} (-\Delta)^s u(x) = u(x).$$

We also observe that λ^α , $\alpha > 0$ is a Bernstein functions with representation

$$\lambda^\alpha = \frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\alpha)|} \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-t\lambda}) t^{-1-\alpha} dt.$$

Moreover, it is not difficult to check using the Cauchy-Frullani's integral formula [1] that $\log(1 + \lambda^\alpha)$ has the representation

$$\log(1 + \lambda^\alpha) = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-t\lambda^\alpha}) \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt,$$

and it is in fact a Bernstein function too. Therefore, using Bochner's subordination (by substituting formally $\lambda = -\Delta$), the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator can also be represented in the sense of spectral theory in L^2 by

$$(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-t(-\Delta)^s}) \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt.$$

It well known from the spectral theorem that the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup of operator $P_t = e^{-t(-\Delta)^s}$, which is the Fourier multiplier with symbol $e^{-t|\xi|^{2s}}$. Hence P_t is the convolution operator with a symmetric kernel function $p_s(z, t)$ satisfying the Fourier representation

$$\mathcal{F}(p_s(\cdot, t))(\xi) = e^{-t|\xi|^{2s}}.$$

The function $p_s(t, x)$ is the transition density of a s -stable Lévy process. Moreover, for two values of the parameter s , namely $s = 1$ and $s = \frac{1}{2}$, the function $p_s(x, t)$ is explicitly known and in the first case, it writes as

$$p_1(x, t) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}, \quad t > 0,$$

known as the Gaussian kernel for the standard heat equation (see [50], [39, Page 180]). For the fractional case $s = \frac{1}{2}$, we have that

$$p_{\frac{1}{2}}(x, t) = \gamma_N \frac{t}{(t^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma_N = \Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right) \pi^{-\frac{N+1}{2}}.$$

For $s \in (0, 1)$, by the Fourier inversion theorem, $p_s(z, t)$ takes the form

$$p_s(z, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-i\xi \cdot z} e^{-t|\xi|^{2s}} d\xi.$$

Also $\mathcal{F}(p_s)(\xi) = e^{-t|\xi|^{2s}}$ is rapidly decreasing and therefore $p_s(z, t)$ is of class C^∞ . We also have the following scaling property

$$p_s(z, t) = t^{-\frac{N}{2s}} p_s\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2s}} z, 1\right)$$

and the following limits

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} |z|^{N+2s} p_s(z, 1) = C_{N,s} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|z|^{N+2s} p_s(z, t)}{t} = \kappa_{N,s}.$$

Moreover, with the constant $C_{N,s} > 0$ as in (2.1) with $s \in (0, 1)$, it is well known that for any $t > 0$ the transition density functions $p_s(y, t)$ satisfies (see [9, 10, 50])

$$p_s(y, t) \sim C_{N,s} \min\left(\frac{t}{|y|^{N+2s}}, t^{-\frac{N}{2s}}\right), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, $\frac{t}{|y|^{N+2s}} \leq t^{-\frac{N}{2s}}$ if and only if $t \leq |y|^{2s}$. Since we have that

$$P_t u(x) = e^{-t(-\Delta)^s} u(x) = [p_s(\cdot, t) * u](x) \quad \text{and} \quad p_s(-y, t) = p_s(y, t),$$

for $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x) &= \int_0^\infty (u(x) - P_t u(x)) \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty (u(x) - [p_s(\cdot, t) * u](x)) \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty (u(x) - [p_s(-\cdot, t) * u](x)) \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_t u$ can be interpreted as the solution of the fractional heat equation with initial datum u , namely, $P_t u$ is the solution of the fractional heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t P_t u = (-\Delta)^s P_t u, & t > 0, \\ P_0 u = u. \end{cases}$$

Finally, using the density property of $p_s(\cdot, t)$, the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (2u(x) - [p_s(\cdot, t) * u](x) - [p_s(\cdot, t) * u](x)) \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (2u(x) - u(x+y) - u(x-y)) K_s(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where the kernel function $K_s : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_s(z) &= \int_0^\infty p_s(z, t) \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-i\xi \cdot z} e^{-t|\xi|^{2s}} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} d\xi dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The explicit expression of the kernel K_s is unknown due to the difficulty on computing the integral appearing in (2.3). Moreover, from the explicit expression of the density function $p_1(\cdot, t)$, the explicit expression for K_1 is known (see [21]) and it is given in term of the modified Bessel function of second kind. The corresponding operator, denoted by $(I - \Delta)^{\log}$ is the logarithmic Schrödinger operator. It has been proved in [21] that for compactly supported Dini continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the operator $(I - \Delta)^{\log}$ has a singular integral representation given by

$$(I - \Delta)^{\log} u(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\epsilon(x)} (u(x) - u(y)) K_1(x - y) dy, \quad (2.4)$$

where K_1 is given by

$$K_1(x - y) = 2^{2^{1-\frac{N}{2}}} \pi^{-\frac{N}{2}} |x - y|^{-\frac{N}{2}} \kappa_{\frac{N}{2}}(x - y)$$

and κ_ν is the modified Bessel function of second kind with index $\nu > 0$, given by

$$\kappa_\nu(r) = \frac{(\pi/2)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^\nu e^{-r}}{\Gamma(\frac{2\nu+1}{2})} \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} t^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t/2)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$

From the radial property of the density function $p_s(\cdot, t)$, $s \in (0, 1]$, it easy to see that the kernel is symmetric, i.e. it satisfies $K_s(-z) = K_s(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_s(z) dz = \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

The above condition in (2.5) is visible from the asymptotics of the kernel K_s in Theorem 2.1. This shows that for any $s > 0$ the the kernel K_s is singular at the origin. Therefore, the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator is a singular integral operator and should be understood in the principal value sense,

$$\begin{aligned} (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x) &= P.V \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y)) K_s(x - y) dy \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\epsilon(x)} (u(x) - u(y)) K_s(x - y) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, for Ω open set of \mathbb{R}^N , one may also define the *regional* fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator by

$$(I + (-\Delta)_\Omega^s)^{\log} u(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\epsilon(x)} (u(x) - u(y)) K_s(x - y) dy,$$

which may be interpreted as the regional Fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)_\Omega^s$, (see [16]). In particular, for $s = 1$ and up to a multiplicative constant, from the asymptotics of the kernel K_s (see Theorem 2.1), an example of regional logarithmic Schrödinger operator can be identified with the operator H in [26] given by

$$(I - \Delta)_{\mathbb{S}^N}^{\log} u(x) := Hu(x) = P.V. \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^N} dy,$$

where $\mathbb{S}^N = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : \|x\| = 1\}$ is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} . The authors in [26] classified all nonnegative solutions u of the equation

$$(I - \Delta)_{\mathbb{S}^N}^{\log} u = u \log u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{S}^N.$$

If Ω has small volume, the regional fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator up to multiplicative constant also coincides with the regional logarithmic Laplacian [49],

$$(I - \Delta)_\Omega^{\log} u(x) := L_\Delta^\Omega u(x) = P.V. \int_\Omega \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^N} dy.$$

We note that in the particular case $N = 1$ and $s = 1$, it follows from the definition in (2.4) that (see [6, 29, 37, 41])

$$(I - \Delta)^{\log} u(x) = P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|} e^{-|x-y|} dy. \quad (2.7)$$

The main result of this section provides an asymptotics property of the kernel K_s at the singularity and at infinity. Since the explicit expression of K_s is not known for $s \in (0, 1)$, the following theorem is capital and will be used almost everywhere in this note. It writes as follows.

Theorem 2.1. (i) Let $C_{N,s} > 0$ be as given in (2.1) with the parameter $s \in (0, 1)$. Then the kernel function K_s for $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ satisfies the asymptotics

$$K_s(z) \sim \begin{cases} \kappa_{N,s} |z|^{-N} & \text{as } |z| \rightarrow 0 \\ C_{N,s} |z|^{-N-2s} & \text{as } |z| \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

(ii) For $s \in (0, 1]$ and $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ can be represented via its Fourier transform as

$$\mathcal{F}((I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u)(\xi) = \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2.9)$$

(iii) Let $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, the function $s \mapsto (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u$ is uniformly continuous. In particular, the following limits hold

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u = (I - \Delta)^{\log} u \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u = 0.$$

where the operator $(I - \Delta)^{\log} u$ is defined in (2.4).

(iv) The operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ is invariant under $(\lambda + (-\Delta)^s)^{-1}$, $\lambda > 0$. That is

$$(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} [(\lambda + (-\Delta)^s)^{-1}u] = (\lambda + (-\Delta)^s)^{-1}(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}u \quad (2.10)$$

for all $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. (i). This can be directly checked in particular for $s = \frac{1}{2}$, using the explicit expression of $p_{\frac{1}{2}}(x - y, t)$. Indeed, by a change of variable, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(x - y) &= \frac{\gamma_N}{|x - y|^N} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t|x-y|}}{(t^2 + 1)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} dt \\ &= \frac{\gamma_N}{|x - y|^{N+1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{\left(\frac{t^2}{|x-y|^2} + 1\right)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Using the first equality of $K_{\frac{1}{2}}$ in (2.11), we have

$$\lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} |x - y|^N K_{\frac{1}{2}}(x - y) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{2\pi^{N/2}}.$$

Using the second equality of $K_{\frac{1}{2}}$ in (2.11), we get

$$\lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow \infty} |x - y|^{N+1} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(x - y) = \gamma_N.$$

For general $s \in (0, 1)$, we shall use the following representation of the incomplete gamma function (see [43, Page 177]) given by

$$\Gamma(a, z) = z^a e^{-z} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-tz}}{(1+t)^{1-a}} dt, \quad \text{for } z > 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Moreover, with the constant $C_{N,s} > 0$ as in (2.1) with $s \in (0, 1)$, it is well known that for any $t > 0$ the transition density functions $p_s(x, y, t)$ satisfies (see [9, 10, 50])

$$p_s(x, y, t) \sim C_{N,s} \min\left(t^{-\frac{N}{2s}}, \frac{t}{|x - y|^{N+2s}}\right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2.13)$$

However, $\frac{t}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \leq t^{-\frac{N}{2s}}$ if and only if $t \leq |x - y|^{2s}$. Thus, using (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_s(x - y) &= \int_0^\infty p_s(x - y, t) e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\sim C_{N,s} \int_0^\infty \min\left(t^{-\frac{N}{2s}}, \frac{t}{|x - y|^{N+2s}}\right) e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= C_{N,s} \int_0^{|x-y|^{2s}} \frac{e^{-t}}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dt + C_{N,s} \int_{|x-y|^{2s}}^\infty t^{-\frac{N+2s}{2s}} e^{-t} dt \\ &= \frac{C_{N,s}}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \left(1 - e^{-|x-y|^{2s}}\right) + C_{N,s} \Gamma\left(-\frac{N}{2s}, |x - y|^{2s}\right) \\ &= \frac{C_{N,s}}{|x - y|^N} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-|x-y|^{2s}}}{|x - y|^{2s}}\right) + \frac{C_{N,s} e^{-|x-y|^{2s}}}{|x - y|^N} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t|x-y|^{2s}}}{(1+t)^{1+\frac{N}{2s}}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

It follows by the dominated convergent theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{K_s(x-y)}{|x-y|^N} &= C_{N,s} + C_{N,s} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t)^{1+\frac{N}{2s}}} dt \\ &= C_{N,s} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{N+s}{2s})}{2\Gamma(\frac{N+2s}{2s})} \right) =: \kappa_{N,s} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{K_s(x-y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} &= C_{N,s} \lim_{|z| \rightarrow +\infty} \left((1 - e^{-|z|^{2s}}) + \frac{|z|^{2s}}{e|z|^{2s}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t|z|^{2s}}}{(1+t)^{1+\frac{N}{2s}}} dt \right) \\ &= C_{N,s}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (i).

(ii). Let $s \in (0, 1]$. Since $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the integrand in (2.6) is a L^1 function on $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Thus, one applies Fourier transform on both side of (2.6) combined with the Fubini's theorem and the symmetry property of the kernel K_s to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}((I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u)(\xi) &= \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - e^{-iy \cdot \xi}) K_s(y) dy \\ &= \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (p_s(y, t) - e^{iy \cdot (-\xi)} p_s(y, t)) dy e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-t|\xi|^{2s}}) e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of item (ii)

(iii). By the Fourier inversion theorem, we use the above representation obtained (ii) given by

$$(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi))(x).$$

Let $s_0 > 0$. We bound the difference using the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x) - (I + (-\Delta)^{s_0})^{\log} u(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \left(\log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) - \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s_0}) \right) \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) - \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s_0}) \right| |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq 2|s - s_0| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^1 \frac{|\xi|^{2\tau(s-s_0)+2s_0} |\log |\xi||}{1 + |\xi|^{2\tau(s-s_0)+2s_0}} |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)| d\tau d\xi \\ &\leq 2|s - s_0| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^1 |\log |\xi|| |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)| d\tau d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{2|s - s_0|}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ((|\xi| \chi_{B_1(0)})^{-\epsilon} + (|\xi| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1(0)})^\epsilon) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)| d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is a small parameter coming from the inequality (see [34])

$$\log \rho \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left((\rho \chi_{\{\rho < 1\}})^{-\epsilon} + (\rho \chi_{\{\rho \geq 1\}})^{\epsilon} \right), \quad \rho > 0. \quad (2.14)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x) - (I + (-\Delta)^{s_0})^{\log} u(x) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2|s - s_0|}{\epsilon} \left| (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} (u \chi_{B_1}) \right| + \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} (u \chi_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1}) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2|s - s_0|}{\epsilon} \|u\|_{C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the function $s \mapsto (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u$ is Lipschitz and therefore uniformly continuous and thus the proof of (iii)

(iv). Set $w := (\lambda + (-\Delta)^s)^{-1} u$, then by definition

$$\begin{aligned} (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} w(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (w(x) - w(y)) k(x - y) dy \\ &= (\lambda + (-\Delta)^s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y)) k(x - y) dy \\ &= (\lambda + (-\Delta)^s)^{-1} (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x). \end{aligned}$$

This shows (iv) and hence the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Remark 2.2. (a) Note that the asymptotics of K_s in (2.8) as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ holds only for $0 < s < 1$. In the particular case $s = 1$, the behavior of K_1 at infinity is different and decays exponentially. Namely

$$K_1(z) \sim \kappa_N |z|^{-N} \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$K_1(z) \sim C_N |z|^{-\frac{N+1}{2}} e^{-|z|} \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

We refer to [21] where the proof for $s = 1$ was done using the properties of the modified Bessel function of second kind κ_ν defined above.

(b) The asymptotics of the kernel K_s in Theorem 2.1 shows that the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ has the same singular local behavior as that of the logarithmic Laplacian L_Δ (see [14, 15, 24, 30]) and it is comparable to the fractional Laplacian at infinity. We recall that the logarithmic Laplacian L_Δ is the pseudo-differential operator with Fourier symbol $2 \log |\cdot|$ and for compactly supported Dini continuous functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, it is pointwisely defined by

$$L_\Delta \varphi(x) = c_N \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\epsilon(x)} \frac{\varphi(x) 1_{B_1(x)}(y) - \varphi(y)}{|x - y|^N} dy + \rho_N \varphi(x), \quad (2.15)$$

where the constants $c_N := \frac{\Gamma(N/2)}{\pi^{N/2}}$ and $\rho_N := 2 \ln 2 + \psi(\frac{N}{2}) - \gamma$, see [15] for more details.

It follows from (2.8) that the kernel K_s satisfies the Lévy integrability property

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min\{1, |z|^\epsilon\} K_s(z) dz < \infty, \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}^N) \quad (2.16)$$

for any small enough $\epsilon > 0$. This clearly show that the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ belongs to the class of nonlocal operators with small order (see [12, 19, 22, 30]). We recall below the definition of the *order of an operator*, see also [28, Definition 2.1.2] and [23].

Definition 2.3. *Let L_K be an integro-differential operator with kernel K . We define the order of L_K as the infimum of the value $\sigma > 0$ for which the following holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \min\{1, |x - y|^\sigma\} K(x - y) dy < \infty, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N).$$

We next give the definition of Dini continuous functions. This provides the weak regularity that one can put on a function u for the quantity $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x)$ to be well-defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Let $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. We introduce the modulus of continuity $\omega_{u,x,\Omega} : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ of u at a point $x \in \Omega$, defined by

$$\omega_{u,x,\Omega}(r) = \sup_{y \in \Omega, |x-y| \leq r} |u(x) - u(y)|.$$

Then, a function u is called Dini continuous at x if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega_{u,x,\Omega}(r)}{r} dr < \infty.$$

We call u uniformly Dini continuous in Ω for the uniform modulus of continuity

$$\omega_{u,\Omega}(r) := \sup_{x \in \Omega} \omega_{u,x,\Omega}(r) \quad \text{if} \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\omega_{u,\Omega}(r)}{r} dr < \infty.$$

Therefore, for compactly supported Dini continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x)$ is pointwisely well-defined.

We introduce also the weighted space $\mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $s \in (0, 1)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^s} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(y)|}{(1 + |y|)^{N+2s}} dy.$$

In the following proposition, we list some properties of the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$. Some items of the proposition can be proved using the same computational arguments as in [21, Proposition 2.1]. We shall provide only the proof of item (2) and (3) for completeness.

Proposition 2.4. *(1) Let $u \in \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If u is locally Dini continuous at some point $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, then the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u$ is pointwisely defined by*

$$(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y)) k(x - y) dy.$$

(2) Let $\varphi \in C_c^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there is $C = C(N, s, \alpha, \varphi)$ such that

$$\left| (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} \varphi(x) \right| \leq C \frac{\|\varphi\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{(1 + |x|)^{N+2s}}.$$

In particular, for $u \in \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $(I + (-\Delta)^s)u$ defines a distribution via the map

$$\varphi \mapsto \langle (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} \varphi \, dx.$$

(3) If $u \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\beta > 0$, then $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u \in C^{\beta-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < \beta$ and there exists a constant $C := C(N, s, \beta, \epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\|(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u\|_{C^{\beta-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|u\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

(4) Let $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Then we have the product rule

$$\begin{aligned} (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}(\varphi\psi)(x) &= \varphi(x)(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}\psi(x) + \psi(x)(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}\varphi(x) \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))k(x-y) \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

If $(\rho_\epsilon)_{\epsilon>0}$ is a family of mollified, then

$$[(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}(\rho_\epsilon * \varphi)](x) = \rho_\epsilon * [(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}\varphi](x).$$

Proof of Proposition 2.4. We provide only the proofs for (2) and (3), see [21] for the rests.

Proof of (2). Let $\varphi \in C_c^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We use the representation

$$(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (2\varphi(x) - \varphi(x+y) - \varphi(x-y))K_s(x-y) \, dy.$$

Put $A := \|\varphi\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. Noticing that $\varphi \in C_c^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$|2\varphi(x) - \varphi(x+y) - \varphi(x-y)| \leq A \min\{1, |y|^\alpha\}.$$

Therefore, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have from (2.8) with $0 < r < 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}\varphi(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |2\varphi(x) - \varphi(x+y) - \varphi(x-y)|K_s(y) \, dy \\ &\leq C_N A \left(\int_{B_r} |y|^{\alpha-N} \, dy + \int_{B_1 \setminus B_r} \frac{1}{|y|^N} \, dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dy \right) \\ &\leq C(N, s, r, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Next, Let $R \geq 1$ be such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B_R(0)$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying $\frac{|x|}{4} > R$ such that $\varphi(x) = 0$. Then, for $y \in B_R(0)$,

$$|x-y| \geq \frac{|x|}{2} + \frac{|x|}{2} - |y| \geq \frac{|x|}{2} + 1 \geq \frac{1}{2}(|x| + 1).$$

Moreover, since $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)$, it follows that

$$|(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}\varphi(x)| \leq C_{N,s} A \int_{\text{supp } \varphi} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dy \leq \frac{C_{N,s} |\text{supp } \varphi| A}{(1+|x|)^{N+2s}}.$$

Therefore, combining the above computations, we find with $C := C(N, s, \alpha, \varphi)$ that

$$|(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}\varphi(x)| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{N+2s}} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

From the above computations, we have with $C := C(N, s, \alpha, \varphi)$ that

$$|\langle (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Moreover, if a sequence $\{u_n\}_n$ converges to u in $\mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then,

$$|\langle (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u_n - (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u, \varphi \rangle| \leq C_{N, \varphi} A \|u_n - u\|_{\mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof of (2).

Proof of (3). Let $0 < r < 1$. We have the following estimate of the difference,

$$|(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x_1) - (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x_2)| \leq I_1 + I_2$$

where I_1 and I_2 are given by

$$I_1 := \int_{B_r} (|u(x_1) - u(x_1 + y)| + |u(x_2) - u(x_2 + y)|) K_s(y) dy$$

$$I_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r} (|u(x_1) - u(x_2)| + |u(x_1 + y) - u(x_2 + y)|) K_s(y) dy.$$

For I_1 , we use the inequality $|u(x_1) - u(x_1 + y)| \leq \|u\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)} |y|^\beta$ combined with (2.8) to get

$$I_1 \leq 2 \|u\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)} \int_{B_r} |y|^{\beta-N} dy \leq \frac{2\omega_{N-1} C_{K_{N,s}}}{\beta} \|u\|_{C^k(\mathbb{R}^N)} r^\beta.$$

For I_2 , we use $|u(x_1) - u(x_2)| + |u(x_1 + y) - u(x_2 + y)| \leq 2 \|u\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)} |x_1 - x_2|^\beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq 2|x_1 - x_2|^\beta \|u\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)} \left(\int_{B_1 \setminus B_r} \frac{K_{N,s}}{|y|^N} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} \frac{C_{N,s}}{|y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \\ &\leq 2|x_1 - x_2|^\beta C_{N,s} \|u\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)} C_{N,s} \left(\log \frac{1}{r} + 1 \right) \\ &\leq 2|x_1 - x_2|^\beta C_{N,s} \|u\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)} \left(\frac{r^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon} + 1 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C'_{N,s} \|u\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{\epsilon} |x_1 - x_2|^\beta r^{-\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.8) and the inequality $\log(\rho) \leq \frac{\rho^\epsilon}{\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon > 0$ and $\rho \geq 1$ as in (2.14). Therefore, taking in particular $r = |x_1 - x_2|$, we end with

$$\frac{|(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x_1) - (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\beta-\epsilon}} \leq C(N, s, \beta, \epsilon) \|u\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

This gives the proof of (3). The rest of the proof follows from [21, Proposition 2.1]. \square

3. Fundamental solutions and Green function

This section introduce the fundamental solution, the Green function for $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ and some of their properties. For $s \in (0, 1]$ and $t < \frac{N}{2}$, we set

$$Q_t = (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{-t}.$$

Then one can show that for any $t < \frac{N}{2}$, Q_t generates a strongly continuous semigroup of operators which is the Fourier multiplier with symbol $(1 + |\xi|^{2s})^{-t}$. Hence Q_t is also the convolution operator with a symmetric kernel function $q_s(t, z)$ satisfying the Fourier representation $\mathcal{F}(q_s(t, \cdot))(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^{2s})^{-t}$. The function $q_s(t, \cdot)$ is a transition density function of a Lévy process with the representation

$$q_s(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^\infty p_s(x - y, \tau) \tau^{t-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N)$$

One checks that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} q_s(t, x) dx = 1$. The action of the kernel Q_t on functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, is by definition

$$Q_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} q_s(t, x - y) f(y) dy$$

with the Fourier transform given by

$$\mathcal{F}(Q_t f)(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^{2s})^{-t} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi).$$

Therefore, if $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then the function

$$U(x, t) := Q_t u(x) = (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{-t} u(x)$$

is the solution of the following ‘‘heat equation’’ for the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ (Cauchy problem)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U = (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} U, & 0 < t < \frac{N}{2}; \\ U(x, t = 0) = u. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the function $q_s(t, x)$ is the fundamental solution to the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t q_s(t, x) = (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} q_s(t, x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ q_s(0, x) = \delta_0. \end{cases}$$

Next, consider then the Poisson problem $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u = f$ in \mathbb{R}^N , then, the solution can be written in terms of the Green function associated to $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ by the relation

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x - y) f(y) dy, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N),$$

where the Green function G is defined by

$$G(x) = \int_0^\infty q_t(x) dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^\infty p_s(x, \tau) \tau^{t-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau dt.$$

The main theorem of this section writes as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *The Green function G defined above satisfies the asymptotics*

$$G(x) \sim \frac{C_{N,s}}{4s^2} \frac{1}{|x|^N (\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2} \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$G(x) \sim \frac{C_{N,s}}{(\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2 |x|^{N+2s}} \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. The proof of the first assertion of Lemma 3.1 can be found in [48, Theorem 3.2]. We provide a proof using different method which we also hope it is easy to follow. Let start with the particular case $s = \frac{1}{2}$. By doing a change of variable $\tau = |x|\tau$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\gamma_N}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^t e^{-\tau}}{(\tau^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} d\tau dt \\ &= \frac{\gamma_N}{|x|^N} \int_0^\infty \frac{|x|^t}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^t e^{-\tau|x|}}{(\tau^2 + 1)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} d\tau dt. \end{aligned}$$

Next, set $t = \frac{t}{\log \frac{1}{|x|}}$. Using the property $x\Gamma(x) = \Gamma(x+1)$ for the Gamma function, we get

$$G(x) = \frac{\gamma_N}{|x|^N (\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{te^{-t}}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{t}{\log |x|})} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{-\frac{t}{\log |x|}} e^{-\tau|x|}}{(\tau^2 + 1)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} d\tau dt$$

By the dominated convergent theorem, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^N (\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2 G(x) &= \int_0^\infty te^{-t} dt \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1 + \tau^2)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} d\tau \\ &= \gamma_N \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{N+1}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

For general $s \in (0, 1)$, we use the asymptotics properties for the transition density function in (2.2) and the representation for the incomplete Gamma function in (2.12). We have

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &\sim C_{N,s} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^\infty \min \left\{ \tau^{-\frac{N}{2s}}, \frac{\tau}{|x|^{N+2s}} \right\} \tau^{t-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau dt \\ &= C_{N,s} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \left(\int_0^{|x|^{2s}} \frac{\tau^t e^{-\tau}}{|x|^{N+2s}} d\tau + \int_{|x|^{2s}}^{+\infty} \tau^{t-\frac{N}{2s}-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau \right) dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

By a double changes of variables, $\tau = |x|^{2s} \tau$ and then $t = \frac{t}{2s \log \frac{1}{|x|}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{C_{N,s}}{|x|^{N+2s}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^{|x|^{2s}} \tau^t e^{-\tau} d\tau dt \\ &= \frac{C_{N,s}}{|x|^N} \int_0^\infty \frac{|x|^{2st}}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^1 \tau^t e^{-\tau|x|^{2s}} d\tau dt \\ &= \frac{C_{N,s}}{4s^2 |x|^N (\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2} \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty \frac{te^{-t}}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{t}{2s \log |x|})} \tau^{-\frac{t}{2s \log |x|}} e^{-\tau|x|^{2s}} dt d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By the dominated convergent theorem, we get

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^N (\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2 I_1 = \frac{C_{N,s}}{4s^2} \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty te^{-t} dt d\tau = \frac{C_{N,s}}{4s^2}.$$

For I_2 , we use the representation in (2.12) and the change of variable $t = \frac{\tau}{2s \log \frac{1}{|x|}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= C_{N,s} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_{|x|^{2s}}^\infty \tau^{t-\frac{N}{2s}-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau dt \\
 &= C_{N,s} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \Gamma\left(t - \frac{N}{2s}, |x|^{2s}\right) dt \\
 &= \frac{C_{N,s}}{|x|^N} \int_0^\infty \frac{|x|^{2st}}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(1+\tau)|x|^{2s}}}{(1+\tau)^{1-t+\frac{N}{2}}} d\tau dt \\
 &= \frac{C_{N,s}}{4s^2 |x|^N \left(\log \frac{1}{|x|}\right)^2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{te^{-t}}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{t}{2s \log |x|}\right)} \frac{e^{-(1+\tau)|x|^{2s}}}{(1+\tau)^{1+\frac{\tau}{2s \log |x|} + \frac{N}{2s}}} dt d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

It also follows by the dominated convergent theorem that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^N \left(\log \frac{1}{|x|}\right)^2 I_2 = \frac{C_{N,s}}{4s^2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty te^{-t} \frac{1}{(1+\tau)^{1+\frac{N}{2s}}} dt d\tau = \frac{2s}{N} \frac{C_{N,s}}{4s^2}.$$

This completes the proof of the first part.

To prove the asymptotics at infinity in the second assertion, we start again with the particular case $s = \frac{1}{2}$. Doing the change of variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(x) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\gamma_N}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^t e^{-\tau}}{(\tau^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} d\tau dt \\
 &= \frac{\gamma_N}{|x|^{N+1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^t e^{-\tau}}{\left(\left(\frac{\tau}{|x|}\right)^2 + 1\right)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} d\tau dt \\
 &= \frac{\gamma_N}{|x|^N} \int_0^\infty \frac{|x|^t}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^t e^{-\tau|x|}}{(\tau^2 + 1)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} d\tau dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Write $|x|^t = e^{-t \log \frac{1}{|x|}}$. By a double changes of variables, $\mu = t \log \frac{1}{|x|}$ and $\rho = |x|\tau$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(x) &= \frac{\gamma_N}{|x|^N \log \frac{1}{|x|}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{t}{\log \frac{1}{|x|}}\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{-\frac{t}{\log |x|}} e^{-\tau|x|}}{(\tau^2 + 1)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} d\tau dt \\
 &= \frac{\gamma_N}{|x|^{N+1} \left(\log \frac{1}{|x|}\right)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{te^{-t}}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{t}{\log |x|}\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\frac{\tau}{|x|}\right)^{-\frac{t}{\log |x|}} e^{-\tau}}{\left(\left(\frac{\tau}{|x|}\right)^2 + 1\right)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} d\tau dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows by the dominated convergent theorem that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left(\log \frac{1}{|x|}\right)^2 |x|^{N+1} G(x) = \gamma_N \int_0^\infty te^{-t} \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau} d\tau dt = \gamma_N.$$

For $s \in (0, 1)$, we can directly use the asymptotics at infinity for $p_s(x, t)$ proved in [10, Theorem 2.1], namely

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{N+2s} p_s(x, 1) = C_{N,s} = \pi^{-\frac{N}{2}} 2^{2s} s \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2} + s\right)}{\Gamma(1-s)}. \quad (3.1)$$

Hence, by the changing of variables $\tau := |x|^{2s}\rho$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^\infty p_s(x, \tau) \tau^{t-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{|x|^{2ts-2s}}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^\infty p_s(x, \tau|x|^{2s}) \tau^{t-1} e^{-\tau|x|^{2s}} d\tau dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the changing of variable $\mu := t2s \log \frac{1}{|x|}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= \frac{|x|^{-2s}}{(\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{te^{-t}}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{t}{2s \log |x|})} \int_0^\infty p_s(x, \tau|x|^{2s}) \tau^{-\frac{t}{2s \log |x|}-1} e^{-\tau|x|^{2s}} d\tau dt \\ &= \frac{1}{(\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{te^{-t}}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{t}{2s \log |x|})} \int_0^\infty p_s(x, \tau) \left(\frac{\tau}{|x|^{2s}}\right)^{-\frac{t}{2s \log |x|}} \tau^{-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau dt \\ &= \frac{1}{(\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{te^{-t}}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{t}{2s \log |x|})} \int_0^\infty \left(p_s(x\tau^{-\frac{1}{2s}}, 1)\right) \left(\frac{\tau}{|x|^{2s}}\right)^{-\frac{t}{2s \log |x|}} \times \\ &\quad \tau^{-\frac{N}{2s}-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau dt \\ &= \frac{1}{(\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{te^{-t}}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{t}{2s \log |x|})} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{|x\tau^{-\frac{1}{2s}}|^{N+2s} p_s(x\tau^{-\frac{1}{2s}}, 1)}{|x|^{N+2s}} \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\frac{\tau}{|x|^{2s}}\right)^{-\frac{t}{2s \log |x|}} e^{-\tau}\right) d\tau dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.1),

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{N+2s} (\log \frac{1}{|x|})^2 G(x) = C_{N,s} \int_0^\infty te^{-t} \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau} d\tau dt = C_{N,s}.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. \square

4. Functional setting for the Dirichlet problems

In this section, we set up the functional analytic framework for the study of Dirichlet problems involving to the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ in domain. We aim at investigating properties of bilinear forms and the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ from a variational point of view. Let Ω be an open set of \mathbb{R}^N and $u, v \in C_c^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ understood as functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Here and the following we identify the space $L^2(\Omega)$ with the space of functions $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the property that $u \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$. For any $s > 0$, we consider the bilinear form

$$b_{s,\Omega}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) K_s(x - y) dx dy, \quad (4.1)$$

where we will write $b_s(u, v) := b_{s,\mathbb{R}^N}(u, v)$ if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. We define the space $H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$ by

$$H^{\log,s}(\Omega) := \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : b_{s,\Omega}(u, u) < \infty\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^{\log,s}(\Omega)} := \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + b_{s,\Omega}(u, u) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the term $b_{s,\Omega}$ can be written as $b_{s,\Omega}(u, u) := \frac{1}{2} [u]_{s,\log,\Omega}$ with

$$[u]_{s,\log,\Omega} := \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 K_s(x - y) \, dx dy,$$

viewed as the so-called Gagliardo semi-norm of u for the space $H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$. We shall also write $[u]_{s,\log}$ for $[u]_{s,\log,\mathbb{R}^N}$ when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. To investigate Dirichlet problems subject to boundary (complement) condition, we do need to introduce the space $\mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\Omega)$ which denotes the closure of the space $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to norm in $H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\Omega) := \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|u\|_{H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{H}^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and as we shall see below, both $H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\Omega)$ are Hilbert spaces with respective scalar products

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^{\log,s}(\Omega)} := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + b_{s,\Omega}(\cdot, \cdot) \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\Omega)} := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + b_s(\cdot, \cdot). \quad (4.3)$$

In the next lemma, we present an equivalent representation of the energy b_s associated to the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ via Fourier Transform. As we shall see, the will helpful in the sequel as it avoid to always use the asymptotics of the kernel in (2.8).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We have the following equivalent representation of the quadratic form b_s via Fourier transform*

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 K_s(x - y) \, dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) |\mathcal{F}(u)|^2 \, d\xi.$$

Proof. For fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we change coordinates $z = x - y$ and apply Plancherel, recalling that $\mathcal{F}(u(\cdot + z))(\xi) = e^{i\xi \cdot z} \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 K_s(x - y) \, dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |e^{i\xi \cdot z} - 1|^2 |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi K_s(z) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (2 - 2 \cos(\xi \cdot z)) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi K_s(z) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (2 - e^{i\xi \cdot z} - e^{-i\xi \cdot z}) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi K_s(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

We next use (2.3) and the radial property of the transition density functions $p_s(z, t)$ to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (2 - e^{i\xi \cdot z} - e^{-i\xi \cdot z}) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi K_s(z) dz$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{t} (1 - e^{-t|\xi|^{2s}}) dt |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

This provides the proof of Lemma (4.1). \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and $s \in (0, 1]$.*

- (i) *The spaces $H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\Omega)$ are a Hilbert spaces with respective scalar products given in (4.2) and (4.3).*
- (ii) *If $u \in H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$, then $|u|, u^\pm \in H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$ with*

$$\| |u| \|_{H^{\log,s}(\Omega)}, \| u^\pm \|_{H^{\log,s}(\Omega)} \leq \| u \|_{H^{\log,s}}.$$

- (iii) *The space $C_c^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\alpha > 0$.*
- (iv) *Multiplication of a function $u \in H^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a function $\varphi \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Let $u \in H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\varphi \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $\varphi u \in H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and there is a constant $C := C(N, s, \alpha, \varphi) > 0$ such that*

$$\| \varphi u \|_{H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \| u \|_{H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

The same result easily holds for $\varphi \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with compact support and $u \in H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

- (v) *The space $H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is larger than any classical Sobolev space. In fact, we have that $H^m(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $H^m(\mathbb{R}^N) = W^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the classical Sobolev space with the norm given by*

$$\| u \|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \| D^\alpha u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad (4.4)$$

with

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j.$$

Proof. (i). We provide the proof only for the space $H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$. The proof for $\mathcal{H}^{\log,s}(\Omega)$ follows analogously.

Let $\{u_n\}_n \subset H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$ be a Cauchy sequence. Then $\{u_n\}_n$ is in particular a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$ and hence there exists a $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we get that $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e in \mathbb{R}^N as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and by Fatou Lemma we have

$$b_{s,\Omega}(u, u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{s,\Omega}(u_n, u_n) \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_{s,\Omega}(u_n, u_n) < \infty,$$

showing that $u \in H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$. Apply once more Fatou Lemma it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\| u_n - u \|_{H^{\log,s}(\Omega)}^2 &= \| u_n - u \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + b_{s,\Omega}(u_n - u, u_n - u) \\
&\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \| u_n - u_m \|_{H^{\log,s}(\Omega)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The claim follows since $\{u_n\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$.

- (ii). It straightforward to see by integrating the inequality

$$|u(x)| - |u(y)| \leq |u(x) - u(y)|$$

that

$$b_{s,\Omega}(|u|, |u|) \leq b_{s,\Omega}(u, u) \quad \text{and} \quad \| |u| \|_{H^{\log,s}(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{H^{\log,s}(\Omega)}.$$

Next, using also the inequality

$$2(u^+(x) - u^+(y))(u^-(x) - u^-(y)) = -2(u^-(x)u^+(y) + u^-(y)u^+(x)) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

it follows by a simple computation that

$$\begin{aligned} b_{s,\Omega}(u, u) &= b_{s,\Omega}(u^+, u^+) + b_{s,\Omega}(u^-, u^-) - 2b_{s,\Omega}(u^+, u^-) \\ &\geq b_{s,\Omega}(u^+, u^+) + b_{s,\Omega}(u^-, u^-), \end{aligned}$$

proving clearly that (ii) holds.

(iii). Let $u \in C_c^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\text{supp } u \subset B_r$, $r > 0$. without loss of generality we may assume that $r = 1$ such that we can directly apply the asymptotics in (2.8). It clear that $u \in C_c^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ implies $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, fix $A := \|u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)}$, we have

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq 2\|u\|_{L^\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad |u(x) - u(y)| \leq A|x - y|^\alpha \quad (4.5)$$

Hence

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq C \min\{1, |x - y|^\alpha\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} b_s(u, u) &= \frac{A}{2} \int_{B_1} \int_{B_1} |x - y|^{2\alpha} K_s(x - y) \, dx dy + A \int_{B_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} K_s(x - y) \, dy dx \\ &\leq C_1 \int_{B_1} \int_{B_1} |x - y|^{2\alpha - N} \, dx dy + C_2 \int_{B_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} |x - y|^{-N - 2s} \, dy \\ &\leq C_3 |B_1(0)| \left(\int_{B_1} |z|^{2\alpha - N} \, dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} |z|^{-N - 2s} \, dz \right) \\ &= C_3 \omega_{N-1} |B_1(0)| \left(\int_0^1 \rho^{2\alpha - 1} \, d\rho + \int_1^\infty \rho^{-1 - 2s} \, d\rho \right) = C < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C := C(N, s, \alpha) > 0$. This ends the proof for (iii) since $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

(iv). Let $u \in H^{1\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\varphi \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, $\varphi u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ since φ is bounded. Next, we the constant A as in (4.5), we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x)u(x) - \varphi(y)u(y)|^2 &\leq 2\left(|u(x) - u(y)|^2|\varphi(x)|^2 + |u(y)|^2|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|^2\right) \\ &\leq 2\left(|u(x) - u(y)|^2|\varphi(x)|^2 + A^2|u(y)|^2 \min\{1, |x - y|^{2\alpha}\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} b_s(\varphi u, \varphi u) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\varphi(x)|^2 |u(x) - u(y)|^2 K_s(x, y) \, dx dy \\ &\quad + 2A^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^2 \min\{1, |x - y|^{2\alpha}\} K_s(x - y) \, dy dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since φ is bounded, I_1 is finite with $I_1 \leq 2\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 b_s(u, u)$. Moreover, using (2.8), the quantity I_2 can be bound as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq 2A^2 \int_{B_1} \int_{B_1} |u(x)|^2 |x-y|^{2\alpha-N} dy dx + 2A^2 \int_{B_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy dx \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \left(\int_{B_1} |z|^{2\alpha-N} dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} |z|^{-2s-N} dz \right) \\ &= C \omega_{N-1} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \left(\int_0^1 \rho^{2\alpha-1} d\rho + \int_1^\infty \rho^{-2s-1} d\rho \right) := C_1 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows combining I_1 and I_2 that there is a constant $C := C(N, s, \alpha, \varphi)$ such that

$$\|\varphi u\|_{H^{\log, s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|u\|_{H^{\log, s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

This completes the proof for (iv).

(v). We use Lemma 4.1. Put $m = s\beta > 0$ for some $\beta > 0$. Using the trivial inequality (see [34]) $\log a \leq \frac{a^\beta}{\beta}$, $a \geq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^{s, \log}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) \right) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + \frac{(1 + |\xi|^{2s})^\beta}{\beta} \right) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + \frac{2^{\beta-1} (1 + |\xi|^{2s\beta})}{\beta} \right) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C_{s, \beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + |\xi|^{2m} \right) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi = C_{\beta, s} \|u\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

This provides the proof for (v) and thus the proof of Lemma 4.2. \square

Lemma 4.3. (i) *The space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $H^{\log, s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that is if $u \in H^{\log, s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there exists a sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$\|u_n - u\|_{H^{\log, s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(ii) *Then the space $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $H^{\log, s}(\Omega)$ for any $s \in (0, 1]$.*

Proof. The proof of Lemma 4.3 can be deduced from [22], where general nonlocal operators of *small order* is considered, combining Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 therein. We provide a new idea of (i) following the roadmap of [39, Theorem 7.14]. This uses the fact that the space $H^{\log, s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is larger than any Sobolev space $H^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of order $m \geq 0$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + |\xi|^{2m} \right) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

In fact, it follows from Theorem 2.1 (iii) that the space $H^{\log, s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can be equivalently defined in term of Fourier transform,

$$H^{\log, s}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty \right\}$$

with the corresponding norm

$$\|u\|_{H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s})) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore, if $u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows from Theorem 2.1 (v) that $u \in H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore the embedding $H^m(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous. To conclude the claim, it suffices to show that $H^m(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ densely. For $u \in H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, take

$$\hat{u}_n(\xi) = \left(1 + \frac{|\xi|^{2m}}{n}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi).$$

Then the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset H^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Indeed, first observe from Theorem 2.1 (iv) that

$$\log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) \mathcal{F}(u_n) = \left(1 + \frac{|\xi|^{2m}}{n}\right)^{-1} \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) \mathcal{F}(u). \quad (4.7)$$

Moreover, since $n \geq 1$, We have with $R_0 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^N)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |\xi|^{2m}) |\mathcal{F}(u_n)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |\xi|^{2m}) \left(1 + \frac{|\xi|^{2m}}{n}\right)^{-1} |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq n \int_{B_{R_0}} |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \frac{n}{\log(1 + R_0^{2s})} \int_{B_{R_0}^c} \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C_{n,R_0,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s})) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C \|u\|_{H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It thus follows by the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\|u_n - u\|_{H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s})) \left|1 - \left(1 + \frac{|\xi|^{2m}}{n}\right)^{-1}\right|^2 |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3. \square

We have the following Poincaré inequality and embedding results for the fractional logarithmic Schrödinger operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ for $s \in (0, 1]$.

Proposition 4.4 (Poincaré inequality). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\Omega)$.*

(i) *If $|\Omega| < \infty$. Then, there exists a constant $C := C(\Omega, N, s) > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y))^2 K_s(x - y) dx dy. \quad (4.8)$$

(ii) *If $\Omega := (-a, a) \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, $a > 0$, is bounded in one direction, that is, $|x_1| \leq a$, then,*

$$\|u\|_{L^2((-a,a) \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1})} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y))^2 K_s(x - y) dx dy. \quad (4.9)$$

(iii) *Let $p \in [1, 2]$. The embedding $H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is locally compact. In particular, the embedding $\mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is compact for any open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|\Omega| < \infty$.*

Proof. (i) The Poincaré inequality can be deduced from [20–22, 32] but we include the proof here for completeness. Set

$$\Xi(R, \Omega) := \frac{2}{\log(1 + R^{2s})(1 - (2\pi)^{-N} R^N |\Omega| |B_1(0)|)}.$$

Since $u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$, we first have by Hölder inequality that

$$|\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 \leq (2\pi)^{-N} |\Omega| \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad \text{for every } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Next, by Plancherel theorem and for any $R > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{|\xi| < R} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \geq R} \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s})^{-1} d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{R^N |\Omega| |B_1(0)| \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2}{(2\pi)^N} + \frac{1}{2 \log(1 + R^{2s})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, choose $R < 2\pi(|\Omega| |B_1(0)|)^{-\frac{1}{N}} = 2\pi\left(\frac{N}{\omega_{N-1} |\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}$ we find using Lemma 4.1 that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \Xi(\Omega, R) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y))^2 K_s(x - y) dx dy.$$

The proof of (i) follows by minimizing the quantity $\Xi(\Omega, R)$ with respect to R in the above inequality.

(ii). This can be deduced from [32]. Indeed, if $\Omega = (-a, a) \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ for some $a > 0$, we write $x = (x_1, x') \in (-a, a) \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. By Fubini's theorem and the change of variable $\zeta := \frac{x' - y'_{11}}{|x_1 - y_{11}|}$, we can first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{N,a,s}(x) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (-a,a)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy' dy_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (-a,a)} |x_1 - y_1|^{N-2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \left(1 + \left|\frac{x' - y'}{|x_1 - y_1|}\right|^2\right)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}} dy' dy_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (-a,a)} |x_1 - y_1|^{-1-2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} (1 + |\zeta|^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}} d\zeta dy_1 \\ &= \omega_{N-2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-a} (x_1 - y_1)^{-1-2s} dy_1 + \int_a^{\infty} (y_1 - x_1)^{-1-2s} dy_1 \right) \times \\ &\quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{N-2}}{(1 + \rho^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} d\rho \\ &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+2s}{2}\right)}{2s \Gamma\left(\frac{N+2s}{2}\right)} \left((a + x_1)^{-2s} + (a - x_1)^{-2s} \right) := M(N, s, a). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (2.8) in Theorem 2.1, we can find a constant $C' := C'(N, s, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y))^2 K_s(x - y) \, dx dy &\geq 2C' \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 \kappa_{N,a,s}(x) \, dx \\ &\geq C' M(N, s, a) \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = C \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

(iii). This is just an application of [32, Theorem 1.1 and 1.2], we give a detailed proof this operator. Let $w \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then the convolution operator

$$T_w : L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad T_w = w * u \tag{4.10}$$

is continuous and locally compact (see [32, Lemma 2.1], see also [25, Theorem 3.81]). Moreover, let $\delta > 0$ be a small parameter. Then, from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_s^\delta\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} &:= \int_{|z| \geq \delta} K_s(z) \, dz = \int_{1 \geq |z| \geq \delta} K_s(z) \, dz + \int_{|z| \geq 1} K_s(z) \, dz \\ &= C(N, s) (\log \delta + \frac{1}{2s}) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, let $\{\delta_n\}_n$ be a sequence such that $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Set

$$w_n := w \delta_n = K_s^{\delta_n} / \|K_s^{\delta_n}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

Thus $\|w_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$. Then, by the evenness of w_n and the Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - T_{w_n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - T_{w_n}u(x))^2 \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [u(x) - u(x+y)] w_n(y) \, dy \right)^2 \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(x+y)|^2 w_n(y) \, dy \, dx \tag{4.11} \\ &\leq \|w_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(x+y)|^2 K_s(y) \, dy \, dx \\ &\leq \|w_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-1} \|u\|_{H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $R_K T = 1_K T$ be the multiplication of an operator T with the characteristic function 1_K of K , where K is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^N . Then, by (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_K - R_K T_{w_n}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N), L^2(K))} &= \sup_{\|u\|_{H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq 1} \|R_K u - R_K T_{w_n} u\|_{L^2(K)} \\ &\leq \|w_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R_K T_{w_n}$ is compact for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $R_K T_w : H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^2(K)$ is compact. Therefore, the embedding $H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is locally compact. Furthermore, the embedding $\mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ with $|\Omega| < \infty$ is also compact thanks to the poincaré inequality in (4.8) which provides the continuous embedding. Since also $L^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ for $p \in [1, 2]$ and $|\Omega| < \infty$ is continuous, it follows also that $\mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ for $p \in [1, 2]$ is compact. This completes the proof of the Proposition 4.4. \square

As consequence of the Poincaré inequality, we have for bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with continuous boundary that the space $\mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\Omega)$ can be identified by

$$\mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u \equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \right\}$$

and it is a Hilbert space endowed with the scalar product $(v, w) \mapsto b_s(v, w)$ and the corresponding norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^{\log,s}(\Omega)} = \sqrt{b_s(u, u)}$.

Proposition 4.5 (Poincaré Wirtinger). *Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open bounded set. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on Ω and s , such that for every function $u \in H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$*

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| u - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u \right|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} (u(x) - u(y))^2 K_s(x-y) dx dy \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume that the inequality in (4.12) does not hold. Then, there exists a sequence of functions $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u_n dx = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^2 dx = 1$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} (u_n(x) - u_n(y))^2 K_s(x-y) dx dy < \frac{1}{n}. \quad (4.13)$$

Then $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is uniformly bounded in $H^{\log,s}(\Omega)$. Passing to a subsequence, we have

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega)$$

thanks to the embedding in (iii) of proposition 4.4. It thus follows that

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u dx = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx = 1. \quad (4.14)$$

On the other hand, passing to the limit in (4.13) implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} (u(x) - u(y))^2 K_s(x-y) dx dy = 0.$$

Therefore, u is constant in Ω by [22, Proposition 2.10], which contradicts (4.14). This completes the proof of Proposition 4.5. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let $0 < s < s_0$ and $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. Then there exists a positive constant $C := C(N, s_0)$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|u\|_{H^{\log,s_0}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

In particular, $H^{\log,s_0}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset H^{\log,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. By the Poincaré inequality, there exists $M := M(N, s_0) > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(B_1(0))}^2 \leq M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y))^2 K_{s_0}(x-y) dx dy$$

for all $u \in H^{\log,s_0}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where the constant M is given by

$$M = \min_{R \in (0, \frac{2\pi N}{\omega_{N-1}|\Omega|})} \left\{ \frac{2}{\log(1 + R^{2s_0}) \left(1 - (2\pi)^{-N} R^N |B_1(0)|^2 \right)} \right\}.$$

Moreover, by Plancherel theorem, we get with $C_1 := (M \log(2) + 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 K_s(x-y) \, dx dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \\
 &= \left(\int_{|\xi| < 1} + \int_{|\xi| \geq 1} \right) \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s}) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \\
 &\leq \log(2) \|u\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s_0}) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \\
 &\leq (\log(2)M + 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s_0}) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \\
 &= C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 K_{s_0}(x-y) \, dx dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u\|_{H^{\log, s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 &= \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 K_s(x-y) \, dx dy \\
 &\leq \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 K_{s_0}(x-y) \, dx dy \\
 &= C \|u\|_{H^{\log, s_0}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C := \max\{1, C_1\}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.6. \square

Theorem 4.7 (Logarithmic inequality). *Let $u \in H^{\log, s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be any function and let $s > 0$ be any real number. Then,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 \log |u|^2 \, dx \leq B_N \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \log(\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) + \frac{N}{2s} b_s(u, u),$$

where the constant B_N is given

$$B_N := \log\left(\frac{\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}\right) - \frac{N}{2} \left(\log(4\pi) + 2\psi\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) \right). \quad (4.15)$$

with $\psi(t) = \log(\Gamma(t))'$, the digamma function.

For general open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 \log\left(\frac{|u(x)|^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}\right) \, dx \leq \frac{N}{2s} (B_N \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + b_{\Omega, s}(u, u)). \quad (4.16)$$

Proof. Let us first recall the following logarithmic inequality due to Beckner [4, Theorem 3]. With L^2 -normalization $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 \log |u|^2 \, dx \leq N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \log |\xi| |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi + 2B_N, \quad (4.17)$$

where the constant B_N is given by (4.15). Up to conformal automorphism, extremal functions for (4.17) are functions of the form

$$u(x) = A_N (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \quad \text{with} \quad A_N = \frac{2^N \Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}.$$

From the inequality $\log |\xi| = \frac{1}{2s} \log |\xi|^{2s} \leq \frac{1}{2s} \log(1 + |\xi|^{2s})$ and assuming the L^2 -normalization $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$, if we multiply (4.17) by the factor $2s$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 \log |u|^2 dx \leq \frac{N}{2s} b_s(u, u) + 2B_N. \quad (4.18)$$

Next, set $u = \frac{v}{\|v\|_{L^2}^2}$, the complete inequality is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 \log |v|^2 dx \leq \frac{N}{2s} b_s(v, v) + 2B_N \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \log (\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.7. \square

An example of inequality in (4.16) is the Beckner's logarithmic Sobolev inequality on \mathbb{S}^N [5, 26] with Ω being the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} . Up to conformal automorphism, extremal functions for (4.16) with $\Omega \equiv \mathbb{S}^N$ are functions of the form

$$u(\omega) = c \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - |\xi|^2}}{1 - \xi \cdot \omega} \right)^{N/2}$$

for some $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ with $|\xi| < 1$ and some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

5. Dirichlet problems

We have so far introduced sufficient tools to characterize the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ in weak sense. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open bounded set and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Consider the Poisson problem,

$$\begin{cases} (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

We recall that the bilinear form b_s is defined by

$$b_s(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) K_s(x - y) dx dy.$$

We call $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\log, s}(\Omega)$ a weak solution to problem (5.1) if

$$b_s(u, \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\log, s}(\Omega).$$

By Cauchy Schwartz and Poincaré inequality, we have

$$|b_s(u, \varphi)| \leq \sqrt{b_s(u, u)} \sqrt{b_s(\varphi, \varphi)} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\log, s}(\Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\log, s}(\Omega)},$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} f \varphi dx \right| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\log, s}(\Omega)}.$$

By definition $b_s(u, u) = \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\log, s}(\Omega)}^2$. It follows using the Lax-Milgram theorem that there exists a unique weak solution to problem (5.1). Moreover, if $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and Ω has Lipschitz boundary, then $u \in C(\Omega)$ (see [12, 35, 42]). If Ω further satisfies

a uniform exterior sphere condition, the regularity results of [15, 36] apply to (5.1) and provide that

$$u \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega\}.$$

If additionally $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, then any weak solution u of problem (5.1) satisfies (see [22]).

$$u \in C^\infty(\Omega).$$

Next, consider the eigenvalue problem involving the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$, that is, we consider the problem (5.1) with $f = \lambda u$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{cases} (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

Then there is a sequence of eigenvalues

$$0 < \lambda_1(\Omega) < \lambda_2(\Omega) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k(\Omega) \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_k(\Omega) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

The sequence $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of eigenfunctions corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\Omega)$ forms a complete orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$ and an orthogonal system of $\mathcal{H}_0^{\log, s}(\Omega)$.

We call here a function $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\log, s}(\Omega)$ an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue λ if

$$b_s(u, \varphi) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\log, s}(\Omega).$$

Moreover, it is straightforward to see by integrating the inequality

$$\left| |u(x)| - |u(y)| \right| \leq |u(x) - u(y)|$$

that the bilinear form decreases when taking the absolute values,

$$b_s(|u|, |u|) \leq b_s(u, u) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\log, s}(\Omega).$$

Therefore, the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ is simple and the corresponding eigenfunction φ_1 does not change its sign in Ω and can be chosen to be strictly positive in Ω . The proof of the above facts can be deduced from [21, Theorem 1.3].

In addition, any eigenfunction $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\log, s}(\Omega)$ of (5.2) is bounded, that is, $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and there is a constant $C := C(N, s) > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

This can be deduced from [22, Corollary 4.2] (see also [21, Proposition 1.4]), using the δ -decomposition technique as introduced in [24].

We note that since the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ belongs to the family of non-local operators with small order, the strong and weak maximum principle for weak solutions involving the operator $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ can be deduced from [22, Proposition 3.10 and Proposition 3.12] where general nonlocal operators of small order was considered see also [33]. Since the first eigenvalue for $(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log}$ satisfies $\lambda_1(\Omega) > 0$ and the corresponding eigenfunction $\varphi_1 > 0$ in Ω , we provide the proof of the strong maximum principle for pointwise solutions here.

Proposition 5.1 (Strong Maximum Principle for pointwise solutions). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open bounded set. Let $c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ be such that either $c \geq 0$ or $\lambda_1(\Omega) > c^-(x)$ in Ω . Assume that $u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is Dini continuous in Ω and satisfying pointwisely*

$$\begin{cases} (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u + c(x)u \geq 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u \geq 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

Then $u \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Furthermore, either $u > 0$ in Ω or $u \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N .

Proof. To see that $u \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N , we write $u = u^+(x) - u^-(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with

$$u^-(x) = -\min\{u(x), 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad u^+ = \max\{u(x), 0\}.$$

Noticing that $(u^-(x) - u^-(y))(u^+(x) - u^+(y)) \leq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$, we observe that u^- satisfies

$$(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u^- \leq c^-(x)u^- \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (5.4)$$

Multiplying (5.4) by the first eigenfunction φ_1 and integrate over \mathbb{R}^N we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^-(x) \varphi_1(x) dx &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} (\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_1(y))(u^-(x) - u^-(y)) K_s(x-y) dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} c^-(x) u^-(x) \varphi_1(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The calculations above yield

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda_1(\Omega) - c^-(x)) u^-(x) \varphi_1(x) dx \leq 0.$$

Since $\lambda_1(\Omega) - c^- > 0$ in Ω , this yields that $u^- \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Hence u is nonnegative in \mathbb{R}^N .

Next, Suppose by contradiction that u is not positive in Ω . Since Ω is bounded, $\overline{\Omega}$ is compact. Since also u is continuous in \mathbb{R}^N and $u \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$, there is a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ with

$$u(x_0) = \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x) \leq 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Therefore, as x_0 is an interior point where the minimum of u is attained and $c(x_0) \geq 0$ in Ω , it follows that

$$(I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x_0) \geq -c(x_0)u(x_0) \geq 0.$$

Whereas by (5.5), we have that $u(x_0) \leq u(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (I + (-\Delta)^s)^{\log} u(x_0) = P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x_0) - u(y)) K_s(x_0 - y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x_0) - u(y)) K_s(x_0 - y) dy \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since the integrand is non-positive by assumption and (5.5), we conclude that

$$u \equiv u(x_0) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Now, since $u \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$, it follows that $u \equiv 0$ in Ω and therefore $u \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . This leads to a contradiction and the proof is established. \square

References

1. J. Arias-de-Reyna. *On the theorem of Frullani*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 109, no. 1 (1990): 165-175.
2. S. Arias and S. Rodriguez-Lopez. *Bilinear pseudodifferential operators with symbol in BS^m* , 1 on Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with critical Sobolev index. Collectanea Mathematica (2023): 1-25.
3. G. Ascione and J. Lörinczi. *Potentials for non-local Schrödinger operators with zero eigenvalues*. Journal of Differential Equations 317 (2022): 264–364.
4. W. Beckner. *Pitt's inequality and the uncertainty principle*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 123, no. 6 (1995): 1897–1905.
5. W. Beckner. *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and the existence of singular integrals*. (1997): 303-324.
6. L. Beghin. *Geometric stable processes and related fractional differential equations*. Electronic Communications in Probability 19 (2014).
7. L. Beghin, *Fractional gamma and gamma-subordinated processes*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. 33 (5) (2015) 903–926.
8. J. Bertoin. *Lévy processes*. Vol. 121. Cambridge: Cambridge university press, (1996).
9. K. Bogdan and T. Jakubowski. *Estimates of heat kernel of fractional Laplacian perturbed by gradient operators*. Communications in mathematical physics 271, no. 1 (2007): 179–198.
10. R.M. Blumenthal, and R. K. Gettoor. *Some theorems on stable processes*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 95, no. 2 (1960): 263–273.
11. D. Chae, P. Constantin and J. Wu. *Inviscid models generalizing the two-dimensional Euler and the surface quasi-geostrophic equations*. Archive for rational mechanics and analysis 202, no. 1 (2011): 35-62.
12. H. A. Chang-Lara and A. Saldaña. *Classical solutions to integral equations with zero order kernels*. Mathematische Annalen (2023): 1-53.
13. R. C. Charão, A. Piske and R. Ikehata. *A dissipative logarithmic-type evolution equation: Asymptotic profile and optimal estimates*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 506, no. 1 (2022): 125587.
14. H. Chen and L. Véron. *The Cauchy problem associated to the logarithmic Laplacian with an application to the fundamental solution*. arXiv:2307.16197 (2023).
15. H. Chen and T. Weth. *The Dirichlet problem for the logarithmic Laplacian*. Communications in Partial Differential Equations 44, no. 11 (2019): 1100–1139..
16. H. Chen. *The Dirichlet elliptic problem involving regional fractional Laplacian*. Journal of Mathematical Physics 59, no. 7 (2018).
17. ZQ. Chen and R. Song. *Two-sided eigenvalue estimates for subordinate processes in domains*. Journal of Functional Analysis 226, no. 1 (2005): 90–113.
18. J. H. Choi and I. Kim. *A maximal L^p -regularity theory to initial value problems with time measurable nonlocal operators generated by additive processes*. Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations (2023): 1-64.
19. E. Correa and A. de Pablo. *Nonlocal operators of order near zero*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 461, no. 1 (2018): 837–867.
20. M. Felsinger, M. Kassmann and P. Voigt. *The Dirichlet problem for nonlocal operators*. Mathematische Zeitschrift 279, no. 3–4 (2015): 779–809.

21. P. A. Feulefack. *The logarithmic Schrödinger operator and associated Dirichlet problems*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications (2022): 126656.
22. P. A. Feulefack and S. Jarohs. *Nonlocal operators of small order*. Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata (1923-) 202, no. 4 (2023): 1501-1529.
23. P. A. Feulefack. *Spectral characteristics of Dirichlet problems for nonlocal operators*. PhD diss., Dissertation, Frankfurt am Main, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität, (2022).
24. P. A. Feulefack, S. Jarohs, and T. Weth. *Small order asymptotics of the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem for the fractional Laplacian*. Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications 28, no. 2 (2022): 1–44.
25. G. Foghem. *L^2 -Theory for nonlocal operators on domains*. Publikationen an der Universität Bielefeld (2020).
26. R. L. Frank, T. König and H. Tang. *Classification of solutions of an equation related to a conformal log Sobolev inequality*. Advances in Mathematics 375 (2020): 107395.
27. R.L. Frank and R. Seiringer. *Non-linear ground state representations and sharp Hardy inequalities*. Journal of Functional Analysis 255, no. 12 (2008): 3407–3430.
28. M.G. Garroni and J. L. Menaldi. *Second order elliptic integro-differential problems*. CRC Press, (2002).
29. T. Grzywny and M. Ryznar. *Potential theory of one-dimensional geometric stable processes*. In Colloquium Mathematicum, vol. 1, no.129, (2012): pp. 7–40.
30. V. Hernández Santamaría and A. Saldaña. *Small order asymptotics for nonlinear fractional problems*. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 61, no. 3 (2022): 1–26.
31. N. Jacob and R. L. Schilling. *Lévy-type processes and pseudodifferential operators*. In Lévy processes: theory and applications. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, 2001. 139-168.
32. S. Jarohs and T. Weth. *Local compactness and nonvanishing for weakly singular nonlocal quadratic forms*. Nonlinear Analysis 193 (2020): 111431.
33. S. Jarohs and T. Weth. *On the maximum principle for nonlocal operators*. Mathematische Zeitschrift 293.1, (2019): 81 – 111.
34. S. Jarohs, A. Saldaña, and T. Weth. *A new look at the fractional poisson problem via the logarithmic Laplacian*. Journal of Functional Analysis 279, no. 11 (2020): 108732.
35. M. Kassmann and A. Mimica, *Intrinsic scaling properties for nonlocal operators*. Journal of the European Mathematical Society 19, no. 4 (2017) : 983–1011.
36. P. Kim and A. Mimica. *Green function estimates for subordinate Brownian motions: stable and beyond*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 366, no. 8 (2014): 4383-4422.
37. V. Knopova and R. L. Schilling. *A note on the existence of transition probability densities of Lévy processes*. In Forum Mathematicum, vol. 25, no. 1, Walter de Gruyter GmbH, (2013): pp. 125–149.
38. T.J. Kozubowski, A.K. Panorska, *Multivariate geometric stable distributions in financial applications*. Math. Comput. Model. 29 (10-12) (1999) 83–92.
39. E.H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis. Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, (1997).
40. D.B. Madan, P.P. Carr, E.C. Chang, *The variance gamma process and option pricing*. Rev. Finance 2 (1) (1998) 79–105.
41. JA. López-Mimbela and N. Privault. *Blow-up and stability of semilinear PDEs with gamma generators*. Journal of mathematical analysis and applications 307, no. 1 (2005): 181-205.

42. A. Mimica, *On harmonic functions of symmetric Lévy processes*. In Annales de l'IHP Probabilités et statistiques, vol. 50, no. 1, (2014): pp. 214–235.
43. F.W.J. Olver, D.W. Lozier, R.F. Boisvert, and C.W. Clark, eds. NIST handbook of mathematical functions hardback and CD-ROM. Cambridge university press, (2010).
44. A. Piske, R. C. Charão and R. Ikehata. *Double diffusion structure of logarithmically damped wave equations with a small parameter*. Journal of Differential Equations 311 (2022): 188–228.
45. S. T. Rachev, S. Mittnik, Stable paretian models in finance. Wiley, New York, (2000)
46. M. Rao, R. Song and Z. Vondraček. *Green function estimates and Harnack inequality for subordinate Brownian motions*. Potential Analysis 25, no. 1 (2006): 1– 27.
47. R. Song, Z. Vondraček, *Potential theory of subordinate killed Brownian motion in a domain*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 125 (4) (2003) 578–592.
48. H. Šikić, R. Song and Z. Vondraček. *Potential theory of geometric stable processes*. Probability Theory and Related Fields 135, no. 4 (2006): 547–575.
49. R. Y. Temgoua and T. Weth. *The eigenvalue problem for the regional fractional Laplacian in the small order limit*. Potential Analysis (2022): 1–22.
50. J.L. Vázquez. *Asymptotic behaviour for the fractional heat equation in the Euclidean space*. Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations 63, no. 7-8 (2018): 1216–1231.
51. R. Zhang, V. Kumar, and M. Ruzhansky. *A Direct Method of Moving Planes for Logarithmic Schrödinger Operator*. arxiv preprint arXiv:2210.09811 (2022).
52. S. Zhao, X. Shang, G. Wang, and H. Zhao. *A Fast Algorithm for Intra-Frame Versatile Video Coding Based on Edge Features*. Sensors 23, no. 13 (2023): 6244.

Pierre Aime Feulefack

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Northern British Columbia, Prince George, BC, Canada

e-mail: pierreaimefeulefack@unbc.ca